




# CONTACT

## THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

### Y2K—THE NEW MILLENNIUM

*KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,  
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION*



VOLUME 27, NUMBER 7

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

MARCH 6, 2000

# Anti-Antichrist Faction Makes Bipolar World

*Editorial comment:*

The world as it has been is entering into an era of great change. It is to be hoped that this change can be wrought without resort to force and violence in the physical realm—without the need for exchanges of nuclear weapons and others of even greater destructive capability.

The battle that is taking place is not so much between forces of “good” and “evil”—“forces of good” is oxymoronic, as force is not of God—but between the current Elite (Khazarian) controllers and those who no longer will remain subject to their control. In this article, we will examine the choosing up of sides by nations around the world, as highlighted in recent news articles drawn from the Internet.

The Khazarian Zionist element is represented principally by the British-Israeli-U.S. alliance, along with any other nations they control economically. These countries are characterized by nominally democratic political systems that are, in fact, operating according to principles of Fascism. (For example, a

recent poll conducted on the Internet showed that the overwhelming majority of Americans do NOT feel that the U.S. should rush to militarily support, for instance, Israel (see article in News Desk). That should MEAN something in this so-called democracy—it doesn't, because this is, IN FACT, a fascist state.)

The anti-Khazarian faction (the anti-antichrist faction, if you will) is spearheaded by China and Russia (Gog and Magog of the Bible) but, in addition, includes all nations strongly influenced by the Islamic faith.

The word “faith” takes on particular relevance, as the Zionist element is characterized by adherence to the worldly, antichrist, Talmudic doctrine of “taking care of number one”, with an emphasis on SELF interest, resulting in hedonism and lack of moral fiber. On the other hand, the anti-Khazarian, Christian (in the sense of Christ-I-AM) element exhibits FAITH in God/Allah/Creator/Grandfather, the One Light by any name (ATON, for our reference). Of course, we realize that at the end of the play, ATON wins—and along the way, everything is perfect for everyone, all the time.

With many momentous events occurring at this juncture—few of which are given any coverage whatsoever by the “talking heads” presenting the “news” on TV—we begin with a rather innocuous story presented by George Bush's Yahoo! News (thanks, “Poppy”).

[QUOTING:]

#### RUSSIAN VICE PREMIER IN CHINA TO DISCUSS MILITARY TRADE

*Yahoo! News Asia, 3/2/00*

BEIJING, CHINA (AFP)—Russian Vice Premier Ilya Klebanov arrived in Beijing Thursday for three days of talks centered on Moscow's lucrative military trade with Beijing, a Russian official here said Thursday.

Klebanov, who heads Russia's military-industrial complex, will hold meetings with State Councilor Wu Yi and Vice Chairman of the Central Military Commission Zhang Wannian before Friday's meetings

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT  
P.O. Box 27800  
Las Vegas, NV 89126

PRE-SORTED  
FIRST-CLASS MAIL  
U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
MOJAVE, CA 93501  
PERMIT NO. 110

**FIRST CLASS MAIL**

## ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

“The RAPTURE”: Political Theory Of A Con-Man.....	page 7
Introduction To New Series: <i>Gold And Other Interesting Things</i> .....	page 10
GOD PLANS TO Reclaim His Property.....	page 13
More Bush Connections From Sherman Skolnick.....	page 16
The <i>News Desk</i> , by John Ray.....	page 18
Public Notice Of Understanding.....	page 22

with President Jiang Zemin and Premier Zhu Rongji, the official said.

The meetings will also set dates for the annual exchange of heads of government between the two countries, which has so far been postponed because of upcoming Russian presidential elections on March 26.

Chinese Premier Zhu visited Moscow in February 1999. Russia's future president is also expected to visit China in the summer.

Two agreements are expected to be signed during the visit, including an agreement for the provision of Russian nuclear fuel to the Russian-made Tianwan nuclear power plant currently being built in eastern Jiangsu province, other Russian sources told *AFP*.

Yevgeny Adamov, Russia's Minister of Atomic Energy, is accompanying Klebanov as is a large delegation of oil and gas officials.

The two sides will also sign a technology and science cooperation agreement, the sources said.

"Russia wants to balance its trade with China so that it does not depend so much on military sales," the source said. "Klebanov also hopes to attract Chinese investment into Russia."

No military deals are expected to be reached during the visit but both sides will make an extensive review of existing projects, possibly including the delivery of a second "Sovremenny-class" missile destroyer this fall and an ongoing project to build "Sukhoi 27" fighter jets in China.

Klebanov is also expected to discuss oil and gas projects, a "new generation civilian aircraft", and equipment for power plants.

Moscow and Beijing hope to re-launch a Chinese/Russian oil-pipeline project across Mongolia, after Russian negotiators cancelled scheduled meetings on the project earlier this year.

The two countries approved a feasibility study in 1999 for a pipeline linking China to the Angarsk oil field in Siberia.

Two Russian [firms] and one Chinese firm are to take part in the project, which will pump 25 to 30 million tons of oil annually.

[END QUOTING]

*Oil and gas projects, exchanges of science and technology, delivery of nuclear fuel, destroyers and fighter jets—indeed, these two powerful nations do appear to be fully cooperating in ways that should make the Khazarians a little worried.*

*As usual, however, it is hard to get a handle on the whole picture from a single representation within the controlled press. For another yet reinforcing view, we turn to some analysis by Stratfor.com, from the Internet. Note especially China's call for a "just and reasonable new world order".*

[QUOTING:]

### IN BEIJING, THE SIGNS OF A NEW STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP

*Stratfor.com, 3/3/00*

#### Summary

In China on March 2, the state-run *People's Daily* ran several articles touting the benefits of a strategic partnership between Russia and China. The publication appears to endorse **little-noticed** [actually, little-reported-on, by the controlled media] negotiations between Moscow and Beijing, which are exchanging

top-ranking officials in preparation for a summit. Details have been closely guarded, but the talks involve oil and weapons. The timing of the state press coverage suggests that there has now been a **breakthrough** [*"You mean, Ilya, we don't have to accept the Bolsheviks' financial control of the world? Wow!"*] in the talks. And it appears that a more vigorous strategic partnership between Russia and China—one that will worry the West—is beginning to take shape.

#### Analysis

Until now, China's official press has remained relatively quiet about a flurry of diplomatic activity between Beijing and Moscow, leading up to a long-delayed summit between the two governments this summer. But on March 2, the state-run *People's Daily* ran a series of articles touting the benefits of a strategic partnership between Russia and China. The articles also called for a multipolar world, instead of one in which the United States is [*sic—"in which the Khazars are"*] the dominant power—**calling for the "establishment of a just and reasonable new world order"**. The timing does not appear to be coincidental. [*Nope, not to us, either.*]

Right now, one high-level meeting between Russian and Chinese officials is concluding in Moscow and another is beginning in Beijing. They are part of a string of talks—eight exchanges in recent weeks—in preparation for a summit between Chinese and Russian leaders. The *People's Daily* articles appear to signal a possible breakthrough, both in the talks and the leadership strategies of both nations. It now seems that a more vigorous strategic partnership is forming between Russia and China. Alone, each is too weak to challenge American power, but together the two nations can coordinate strategy and ultimately create simultaneous problems for the West on disparate parts of the globe.

China and Russia have claimed to be strategic partners since 1992. But in reality, the two nations have made little real progress other than to ineffectively challenge U.S. policy in the Persian Gulf and the Balkans. [*SOMETHING has changed since then, right, George?*] The two governments have most recently been preparing for a summit between acting President Vladimir Putin of Russia and President Jiang Zemin of China. The summit has been discussed, reported and tentatively scheduled. The repeated postponement suggests that the summit is serious, a matter of considerable advance negotiation, and not merely a photo opportunity.

Most of the hesitation has come from Beijing, as Chinese officials petitioned for international recognition and strength based on economic growth, attempting to join the World Trade Organization (WTO) [*which shows that the Khazarian element does, indeed, still have some rasps attached to the Chinese host*]. With its economy in much worse condition, Russia appeared less an asset to Beijing than a liability. Throughout the talks, Beijing appears to have kept Moscow at a distance both to keep the door open to the United States and to remain dominant in negotiations with the Russians.

Quite **suddenly** [!], China has turned public attention toward its relationship with Russia. Aside from mentioning future bilateral visits, the articles emphasized the idea of fostering "**global strategic stability and regional security**" through Chinese and Russian cooperation. Clearly looking back at U.S.

military action in both the Persian Gulf and Kosovo in the last 14 months, the articles also call for respect for territorial integrity of sovereign states and opposition to [*so-called*] "humanitarian intervention". China and Russia are clearly considering this recent history as they regard Taiwan and Chechnya.

This endorsement of the relationship appears timed to coincide with intense, high-level diplomatic activity and suggests that some sort of breakthrough has been achieved in the talks. In recent weeks, Beijing and Moscow have had no fewer than eight exchanges of officials to prepare for the summit, now tentatively set for June. While the *People's Daily* was publishing its articles, Chinese Foreign Minister Tang Jiaxuan was concluding his visit to Moscow and Russian Deputy Prime Minister Ilya Klebanov arrived in Beijing on March 1.

In addition, the two nations are studying a deal that furthers their common goals by advancing their individual interests. [*Do you suppose that the author of this piece really knew what he was saying with that mouthful?*] A deal may involve both Russian oil and advanced weapons systems bound for China. A main topic of Klebanov's visit to Beijing is Russian arms sales and energy for China. On the table is a renewed proposal for an oil pipeline from the Russian Far East through Mongolia and into China, nuclear fuel for a Chinese reactor and continued sales and cooperation on advanced weapons systems. In turn, Russia would receive some hard currency and the benefits of increased economic activity within its energy and arms industries.

This evolving relationship suggests a series of important developments. In China, it appears that the center of gravity within leadership circles is shifting. Until now, China held Russia at arm's length and elements for economic reform had the ear of Jiang. But Jiang's ambitions and the deteriorating domestic situation have fostered a resurgence of the old guard—those who want a strong China without needing to appeal to the United States or the Western world. On a global scale, both Russia and China are increasingly squeezed by international pressure in areas they consider to be within their respective spheres of influence—and this is driving them closer together.

The strategic partnership now taking shape is not a formal military alliance with either hostile intent or desire for global conquest. Rather, it is based on a simple, common fact: Individually, China and Russia are weak—economically, politically and militarily—in comparison to the United States and its allies in Europe and Asia.

Together, however, they can present a formidable counter to the United States and its allies [*Can you say, "military trump card"?*]. Today, China is unable to project military power far beyond coastal waters—but increased energy and military supplies will help mitigate this over the coming decade [*How far do their ICBMs travel, again?*]. This plays into China's increasingly short timetable for the return of Taiwan repatriation; in the space of only years, China will be able not only to threaten the island but U.S. forces if they intervene. In return, by boosting the Russian defense industry, Russia gains much-needed cash and a way to revitalize at least a portion of its domestic economy. [*Perhaps BOTH countries have "found" a way to gain much-needed wealth?*]

Even in the very short term, China and Russia can—if they choose—present the West with a very difficult proposition: simultaneous crises in Taiwan and on the Russian periphery. In such an extreme situation, the West would be hard-pressed to respond. The U.S. military is now stretched thin by increasing global commitments and static post-Cold War force levels. Over the next decade, both Russia and China will only attempt to expand their military capabilities.

[END QUOTING]

*Hmmm, a "breakthrough"—sounds promising!*

*The Khazarian carpetbaggers have been busy, however, seeking to retain control of the Bolshevik-ridden country of Ukraine, as outlined in this next piece.*

[QUOTING:]

### FINALLY, NATO TESTS A RESURGENT RUSSIA—IN KIEV

*Stratfor.com, 3/2/00*

#### Summary

NATO's decision-making body—the North Atlantic Council—is meeting in Kiev, Ukraine. This gathering in the most geopolitically significant area of Russia's backyard is a direct challenge to the Putin Government's assertive new foreign policy. The alliance is calling what it believes—indeed, hopes—to be Moscow's nationalist bluff, painting a picture of the consequences the West could present if it continues down its current path. The move, however, is unlikely to dissuade the Government in Moscow and will likely only entrench Russian nationalists. Regardless, the alliance's diplomatic thrust indicates a shift **change in NATO-Russian relations, likely for the worse.**

#### Analysis

NATO's North Atlantic Council (NAC), in its simultaneous capacity as the NATO-Ukraine Commission (NUC), is meeting March 1-2. Composed of ambassadorial-level representatives of the alliance's 19 member states, the NAC is the alliance's principal decision-making body. The NUC, in turn, was formed in 1997 to bring the alliance closer to Ukraine. With mixed success, NATO and Ukraine have attempted to foster a closer relationship. What is significant now is the location of the meeting. The alliance's decision-makers are meeting in Kiev, not Brussels, the headquarters.

As striking as the location—in **the most strategically important nation on the periphery of Russia**—is the timing. The meeting appears to have been called in Kiev on relatively **short notice** [*Gee, now why is all this stuff happening so "suddenly" and on such "short notice"?*]. And it is taking place as Russia's acting President Vladimir Putin consolidates both his power and his foreign policy; the March presidential elections are approaching, and until now, the West has appeared bewildered by his actions both abroad and in the War in Chechnya. With this gathering, it appears that the alliance is sending two sharp messages: one of support to Kiev and one of warning to Moscow.

NATO's relationship with Russia has changed dramatically and has not truly recovered from the trough of last year's conflict over Kosovo. Russia under former President Boris Yeltsin opened to the...

demoralizing loss of status and a dangerously ragged strategic situation along its borders. Russia under Putin is not only increasingly nationalist at home—as in the war in Chechnya—but is pursuing a **vastly different foreign policy abroad, one that is forceful and decidedly independent of the West** [*the "West" meaning, of course, the Khazars*].

Indeed, it appears that after standing by idly the last several months, Western governments are beginning to catch on and react—albeit cautiously, even timidly. Western institutions are launching initiatives around the Russian periphery. **The European Union is accelerating its expansion, earmarking \$1 billion for Poland's accession efforts last week—a quadrupling of previous outlays.** [*"If nothing else works, BUY them out!"*] European Commission President Romano Prodi has alluded to tighter links between the EU and NATO. NATO holds its first major military exercise in a non-member's territory in Sweden, June 3-19. **The alliance is cooperating with neutral nations to arm the Baltic States as well.** And at the other end of Europe, **Turkey is engaging in intensive military exercise on its eastern border.**

But the most striking aspect of the relationship between the alliance, in particular, and Russia revolves around Ukraine. Ukraine is the most strategically important piece of real estate between Europe and Russia; neither can be secure without Ukraine. And throughout the post-Cold War period, Ukraine has been contested. It is economically dependent on Russia but has insisted on ever closer ties with the West [*don't suppose THAT's been put to a vote!*].

Much to Russia's dismay, NATO and Ukrainian forces have held joint military exercises on the Black Sea and at a number of locations ashore. NATO and Ukraine have also been busy building relationships between their respective officer corps. The Ukrainian military has never made a secret of its desires to work with the West [*the country "insists" and the Navy "desires"—but what about the people, the hosts of the parasites in charge?*], recently and pointedly declining a role in exercises with the Russian Navy, according to *Deutsch Presse-Agentur*. Ukrainian forces, however, will participate in NATO's Cooperative Partner 2000 naval exercises, June 19-30, in the Ukrainian sector of the Black Sea.

The NATO-Ukraine Commission has been the other important vehicle for security cooperation. Indeed, one of the Commission's first actions, after being formed three years ago, was to establish the Yavoriv military facility in Western Ukraine as a training center for the Partnership for Peace program [*Yes, let's FIGHT for PEACE—you oxy-MORONS!*—the first such facility in the former Soviet Union. Ever since, Yavoriv has served as a base of operations for NATO-sponsored exercises. Now, NATO's civilian leadership arrives not only to meet but to tour a variety of facilities.

It appears that this unusual meeting was called on comparatively **short notice**; the first word appears to have come in late 1999, according to spokesmen in Brussels, Washington and various embassies. This suggests that the meeting is an outgrowth of the events of late last year: when Russia's War in Chechnya was getting underway and senior U.S. officials toured alarmed, neighboring nations [*or*

*perhaps of some more recent developments, say, in SE Asia?*]. The decision to meet in Kiev indicates a desire on the part of NATO to send a message of support to Ukraine, as well as a warning to Moscow.

It is likely that this warning will be counterproductive. Russia's First Deputy Prime Minister Mikhail Kasyanov visited Kiev on February 22 in an apparent attempt to deepen Russia's influence over the Ukrainian economy. After all, Putin, the acting Russian President, draws support from the swells of **Russian nationalism** [*the antithesis of Khazarian Bolshevism*]. An overly bold Western gesture in Ukraine—perceived as vital by Russians—will only strengthen nationalists. Further, a strategy of confrontation will likely cause stress fractures within the alliance. Also, the West has yet to offer the carrot as well as the stick; Putin will refuse to back down if the only option is resorting to a Yeltsin foreign policy.

Ukrainian President Leonid Kuchma seems to be scrabbling to stay out of this brewing confrontation. Last week he left Kiev for a two-week vacation in western Ukraine, according to a spokeswoman, directing his foreign minister to deliver a speech to the NATO gathering on his behalf. **Neither in one camp nor the other**, Ukraine has been forced to gingerly tow a line between Moscow and Brussels. With both now openly competing for Ukraine, Kuchma will find it increasingly difficult—if not impossible—to maintain a balanced policy [*meaning, to act on the true will of the majority of the people of the Ukraine*].

Curiously, Moscow has not officially responded to the NAC meeting. After meeting with Russian officials, German Defense Minister Rudolf Scharping is currently en route from Moscow to Washington; he is likely to carry at least a partial Russian response. Russia seems to be waiting for the right time and place to voice its full retort.

Like NATO, Russia has many cards to play. Ukraine's Russian minority composes more than a quarter of the Ukrainian population. Russian security services undoubtedly retain a strong presence. In case of a conflict, **no one in Kiev truly knows who would rally behind the flag** [*That might depend on just WHO was holding the flag.*]. Russian influence over Ukraine's economy is deepening. Most importantly, despite the sheer size of Ukraine's territory and population, it still shares a long and nearly indefensible border with the Soviet Union's most powerful successor state: Russia.

**A showdown is quietly brewing.** NATO is trying to expand its ability to operate jointly with the forces of neutral nations and many former Soviet states. But it would be a high-profile NATO push into Ukraine that would ultimately tip the scale. Putin has decided that Russia will no longer play second fiddle to the West; the Western response is that if Russia wants a confrontation, one can most certainly be provided. Such a message will only further fuel Russia's nationalist fires.

[END QUOTING]

*So the Khazarians are exerting influence over the Baltic States and working hard (and spending money—horrors!) in Ukraine but having a tough time retaining their control there. Meanwhile, in North Korea...*

[QUOTING:]

NORTH KOREA CONCEALS NEW MISSILE  
LAUNCHERS IN UNDERGROUND BASES

*WorldTribune.com, 2/29/00*

TOKYO—North Korea has established up to six missile launch units, despite an agreement with the United States to suspend new ballistic missile tests, South Korea says.

South Korea's national *Yonhap* news agency quoted a military official in Seoul as saying North Korea has formed 4-6 missile battalions stationed in underground bases.

Last year, Pyongyang pledged to suspend plans to test-launch the new Taepo Dong-2 missile, with a reported **range of more than 4,000 kilometers** [*hmmm...*]. The pledge came in exchange for U.S. aid.

But U.S. officials acknowledged that Pyongyang has not terminated development of the Taepo Dong-2 and plans to eventually export the missile to foreign countries, particularly Middle East clients.

The assertion comes as the United States and North Korea plan to continue steps to improve relations. Officials said both countries want to establish liaison offices to normalize relations. Delegations from the two countries plan to meet in Washington in March.

"If negotiations go well, it may be possible this year for liaison offices to be set up in Pyongyang and Washington," South Korea's Unification Minister Park Jae Kyu said Sunday.

On Monday, **North Korea vowed to cooperate with Russia to oppose U.S. plans for a national missile defense system.** The [North] Korean *Central News Agency* said the agreement between Moscow and Pyongyang came during a visit by Russian Foreign Minister Igor Ivanov to Pyongyang earlier this month.

In another development, South Korea's *Yonhap* news agency said North Korea and Australia have reached an agreement-in-principle to normalize diplomatic ties and convene high-level talks in Australia in the second half of this year. The agreement was reached during a visit to Pyongyang by a four-member Australian delegation last week.

[END QUOTING]

*Now wasn't it just "special" of the Israeli arms merchants to sell all that U.S.-given military technology all around the world? Anything for a buck.*

*As we know from the major media, China already possesses a great deal of U.S. technology. Their fresh alliance with Russia has given them increased confidence in acting as a sovereign power. It now appears that the issue of reunification with Taiwan might be used as the testing ground.*

[QUOTING:]

CHINA WARNS OF "DRASTIC MEASURES"  
ON TAIWAN

By Bill Savadove, Reuters, 3/4/00

BEIJING—Chinese President Jiang Zemin has warned of "drastic measures" if Taiwan delays talks on reunification indefinitely, pressuring the island two weeks before its presidential elections.

"If the Taiwan authorities indefinitely reject negotiations on the peaceful resolution of the reunification question, then the Chinese Government will be forced to take all possible drastic measures," the

official *Xinhua* news agency quoted Jiang as saying.

He stopped short of threatening military invasion [*but as you will soon see, the Chinese Armed Forces have been on alert for just such a step since last August*].

In a speech to members of an advisory body to China's Parliament two weeks before Taiwan's March 18 presidential elections, he referred to supporters of Taiwan independence as "devils" [*indeed—and in deed*].

Last month China issued a policy White Paper that warned it would take military action against Taiwan if the island put off reunification talks.

Jiang urged Taiwan to resume discussions but at the same time warned that foreign support for the island's independence was doomed to failure.

"We hope, **when the conditions are ripe** [*when the Khazarians see what they are really up against and back down*], to resume dialogue and negotiations on the basis of the 'one China' principle," he said.

**"No matter what person, political party or political faction or what external force is supporting them from behind the scenes, it will not succeed and is doomed to failure."**

None of Taiwan's three **leading** presidential candidates has backed away from the controversial "two states" theory presented by current President Lee Teng-hui.

Lee has infuriated China by insisting it will only negotiate with the Chinese mainland as a sovereign equal.

U.S. lawmakers have said China's latest threat could complicate its efforts to join the World Trade Organisation [*I'll bet that has them just quaking in their proverbial boots—NOT*].

**"The Chinese people have never been afraid of devils and do not believe in evil acts,"** Jiang said. **"We firmly oppose any of their evil actions which harm the basic interests of the Chinese nation."**

China has considered Taiwan to be a breakaway province since the Nationalist Government retreated to the island in 1949.

It conducted missile tests in waters off the island to intimidate voters ahead of the last elections in 1996, but instead helped Lee to a landslide victory.

[END QUOTING]

*Devils? Evil acts? It seems that somebody knows the players.*

*So far, we have only discussed what has been going on ELSEWHERE in the world—but it is a distinct possibility that it won't remain elsewhere for long, as we see in the next piece.*

[QUOTING:]

CHINA THREATENS TO STRIKE U.S. WITH  
ICBMS IF TAIWAN DEFENDED

By Bill Gertz, *The Washington Times*, 2/28/00

China stepped up its war of words over Taiwan yesterday, bluntly threatening to fire long-range nuclear missiles at the United States if it defends the island.

The warning, published in the official People's Liberation Army newspaper, comes as a **U.S. aircraft carrier and two cruise-missile destroyers recently began exercises off Japan.** Defense officials said the warships could be sent to the Taiwan Strait in a crisis.

The official military newspaper, *Liberation Army*

*Daily*, stated in a commentary made public in Beijing that U.S. intervention in a conflict between China and Taiwan would result in "serious damage" to U.S. security interests in Asia.

The military then warned that China could resort to long-range missile attacks on the United States during a regional conflict. "China is neither Iraq nor Yugoslavia but a very special country," the newspaper stated.

While China is a permanent member of the Security Council of the United Nations, "on the other hand, it is a country that has certain abilities of launching strategic counterattack and the capacity of launching a long-distance strike," the article said. "It is not a wise move to be at war with a country such as China, a point which the U.S. policy-makers know fairly well also," the newspaper said. "The U.S. military will even be forced to [make] a complete withdrawal from the East Asian region, as they were forced to withdraw from southern Vietnam in those days," the paper said.

**The article was unusually harsh** [*more confirmation that something has, indeed, changed—recently*], according to Pentagon officials familiar with the translation, and echoed a private warning made in 1995 by Chinese Lieutenant General Xiong Guangkai.

General Xiong, the PLA's top intelligence and foreign policy official, told a former Pentagon official at that time that Washington would not help defend Taiwan because it cared more about Los Angeles than Taiwan. The remark was reported to the White House as a threat to use nuclear weapons.

China's nuclear arsenal currently includes about 24 CSS-4 long-range missiles that are capable of hitting most of the United States with warheads of up to 5 megatons, the equivalent of 5 million tons of TNT. It is building two other road-mobile ICBMs and a new class of strategic missile submarines.

One U.S. official said PLA threats appeared to be a response to statements made last week by Walter Slocombe, Undersecretary of Defense for policy. Mr. Slocombe told reporters China would suffer "incalculable consequences" if it attacked the island.

Mr. Slocombe's statement also brought a complaint from some pro-China officials at the White House and State Department who objected to the Pentagon's tough stance.

Meanwhile, several ships from the carrier battle group led by the *USS Kitty Hawk* began conducting exercises in the Pacific on Wednesday, two days after Beijing issued an ominous written warning that it will use force against Taiwan if the island continues to delay reunification with the mainland.

Pentagon officials said privately the carrier deployment is part of U.S. diplomatic efforts to discourage China from conducting threatening war games, as occurred in 1996 around the time of Taiwan's first presidential elections.

A senior military official said the carrier exercises were scheduled weeks ago. However, the official noted that carriers in the past have been used to send diplomatic signals. "Timing is everything in these things," the official said.

The ships are deployed in waters east of central Japan. The ship will be at sea for 12 days before returning to its home port of Yokosuka, Japan.

Officially, Pentagon spokesman Lieutenant Commander Terry Sutherland said the *Kitty Hawk* is engaged in "general quarters" training after spending

months in port in Japan. The deployment is not related to the release of China's White Paper [*analysis to follow*] or the upcoming Taiwanese presidential elections, he said.

However, asked if the battle group could be called into action in a Taiwan crisis, Commander Sutherland said: "Sure. That's the purpose of forward-deployed carriers."

The Chinese Government on February 21 released a "White Paper" threatening, again, to use force against Taiwan if it seeks formal independence. The Paper stated that Beijing will use "all drastic measures possible, including the use of force. Any attempt to separate Taiwan from China through the so-called referendum would only lead the Taiwan people to disaster," the report said.

U.S. Ambassador Joseph Prueher sought to play down the threat contained in the White Paper. "The White Paper has a lot of good things—from our point of view—to say, like stressing peaceful unification and the like, and **only one sentence adding a condition under which force would be used,**" Admiral Prueher said in a speech here.

Asked if the White Paper is tied to the recent unsuccessful high-level visit to Beijing by Deputy Secretary of State Strobe Talbott and other senior U.S. officials, Admiral Prueher said: "I was in that room during the talks and China never mentioned anything about a White Paper. Did the visit trigger the White Paper, I don't know. It might have, it might not have."

Admiral Dennis Blair, Commander of the U.S. Pacific Command, is now in Beijing and, according to unnamed U.S. officials, discussed Taiwan in meetings today with senior Chinese military leaders.

On Monday, the Admiral met Lieutenant General Xiong Guangkai for "a wide exchange of views on international and regional security and bilateral relations," China's state-run *Xinhua News Agency* said.

Lieutenant General Xiong is a Deputy Chief of the General Staff of the Chinese People's Liberation Army and a key voice in making Taiwan policy. Admiral Blair also met Shi Yunsheng, Commander-in-Chief of China's naval forces, *Xinhua* said. "It was a chance for everyone to get to know each other," a U.S. official told the *Associated Press* on condition of anonymity. "The atmosphere was very cordial. I think the Chinese want to succeed in re-establishing a military-to-military relationship."

Taiwan was discussed, along with other Asian security concerns, the official said. The U.S. side also repeated "its concern about the White Paper" in which China last week threatened Taiwan, he said.

The Pentagon spokesman's comment about making the *Kitty Hawk* available in a crisis in the Taiwan Strait is further than a senior State Department official would go.

Susan Shirk, Deputy Assistant Secretary of State for East Asia, wrote an unofficial electronic message to a group of California academics recently saying the carrier will not be sent to the region. "[We] want to let everyone know that one carrier, the *Kitty Hawk*, is engaged in routine training off the coast of Japan, no intention to move near the Strait, nothing to do with Taiwan, White Paper, etc.," Miss Shirk wrote.

The statement angered some in the Pentagon because it undermined efforts by Admiral Blair and others to discourage China from conducting war games in the next few weeks.

One official said the e-mail was "potentially dangerous" because it was an official statement by a senior official. "It could be viewed [by the Chinese] as a green light to attack Taiwan," the official said.

In March 1996, Chinese military forces conducted large-scale exercises near Taiwan that included short-range M-9 missile launches north and south of Taiwan. U.S. officials said the exercises were a bid to intimidate voters on the island.

China's latest threats against Taiwan also come against the backdrop of a presidential campaign that includes discussions about declaring formal independence. The Taiwanese are set for their second presidential election March 18.

[END QUOTING]

*For a closer look at the implications of China's recent White Paper, we turn to analysis by Stratfor.com.*

[QUOTING:]

#### THE HIDDEN MEANING OF BEIJING'S WHITE PAPER

*Stratfor.com, 2/28/00*

Last Monday, China exploded a rhetorical bombshell that still echoes. In an 11,000 word White Paper on Taiwan, Beijing's Foreign Ministry stated that Taipei's indefinite refusal to negotiate reunification would force Beijing to "adopt all drastic measures possible, including the use of force".

Washington immediately split into two schools of thought. One viewed the White Paper with a yawn, as nothing more than a warmed-over restatement of policy. Beijing has always maintained that Taiwan is part of China. Force has always been an option. [*But SOMETHING has changed!*] Taiwan holds elections next month, and it was during the previous 1996 elections that Beijing hurled missiles into the Taiwan Strait to dampen sentiments for outright independence. The other school of thought sensed a seismic shift. Beijing had long threatened violence in the event of Taiwan declaring independence; now force could be used merely for refusing to sit at the bargaining table.

In fact, the White Paper is a significant but entirely tactical move in a much larger strategic game, one that has comparatively little to do with Taiwan. Why do U.S.-Chinese relations consistently progress forward one step and then fall back another? The two governments are pursuing entirely different agendas. The Clinton Administration is trying to build stable, bilateral ties with Beijing, only to be frustrated by the fact that Beijing is attempting to rein in American behavior. The White Paper is Beijing's version of classic, balance-of-power [*indeed, a leveling of the playing field*] politics....

...Viewed from Beijing, the United States was not only powerful—the world was unipolar the moment the Soviet Union collapsed—but now dangerously unpredictable. To control American behavior, China needed to turn the world into a multipolar one. Indeed, this appears to have been China's strategy all along.

Too weak to serve as a counterweight, China cast about for help. The obvious partner was Russia and in the summer of 1999, events suggested that the Russians might want an alliance. But building a coalition was neither simple nor straightforward. Each nation proved more interested in extracting concessions than

challenging the American balance of power. Indeed, China's view of Washington was overly complex, believing that various factions—the military, Congress, the Clinton Administration—could be played off against one another....

...The Clinton Administration recognized two risks. Not only was the anti-American [*anti-Khazarian, actually*] faction in Beijing growing stronger, but Beijing and Moscow were growing closer than at any time since the Sino-Soviet rift of the 1960s. With the rise of Vladimir Putin, now Russia's acting President, there was little leverage in Moscow. So Washington focused on Beijing. This was what Beijing wanted....

...The American diplomatic offensive in Beijing reached its crescendo a week before the White Paper was released. On Feb. 17 and 18, a high-level U.S. delegation visited Beijing, led by Deputy Secretary of State Strobe Talbott. In tow was the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Air Force General Joseph Ralston and Deputy National Security Advisor James Steinberg. With an obvious tilt toward security issues, it appears that the talks focused on the sale of U.S. weapons to Taiwan—particularly new destroyers and a theater missile defense.

The mission took place against a larger backdrop in U.S.-Chinese relations: debates in the U.S. Congress on providing Beijing with Normal Trade Relations (NTR) status as well strengthening security ties with Taiwan. Finally, the U.S. State Department, on bureaucratic auto-pilot, issued its annual human-rights report on Friday, condemning the Chinese for human-rights abuses.

The White Paper is the backhanded Chinese response to Washington on all these fronts. It rebuffs the high-level mission, endangers NTR status—and with it membership in the World Trade Organization. Over the weekend, **Beijing released its own human-rights report, entitled "U.S. Human-Rights Record in 1999", condemning the U.S. record on human rights** [*ask anyone living in a parkade or most inner cities, or the MILLIONS in prison*]....

...Washington appears to be **scrambling** to patch up the bilateral relationship. Navy Admiral Dennis Blair, commander of U.S. forces in the Pacific, is in Beijing....

...There appear to be two explanations. The first is simple: The leadership in Beijing is aware of the Clinton Administration's desperate need to salvage the U.S.-Chinese relationship. And so the price keeps going up and up and up—from NTR to WTO status to Taiwan. [*What do you mean we can't just buy them off?*] China can also manipulate American concern, particularly about an alliance with Russia, to thin the relationship between Washington and Taipei—just enough to snuff out ambitions for independence. On this score, Beijing may be miscalculating. There could be a backlash in Washington.

China's unpredictability can also be understood through a second and complementary explanation: domestic politics. Hard-liners in Beijing doubt the value of economic relations with the United States. Given the state of the economy, NTR and WTO status are a day late and a dollar short anyway. By forcing the Taiwan issue, they can rupture economic ties and cut the ground out from under reformers. If the United States backs off, the hard-liners can take credit for increased leverage on Taiwan—and for controlling the United States.



We do not expect China to invade Taiwan. A threat toward Taiwan—imminent or not—is not trivial, particularly given the strained deployment of U.S. forces around the world. But a fight in the Taiwan Strait right now is not the core of China's strategy.

The White Paper ultimately is not about Taiwan; it is about positioning China relative to Russia and the United States so that China can maximize room to maneuver and get concessions from other players. It is a classic diplomatic maneuver, well played.

The United States has two moves with which to counter. It can move closer to the Russians [*Ha!*]. A less obvious move is to simply refuse to play [*Double ha-ha!*]. Vastly more powerful [*hardy-har-har*], the United States can refuse to engage. By doing so, it would arm Taiwan, refuse NTR status, scuttle WTO membership—and generally behave as if China doesn't matter [*Oh yes, let's just ignore the elephant in the elevator!*]. Washington would risk a Moscow-Beijing alliance, but that is likely [*No, it is DONE.*] anyway.

Indeed, refusing to play with China—while opening to the Putin Government in Moscow might put the United States into the coveted swing position of the three-player game. But diplomatic nimbleness has not been the mark of the Clinton Administration. It seems especially unlikely when everyone appears to be a lame duck [*for a duck in an ICBM shooting gallery*].

The inattention [*Oh no, most assuredly they—the Chinese—have their—the Khazarians'—attention.*] of the Administration, along with a lack of strategic coherence, is the thing to which China is playing. It is playing well.

[END QUOTING]

*So, how serious are the Chinese? MORE serious than a heart attack. Straying from the mainstream media for a moment, we find this excellently written piece from WorldNetDaily.*

#### CHINA THREATENS TO NUKE AMERICA

By Charles Smith, *WorldNetDaily*, 3/4/00

A recently-declassified Chinese military document states communist China will first conduct a "Vietnam"-like conventional war against American forces, and then eventually fight a nuclear war against the U.S. homeland.

The document, recently translated by an American intelligence agency, was sent by the Chinese Army Central Command to all the regional garrisons and Army Corps headquarters in China. A copy was obtained by *WorldNetDaily* for this exclusive report.

According to the August 1999 policy document published by the People's Liberation Army Office of the Central Military Command, China is "willing to sustain major losses of our armed forces" in exchange for large losses of U.S. military personnel. The Central Military Command is the party command structure of the People's Liberation Army—that is, the people who make policy for the Chinese military.

"If the U.S. forces lose thousands or hundreds of men under our powerful strikes, the anti-war sentiment within their country will force the U.S. Government to take the same path as they did in Viet Nam," states the Chinese Army document. [*Indeed, to do otherwise would be political suicide for the Khazarians in power in this country.*]

Titled "Watching Closely for Changes in

Relationships with Taiwan and Enhancing Awareness of Military Leadership of Current Situation", the Chinese Army strategy document also describes a chilling scenario of nuclear war with America.

"In comparison with the U.S. nuclear arsenal, our disadvantage is mainly numeric, which in real wars the qualitative gap will be reflected only as a different requirement of strategic theory. In terms of deterrence, there is not any difference in practical value. So far **we have built up the capability for the second and third nuclear strikes** and are fairly confident in fighting a nuclear war. The PCC (Communist Party Central Committee) has decided to pass through formal channels this message to the top leaders in the U.S."

The Chinese Army Command document also noted that recent U.S. combat experience cannot be compared to a future war with the massive People's Liberation Army. China is capable of massing millions of troops and has over 5,000 aircraft that can be thrown into a battle over Taiwan. In addition, the Chinese military noted it had a clear edge in fighting a tactical nuclear war with American forces in the Pacific region.

"In terms of air defense," noted the Chinese Army document, "it is impossible for the U.S. Air Force to enjoy the kind of dominance which they maintained in Iraq or Yugoslavia."

"It can be safely expected that once the U.S. launches an attack, the front line of U.S. forces and their supporting bases will be exposed within the range of our effective strikes. After the first strategic strike, the U.S. forces will be faced with weaponry and logistic problems, providing us with opportunities for major offensives and [the opportunity to] win large battles."

According to the Chinese military, "Unlike Iraq and Yugoslavia, China is not only a big country, but also possesses a nuclear arsenal that has long since been incorporated into state warfare system and plays a real role in our national defense."

"During the last crisis across the Taiwan Straits, the U.S. tried to blackmail us with their aircraft carrier(s), **but when their spy satellites confirmed that our four nuclear submarines which used to be stationed at Lushun Harbor had disappeared** [*Oops! You mean the Chinese have "stealth" technology good enough to make submarines just "disappear"?*], those politicians addicted to the Taiwan card could not imagine how worried their military commanders were."

The Chinese military command also outlined its plan for a quick victory by using overwhelming numbers in a massive assault against Taiwan.

"Taiwan occupies only a small area. Although the quality of its equipment is not too bad, its quantity is limited. It is obvious that after the first fatal strike, the Taiwan forces have no way to organize effective resistance. Under such circumstances, we will be able to control Taiwan before the U.S. intervention and then concentrate our forces to fight the U.S. Based on this scenario, it is impossible for the U.S. to force us to fight on two fronts when it tries to protect Taiwan."

The Chinese Army document also noted that the Chinese diplomatic attack has started in the "war" with America. According to the military, recent Chinese diplomatic efforts successfully lined up a solid wall of support from the other Asian powers, allowing the Chinese Army to move forces south in preparation for an invasion of Taiwan.

"Internationally, President Jiang Zemin will go to Biskek in late September to attend the five-country

meeting, including China, Russia, Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan and Tajikistan. The meeting will sum up and expand cooperation in the field of security and reach agreements on reduction of armed forces stationed along the borders and [accomplish the] establishment of military trust."

"The above efforts will not only eliminate security concerns along our rear by reducing the traditional pressure along our northeast and northwest border, and increase the proportion of forces which can be moved to the southeast coastal regions, but also serve to ensure our exchanges with the outside world by land routes during the war."

[END QUOTING]

*And the Chinese are prepared for a protracted war—in fact, that would be their goal, backed up by the anti-Khazarian will of their people.*

[QUOTING:]

#### 83% CHINESE SUPPORT "3-5 YEAR WAR" TO TAKE TAIWAN

*Agence France Presse*, 3/4/00

BEIJING—Most Chinese support attacking Taiwan if the island declares independence from the mainland, according to a poll by the state-run Social Survey Institute of China.

The *China Daily* said most of the 1,689 respondents from major cities said they would rather not kill fellow Chinese, but 83 percent would back a three-to-five-year war to unify China if it declared independence.

Beijing just two weeks ago issued an inflammatory White Paper warning it was prepared to reclaim the island by force if Taipei rejected dialogue on reunification.

The White Paper said talks should be finished as soon as possible, something 90 percent of those polled agreed with, the paper said.

Most people said there should be a deadline for reunification and more than 20 percent said they wanted Taiwan back this year.

China has not set a deadline for reunification with the island, which has been ruled independently since nationalist forces fled there in 1949 in the wake of their defeat by the communists in a civil war.

Despite a willingness to fight, most of those from Shanghai, Beijing, Guangzhou and other cities said they would still prefer a peaceful resolution.

The heated rhetoric comes ahead of the National People's Congress (NPC) session—the most public political event of the year for China's leadership—which begins on Sunday and wraps up 10 days later on the eve of the March 18 Taiwan polls.

[END QUOTING]

*Yes, great changes are coming. We hope and pray that they need not be hammered out on the physical field of battle—and, unlike the Zionistic so-called Judeo-Christians holding out for their "rapture" to watch the unfolding of Armageddon (see Commander Hatonn's comments on "Dispensationalism" elsewhere in this issue) we know that this is absolutely unnecessary to the outworking of God's Divine Plan.*

*Will the American hosts of the Khazarian Zionist Occupational Government (ZOG) awaken to the truth and take steps to prevent this Armageddon scenario from unfolding? Will the anti-Khazarian faction—which is MOST of the world—be willing to withhold its wrath and work toward a better solution? We hope the answer to both questions is "yes", otherwise "there's hell to pay". God provides the way, the rest is up to US.*

# “The RAPTURE”: Political Theory Of A Con-Man

3/4/00—#2

## GENTILES AND JEWS—O BOY!

**Hatonn**—This actually is such a painful topic that we all sigh and wish to be on another planet these days. We bash the Jews; the Jews bash everybody; the Christians, so-called, put out totally and devastatingly WRONG INFORMATION ABOUT CHRIST, and you all bog in bigotry and biased confusion. So, I am again buried in requests for comments. IF YOU CAN’T SEE THAT ALL OF THE GLOBAL POLITICS AND CITIZENS ARE BEING MANIPULATED BY THESE BOGUS PRESENTERS FROM FABRICATION LAND, THEN I CAN’T HELP YOU MUCH. I CAN, HOWEVER, OFFER JUST A COUPLE OF HOT-OFF-THE-PRESSES RELEASES—ONE OF THE BEST BEGGING TO REMAIN BASICALLY UNNAMED, AND THE OTHER A FINE AUTHOR WHO DARES REVEAL THE GARBAGE OF “SCHOFIELDISM” AND THE “GREAT RAPTURE” CONJURED BY THOSE “ZIONISTS”, NAMELY THE FALWELLS, ROBERTSONS AND EVEN THE REAGANS OF THE HIERARCHY OF THE ADVERSARY. THIS RAPTURE THEORY WAS SIMPLY A COOKED-UP PRODUCT OF A CON-MAN MANIPULATOR—WHO SAW A WAY TO DESTROY CHRISTIANITY AND DID IT.

Again, and yes, indeed, to keep my own friends out of the firing line, we are going to simply offer some of this information FROM OTHER RESOURCES—and YOU decide what you will.

[QUOTING from *The SPOTLIGHT*, Feb. 7, 2000, through Tom Valentine:]

### U.S. MIDDLE-EAST POLICY INFLUENCED BY BOGUS NINETEENTH CENTURY “THEORY” COOKED UP BY CON-MAN

(*Radio Free America*, Tom Valentine, Host)

During the 19<sup>th</sup> Century—long after the birth of Christ—a former con-man came up with his own twist on the teachings of the *Bible* that is taught by some people virtually as “scripture”. It is having a major impact on the world today, particularly U.S. policy in the Mideast.

This peculiar philosophy—known as “dispensationalism”—is political and most emphatically not biblical. Yet many Christians in America consider it “gospel”.

A courageous author who has investigated this bizarre phenomenon was the guest on the January 15 broadcast of *The Spotlight’s* weekly call-in talk forum, *Radio Free America*, with host Tom Valentine.

The guest, Grace Halsell, a veteran international journalist, author of 10 books and a professing, *Bible*-believing Christian, described her findings regarding this

influential politico-religious force in her new book, *Forcing God’s Hand: Why Millions Pray for a Quick Rapture*, which is available for \$12 from Liberty Library, 300 Independence Ave., SE, Washington, D.C. 20003.

### INTERVIEW:

H: Let me go back to the very beginning. I’ve written a number of books and lived around the world in many different countries. I was born in Texas and I set out very early to see the world: Japan, South America, etc. Actually, I went to the Middle East after I had been almost every place else and I did a book, *Journey to Jerusalem*. This was about 20 years ago. In that book, I lived with the Christians and Muslims and Jews and told their story and how they were living in Palestine and Israel.

One day I started living with the Jewish settlers who were taking land illegally from the Palestinians, and many of these Jews were Americans who had moved over there from Brooklyn, N.Y. One of these was Bobby Brown, a third-generation American who had moved to the Middle East. Sitting in this illegal colony outside Bethlehem, I heard Brown say, “You know, God gave us all this land and the Palestinians all have to leave.”

That hit me very hard because I had to ask myself what I believed as a Christian. Was God in the real-estate business? Was he really giving land and taking it away from the people who had been living there for about 2,000 years?

So that question in my mind stayed with me, and then later I began to take these tours with Jerry Falwell and meet Christians who condoned what Bobby Brown was doing, which was taking guns and illegally confiscating land from the owners who lived there. This led to this latest book, which is called *Forcing God’s Hand: Why Millions Pray for a Quick Rapture*.

V: I would assume that the Jews living there (being *Old Testament* people) would say, “Well, God dealt in real estate then. Why not now?”

H: That’s correct. So these are the questions I raised and which I am raising in this current book. I think I definitely do answer the question in the book. As a Christian, I think I believe in the message of Christ, which is peace and brotherhood and love—and not murder and not confiscation of land. I very definitely come out and say that Christ’s message is what we need to accept, if we want peace in the world.

V: You’re originally from Texas. In your book you examine how the Southern Baptist Convention has been manipulated by this theory.

H: There are 16 million Southern Baptist Convention members and the entire leadership has been taken over by this group of what I call these “militant Christians”, who support Israel and whatever Israel does. You know, you can criticize France or China or even the United States, but you’re not supposed to

criticize Israel, because the Southern Baptist Convention leadership says that everything Israel does is orchestrated by God.

V: That’s a very unique perspective and many of the big television evangelists promote this point of view. In your book you explain that the Southern Baptists were actually taken over by these people, who say that you aren’t supposed to criticize Israel in what was essentially a political coup.

H: It really was. It started in the 1960s—led by two men—and it became very political. It was a real coup. They took it over and turned it political. It became such a big force that they are very active in politics, and they can actually be highly influential in politics, telling people for whom to vote.

V: This idea that Israel can do no wrong and that everything Israel does is directed by God actually came to the American Christian churches out of England, through a theory called “dispensationalism”.

H: Yes, this is quite a new belief theory that didn’t exist in Christianity for 1,800 years. It came about just 200 years ago. It originated in England with a man named Darby and it was made popular in this country by Cyrus Schofield through his reference *Bible*. He wrote his theory within his *Bible*, on the margin, so that it became like a reference book. But some people reading that book take his words as almost the word of God. He believed that everything important in all of history must center around Israel. Everything.

V: I became a Christian about 15 years ago, after having explored a lot of different philosophies. When I started looking into Christianity, I came across this Schofield philosophy and it’s quite influential. I found that the Moody Bible Institute was promoting Schofieldism.

H: So many seminaries are owned or controlled. The seedbed for this is the theological seminary in Dallas, and they educate all of the professors who go out and head up other seminaries. So it’s a vast, growing movement with all of the teachers and students who are learning this. There are countless seminaries teaching this doctrine.

V: I rejected Schofieldism when I investigated it, and I see it as being much more political than it is “Christian”.

H: It is highly political and it gets so that it controls what goes on in the White House and controls what goes on in Congress. It’s a vast number of Christians who are influencing Congress and the President. I give any number of examples in this book.

V: An article in *The Spotlight* written by Charles Fischbein—a former high-ranking figure in the Israeli lobby in America—pointed out that even former President Reagan and his Attorney General, Edwin Meese, were praying for Armageddon to come during the Reagan era. [H: **Some might even observe that it DID COME DURING REAGAN’S ERA but nobody noticed—and there certainly was no nice fat-cat “rapture”.**]

H: Yes, that is very true. Ronald Reagan was tied very much into this belief system.

[H: **Can’t you nice people see that it is all THE SAME THING?**]

V: What exactly is it that they believe?

H: Well, Reagan undoubtedly tied in with this idea that there has to be an Armageddon. The reason they support Israel so much is that they say Israel has to be the “landing base” for Christ. And while many of these

people don't like Jews as Jews, they do love Israel and make a cult of the land of Israel, and they want everything protected for Israel—and what Israel wants, they say Israel should get, since Israel has to be the “landing base” for Christ.

V: I read the *Bible* for myself and I didn't see anywhere that Christ needed a landing base.

H: (Laughing) It does get a little absurd, doesn't it? Well, Christ is King in our hearts and whatever he wants will be done, and I think he's been crowned King for all Christians already.

V: It seems like these Schofieldian dispensationalists who say we must support Israel are saying that, “Christ didn't do it right the first time, so he's got to do it over.”

H: Well, they want to force God's hand. God has to do it their way. It's pretty egotistical on their part, it seems to me, instead of “Thy will be done.” It is like writing dogma your own way and, as I said, it is a fairly recent dogma and it has become kind of a cult.

It's like the idea that “me and my crowd are sure to be raptured and sit in the sky in grandstand seats, and watch the destruction of Earth below, with all of the millions—billions—of other people being destroyed.”

V: In other words, the followers of this theory that Schofield concocted and which has now become influential in so-called “mainstream” Christian thinking believe that they are going to be looking down and watching the people on Earth murdering each other. It sounds to me like they are the ancient Romans and the others are the ones in the lion's den.

H: It doesn't sound Christ-like to me at all—that Christ would enjoy watching people burn. But as Jerry Falwell said, “I'm not worried... I ain't gonna be here.”

In the meantime, though, they have this very, very powerful alliance, and it gives them a lot of recognition and gives them a vast following. Tim LaHaye sells millions of copies of his book about the rapture. Hal Lindsay's book—*The Late Great Planet Earth*—was a major best-seller.

V: I read Lindsay's book right after I became a Christian and I couldn't find where he was making these connections.

H: This is a danger to America because so many millions of people readily buy into this theory that's being taught by LaHaye and Falwell and Pat Robertson.

V: It's no wonder that the Muslims are upset when they hear Falwell talking the way they do. Pat Robertson is an extremely powerful man. You point out in your book that in 1982, when Israel invaded Lebanon, Pat Robertson rode into the fray in an Israeli Jeep. In the war that followed, 200,000 Lebanese and Palestinians were killed or wounded. Robertson said that Israel was “doing God's will”. He actually believed that?

H: Oh yes, this cult of Israel is so real to some people. It's rather frightening. What interests me is that some of these Christian churches are now sponsoring the appearance in their churches of Solomon Gershon, whose mission in life is to replace the Muslim mosque there in Jerusalem that is holy to a billion Muslims around the world.

**[H: I want you to please pay attention to this discourse. These men—and it has been well documented about the ones predominant on the Christian networks—all espouse their allegiance to ZIONISM; and Falwell—while head of the “Moral**

**Majority”, basically in the White House and Capitol—waved his fist into the air and proclaimed: “I am a Zionist and proud of it.” Are they “Jewish”? Who knows what might be a “Jew”? (???) Well, let it be known that as things have evolved, there are still “Jews” and “Gentiles”, and it is quite amusing to me that somehow the Talmudic Jews now even go to the Jerry Falwell organizations to get financing and no longer consider this particular ilk of Zionists as GENTILES! The word Gentile means “chattel” and/or “cattle”. So, where does that leave all of the rest of you? The fact is now laid forth that you can't even actually be a “Christian” AND a “Zionist”, for you become “either-or”. Well, don't you? How do YOU explain it? And yes, we have a bit of interesting current (like, today) commentary on this topic when we finish this copy.]**

V: He wants to rebuild Solomon's temple at that spot and he's worked very hard to breed up a red calf.

H: Yes, he wants to sacrifice a red calf there. But, of course, the mosque has to be destroyed and that could trigger a war very easily. However, they don't worry about that. And Christians are raising money to promote this. This is very real and Christians are raising money for an impending act of terrorism. Already there have been over 100 assaults on that mosque.

V: By far the most powerful lobby in America is the pro-Israel lobby, and now we have Pat Robertson and Jerry Falwell and all of their followers, and they all believe that Israel can do no wrong.

H: It is a powerful alliance between these militant Israelis and these militant Christians.

V: No wonder that Israel gets all of this foreign and military aid from the United States and has for decades. And the Israelis, in addition, have been selling high-tech weaponry to the Red Chinese—and only *The Spotlight* was reporting it. This all ties in to what you are talking about regarding this alliance between the militant Israelis and the militant Christians.

H: Neither one would be so strong without the other.

V: You can't call it an Israeli philosophy, though. It's actually a really weird twist on Christianity that is only 200 years old and has no connection with the *Bible* or anything in Christianity's history. How in the world could Darby—the English originator of this theory—convince anybody of this?

H: Actually, the ones who got convinced were the Americans. It was not a big movement in England. But now these militant Christian evangelists—such as Falwell and Robertson—are beaming this theory around the world with their satellites.

V: You point out in your book that in March 1985, Jerry Falwell, speaking to an assembly of rabbis in Miami, pledged to “mobilize 70 million conservative Christians for Israel”. Falwell also took credit for converting Senator Jesse Helms (R-N.C.) into one of Israel's staunchest allies and then Helms soon was permitted to become Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. So is it Christianity or is it politics in Christian clothing?

H: I did document the fact that Israel had given Jerry Falwell a jet airplane, which is a nice gift. He uses it to go around and he uses that jet—politically, I would say. I personally heard Jerry Falwell thank Israeli leader Moshe Arens when I was traveling with

Falwell. He didn't know I was writing a book, but I traveled with two of his delegations that went to Israel.

[END OF QUOTING]

This is the way of manipulation, from a tiny handful of childish robots in little towns like Tehachapi to the big leagues in service to Satan's troopers. They don't even realize their own position and then, when they do, they no longer even claim goodness or “Christ” attitudes, as they lapse into the overall HELL of their intentions and PLANS.

And what came through just now, as we were writing? Oh yes, indeed:

[QUOTING from *AP*, Mar. 3, 2000:]

ISRAEL'S CHIEF RABBI  
ASKS FOR APOLOGY FROM POPE

**[H: Before you go on, stop and think about this heading—before you even hear what is afoot for apologies. The current Pope—a POLISH JEW—directly sold gas to the German prisoner camps and has already apologized for the Catholic Church for any nasties during that “Big Jewish Holocaust”—but not to be satisfied, EVER, no matter how much you get or give, look at this:]**

JERUSALEM—Israel's Chief Rabbi Thursday said Pope John Paul II should ask forgiveness for Church silence during the Holocaust when he visits later this month. The Pope is expected to visit Israel and the Palestinian areas for six days beginning March 30. It will be his first trip here as Pope, and the first trip to Jerusalem by any pope since the mid-1960s. Israel Chief Rabbi Israel Meir Lau said he welcomed Pope John Paul II and knew he has traveled the world repeatedly condemning anti-Semitism. But the Chief Rabbi said the Pope's scheduled visit to Jerusalem's Yad Vashem Holocaust Museum should include a plea for forgiveness on behalf of the Roman Catholic Church.

[END OF QUOTING]

Do ANY of you see the lining up of players and “sides” in this chess game of LIFE?

People, you ARE PEOPLE OF THE LIE because you WANT TO BE PEOPLE OF THE LIE.

A Catholic Bishop had an interesting concept to complain about the Charismatic breakaway group (from the Roman ritualistic tradition) in the Philippines, in that he complained that “People just want to have salvation the easy way; just let somebody else do it for you—without the need for traditional ritual or penance. Yes, they just want the easy way out to salvation.”

Perhaps, after all, as the movie title went: *THE GOD'S MUST BE CRAZY*.

And while you are at it, readers, will Margie please call Kathy and get the movie tape back from her before she packs and leaves—and you guys get together and watch it. It puts things in perspective—and yes, she does have it, so don't accept it otherwise. It was a gift from Jack Adams in Texas to the Tehachapi friends. Thank you, and yes, I have a lot of observations regarding ongoing controversies, etc. but NO, I AM NOT GOING TO SORT ANYTHING. A LOT OF PEOPLE, INCLUDING THE CHILDREN FROM THE SOUTH, MADE SOME PRETTY IMPRESSIVE DECISIONS FOR WHATEVER REASON, AND NO, IT IS NOT ALWAYS EASY TO JUST “HO HUM” AND THINGS ARE PLEASANT AND WONDERFUL AGAIN. WHEN YOU WALK OUT ON OTHERS, DO NOT EXPECT THEM TO TAKE



**YOU BACK AND MAKE A PLACE FOR YOU—  
AGAIN, AT THEIR EXPENSE.**

Remember that Ekkers do not have offices, a home, a place or any further responsibilities to anyone for anything, other than to meet their commitments as already established and then, finally, FREEDOM of some measure. “I” have an opportunity and responsibility to see this through and offer you information, but no, there is no more any responsibility to do anything further for the takers, takers and more takers who simply demand, get and then destroy.

Even the young man in point of recent problems, P., has made his own choices and decisions in the face of very good and fair treatment for YEARS. Understanding is one thing; living out his problems FOR HIM or “his” relatives is NOT uppermost in our daily attentions over in Manila, where every effort from those he called friends has worked without letup to destroy us.

Long ago “Mom D.” tried to get him to stop his trips into the “no” world, running back and forth illegally, as in playing with immigration. We will not work ever again as we did before, for we have far more enemies than he does—and remember those “watchers”? Do not, ANY OF YOU, jeopardize our way in foolishness. And NEVER do it under the guise of somehow it being “OK” with Ekkers. Ekkers are not there and have nothing to do with anything dealing with those arrangements.

These are no longer games, and it is going to come down harder and harder on game players and border crossers. And whoever harbors them knowingly is equally responsible under the law—and it becomes a very “expensive” responsibility. It doesn’t really matter who actually tells the truth one more time than the other, it is now a focus and the “white eyes” will win when push comes to shove—fair or not. So, be very careful in what you do. You can have “visitors” but you may not “employ”, so knowing this can ease your burdens a LOT. There is nothing to stop your visitors from playing around the house, so be most careful in your actions and conversations because there are mutual contacts with the Rainbow—and NO, YOU DON’T KNOW, FOR A FACT, MUCH OF ANYTHING. DID YOU EVER—IN YOUR WILDEST DREAMS—THINK THAT WHAT TOOK PLACE “WOULD TAKE PLACE”? Loyalty is usually, in most circles, simply a thing of convenience and, “Where can I get the most for the least?” AND, WE NEVER LEAVE ANYONE IN A POSITION OF BEARING SELF AS A TARGET—BE IT CHILD IN BLOOD OR OTHERWISE. If someone is going to bring the house down by indiscretions, we remove the opportunity of the opposition to pounce and attack—a very SIMPLE CONCEPT in “avoiding” the confrontation.

We are close to being able to make a “difference” in a lot of things, but let the ones who wanted to leave—GO. We do not place anyone in any position of ever having to lie to us again over anything, and surely not just to “come back” after the fact and pick up the prize. And this is no wise in reference to our precious P & P & J. Those are very different circumstances and the others are simply products of their own choices. Ekkers certainly did nothing to deserve the shoddy treatment, contemptible gossip and direct assault and assistance to the adversarial, self-stated enemies—who were actually acting in criminal behavior, aided and abetted by the insider staff. NO, it is NOT OK. Let them go their way, but they are expected to be called by the court to testify. We have to answer for some of the information made public from people who had a guarantee of “privacy”. They also have to stand responsible for the missing files and office seizure at the Center, the attempted

takeover of the paper and the actual takeover of the dwelling. They all know what took place and they WILL be called to answer. They still hold a LOT OF PROPERTY that they have admitted TAKING. There are expensive things, from electrical inverters to expensive motor-driven carts and garden-field equipment. This is not even considering the records, as in corporation books dumped or taken and files destroyed or moved. Kathy, for instance, chose that element to back and share private information, files and things for which she was responsible as an employee to protect—the same with Karen and others who have been NAMED by Rick, Ed, Charles, etc., as being the ones giving the files and information. For goodness’ sakes, they were even told they were being named! What does it take to cause you to understand that your choices need careful consideration, for you will have to LIVE WITH THEM.

When it is said: “He/She would even turn on their Mother or Father...”, what means it? Is that not EXACTLY what took place here? Was not this pair of people literally caring of you as would be your parents? **Shame on you who turned for your ego-trickery and to grab a dime for yourselves at their expense.** No, it is not “OK” and no, I will not allow these people to “just let it go”. When you have turned on my people, you have turned on ME, and that is never a wise move or choice. I don’t have to do anything for you to walk directly into your own consequences. But, the rest of you—“GET SMART”. **We have a world to assist—let the misfits go their way!** THIS IS NOT SOME DISAGREEMENT OVER RELIGION OR SOMETHING SO GRAND OR WONDERFUL—THIS IS PURELY EGOTISTICAL “GET MORE” AND “KICK THE PERSON WHO IS HURTING AND DOWN”. NO, NOBODY BELIEVED THEMSELVES TO BE “RIGHT”—THEY EACH AND ALL KNEW THEY WERE WRONG AND ARE STILL WRONG! The lies don’t even stop, for you can see that if you question three people about a single matter—you only end up with three “different” stories. Truth is not finding the one “lesser lie” but in establishing TRUTH. And if there is one lie known—is not all else suspect?

I also need to share a bit on Charles Neil, for Ekkers still try to make allowances for him. No, STOP IT. When he split, I confronted him with: “some people don’t like anybody”, and then—in confronting the “new” problem at the farm—Doris suggested that “somebody” had to do “something” with it and Charles opted OUT. Further, when Doris suggested, “Perhaps Dingmans would come briefly on a visit and sort of spend a vacation there to have someone on the place,” Chas. turned crimson and said then that he would NEVER WORK WITH DINGMAN AGAIN! Then Doris said, “Well, Rex had offered before and...”. That one didn’t even get out of her mouth before Charles blasted a hole in the wall with his negative refusal to even consider such a thing, and it would be him or them. Well, now that it is after the fact, we guess we know which, don’t we?

The funny thing is that Charles disliked Bilger, Martin and several others, like Roby and Marina, more than either Dingman or Rex, so you just never know, do YOU? Ah, indeed, sometimes it takes a bit of guidance to allow things to flow properly to some conclusion.

The same people who complained and stated PUBLICLY about the “select tiny few”—who were always around Ekkers so nobody else could be included—didn’t seem to realize the circumstances, did they? They are now the ones who joined the very interlopers who seemed to “get all the close attention”. Do you now see that if you

have no “group”, you have no cause for jealousy? If we have no meetings, there is surely no need to “guard” a speaker from any visitor. If you have no commune—only business arrangements—you can go for professionals and never mind the self-appointed “gathered”. I hope I am not accepted as being harsh—I am stating FACTS, readers. Do you REALLY want to impact, in a goodly way, a world—or do you just want to dawdle in your little puddle? REALLY? Check it out carefully! For life is about to get “real”. We can move on in Glory and abundance or you can fall apart—for I am moving forward with or without you, for I must honor your decisions and choices. I need steady wind-vanes, not a bunch of wind chimes.

We will do what needs doing and attending, and that is simply the way it IS. Things are different now and demand different actions and immediate actions, as well, so as to continue our work, business and ongoing plan of development in the community—yes, in Tehachapi. Circumstances dictate doing things differently than when there was a home to which to return, with everything in place and intact. And, Millers have not even responded to any settlement arrangement nor to make things right as to the property, so we will also do what we need to do within the LAW and, as it always appears, that always takes a very long time and a lot of heartaches. But we honor that stance, for we have no alternative. We must arrange our own living and business structures as are now needed in both living and in the business office placement, with recovery of records and a place for same. So be it.

I am not going to focus on that longer, for we have to confront, again, the information regarding that infamous “Holocaust” of the Jews because it is now such an issue. I will just be offering what has already been established. I have NEVER said there was no “holocaust”, defined as: a terrible period of time in which many, many were tormented and incarcerated. I REPEAT, HOWEVER, WHAT I DID SAY AND STILL PRESENT: IT “COULD” NOT HAVE BEEN AS THE JEWISH PRESS STATES, AND MYTH IS PRESENTED AS HISTORICAL FACT—AND IF YOU HAVE FLAWS IN TRUTH, THEN YOU HAVE TO REALIZE THERE ARE OTHER THINGS WRONG, AND THOSE WRONGS JUST KEEP GETTING MORE AND MORE OUTRAGEOUSLY “IMPOSSIBLE”. IF IT COULDN’T, THEN, HAVE BEEN AS PRESENTED BY THOSE PEOPLE WHO CLAIM SO MUCH IN RESTITUTION AS TO OUTNUMBER THE PEOPLE IN THE EXPERIENCE—WHAT HAVE WE? WELL, FOR ONE THING, YOU HAVE THE WORLD JEWISH ORGANIZATION MAKING ALL POSSIBLE EFFORTS TO GET THE GOLD, AS WILL BECOME MORE AND MORE OBVIOUS AS WE GET BACK TO OUR EXPECTED HISTORICAL INFORMATION OUTLAY UPCOMING. Or, will you find that they have already STOLEN it?

I am not nearly as interested in the argument as to be able to leave some TRUTH in the legacy of mankind, and yes, that IS my job but I certainly do not need new prophecies or revelations—haven’t you had enough garbage and trash dumped onto your ears, eyes and very beings? IT IS NEVER BETTER TO FIGHT THAN SWITCH—WHEN YOU ARE DEAD WRONG! AND REMEMBER: REPEATING A LIE DOES NOT MAKE IT LESS A LIE—BUT RATHER, BIGGER AND WORSE!

Salu, and good afternoon.

**THINK, FOR THAT IS AFTER ALL, ALL THERE REALLY “IS”.**  
dharma

# Introduction To New Series: Gold And Other Interesting Things

*CONTACT readers have been regularly informed as to the interesting and exciting happenings in and around the Philippines, where the foundation for GOD'S DIVINE PLAN is being meticulously structured and implemented in perfection under the inspired tutelage of Commander Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn, through the gracious, dedicated and unselfish effort of carefully preselected Ground Crew Members. As a matter of fact, regardless of our perception and understanding of the "sequence of events", the PLAN—destined to enlighten a darkened world—is actually unfolding in the Balanced Rhythmic Interchange of ATON'S BRILLIANT LIGHT.*

*However, as Satan's Cult of Darkened Ones continue to play their expected roles as potential spoilers in God's award-winning play of Creation, the eternal, dichotomous battles in perception are manifested once again: Light vs. darkness; good vs. evil; right vs. wrong. Fortunately, we have learned to know that God of Light, in perfect sequence, will always provide sufficiently for our needs when we serve in truth, honor and integrity—for the betterment of all.*

*Commander Hatonn has stated on numerous occasions that, information-wise, there's nothing new under the Sun. The latter is certainly applicable to the captivating, ongoing drama unfolding in the Philippines, where the self-proclaimed, would-be-King, world controllers are doing all in their power to subvert God's Plan 2000, while employing every type of subterfuge known to mankind in order to enslave a world via their diabolical New World Order—Satan's plan.*

*Have the Khazarian-Zionist-Bolshevik-Elite Puppet Masters kept their agreements at any time, even regressing to the long-distant past? Are they presently demonstrating any honesty and integrity in relation to their contractual commitments as expounded by Commander Hatonn? Therefore, is there any logical reason to believe that these aforementioned gutless, greedy, pompous "self-styled Jews" will change their long-established modus operandi of being liars, cheats and thieves—totally without honor?*

*Inasmuch as God has gifted each of us with a reasoning mind and the accompanying capability of discernment, you the reader must ascertain the truth regarding the world-changing/-shaking events presently transpiring in the geographic area between the Pacific Ocean and the South China Sea. Further, you must discern the truth regarding related matters including, but not limited to: the history of the "Marcos Gold Hoard/Haul" (chronology, derivation, location(s) and intended purpose); Bonus Contract 3392-181 (reconfirmed, reconformed, reissued as a contract/jurat of "identifiable ownership" at the Peruvian Consulate in Los Angeles in August of 1989 and recognized—lawfully and legally—as a debt obligation of the United States Federal Reserve), payable in gold; and the initiation of gold-based/-backed sovereign*

*monetary systems.*

*In consideration of the latter, CONTACT is privileged to selectively present this timely—to say the least—information, which we have titled GOLD AND OTHER INTERESTING THINGS, on a chapter-by-chapter basis for your candid introspection. With the thought-provoking comments of Commander Hatonn (our guiding Light) interspersed throughout, you'll find the material interesting and informative, indeed.*

## MARCOS GOLD ORIGINS, PROCESSES REVEALED

2/16/00—#1

*FOR THE SON OF MAN CAME TO SEEK AND  
TO SAVE WHAT WAS LOST.—Luke 19:10*

**Hatonn**—And what was lost, students? No, it was not as presented at first glance. That which was lost was TRUTH! Unfortunately, it still remains pretty much HIDDEN and that by quite intentional misdirections. The "Son of Man" as you wish to recognize this Goodly being, came to remind the world and God's souled people of TRUTH, for at that time—over two thousand years ago—it was all but lost, tampered, and people were already within the LIE.

I suggest to the team back home that we keep all information, with documentation, which relates to encounters regarding the property—as in Centanni's statements "as a neighbor" to that house on Adam Drive. Also, if not done, please forward to counsel.

As to the more recent trespass and vandalism at the farm, also let it be known that parties were seen by neighbors who keep "counter-watch". I further suggest you do more than just run the "poem" in the paper—send it to Four Winds, "contactnews10" and *Spectrum* e-mail addresses which were listed on the Four Winds paperwork presentations on the web-sites. Every instance must be turned in to the police for documentation. Thank you. We are going to confront every nasty delinquent-game these immature puppets play. And yes, we are going to stop their use of myself and our struggled accomplishments on their altar of evil inspiration, but there is no rush, chelas—no rush at all.

It only takes a few to present a lie and when they present it often enough—great enough in size, accepted in ignorance—the lie becomes accepted fact and if not countered, it will fly until it hits TRUTH somewhere along the trail. That is exactly the state of affairs in the happenings of the Philippines, where even the Vatican WAS AND IS involved. Marcos made some very serious blunders, as did Russell Herman—they trusted the cronies who have no moral scruples among them. Sometimes, however, the dead can speak louder from the supposed grave than ever they could be heard in their mere presence.

It has been done in your time in a major way as the adversarial and the ignorant masses tail along behind

the liars, cheats and thieves.

Let us say, as here, that somewhere along the way—in "Esu's" case, some 300 years after his life—a Bible was COMPILED and that in a language different from even the scrolls at hand. It said EXACTLY what the controllers wanted to present, no more or less.

We have a good example right in the Philippines THIS DAY, with the fact that historians of self-proclaimed investigations (not even from the Philippines) have written major renditions of the Philippines/Gold/Marcos "story" which serve as so-called "historical acceptance" but are 99% LIES. Is this intentional? Well, YES, of course. Whether records were altered to protect the guilty, or hidden, destroyed or buried, it doesn't matter. The LIES have become accepted rationalization for the politicians to make a clean sweep and grab whatever—or NOTHING (for the people).

I am going to present a DIFFERENT story for your appreciation. In fact, this information is so important as to have the author in hiding to save his life and only 8 copies of the manuscript were put to print.

We do not comment as to full authenticity because my team doesn't KNOW anything. I will say, however, that even in realization that tales have been wrongly presented on the topic, there is no way for these citizens of a distant country to KNOW, really, anything. Somehow, however, it has to be recognized that documents—of which there are DOZENS of copies—are presented as well. And unlike Mr. Fort's presentation of dozens of copies of the same items from George Green's files—also meaning only proof that George did NOT pay his proclaimed bills—these are "dozens" of separate documents.

There was a MAJOR global plan laid forth, mostly based on that value which was held in the Philippines and it actually, as structured, would have avoided most of those atrocious negative aspects which happened prior to now in the "New World Order". We do not need to discuss that but we do need to publish this information so that the information is out—and the authors and participants are in some measure of safety.

What is offered here is simply from a basic manuscript, from which even some pages are missing. It is sufficient for our presentation. It is basically the "Marcos Legacy Revisited".

I shall for this interim time leave the author-investigator UNNAMED, since the information is missing from the copy which we hold as to actual personage. We shall try to find out details—if the author would wish as much—and we shall also cease-and-desist printing if the author should feel compromised in any way. None of the information as to persons or locations as shared is longer valid.

To protect other parties who assisted in this documentation—some of high offices in government at this present time and some who are simply famous for more recent happenings—we will simply start with Chapter One. Neither am I going to figure out what might have been said when lines of print are missing. I am making every effort to protect the authors and contributors, not sort out curiosity seekers from problem "intelligence". For instance, we begin on this first page with missing print, which is illegible at the bottom of the page. We will simply note the missing script and not make an effort to interpret it, unless it is at least partially discernable.

The writings are not from sophisticated writers or historians, so if it seems somewhat disjointed, never mind. This author also knew “McDougald”, who has done several books on the topic of Marcos, Gold, etc. It was McDougald’s material which first ran in the *Napa Valley Sentinel* (California paper) some years ago.

With that as the only jump-off point, we will just begin with Part 1, Chapter 1, Dharma.

THIS MANUSCRIPT WAS WRITTEN IN 1998 AND IS, THEREFORE, AS CURRENT AS ANY REFERENCED MATERIAL AVAILABLE.

[QUOTING:]

GOLD AND OTHER INTERESTING THINGS  
(PART 1)

CHAPTER 1

GENESIS: THE MARCOS GOLD

In 1980, TAIWAN WAS PURCHASING AN AVERAGE OF SEVEN TONS of gold jewelry per year. In that year, its average per-capita income stood at \$2,000 annually. By 1992, its per-capita income had jumped to \$10,000 and its gold-jewelry consumption had soared to 110 tons.

It was pointed out in Europe that if Red China ever caught up with Taiwan’s [per capita] gold expenditures, China would consume more than 6,000 tons annually, or more than three times the current world production. **[H: Please remember a key word here, which is missing: “OFFICIAL”.]**

Something important has been happening to precious metals since the early 1990s, but strangely, no one seems to know where all the metals are going, particularly gold. A financial advisory group in the United States pointed out that China secretly purchased gold in the open market exactly when the central banks of Europe were liquidating gold in accordance with EEC regulations for compensating government central banks for their efforts at stabilizing their respective currencies.

What shocked these financial experts was the fact that China has been buying Western gold at bargain-basement prices. (How much of the fabled Marcos gold fell to these Chinese traders? Talk is rife that thousands of metric tons were sold, the quantity of which far exceeded known estimates.) This was confirmed in March 1993 by Kenneth Gooding of the *London Financial Times* [\_\_\_\_MISSING TEXT\_\_\_\_] Gooding claims that China imported 500 tons to satisfy the demand from private gold companies, as well as that consumed by their domestic production.

Gooding further reports that the Chinese central bank bought as much as 300 tons during 1992 to supplement its gold reserves. This has been organized by insisting on the payment of the debts to the country whose open-market operations helped support the weak currencies of the European Rate Mechanism, otherwise known as the European Currency Union. (Today, only China’s central bank is known to be stable.)

In accordance with the EEC agreements, the Dutch dumped 400 tons of gold and the Belgian government dumped more than 200 tons and, just to cooperate, Canada decided to dump 100 tons. Unbelievably, even Abu Dhabi and Baghdad sold about 350 tons, in perfect

subservience to conspiracy agreements!

It is interesting to note that China, a communist state, should benefit from the move toward a European central government and central bank. I believe that this is exactly in accordance with a preconceived plan (New World Socialist Order) to transfer wealth from the Western Christian nations to totalitarian regimes, the sham collapse of the Soviet Union notwithstanding. Every portfolio should carefully include numismatically selected coins, as well as gold mutual funds.

Gold is the world’s reserve currency, the currency of last resort. Over time, it increases in value and is expected to continue to do so in the foreseeable future. Its availability is critical—particularly in times of war or other crises such as the present Asian currency devastation. It represents pure power, necessary particularly in these times of speculative attacks, the chief reason for the Marcos gold issue once again becoming hot news.

In one of my October radio broadcasts over DWAN and DWBL, I reiterated what I had written more than five years ago (*Newsday*, 20 June 1992): that the Marcos gold haul is separate and distinct from the Yamashita treasure. The former dwarfs the latter, in terms of the total amount involved. **[H: PAY ATTENTION, THIS IS FACT.]**

A close friend, privileged to have read the contents of Marcos’ last will and testament, swears that when he scanned the document about seven years ago, he realized he was actually looking at a few sheets of paper worth U.S.\$947 billion!

Moreover, the Japanese war booty was successfully shipped to Tokyo after the first route of Gen. Tomoyuki Yamashita, from Singapore to Mongolia, and the rest kept in the Philippines by officers of the Japanese Imperial forces, after having been buried in 172 carefully selected sites within the Philippine archipelago, prior to 1945. It was largely made up of gold bars, assorted jewelry and religious artifacts seized from the ship *Awa Maru*, General Percival, and the defeated British forces of Malaya and Singapore.

**[H: Please place the next paragraph in bold print.]**

**Later, the Japanese government used a big chunk of it as an “investment loan” to the “Illuminati”, in exchange for Anglo-Saxon technology, which was badly needed to rebuild the ravaged Land of the Rising Sun. The Illuminati is a secret society founded and established in Ingolstadt, Bavaria, by ex-Jesuit Adam Weishaupt on May 1, 1776. Translated literally, the order’s name means “the enlightened ones”, whose avowed objective is world domination. Now known as the Trilateral Commission, to denote the joint efforts of Zionist bankers from Europe, the United States and Japan, its roster includes members of the Bilderbergs, a big European business group allied with the Trilateral Commission, and the equally powerful New York-based Council on Foreign Relations. It is they who decide who will be allowed to run for the offices of President, Prime Minister, Chancellor, Governor-General and all other titles for the leaders of all major countries around the world. Since they own the major banks and the central banks, they know exactly what interest rates and money supply levels will be, and it is highly likely that they regularly run these exchanges up and down for their financial gain. They also directly and**

**indirectly own all the major news media and can, therefore, tell the public exactly what they want to be read, and deny the public the information they do not want known. Directly or indirectly, they also own major businesses and industries, enabling them to suppress wages and salaries by either shipping the production jobs to countries with the cheapest labor rates, by importing technical specialists from the cheapest countries around the world, or by employing mostly temporary or part-time workers in their home countries. The labor unions do not resist such efforts, because the labor leaders are members of the Elite as well.**

But the tales about the huge treasure purportedly given to USAFFE Major Ferdinand E. Marcos during the dark days of the Second World War which became the basis of his fortune (then estimated to be \$35 billion) are nothing more than a cleverly concocted diversionary tactic floated by Marcos himself, a smokescreen to confuse the “raiders of the lost gold”, so that none of them would know exactly where the lost bullion is deposited.

There is, however, one thing which Marcos overlooked: The Swiss banks are booby traps used by the Elite to trap the unwary. Four years ago, *The SPOTLIGHT* ran an article on how the Rothschild Bank in Zurich, owned by Baron Elie de Rothschild of London, had been charged with embezzling money from an estate. The article warned of possible trouble when leaving an estate in Switzerland. I couldn’t agree more. However, those who are foolish enough to choose a bank owned by international rip-off artists can expect to have trouble.

When the trouble sets in, the public and the politicians need expert advice on how to benefit from Switzerland’s unique political, legal and banking systems—and how to avoid the pitfalls.

For the record, the Elite target “gentile” money. As a case in point, William Kennedy, President of the precious metal firm Western Monetary Consultants, with the implicit backing of the John Birch Society, interviewed President Ronald Reagan. It was later proven that Kennedy was backed by high-level conspirators, including Paul Weyrich, and that Kennedy had used an office down the hall from Vice President Dan Quayle’s for quite some time.

But Kennedy is not the only conspirator targeting “gentile” funds. At least two small banks have been active over the years in soliciting business from non-Jews. They are: Ueberseebank in Zurich and the Royal Trust Bank in Vienna. The latter is a part of the Royal Trust Bank Group of Canada, controlled by none other than Edgar Bronfman, the son of the founder of the Seagram fortune, and the retired Chairman of the World Jewish Congress. Certainly no privacy there!

CHAPTER 2

THE SERVANT OF THE VATICAN

THE SUDDEN EMERGENCE OF ANOTHER GROUP OF CLAIMANTS to the Marcos fortune, aside from the PCGG and the 10,000 human-rights victims, bears out my findings. This group is represented by Father Marcelino B. Tagle, brother of agricultural sector representative Vic Tagle.

In a recent communication to the *INQUIRER’S* Editor-in-Chief, Letty J. Magsanoc, Tagle revealed,

among other things, that Marcos had obtained his wealth from Father Jose Antonio Diaz, the Vatican's Filipino-Spanish treasurer, whose expertise in handling the Holy See's priceless possessions gained for him the complete trust and confidence of Pope Pius XII, a trust he would later betray.

Just before the outbreak of World War II, Tagle continued, Father Diaz returned to the Philippines to secretly carry out his most prized agenda. He changed his identity to "Colonel Severino Sta. Romana", to better carry out his devious plan to transfer the Vatican gold bullion and treasures to his personal accounts in various banks. He then befriended a young, brilliant lawyer in the person of Ferdinand E. Marcos, who willingly helped him carry out his clandestine activities. In exchange, Diaz taught the young Marcos everything he needed to master in the art of international gold trading. One proof of this special relationship is the fact that Marcos attended the inaugural ceremony of U.S. President Harry S. Truman as the official representative of J.A. Diaz & Company, a listed firm on the New York Stock Exchange.

Some readers may find it hard to believe that the disappearance of the Vatican's gold bullion was one of the sparks that ignited World War II. This tremendous loss of valuable assets (which led to the excommunication of Diaz) drove the Roman Catholic Church to sign a *concordat* with Nazi Germany, in order to transfer her remaining gold holdings to safe havens in Switzerland, under Nazi sponsorship. A *concordat* is a public and solemn agreement between the Holy See and a civil government designed to regulate their mutual relations on matters of interest to both parties. The agreement between Pope Pius VII and Napoleon Bonaparte in 1801 was called *The Concordat*, and gave its name to subsequent agreements between the Church and various states.

**[H: Please put the next paragraph in bold print.]**

**The last shipment of gold from the Vatican has become the object of Zionist asset-grabbing operations. Some Jewish bankers have conveniently included it in the "Black Eagle" or Nazi gold transactions, i.e., gold bars stashed away from the Rica Bank in Berlin, prior to the official demise of the Third Reich.**

Since the Marcos Gold Hoard essentially forms part of the Vatican's gold holdings, the Trilateral bankers have also referred to any movement of the Marcos Hoard as a "Black Eagle" transaction, especially those that were kept in bulk in Switzerland. Some of the contents of these accounts were never physically moved, with only subsequent transfers of ownership which were cloaked in secrecy.

One would have to be singularly naive and utterly ignorant of elementary diplomacy, however, to think that this "unexpected" move on the part of the Church's hierarchy was circumstantial and was not part of the Vatican's *Ostpolitik*. History shows that the Vatican is always prepared for any eventuality and, more often than not, the shock troops of Saint Ignatius had been its "Delta Force", ready to be dispatched to any part of the world to carry out Rome's secret orders.

As an example, the Jesuits had already evaluated the likelihood of World War III during the reign of Pope John XXIII and had decided that the United

States should lose. The Vatican always goes with the winner, and Rome has enthusiastically shown its support for Marxism, even acquiring a communist pope from Poland. The Vatican, through French Cardinal Eugene Tisserant, had signed a *concordat* with Moscow in 1962 and is currently busy pushing a Marxist gospel of liberation theology worldwide.

Pope John XXIII fathered the ongoing social revolution in this post-conciliatory era. His last great social encyclical, *Pacem In Terris*, published two months before his death on April 11, 1963, carried a conglomerate of anti-Catholic errors such as social Modernism, Revolutionary Egalitarianism, Pacifism and Naturalism—all of which are indicative of John XXIII's singular betrayal of the Christian social order. Immediately after its publication, *Pacem In Terris* was hailed by the communists, who realized that they had found in Papa Roncalli a most powerful ally. After all, the encyclical was an ideal blueprint for One-Worldism.

The Vatican's paradigm shift explains why there is no love lost between the Church of Jaime Cardinal Sin and the Marcos martial-law regime. Sin faithfully carried out Vatican orders to help extricate Marcos from power. To this day, the former Filipino strongman has not been granted absolution by the rightful owners of his fabled fortune.

Meanwhile, a gigantic court battle looms between the Philippine government, the Marcos heirs and the human-rights victims. The bone of contention: a very tiny fraction of recently recovered Marcos loot, \$500 million, which the Swiss Supreme Court has ordered to be returned to the Philippines.

The Marcos gold bullion is no longer a secret and, with the connivance of a few close associates and relatives of the former President, the PCGG has discovered where tranches of the Marcos wealth are located. But they cannot touch the loot without the approval of the Marcos heirs and that of the People of the Republic of the Philippines, named by Marcos as among his beneficiaries. This is why the PCGG, during Cory's time, zeroed in on the bank accounts of Marcos in Switzerland and Hong Kong (like the Angenit Corporation, a front set up by Marcos). While the local authorities had known, more or less, about the Marcos gold stashed in Fort Knox, they had also known that to touch that part of the bounty would infuriate the Trilateralists, who would send them to kingdom come. There is no way the impasse can be broken, for now, anyway.

What adds to the confusion is the fact that while serving his exile in Hawaii, President Marcos managed to secretly change the previous list of trustees to his secret accounts, making the present batch of documents which may still be in the possession of former heirs and trustees utterly worthless. This was discovered by no less than the former First Lady (now Leyte's representative), Mrs. Imelda Romualdez-Marcos, on her recent trip to Hong Kong, accompanied by her trusted aide, Roger Peyuan. To her dismay, Madam Marcos came home empty-handed.

**But one thing is certain: The people of the Republic of the Philippines are Marcos' ultimate beneficiaries. In his last will and testament, the former President had assigned eighty percent of his fortune, now estimated to be \$1.5 trillion, to all Filipinos. This incredible amount could easily**

**prevent the country from being engulfed by the ongoing collapse of the world banking system. The question is, how does one get around organizations like the CIA, Mossad, and all those who have worked hand-in-hand for International Zionism?** (This information was revealed by ex-Mossad agent Victor Ostrovsky in his book, *The Other Side of Deception*.)

We can still very much claim what rightfully belongs to us, but only if everyone works together doubly hard at the solutions. Nothing happens on its own, and apathy is definitely not the answer.

"Crises, such as the present global crisis, develop because governments, and their populations, have persisted in foolish opinions much too long," says the eminent economist Lyndon LaRouche. "The only exception to this rule is the rare case in which the crisis was caused by a natural catastrophe which a reasonable government might fairly argue that it had not been equipped to have foreseen. That exception granted, all other crises are man-made." Let us heed these wise words, before the one-world government with its single currency transforms this planet into a global concentration camp.

[END OF QUOTING, PART 1]

I know that our readers are going to "Ho-Hum and what else is new?" But please be patient, for you are going to recognize why we have spent these 13 years to present TRUTH and recognition wherever we could do so. It is good to build the credibility which we have presented over these years, and when those of greed and avarice come against us, in the ending, our TRUTH will be recognized and our credibility proven. GOD BLESSES THE TRUTHBRINGERS WHO HAVE COME BEFORE AND YES, INDEED, AMONG THE TOP "BRINGERS" WE HONOR JOHN COLEMAN FOR ENDURING THE STRUGGLE, THE THEFTS AND THE ACCUSATIONS BROUGHT AGAINST HIM BY HIS WOULD-BE ENEMIES AND, MORE ESPECIALLY, THOSE CALLING THEMSELVES "FRIENDS".

The beginning question of the upcoming chapter is worthy of note before we even get there: "Who will get the Marcos gold haul in the end? Will it be the Philippine government acting on behalf of the Filipino people and the Marcos heirs? Or, will it be the Raiders and their one-world apparatus?"

Ah, indeed, which? God and some of his faithful servants have, yea, even given their physical lives to try to allow this "miracle" to be properly allowed sharing, where the Raiders are caught having to give back the stolen loot, which yes, indeed, is into the trillions of those green dollar things. We only deal in the gold they snatched—and bring it back where God put it in the first place.

I sincerely hope that one of our editors will make sure John Coleman is sent a copy of these writings on this topic as they come to you. Just send them to his attention at the corporate address or wherever he gets his business mail. A man likes to and deserves to see the fruits of his labors, as those coming along behind can see farther.

I promise that this will be a most interesting series of informative information for those "Inquiring minds".

Salu, GCH  
dharma



# GOD PLANS TO Reclaim His Property

2/29/00—#1

## OVEREAGERNESS BEARS OVERLOAD

**Hatonn**—I have to continually be alert to your willingness to “get started” but I must also caution you to take care, take time and not make assumptions which are not yet finalized in FACT.

As we move along, we must, at times, take time to have “hard copy” sent to and from one continent to another, get signatures, and allow people to feel their way through the maze of intentional—and yet, irritating—obstacles. Especially when something has not been done before, it is time consuming to just get any kind of a program off its dime. **In addition, we are still, in Southeast Asia, having to overcome some very impulsive and catastrophic actions on the part of our former partners.** I will have to take up that topic, as dreaded as it is, because the fallout of just “ONE” person’s decisions is as the shot heard around the globe. What Rick Martin-Cortright and Gail Irwin-Cortright precipitated in Brunei now requires full disclosure of our intent and purposes, so that our communications are clear and our position elucidated.

To give specific foundation to the story, I have to remind you that Global had a MAJOR, MAJOR undertaking with Brunei, through a Filipino emissary who was a close friend of the Sultan of Brunei’s family and ruling party. We will call this agent “Manny”. Full arrangements were completed and backup collateral was moved to accomplish the transaction underway.

Rick had a personal need, it is supposed, to share these things—names, places and arrangements—with those back home with whom he regularly had communications. And, after being told over and over again that it was unacceptable, he continued to make contact and prattle. The prattling got even more intense back in Tehachapi, California. It is obvious that there was major expectation of accomplishment through the immediate proposals and project proposals such that there would be tremendous rewards of a personal nature.

During the circumstances between the rightful owners of *CONTACT* and the “takeover” kids—with “hostile TAKEOVER” being an understatement—deliberate theft took place and faxes were sent to the Sultan of Brunei from Rick Martin and Gail Irwin, whereby BOTH are fully identified.

I do not wish to take this further as to repeated happenings but suffice it to say it was devastating to parties involved IN BRUNEI.

People impulsively act in such manners induced by ego-supremacy to damage themselves and it is oftentimes beyond ability to repair anything.

Now the “agent” is in hiding because of the massive blunder; Rick is on the “endangered species” list and the ones left to bear this burden personally have now lost all property back in Tehachapi, due to the actions of these assumptors. Ah, but the problem still

doesn’t end there, and I need to point out that when you become attached to such as Martin, these days, YOU ARE ALSO ON THE “RETALIATION” LIST of those who WILL BE EXPECTED TO COVER RESTITUTION COSTS FOR DAMAGES INCURRED IN ANY MISCREANT ACTIVITY. Millers, for instance, will be expected to pay for Ricky’s indiscretions—as co-conspirators and accessories to the crimes. THAT is the way the law works and THAT IS THE WAY IT MUST WORK!

I will ask Dharma to copy an article from the February 25<sup>th</sup> *Philippine Star*, “WORLD NEWS”.

I have been asked if I can produce Mr. Martin TO THEM as in “Criminal Misrepresentation” and “National Security Disclosures”. My response? NO! “I have nothing longer to do with Mr. Martin or Mr. Neil and never had association with Gail Irwin.” Why do I say this? BECAUSE IT IS TRUE. These people have given us HELL for well over a year and—in spite of opportunity after opportunity to clear things—the circumstances have only gotten more embroiled in lies and vengeful games, to the point that Ekkers have been told through that group in Tehachapi and on International Internet that they will be arrested if they come back to the U.S., for this same little groupie deliberately turned them in to every Federal (U.S.) Department and CLAIMED, WITHOUT BASIS, THAT THERE WAS/IS A FEDERAL INDICTMENT AWAITING SERVICE.

This is a lie, but Ekkers refuse to jeopardize the program underway to test it in full realization that ANYTHING in the new INJUSTICE system could be so.

What I want you to look at in this article is the fact that the POINT OF THE ISSUES is NOT addressed except through “inference and reference”. Also note the misinformation and key words and phrases such as “state funds”, “oil rich”, “England’s High Courts”, “financial collapse”, etc. And then, please, see if the numbers might just be large enough to irritate the parties who were going to utilize Global’s plan to use gold as collateral to the tune of nearly \$400 billion. WOULD YOU BE A BIT MIFFED IF IT WERE YOU LOSING? WELL, SURPRISE, IT “IS” YOU, ONLY IN A DIFFERENT MODE OF CIRCUMSTANCE.

If you do not believe me, please go forth and look at the nasties written by Martin and shared internationally through the Internet, the “takeover” unlawfully of *CONTACT*, with at least three printings delivered after the theft. You will see references to “Manny” even by Charles Neil, who joined with the *Spectrum* takeover crew. Were they angry? Oh yes, indeed, for they lost right at \$800 million—EACH.

When this confrontation happened, they both resigned full interests in the company itself and all participation—BECAUSE THEY KNEW THE NEXT STEP WAS GOING TO BE VERY DEVASTATING—SO THEY WOULD JUST LEAVE EKKERS TO HANDLE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THEIR DISASTROUS ANTICS

AND PROPERTY SEIZURES “BACK HOME”. WELL, IT HASN’T WORKED—EXCEPT THAT THEY HAVE NO PART, NO INTEREST OF VALUE AND NO RELATIONSHIP WITH US “AT ALL”. THERE ARE NO LONGER “COMMISSIONS” AS HAD BEEN STRUCTURED THROUGH RICK’S PARTICIPATION WITH DURHAM AND NO PERSONAL GAINS BY ANYONE. SO, EACH SEEMINGLY SELF-STYLED GRAB IS REMOVED, AND A WORKING RELATIONSHIP IS BEING CREATED BASED ON CONFIDENCE AND INTEGRITY.

The bitterness, however—toward Rick Martin and Gail Irwin, specifically—is great, and it remains to be seen if we can quiet the resulting anger. The whole little groupie in California has been given over a year to stop the antics and begin to make restitution—but the circumstances have only worsened. This misbehavior has continued right to this very week in progress.

These children seem to continue to think that the possibilities of ill-intended action against Rick and Charles—on the return trip to the U.S., from the Philippines via Osaka, Japan a year ago February 19, 1999—is somehow “FUNNY” and “absurd”. See if it seems too “outrageous and absurd” today. Remember that people kill for \$5.00—so do you not think they just might do as much for almost a trillion dollars, and an interruption of such massive size as to get a member of the Royal Family arrested and Family assets confiscated by the World Elite? Come on, people, get REAL. And, furthermore, you—Rick and Charles—had better be on your knees thanking God that the losses were sustained BEFORE your appearance, because that is really the only thing that has saved you thus far in this incidental game you saw fit to play. And you who go right on defending and working with these people will be listed as accomplices to the fact—by association.

So, you can laugh at this “warning” but it is the last warning you will receive from me. You have taken even my name and your claimed basis of instructions to STEAL OUR ASSETS, OUR PAPER, OUR PUBLICATIONS AND OUR VERY LIVING PROPERTY—AND IT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE.

[QUOTING from the *PHILIPPINE STAR*, Feb. 25, 2000:]

## BRUNEI FREEZES ASSETS OF SULTAN’S BROTHER

BANDAR SERIBEGAWAN, Brunei (*AFP*)—The Brunei Government has frozen the assets of—and begun legal action against—Sultan Hassanal Bolkiah’s younger brother, Prince Jefri, for alleged misuse of state funds, a statement said yesterday.

The Sultan had removed Prince Jefri as Finance Minister three years ago and subsequently stripped him of his post as head of the oil-rich kingdom’s powerful investment arm, the Brunei Investment Agency (BIA).

The flamboyant Prince’s flagship investment and construction firm, Amedeo Company, also collapsed under a mountain of debts amid the Asian financial crisis which erupted in mid-1997.

The statement from the Prime Minister’s office, dated Tuesday and released to the media yesterday, said the legal claims against Prince Jefri were linked to alleged misuse of funds when he was in power.

“The claims brought against His Royal Highness (Prince Jefri) relate to the improper withdrawal and use of substantial funds from the BIA, which constitutes the state reserve fund, during the period when His Royal Highness



was Minister of Finance and/or Chairman of the BIA," it said.

The BIA is the principal business vehicle of Brunei's accumulated oil riches, believed to be between \$40 billion and \$60 billion.

The Government statement also cited legal proceedings commenced against Prince Jefri's son, Prince Abdul Hakeem, his former private and confidential secretary Awang Kassim and over 60 companies overseas believed to be owned or controlled by the Prince.

"The proceedings were undertaken after efforts to find a solution through other means had failed and had not produced any satisfactory results," the statement said.

The Government, it added, had obtained orders from the Brunei and England's High Courts freezing the assets of Jefri and the other defendants.

"These include a substantial number of residential properties overseas," it said.

"Proceedings seeking similar orders in other countries may also be necessary," the Government said.

Amedeo's collapse and the regional financial crisis took a heavy toll on Brunei, sandwiched between Malaysian states in Borneo, and led to a series of Government attempts to revive economic growth.

Last week the Government announced the launching of a 400-million-Brunei dollar (\$235 million) fund to revive the economy.

Under the six-month Economic Recovery Plan, the Government will create a \$200-million fund for small- and medium-scale development projects mainly for local contractors.

The rest of the money will be made available by banks, the Government said.

[END OF QUOTING]

It would appear to me that this is quite serious.

We—please note, E.J.—stand ready, willing and ABLE to resume participation on behalf of the ongoing stability of Brunei. I note this so that you can send out the word that you need to meet "Manny" and begin some interchange to allow him to be the one to bring this back into flow, so that he can resume his freedom of movement.

I just don't think the Rainbow Kids had any idea how truly "close-knit" is the Philippine community in the U.S., and there is a major group, even in Bakersfield, who will respond in any way appropriate when damage has been deliberately caused to a fellow-national or to their country.

Now, with that aside, we can respond to the notion that "there couldn't have been such surveillance of phone calls, etc." **People, when are you going to awaken to your circumstances and when will you realize that the spies in the sky are seriously on track? We have a bigger program going than any other on the globe, so do you REALLY believe you would NOT BE WATCHED?** I can offer you, from the same paper, same page, something that may well give you cause to take notice.

[QUOTING:]

#### U.S. DENIES INDUSTRIAL ESPIONAGE

WASHINGTON, D.C. (AFP)—The United States Wednesday forcefully denied European allegations that its intelligence agencies are involved in economic espionage to profit U.S. firms.

State Department spokesman James Rubin said Washington was aware that the European Union was looking at a report that deals with the possible commercial uses of a satellite listening system known as "ECHELON" but said there were no grounds for those fears.

"U.S. intelligence agencies are not tasked to engage in industrial espionage or obtain trade secrets for the benefit of any U.S. company or companies," Rubin said.

He declined to comment on whether [or not] the "ECHELON" system, said to be operated by the U.S. National Security Agency (NSA), existed but said the NSA was forbidden by U.S. law to provide any intelligence information to private companies. **[H: GO BACK AND RE-READ THAT STUPID STATEMENT. SINCE WHEN HAS "LAW" HAD ANYTHING TO DO WITH ANYTHING?]**

"Although we CANNOT COMMENT on the substance of the (ECHELON) report, we can say that the NSA is not AUTHORIZED to provide intelligence information to private firms," Rubin said.

"That agency acts in strict accordance with American law [sic]."

French Defense Minister Alain Richard, on a visit to Washington Wednesday, declined to comment on the matter.

"I've always said that we don't want to make any comment on the responsibilities of our American allies on their security arrangements and security means," he told reporters as he left a meeting at the Pentagon with his U.S. counterpart, William Cohen.

Allegations of commercial spying have infuriated some Europeans and earlier Wednesday French Justice Minister Elisabeth Guigou said French companies were being encouraged to encrypt sensitive information to avoid detection by U.S. espionage operations.

[END OF QUOTING]

Any comment? Your U.S. people wouldn't lie, would they? You remember that this is the same country whose President said, "I did not have sex with that woman...ML" and who also said, "...it depends on what 'is' 'is'."

Indeed, they CAN and they DO have access to television, satellite, phones, telexes, e-mail, cell-phones—and you just name it. They can read business-card-sized print FROM THE MOON and DO! And, there will always be egotistical mutants who are greedy and miserable enough to destroy freedom because of some ill-conceived offense to selves and to grab an extra dollar—even if it destroys the world. So be it. And this is just exactly what "is" IS.

#### TURNING TO TEHACHAPI AND PARTS EAST

Things have taken interesting turns in events "back home". It seems that the seized "home" property by the Millers on Adam Dr., Tehachapi is getting interesting if not downright absurd (to use their terms).

It seems Millers have called Ekkers' son, Gene—and yes, indeed, that is THE SON who was almost KILLED in an earlier incident this year—and told him to move "the coach" off the next lot to the south of the property because it is too close to the house-lot line. Now, the lot was originally used for and by Gene's company to house THAT coach, woodcutting equipment and some transient construction equipment. Millers nor anyone else have claim to that lot for, if you remember, the confrontation was with Jason Brent, ex-Judge in the first place and was settled by purchase of the lot in point at an outrageous price because of the legal fight ongoing. No, this is definitely not a nice thing now taking place.

Mrs. Miller now says that the sheds on that lot are also too near the propane tank and that other things on the lot are "illegal". NO, EVERYTHING WAS CLEARED AND THERE ARE LEGAL PERMITS FOR EVERYTHING,

SINCE HAVING TO GO THROUGH ALL OF THIS BEFORE.

It is also told third party that Mrs. Miller has said they are taking measures to get that lot as well. Bluff? Well, whether it is or is not "bluff", it is going to multiply the damages considerably as we begin to take a full stand against these criminal and unethical (not to mention immoral) assaults, threats and outright thefts.

Millers' workers dumped Ekkers' personal property in a nasty pile ONTO THE LOT, when the sheds would have housed everything. There were actually CORPORATION RECORDS among the dumped stuff and MANY CORPORATE RECORD BOOKS WERE HOUSED IN THE OFFICE FOR SAFEKEEPING, AMONG THE NOW-MISSING FILES AND RECORDS. They also had someone dismantle one of the large aviaries and, when it didn't fly legally, the parts were then brought back onto THAT lot to the south of the house—AND DUMPED. This was so unsightly and unlawful that it is a probability that the neighbors might have complained about the mess.

We have suggested that Gene move his coach because there are real "vandals" in that groupie who continue to damage things out at the farm, where the infamous TV special was done by Ray Bilger (who resided there free of rent for years). That, in itself, is a story all its own. And here we are speaking of a large Class A "Vogue" motorcoach, which if further vandalized will be a bit more costly than I would think Millers would wish to face. Already, it is noted that the batteries are removed and the ignition "jammed". Now who do you suppose would do such a mean little thing as that—perhaps ones who were also stealing the fuel and looting the property in the house, stealing files, turning on water to drown the chickens at the farm, turning on all the lights to leave their calling cards, etc.??? And THIS IS EXACTLY WHY Gene—in addition to getting his property into security—must make a record at the police department and record all things to "witnesses", as in the missing batteries, etc. These are heavy-duty marine-type batteries and already there are some hefty expenses involved by the loss of these items.

As to cleaning up the lot and/or moving sheds—NO. I suggest you enter a counter complaint and demand that the property be cleaned and properly left to the neighbors' satisfaction. All things on the Adam Dr. property on which the house is situated are not the problem of the Ekkers while under seizure by the Millers and *Spectrum* group. Everything was legal, all laws followed, plans approved and permits in place for anything there FOR EKKERS. Millers have no right to the property on any cause and if things are not approved as to their ownership of anything, so be it. Furthermore, if a demand for removal of some of the things currently in freeze on the lot is made from the city, and anything is removed, it will be fully at the expense of Millers, *et al.*—and full payment for the value of the property will be claimed, with triple damages.

#### STATUS OF TUTEN CASE, ETC.

The mention of the TV series brings forth more interesting focus. It must be recognized that a hearing was scheduled LAST week in the Tuten case—which our lawyer from the Carson City area attended—and the thing became a succession of interesting magnitude.

The first thing that happened was that the opposing attorney (for Ms. Tuten) did not show up until the case was over in the courtroom, making the lawyer some half a day late, for the calendar of cases was heard while "waiting" for the lawyer to appear.

The Tuten counsel finally came charging through the doors pleading with the Bench to allow him at least a hearing. The judge held the court and listened as the counsel said something about “transcripts in the file”, etc. Ah, but THERE WAS NO TRANSCRIPT (in reference) IN THE FILE! “But there should have been,” howled the counsel. The judge then did not close the case but ordered the files be placed back in the record “if it was never filed”, to be filed post-haste, etc.

Ah, but guess what! The TV “journalist” who DID THE “Tuten-Bilger” series against the Ekkers, from Bakersfield, now has noted a lot of problems in her position. She has been moved out of Bakersfield TO LAS VEGAS and WAS AT THE HEARING, WANTING INTERVIEWS, ETC. WITH OUR ATTORNEY. “No,” he said, “You go read all the files in the three cases and I will check with my clients to see if they wish a statement.” Ah, but this lovely little lady named names—at the top of which were TUTEN and RAY BILGER—and stated that she “believed” their story. The attorney reminded her that she had called the Philippines and Ekkers advised her to not run such an absurd story or she would look pretty stupid, and then she conferred with our attorney, who advised the same thing—OH, WELL.

How do you think Tuten’s attorney is going to handle this kind of criminal activity by his client—and now: WHO TOOK THE FILES FROM THE COURT RECORD? WOW AND WOW! YOU DON’T SUPPOSE ABBOTT MIGHT HAVE MADE A TRIP TO LAS VEGAS, SINCE HE JUST DID A LOT OF NEW CASE FILINGS ON THE “GOLD” MATTER? The little journalist needs to get to the bottom of this mess, so I suggest any of you who have input, certainly help her out on this. I would think Ron K. might just have a lot of “personal” matters to discuss with her and perhaps the Sun might well start shining again over the hanging tree established by our “Enemies” for our demise. And those who are still sitting on the fence (picket, of course) may be finding the picket point placed in a most uncomfortable position now that they have entered “that” “side” in this conflict in “confusion”.

And, by the way, you who think Charles Neil wonderful—Doris just made a blunder or two. I suggest you find a *Spectrum* paper with the Christmas Greetings from the “staff” and you will find that Gail has given, in her own handwriting, accolades and thanks to her “friend Charles Neil” for “always being there for her”, and “making the [new] [stolen] paper possible”. Face it, chela [Doris], you had a first-class betrayer on board and no, you do not want that “friendship” renewed. Charles a victim? Perish the thought!

And, for you inquiring minds, Ekkers will be able to soon start enjoying Manila more and more as some of these immediate things are cleared and put to rest. At this time, it may be lonely and hard to be away “from home”, but ever so much the wiser to have you Ekkers doing your job—while OUT OF THE PICTURE as the rubber meets the road, so to speak.

I urge the staff to move quickly on the tasks in progress and preserve the records we still have remaining with legal registration, backup, etc. GOD PLANS TO RECLAIM HIS PROPERTY.

And in case you missed my last message where I stated status, I suggest you listen up: I GAVE THEM A FULL YEAR TO RECONSIDER THEIR POSITIONS, RECTIFY THE CIRCUMSTANCES, RETRACT ACCUSATIONS AND MAKE RESTITUTION. OPPORTUNITY HAS STOPPED KNOCKING, FOR WE

MUST MOVE ON AND THEY WILL STAND FULLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT WHICH THEY HAVE COMMITTED. THEY ARE NO LONGER IN MY PROTECTION AND SO BE IT. And yes, indeed, I believe that you nice crew and friends will begin to see immediate reflection of that statement.

#### NOW, AS TO “AIDS”

People who object to terms used in this SYNDROME had best look up what the acronym “AIDS” represents.

AIDS, yes, does have an inclusive need for some antibodies in the system suggestive of the presence of HIV virus, but that is not what the term “AIDS” means. The ACRONYM itself is for “Acquired Immune Deficiency SYNDROME”.

There is no lack of public evidence that a person may very well have HIV in the system or that an infection with HIV has AIDS.

“SYNDROME”: A group of signs and symptoms that occur together and characterize a particular abnormality.

So you will find that you can have “migraine (headache) syndrome”, “flu syndrome”, etc., to MANY HUNDREDS of categories. This term can also signify “related complex”, as in AIDS-related complex (or “syndrome”).

In the “syndrome”, which often accompanies HIV carriers, you have a whole list of possibilities. Many of the “dis-eases” that preclude the development of the AIDS “syndrome” are recognized as “AIDS-RELATED” OR “HIV-RELATED”.

Now, for further clarification: The “positive” or “negative” reading of some blood test is only showing the presence of ANTIBODIES and that can be relatively attached to many things, the most common, of course, being pneumonia, herpes, etc. Any one of these causative viruses will mutate to form a coalition with HIV within the CELLS. The DISEASE itself is of the IMMUNE SYSTEM.

I wish to clarify this, for Mr. Martin’s interview with a disgruntled “carrier” with antibody readings is excellent in content but TOTALLY MISLEADING, AS PRESENTED IN *SPECTRUM*. Do not take this carelessly to heart—lest you overlook your OWN potential assault by these viruses. These are MANMADE problems, so don’t you actually think “MAN” will get as many of you as he can? That article and the lady’s book and foundation may well put a lot of people back to sleep and into “unconsciousness” but it is A GRAVE ERROR! I’m sure the person who introduced smallpox to the Native Americans wished things were “otherwise” but the “facts” reflect that hundreds of thousands of innocent people died in ignorance.

I also note that, in this instance, the medical community is NOT INCORRECT. People who “interpret” are ignorant and fail to mention what I just outlaid right here—and yet all you have to do is simply get a dictionary! With 99% of the people, when you ask: “What is the meaning of ‘AIDS’?”—they will not know. The first word tells the tale pretty much, as in: “Acquired”—and “Syndrome” finishes the statement. The middle words—Immune Deficiency—simply but fully describe the condition. If HIV is NOT present or a person is not “positive” for antibodies, then the disease, say pneumonia, is NOT an AIDS reference—so, KNOW OF WHAT YOU SPEAK! And, by the way, the HIV virus or its precursors are passed through all types of encounters and most especially through sexual transmission because of the carrier

fluid which allows the virus to sustain itself for a very long time and gives cellular opportunity for passage into and within other CELLS, especially in the BLOOD system and the REPRODUCTIVE system.

And no, AIDS should NOT be a stigma as such but it certainly does deserve notice and care of both self and others. And yes, many infections by various viruses can mimic and produce HIV-type antibodies—herpes viruses being the most common, especially genital herpes. And that is why active cases of genital herpes are the most fearsome coalition of a second or third party relationship. The original carrier can be absolutely clear of all symptoms and negative to “antibodies” but the recipient of contact may well produce positive readings almost immediately, even though no active disease is present nor shows up for a very long incubation period. However, if there then ensues a disease, there is a large number who will immediately be classified as HIV positive for antibodies and will actually usually be a carrier of the HIV itself.

**Furthermore, I abhor a person who will offer these types of interviews and writings to cover their own indiscretions. This is one of those very scenarios in which people of the lie will likely DIE IN THE LIE.**

**Why do “I” care or attend these things? BECAUSE THESE PEOPLE CONTINUE TO USE “MY” NAME UNDER FALSE PRETENSES THAT IT IS “ME”—AND THEY NEITHER HAVE “ME” NOR DO THEY HAVE MY COMPATRIOTS, AND THEY PRODUCE THIS KIND OF “BAD” INFORMATION, WHICH APPEARS AS IF IT FLOWS UNDER MY BLESSINGS AND GRACE. NO, IT DOES NOT!**

To the publishing staff: There is no rush on the “book” to be run as a series. Its true title is *Raiders of the Lost Gold* and it is by one, Erick San Juan. For whatever reasons, copies have been put on the market and, therefore, we have no intent, as of TODAY, of moving very quickly on its publication, other than as we have presented it in newspaper format—or perhaps *Journal* form. This is with full knowledge and cooperation of the author, who is regularly meeting with us. It is his next book that is impossible, for political reasons, to publish in the Philippines. He offers that manuscript and that is the one which, of course, has the uncovering of the conspiracy aspects. So, I suggest that B.T. not break any records or let anything go, to work on this project—and certainly we will not publish anything until we have our own working funds to do so. Thank you for your offers and let us please just get further down this road. At this time we simply need to keep things wired together and ongoing, so my suggestion is not to spend anything on anything unless absolutely necessary while we are making this transition. If you can help with finances to the paper and farm, wonderful and blessings unto you—but we cannot spend on anything such as publishing books for random authors. We ARE getting there, and we are getting there properly and in proper sequence.

And yes, this is in response to a serious offer which is greatly appreciated, understood, and—thank you.

In great love and appreciation for your ongoing efforts and support, for yes, indeed, the way gets difficult from time to time—BUT YOU ARE NOT HEAVY, CHELAS, FOR YOU ARE MY BROTHERS AND TOGETHER WE CAN CARRY WHAT WE NEED TO BEAR, FOR GOD GIVES NOT THAT WHICH IS BEYOND YOUR ABILITY.

Hatonn  
dharma

# More Bush Connections From Sherman Skolnick

*This is a continuation in the series which began two weeks ago under the heading: "Skolnick Documents Bush's Use Of 'Bonus 3392-181'". We reiterate the caveat that the information presented is mostly NOT verifiable and we suggest that the contents be taken more along the lines of "an interesting read". As noted within the article, this section is labeled "Part Four" instead of "Part Three, Section 2".*

*For those with Internet access, Mr. Skolnick's web-site is at:*

*<<http://www.skolnicksreport.com>>.*

## **Greenspan Reportedly Bribes & Aids Bush In Various Matters (Part Four)**

By Sherman H. Skolnick, 3/2/00

More about WHY and HOW Foster was Murdered and the Reputed Role of Redspan/Bush. (Note: we decided to call this Part Four instead of Part Three, Section 2).

The suppressed report of the retired intelligence agents showed that Vincent W. Foster, Jr., was a high-ranking official of the super-secret National Security Agency (NSA). There was no actual title for him. In effect, however, Foster was the Chief of Bank Spying, operating jointly with Alan Greenspan, the bank dictator who is like a Soviet Commissar, hence, called by us, Alan REDSPAN.

As Bank Spy Chief, Foster did this for some twelve years, purportedly as an attorney/strategist for the apparent NSA proprietary, Systematics, headquartered originally in Arkansas. His team included his reputed "lover", Hillary Rodham-Clinton. She certainly was clever and knew how to pose as one thing and be another. Her late father reportedly was a mob "laundry man", washing illicit funds. A CIA "darling" from an early age, Hillary went on to become by 1990 Board Chairman of a reputed CIA proprietary conduit, New World Foundation, financing apparent CIA-paid *agents-provocateurs* to discredit indigenous dissident movements. Publicly, some thought she was a flaming liberal. Yet, she was for GOP 1964 presidential candidate Barry Goldwater.

Foster's pal Hillary was in a position to understand criminality. Her family came apparently from the Scranton, Pennsylvania area. Law-enforcement personnel there contend her family was reportedly linked to the Gambino crime family—New York and Chicago—and had mobster-linked cronies on the Chicago exchanges.

William Rockefeller Clinton, on the other hand, was tied to the Chicago mobsters and their traditional mobster vacation site, Hot Springs, Arkansas, where his reputed mother was a mob "party girl". (Documents of the alleged "Bill Clinton" and his early years have been more or less destroyed. Visit our web-site for more details: <http://www.skolnicksreport.com>.) In its simplest form, the Bill/Hillary couple was/is a CIA-mobster marriage of convenience.

Of the trio reportedly with Systematics, Foster was the

traveler and super-courier. He did a lot of the "leg work", worldwide. After his demise, requests under the *Freedom of Information Act, FOIA*, caused disclosure of some 700 pages of documents [relating] to him/Systematics/NSA. Many of the records, however, were heavily blanked out, called in *FOIA* parlance "redacted". While traveling, Foster had a more private mission. Hillary had long apparently confided in him that some day she was going to have to divorce Bill. So, among other things, like a typical unhappily married wife, Hillary wanted to know where her husband parked the money. (Our court reform group has a number of members who have been in divorce court. Finding their spouse's money is a key matter for them.)

Foster found one of Bill's coded accounts in Switzerland: ten million dollars, apparently part of his cut from the CIA dope-trafficking through Southern states jointly with Ollie North and George Herbert Walker Bush. Account codenames included "Chelsea Jefferson", the daughter's first name and Bill's alleged middle name. Hillary reportedly told Foster that if and when the marital battle begins [*As CONTACT readers should be well aware, this was not about marital problems, but about world control through Bonus 3392-181.*], to put a "brick" on the Swiss and other of Bill's secret coded accounts, **EVEN THOUGH THE ELDER BUSH IS INVOLVED, THROUGH A BENEFICIAL INTEREST, AND EVEN THOUGH THE WIRE AND OTHER TRANSFERS WERE ARRANGED BY ALAN GREENSPAN.** One of the accounts is listed as bank account #18, on the handwritten list of a senior U.S. Treasury official, Office of Internal Affairs. (See Part Two of this series and attached documents.) Some of Bill's coded accounts were actually an overlap with the Elder Bush and Caspar Weinberger. After Bush lost the 1992 election, he pardoned Weinberger from being prosecuted by Independent Counsel Walsh to keep this concealed. These accounts were arranged by former secret-police chief Bush, Bill's CIA mentor.

So, in an expected marital battle, Foster was pledged to aid pal Hillary, even if it meant wrecking and exposing her estranged husband's cronies, the Elder Bush, [along with] Greenspan and Weinberger. A dangerous confluence of events, howsoever it were to occur.

The Internal Affairs Office of the U.S. Treasury was reportedly well aware of this criminality overlap. Could the American Republic survive a current President and former President jointly prosecuted for high crimes and treason, an event caused by a new top official in the White House named Foster? Realists thought, probably not.

A series of horrendous events led up to the death of Foster in July 1993. About a week earlier was the downfall of the American CIA plot to topple Iraqi strongman Saddam Hussein [through] a CIA-paid-for coup by Iraqi military intelligence officers. A watered-down story of what happened did not appear in the American monopoly press until on and after November 1, 1993. A top White House official reportedly called off the coup, which was to have assassinated Saddam. Aborting the cabal was President Clinton himself and/or... former President Bush. The Elder Bush, as we have written about exclusively based on... unpublicized Chicago Federal Court proceedings, had been for the decade, 1980 to 1990, the private business partner of Saddam in oil kickbacks and extortion, as to the weak oil sheikdoms of the Persian Gulf.

Bush/Clinton had to stop the assassination of Saddam. Why? Saddam's half-brother in Geneva, Switzerland—who also had the documents of the Bush/Clinton/Greenspan/Weinberger criminality and treason and their Swiss coded accounts—promised documents would be released requiring the treason prosecution of Bush, **IF BUSH AND HIS CRONIES ARRANGED THE MURDER OF SADDAM.** To avoid such an event, Saddam's half-brother himself was assassinated. But the documents were conveyed to an independent-minded commentator.

Investigating this whole situation of Clinton/Bush/Greenspan/Weinberger was former CIA Director Admiral Stansfield Turner. The Admiral was checking into the Elder Bush and his family and their reputed cocaine-bank-money-laundry wizard Giorgio Pelossi. (Visit our web-site for related item.) Turner was traveling with the head of the Costa Rica Secret Police as well as an eight-person team from Secret Police of Spain. Their airplane was sabotaged and crashed, seriously injuring the Admiral and killing his wife, and most of the secret police onboard who were checking the Spain links to the George Bush family's reputed dirty business aided by Redspan and the bank secret wire transfers. This occurred not so long before the posting of this story.

So, just before the murder of Foster, the Iraqi plotters were tricked into trusting Bush/Clinton/Greenspan. By this duplicity, Saddam found out and had the plotters assassinated.

The day before the murder of Foster, the Director of the FBI, William Sessions, was sacked by Clinton. What was the reason planted in the monopoly press? That Sessions had misused his position by taking his wife along, at unauthorized government expense, on official FBI airplane flights. Sessions had in place an elite team [of people whom] he believed were above reproach, investigating the high-level treasonous criminality of Bush/Clinton/Greenspan/Weinberger and related culprits. The way Sessions was unceremoniously removed was a tip-off. Sessions should have known he was sailing into shark-invested waters.

Several weeks before the death of Foster, German counter-intelligence in Frankfurt was actively surveilling three Israelis apparently residing only temporarily in their venue. This team was reportedly [comprised of] academic types—actually, properly called "professors" in Israel. They had no known criminal or other negative background. But the German Secret Police through long-reliable sources came to understand that this trio was actually a one-time contract "hit" team, not directly under the auspices of the State of Israel or The Mossad. In plain language: paid, private, contract killers, exclusively for this mission.

Through their American affiliates, the German spooks traced the team later to the District of Columbia. The

Germans were informed that their bird-dogging of the “hit” team would lead to tremendous U.S.-German intelligence agency friction. That is, they were told to lay off.

(Also reportedly a part of German counter-intelligence from Frankfurt was Andreas Strassmeir. He reportedly infiltrated one of the several teams involved in the multiple bombings of April 19, 1995—of a Federal office building [the Murrah Building] in Oklahoma City. Among other things, he was reportedly aware that the Elder Bush, upon the conclusion of the Persian Gulf War, quietly brought some five thousand Iraqi military intelligence officers and their families to reside in the U.S., many [of whom] became residents of Oklahoma City. Through German-U.S. cooperation arranged by the Elder Bush, Strassmeir was kept out of the hands of the FBI as part of the FBI cover-up. Prior to the trial of the so-called “lone bomber”, Timothy McVeigh, his attorney filed a Petition for Mandamus to try to require the McVeigh murder trial judge in Denver (where the case was removed to) to put into the public court record certain documents. The extraordinary Petition filed with the Federal Appeals Court was not mentioned—in the monopoly press. The Petition referred to documents, some in the secret record and some in the public court record, showing that Iraq was behind the Oklahoma City terrorism, operating through U.S. dissidents as surrogates not knowing for whom they were doing it. Saddam Hussein, Bush’s former private business partner, got his revenge on the U.S., and Clinton/Bush covered it up because of the treasonous links to themselves.

Related facts: Little known when it comes to security of the President and other high-level U.S. and foreign visiting dignitaries, the U.S. Secret Service has been known for decades to rely on so-called “retired” or elder statesmen of the crime syndicate. So, when a President was to come through Chicago or stop there, the advance team of the Secret Service [would] consult with local, trusted mobsters. Was some lone-nut, weirdo, would-be assassin going to endanger the President? they [would] inquire. The elder mobsters and their own intelligence network always accommodated the Secret Service with pertinent answers and data; true in the past, true now.

Further, when the Secret Service works on a particularly difficult project, they set up what nowadays is called a “focus group”—made up of university criminology professors, “retired” mobsters and other highly well-informed types. So, after the death of Foster, the Secret Service set up several “focus groups” to consider what had happened. And some of the “focus group” participants later informed us. Those details were corroborated by the suppressed report of the retired intelligence agents referred to in this series. The several sources thus confirmed details including:

—That the Israeli one-time “hit” team was known to be in the vicinity of the District of Columbia at the time of the murder of Foster.

—That they confronted Foster that fateful day in July 1993. They dealt with Foster the same way the Gestapo team did when they came to the home of General Edwin Rommel, during World War II. Rommel knew. Foster knew. They had to go with “them”. The Gestapo, taking Rommel from his family, went with him nearby. They told Rommel they knew he was part of the cabal plotting to overthrow Hitler and thereby committing treason against the German State during the War. They handed Rommel a weapon, stating he could either shoot himself as they walked away or, if not, when they came right back, they would have to do the job. Either way, he was told, your family will be spared problems and it will be announced as

a natural death or a suicide caused by bad health—and that Rommel would remain an honored General in the public mind.

Similarly, Foster was told that they knew what he had done. That he could not be allowed to endanger the then new President, Clinton, and former President Bush, and Greenspan, and Weinberger and the future of the U.S. Government. At the behest of Bush/Weinberger, Israel was owed a favor. Israel was the trans-shipment point for weapons from the U.S. to Iran from the start of the Iran-Iraq war, in 1980. [He would have been told] that Israeli intelligence had documented proof and pictures proving that Bush arranged the delay of the release of the U.S. hostages held by Iran, in return for the U.S. weapons shipments—the delay designed to show President Carter, running for re-election, as a wimp, unable to get back the hostages. The treasonous deal, done in a Paris suburb in October 1980, called the “October Surprise”, put in the Reagan/Bush ticket. The hostages were released just at the moment Reagan/Bush were inaugurated, in January 1981. And the Israeli Government had proof of President Clinton’s complicity later in the cover-up of treason of his crony, the Elder Bush.

Foster was confronted with his role jointly with Hillary Clinton in American LaFarge, the U.S. unit of a French firm in which the Elder Bush reportedly has a large financial interest. Hillary had been a Director of the firm, which reportedly supplied the ingredients for poison gas to Israel’s sworn enemy, Iraq.

Foster was suicided near the White House. His body was transported to Fort Marcy Park, Virginia, a Civil War Memorial site. Finding the body there would enable Israel and others to possibly set off an international scandal—in that, right across the road, about 650 feet away from the park, was the residence mansion of the Saudi Ambassador. Questions could be raised. Was the Ambassador complicit in the death of Foster? Did those in the residence hear the shots? And so on.

**Of course, the White House knew the truth. The super-secret National Reconnaissance Office, in charge of satellites, satellite spy and imaging, had images showing the body being moved to Virginia. The White House and that location in Virginia are under total 24-hour surveillance. Fort Marcy Park is not far from the CIA headquarters. Every blade of grass is under satellite imagery.** A key operative of the NRO, who knew about all this, Daniel Potter, was murdered in March 1998—[which was] covered up as an unsolved crime.

Other details discussed with the “focus” groups and corroborated in the suppressed report of the retired intelligence agents:

—Foster’s body was prepared for cover-up burial by a reputed CIA-contract mortuary, doing work for the “Defense Department” as a cover.

—The Prosecutor in the District of Columbia, under the real circumstances of the death of Foster in the Capitol, certainly knew that his office had jurisdiction to investigate the murder of Foster, the highest-ranking U.S. Government official to be murdered since the assassination of President Kennedy. The Prosecutor’s Office had more than 500 clear pictures showing various aspects of the body and related matters. Some pictures showed Foster was finished off with a small-caliber gunshot to the back of his neck, directly contradicting any story of supposed “suicide”. [Not many suicides have two bullet wounds in the back of the head, alright.] Three days after the Prosecutor’s Office began their inquiry, several purported “Government agents”, heavily armed, showed up but did not show credentials, if

any. They quickly carted away most anything and everything in the Prosecutor’s Office that could have contained Foster pictures, documents and data. This event was never reported in the monopoly press. The pictures were referred to, however, in the suppressed report, and discussed during the sessions of the Secret Service “focus” groups.

Several years later, the *Washington Post* ran the barest mention of some of the details of the “hit” team. One story was by then *Washington Post* reporter Michael Isikoff. To pander to his CIA-media bosses at the *Post*, he wrote a story designed to heckle all items contradicting the Foster “suicide” story as mere conspiracy theories, starting one article very briefly mentioning me. (The *Washington Post* was exposed in a book, the first edition of which was suppressed: *Katherine the Great*, about Katherine Graham, supposed owner of the *Post* and her CIA links, by Deborah Davis.) Isikoff went to work for the sister publication, *Newsweek*. Isikoff reportedly is an asset of The Mossad. Some contend his meddling and complicity resulted in the murder of White House intern Mary Cairtin Mahoney, who was murdered by an intelligence agency team in a Starbucks Coffee Shop right in the District of Columbia in July 1997, now falsely blamed on a “lone nut”. Mahoney knew of Clinton giving U.S. financial, industrial, and military secrets reportedly to the head of the Red Chinese Secret Police, Wang Jun, who is also a private law client of alleged “Independent” Counsel Kenneth W. Starr.

Another story about me in the *Washington Post* was on the front page, July 4, 1995. That early morning I received two calls. The first caller identified himself as a U.S. correspondent for the Israel newspaper, *Ma’ariv*. **“Mr. Skolnick, your story is going to cause the head of The Mossad to be assassinated. You are a Jew. How can you do such a thing?”** he blurted out. He did not want to hear that nobody from the *Post* had interviewed me in respect to the story that day. I did not finger the chief of The Mossad. I offered to FAX him some details. He hung up.

The second caller that morning identified himself as the U.S. correspondent of “Israel National Radio”. He started right off condemning me, the minute I answered the phone: **“Mr. Skolnick, you are a bad Jew. Stop these terrible stories!”** he screamed at me. Without hollering back, I tried to explain what I knew. I suggested, “You in the Israel press should grill your man Isikoff for putting matters upside down, and now you condemn me for it as if I own the *Washington Post*.” The caller did not seem interested in my explanations.

**So, in sum, these are the ones who had an interest to either have Foster murdered, or cover it up when they found out it happened.** One way or another, Foster had to be silenced about his foreign bank accounts, which overlapped those of the Elder Bush/Caspar Weinberger, and were reportedly arranged by secret bank wire transfers directly arranged and approved by Alan Greenspan, head of the super-secret PRIVATE bank, called the Federal Reserve:

- (1) WILLIAM ROCKEFELLER CLINTON
- (2) HILLARY RODHAM-CLINTON
- (3) GEORGE HERBERT WALKER BUSH
- (4) CASPAR WEINBERGER
- (5) ALAN GREENSPAN or as we prefer to call him ALAN REDSPAN

Refer to the documents attached to the Part One and Part Two of this story, and particularly the Swiss bank account, Geneva.



# The News Desk

By John Ray

## HISTORY ON TRIAL UPDATE: ISRAEL RELEASING EICHMANN TOME

By L. Copans, *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, 2/28/00

Jerusalem (AP)—Israel announced Sunday that it would release the memoirs of Nazi war criminal Adolf Eichmann and offer them in defense of an Emory University professor facing a libel suit for accusing a British writer of denying the Holocaust. Israel will give the public access to the 1,300-page, handwritten papers, penned in an Israeli prison and kept under wraps for nearly 40 years, the Justice Ministry said in a statement. In the memoirs, the overseer of the Nazi death machine reportedly says the mass killing of Jews during the Holocaust was the worst crime in human history.

Israel had agreed in August to publish the diary after one of Eichmann's sons, Dieter, threatened legal action to claim the book as family property. Only a few scholars have seen it.

Israeli officials originally had planned to compile the papers and let a German research institution prepare them for scholarly publication. The publication of the uncensored, untranslated memoirs has been a key demand of Holocaust historians.

According to the decision Sunday by Israeli Attorney General Elyakim Rubinstein, the public will be allowed to obtain typed versions of the memoirs and view the original, handwritten notes in the state archive, subject to conditions set by archive officials.

"It has been decided that there is importance, as part of the historical commitment of the state of Israel, to let the memoirs be viewed by the public," read the release. The diary was expected to be released in the coming days.

A copy also will be given to American author Deborah Lipstadt "in her defense of a suit by a Holocaust denier," read the statement, released after a meeting of top judges, legal officials and historians at Rubinstein's office.

British writer David Irving is suing Lipstadt for libel in Britain for writing in a 1994 book that he denied the Holocaust and distorted the truth of what happened in World War II. Irving says he does not deny that Jews were killed by the Nazis but challenges the number and manner of Jewish concentration camp deaths.

Under British law, a libel claimant need only prove that his reputation has been damaged. Truth is not necessarily a defense.

Lipstadt, a professor at Atlanta's Emory University, and her co-defendant, Penguin Books, deny libel.

Israel hopes that publication of the memoirs will reveal proof of the Nazi machine to disprove Irving's case.

Irving has in the trial disputed historically accepted witness accounts that hundreds of thousands were gassed to death at Auschwitz.

Eichmann wrote the diary while in jail from 1961 to 1962, after Israeli agents captured him in Argentina and brought him to trial in Israel.

Eichmann wrote in the final copy that the killing of the Jews was the worst crime in the history of mankind, Israel radio reported.

**[JR: The defense must be in deep trouble even with their millions of "documents of evidence" of their Holocaust claims to suddenly request a copy of Eichmann's writings/diary the State of Israel has had under seal since they executed him in 1962. If these writings really did support Israel's claims of the Holocaust, then why did they**

**prevent the public from seeing them for 38 years? At this point, I would have to suspect serious abuse of editorial license and their translation for publication. Israel said an advance copy will be given only to the defense (for selective excerpts), and yet Mr. Irving was ordered to turn over his personal diary also to the defense. Is something wrong with this picture or am I just being "paranoid" about redefining "factual history"?)**

## MOST AMERICANS AGAINST MILITARY DEFENSE OF TAIWAN, ISRAEL AND S. KOREA—POLL

*NewsMax.com* <newsmax@sonic.sparklist.com>, 3/3/00

A *NewsMax.com*/Zogby poll released today indicates that Americans—by large majorities—oppose the United States defending militarily several key allies, including Taiwan, Israel and South Korea. The *NewsMax.com*/Zogby poll questioned 1,155 Americans last weekend and asked: "If attacked by another country, should the U.S. help defend militarily, even though it could cost American soldiers their lives... " such hot spots as Kosovo, Israel, Taiwan, South Korea and Kuwait. In each and every case, a significant majority of respondents said they would oppose using the U.S. military to aid these countries, some long-time U.S. allies. The *NewsMax.com*/Zogby poll had a statistical margin-of-error of +/- 3%.

*NewsMax.com*/Zogby Poll Question: "If attacked by another country, should the U.S. help defend militarily, even though it could cost American soldiers their lives, {country}?"

Country	YES	NO
Taiwan	31%	69%
Israel	41%	59%
Kuwait	29%	71%
S. Korea	28%	72%
Kosovo	26%	74%

## WE WILL TAKE A CHILD FOR A CHILD, ISRAEL TELLS SYRIA

By Phil Reeves, *Independent*—UK, 2/25/00

JERUSALEM—Tension between Israel, Lebanon and Syria rose anew after an outburst by Israel's Foreign Minister, David Levy, in which he threatened to trade "child for child" if guerrillas rocketed Israeli border towns.

Yesterday the Lebanese Prime Minister, Selim al-Hoss, described the Minister as "evil" and "afflicted by racism and arrogance". On Wednesday night Mr. Levy, looking flushed and angry, told the Knesset that Israel would retaliate "blood for blood, soul for soul, child for child" if Hizbollah guerrillas in South Lebanon fired on Israel's northern border towns.

Although it was not the Minister's first outburst in recent days—earlier this month he threatened to make the soil of Lebanon "burn" in the event of a Hizbollah attack—its ferocity caused surprise and anger across a wide spectrum of opinion and will have inflicted more damage on the frozen Israel-Syria negotiations.

In the Arab world, Mr. Levy's behaviour added to indignation generated by Israel's assault on Lebanon on 8 February, when jets bombed three power stations, injuring at least 15 civilians, in response to the killing of Israeli soldiers by Hizbollah guerrillas in the zone Israel occupies in South Lebanon. Egypt's Foreign Minister, Amr Moussa, said Mr. Levy's performance was a "very bad indicator".

Syria's Government newspapers expressed astonishment and Mr. Hoss replied with rhetoric of his own: "The children of Lebanon whom Levy is threatening to kill will remain the torch of the resistance that cannot be extinguished by hate or terrorised by aggression. Their innocence will remain the light which eliminates the darkness of the sick spirits and exposes their bad intentions."

Mr. Levy also came under fire at home, not least because his verbal pyrotechnics came when hostilities in the occupation zone had simmered down and after a period in which Hizbollah had, pointedly, refrained from firing rockets into Israel, despite the civilian casualties inflicted by Israel.

Although Israel's Prime Minister, Ehud Barak, gave his Minister formal support, reports circulated that his office was appalled, and had not been warned. A minister reportedly remarked: "The Foreign Minister is meant to be the most careful and moderate of diplomats, not a zealous demagogue." Nor is the United States likely to have been pleased, given that the speech can only have made its task as mediator in the Middle East peace negotiations even harder.

Yesterday, Mr. Levy's motives remained unclear. Israel has been angered by invective emanating from Syria which said Israel's bombing attacks, in violation of the agreed rules of engagement, were worse than the conduct of the Nazis. There was also speculation that Israel was attempting a "good cop, bad cop" strategy to force Syria back into negotiations. But there was little sign this was working.

Damascus again made clear it would not resume peace negotiations before it gets a clear commitment from Israel to withdraw from the Golan Heights, which it seized in 1967 and later annexed. "Syria is not in a hurry," said a Government paper. Short of that, Israel "will have to wait a long time without seeing Syria getting near the negotiating table".

**[JR: Mr. Levy's vitriolic and incendiary statements and his pit-bull style of diplomacy reflect badly on Israel's foreign policy. You don't negotiate peace through open threats and intimidation. Under present circumstances, Syria cannot be faulted for proceeding with caution and for taking a wait-and-see attitude with this kind of typical Israeli arrogance.]**

## YAHOO! ASSURES ADL IT WILL WORK TO REMOVE HATE CLUBS WHICH VIOLATE POLICIES

ADL National Desk, *Boston Globe*, 2/25/00

NEW YORK (U.S. Newswire)—The Anti-Defamation League (ADL) today received assurances from Yahoo!, one of the nation's largest and most popular Internet companies, that it will work to remove racist and anti-Semitic clubs from its service.

Writing in response to the ADL's concerns, Yahoo! apologized for not addressing the violations sooner. A Yahoo! service representative indicated that several of the offending clubs had been removed and that further corrective action would be taken. The Internet service provider encouraged ADL's Internet Monitoring Unit to report violations, saying it takes "very seriously" every allegation of abuse.

"We are pleased that Yahoo! has responded to our concerns by pledging to work on upholding its terms of service against hate," said Abraham H. Foxman, ADL National Director. "We welcome Yahoo!'s understanding of and concern for the problem. We hope to continue to work with Yahoo! and other Internet service providers to monitor hate and deny extremists a platform at web-sites where user policies strictly forbid offensive material."

The League had called on Yahoo! to remove the racist clubs, saying that dozens contained hateful messages and racial diatribes that clearly violated Yahoo!'s Terms of Service Agreement, which prohibits users from transmitting content that is deemed "hateful, or racially, ethnically or otherwise



objectionable". The League noted that several clubs at the Yahoo! site are devoted to members and supporters of notorious hate groups such as the Ku Klux Klan, National Association for the Advancement of White People, and World Church of the Creator.

"We will continue to monitor Yahoo! and other Internet service providers to ensure that they live up to their service agreements and notify them when action is necessary," Foxman said.

The Anti-Defamation League, founded in 1913, is the world's leading organization fighting anti-Semitism through programs and services that counteract hatred, prejudice and bigotry [sic].

**[JR: Don't you just love it when these American companies just bend over backwards and grovel before these legitimized hate groups targeting anyone that questions them as an "anti-Semite hate group"? We (non-Jews) ARE the Semites from the tribe of Shem, and it has nothing to do with being Jewish or anti-Jewish. Jews do NOT call themselves Semites, they call themselves JEWS—get it?]**

#### AUSTRIA'S HAIDER RESIGNS

By R. H. Reid, *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, 2/28/00

VIENNA, Austria (AP)—Right-wing leader Joerg Haider, criticized for his anti-foreigner statements and past praise of some Nazi-era policies, resigned as head of the Freedom Party Monday in an apparent bid to end Austria's international ostracism following his party's rise to power.

Haider, 50, made the announcement at a closed-door meeting of his Party's national leadership at a hotel in downtown Vienna.

Haider remains Governor of Carinthia Province and insisted that his decision does not mean he is retiring from national politics.

Although Haider holds no post in the national Government, his controversial image and his iron-hand control of the Freedom Party made him the most visible member of the Austrian political establishment, eclipsing his coalition partners, the center-right Austrian People's Party of Chancellor Wolfgang Schuessel. The Freedom Party remains a member of the ruling coalition.

"I want to avoid our ministers having to face the claim that they must refer every decision to the shadow chancellor in Carinthia," Haider told reporters. "The Freedom Party ministers are not puppets."

Haider will be succeeded as party chief by his trusted lieutenant, Vice Chancellor Susanne Riess-Passer, 39. She told reporters she had not wanted Haider to step aside, but she said she respects his decision.

The *Austria Press Agency* said Haider told the party leadership meeting that he was stepping down because he did not want "to stand in the way" of the work of the new Government.

The 14 other European Union members have downgraded relations with Austria because of the presence of Haider's party in the Government which took office Feb. 4. The outrage was based on Haider's anti-immigrant stand and his past praise of veterans of the murderous Waffen SS and Adolf Hitler's "orderly" employment policies.

Nevertheless, Haider bristled at suggestions that he was bowing to foreign pressure.

"I accept no pressure from outside," he told reporters. "We are accustomed to making our own decisions."

There was no immediate reaction from other EU members. In Washington, however, State Department spokesman James Rubin said Haider's resignation was a "step in the right direction" but noted that the Freedom Party remains in government "so we'll be watching their behavior".

Some Austrian politicians and commentators doubted that the resignation signaled the end of Haider's influence on the national Government. Riess-Passer and others in the Freedom Party leadership have long-established reputations as Haider disciples who owe their political positions to him.

The newly elected head of the opposition Social Democratic Party, Alfred Gusenbauer, said the resignation was simply "one of Haider's many tactical tricks" and would give the coalition a respite from criticism.

Thomas Prinzhorn, a senior Freedom Party official, said Haider would remain the "top man" of the Party.

However, Rabbi Marvin Hier of the Simon Wiesenthal Center said Haider's decision was the "first sign of a breath of fresh air" since Haider's Party finished second in the Oct. 3 parliamentary elections, which paved the way to joining the ruling coalition.

Hier said the international criticism was pivotal in forcing Haider to step down.

"We only hope that his resignation sends a strong message to other members of the Freedom Party that no one is prepared to accept a party whose platform is based on xenophobia and bigotry," Hier told the *Associated Press*. "We hope the Freedom Party will get the message."...

...Despite the international furor, Haider remains popular with many Austrians and within the Party he nearly single-handedly built up from a small, unknown group to the second-largest political force in the country.

Haider has led the Freedom Party since 1986 and was elected Governor of Carinthia Province in March.

Haider's Party exploited widespread concern over the impact of immigration and EU expansion on Austrian jobs to finish second in the parliamentary election with 52 of the 183 seats in the National Assembly.

The left-leaning Social Democrats finished first, winning 65 seats but too few to govern on their own.

At EU ministerial meetings on Monday, Austrian delegates were publicly shunned. At a defense ministers' meeting in Sintra, Portugal, Belgian delegate Andre Flahaut skipped lunch, telling reporters, "I don't eat with fascists," in protest against the presence of Austrian Defense Minister Herbert Scheibner....

**[JR: Here is a classic example of how a small group of mental midgets can get themselves strategically placed into many of the world's governments and impose their will over a democratic election of another sovereign nation based on their own xenophobic fears. Do they think they are the only ones who remember the past lessons of Hitler's Germany? How many politically-correct EU leaders who shunned Austria's legitimate international representatives acted out of any sense of decency or respect? My count would be zip—zero. They had to fall in line because it really wasn't Austria they feared.]**

#### ANOTHER FINE MESS—THE NEXT BALKAN WAR

By A. Gerolymatos, *Globe and Mail*—Canada, 2/24/00

When NATO went into the Balkans, it poured oil on troubled bonfires. Macedonia is likely to be the next hot spot.

The next Balkan war is about to begin. The West, unwittingly, has already planted the seeds.

The clashes this past week in the town of Mitrovica and the escalating attacks against United Nations and NATO trucks and vans transporting Serbs are indicative of the increasing frustration of the Kosovo Albanians as well as the Serbs in Kosovo and the rump Yugoslavia.

It is becoming evident that the Kosovo Liberation Army will not give up its fight for independence, particularly after the guerrilla group succeeded in bringing NATO to fight its battle in the first place.

Indeed, NATO and the United States had been working

with the KLA even before the three-month bombing campaign that forced Serb leader Slobodan Milosevic to quit Kosovo. U.S. and NATO military commanders had to prepare for the possibility of a ground offensive. In that context, they viewed the KLA as a potential asset. KLA guerrillas knew the terrain and had established bases within Kosovo. If a ground offensive had taken place, they were in an ideal position, in conjunction with NATO commando forces, to cause considerable damage behind enemy lines.

A ground offensive did not materialize. But as NATO and other international forces occupied Kosovo, elements of the KLA followed in their wake, linking up with their guerrilla bands already operating in the region. The KLA quickly permeated the civil and governmental apparatus of the towns and cities in Kosovo, adding a political element to its military capability.

As was the case in Afghanistan, Bosnia and other trouble spots, the KLA had not only received training, but also sophisticated weapons and a variety of small arms and explosives. In effect, the KLA has acquired legitimacy and now represents the aspirations of the Albanian [*Khazarian*] nationalists. After all, from the perspective of the Kosovo Albanians, why did NATO fight, if not to support them and their quest for a single home for all Albanians?

Security in the Balkans is inextricably linked to ethnic homogeneity.... Minorities occupying "national space" in host [*yes, parasites need a host*] countries adjacent to their "national state" are particularly in danger and have often been treated as a fifth column.... Almost every Balkan country has territorial claims based on overlapping historical truths. This was partly the cause of the Yugoslav wars and is certainly a factor with the Albanians.

Under these circumstances, the festering crisis in Kosovo is going to escalate, leaving Europe to face its first drawn-out war in the new millennium, with the likelihood of additional NATO commitment. (In Washington yesterday, France's visiting Defence Minister said his country will send as many as 700 extra troops to Kosovo. The announcement came after a senior NATO military official said in Brussels that commanders of the NATO-led peacekeeping force had called for reinforcements because they felt that 30,000 troops in the southern Serbian province are not enough.)...

...The next hot spot, however, is likely to be the former Yugoslav republic of Macedonia, Kosovo's neighbour.

Although a large number of Albanians returned to Kosovo, some, including members of the KLA, have remained in the former Yugoslav republic. As a result, the small republic is hard-pressed to cope with demands brought forward by an increasingly militant Albanian minority. Increased trade with Greece and support from Athens mitigate the problems to some degree, but the pressure from a well-armed KLA in Kosovo may prove irresistible.

Before the war, the Albanians represented anywhere from 20 percent to 40 percent of the population, depending on who calculated the statistics. But the fact remains that there is a substantial Albanian minority that does not identify with the Slavic-speaking majority and is physically located next to Kosovo. The almost begrudging response by the authorities to the Albanian refugee problem during the NATO bombing left little doubt that more Albanians were not welcome. In addition, the expanding traffic of weapons and explosives from the KLA in Kosovo and periodic killings and bombings in Skopje are reminders that the Balkan problem is simmering there.

The frequent hostilities in Macedonia between the Government forces and militant Albanians are either instigated by the KLA or are inspired by the success of the Albanians against the Serbs in Kosovo....

...The KLA needs the support of the local population to survive, and the price of that support is a policy of national

affirmation—in other words, a Greater Albania. If Macedonia loses its cohesion and falls apart, the vacuum created will inevitably cause a chain reaction dragging Yugoslavia and Bulgaria into the fray.

The Milosevic regime would jump at the opportunity to rehabilitate its public image by taking advantage of any opportunity to reclaim parts of the lost Serbian Province of Macedonia. The Bulgarians, on the other hand, will intervene, since they consider the small republic a historical part of Bulgaria... Hostility for Albanian Muslims could easily spill over on the Muslims in Bulgaria, thus dragging Turkey into the Balkan pot....

...Finally, there is the Russian wildcard. It is not certain how Russian President Vladimir Putin will react to future Balkan crises....

...Are the Balkan peoples doomed to undergo a cycle of war, death and destruction? If history is any indication, the recent conflict is simply a continuation of a process that has been unfolding for more than 1,000 years. Before NATO forces entered Bosnia and Kosovo, it was the armies of Nazi Germany in the Second World War and those of Kaiser Wilhelm II during the Great War, before it became fashionable to number global slaughters. In the 19<sup>th</sup> Century, the Balkans were the battleground of struggles for independence against a corrupt and decaying Ottoman Empire. Five hundred years earlier, it was the fossilized and decrepit Byzantine Empire that had lost the territory to the Ottomans; before that, the Romans and the Greek city-states fought for control of the region.

Now it is the Americans who have led the NATO alliance in their own peculiar foray into the Balkan quagmire. The peace accords NATO achieved that ended almost three months of intensive bombing of Yugoslavia do not offer a practical solution to the problem of the KLA or Albanian nationalism, nor do they achieve security for the Balkans. Sadly, they lead to further war, millions of displaced persons and the prospect of new chapters in Balkan history [*a history thoroughly dominated by Khazarian influence*].

**[JR: The occupation of Kosovo by NATO troops and U.S. influence has not brought any resolution to the problems in the region. NATO created a monster with support of and reliance on the KLA to stabilize Kosovo. NATO's original plan was and still is to relocate the Serbs, Croats and Albanians into a repartitioned Yugoslavia and create a peace. They have yet to figure a way to make it work with people who have hated each other for centuries. Has peace worked in a divided Ireland? Do they actually believe it will work in Yugoslavia?]**

#### POLITICS, THREATS PUT CHINA'S TRADE STATUS TO TOUGHEST TEST

By Merrill Goozner, *Chicago Tribune*, 2/25/00

WASHINGTON—The chance that Congress will approve permanent Normal Trading Relations with China this election year is fading fast.

It used to be called Most-Favored Nation [MFN] status, and every year in Congress it raised a storm of opposition because of China's human- and labor-rights violations before it eventually passed.

This year the measure faces its toughest battle yet because passage would make this the last time lawmakers got to vote on the measure. A trade pact signed by U.S. and Chinese negotiators last fall that will allow China to enter the World Trade Organization requires the U.S. to grant China permanent Normal Trading Relations or the numerous concessions China made to get into the WTO will not go into effect....

...The latest blow for those favoring permanent Normal Trading Relations with China was delivered by Beijing. This week, the world's most populous nation threatened to use force to bring Taiwan, which it considers a renegade province, to the

reunification negotiating table. China has made similar threats and undertaken military maneuvers before Taiwanese elections in the past.

President Clinton acknowledged Thursday in a speech to the Business Council that China's actions were jeopardizing the trade deal. Even so, he said, "it would be a terrible mistake" to reject the pact. This is "the kind of opportunity that comes along once in a generation".

The statement by China was like a stick in the eye to Taiwan's numerous allies on Capitol Hill, who already are upset by China's military buildup, its sales of nuclear-missile technology to North Korea, Iran and Pakistan, and its alleged spying in the U.S.

Three weeks ago, the House overwhelmingly passed the *Taiwan Security Act*, which would provide new arms and strengthen security ties between the U.S. and Taiwan. The matter now goes to the Senate, where it is expected to pass easily, given China's recent threat. The White House says the President will veto the measure.

Even staunch free-trade advocates now are raising questions about whether [or not] the votes will be there to pass a trade accord between the U.S. and China.

"The reckless threat to use force against Taiwan over negotiations on the future of cross-straits relations and the recurring human-rights violations will necessarily affect the Senate's consideration of the (trade) agreement," Sen. William Roth (R-Del.), Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, said Wednesday. He warned that Senate approval is not a foregone conclusion.

The issue divides Democrats. Legislators from heavily unionized districts vehemently oppose normalizing China's trade status, which would end the annual review of China's labor and human-rights record.

The annual review of China's trade status is required by a 1976 law known as the *Jackson-Vanik Amendment*. It targeted countries that limited emigration and engaged in systematic human-rights abuses.

Placing such conditions on trade was made illegal by the 1994 treaty establishing the World Trade Organization, the Geneva-based, quasi-governmental body that establishes and adjudicates the global rules of trade....

...Having one of the world's fastest-growing economies, China has wanted to join the WTO for years, in part for prestige but also because reformers inside the Chinese Communist Party believe it will help them advance market reforms in their stumbling state-owned sector.

To get in, China had to negotiate bilateral agreements with WTO members with whom it has outstanding trade complaints, including the U.S. Under last fall's trade accord, in which China made numerous concessions, the sole U.S. obligation, according to U.S. Trade Representative Charlene Barshefsky, was granting China permanent Normal Trading Relations.

The verbal volleys over getting that passed began in earnest on Capitol Hill on Wednesday, when free traders from both political parties expressed contempt for the alleged flip-flops on the issue of Chinese trade by Vice President Al Gore, the front-runner for the Democratic presidential nomination.

During last week's AFL-CIO meeting in New Orleans, Gore told leaders of the nation's 13 million unionized workers that he supported inclusion of labor and environmental standards in future trade accords.

He also told the labor leaders, a spokeswoman for the federation confirmed, that he backed the pending China deal, which does not have those provisions.

Democrats in Congress who oppose the China deal interpreted Gore's comments as support for their efforts to postpone the vote until after the fall election....

...The Republicans, who control both houses of Congress, would like to postpone the vote until as late in the year as

possible. That would weaken Gore's support among organized labor, which has vowed to run a nationwide campaign to defeat permanent Normal Trading Relations for China.

The two leading Republican candidates, Texas Governor George W. Bush and Arizona Senator John McCain, support the China pact but would like a vote that divided Gore's support nearer Election Day....

...Christopher Galvin, Chief Executive Officer of Motorola, showed up on Capitol Hill on Wednesday to press the business case. "Permanent Normal Trading Relations status is not a reward to China or a blanket endorsement of its policies," he said. "It is one of the best tools we have at our disposal to influence developments inside China by supporting a more open and market-based system."...

...But Democratic Party insiders estimated 20 to 30 more Democrats will join the opposition this year as a result of the grass-roots campaign against passage. A number of Republican supporters are also likely to defect, in large part due to national security concerns raised anew by this week's war of words across the Taiwan Straits.

**[JR: If China's admission to the WTO is delayed until after the elections, it's a win/win situation for both parties. All those globalists who do business worldwide will send their bags of money and our greedy Congress will suffer from human-rights amnesia. China can be patient with all the political machinations because she's holding all the aces re Taiwan.]**

#### WTO APPEAL BODY RULES AGAINST U.S. TAX LAW

*Chicago Tribune*, 2/24/00

GENEVA, (*Reuters*)—The World Trade Organisation's appeals body ruled on Thursday that a U.S. tax scheme affecting hundreds of billions of dollars in industrial and agricultural exports violated global free-trade rules.

It upheld a dispute-panel ruling of last October and agreed with the European Union that the U.S. Foreign Sales Corporations Scheme (FSC) constituted a "prohibited exports subsidy".

The three-member Appellate Body recommended that the United States amend the illegal system, which dates to 1985, to bring it into line with WTO regulations governing international trade.

The EU, which brought the complaint in 1998, welcomed the decision and called on the United States to implement it.

U.S. Trade Representative Charlene Barshefsky "strongly disagreed" with the appeal body's ruling. She considered the FSC "completely consistent" with U.S. obligations under the WTO....

...But Washington appealed, arguing its system created a "level playing field" in global markets to offset advantages enjoyed by EU-based firms exempted from value-added tax (VAT) on exports.

"We welcome this decision. The FSC system and its predecessor have had a major negative effect on international trade to the detriment of European companies," European Trade Commissioner Pascal Lamy said in a statement....

...The EU argued that the FSC scheme is an export subsidy to U.S. corporations, assisting U.S. exports worth an annual \$250 billion and saving them \$3.5 billion taxes through exemptions.

FSCs are so-called "shell companies" of American corporations established in a tax haven such as the Virgin Islands, Barbados or Guam. U.S. firms exporting through them qualify for income-tax relief. Dividends paid by FSCs to parent companies are not subject to U.S. tax, according to the EU.

EU officials say that around half of all U.S. exports are made through FSCs and that all major U.S. corporations—

including Microsoft, Motorola, Ford Motor and Exxon-Mobil—export through FSCs.

The WTO's Appellate Body said: "The FSC measure creates a 'subsidy' because it creates a 'benefit' by means of a 'financial contribution', in that government revenue is foregone that is 'otherwise due'. This 'subsidy' is a 'prohibited export subsidy' under the (WTO) *Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures* because it is contingent upon export performance....

...Roderick Abbott, EU Ambassador to the WTO, declined to speculate about either a negotiated settlement or retaliatory measures....

...The Appellate Body panel was headed by Uruguay's former Ambassador to the WTO, Julio Lacarte-Muro.

The WTO's Dispute Settlement Body, composed of the 135 WTO member states, must adopt the latest report to set in motion the process of U.S. implementation....

**[JR: All these member states signed the WTO treaty to promote the illusion of "free trade" by eliminating tariffs and unfair subsidies—and yet, without exception, they all are circumventing the rules in various ways. It is the people that are the losers. Through these FSCs the U.S. loses billions in tax revenue, not just from American import/export companies but from all of the international conglomerates. Their scheme is to do most of their international purchase transactions and mark up their price at or near retail level "off shore", so when finally sold in any given country, especially in the U.S., there is minimal profit to require taxes. Wait until China finishes the world's largest shipping-container port in the Caribbean—it will be the world's largest international-commerce, "off shore", tax-free supermarket.]**

#### GET READY FOR GLOBAL GOVERNANCE

By Henry Lamb, *WorldNetDaily*, 3/2/00

Rarely is the term "global governance" heard on the evening news. When it does appear, the term "conspiracy theory" is usually in the context. While America is watching the political circus, the international community is bringing together all the elements of global governance in preparation for the big event scheduled for September. A full calendar of events has been prepared. (See [www.un.org/millennium/2000cal.htm](http://www.un.org/millennium/2000cal.htm).)

Americans don't care what the United Nations is doing, in the belief that nothing the UN does can affect us anyway. This may have been true in the past, but no more. The magnitude of societal change that is underway is almost beyond comprehension. These changes are already affecting individual Americans, but rarely is the impact recognized as the result of United Nations influence.

We are already deeply into the era of global governance. It is a process that began many years ago. The events scheduled for this year, culminating in the September World Summit, will put in place all the international mechanisms necessary to ensure that there is no turning back. It is important to realize that global governance will not be imposed suddenly, upon adjournment of the World Summit. After the summit, implementation of global governance will accelerate, but it will still take several years to fully transfer sovereignty from nation-states to a central global command.

International law will be the supreme law of the planet. Individual nations will be required to conform their laws to international norms. For those who say "never in America", wake up and smell the roses. The World Trade Organization already has the power to require conformance of national law to WTO policy. And it has the power to impose financial penalties upon those nations that fail to do so in a timely manner.

The UN's *Kyoto Protocol*, though not fully negotiated,

will have similar power to set strict, legally enforceable limits on the use of fossil fuel in America.

The *Convention on Biological Diversity*, even though it was not ratified by the U.S. Senate, is being implemented under the guise of the President's "Land Legacy" initiative. The published goal of the treaty is to return "at least 50 percent" of the total land area to wilderness and manage most of the rest of the land for conservation objectives.

We are seeing global governance at work when policies that originate with the UN are implemented in America, despite the absence of Senate ratification, Congressional authorization or even the awareness of local elected officials. The rash of national monument designations and the "roadless" initiative, which closes access to public lands, has the effect of converting land to wilderness—precisely as prescribed by the *Convention on Biological Diversity*. We are moving to a system of global governance in which national governments are transformed into administrative units to implement UN policies. State governments are being transformed into administrative units to implement national policy, and local governments are being transformed into administrative units to implement state policies.

This transformation has accelerated dramatically under the Clinton/Gore Administration, which is one of the strongest proponents of global governance in the international community. International policies that affect land use and energy are most visible. Less visible are the international policies that affect education, technology development, the flow of information, transportation and security.

International policy already exists in all these areas and is in various stages of implementation around the world. The entire plan for global governance (see [www.sovereignty.net/p/gov/gganalysis.htm](http://www.sovereignty.net/p/gov/gganalysis.htm)) was published by the Commission on Global Governance in 1995.

Many of the changes called for in their report have already been implemented. For example, the creation of the International Criminal Court (see [www.cgg.ch/](http://www.cgg.ch/)) and the establishment of a new "Peoples' Assembly" (see [www.millenniumforum.org/index.html](http://www.millenniumforum.org/index.html)) that will hold its inaugural meeting May 22-26, 2000.

Other recommendations, such as the elimination of the veto and permanent-member status in the Security Council; a standing world army; the authority to impose global taxation; and dozens of others are being systematically implemented throughout the United Nations system. The consequences of this shift to global governance are incomprehensible to those who have not followed its progress. The society resulting from this transformation, though called "democratic," is socialist. Under the published vision of global governance, policy decisions are made by non-elected, carefully selected individuals. All sources and means of production are controlled by the central authority. The flow of information, too, is to be regulated by the central authority, as well as the educational curriculum. Enforcement of policy decisions will be at the hands of the International Criminal Court and the UN standing army. And there will be no hope of rebellion.

Central to the objective of global governance is control by the UN of the manufacture, sale and distribution of all firearms (see [www.millenniumforum.org/index.html](http://www.millenniumforum.org/index.html)).

Possession of a firearm will be legal only when licensed by the United Nations. The recent call by the President to license all gun owners in America fits quite nicely into the United Nations' plan.

The painful reality is that many Americans see nothing wrong with global governance. The United Nations Association in America boasts hundreds of thousands of members who lobby their elected officials to allow international policies to be implemented.

Non-Government Organizations [NGOs] such as the Sierra Club and the Audubon Society claim millions of

members who actively support this transformation. School children, even the Boy Scouts, have been taught that global governance is the answer to the world's problems. Those who challenge the globalist vision are immediately labeled as "right-wing extremists".

The treaties, agreements and other documents required to bring about global governance have all been prepared and many are being implemented. When the World Summit convenes in September, there is little doubt that the body will adopt the concept and usher in the era of global governance officially.

The only way to avoid this tidal wave of global socialism is the withdrawal of the United States from the United Nations. Should we fail to withdraw and continue to yield our sovereignty to this international body, it will, in relatively short order, drain our economic capacity to the point that we will no longer be able to chart our own course. The coming election may be the last opportunity we have to send people to Washington who value our sovereignty or our freedom.

[Henry Lamb is the Executive Vice President of the Environmental Conservation Organization and Chairman of Sovereignty International.]

#### HOUSE MEMBER INTRODUCES WTO WITHDRAWAL RESOLUTION

*The Washington File*, U.S. Dept. of State, 3/2/00

A U.S. congressman from Texas has introduced a resolution in the U.S. House of Representatives that triggers a process that will require Congress to vote, within a few months, on whether the United States should withdraw from the World Trade Organization (WTO).

Representative Ron Paul, a Texas Republican, introduced the bill March 1. Congressional leaders had expected some member to introduce such legislation; the 1994 law implementing U.S. participation in the WTO authorizes special consideration by Congress of such legislation once every five years.

Under the law, the bill is considered "privileged"—no leaders or other members can use tactics to stall or block Congress from voting on it....

...Following is the transcript of Paul's statement as published in the Congressional Record:

(begin transcript)

#### INTRODUCING LEGISLATION CALLING FOR THE UNITED STATES TO WITHDRAW FROM THE WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION

...Mr. Speaker, I rise today to announce my introduction of and request cosponsors for a privileged resolution to withdraw the United States from the World Trade Organization.

Last week, the Wall Street Journal reported that the United States was dealt a defeat in a tax dispute with the European Union by an unelected board of international bureaucrats. It seems that, according to the WTO, \$2.2 billion (\$2,200 million) of United States tax reductions for American businesses violates WTO's rules and must be eliminated by October 1 of this year.

Much could be said about the WTO's mistaken Orwellian notion that allowing citizens to retain the fruits of their own labor constitutes subsidies and corporate welfare. However, we need not even reach the substance of this particular dispute prior to asking: **By what authority does the World Trade Organization assume jurisdiction over the United States Federal tax policy?** That is the question.

At last reading, the *Constitution* required that all appropriation bills originate in the House, and specified that only Congress has the power to lay and collect taxes. Taxation without representation was a predominant reason for

America's fight for independence during the American Revolution. Yet, now we face an unconstitutional delegation of taxing authority to an unelected body of international bureaucrats.

Let me assure Members that this Nation does not need yet another bureaucratic hurdle to tax reduction. Article 1, Section 8 of the United States *Constitution* reserves to Congress alone the authority for regulating foreign commerce.

According to Article II, section 2, it reserves to the Senate the sole power to ratify agreements—namely, treaties—between the United States Government and other governments.

We all saw the recent demonstrations at the World Trade Organization meetings in Seattle. Although many of those folks who were protesting were indeed rallying against what they see as evils of free trade and capitalist markets, the real problem when it comes to the World Trade Organization is not free trade. **The World Trade Organization is the furthest thing from free trade.**

**Instead, it is an egregious attack upon our national sovereignty**, and this is the reason why we must vigorously oppose it. No nation can maintain its sovereignty if it surrenders its authority to an international collective. Since sovereignty is linked so closely to freedom, **our very notion of American liberty is at stake in this issue.**

Let us face it: Free trade means trade without interference from governmental or quasi-governmental agencies. The World Trade Organization is a quasi-governmental agency and, hence, it is not accurate to describe it as a vehicle of free trade. Let us call a spade a spade: **The World Trade Organization is nothing other than a vehicle for managed trade whereby the politically connected get the benefits of exercising their position as a preferred group;** preferred, that is, by the Washington and international political and bureaucratic establishments.

As a representative of the people of the 14<sup>th</sup> District of Texas and a Member of the United States Congress sworn to uphold the *Constitution* of this country, it is not my business to tell other countries whether or not they should be in the World Trade Organization. They can toss their own sovereignty out the window if they choose. I cannot tell China or Britain or anybody else that they should or should not join the World Trade Organization. That is not my constitutional role.

I can, however, say that the United States of America ought to withdraw its membership and funding from the WTO immediately.

We need to better explain that the Founding Fathers believed that tariffs were meant to raise revenues, not to erect trade barriers. American colonists, even before the War for Independence, understood the difference.

When our Founding Fathers drafted the *Constitution*, they placed the treaty-making authority with the President and the Senate, but the authority to regulate commerce with the House. The effects of this are obvious: **The Founders left us with a system that made no room for agreements regarding international trade;** hence, our Nation was to be governed not by protection but, rather, by market principles. Trade barriers were not to

be erected, period.

A revenue tariff was to be a major contributor to the U.S. Treasury, but only to fund the limited and constitutionally authorized responsibilities of the Federal Government. Thus, the tariff would be low.

The colonists and Founders clearly recognized that these are tariffs or taxes on American consumers, they are

not truly taxes on foreign corporations. This realization was made obvious by the British Government's regulation of trade with the colonies, but it is a realization that has apparently been lost by today's protectionists.

Simply, protectionists seem to fail even to realize that raising the tariff is a tax hike on the American people. (end transcript)

*In fulfillment of legal requirements, this is the third of four publications of this Public Notice.*

### PUBLIC NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING

Being notified this day, February 17, 2000 of the following information, we are required to run this as a Public Notice of Understanding.

Received By

E.J. Ekker, President Global Alliance Investment Association (GAIA), a duly authorized and registered corporation in the State of Nevada, United States of America

\*\*\*

This is an informal notification to be validated by your publishing a "Notice of Understanding " as agreed for cross-information prior to this date.

This is to further notify you that you have been under surveillance during an investigation as requested by the Central Bank and the Securities and Exchange Commission of the Philippines. We find you clear and acknowledge the removal of Embassy personnel from your immediate location.


It has been found that there are no acts of misrepresentation or acts of using that which is not authorized for use as a corporation or as persons within the corporation. We apologize for any unnecessary delays or inconvenience during this investigative period. Please understand the need for full compliance as to your involvement in business in the Philippines, as well as an investigation which satisfies our need for recognition of some of the parties with whom you are/were associated.

You should be aware that parties to your original corporation remain under full investigation, as are some parties making claims to your document.

You are also noticed that at least one (former) major business associate of GAIA is now being paid as an informer and disinformation resource by agents of the U.S. Government through a non-public funding resource. We have found no connection longer applicable to yourselves or your corporation. We suggest that you remain clear and separate in all ongoing ventures.

For your personal security we suggest that you continue to follow the guidelines that have protected you thus far. Neither the Philippine Administration nor their Securities and Exchange Commission have finished their investigation, so continue your low profile.

In compliance with the above instructions we hereby enter this notification as a PUBLIC NOTICE under penalty of perjury:



E.J. Ekker, President



# New Gaia Products

Order by Mail	1999 Order Form	Order by Phone
New Gaia Products P.O. Box 27710 Las Vegas, NV 89126		1 (800) NEW-GAIA (639-4242) 1 (702) 263-5181 FAX
(Please Print)		
Name	Date	
Street Address		
City/Town	State/Prov.	Zip Code
Daytime Phone No.		
Credit Card No. (Visa, Master Card or Discover)	Expiration Date	
Signature For Credit Card Orders		

**\*\* SHIPPING & HANDLING RATES:**

FOR: CA, WA, OR, AZ, MT, UT, ID, CO, NM, WY, NV		FOR THE REST OF CONTINENTAL USA	
\$ 0-100	\$6.00	\$ 0-100	\$8.00
\$ 101-200	\$7.00	\$ 101-200	\$9.00
\$ 201-300	\$8.00	\$ 201-300	\$10.00
\$ 301-400	\$9.00	\$ 301-400	\$11.00
\$ 401-500	\$10.00	\$ 401-500	\$12.00
\$ 501-600	\$11.00	\$ 501-600	\$13.00

**ALASKA & HAWAII PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES**  
**NOTE:**  
**\*\*** For UPS 2nd day to Rural Alaska, please call for rates.  
**\*\*** For Priority Mail to any locations, please call for rates.  
**\*\*** All Foreign orders, please contact our office in writing for specific rates as rates vary greatly.  
**\*\*** When ordering cases of product call for shipping rates.

FOR ALL BREAD MACHINES, BREAD MIXES, FLOUR ORDERS, PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES AND MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, CALL FOR SHIPPING COSTS.

Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount	Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount
GAIANDRIANA LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00			OXYSOL Trace minerals & Colloidal Silver 2 oz.	\$ 8.00		
	\$ 40.00				\$ 45.00		
AQUAGAIA (Mitochondria) LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00				\$ 75.00		
	\$ 40.00			GAIACLEANSE KIT 14-DAY PARASITE PROGRAM	\$ 48.00		
GAIALYTE 2 liters	\$ 8.50			<i>Individual components sold separately—call for prices</i>			
	\$ 15.00			GULF WAR SYNDROME "Starter Kit"	\$260.00		
KOMBUCHA TEA BREEZE 1 liter	\$ 3.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND 2 oz.	\$ 6.00		
	\$ 6.00			NICOTINE__ CAFFEINE__ ALCOHOL__			
KOMBUCHA TEA VINEGAR 16 oz.	\$ 6.00			SUCROSE__ STARCH__			
CARBRAGAIA (FIBRINO-CARTILAGE) 8 oz.	\$ 8.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND TRAVEL PACK	\$ 15.00		
MELLOREAM BEVERAGE POWDER 3.25 lb	\$15.00			<del>*HITACHI (HB101) BREAD MACHINE</del>			
"3 IN 1" GRAPE SEED EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$18.00			<del>(FACTORY BLEMISHED/REFURBISHED)</del>			
"4 IN 1" WILD YAM EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			No Longer Available			
A-C-E Anti-Oxidant Formula 180 TABLETS	\$24.95			*GAIASPELT (Whole Wheat & Spelt) BREAD MIX	\$ 3.50		
CHLORELLA 300 TABLETS/500mg. EA.	\$ 21.00			* GAIASPELT FLOUR 2 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 2.50		
ECHINACEA GOLD PLUS 90 TABLETS	\$24.50				\$ 5.00		
GAIATRIM — 30 Day Supply	\$35.00				\$ 10.00		
GINKGO BILOBA (24% Extract) 180 TABLETS	\$24.95			*GAIASPELT Kernels 4 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 5.00		
OLIVE LEAF 60 TABLETS	\$24.00				\$ 12.50		
OLIVE LEAF EXTRACT 35 PG. BOOKLET	\$ 2.75			*PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGE 1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.) 1 Bottle AquaGaia (1 qt.) 2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each) 4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix 5 Audio-cassettes			
	\$ S&H included						
RARE EARTH CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00			* MAINTENANCE PACKAGE 1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.) 2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each) 4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix			
POSLIN CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00						
ALOE PLUS 77 60 CAPSULES/450mg. EA.	\$16.95			* MiCROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS ALKALINE/ACIDIC WATER SYSTEM			
ALOE FREEZE DRIED CAPS 90 CAPSULES	\$30.00						
NONI 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			VORTEX KIT	\$ 8.00		
MEGA-MULTI VITAMINS 30 CAPSULES	\$11.00			ADZUKI BEANS 50-LB BAG	\$ 50.00		
ALOE JUICE Whole Leaf Aloe Vera Concentrate (10X STRENGTH) 1 liter	\$18.00			No Longer Available			
SUPER OXY (CHERRY-BERRY) (CRANBERRY-APPLE) 1 quart	\$18.00						
BODY BOOSTER 32 oz.	\$20.00						
LIQUID LIFE 32 oz.	\$22.00						
GAIAGLO LOTION 4 oz.	\$20.00						
HORSETAIL TINCTURE 2 oz.	\$ 8.00						
GAIA VITE Colloidal Multi-Vitamin & Mineral 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA COL Colloidal Silver with trace minerals & Trace Gold suspended in a distilled water fluid 16 oz.	\$56.00						
	\$96.00						
GAIA GOLD Colloidal Gold 2 oz.	\$20.00						
	\$112.00						
	\$192.00						
GAIA DHEA Colloidal Dehydroepiandrosterone 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIA CU-29 Colloidal Copper 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA TI-22 Colloidal Titanium 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIALIFE COLLOIDAL MINERALS 121++ 2 oz.	\$10.00						

PLEASE USE THE SHIPPING RATE CHART (located on the top of this order form) WHEN CALCULATING SHIPPING FOR ALL New Gaia Products.

\* FOR PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES and MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, BREAD PRODUCTS, MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS, BEANS AND LENTILS PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES.

PLEASE ALLOW 3 TO 6 WEEKS FOR DELIVERY.

Please make all checks and money orders payable to:

**New Gaia Products**  
 P.O. Box 27710  
 Las Vegas, NV 89126

<b>TOTAL</b>	
SHIPPING & HANDLING	
SUB TOTAL	
SALES TAX	Nevada Residents only: add 7%
<b>TOTAL ENCLOSED</b>	



# PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *Phoenix Journals* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE Journals** ARE \$6.00; ANY **4 Journals** ARE \$5.50 EACH; **10 OR MORE Journals** ARE \$5.00 EACH (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER  
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

**PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, Inc.**  
P.O. Box 27353  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126

(or call)  
**1-800-800-5565**  
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

## Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

**USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)**  
UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

**ALASKA & HAWAII**  
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l


**CANADA & MEXICO**  
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l  
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

**FOREIGN**  
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l  
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

**PLEASE NOTE:**

**CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are NOT the same! Checks sent for JOURNALS or book orders should NOT be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.**



### Editorial Policy

Opinions of the *CONTACT* contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

## CONTACT: THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR

is published by  
**CONTACT, Inc.**  
P.O. Box 27800  
Las Vegas, NV 89126  
Phone: (800) 800-5565

### SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565. Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.

Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS		QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS							
Qty OF ISSUES	U.S.	U.S. w/ENVELOPE	CAN/	FOREIGN	Qty OF ISSUES	10 COPIES	25	50	100
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$45	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

### BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders

Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges

## TELEPHONE HOTLINE 661-822-0202

As an adjunct to *CONTACT*, the Telephone Hotline keeps you as informed as possible on current events and other important information that needs to get to our subscribers before our publishing date.

The message machine will answer after 2 rings if there are any new messages for that day, and after 4 rings if not. Thus *daily* callers can hang up after 2 rings and save toll charges if no new message has been recorded. If the Hotline does not answer your call, then there is currently no Hotline message.