


CONTACT

PHOENIX JOURNAL REVIEW

News Reviews, Previews and Alternative Views

*NOT TO OPPOSE ERROR IS TO APPROVE IT
NOT TO DEFEND TRUTH IS TO SUPPRESS IT*



VOLUME 44, NUMBER 10

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

MARCH 23, 2005

Neo-Cons Squelch UN And Commander WB

“Give me control of ... money and I care not who makes the laws”—Mayer Amschel Bauer (Rothschild)

President Bush has just nominated Under Secretary of State John R. Bolton as Ambassador to the United Nations and Deputy Defense Secretary Paul D. Wolfowitz as President of the World Bank. These two highly notable Neo-Cons are discussed in this issue's excerpt from Michael Collins Piper's The High Priests of War but are fully worthy of additional focus at this time.

The U.S. has the most say in the World Bank due to its ownership of more bank shares than any other nation. The U.S. has the largest voting share on the 24-member board of the World Bank and is the only nation with power of veto, so the eventual approval of the Wolfowitz nomination is essentially a foregone conclusion.

Nothing at all stands in the way of John Bolton's nomination as U.S. Ambassador to the UN and his role there is seen as an attempt to squelch the significance of the UN in world affairs.

[QUOTING, emphasis added:]

‘GIVING THE WORLD THE MIDDLE FINGER’
Jamaica Observer, 3/19/05

...The man he is sending to join the representatives of the world community doesn't believe there should be a United Nations at all. He is John Bolton, a member of the sub-species epitomised by the troglodyte Senator Jesse Helms. He once said, “If the UN building in New York lost 10 storeys, it wouldn't make a bit of difference.” On another occasion, Bolton put forward the following opinion: **“If I were redoing the**

“The UN doesn't exist.”



John Bolton

Security Council today, I'd have one permanent member because that's the real reflection of the distribution of power in the world.” It certainly takes a lot of gall to believe, let alone express, that sort of naked ethnocentrism, hubris and contempt....

[END QUOTE]

A milestone achievement for Mr. Bolton was in gaining exemption for the United States from the International Criminal Court, one of the proudest days of his life, in his own words.

Meanwhile, Paul Wolfowitz's appointment as head of the World Bank shows that the essence of Neo-Con unilateralism is monetary in nature, bringing to mind the infamous Mayer Amschel Bauer (Rothschild) quote to the effect that with the control of money “it matters not who makes the laws”—of a nation or a world, apparently.

Let us recall that Mr. Wolfowitz served as U.S. Ambassador to Indonesia and oversaw the

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

<i>The High Priests of War Part Three: The Network.....</i>	page 4
<i>The Sacred Hill Within Chapter 7: Prayer.....</i>	page 6
<i>GAIA Offers Unlimited International Relief Fund.....</i>	page 8
<i>Clouds Over Mount Saint Helens.....</i>	page 9
<i>Back to UFOs and ETs: “Far Out” Distractions.....</i>	page 10
<i>‘Exo-Political Commentary’ Tall White Extraterrestrials.....</i>	page 11
<i>World News Insights.....</i>	page 14

slaughter of over 800,000 in East Timor.
From Russia’s perspective, trading Wolfensohn (the current head of the WB) for Wolfowitz amounts to nothing less than the wolf shedding sheep’s clothing and baring his fangs.
[QUOTING:]

ANOTHER WOLF FOR RUSSIA
By John Helmer, *Asia Times*, 3/18/05

...Wolfowitz is hardly an American at all, having spent much of his time as a career Washington warmonger under investigation by U.S. counter-intelligence and security agencies as an agent for a foreign power [*Israel*], for whose benefit he is suspected of supplying intelligence, cash, weapons, and other favors. In U.S. law books, these may be crimes against the state, possibly espionage, even treason, but not crimes against humanity, for which Wolfowitz has encouraged his favorite small state to commit and encouraged his addled (if not yet treasonous) president to commit in the first of the great Middle Eastern wars.

For Russia, against whom Wolfowitz has been waging war since he got out of short pants, the nomination of Wolfowitz as president of the World Bank presents something of a dilemma, and something of an opportunity.

Borrow from a war criminal?

During the first post-Soviet decade, the World Bank under James Wolfensohn was one of the many instruments the United States, as the World Bank’s dominant shareholder, used to destroy the economic foundations of its rival superpower; pay stipends to Russian quislings; oblige the Russian government to incur sizable debts for the privilege of being advised to dismantle its systems of command and control; and transfer the nation’s most valuable resources into the hands of a dozen individuals eager to betray their country for personal profit.

Not without reason was Wolfensohn’s favorite Russian Victor Chernomyrdin—the prime minister who enriched himself through creating Russia’s largest company, Gazprom. Wolfensohn was waging war by other means: Chernomyrdin was his collaborator; and the Russian treasury paid in full—principal and interest—for its defeat.

This arrangement couldn’t last. And indeed, when the revival of the feeble Russian state began to challenge the value and terms of the World Bank’s operations in Russia, Wolfensohn decided to commission an assessment of the effectiveness of the programs he himself had promoted in Russia. Wolfensohn could have engaged Joseph Stiglitz, the World Bank’s chief economist and Nobel Prize winner [*from whom we will hear more in the next reviewed article*]. But by the time Russia had grown skeptical of Wolfensohn, and called a halt to new borrowings from him, Stiglitz had become a ferocious critic of everything Wolfensohn had done, or tried to do.

Wolfensohn preferred to hand the assessment job to a minor academic who had enriched himself selling Russians the very advice Wolfensohn asked him to evaluate. The hungry fox invited to call the roll in the henhouse was a U.S.-employed Swede named Anders Aslund. “We don’t necessarily take his advice,” commented Julian Schweitzer, the World Bank’s Moscow representative at the time, about Aslund’s appointment. Aslund’s defense of everything

Wolfensohn had done in Russia did not encourage the Kremlin to resume borrowing. Instead, it resolved to pay Wolfensohn off, a task that the Russian Treasury completed just a few months ago.

From Wolfensohn’s point of view, the evaluation may have helped salve the wounds Stiglitz had inflicted on him and the institution. More practically, it encouraged him to try evading the Kremlin’s veto on borrowing, and recruit thin Chernomyrdins in the Russian provinces—provincial governors, local warlords, and corporate magnates—as keen to leverage themselves with the World Bank as the fat Chernomyrdin, and president Boris Yeltsin, had been 10 years before.

With tactics like these, Wolfensohn has hung on for another four years after the Aslund report, but in Moscow he has remained a has-been, the banker no one wants to borrow from. Wolfowitz’s nomination—if it is ratified by the World Bank board—ought to remove any possibility that Russia, now a greater oil power than Wolfensohn or Wolfowitz have thought possible, would borrow itself, or recommend that anyone else should.

The dilemma posed by the Wolfowitz nomination turns out to be an opportunity for President Vladimir Putin to conclude that, from Russian experience, the World Bank does more damage than good, and should be isolated and ignored by those countries and economies most in need of development financing. This should not be interpreted as anti-Americanism. If the Federal Bureau of Investigation were permitted to disclose all it knows, Wolfowitz may not be the American he claims to be. And with a record like his, it may be a violation of the U.S. statutes to borrow from Wolfowitz. In U.S. jurisprudence, it is not just immoral to make covenants with war criminals, it is criminal.

[END QUOTING]
Next we will hear from Joseph Stiglitz, former Chief Economist of the World Bank under James Wolfensohn and predecessor to John Perkins of Economic Hit Men fame in the role of whistleblower of World Bank activites, as he comments on the Wolfowitz appointment.
[QUOTING:]

‘THE WORLD BANK WILL BE HATED’
By Liam Halligan, *The Daily Telegraph*, 3/20/05

Joseph Stiglitz holds a Nobel Prize in economics. He is a professor at New York’s Columbia University and a former chairman of the U.S. government’s Council of Economic Advisors. Now 62, he remains one of the very best—and most widely-respected—economists in the world.

But ask him about George Bush’s nomination of Paul Wolfowitz, a Pentagon hardliner, as next World Bank President, and Stiglitz’s language is harsh.

“This is either an act of provocation by America, or an act so insensitive as to look like provocation,” he says. “The World Bank will once again become a hate figure. This could bring street protests and violence across the developing world.”

The World Bank is the world’s most important development institution. It is the main lender to poorer countries for a whole range of projects, including the fight against poverty and HIV/Aids.

Wolfowitz is U.S. deputy defence secretary and

widely regarded as the chief intellectual architect of the Iraq war. An arch “neoconservative”, he is probably Bush’s most hawkish advisor and, in some diplomatic circles, an incendiary figure.

The U.S. choice for World Bank president generally gets the job.

“My worry,” says Stiglitz, “is the World Bank will now become an explicit instrument of U.S. foreign policy. It will presumably take a lead role in Iraqi reconstruction, for instance. That would seriously jeopardise its role as a multi-lateral development body.”

This is Stiglitz’s first public utterance since last week’s nomination. His views matter. When he was the World Bank’s chief economist—under the current president, James Wolfensohn, whose decade-long tenure ends in June—he rebuilt its reputation.

Stiglitz steered the organisation away from the discredited diet of fiscal austerity and rapid market liberalisation it had force-fed developing countries for years. He fears a reversal if Wolfowitz takes the helm, and imports his tough-minded Pentagon instincts.

“In recent years, more moderate policies and an anti-poverty focus have won the bank much more respect across the developing world,” he says. “That progress would be badly undermined by an extreme turn to the right.”

Wolfowitz’s views on development remain vague. But his mere appointment, says Stiglitz, would destroy the World Bank’s credibility among poorer nations, preventing it from promoting even sensible policies.

“Good reforms, including efforts to tackle poverty and disease, will be tainted,” he says. “Governments in developing countries will come under enormous pressure, with elections being fought on whether or not they will kick out the World Bank.”

Stiglitz says that, in the wake of the Iraq invasion and the torture of prisoners at Abu Ghraib, Wolfowitz will never be accepted by many countries the World Bank is supposed to assist. “The arguments for war were lies. Human rights abuses have been exposed. That’s why objections to this nomination are felt very deeply in many parts of the world.”

President Bush promised a more conciliatory diplomatic touch during his second term. Yet barely a week before nominating Wolfowitz, he named John Bolton as U.S. ambassador to the United Nations. Bolton is another hardliner and an outspoken UN critic. All this, says Stiglitz, is a deliberate display of raw American power.

“So what if the rest of the Western world is talking about global poverty? The U.S. wants to demonstrate it can do whatever it wants. The only way to hold power, after all, is to exercise power. This nomination is the exercise of power.”

I put it to Stiglitz that Wolfowitz is an intelligent and capable man with wide experience in U.S. public service. He knows how to run sprawling bureaucracies and has served, too, as ambassador to Indonesia, a large and populous developing country.

“But he has no training or experience in economic development or financial markets,” Stiglitz says. “Poverty is a major global concern and the Bank is the most important institution addressing that. So we need someone in charge who knows developing countries and who knows development.”

Stiglitz suggests Arminio Fraga, Brazil’s former central banker, Ernesto Zedillo, the former Mexican President, and Kemal Dervis, who as finance minister

steered Turkey through financial crisis. “All three are first-rate economists who command global respect. Why should the president always be an American?”

Stiglitz is difficult to dismiss. He has sat at the very highest U.S. policy-making tables. But he bemoans the “carve-up” which allows Europe to pick who runs the International Monetary Fund, while America gets the World Bank. “This makes a mockery of the G7’s so-called commitment to democracy and transparency.”

And as our conversation ends, Stiglitz paints a picture of how he sees the broader impact of Wolfowitz running the World Bank. “This nomination has sparked feelings of despair across the world that voices aren’t being heard,” he says.

“A set of hard-line policies imposed by the U.S. through the World Bank would lead to a strong sense of alienation. Europe must now stand up and veto this nomination. If it fails to do so, I worry the U.S. will try to push through almost anything it wants.”

[END QUOTING]

Indeed, “Not to oppose error is to approve it.”

Why would the U.S. promote Paul Wolfowitz? It’s all about the power of money, as Jim Vallette documents in the following article.

[QUOTING, emphasis added:]

WHY WOLFOWITZ?

By Jim Vallette, <TomPaine.com>, 3/17/05

President George W. Bush has shocked the international development world by announcing that he wants Assistant Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz to be the next president of the World Bank. Choosing Wolfowitz for this job makes perfect sense if the Bush administration intends to completely alienate the world community. It’s the worst presidential nomination since Ronald Reagan picked James Watt to head the Interior Department, and it betrays the government’s practice of putting business and geopolitical interests above all else.

The U.S. government has selected every World Bank president in the “development” institution’s 60-year history, and alone holds *de facto* veto power on its executive board. **Through this dominant position, U.S. administrations have long used the Bank to pry open developing countries’ economies and resources—to satisfy the insatiable appetites of U.S. corporations.** This primary objective of Washington’s policy at the Bank has been threatened in recent months and years by calls to democratize the institution, and to end its support for export-oriented oil projects.

At the past two World Bank annual meetings, ministers and lawmakers from Africa, Latin America, Asia and the Pacific pointedly demanded that the democratically elected representatives of borrowing nations be the final arbiters of all economic policies in their countries. They are challenging the very structure of the Bank—which would entail taking voting power from the wealthiest nations. Also, a Bank-commissioned study last year recommended that it phase out all financing of oil projects, because the **exhaustive investigation found no examples**

where such projects alleviate poverty. The Bank itself has rejected its own commission’s recommendations.

The United States fears democracy and reform at the Bank. In a confidential June 2003 note to the World Bank board, then-Executive Director for the United States Carole Brookins wrote a terse rebuttal. “Giving population and other factors a weight in voting strength would create a radically different, less desirable and non-financial structure for the Bank,”



she said.

Bush and Vice President Dick Cheney are now striking back with another demonstration of “shock and awe” by nominating Paul Wolfowitz, a primary architect of the Iraq invasion and the botched reconstruction efforts thereafter. Wolfowitz must be approved by the World Bank’s executive board to get the job. For the sake of the world’s poor, let’s hope that the board rebuffs this nomination. [Ed: *Ah BUT, the U.S. holds sole veto power on the Board and has many methods of “suasion” (from carrots to jackals) to apply to the 191 member nations.*]

Over decades of political work, Wolfowitz and longtime buddies Donald Rumsfeld and Cheney have mastered the art of packaging raw geopolitical and corporate objectives into initiatives named otherwise. Strategic oil fields have preoccupied them in and out of office.

It is almost a natural progression for the Bush/Cheney administration to want someone this steeped in blood and oil in charge of the World Bank. He was a weapon of mass deception for corporate quests in Iraq. At the Bank, he can serve the same function under the cloak of poverty alleviation.

Wolfowitz long advocated for the Iraq invasion, partly on the basis that Saddam Hussein controlled a lot of the world’s oil. In 1998, he advocated the creation of a “liberated zone” in Southern Iraq, and the creation of a “provisional government to control the largest oil field in Iraq and make available to it, under some kind of appropriate international supervision, enormous financial resources for political, humanitarian and eventually military purposes”, in testimony before Congress. “Saddam’s supporters in the Security Council—in particular France and Russia—would suddenly see a different prospect before them. Instead of lucrative oil production contracts with the Saddam Hussein regime, they would now have to calculate the economic and commercial opportunities that would come from ingratiating themselves with the

future government of Iraq.”

With the invasion of Iraq, Wolfowitz executed a similar agenda, using oil resources as a lever for economic, military and commercial opportunities. Occupied Iraq represents the main “development” experience of this would-be World Bank honcho.

Wolfowitz helped orchestrate the U.S. reconstruction agenda, first by trying to strong-arm non-coalition Europeans into canceling Iraq’s debt. “I hope they [JV: Paris, Moscow and Berlin] will think about how they can contribute to helping the Iraq people get on their feet,” he told an April 2003 Senate hearing. “I hope, for example, they’ll think about the very large debts that come from money that was lent to the dictator to buy weapons and to build palaces.” He has never vocalized opinions on debt cancellation accumulated by other odious regimes, as far as the public record reveals.

After the Europeans did not fall in line, Wolfowitz said the spoils of war—er, reconstruction contracts—should go only to those countries that supported the U.S. invasion. In a Dec. 3, 2003, memo, Wolfowitz limited the use of Iraq development funds to only those companies that are based in coalition countries. “Coalition partners share in the U.S. vision of a free and stable Iraq.

The limitation of sources to prime contractors from those countries should encourage the continued cooperation of coalition members,” he wrote.

Over the ensuing months, billions of dollars of oil export revenues flowed through the Coalition Provisional Authority-controlled Development Fund for Iraq (DFI)—and into the Bush/Cheney administration’s favored corporations.

An investigation by the agency’s inspector general hardly reads like a recommendation for a would-be president of the world’s largest development institution. The January 2005 audit found: “The CPA provided less than adequate controls for approximately \$8.8 billion in DFI funds, provided to Iraqi ministries through the national budget process. Specifically, the CPA did not establish or implement sufficient managerial, financial, and contractual controls to ensure funds were used in a transparent manner. Consequently, there was no assurance the funds were used for the purposes mandated by” the United Nations.

As with the Europeans, the Bush administration had a difficult time in getting the World Bank to walk in lock-step on Iraq. Outgoing World Bank President James Wolfensohn did not back Wolfowitz’s call for total debt cancellation, nor did he rush his employees into the country after the invasion. With many European powers locked out of reconstruction contracts, he had little chance of reaching a consensus on the Bank’s executive board.

The Bank’s reticence to finance projects in Iraq may have pushed Cheney and gang over the edge, ushering the embodiment of U.S. unilateralism into his anointed role. With Wolfowitz in charge, the World Bank may be able to complete what the Iraq invasion started two years ago: U.S. corporate control over the world’s second-largest oil reserves.

[END QUOTING]

Chertoff, Negroponte, Bolton, Wolfowitz: those who do not oppose, condone. And yes, there certainly are consequences.

The High Priests of War

Part Three: The Network

This installment of The High Priests of War dovetails perfectly with our lead article, documenting the roles of Paul Wolfowitz and John Bolton in the Neo-Con network. We again express our gratitude to author Michael Collins Piper for permission to publish this series.

THE NEO-CONSERVATIVE NETWORK

On December 13, 2002, *Counterpunch* magazine, published by maverick Irish-born American-based journalist Alexander Cockburn, featured an article raising the questions of “the Bush administration’s dual loyalties” and provided a fascinating overview of the neo-conservative network that ultimately led America to war. The authors were Bill and Kathleen Christison, a husband-and-wife team of former veteran U.S. Central Intelligence Agency analysts. They cited the Israeli sympathies of top neo-conservative policy makers inside the Bush administration, pointing out that—indeed—these neo-conservatives were closely aligned with the ideology of the Likud bloc in Israel. Their summary of the “cast of characters” among the neo-conservatives is precise and worth noting:

Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz leads the pack. He was a protégé of Richard Perle, who heads the prominent Pentagon advisory body, the Defense Policy Board. Many of today’s neo-conservatives, including Perle, are the intellectual progeny of the late Senator Henry “Scoop” Jackson, a strong defense hawk and one of Israel’s most strident congressional supporters in the 1970s.

Wolfowitz in turn is the mentor of Lewis “Scooter” Libby, now Vice President Cheney’s chief of staff who was first a student of Wolfowitz and later a subordinate during the 1980s in both the State and the Defense Departments. Another Perle protégé is Douglas Feith, who is currently undersecretary of defense for policy, the department’s number-three man, and has worked closely with Perle both as a lobbyist for Turkey and in co-authoring strategy papers for right-wing Israeli governments.

Assistant Secretaries Peter Rodman and Dov Zakheim, old hands from the Reagan administration when the neo-cons first flourished, fill out the subcabinet ranks at Defense. At lower levels, the Israel and the Syria/Lebanon desk officers at Defense are imports from the Washington Institute for Near East Policy, a think tank spun off from the pro-Israel lobby organization, AIPAC.

Neo-conservatives have not made many inroads at the State Department, except for John Bolton, an American Enterprise Institute hawk and Israeli proponent who is said to have been forced on a reluctant Colin Powell as undersecretary for arms control. Bolton’s special assistant is David Wurmser, who wrote and/or co-authored with Perle and Feith at least two strategy papers for Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu in 1996.

Wurmser’s wife, Meyrav Wurmser, is a co-founder of the media-watch website MEMRI

(Middle East Media Research Institute), which is run by retired Israeli military and intelligence officers and specializes in translating and widely circulating Arab media and statements by Arab leaders. A recent investigation by *The Guardian* of London found that MEMRI’s translations are skewed by being highly selective. Although it inevitably translates and circulates the most extreme of Arab statements, it ignores moderate Arab commentary and extremist Hebrew statements.

In the vice president’s office, Cheney has established his own personal national security staff, run by aides known to be very pro-Israel. The deputy director of the staff, John Hannah, is a former fellow of the Israeli-oriented Washington Institute.

On the National Security Council staff, the newly appointed director of Middle East affairs is Elliott Abrams, who came to prominence after pleading guilty to withholding information from Congress during the Iran-contra scandal (and was pardoned by President Bush the elder) and who has long been a vocal proponent of right-wing Israeli positions. Putting him in a key policymaking position on the Palestinian-Israeli conflict is like entrusting the henhouse to a fox.

Probably the most important organization, in terms of its influence on Bush administration policy formulation, is the Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs (JINSA). Formed after the 1973 Arab-Israeli war specifically to bring Israel’s security concerns to the attention of U.S. policymakers and concentrating also on broad defense issues, the extremely hawkish, right-wing JINSA has always had a high-powered board able to place its members inside conservative U.S. administrations. Cheney, Bolton and Feith were members until they entered the Bush administration. Several lower level JINSA functionaries are now working in the Defense Department.

Wolfowitz himself has been circumspect in public, writing primarily about broader strategic issues rather than about Israel specifically or even the Middle East, but it is clear that at bottom Israel is a major interest and may be the principal reason for his near obsession with the effort, of which he is the primary spearhead, to dump Saddam Hussein, remake the Iraqi government in an American image, and then further redraw the Middle East map by accomplishing the same goals in Syria, Iran, and perhaps other countries.

But his interest in Israel always crops up. Even profiles that downplay his attachment to Israel nonetheless always mention the influence the Holocaust, in which several of his family perished, has had on his thinking. One source inside the administration has described him frankly as “over-the-top crazy when it comes to Israel”. Although this probably accurately describes most of the rest of the neo-con coterie, and Wolfowitz is guilty at least by association, he is actually more complex and nuanced than this.

The Christisons pointed out that a *New York Times*

Magazine profile of Wolfowitz by the *Times*’ Bill Keller cites critics who say that “Israel exercises a powerful gravitational pull on the man” and notes that as a teenager Wolfowitz lived in Israel during his mathematician father’s sabbatical semester there. In addition, his sister is married to an Israeli. Keller even somewhat reluctantly acknowledges the accuracy of one characterization of Wolfowitz as “Israel-centric”. However, the Christisons note, “Keller goes through considerable contortions to shun what he calls ‘the offensive suggestion of dual loyalty’ and in the process makes one wonder if he is protesting too much.”

So the facts about the neo-conservative clique governing Bush administration policies are very clear. However, much of the mainstream media in America initially hesitated to emphasize the remarkable linkage and longtime associations of this clique of like-minded political power brokers. The independent media in America—such as the Washington-based *American Free Press*, among the foremost—that did dare to mention the prominent role of the “neo-cons” were often attacked as “conspiracy theorists” and even as “anti-Semites”, among many similar terms often used to confuse the issue and thereby redirect attention away from the intrigues of Israel and its American lobby.

THE TRUTH EMERGES IN THE U.S. MEDIA

Nonetheless, once the long-planned “neo-conservative”-orchestrated war against Iraq was safely under way, a front-page article in the March 21, 2003 issue of the pro-war *Wall Street Journal* admitted the truth. The headline in the article was straightforward: “A New Mideast—President’s Dream: Changing Not Just Regime but a Region. A Pro-U.S., Democratic Area Is a Goal That Has Israeli and Neo-Conservative Roots.” The article began by declaring frankly: “As he sends American troops and planes into Iraq, President Bush has in mind more than changing a country. His dream is make the entire Middle East a different place, and one safer for American interests.”

The article proceeded to describe the power of the pro-war neo-conservative network surrounding Richard Perle and his collaborator, William Kristol. The article summarized the events leading up to the decision by President Bush to wage war against Iraq and the role of the neo-conservatives in that process.

Just three days later, on March 24, 2003, the *New York Times* published a similar overview, declaring that the doctrine of preemptive war advocated by the neo-conservatives had its roots in the early 1990s. (However, as we shall see, the overall neo-conservative agenda goes back much further than that.) The *Times* article cited an un-named administration official as saying of the Iraq war: “This is just the beginning.”

THE EX-COMMUNISTS BECOME NEO-CONSERVATIVES

To understand the political orientation of the “neo-conservatives” and their agenda, it is critical to recognize not only the important role played today by the aforementioned William Kristol but also that of his father and mother and their associates who are central to the story of the development of the neo-conservative power bloc in America.

Although today Kristol is perhaps the best known of the neo-conservative voices in the media, he is much more than that. Not only is the chief public relations strategist—some might say “propagandist”—for the neo-conservatives, but he is also the scion of a powerful husband-and-wife team of American

Jewish writers—self-described “ex- Trotskyites”—Irving Kristol and Gertrude Himmelfarb. The senior Kristol—along with a handful of other like-minded thinkers—is generally hailed as the primary founding force behind the neo-conservative movement.

According to the American Jewish weekly, *Forward*, the small “mostly Jewish” group of “New York Intellectuals” operating in the senior Kristol’s sphere of influence were “known to insiders as ‘The Family’”—a designation that suggests to those schooled in the intrigues of the Cold War, perhaps some cryptic, almost cult-like bond, even a classic communist “cell”.

And indeed, there is a Cold War connection to Kristol and “The Family”, for—during the period from the 1930s to the 1950s—they were disciples of Leon Trotsky, the Bolshevik revolutionary, and arch critics of Trotsky’s fierce rival, Josef Stalin, who emerged as leader of the Soviet Union after forcing Trotsky into exile. However, as years passed, starting in the late 1950s and especially in the 1960s, their political philosophy began, it is said, to “evolve”. Yet, there are those who would say that the ex-Trotskyites are anything but “ex” at all; that, instead, they remain tried and true Trotskyites who have adapted their traditional philosophy to modern concerns, events, and political realities.

Michael Lind, author of a new biography of President George W. Bush, has noted the origins of this tightly-knit core then surrounding Kristol and in years to come and explains their shift in viewpoint:

Neo-conservatives were not traditional conservative Republicans. Most had been liberal or leftist Democrats; some had originally been Marxists. Many were Jewish and had broken with the Democratic left because of leftist hostility to Israel’s occupation of Arab land after 1967 and the hostility of many Black Power militants to both Jewish-Americans and Israel. Ronald Reagan was the first Republican president that many neo-conservatives had voted for.

While the foreign policy of the traditional Republican establishment reflected the fear of international disorder of the business elite, neo-conservative strategy reflected the crusading ideological fervor of former Wilsonian liberals [MCP: referring to former American President Woodrow Wilson who was a proponent of American interventionism abroad] and former Marxist revolutionaries, combined, in the case of many Jewish neo-conservatives, with an emotional ethnic commitment to the well-being of Israel.

ISRAEL AND THE NEO-CONSERVATIVES

American Jewish scholar, Benjamin Ginsberg, has described the central role of Israel’s security in the thinking of the neo-conservatives and on their political activities during the last quarter of the 20th Century:

Neo-conservative Jewish intellectuals were instrumental during the 1970s and 1980s in developing justifications for increased defense spending, as well as linking American military aid to Israel to the more general American effort to contain the Soviet Union.

Israel was portrayed as an American “strategic asset” that could play an important role in containing Soviet expansion into the Middle East.

A number of Jewish neo-conservatives became active in [lobbying] for increased levels of defense spending and the strengthening of America’s defense capabilities against what they

asserted was a heightened threat of Soviet expansionism.

A similar, although less friendly, assessment of the neo-conservatives was put forth in 1986 by famed American novelist Gore Vidal. Responding to allegations that he (Vidal) was “anti-Semitic” because of his criticism of the unusual degree to which American Jewish “neo-conservatives” were attached to Israel—more so than to America—Vidal called the neo-conservatives “empire lovers” and charged that there was one reason why these ex-Trotskyites were now so enamored of American military power:

In order to get [United States] Treasury money for Israel (last year \$3 billion), pro-Israel lobbyists must see to it that America’s “the Russians are coming” squads are in place so that they can continue to frighten the American people into spending enormous sums for “defense”, which also means the support of Israel in its never-ending wars against just about everyone. To make sure that nearly a third of the Federal budget goes to the Pentagon and Israel, it is necessary for the pro-Israel lobbyists to make common cause with our lunatic right.

At the time, however, Vidal had no idea how powerful the neo-conservatives would ultimately become. But, Vidal remains an outspoken critic of U.S. and Israeli imperialism, and is one of the most highly regarded English-language novelists in the world today.

Whatever their recognition among “intellectual” circles, the “neo-conservative” elements were virtual strangers (and still remain so) to the broad audience of American citizens. In fact, probably the first time the term “neo-conservative” was introduced to a wide-ranging national American audience was in the Nov. 7, 1977 issue of *Newsweek*, published by the same company that publishes *The Washington Post* newspaper.

By 1979, the first full-length book study of the “neo-conservatives” was issued by author Peter Steinfels. Entitled, *The Neo-Conservatives: The Men Who Are Changing America’s Politics*, this book described neo-conservatism as “a distinct and powerful political outlook [that had] recently emerged in the United States”.

The author hailed Irving Kristol, father of William Kristol, as “the standard bearer of neo-conservatism” and focused largely on Kristol and fellow intellectuals who were shaping the neo-conservative point of view. The book painted neo-conservatism as a newly-developing philosophy and largely focused on its domestic political outlook. Remarkably, very little of the book was even devoted to the neo-conservative foreign policy agenda, despite the fact that the neo-conservatives were, from the beginning, heavily focused on foreign policy. However, Steinfels did note that the neo-conservatives were, quite naturally, as ex-Trotskyites, hostile to the Soviet Union of Josef Stalin and his legacy.

However, the author did note the fact that there were many rumors swirling around Kristol, specifically the allegation that as far back as the 1950s, Kristol had been receiving subsidies from the American Central Intelligence Agency (CIA).

THE CIA AND THE NEO-CONSERVATIVES

In fact, as a far more recent volume, *The Cultural Cold War: The CIA and the World of Arts and Letters*, by Frances Stonor Saunders reveals, the circles in which Kristol was a key player—

surrounding a group known as the Congress for Cultural Freedom (which existed from 1950 to 1967) and the American Committee for Cultural Freedom (which existed from 1950 to 1957)—were indeed funded by the CIA. The author exhaustively investigated the activities of Kristol and his associates and has confirmed that Kristol owed much of his early fame and publicity to support from American intelligence.

According to a 1986 study by Sidney Blumenthal, a Jewish-American reporter for *The Washington Post* who later became a top advisor to President Bill Clinton, Irving Kristol was known as “the Godfather” of the neo-conservative movement to whom others went seeking sinecures and funding. Kristol “could arrange offers from institutes and foundations [so lucrative] that no conservative would refuse.”

One of Kristol’s protégés, Jude Wanniski—who has since largely broken with the “neo-cons”—was quoted as describing Kristol as “the invisible hand” behind the neo-conservative movement. Blumenthal noted that Kristol’s power was such that it could be compared to “a circuitry of influence that blinks like a Christmas tree when he plugs in”. In fact, through his magazines, *The National Interest* and *The Public Interest*, Kristol has expanded his influence, not only within Republican Party ranks but within the public arena as a whole.

Noting the Trotskyite origins of the “neo-conservatives”, Sidney Blumenthal assessed the nature of the “neo-conservative” migration into—some might say “invasion of”—the Republican Party, saying: “The neo-conservatives are the Trotskyites of Reaganism, and Kristol is a Trotskyite transmuted into a man of the right.”

All of this having been noted for the record, the fact is that today, William Kristol—son of neo-conservative “godfather” Irving Kristol—is carrying on the family’s legacy, one that reaches back to the internecine philosophical struggles of the Bolshevik era and the Cold War between the United States and the Soviet Union that followed. The younger Kristol is, beyond any question, in his own right, one of the most powerful opinion-makers on the face of the planet today.

THE MURDOCH CONNECTION

Acting as a self-appointed “conservative leader”, Kristol, whom, as we have noted, is publisher and editor of billionaire Rupert Murdoch’s *Weekly Standard* magazine, has consistently called for U.S. intervention abroad, particularly as a means to advance the interests of the state of Israel—a stand congruent with Murdoch’s own known sympathies for the hard-line Likud bloc in Israel. (Murdoch himself is of partial Jewish descent, from his mother’s side, although this detail has often gone un-mentioned in even “mainstream” accounts citing Murdoch’s infatuation with the Zionist cause.)

Over the years a variety of critics have alleged that Kristol’s sponsor, Murdoch, is essentially a long-time media representative—a highly-paid “front man”—for the combined forces of the Rothschild, Bronfman and Oppenheimer families who, with Murdoch, were referred to by critics as far back as the early 1980s as “The Billionaire Gang of Four”. This clique of billionaires are tied together not only by a mutual association in international financial affairs but also by their Jewish heritage and a devotion to promoting the interests of the state of Israel. They are also widening their control and influence over the American media with Murdoch’s operations being perhaps the most public.

[END PART THREE]

The Sacred Hill Within

Chapter 7: Prayer

3/19/05—#1 (18-215)
SAT., Mar. 19, 2005 8:01 A.M. YR 18, DAY 215
Manila, Philippines

RE: TOPICAL COMMENTS RELATIVE TO
TASK; WHAT IS PRAYER AND CHAPTER 7,
LITTLE CROW’S SACRED HILL—GCH/D

* * *
OBLIGATIONS WHEN YOU WOULD
“RATHER NOT”
LEGAL DEMANDS WHEN THERE IS NO POINT

First in the line of simple responses to information sent with recognition that all things sent or shared are simply “that”, shared for information and invited comments thereto.

I would note that “oh dear, what now?” to legal matters that demand legal response as in “subpoenas” from a court regarding specific incidents or for witness/authorized person to appear. The bottom line is: Appear unless arrangements are amply made in advance. If the discomfort in any circumstance is great then a statement preceding the testimony should be, “I am appearing under subpoena.” This takes away “choices” and ill-feelings.

In Manila, for instance, we would always insist on appearing in any conflict circumstance under subpoena due to foreign citizenship and always under “rights” as a U.S. citizen.

Within the U.S., as example, however, if you are in one state and receive a subpoena to attend a hearing, or court appearance is necessary, you will respond depending on specific situation at point. If in behalf of a business or corporation you will give your business address so that private information of a given “person” is sheltered. If personal, ask the court for privacy and if the “alleged” party is present, refuse to give open statement unless, of course, you can give “other” legitimate response. If you were in “another” state at the time of offense—give your address at that time and certainly if you have an address with someone whereat you can always be reached or contacted if necessary.

At some point along the line of any involvement or responsibility, confrontation will have to take place—make it as easy as possible for all concerned.

Then please, realize that if you involve us you will get a response and it most likely may be other than the one you desired.

If family or friends are involved in commission of some unlawful act, it is of their choice if adults and therefore confront circumstances as objectively as appropriate. Respond only when questioned, for court is not a place for lectures on behavior but specifically at any arraignment it is simply mandatory information verification which will be expected.

This is for all readers and no matter what the charge if you are linked to the circumstances you are obligated to respond but do not expound on that to which there is only hearsay or conjure. Further, only respond to the circumstances AT THE TIME OF SAID OFFENSE and only totally pertinent response to current actions. You do have a right to claim long travel time and wasted expenses as to that travel and

time required but that can usually be handled in private communications especially if there is fear of negative retaliation potential of the parties involved.

Good law and judicial excellence can only be attained if there is honorable response as commanded under the law.

Usually everything is brought forth in and through “investigation” if there be some known criminal act, great or tiny, and quiet interrogation will be considered first. Witnesses are only required if there is denial of actions by the “defendant” at which time the questions should be brief and direct mostly through yes and no after identification of the witness. These encounters are set to terrify so don’t fall for that charade. State facts and related incidents as KNOWN so that your testimony is credible but realize that “other charges” except those being addressed are for other circumstances.

So be it and yes indeed, from a great distance came this petition for comment and yes indeed it IS pertinent to some of our readers.

Would it not be wonderful if EVERYONE thinking themselves doing some great service to their perceived audience would THINK FIRST before doing damaging accusations? But when it is perfunctory response to “witness” it is necessary that this be addressed. Always conduct yourself in a manner that welcomes inquiries and if there is some unknown factor involved—stop even the perception of ongoing actions.

I bring this forward because there are still great petitions for such as Gaiandriana and corporation information. We can certainly protect the corporate presentations for all is open in the records under all circumstances. I will not ask any of our people to even consider offering products or circumstances that leave any other open for attack or assaults. You must check out your own intentions at any given time; PRAY about it so that you hear yourself CLEARLY and then choose actions if you are “annoyed” and it is somehow “your business”. Otherwise I can only suggest you be most careful for the arrows will come back to damage yourself at a most unexpected, usually, time.

As to the “DRIAS” issue, yes, at some point they will be again available but never as before offered.

The only “other” resource remaining was George Merkle and Crystal Life who realized, from us, that he had to have LIVING cells and now, Dr. Merkle is deceased so original management is no longer available even if the product continues to some extent and NO, it is not some obligation of one “dharma” to make sure you have what you want—we have a far larger impacting need for attention with attacks from “inside partners” on such matters to stop us.

So, going back to the original points at issue regarding legal appearances, I would ask that, if you can, work out alternative appearances suitable to the circumstances but do not bring self into contempt for it can be both costly in money and offensive treatment.

So be it and allow us to please move on. And, yes, by the way, if this includes your simply being commanded to appear on IRS matters—attend it and don’t give the jackboots the option of either shooting you or otherwise convicting and burying you. If it is suitable that another, or a lawyer if you have one,

appear FOR you, fine—but remember the old adage: A lawyer serves until the funds are gone while you decide your course of both action and the case in point.

Failure to respond to a court issued subpoena, friends, is next followed by citation by the court for “contempt” and a warrant for arrest. Make sure bases are covered or “go” at the demanded time to the proper place.

* * *
I have addressed the above issues because of recent attention given to several issues and one is regarding the resurgence of legal demands regarding income taxes, filings, etc. I believe you have ample examples of useless “fighting” with the IRS and must consider your position as regards CONTRACTS of which just your SS number issue brings you into the “system”. We published the information to help you avoid appearance of EVASION of regulations, which can be good or bad, depending on which side you sit. Is being deaded or being in jail REALLY better than freedom to move about and bring cause for “change”? Your enemy knows how to “getcha” so following the rules is actually a better choice of action and doesn’t give opportunity to “whack” you. The system must change, for the ENFORCERS are simply trained to do their job. No, it is NOT pretty but ugly, unfortunately, is that which is offered for your experience and you deal with WHAT IS.

Now, please get outside your “ego cell” and let us look at such things as “prayer” and how and why it is a good thing to especially pray for your relations instead of self all the time. You can’t see alternatives unless you can move outside of SELF to see what is really taking place and that reason alone will give you a lot of freedom in objectivity. It should not be a mandatory “religious” or even “spiritual” experience but rather logical and reasonable approach to that which is needed at any given moment and to which you petition higher-insight for your assistance in management of very manifest “human” affairs.

One very good result of attending the plight of “another” is that in so-doing you reach beyond self and your problems don’t ever look quite as “bad” at second thought. It is recognized that if all the families have their laundry on the line outside and a rainstorm happens—every time the people will go fetch in their own clothes first or, at the least, ask someone to fetch them into shelter.

The Native tribes learned this early in their historical traditions and did in fact do what I have suggested: pray for another. If you can’t do otherwise, make a bargain with another—NOT GOD. Don’t bargain with God for YOU never keep your bargain while HE DOES AND IT RARELY SUITS YOU.

Another view can always bring better objectivity to your problems than you can find in your own. [QUOTING:]

* * *
CHAPTER SEVEN, THE SACRED HILL WITHIN
By Little Crow

If people will stop praying for themselves and their own needs and start praying for the needs of others, everyone will get what they need—because the person next to you is praying for you and the person next to that person is praying for them.

* * *
As I mentioned earlier, it is hard to recall just when the instructions were given to us to pray for all of our relations and not for ourselves. It became an act of simplicity to just say the words *Mitakuye Oyasin*—for all my relations. Now, no matter where

we go, we hear it. Everyone is speaking Lakota. But do we remember what it means?

Prayer is a form of communication. There are books of prayer, pages of prayer, litanies of prayer, rituals of prayer. In fact, prayer is involved in just about everything we do. Breathing is something we take for granted but breathing is a prayer, a prayer of thanksgiving. To breathe is to give thanks for the gift of life. We know how to breathe because we have such a strong attachment to living and we think if we stop breathing, we die. But we were told that life goes on, that life continues, so when indigenous people pray, we try to remember the continuance of life and the infinite spirit that dwells within all things, to recognize that spirit in those things around us and to pray for everything but ourselves. It reminds us of whom and what we are.

In an earlier chapter, I said that dancing is a form of prayer. It has always been prayerful, even contest dancing. We say things like, “Lord, let me win. Let me look good, let me have that right step, that right move.” It is a prayer for ourselves, right? Why else do we compete? What is competition? Competition is about winning, about getting the prize. We compete about being spiritual, about being holy, about being good. “Lord, let me be pretty, let me be rich, let me retire, let me die. Let me get rid of all the unpleasantness in my life. Let me be the best. Let me be all that I can be. Hallelujah, let me be the best. Let me be unbiased.” That’s the way we pray. We plead, beg and bargain with God. “Lord, let this happen and I’ll never do that again.” What the Mother-Father Creator has been forced to do—and what we believe is their concern—is to listen to our prayers for ourselves. How egotistical and self-centered we are to believe that God should be concerned with our prayers for ourselves.

For years I prayed for myself because that’s the way I was taught as a child. The first prayers I ever learned were for myself. Even during the times I was inside the sweat lodge in my recovery and early instruction, I heard people praying for themselves. I listened and decided if that was how it was done, I could pray for myself outside the sweat lodge just as well as inside. At some point, I began to use symbols that would let me think about the concept of praying for everything but myself. I drew a line down the middle of a piece of paper, then I drew a small circle on one side and another circle on the other side. One circle represented me praying for myself, praying to something or other for something to occur in my life, and the other circle represented me praying for all of my relations. Then I covered that half of the paper with more little circles. Those circles represented all of you. It made sense to me that if I could convince you to pray for all of your relations, I would be included in your prayers. So, on one side was me alone praying for me and on the other side was all of you praying for me. I kind of weighed the odds. What if some higher power is unhappy with me? What if, as some people believe, God gets angry and is going to punish me and wouldn’t answer my prayers? Hey, he might answer yours. Not being angry at you for anything in particular on any given day, God might say, “Praying for Little Crow, huh? Yeah, okay, I’ll answer that prayer.” And so it gets done, one way or another.

When we pray for ourselves and nothing happens, we feel abandoned, forlorn and forgotten, but when we take the time to pray for other people, we reduce the amount of time that we worry about our own problems and our own illnesses and we are busy doing what we want God to do—thinking about us. What I mean by that is when someone is praying for you, God is thinking about you. Think of prayer as a test of faith

and practice it in such a way that your faith is restored—stop praying for yourself and pray for others.

Someone who doesn’t even know you is praying for you at this very moment. Right now, your needs are being prayed for. Somewhere in the universe, someone woke up this morning and said a prayer for you. Somewhere someone is doing a ceremony that, in its language and its content, in its ritual and its ceremony, provides for you, it mentions you. Think about that! People are praying for me out there so I’ll take some of that energy and I can use some of this energy over here, too. What an act of faith. If we can just get people to stop praying for their own needs and to pray instead for the needs of others, everyone will get what they need. Weigh the odds; take the ego out of it; pray for other people. It kind of works itself around and in working itself around, what you need is provided.

Many of us seemingly realize the power of prayer because we often ask others to pray for us. At least that indicates we know that someone else can pray for us besides ourselves. Nowadays, even medical science is starting to talk about the healing power of prayer—that when people get together and pray for someone other than themselves, a healing takes place. How about that? Boy, science is really on the cutting edge. That’s the power of God, the power of the individual creative energy that dwells within us. That’s the power within each of us for which we are so reluctant to accept accountability. We have the power to help in the healing of other people through our faith, not by directing energy to the person’s kidneys or their hearts or their livers, but by asking in our prayer for that person to use their own wisdom, faith, and strength to draw from the energy that surrounds them, to be brave enough to open themselves to the universe and to those things that are there to strengthen them. When we pray for people to have the courage to take from the energy that is presented to them and use it in the way their wisdom dictates, everything is left up to them. We are not intruding or invading as we do when we ask God to give someone direction, to heal them or change them in some way.

[H: Please go back and READ THAT AGAIN.]

Prayer is about connections, realizing the connection that we share with all things in the universe. Not just the things we can see, touch, hear, taste, or feel, but all things. Your job is to pray for other people, for other things in the world to which you are connected. Take your mind off yourself and pray for others. Some people say, “It’s really hard because my name keeps slipping in there, I keep saying I or me.” That’s just being a human being. You can’t be perfect so every now and then your name will slip in there, but you can be aware of it. Others say, “My prayers are short. After a few minutes I’ve prayed for everyone I care about.” Then pray for people you don’t care about; pray for everything in the universe, for all things to which you are connected. “For all my relations” includes everyone and everything. It is pointless to ask God to bless everyone except a certain person, to bless everything except certain things. We can’t separate ourselves from anything else. We are all the same thing, only in a different form. We breathe the same air, we live in the same universe and we are connected.

Earlier, I shared that the power of indigenous people comes from their relationship to the earth, the recognition that in the earth and all things of the earth there is the creative energy and by standing on the earth we have an obligation and a

responsibility to honor that and to do it in a prayerful way, to pray for everyone but ourselves, pray for all of our relatives, regardless of color, political party, social status or gender. In our prayers we remember the first people on whose land we stand. This land we stand on belongs to the people who the creator put here to take care of it. We don’t own it. We’re passersby, we’re renters. We’re here for a brief period of time and eventually those things we built will be covered. They will go their way and those who come after us will rebuild.

When I feel the most disagreeable part of my personality surfacing, I work in the earth. I dig and smell the dirt, get it in my nose, on my hands, under my fingernails. I get back to where I came from. My body was given to me from the earth. I’m in California and the energy of all the people who ever lived here is in this earth. When I dig into it, I get that release. I feel that energy coming over me and I’m thankful. Pretty soon I’ll hear the crows cawing and the dogs barking and I’ll hear somebody’s music way off someplace. Then I’ll hear trucks and cars driving by and I’ll look up and an airplane or a helicopter will fly over and it’s all connected, it’s all sacred, it’s all related.

Even though it is not politically correct any longer, I would like to hear some of our politicians pray. I would like to hear them pray for the people, for all their relations. I would like to hear them pray about the environment, about the gang problems and the crime problems, about how we are going to solve those things, and then see them take action, take some accountability. It seems to be easy for many people to ignore their connectedness and accountability. Some people believe they have achieved salvation and don’t need to do anything else. They don’t care what the rest of the world goes through or what happens to anyone else. They are selfish and greedy and only care about themselves. I would like to say that’s terrific; that’s one less person I have to pray for, but in reality, I can’t do that. Lying in bed at night I pray for all people and as I finally fall asleep, hoping I’ve prayed for everybody, I just say *Mitak, Mitak*—for all my relations.

We pray for all of our relations, as we have been instructed. When it began is not important. What is important is whether or not we adhere to it. Do we remember it? Do we believe it? Do we have faith? Do we practice it? Do we practice it to the extent that when we are done praying we can close our eyes without the fear of a job left undone? Have you ever heard Indians pray? We pray a long time because we are trying to remember and include everything in our prayer. That’s not an accident, that’s because we have been told and we remember that a lot of things get overlooked and we want to make sure we include everything. It is part of our tradition, it is part of our heritage, it is part of our faith

Each and every one of us has the same power and the same energy. We are all sacred. We are all spiritual. We are the embodiment of whatever we believe God to be and we have an accountability to remember that. Pray for everything but yourself and always remember that everything you do is not for yourself but for all of your relations.

[END CH. 7]

* * *

Therefore: *MITAK, MITAK!* For all my relations, of which YOU ARE.

GCH
dharma

GAIA Offers Unlimited International Relief Fund

GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION PUBLIC NOTICE

This notice will be construed as a continuation of compliance with provisions necessary to establish presumed fact (Rule 301, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and attending State rules). If all interested parties fail to rebut any given allegation or matter of law addressed herein, the position will be construed as adequate to requirements of judicial notice, thus preserving fundamental law. A true and correct copy of this Public Notice is on file with and available for inspection at the newspaper CONTACT (P.O. Box 27800 Las Vegas, NV 89126, USA) which is responsible for publishing the instrument as a legal notice. In the Republic of the Philippines, comments and objections may be filed in writing by addressing Global Alliance Investment Association at 6751 Ayala Avenue, Makati City, Metro Manila, Philippines. Others may be addressed to Global Alliance Investment Association, 3132 West Post Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89107 USA.

MEMORANDUM OF INTENT USE OF GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION HOLDINGS FOR INTERNATIONAL RELIEF FUNDING

January 4, 2005

This notice is addressed to and for the attention of Governor Jeb Bush of the great state of Florida, U.S.A. Governor Bush is certainly one who will recognize GAIA-CSEML (Global Alliance Investment Association-Cosmos Seafood Energy Marketing Ltd.) holdings as associated and validated by his father, George Herbert Walker Bush, in his former capacity as Director of the CIA and former Vice President then President of the United States of America.

You, Jeb Bush, were the FIRST "Bush" contacted after the holdings of Bonus 3392-181 in the turnover of same "all interests" of agent-holder of said asset.

The asset was first in the form of a Peruvian Bearer Certificate, later turned over to and fully qualified as an "individual and identified" holding of CSEML by holder, *RUSSELL E. HERMAN*, in support and backup for continued use by Mr. Bush and his colleagues and recognized as his "Super Fund".

This holding has been qualified and validated fully. Full turnover to GAIA came in 1993 and was made operative in 1994, fraudulently, by one Vina Katherine Durham who falsely claimed to be the widow of Russell Herman and 100% holder of the asset in point. This has all been PROVEN to be fraudulent, forged, and presented completely in criminal intent and actions.

In or around 1996, you Mr. Jeb Bush, were contacted in reference to handling the asset assignment by none other than Lt. Cmdr. (Ret.) Al Martin who was most recognized for his involvement and your association during the Iran-Contra days. This is for identification and verification without intent to distract.

GAIA-CSEML was told exactly how to give legal creditability to the documents and to the holding itself. These instructions were carried forth in detailed presentation--through the Department of the Treasury of the U.S., the President (and all those following George Bush), Secretary of the Treasury from Mr. Baker the IIIrd and all since in place. All was registered with full information and intent of action with, at the time, second highest Treasury person who then became Secretary of the Treasury, William Summers. All information was also forwarded to and through Mr. Robert Rubin who was also at the time Governor of the Inter-American Development Bank in conjunction with Inter-American Investment Corporation, which was not incorporated until 1997 in order to cover our own assets and keep VK Durham from seizing the asset (corporation) for her own unlawful purposes.

We offered the asset to the United States and were met with "We will not deal at this time," by President Bush (Sr.). There have been no further "dealings" although misuse of the asset holdings were unlawfully utilized and brought great damage to the banking systems globally. We have never denied nor have we refused interchange at any time. Neither have we declined potential sharing of all assets with the people of the global community.

We have continued to form a very feasible program whereby the asset represented in GAIA is FULLY BACKED with GOLD COLLATERAL as is represented as a holding in the CENTRAL BANK of the Philippines, but a major shift to private ownership in 1993 changed the Central Bank into the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas under the instructions of Fidel Ramos.

Part of those holdings are remaining holdings of Ferdinand Marcos who was in association with the United States of America in global planning for currency coverage through gold based currencies. The story of F. Marcos has no need for repeating in this document. This is in spite of the fact that we have a major holding position in the Tallano-Acop Foundation (FDN) of registration in both the Philippines and in the United States of America, Nevada incorporation. We control some 40% of the assets of the FDN, under law, and are fully represented as to assist in the recovery of the COURT ORDERED RES JUDICATA ISSUANCES.

The gold holdings first registered as 617,000 (minimum) METRIC TONS in shelter and holding by that Central Bank was last inventoried more recently as only having 400,000 metric tons remaining while the rest was smuggled unlawfully from the bank itself.

This is not our concern other than to establish through valid public notice that at least 400,000 metric tons of gold SHOULD be intact and in holding in the Philippine Central Bank VAULT. The gold was used for leasing and payments were made to the Prior Administrator of the estate in point. Although the payments ceased being made it is further noted, however, that the gold was ready to be released for use of the Estate and FDN in year 2000.

This asset is now committed to support the GAIA co-venture which is now fully qualified and far more established than you might at first surmise.

GAIA's agreement is to use the assets "off shore of the domestic United States" to shelter individual parties and to only issue some 5 TRILLION dollars in "assignments" (used as collateral, for reserves and consideration) annually. This now presents since inclusively 1996, as some \$50 Trillion US Dollars.

This also assumes that since 50% of the total assets accrued, mostly in interest alone, have been placed in CSEML and kept intact in holding for the use and reconstruction-reclamation of the United States and adjacent countries thereof, that there is unquestionably \$50 TRILLION assignable to these entities just referenced.

The asset itself is so massive as to have given us cause to "cap" the sums into useful size to be actually backed by gold metal or other precious metals as qualify for tender.

We do NOT have to have gold available at the moment of entry of reserves but the GAIA assets will be fully backed by gold which will also be held in banking reserves and used for collateral but retained in the Central Bank of each participating sovereign nation.

The program, basically simplified for example, is as follows: GAIA will issue a Deed of Assignment for Consideration (Deed) in a predetermined agreed upon amount, i.e., for example, 1 million dollars exchange value relevant to any sovereign nation. The "Deed" will be issued in ORIGINAL form and document to authorities established along with a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) delineating use guidelines and instructions for operative management and banking requirements to obviate ANY POSSIBILITY OR POTENTIAL OF ILLICIT USE OR HANDLING OF FUNDS.

The Deed will be issued at the amount referenced times 2-1/2. Example: a Deed for one million dollars will be issued in the amount of \$2 and a half million. One million goes to the project co-venturer, one to GAIA for gold PURCHASE and to be held in the bank of choice with only consideration for less than 20% used by GAIA for expenses and holding. (The withdrawals will be further handled as loans to GAIA to prevent any potential of "money laundering".) The sums remain as useful reserves for the bank and while in holding before immediate need for release for project funding, we ask and suggest that the "venture partner" also purchase gold against which he can draw as needed for fundings, allowing additional security for the bank as well as cutting costs of individual project. The project, in other words, is not placed in jeopardy by failure of ability to repay but the gold is held as collateral to secure any loans. The value of the gold should present adequate coverage of any "interest" requirements. The remaining \$500,000 is utilized in the same manner but will cover expenses, management, facilities and operating procedures, i.e., planning, engineering, accounting, and personnel necessary for the project or program in point.

GAIA, wishing no notoriety of any kind prefers that this program as established can be handled without particular reference to individuals but as an international benefit and service through AN ALLIANCE established in its name.

We would be greatly pleased to have the United States receive recognition as to facilitating this program and would be equally pleased to have President George Bush receive ample personal credit. The asset, however, will not be utilized other than for the benefit of mankind of all creeds, colors or locations.

This is the time in historical evolvement whereby this can be a massively important survival support system in basically UNLIMITED funding for the benefit of mankind--ALL MANKIND.

I have addressed this to you, Jeb Bush, because you can be the recognized source of credibility of assets available but without any personal involvement as to what came before now in historical evolvement or holding responsibility for that which will now present except as in credit and appreciation.

This program SHOULD NOT come as a surprise to anyone for it has been made amply public even to the United Nations and personally to Kofi Annan. It is fully anticipated that all parties to the point will offer "plausible deniability". So be it.

We would further suggest that this be expedited in all possible haste as people continue to be lost and dying. We can stop the onset of infectious epidemics and have immediate rebuilding underway in less than a week.

We do not need to meet and confer as our guidelines and requirements are spelled out in detailed instructions and we can produce backup support of the FDN although gold is not necessarily to come from the Philippines holdings. The gold is for security, support as in collateral but to fully BACK any currency put into the public as printed against these reserves.

This is presented from the individual, Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn who is well known by those participants in the Bush circle of associates.

Thank you for your immediate attention, Gov. Bush, and may your legacy be long-lived on the global list of appreciated entities who "passed this way".

This will be published, in addition, as an "OPEN LETTER" and, as well, as a PUBLIC NOTICE to meet lawful requirements for registration and documentation. GCH

[Post Script from EJ Ekker: Late breaking news is that the two Presidents Bush and William Clinton have accepted the challenge of raising money for those Nations having suffered losses from the Tsunamis. All three of those gentlemen are familiar with the CSEML/GAIA asset and with their cooperation and that of the afflicted nations, we will be able to make the repairs and create the systems required to protect the people. If the nations will use the GAIA program all of the assistance received can be fully repaid, not only to nations such as the USA, but also to all organizations and individuals.]



Photo courtesy of Carol Spath, South Africa

CONTACT is making its rounds at Victoria Falls in Zambia!

Clouds Over Mount St. Helens



This amazing photo was taken from the USDA Forest Service webcam at Johnstone Ridge, which inexplicably went out of service for a protracted period of time. When the camera came back on, March 9, this image appeared for a short while before less spectacular live images resumed. While many might be unaware of the significance of these peculiar “clouds”, CONTACT readers might be thankful to have “a little help from our friends”! (See story on page 10.)

Pursuant to the rules governing Public Notices under the Uniform Commercial Code this notice will be published in a newspaper of wide circulation. Copies of this Notice will be available at any of the three addresses provided above.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed and sealed this notice as of the date hereof. For the Corporation, dated at Makati, Manila, the Philippines, this 4th day of January 2005.



E.J. Ekker, President & Director


Doris Ekker, Secretary & Director


Ronald Kirzinger, Executive V. P.

For the Foundation, dated at Makati, Manila, the Philippines, this 4th day of January 2005.


E.J. Ekker, Trustee &
Vice President
Tallano Acop Foundation


Cenon C. Marcos, Trustee &
& Secretary
Tallano-Acop Foundation



Back to UFOs & ETs: “Far Out” Distractions

3/17/05—#1 (18-213)
THU., Mar. 17, 2005 7:36 A.M. YR 18, DAY 213
Manila, Philippines

RE: DISTRACTIONS OF THE “FAR OUT”
KIND—GCH/D

* * *
NOTES ON THE DAY AT HAND

I know that you would rather have details of our own focus to keep you informed as to the ongoing moments of truth regarding our assignment currently unfolding than to have more confusion over what may or may not be making media rounds. However, since all is related to all “else” it becomes difficult to pick and choose the most important elements of passing historical events. UFOs, for instance, are not among the debatable topics of the day.

We must, however, offer relatively interesting items as they come into focus because otherwise some of the things we cover will not have much meaning, as in a picture of “the brothers” over Mt. St. Helens in Washington state, USA [see small color photo on page 9].

The western U.S. volcano “string” is contaminated with radioactive “fall-out” from nuclear underground testing in the Western U.S. and, frankly, some radioactive material has made it to the Pacific shores from underwater “testing”. Somebody has to monitor, constantly, the situation and since “you” don’t have capability to handle the required monitoring, “we” do—and are. That, however, is NOT a problem for my team and in our task at hand is but a distraction. It is not, though, a distraction from CONTACT information target.

We have covered UFOs in the past to the point of boredom and it seems totally absurd to go over and over again these interesting but irrelevant happenings. Every now and again you touch on “reality” and of course we enjoy sharing.

The reference to Mt. St. Helens is just this: INTERESTING but not nearly as interesting as the caldera called Yellowstone, just for starters. If that “baby” blows you might just kiss most of your recognized world of “cycles” goodbye. This is because of the probable massive atmosphere “cover” with toxic ash and contamination in your “breathing” air.

Unfortunately, for you, the changing circumstances are global and some venting can relieve bits and points of stress and yes, these CAN BE “guided” events but do not offer permanent resolution or solution to eventual potentials of eruptions greater than any you have conjured in your fiction potentials.

We could ask Soltec to give us some insight—AGAIN—but he has been there and DONE THAT, in detail, so that you would have references to JOURNALS which would explain the very primary

foundation of a volcano and potential geophysical activities. We will not do that again while we haven’t time to address our own most crucial immediate work in progress.

BACK TO UFOs:

I asked that a reprint of information be offered on what were, in the 1960s, referred to as the “Tall White Extraterrestrials” [ref: *Nexus* article]. This focus is quite timely NOW because of constant and global sightings and experienced CONTACTS. This is no joke and those who keep talking you to death about “first contact” and other nonsense must come off their repetitious “abundance and light” saving your assets like magic-dust from the falling stars. Yes indeed, there will be “falling stars” in your consideration but nothing “falls”, friends, without forces of some kind CAUSING them to fall. And now this requires another round of explanations of the UNIVERSE.

I would share the recent extraterrestrial writings as noted as currently as December 2004 because the groups addressed were more “local” to our introduction to positive positioning in 1987-to-date. I don’t wish to expound on that AGAIN; please get your Journals and perhaps someone ELSE can dig out the information you would enjoy and run those topics and locations in the paper.

The book in point that I suggest is the third Journal we put to press, SPACE-GATE—THE VEIL REMOVED. [The Phoenix Journals can be ordered through Phoenix Source Distributors, 1-800-800-5565.]

We put the information together in the first 77 pages of that Journal so you could get the picture, the players and the cover-up taking place—IN 1989; we being quite tall individuals meeting with outrageous political idiots of your place.

We proceeded to give recognition and honor to some pretty interesting events and personalities in topics from UFO visitation, Space Platforms, Meetings, Persons involved, Locations involved and some general information.

We were sued, abused and generally then USED.

We set forth to give you the most important TRUTH and “how to” use it effectively, on LIGHT. We got sued for that also as in the form of some absurd claim to metaphysical property infringement of one Walter Russell. This was the University of Science and Philosophy which has since gone into the dump-heap of more stuff and nonsense.

We offered the Dr. Rife material on how to handle light, instruments, and untold healing techniques, who was able to work on the very basis of Tesla technology and Walter Russell’s concepts of LIGHT.

My people have lost everything to the beasts of Hell revisited and no, we will not do that again for the heck of making YOU more comfortable. We have

given you information and nobody even bothers to check it out but rather, asks us to PROVE our position. NO, IT IS TIME YOU PROVE SOMETHING TO GOD AND THAT ALSO INCLUDES US.

I want the Tall Whites to be referenced in this instance because there is some new attention to those individuals. They are also the “stock” from which other evolving experiments took place which also crossed these with such interesting species as would produce extremely docile and almost robotic entities which had skin which did in fact appear quite reptilian and held “cloned” types of blood which recycled oxygen and therefore appeared quite “red” hewed. These entities were developed quite notably at the area of Northrop in the area of Palmdale, California with the stock coming from Nellis and Area 51 of Nevada. These particular individuals were seen out and about in the areas around the area of, specifically, Tehachapi, California.

The one thing that caused the experiments to fail was/is the fact that no amount of inter-species breeding could cause the end product to be hostile or EVIL. The ONLY place you will find hostile or evil aliens is in YOUR stupidly inaccurate depictions of aliens.

You took these visitors and pronounced that they somehow needed upgrading FROM YOUR PRIMITIVE SPECIES. I suggest you “try again” because anyone getting to your place from “out-there” is so superior to your species as to cause you to gasp in mid-sentence.

The point of some of the visitors, as in photo-sensitive or chlorophyll-based transfer systems (blood, if you will) would allow YOU to become able to live in a far more oxygen depleted environment and utilize plants for your protein “body” needs. You would also be able to maintain nicely in variable temperature ranges in which you now CANNOT live. These were not and are not insect species of the locust variety.

When you revisit the Journal SPACE-GATE I suggest you continue past the UFO material and into the Annexes which deal with things from the “Gray Men” (bankers, not aliens), Sananda (you called him “Jesus” among other labels) and other interesting topics being addressed at the time—all the way back before the ’90s. You might actually find renewed interest in those Journals because they hold the important historical information for your “time” in experience. We are not going to re-issue or revise history to please the liars of your global societies.

We are gaining enough distraction in our position in Manila as to decline further focus on resources or “intelligence” gathering. We have what we need in hard copy, and guidance need not be “the” issue of the day. Everybody EXPECTS GOD—NOBODY SEEMS TO RECOGNIZE HIM!

John Ray keeps repeating a quote in his mailings that stands extremely important in EVERY ASPECT of presentation TODAY:

“THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A TIME ON THIS PLANET WHERE SO FEW WILL DO SO MUCH FOR SO MANY.

“The practice of discernment is part of higher consciousness. Discernment is not just a step up from judgment. In life’s curriculum, it is the opposite of judgment. Through judgment a man

reveals what he needs to confront and learn. Through discernment, one reveals what he has mastered.”

Think on this, please, for each time you are buried in your individual self-focus you are lacking in both judgment AND discernment. Your demands and debates often are so self-oriented that our people can’t get at their own work because of the distractions. For this there is another tale/parable of the fox and the rabbit. The rabbit was running from the fox as if his life depended on it—which it did. The fox was running for his supper—the rabbit for his life!

Our people are “running for their lives” while most of you simply want a better-tasting supper.

The “Rays” had to stop much of their support to attend the necessities of their own position and did cease to write the “New Desk” but they never for an instant withdrew support and information sorting. And by the way, readers, there DOES COME A DAY when recognition is not desired and lack thereof is the very offer of security and privacy. Crucifixion is not a desirable end as to “in notoriety”. Accomplishment in “living form” is what is necessary for accomplishment. Please remember that CHRIST is a state of being—not a man’s name, unless “man” has attached such a label for his own identification purposes as in Mr./Mrs./Ms/Sir—Christ. Christ is a state-of-being in GOODNESS and that doesn’t even well-suit many persons with “Christ” as a label of any kind. Moreover, “religions” destroy sovereignty while “spiritual truth” brings sovereignty and freedom. However, truth may well unlock a door but is of no value unless YOU WALK THROUGH THE DOOR and ACT.

We will make an effort to bring information on alien presence as we have space and time because you love the “unknown” and until you recognize that “known” is simply that which evolves from the before “unknown” you will be stuck in your wallow.

You are free-will beings and nobody is going to push or shove you around nor are they going to somehow bring resolutions to suit your opinions of personal preferences. What CREATOR “and you” have brought to manifestation is that which prevails and therefore it WILL BE YOU WHO SHALL BRING CHANGE—GOD SHOWS THE WAY AND PROVIDES THE WAY—YOU USE IT OR LOSE IT!

GCH
dharma 

The High Priests of War
By Michael Collins Piper

Just **\$19.95** plus s/h

Call Phoenix Source Distributors:
(800) 800-5565

International Orders can be placed through
The Preferred Network
(250) 248-5591

‘Exo-Political Commentary’ Tall White Extraterrestrials

While we are on the subject of UFOs and ET distractions, readers might enjoy employing some discernment in reading the following commentary by Dr. Michael Salla of <exopolitics.org>, who focuses on the political ramifications of the existence of extraterrestrials.

As this commentary is from a series, we are running this installment of the series in its entirety under the Fair Use rules governing publication of copyrighted material.

[QUOTING:]

EXOPOLITICAL COMMENT # 23 INTERVIEW WITH CHARLES HALL- MOTIVATIONS OF THE TALL WHITE EXTRATERRESTRIALS AND THEIR EXOPOLITICAL SIGNIFICANCE

By Dr. Michael Salla, <exopolitics.org>, 12/3/04

What follows is based on an interview with Charles Hall on Dec 2, 2004, regarding the existence of the tall white extraterrestrials that he met while serving at Nellis Air Force base as a duty weather observer from 1965-67. His experiences are recorded in his three-volume set of books, *Millennial Hospitality* (for details see http://members.lycos.co.uk/Charles_Hall/). I had earlier read the first two volumes of his book series and recorded my impressions in a short article (see <http://exopolitics.org/Exo-Comment-22.htm>). I was put in touch with Charles Hall by Paola Harris who was the first major UFO researcher to thoroughly investigate the case (see <http://www.paolaharris.it/hall1.htm>).

In the interview, I asked a series of questions and recorded his replies by taking notes. My aim in conducting the interview was to get a better idea of the motivations of the ‘tall whites’ and their exopolitical significance on Earth. I begin this evaluation of my interview by first outlining my questions and Charles Hall’s replies. I finish with my evaluation of his testimony and books which I believe is vitally important as a possible disclosure initiative that will attract much public attention and carries great exopolitical significance.

Q. Why did you call the book a work of fiction?
He had to protect himself and men who served with him. He changed names to protect the innocent, including himself and other [servicemen]. People he replaced experienced severe psychological pressure and trauma. Some wouldn’t want to be mentioned so he didn’t give their correct names. He affirmed that everything in the books is true. It is as close to a documentary of events he experienced as possible.

Q. How did you recall all conversations recorded in the book?

He didn’t keep a dairy, but kept a log book. He basically relied on memory in writing the book. He relived the emotions as he covered each experience,

and this helped his recollection of events and conversations. Key episodes were basically etched into his mind. He had to summarize many conversations. Some conversations had to be reconstructed. Usually conversations with other airmen are summarized/reconstructed. Conversations with tall whites are close to verbatim since the Tall whites didn’t talk much. Tall White men especially didn’t speak much at all. Tall white women were more talkative than the men. If Tall Whites didn’t wear their communication equipment, then they wouldn’t communicate at all. Charles wanted to capture all the emotions he experienced and these are faithfully recorded in the book.

Q. When did you start writing the books?

He first tried telling people verbally about his experiences during 1965-68. When he got a word processor in 1985, he began the typing process using floppy disks. Writing was a slow process for technical reasons and also emotionally since he relived the experiences and this took much time. For example, it took six months before he could control his fears of the tall whites back in 1965.

Q. When did you finish the book?

Back in the 1980s he had trouble in finding a potential publisher for his planned autobiography and also had family responsibilities. In 2002 he became unemployed and began to edit, polish, and publish what he had written so far. The publisher he found, Firstbook.com allowed him to publish it as a ‘print-on-demand’ book where he controlled copyright, etc. He is still not satisfied with what has been published so far, and wants to edit the first volume one more time. Basically the book was work in progress since 1985 up until 2002. Final editing was done from late 2002 to early 2003.

Q. Did you ever take photos?

He never took any photos. When out there by himself he was worried about keeping himself alive and taking photos wasn’t thought of. Initially he thought he was dreaming (that’s why he believes Area 51 is called dreamland). This was a reason why he never thought about taking photos. Later when he realized that the tall whites were real, he talked himself out of it when he went back to his base, so he didn’t think about photos.

Also, he didn’t want to offend the tall whites and stayed on his best behavior. He didn’t think taking pictures was prudent and might offend them, and they could destroy the evidence anyway since they always had access to his belongings.

Q. Did any of the other servicemen ever take pictures of Tall Whites that you were aware of?

He was not aware of that happening, but recalled a paperback book published back in early 1990s there is a set of pictures of tall whites which he believes are authentic. These were published by a New Jersey housewife who traveled to Albuquerque New Mexico

and said that she waited on a public highway when she saw a scout craft and she took six pictures of the tall whites standing 6’6”. He believes photos are authentic. The implication was that some serviceman had taken the photos and sent them to the author who gave a cover story for how she got the photos. He couldn’t recall the name of the book or the author.

Q. Have you ever been approached or briefed by agencies not to disclose the events on Nellis?

No. He said that weather information was never classified. As a weather observer he was never part of a classified project where security oaths were taken. The orders given to Charlie were classified, but nothing he did was ever to be classified. That was a decision taken by a committee including the tall whites and others in the Pentagon. The idea was that Charlie would be free to interact with the tall whites without being continually briefed. He said that the American generals would do anything to get technology transfers. Generals would permit tall whites to kill service men if they were offended, threatened or harmed. He described an incident in his book where a serviceman had to beg for his life when he yelled at a tall white child. The only reason the tall white didn’t kill him according to Charles was that a tall white male came over to the tall white female and told her that since the serviceman hadn’t hurt the child, the American generals wouldn’t understand why she would kill the serviceman. Charles said that the generals however thought military servicemen were expendable, and wouldn’t allow any killing by the tall whites to stop technology transfers.

Q. Were other servicemen killed by tall whites for offending them?

He explained an incident back in Sept 1965 near the mountain at Indian Springs where the tall whites had their main hangar. One of the cover stories for sightings of tall whites was that they were big horn sheep in the desert. One hunter wanted to shoot one of the ‘sheep’ and rented horses and went with his friend. The hunters eventually separated, and one hunter went near the hangar where the tall whites are based, and took a shot at one of the kids. The tall whites grabbed him and handed him to the human guards who were military personnel. The human guards shot him and gave a cover story for his accidental death. The guards found his friend and beat him up, and threw him into the brig for about six weeks.

Charles said that usually if one wasn’t hurting anyone and was just unarmed and hiking, etc., tall whites wouldn’t bother one. Basically, they would kill if threatened if any way. They believe in tit for tat. If you did nothing to scare or hurt them, the worst they would is to pleasantly scare you away.

Q. You say that the Generals were desperate for technology exchange with tall whites, why do you say that?

They would do anything to maintain good relations with tall whites to get technology.

The tall white ‘Teacher’ had conversations with Charles that demonstrated this. American generals wouldn’t stop tall whites if they were intent on killing servicemen who had hurt any tall white child. Tall whites exchanged technologies such as radio and communications systems, but not faster than light speed technology. Technology exchange was done on the basis [of] only those technologies that would benefit Tall Whites, such as good radios and communications that they could use as well if necessary.

He gave the example that the tall whites would help with a nuclear powered craft but not propulsion

systems for deep space travel. Anti-gravity technologies were not shared with the generals which were deep space capable. American generals were sometimes in the scout craft of the tall whites so the technology for the scout ships was shared to an extent since the scout ships were made on Earth using materials here with the assistance of the U.S. military. Tall Whites sometimes participated in classified meetings and helped with technology development. Charles describes how much of the technology transfer occurs. Basically, the tall whites would participate in classified meetings by sitting in and helping with some well placed questions.

Q. In vol. one, you describe an incident where mental images entered your mind about the Tall Whites in the frontier era, can you explain?

Charles described how he was reading the History of the West, and the history of Death Valley and Indian Springs, he remembered mental images of tall whites in the frontier era when the Tall Whites. These images just entered his mind when the tall whites were nearby, and left when the tall whites departed.

Q. In the book, you mention 1954 as the first time reports of the Tall Whites were made in the vicinity of Nellis. Is there any earlier date or evidence you would think would support the presence of the Tall Whites presence in the area?

He said that the legend of Range Four Harry (a description used for the tall whites by servicemen at the Nellis base) went back at least as far least 1954.

Q. Do you think these mental images you observed were real historical events or fabricated?

He felt that the mental images were based on real historical events. He noted that when the tall whites moved away, the images stopped. This suggested that the tall whites were feeding him these images to give him historical information that they wanted to pass on.

Q. Do you believe that Tall Whites have been in the Indian Springs area for a couple of hundred years?

Yes. He was told that Pamela (a tall white) was born in that valley during the James Madison era. They like the valley because it’s hot and that is an important requirement for them.

What do you think the motivations of the Tall Whites are in terms of their presence on Earth?

They used the base as a place for their deep space craft. Same as the way U.S. Navy would use a base in the Pacific. They used the base to refuel, repair and refit their deep space craft. He talked about the long distances in space and need for a base on a planet like Earth where they can get supplies, make repairs, etc. Since deep space craft arrived and left on schedule, this meant they were engaged in commerce.

Q. Basically you believe they just wish to use Earth as a base for their deep space travel, and don’t have any ulterior motive to colonize the Earth or dominate national governments?

Yes, that’s his belief. From the tall white’s point of view, they are very happy with what they have, and their long life span—ten times longer than we do. They took ten times longer to age and also to heal. They were amazed at how quickly Hall could heal, and he described how they watched him closely when he bruised himself and healed within a day.

Charles argues that the tall whites like to keep to themselves, and don’t have any interest in dominating the Earth or taking over governments. They just want to maintain friendly relations with the U.S. government so they can continue to use the base. He affirms that they are not interested in dominating or colonizing the

Earth.

Q. In vol. three, you describe an incident where you were burned by microwave weapons, can you elaborate?

He explained how the pencil weapon can be used by the tall whites to cause great pain, death, or sleep. He describes an incident where a CIA guard tried to help a tall white female in getting up the stairs at the Congressional building, but the guard inadvertently hurt the tall white and she threatened [the] CIA guard who had to beg for his life. He explains that the pencil weapon can be used to stimulate calcium atomic frequencies to cause great pain like being burned, but one was not actually burned. When the iodine setting is used by the stun gun it can cause one to bleed to death. He compared this to the black plague when people would bleed to death due to arteries being weakened and blood would leak out causing death. In an email, Charles clarified how the pencil weapon works: “The pencil weapon could be set to stimulate the [atomic] frequencies of Sodium, Calcium or Iodine. Stimulating the Sodium atoms caused immense pain because it caused the nerves to discharge. If the weapon is set high enough, it can cause instant death. Stimulating the Calcium atoms [caused] the reverse (i.e. sleep, calmness, relaxation etc.) because it causes the nerves to reset and relax. Stimulating the Iodine atoms, of course, as descibed in book three, causes death by internal bleeding because it causes chemical changes that allow the blood to pass through the walls of the arteries in and around the thyroid gland.”

He said that tall whites tempers can change very quickly from friendly to hostile. The pencil weapon was used against Charles in a misunderstanding that was described in his book where but the iodine setting was used which caused internal bleeding. He recalls seeing a friendly tall white female approach him when he was lying hurt and she did a kind of graceful dance around him. In an email he described that tall white as “a young female, probably equivalent to a human young woman of age 19. she stood approximately 5’10 - 5’11” tall. She had a male companion who I always guessed was her brother because the two of them looked like fraternal twins. She and I were completely unafraid of each other. On a number of evenings when I was making the morning balloon run, she would come up and stand beside or near me or slightly behind me. Frequently she would come within arm’s length and still not show the slightest fear of me. Likewise, when she came in that close, I also did not feel any particular fear of her either. Her brother, by comparison, was always noticeably afraid of me and always kept his distance from me (usually he stayed back at least 50-60 feet). He obviously liked me, but also, he obviously never trusted me. For example, he would never turn his back to me when he was anywhere in my area (i.e. within a 100 feet).

Q. If this could occur to you after all the trust that was developed with the Tall Whites, what does that suggest for most members of humanity?

The idea that Tall Whites can turn on one and use weapons even despite all the good things that have been done is generally correct. Tall Whites differ very much in temperament and personality as do humans. He explained an incident involving a tall white general who wouldn’t brook any discussion and could be cruel if he felt he was not being obeyed to the letter. Yet the tall white doctor was quite friendly and would approach very closely. So basically the tall whites are quite varied in terms of personality.

The tall white captain described in Charles book was a nice enough guy, but he only came around

humans when it was necessary. He only wanted to know about humans to the extent it would help him do his job. A cultural difference was evident as in cases where Americans might befriend a Japanese, but wouldn't want to socialize with Japanese. He stressed that tall whites vary tremendously in personality.

Q. When was the last time you directly communicated with a Tall White?

He referred to what was described in book three which is when he left for military service in Vietnam in 1967. Subsequent to then, he has had no communication with the tall whites.

Final Comments by Charles Hall

There are many episodes he had that he didn't include in the book. For example, in 1965 American generals were showing Tall White Generals through the military barracks that he slept in. When that first started happening, he thought he was dreaming. He remembers Generals talking to Tall Whites about taking Air force officers with the tall whites on scout craft. In an email, Charles elaborated on this: "The American Generals were [discussing] the possibility of the tall whites taking two young American Officers on board the Tall White's Black Deep Space craft (i.e. to another nearby star). I personally saw the American Genrals themselves with their tall white counterparts boarding and debording the white scout craft." He thought the discussion was too risky to include since it included what he felt was sensitive material that was probably classified.

He stressed how the tall whites would follow agreements to the letter. He gave the example of an agreement he had with the tall whites. They would basically never sneak up behind him and scare him, and he would be sure to never do the same to him to them. This agreement was closely followed in all the interactions Charles had with the tall whites and helped him survive. Basically, he believed the tall whites could be trusted once they had agreed to something.

Evaluation and Exopolitical Analysis of Charles Hall

I found Charles Hall to be very credible and compelling in his response to questions. He displays great integrity and is quite sincere in describing solely the facts concerning his experiences. He described his experiences with the Tall Whites in a very objective manner, and the emotional reality of the experiences were quite vivid and conveyed much information about his state of mind and the seriousness of the experiences he had. The emotions that Charles objectively described in great detail conveyed the extent to which what he was experiencing shook his world view, and the world views of those around him.

Charles knowledge of the tall white's interaction with the U.S. Air force generals is very enlightening in terms of the way agreements were followed to the letter. It appears that the tall whites are quite legalistic and this appears to be something that the U.S. military finds to be helpful in working with them. This is very significant in the technology exchanges which Hall describes were vitally important for the U.S. military. The tall whites were quite clear that only certain categories of technology would be shared. Categories that presumably would not give the U.S. military deep space capabilities. According to Charles, this technology exchange involved the U.S. collaborating in the construction of scout craft used by the Tall Whites. Providing the required material for the tall whites presumably would have helped U.S. scientists understand the principles of space flight. Since this is what Charles observed in the mid 1960s, it may be presumed that larger constructions may have been more recently attempted that have more advanced propulsion

systems than nuclear power. The exopolitical question here is what would the tall whites gain or have gained in return for allowing U.S. authorities to collaborate in building larger space craft. If technology for smaller scout craft was given in exchange for basing rights, what Earth resources would be or have been traded for larger constructions using more advanced propulsion systems?

Charles Hall comes across as very thoughtful and very intelligent. He has a Master's degree in nuclear physics and believes he has worked out some of the main principles of the tall white's deep space



propulsion system. He doesn't pull any punches in describing the intimidating behavior of the Tall Whites, and their readiness to use deadly force to protect themselves and especially their children against potential threats—intended or otherwise. Even surprising or scaring tall white children could lead to tall white adults intimidating humans with their advanced weaponry as his book makes clear.

Significantly, in his book he describes how the tall whites would threaten to kill humans who scared or disobeyed them (for quotes see <http://exopolitics.org/Exo-Comment-22.htm>). In the interview, however, he focused on how the tall whites would only respond when they were threatened in some way. He stressed the principle of "tit for tat" that the tall whites followed which is well understood in human society and is a principle found in biblical documents (lex talionis). It appeared that in the interview, Charles was portraying the tall whites in a more reasonable light than described in his book. While it was clear that Charles was being as objective as possible, the discrepancy between his book narration and the interview in terms of the conditions when tall whites threatened to kill or intimidated military servicemen and humans in general, suggested he was painting a more sympathetic picture of their behavior than in the book. This is something I hope he can clarify later since it helps contextualize the motivations of the tall whites on Earth.

Charles describes the tall whites as having no ulterior designs on the Earth in terms of colonizing it or taking over governments. He stressed the analogy of the use of overseas bases by the U.S. military such as in the case of U.S. bases in Italy or the Pacific. The idea was that the use of such bases was purely done to facilitate the operations of the U.S. military, while recognizing local sovereignty and customs. So just as the U.S. government/military has no ulterior motive for control of Italy through its base there, so too the tall whites have no ulterior motivation to control the Earth.

This is quite a controversial argument since the control of bases throughout different periods of history is quite contentious, and was part of the dynamics that drove colonialism. Indeed, the existence of military bases in the Saudi Arabia were a major factor influencing regional perceptions of U.S. motivations in the Middle East, and influenced events in Afghanistan

and Iraq. If the tall whites need the Earth as a base for their deep space operations and commerce, then it would be naïve to believe they have no interest in influencing human affairs, and political institutions. Human history shows that when foreign powers establish bases on one's territories, it's hard to get such powers to leave, and to prevent them from interfering from local political affairs. We may have already achieved that point due to agreements reached between the secret committees managing extraterrestrial affairs and the tall whites.

Charles believes that the tall whites have been on Earth since at least the early 1950s, and possibly as long as a hundred years or more based on what some Tall Whites related to him, and mental images he received when reading about local history of Indian springs. This will be a critical question to explore since obviously the time of the first appearance of the Tall Whites on Earth will influence our assessment of their ultimate goals here. If they have been here for a century or more as Hall suggests and which he was influenced to believe from the tall whites, then it would be fair to assess that they have no ulterior design on controlling the Earth. Presumably, they could have easily taken over control of the Earth in the early 19th century. If however the tall whites appeared subsequent to the 1954 Eisenhower-ET agreements (see <http://exopolitics.org/Study-Paper-8.htm>) then it is very likely that the Tall Whites have ulterior motives which go significantly beyond merely resupplying and repairing their deep space craft on route to other interstellar locations. The use of time travel technologies might be used by the tall whites to buttress the idea that they have been on Earth for centuries, but this could be entirely fabricated.

I believe that the tall whites were associated with the 1954 Eisenhower-ET meetings and that they subsequently established their base in the Indian Springs area of Nevada in that time period. It was clear that Charles was subtly influenced by the tall whites to believe they have been present in different periods of U.S. history. The ability of the tall whites to refer to earlier historical periods and their presence is possibly due to advanced technologies such as time travel which they can use to influence our perceptions of their historical presence. This will be naturally controversial but is vitally important as knowledge of the tall whites becomes more widespread, and their exopolitical significance is discussed.

In sum, my view is that Charles Hall testimony is vitally important information that has great exopolitical significance, and is likely to gain widespread public interest. Already, various media outlets are treating the Charles Hall story as a major disclosure event, and Hall's obvious integrity, clarity and coherence is generating much public interest. Hall's disclosure of his experiences at Nellis Air Force base at Indian Springs from 1965-67 will likely play a major role in public disclosure of the extraterrestrial presence, and will help shape public perceptions of extraterrestrials and their presence on Earth.

Forward as you wish. Permission is granted to circulate among private individuals and groups, post on all Internet sites and publish in full in all not-for-profit publications. Contact author for all other rights, which are reserved.

[END QUOTING]

The 'exo-political' ramifications of the reality of the ET presence will be magnificent, once mankind proves worthy of true cosmic brotherhood by coming into line with true cosmic principles of right behavior.

World News Insights

We have documented the Russia-China-India-Brazil (Argentina-Thailand-Malaysia, etc.) Alliance in previous issues. Where does “Old Europe” stand? The following articles from RIA Novosti spell things out quite clearly.
[QUOTING, emphasis added:]

PRESIDENT PUTIN TO TAKE PART IN PARIS SUMMIT OF THE FOUR
RIA Novosti, 3/17/05

MOSCOW—The summit meeting of Russia, France, the FRG [Germany] and Spain intends joint search for new ways of handling problems of present-day Europe, chairman of the State Duma international committee Konstantin Kosachev has said on Thursday. Russian President Vladimir Putin, French President Jacques Chirac, FRG Chancellor Gerhard Schroeder and Spanish Prime Minister Jose Luis Rodriguez Sapatero will get together in France on March 18. ...Mr. Kosachev opines that this meeting does not mean the leaders “are against whomever”. “These talks are not biased against the Americans nor are directed against other leaders of the European Union.” ...He hopes that at the Paris summit the leaders of Russia, France, Germany and Spain will “primarily discuss **questions of economic cooperation and strengthening of military-political security**”.

INTERNATIONAL ISSUES ON PARIS ... AGENDA
RIA Novosti, 3/17/05

MOSCOW—The Russian, French, German and Spanish leaders will discuss the situation in the Middle East, Iran’s nuclear program and preparations for the Russia-U.S. summit in Moscow in May at the Friday meeting in Paris, official spokesman for the Russian Foreign Ministry Alexander Yakovenko reported. “A key task of the quartet is to discuss prospects and ways of practical use of **multilateral** approaches to combating new threats and challenges and settlement of viral contemporary problems, especially, regional conflicts,” Yakovenko said in a RIA Novosti interview. “The situation in the Middle East, the Palestinian-Israeli conflict, Iraq’s political and economic revival, Iran’s nuclear program and the situation in hotspots will be considered from this point of view,” he noted. The four leaders will also discuss Russia-EU cooperation, the official spokesman added. ...According to him, the sides will also focus on other issues of mutual interests and international problems, including the **UN reforms**, the fight against **international terrorism**, global warming, etc. [END QUOTING]
France and Germany are arguably the “cornerstones” of the “Old Europe” denigrated by President Bush for refusing to back his war on Iraq. Their addition to the growing Alliance would tilt the scales firmly away from U.S. hegemony and the Neo-Cons’ vaunted Pax Americana.
While the rest of the world is desirous of a “multilateral” approach to the world’s problems, the U.S., “the eagle with red tail feathers”, continues to be steered by a handful of rabid Neo-Cons.
President Bush’s response to the world’s concerns has been to appoint Neo-Con John “The UN doesn’t exist” Bolton as U.S. Ambassador to the world. Will the world accept the U.S. posture?
[QUOTING, emphasis added:]

INTERNATIONAL LAW STARTS TO BRING WASHINGTON BACK INTO THE FOLD
By Simon Tisdall, The Guardian—UK, 3/11/05

In the opinion of many legal experts, the U.S. government broke international law when it waged war on Iraq without explicit UN backing. Unrepentant, it has reserved the right to take similar action again, unilaterally if need be. But another key pillar of global jurisprudence—laws concerning individual liberty, dignity and human rights—is proving harder for Washington to ignore: like a sheriff with a posse of deputies, international law is slowly catching up with the Bush administration. Despite its hostility to the international criminal court, the U.S. may soon be forced by a UN security council majority to refer war crimes prosecutions in Sudan to the ICC. Diplomats say that would represent a big boost for supranational criminal justice. Last week’s U.S. supreme court decision to abolish the death penalty for offenders under the age of 18 was partly a response to global opposition to capital punishment which the Bush administration has refused to heed. But from an international legal standpoint, the ruling in effect dragged the U.S. into line with a key provision of the 1990 UN convention on the rights of the child. In another test case, concerning Mexican citizens held on death row in Texas, the White House bowed this month to a ruling by the world court in The Hague, whose authority it rejected in the past. The court said that the denial of consular assistance to the defendants, in breach of the 1969 Vienna convention, could have prejudiced their trials. Despite its distaste for any international legal body or instrument that presumes to overrule the U.S. constitution, the Bush administration has now belatedly ordered a judicial review. Areas in which the U.S. government or its agents have traditionally assumed legal immunity when acting in the national interest are also coming under challenge. The American Civil Liberties Union, representing eight Afghan and Iraqi former detainees, is suing the U.S. defence secretary, Donald Rumsfeld, and three army commanders for allegedly ordering “the abandonment of our nation’s inviolable and deep-rooted prohibition against torture or other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment”. Like the Guantánamo Bay controversy, the lawsuit is based on the contention that **abuses at Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq, and Bagram jail in Afghanistan, not only breached the U.S. constitution but also the Geneva and other UN conventions**. A legal precedent for holding top decision-makers, such as Mr Rumsfeld, responsible already exists in a supreme court ruling that says that the most senior Japanese military officials were ultimately to blame for abuses of allied prisoners of war during the second world war. A multibillion-dollar class action now before a Brooklyn court has potentially even broader implications for U.S. adherence to international law. The civil suit, brought on behalf of several million Vietnamese people, alleges that U.S. chemical companies, including Monsanto and Dow Chemical, committed war crimes by supplying the government with Agent Orange in the Vietnam war. The toxic herbicide was extensively used by U.S. forces, and is widely blamed for continuing birth defects, cancer and other serious health problems in Vietnam.

The companies have argued, in effect, that they were only following orders. But Judge Jack Weinstein [*aware of significant precedent and estoppel*] suggested a parallel with Zyklon B, the gas used in Nazi death camps. Two Zyklon B manufacturers were convicted of war crimes and executed by the U.S. and its allies after 1945. The U.S. justice department decried the Agent Orange lawsuit as “dangerous” and “astounding”. A government court submission said: “The implications of the plaintiffs’ claims ... would, if accepted, open the doors of the American legal system for former enemy nationals and soldiers claiming to have been harmed by U.S. armed forces.” [*Read that AGAIN.*] Yet it is precisely to avoid such chaotic scenarios that post-Iraq **UN reformers want an agreed system of international rules governing war and peace**. At the Royal Institute of International Affairs this week, Philippe Sands QC suggested that the nomination of the hardline unilateralist John Bolton as U.S. ambassador to the UN might further encourage Washington’s disregard for international law. [*The RIIA ought to KNOW.*] Professor Sands warned that many in Washington remained committed “to remaking the international order to suit American interests and American values”. But as human rights law continues to develop beyond the reach of executive power, the future waging of unjust or illegal wars could become an increasingly problematic and costly forensic business. [END QUOTING]
The topic of UN reform is worthy of some focus. For an overview, we turn to a non-Western source of information in order to remain “fair and balanced”.
[QUOTING, emphasis added:]

ANNAN URGES ADOPTION OF ANTI-TERRORISM CONVENTION BY SEP. 2006
Xinhua, 3/19/05

UNITED NATIONS—UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan will urge in a report to the General Assembly on Monday the international community to agree on the definition of terrorism so as to conclude a counter-terrorism convention by September 2006. In a copy of the finalized report obtained by Xinhua, Annan urges world leaders to unite and to “conclude a comprehensive convention on terrorism before the end of the 60th session of the General Assembly”. ...“We must act to ensure that catastrophic terrorism never becomes a reality,” Annan says, adding that “this will require a new global strategy, which begins with member states agreeing on a definition of terrorism, and including it in a comprehensive convention.” He says that terrorism is a threat to all that the United Nations stands for, and has grown more urgent in the last five years, stressing “transnational networks of terrorist groups have global reach and make common cause to pose a universal threat.” **Annan proposes a definition of terrorism by saying that in addition to actions already proscribed by existing conventions, any action constitutes terrorism if it is intended to cause death or serious bodily harm to civilians or noncombatants, with the propose of intimidating a population or compelling a government or an international organization to do or abstain from doing any act.** [*No more Fallujahs? What does this mean for Palestine?*] He points out that the strategy against terrorism must be comprehensive, and should be based on five

pillars: it must aim at dissuading people from resorting to terrorism or supporting it; it must deny terrorists access to funds and materials; it must deter States from sponsoring terrorism; it must develop State capacity to defeat terrorism; and it must defend human rights.

Annan also urges the international community to take urgent steps to prevent nuclear, chemical and biological weapons getting into the hands of terrorist groups.

In order to prevent terrorists from getting nuclear materials, he urges the international community to complete as soon as possible the international convention for the suppression of acts of nuclear terrorism.

Meanwhile, **Annan will request the Security Council to adopt a resolution on the use of force, including pre-emptive action.**

Annan says the international community has divergent opinions on when and how force can be used to defend international peace and security.

“Agreement must be reached on these questions if the United Nations is to be, as it was intended, a forum for resolving differences rather than a mere stage for acting them out,” Annan stressed.

[END QUOTING]

Next, let’s see what, exactly, Neo-Con John Bolton will be strenuously opposing in the UN.

[QUOTING, emphasis added:]

MAIN PROPOSALS IN ANNAN’S REPORT ON SWEEPING UN REFORMS
People’s Daily—China, 3/19/05

The following are main proposals in a key report Secretary-General Kofi Annan will present to the General Assembly Monday on revitalizing the United Nations....

Freedom from want

—Developed countries that have not already done so establish timetables to achieve the 0.7 percent target of gross national income for official development assistance by no later than 2015, starting with significant increases no later than 2006, and reaching at least 0.5 percent by 2009.

—Complete the World Trade Organization Doha trade round no later than 2006 with full commitment to realizing its development focus.

—Provide immediate duty-free and quota-free market access for all exports from the Least Developed Countries.

—Launch a series of “Quick Win” initiatives, such as free distribution of malaria bed-nets and elimination of user fees for primary education, to realize major immediate progress toward the Millennium Development Goals.

Freedom from fear

—Affirm and implement a new security consensus based on the recognition that threats are interlinked and no state can protect itself acting entirely alone.

—Pledge full compliance with the Treaty on the Non-proliferation of Nuclear Weapons and conventions on biological, toxin and chemical weapons.

—**Conclude a comprehensive convention on terrorism by September 2006, which includes a definition of terrorism.**

—Asks the Security Council to adopt a resolution setting out principles for the use of force, including pre-emptive action.

—Establish an inter-governmental peacebuilding commission and a peacebuilding fund to help post-conflict countries restore lasting peace.

Freedom to live in dignity

—**Embrace the “responsibility to protect” as a basis for collective action against genocide,**

ethnic cleansing, and crimes against humanity if national authorities are unwilling or unable to protect their citizens.

—Create a UN Democracy Fund to provide funding and technical assistance to emerging democracies.

Strengthening the UN

—Reform the Security Council to make it more broadly representative of the international community and the geopolitical realities of today. Take a decision on the council expansion before the September summit.

—Upgrade the Human Rights Commission to a standing Human Rights Council, whose members should abide by the highest standards.

—Adopt a plan to revitalize the General Assembly by rationalizing its work and streamlining its agenda, structure and procedures.

—Mandate the Economic and Social Council to hold annual ministerial-level meeting to assess progress toward millennium development goals.

—Endorse management reforms Annan is undertaking to make the Secretariat more accountable, transparent and efficient.

—Review the UN watchdog, the Office of Internal Oversight Services, to enhance its independence and authority, as well as its expertise and capacity.

—Eliminate references to “enemy states” in the UN Charter and delete the chapter on The Trusteeship Council.

[END QUOTING]

Some day, American citizens might be thankful the rest of the world feels a “responsibility to protect” them—especially if the provisions of Public Law 102-14 relating to violations of the Noahide Laws are enacted. In a 3/19/05 article on <WhatDoesItMean.com>, “Sorcha Faal” compares the U.S. to Nazi Germany for murders of sick babies and disabled people.

According to Ms. Faal, the NBC News Service in an article titled “Houston Mother Loses Fight To Keep Baby On Life Support”, we can read:

“A critically ill baby at the center of a lengthy court battle died Tuesday shortly after being removed from life support at a Houston hospital. A judge in Houston on Monday lifted an injunction the mother had won that prevented doctors from halting the care they believed was futile. Wanda Hudson unsuccessfully fought to continue the medical care for her 5-month-old son, Sun. Hudson said her son just needed time to grow and to be weaned off of the ventilator he was on since birth. She described the final moments of her baby’s life. “He opened his eyes while he was in my arms, before they took him off the ventilator. He smiled. He moved his tongue, actually his whole body.”

Citing Lifenews News Service in their article titled “Terri Schiavo Tried to Tell Parents’ Attorney She Wanted to Live”, we can read:

“Just before representatives of her estranged husband Michael removed her feeding tube Friday afternoon, Terri Schiavo reportedly told an attorney for her parents that she wanted to live. ‘Terri, if you would just say, ‘I want to live,’ all of this will be over,’ she told the disabled woman.

“Weller said Terri desperately tried to repeat Weller’s words. ‘I waaaaannt ...,’ Schiavo allegedly said. Weller described it as a prolonged yell that was loud enough that police stationed nearby entered the hospice room. ‘She just started yelling, ‘I waaaaannt, I waaaaannt,’ Weller explained. At that point, police removed Weller from Terri’s hospice room and, later, her feeding tube was removed.”

In addition, Sorcha Faal cites a news story out of Florida:

“A 5-year-old girl was arrested, cuffed and put in

back of a police cruiser after an outburst at school where she threw books and boxes, kicked a teacher in the shins, smashed a candy dish, hit an assistant principal in the stomach and drew on the walls. The students were counting jelly beans as part of a math exercise at Fairmount Park Elementary School when the little girl began acting silly. That’s when her teacher took away her jelly beans, outraging the child. Minutes later, the 40-pound girl was in the back of a police cruiser, under arrest for battery. Her hands were bound with plastic ties, her ankles in handcuffs. ‘I don’t want to go to jail,’ she said moments after her arrest Monday.”

Ms. Faal’s conclusions:

“By their very acts of doing nothing against these barbarities these citizens of America are well deserving of the same fate delivered by the world to their Nazi cousins with the complete and utter destruction of their country. Like a book we have read many times, **not [too] much time is there longer going to be before a united world force marches into America to liberate their citizens from the tyranny and barbarity they presently live under.**

“Not [too] much longer can the world wait while once again these forces of darkness and evil spread their moral filth around the world by the force of their armies, their wars, their threats of war and to the very subjugation of the entire world should they ever be allowed.

“To the killing of babies, to the starving to death of their weakest citizens, to the arresting and shackling of 5 year old children, the Axis Power of the United States has once again showed the world how sick of mind they truly are.

In another article, Sorcha Faal states:

“Today as the world grows ever closer towards great cataclysmic changes these Western peoples would be better served by ignoring the lies of their propagandists and turn back towards the knowledge of their ancient ancestors, who not only knew about these present events, but had built and preserved the world over their warnings to the human people of today. **For indeed today the Thunder Gods of old have returned.**”

This statement should have some significance to anyone who recognizes “the one who comes IN the thunder”.

As further confirmation of our position in the larger cycle of Life, we offer the following brief story.

[QUOTING:]

THE PEAK OF MT KILIMANJARO AS IT HAS NOT BEEN SEEN FOR 11,000 YEARS

The Guardian—UK, 3/14/05

Africa’s tallest mountain, with its white peak, is one of the most instantly



recognisable sights in the world. But as this aerial photograph shows, Kilimanjaro’s trademark snowy cap, at 5,895 metres (19,340ft), is now all but gone—15 years before scientists predicted it would melt through global warming, writes Paul Brown.

In Swahili Kilima Njaro means shining mountain, but the glaciers and snow cap that kept the summit white, probably for 11,000 years—despite the location, in Tanzania, 200 miles south of the equator—have almost disappeared....

[END QUOTING]

NEVADA CORPORATIONS:

Do It Right And Do It For LESS

Budget’s “Tip of the Week” #1:

NRS 78.105—Maintenance of Records at the Registered Office

According to *NRS 78.105* (restated, emphasis added): A corporation has a responsibility to maintain certain corporate records at its registered office *for inspection by stockholders*, including a State-certified copy of its Articles of Incorporation, a copy of the Bylaws and the stock ledger (or a statement naming the ledger’s custodian, including the address at which the ledger is kept).

It is hard to see why such records should be maintained in any case where no stock has been issued, as the expressed intent of *NRS 78.105* appears to be to ensure that **stockholders** should have access to these corporate records. Of course, a court order may compel production of the corporate records and in that event, failure to produce them within a “reasonable” time could result in State penalties of \$25 per day for each day of refusal. In such an instance you would have up to five days to produce the records, which, of course, would be in perfect order.

If you choose to leave such records on file at the registered office of the corporation, you might want to take an extra measure of caution regarding privacy of those records and insist upon a **non-disclosure agreement** with the resident agent. Such an agreement should prevent the resident agent from casually identifying you with the corporation and from sharing the corporation’s filed records with anyone other than the corporation’s stockholders. (Otherwise, those records are potentially accessible by anyone making an inquiry.) And hopefully such an agreement would give the resident agent pause to consider additional security against those who might “snoop around”.

Here is one more thing you can do to protect your privacy: Contact your resident agent and ensure that there are NO documents on file that connect YOUR NAME to the corporation. Have the resident agent expunge and shred any old memos, order forms and any other such information that could adversely impact your desire for privacy.

The next “Tip of the Week” will discuss Nevada’s statutory sanctions against anyone who would even *attempt* to access the corporation’s records for purposes contrary to those of its stockholders.

CORPORATION SETUP AND MAINTENANCE FEES

Budget Corporation —includes:	Contract Officers & Director	\$200
• First-year resident agent fee	Obtain EIN	\$ 75
• Corporate Charter	Bank Account Setup	\$100
• Articles of Incorporation	Expedite (24-hr. setup)	\$175
• Corporate Bylaws		
• Corporate Resolutions	Annual Resident Agent Fee	\$ 85
• Budget corporate record book	Budget Mail Forwarding (18 per yr)	\$ 95
• 3.5” floppy disk of resources	Full Mail Forwarding (240 pcs/yr)	\$195
TOTAL		\$410

For more information:

“THE NEVADA CORPORATION MANUAL”

Priced at just \$45, including shipping and handling

Budget
Corporate Renewals

“Nevada corporations
at Budget prices”


(702) 870-5351

P.O. Box 27103
Las Vegas, NV 89126
BCR@BudgetCorporateRenewals.com

Phoenix Source Online:

www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com

- all published *Phoenix Journals*
- *CONTACT* back-issues



Editorial Policy

Opinions of *CONTACT* contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

CONTACT:
THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

Phone: (800) 800-5565; (661) 822-9655
Fax: (661) 822-9655

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to **1-800-800-5565**. **Subscribers: Expiration date is on upper left side of mailing label.**
Quantity Subscriptions: Prices are for U.S. delivery. For foreign quantity-subscription rates based on cost of delivery to your country, please inquire.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Qty.	U.S.	U.S. w/env	CAN/ MEX	For-ei- gn	Qty.	10 copies	25 copies	50 copies	100 copies
13 issues	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 issues	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 issues	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 issues	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 issues	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 issues	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1100

BACK-ISSUE RATES

Back issues are \$3.00 each copy.
Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders.

“Pray for everything but yourself and always remember that everything you do is not for yourself but for all of your relations.”
—Little Crow, brother now observing