


# CONTACT

## PHOENIX JOURNAL REVIEW

News Reviews, Previews and Alternative Views

*NOT TO OPPOSE ERROR IS TO APPROVE IT  
NOT TO DEFEND TRUTH IS TO SUPPRESS IT*



VOLUME 48, NUMBER 8

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

DECEMBER 13, 2006

# To Restore the Republic “HEAVE ’EM OUT”

12/10/06 (20-116)

Sun., Dec. 10, 2006, Year 20, Day 116  
Manila, Philippines

*First: No people on earth can be held, as a people, to be an enemy, for all humanity shares the common hunger for peace and fellowship and justice.*

*Second: No nation’s security and well-being can be lastingly achieved in isolation but only in effective cooperation with fellow-nations.*

*Third: Every nation’s right to a form of government and an economic system of its own choosing is inalienable.*

*Fourth: Any nation’s attempt to dictate to other nations their form of government is indefensible.*

*And fifth: A nation’s hope of lasting peace cannot be firmly based upon any race in armaments but rather upon just relations and honest understanding with all other nations.*—Dwight D. Eisenhower, April 16, 1953

Fifty-three years ago, THAT is what the United States of America stood for. Mr. Eisenhower, as despicable as he may have been otherwise (he cold-

bloodedly directed the deaths by EXPOSURE of some 1.5 million German soldiers after World War Two was over—see James Bacque’s book, *OTHER LOSSES*), in this speech managed to express the heart-felt HUMAN and universal principles which should govern the relationships between nations. These are the kinds of words one might hear these days from the likes of Mr. Putin of Russia or Mr. Ahmadinejad of Iran—or any leader just human enough to want PEACE for his/her nation and the World.

WHAT HAPPENED? We’ve said it before but it bears repeating because a lot of people just don’t seem to “get it” even when it is staring them in the face: The Zionist BOLSHEVIK element, vile parasites all, left or were expelled from the Soviet Union and these parasites took up residence in their new host as the Zionist Occupational Government of the United States (see *Marching to ZOG*, Phoenix Journal #79, which was put to press in 1993).

“Somehow”—by the Grace of God and having paid a great price—Russia has been successful in its parasite cleansing operations, to the extent that the biggest and baddest of these Bad BoyZ are now either in prison or fugitives from Russian justice. The

INTERESTING thing is that Russia didn’t have to wage any kind of a war against these ones, only against their criminal conduct. It doesn’t matter if you’re Muslim or Christian, Jewish or Rastafarian: a crime is a crime and there is no religious exemption. No one in Russia can escape investigation or conviction by screaming, “You’re anti-Islamic!” (or anti-Shemitic or anti-Semitic, for that matter). (Arabs are sons of Shem and therefore Shemitic/Semitic; Khazars—aka Ashkenazis—are not, in case you are trying to discern the difference.)

“Journalist at large” GCH described the necessary stages for such parasite cleansing quite succinctly in Phoenix Journals #95, #96 and #97, entitled: *Heave Up (Phase One)*; *Heave-Ho (Phase Two)*; and *Heave ’Em Out (Phase Three)*. The worms are definitely “out of the woodwork”. Don’t you think it’s about time to **HEAVE ’EM OUT**?

At the time of Eisenhower’s speech the full intention was for Global ZOG, a New World Order which would be led by “communist” Russia and China. As you will read in this issue’s installment of the *FINAL WARNING* series, vast amounts of resources were pumped into the USSR at the expense

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT  
P.O. Box 27800  
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL  
U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
BAKERSFIELD, CA  
PERMIT NO. 758

**FIRST CLASS MAIL**

## ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

*Final Warning: Part 11a*.....page 6

*Gold and Other Interesting Things: Part 10*.....page 9

HEAVE ’EM OUT!!!.....page 12

World News Desk.....page 14

of American taxpayers throughout the so-called Cold War. Furthermore, to the great chagrin of General Douglas MacArthur in Korea, as one example, the United Nations was so structured that ONLY A RUSSIAN COULD EVER CONTROL UN MILITARY FORCES. The Habsburgs (Rockefellers and Bush Nazis) have gotten around this to a certain extent with the development of NATO—which is now hopelessly mired in Afghanistan reinvigorating the opium trade.

Because of the great ZOG switcheroo from the Bolshevik-Zionist USSR to Bolshevik-Zionist Amerika, let’s look at the rest of President Eisenhower’s speech in a different light by substituting national references. Such substitutions will be noted in square braces in the following excerpts:

In the light of these principles the citizens of [Russia] defined the way they proposed to follow, through the aftermath of war, toward true peace.

This way was faithful to the spirit that inspired the United Nations: to prohibit strife, to relieve tensions, to banish fears. This way was to control and to reduce armaments. This way was to allow all nations to devote their energies and resources to the great and good tasks of healing the war’s wounds, of clothing and feeding and housing the needy, of perfecting a just political life, of enjoying the fruits of their own toil.

**The [American] government held a vastly different vision of the future. In the world of its design, security was to be found, not in mutual trust and mutual aid but in force: huge armies, subversion, rule of neighbor nations. The goal was power superiority at all cost. Security was to be sought by denying it to all others.**

The result has been tragic for the world and, for the [United States], it has also been ironic.

The amassing of [American] power alerted free nations to a new danger of aggression. It compelled them in self-defense to spend unprecedented money and energy for armaments. It forced them to develop weapons of war now capable of inflicting instant and terrible punishment upon any aggressor.

It instilled in the free nations—and let none doubt this—the unshakable conviction that, as long as there persists a threat to freedom, they must, at any cost, remain armed, strong and ready for the risk of war.

It inspired them—and let none doubt this—to attain a unity of purpose and will beyond the power of propaganda or pressure to break, now or ever.

**There remained, however, one thing essentially unchanged and unaffected by [American] conduct. This unchanged thing was the readiness of the free world to welcome sincerely any genuine evidence of peaceful purpose enabling all peoples again to resume their common quest of just peace. And the free world still holds to that purpose.**

**The free nations, most solemnly and repeatedly, have assured the [United States] that their firm association has never had any aggressive purpose whatsoever. [American] leaders, however, have seemed to persuade themselves, or tried to persuade their people, otherwise.**

**And so it has come to pass that the [United States] itself has shared and suffered the very fears it has fostered in the rest of the world.**

This has been the way of life forged by [decades] of fear and force.

What can the world, or any nation in it, hope for if no turning is found on this dread road?

The worst to be feared and the best to be

expected can be simply stated.

The worst is atomic war.

The best would be this: a life of perpetual fear and tension; a burden of arms draining the wealth and the labor of all peoples; a wasting of strength that defies the American system or the Soviet system or any system to achieve true abundance and happiness for the peoples of this Earth.

**Every gun that is made, every warship launched, every rocket fired signifies, in the final sense, a theft from those who hunger and are not fed, those who are cold and are not clothed.**

**This world in arms is not spending money alone. It is spending the sweat of its laborers, the genius of its scientists, the hopes of its children.**

**The cost of one modern heavy bomber is this: a modern brick school in more than 30 cities.**

**It is two electric power plants, each serving a town of 60,000 population. It is two fine, fully equipped hospitals.**

**It is some fifty miles of concrete pavement.**

**We pay for a single fighter plane with a half million bushels of wheat.**

**We pay for a single destroyer with new homes that could have housed more than 8,000 people.**

This is, I repeat, the best way of life to be found on the road the world has been taking.

This is not a way of life at all, in any true sense. Under the cloud of threatening war, it is humanity hanging from a cross of iron. These plain and cruel truths define the peril and point the hope that come with this spring of 1953 [2006?].

This is one of those times in the affairs of nations when the gravest choices must be made, if there is to be a turning toward a just and lasting peace.

It is a moment that calls upon the governments of the world to speak their intentions with simplicity and with honesty.

It calls upon them to answer the question that stirs the hearts of all sane men: is there no other way the world may live?

... Now a new leadership has assumed power in the [United States]. Its links to the past, however strong, cannot bind it completely. Its future is, in great part, its own to make.

This new leadership confronts a free world aroused, as rarely in its history, by the will to stay free.

The free world knows, out of the bitter wisdom of experience, that vigilance and sacrifice are the price of liberty.

**... This is the kind of free world which the new [American] leadership confronts. It is a world that demands and expects the fullest respect of its rights and interests. It is a world that will always accord the same respect to all others. So the new [American] leadership now has a precious opportunity to awaken, with the rest of the world, to the point of peril reached and to help turn the tide of history.**

**Will it do this?**

**We do not yet know. Recent statements and gestures of [American] leaders give some evidence that they may recognize this critical moment.**

**We welcome every honest act of peace.**

**We care nothing for mere rhetoric.**

We care only for sincerity of peaceful purpose attested by deeds. The opportunities for such deeds are many. The performance of a great number of them waits upon no complex protocol but only upon the simple will to do them. Even a few such clear and specific acts ... would be impressive signs of sincere intent. They would carry a power of persuasion not to be matched by any amount of oratory.

This we do know: a world that begins to witness the rebirth of trust among nations can find its way to a peace that is neither partial nor punitive.

With all who will work in good faith toward such a peace, we are ready, with renewed resolve, to strive to redeem the near-lost hopes of our day.

... Out of this can grow a still wider task—the achieving of just political settlements for the other serious and specific issues between the free world and the [United States].

None of these issues, great or small, is insoluble—given only the will to respect the rights of all nations. Again we say: [Russia] is ready to assume its just part.

... As progress in all these areas strengthens world trust, we could proceed concurrently with the next great work—the reduction of the burden of armaments now weighing upon the world. To this end we would welcome and enter into the most solemn agreements. These could properly include:

1. The limitation, by absolute numbers or by an agreed international ratio, of the sizes of the military and security forces of all nations;

2. A commitment by all nations to set an agreed limit upon that proportion of total production of certain strategic materials to be devoted to military purposes;

3. International control of atomic energy to promote its use for peaceful purposes only and to insure the prohibition of atomic weapons;

4. A limitation or prohibition of other categories of weapons of great destructiveness;

5. The enforcement of all these agreed limitations and prohibitions by adequate safeguards, including a practical system of inspection under the United Nations.

The details of such disarmament programs are manifestly critical and complex.

Neither [Russia] nor any other nation can properly claim to possess a perfect, immutable formula. But the formula matters less than the faith—the good faith without which no formula can work justly and effectively.

The fruit of success in all these tasks would present the world with the greatest task, and the greatest opportunity, of all. It is this: the dedication of the energies, the resources and the imaginations of all peaceful nations to a new kind of war. This would be a declared total war not upon any human enemy but upon the brute forces of poverty and need.

The peace we seek, founded upon decent trust and cooperative effort among nations, can be fortified, not by weapons of war but by wheat and by cotton, by milk and by wool, by meat and timber and rice [*not to mention: several million tons of gold to escape the fiat-currency trap*]. These are words that translate into every language on Earth. These are the needs that challenge this world in arms.

This idea of a just and peaceful world is not new or strange to us. ...

We are prepared to reaffirm, with the most concrete evidence, our readiness to help build a world in which all peoples can be productive and prosperous.

This Government is ready to ask its people to join with all nations in devoting a substantial percentage of any savings achieved by real disarmament to a fund for world aid and reconstruction. The purposes of this great work would be to help other peoples to develop the undeveloped areas of the world, to stimulate profitable and fair world trade, to assist all peoples to know the blessings of productive freedom.

The monuments to this new war would be roads and schools, hospitals and homes, food and health. We are ready, in short, to dedicate our strength to serving the needs, rather than the fears, of the world.

I know of nothing I can add to make plainer the sincere purposes of [Russia]. I know of no course, other than that marked by these and similar actions, that can be called the highway of peace.

I know of only one question upon which progress waits. It is this: What is the [United States] ready to do? Whatever the answer is, let it be plainly spoken. Again we say: the hunger for peace is too great, the hour in history too late for any government to mock men’s hopes with mere words and promises and gestures.

Is the new leadership of the [United States] prepared to use its decisive influence in the ... world, including control of the flow of arms, to bring ... peace ...?

Is it prepared to allow other nations ... the free choice of their own form of government?

Is it prepared to act in concert with others upon serious disarmament proposals?

If not, where then is the concrete evidence of the [United States’] concern for peace?

There is, before all peoples, a precarious chance to turn the black tide of events.

If we failed to strive to seize this chance, the judgment of future ages will be harsh and just.

If we strive but fail and the world remains armed against itself, it at least would need be divided no longer in its clear knowledge of who has condemned humankind to this fate.

**The purpose of [Russia] in stating these proposals is simple. These proposals spring, without ulterior motive or political passion, from our calm conviction that the hunger for peace is in the hearts of all people—those of [the United States] and of [the United Kingdom] no less than of our own country.**

**They conform to our firm faith that God created man to enjoy, not destroy, the fruits of the Earth and of their own toil.**

**They aspire to this: the lifting, from the backs and from the hearts of men, of their burden of arms and of fears, so that they may find before them a golden age of freedom and of peace.**

Thank you. [Dwight D. Eisenhower, 1953]

RESPOND TO THE DIVINE CALL  
OR VANISH FROM THE FACE OF THE EARTH

On December 6 *AFP* carried a story with the peculiar headline: “Follow God or Vanish, Ahmadinejad Tells West”, a portion of which is worthy of attention (emphasis added):

Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad has warned Western leaders to follow the path of God or “vanish from the face of the Earth”.

... “They are angry with our nation. But we tell them ‘so be it and die from this anger.’ **Rest assured that if you do not respond to the divine call, you will die soon and vanish from the face of the Earth,**” he said. ...

Don’t we just wish? Life on this placement might be a whole lot more enjoyable in the absence of the truly evil ones.

On July 24, 1994 (for you who might want to verify this) Commander Hatonn said in a little meeting in Tehachapi, California that we could expect to have some

help from certain members of the Elite controllers “because **some of them do not wish to be VANISHED**”. He also informed us in 1994 that the visitors from “outer space” are generally INVISIBLE to our perceptions and at other times warned the adversarial players that these ones come and go so quickly, “you won’t even see them when they come for you.”

Let’s drop in on that meeting through the following transcript in which GCH addressed this subject and the members of the “Committee of 16” (the elite of the elite controllers) in particular:

... Ones have been really, really upset with me when I said, “I created you; I can uncreate you.” “Well, who are you, then, are you God/Aton or do you claim to be some flight commander, or ...?” Well, let it suffice: either one.

**I know how to control existence. You will learn to control existence or you will be VANISHED. That term is probably better than “uncreate”; I don’t care what you call it. When you move into the civilization of the Universe, only those who understand that are going to go. The rest will be VANISHED. I did not say “vanquished” or “extinguished”, I said VANISHED.**

There are portions of the civilization of the Universe in which ones grow. There are places in the civilization of the Universe wherein ones have already grown and volunteer and come back and play these roles, so that the human experiencing and soul growing will have the necessary lessons to make his own progression. I don’t care what you did—ever; it got you to here, whatever you are. I care very much what you become because that is your perceived future, not what you are experiencing here or tomorrow.

“Well, I perceive tomorrow, I will have a future.” Fine. Let’s make sure we create one—or you won’t. **If you do not create a future, you will not have one. And if you are content to end everything now, that can be arranged also;** but I don’t think that’s what the majority of the ones who really intend to have a future are going to do about it. ...

I really do like these guys and when they see it is to their advantage to get on this bandwagon rather than that other one headed for vanishing, they’ll be right there to help you ...

**They’re right up against it.** [At this point there is a quick Q&A regarding gold and Peru, followed by:]

[There is] enough [gold] that we don’t even have to tap the El Dorado. And yet you can’t in this physical world go ahead and evolve to the point that you need to evolve for your transition or your survival, whatever you want to call it, without some monetary backup. That’s the basis of your society. Therefore, if you’re going to function within the society for a while longer, you’re going to have to have what society uses to make it. And of course that’s where the adversary will try to stop you: “We won’t let you have it.”

**Oh yes, you’re going to let us have it because we become the TICKET to the survival of the other—humanoids—who would like to become HUMAN. ...**

We wonder if any of those whom GCH addressed would be “prepared to deal at this time”.

Sarah Baxter of the *Times*—UK, in a piece captioned, “Tears of Bush Sr Testify to U.S. Torment” describes Bush, Sr.’s emotional breakdown as son Jeb prepares to step down as Governor of Florida: “ ... Bush began to sob. As he struggled to continue, he said haltingly, **‘A true measure of a man is how you**

**handle victory and how you handle defeat,’** before breaking down in tears again.”

It’s hard to explain why George HW Bush was in tears; it’s certainly not anything to do with the damnable record Poppy crop in Afghanistan this year. If God could give a little-gray alien clone a soul, is it possible to consider that even one such as this, who has regularly participated in the “sacrifice of care” (conscience) at the Bohemian Grove could be turned from self-destruction? We know that with God all things are possible and it is never too late—but to mere mortal sufferers on this planet it sure seems a stretch.

On April 29, 2005 *The Guardian*—UK carried a story by Julian Borger entitled, “Incoming Cloud Forces Bush into Safe Bunker” which might make a whole lot more sense now:

President George Bush was bundled into an underground bunker, Dick Cheney was evacuated to an “undisclosed location” and heavily armed secret servicemen took up defensive positions when a fast-moving cloud scudded towards the White House, it was reported yesterday.

The cloud that materialised 30 miles south of Washington on Wednesday morning was so dense it triggered radar monitors on the Domestic Events Network, intended to prevent a repeat of the September 11 attacks.

As an anti-aircraft missile battery on the roof of a nearby building was raised to the fire position, a Black Hawk helicopter was scrambled to take a look, but saw nothing except some clouds, one of which turned out to be the suspected aggressor.

... It was not clear yesterday what it was about Wednesday morning’s cloud that created such havoc. It was moving at about the speed of a helicopter [*RK: somewhat faster than your average cloud, we presume*], disappearing and then appearing again on the radar screen ...

Even though he started hiding himself away from certain perceived attack clouds years ago, Bush Jr.’s confidence has obviously been dealt some severe blows in recent months. We have documented how he has been acting dazed, confused, befuddled and generally confounded—but now it looks like he is in a state of permanent FEAR.

Blogger Jeffrey Feldman posted a piece on December 1 which he captioned: “Bush’s Body Language Says ‘I’m Afraid’” in which he documents the tremendously fearful body language of the President. We see no copyright on the work as presented to us and will honor Mr. Feldman by running it in its entirety (emphasis added):

Sometimes, non-verbal communication—that aspect of how we talk that does not involve words—carries the most meaning in a given situation.

Such was the case during President Bush’s recent press conference in Amman, Jordan. Having read the transcript of this press conference to analyze the President’s repetition of the word “success”, I then went over to C-SPAN to watch the video footage. What I saw in that footage took me by surprise, adding an entirely unexpected dimension to my thinking about the way President Bush attempted to frame Iraq. **With his words, Bush said, “Success, success, success!” But with every other aspect of his being—his gestures, his tone, his disposition, his glances—President Bush was saying: I’m afraid.**

The President of the United States was the very image of fear in this Amman press conference. And that image of fear was more revealing than any words coming out of President Bush’s mouth, today.

Body Posture

According to Dr. Beatrice de Gelder a neuroscientist from Harvard Medical School and Tilburg University in the Netherlands:

“When we talk about how humans communicate, we always talk about things like language...But just like in the animal world, we also communicate through our bodies without our conscious minds being much aware of it.”

(from “Fear Is Spread by Body Language, Study Says,” National Geographic News, 11.16.04)

Dr. de Gelder led a study whose results were published in the 2004 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences that looked specifically at how body language relays fear. While most studies of fear had focused on facial expression, Dr. de Gelder’s group used images of people with faces blocked out—to see how body gestures registered in people’s minds.

President Bush’s body posture in the Amman press conference included a series of jabbing hand

involuntarily—our faces make it happen for us, even if the way our faces move is partly a product of the culture and times in which we live.

President Bush’s face expressed fear in dozens of different ways at his press conference in Amman. For President Bush, fear looks like a slight widening of the eyes together with a slightly crooked half-grimace in his mouth. It is a look that sent shivers through my spine when I saw it in the C-SPAN video—the look of a man who not only does not understand the answers to the questions being asked, but does not understand the reason they are being asked in the first place.

Framing and Staging in Politics

Beyond metaphor, beyond the words we use and the ideas in our heads—the movement of our hands, arms, shoulders, faces—these all express meaning that is essential to the framing of political communication.

The key distinction, however, comes through the idea of “staging” vs. “messaging”. When we

generally found him to be very inspiring in front of a crowd, which made it even more remarkable. He spoke eloquently about meeting with Rep. John Murtha after the leadership vote, and accompanying Murtha to the Bethesda military hospital the very next day to visit and speak with the Iraq wounded. The crowd practically yelled halleluia when he ended his three minutes by stating clearly and directly that he understood and embraced the fact that voters had sent him to Washington first and foremost to end the Iraq War and bring the troops home as soon as possible.

Senator-Elect Bernie Sanders followed, also more relaxed than I’ve ever heard him. Of course there is no one more inspiring on the stump than Bernie, but he doesn’t exactly exude a lot of warmth, generally speaking. This time was different, which is not to say his time-tested timbre and message were in any way altered, just that he was clearly among friends, and the crowd of



PRESIDENT BUSH’S BODY LANGUAGE SAYS, “I’M AFRAID”

gestures, an awkward and repeated leaning on the podium, and sinking of his head into his shoulders. While slightly different than the images in Dr. de Gelder’s study, the body posture of President Bush not only relayed that he was afraid, but actually spread that fear to the people watching him.

Tone of Voice

In her writings about why people argue, linguist Deborah Tannen has talked about the problem of communicating at a spoken and unspoken level. Her work includes a fascinating study of young children communicating on a playground, during which the majority of the communication took place in sudden increases and decreases in the speaker’s tone of voice. Meaning comes to us, in other words, not merely through the words we hear, but also through all the other sounds made by the speaker.

President Bush’s tone of voice in the Amman press conference was noticeably loud. At times it felt as if he was standing 50 yards away from the press corp and trying to project his voice over the gap between them. But the press corp was right in front of him as usual. It reminded me of the heightened voice tone of someone who just watched their life savings reduced to dust by a stock market crash. It relayed fear, although not a fear-of-ghosts kind of fear. It was an angry fear. A fear of being caught, a fear of judgment by people whose advice we heard, but did not heed.

Facial Expression

Fear expressed through movements of the face are, perhaps, the most expressive of all and relay the most meaning. Some emotions we relay because we choose to. We smile for pictures, frown at a baby that is crying, force ourselves to laugh at a friend’s bad joke. But fear is an expression that we most often express

analyze the framing in a speech, there are two levels to consider—the spoken and the unspoken, then staging and the messaging.

In his press conference in Amman, President Bush repeated the word “success” over a dozen times in a clear attempt to frame the debate with a message of confidence. But when we pay attention to the staging—to President Bush’s posture, tone and facial expressions—we picked up on the exact opposite of confidence: absolute fear.

And this time, the staging out performed the script.

In ancient times, apparently, the Pharaoh had sense enough that when the Lord said, “SET MY PEOPLE FREE!”—the Pharaoh did so. When you are “right up against it”, sirs of this World, it’s a whole lot better to go with God—as some of you are about to find out, apparently.

PROMISING SIGNS

There are MANY promising signs, both in the heavens and on the Earth, indicating that VERY SOON we are going to see the Phoenix rise.

Given the foregoing subject matter, the first one I want to present has the catchy title, “Leahy: Bush Should Be ‘Terrified’”. Blogged by “odum” (editors, please leave the lower-case “o”) on December 2, it is just the reaction of a particular Democrat to some post-election speeches—but it sure makes it look like “something” is changing everything in a big way. Quoting, excerpts only, emphasis added:

Newly elected U.S. Congressman Peter Welch was relaxed, sincere, funny—and downright inspiring. I like Peter, but I haven’t

Democrats (which have longed to be able to celebrate his work with him among them) responded in kind.

And finally there was our Senior Senator, Patrick Leahy. For those who’ve heard Senator Leahy speak, you know that his delivery can vary quite a bit. It can be easy to tell when he’s tired, physically or mentally—so Leahy on the stump doesn’t necessarily mean the same thing twice. But Leahy of late has had a fire in his belly, the likes of which we haven’t seen in a while. His sadness at the loss of comity and the discarding of basic Constitutional values under the Bush GOP has turned into outrage, and he has been consistently riveting in front of a crowd in recent months.

But since the election, that outrage has turned into inspiration, and it’s an inspiration he passed on to the crowd tonight. Like the speakers before him, Leahy was funny, thankful, exuberant... but there was an edge that was very serious. He related a conversation where he was recently asked if President Bush should be “worried” that he was now to be Chair of the powerful Senate Judiciary Committee. The crowd started cheering.

“No, no” he said, calming the crowd, as if to be prepared for a softening of his rhetoric.

“No, he shouldn’t be worried. He should be terrified.”

And the room exploded.

Leahy went on to assure the crowd that, unlike “some in the administration”, he’d “actually read the Constitution” and went on to promise that no judges nominated to the federal bench who would ignore that Constitution would ever get past his committee.

... So who’d-a-thunk it? In the end, Bush ended up being a uniter after all.

Well, Mr. Leahy might still require some education with regard to **THE MISSING 13TH AMENDMENT**, which forbade those with Titles of Nobility such as **ESQUIRE** from holding public office. And he might need to become informed of the **FRAUD involved in the BANKRUPTCY of the UNITED STATES in 1933 and the STATE OF EMERGENCY which has resulted in UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEGAL PROCESS UNDER ADMIRALTY JURISDICTION EVER SINCE**. But his heart seems to be in the right place and that is a PROMISING SIGN.

Blogger Jeff Blankfort provides us with yet another look behind the changing scenes as he sent the following “Letter from James Abourezk, former U.S. Senator from South Dakota to Jeff Blankfort on the Israel Lobby” to his general-circulation email list on December 4 (emphasis added):

The following letter was sent to me today by James Abourezk, former U.S. Senator from South Dakota, and he readily complied when I asked that I be allowed to forward it to my list because what he had to say is of the utmost importance, given last month’s election and all the new faces in Congress, and the immediate previous posting to you and James Petras’ article earlier in the day.

Dear Jeff:  
I just finished reading your critique of Noam Chomsky’s positions in an e mail sent to me by Tony Saidy.

I had never paid much attention to Chomsky’s writings, as I had all along assumed that he was correct and proper in his position on the Arab-Israeli conflict.

But now, upon learning that his first assumption is that Israel is simply doing what the imperial leaders in the U.S. wants them to do, I concur with you that this assumption is completely wrong.

I can tell you from personal experience that, at least **in the Congress, the support Israel has in that body is based completely on political fear—fear of defeat by anyone who does not do what Israel wants done. I can also tell you that very few members of Congress—at least when I served there—have any affection for Israel or for its Lobby. What they have is contempt, but it is silenced by fear of being found out exactly how they feel.** I’ve heard too many cloakroom conversations in which members of the Senate will voice their bitter feelings about how they’re pushed around by the Lobby to think otherwise. In private one hears the dislike of Israel and the tactics of the Lobby, but not one of them is willing to risk the Lobby’s animosity by making their feelings public.

Thus, I see no desire on the part of Members of Congress to further any U.S. imperial dreams by using Israel as their pit bull. The only exceptions to that rule are the feelings of Jewish members, whom, I believe, are sincere in their efforts to keep U.S. money flowing to Israel. But that minority does not a U.S. imperial policy make.

Secondly, the Lobby is quite clear in its efforts to suppress any congressional dissent from the policy of complete support for Israel which might hurt annual appropriations. Even one voice is attacked, as I was, on grounds that if Congress is completely silent on the issue, the press will have no one to quote, which effectively silences the press as well. **Any journalists or editors who step out of line are**

**quickly brought under control by well organized economic pressure against the newspaper caught sinning.**

I once made a trip through the Middle East, taking with me a reporter friend who wrote for *Knight-Ridder* newspapers. He was writing honestly about what he saw with respect to the Palestinians and other countries bordering on Israel. **The *St. Paul Pioneer* press executives received threats from several of their large advertisers that their advertising would be terminated if they continued publishing the journalist’s articles. It’s a lesson quickly learned by those who controlled the paper.**

With respect to the positions of several administrations on the question of Israel, there are two things that bring them into line: One is pressure from members of Congress who bring that pressure resulting in the demands of AIPAC, and the other is the desire on the part of the President and his advisers to keep their respective political parties from crumbling under that pressure. I do not recall a single instance where any administration saw the need for Israel’s military power to advance U.S. Imperial interests. In fact, as we saw in the Gulf War, Israel’s involvement was detrimental to what Bush, Sr. wanted to accomplish in that war. They had, as you might remember, to suppress any Israeli assistance so that the coalition would not be destroyed by their involvement.

So far as the argument that we need to use Israel as a base for U.S. operations, I’m not aware of any U.S. bases there of any kind. The U.S. has enough military bases, and fleets, in the area to be able to handle any kind of military needs without using Israel. In fact I can’t think of an instance where the U.S. would want to involve Israel militarily for fear of upsetting the current allies the U.S. has, i.e., Saudi Arabia and the Emirates. The public in those countries would not allow the monarchies to continue their alliance with the U.S. should Israel become involved.

I suppose one could argue that Bush’s encouragement of Israel in the Lebanon war this summer was the result of some imperial urge, but **it was merely an extension of the U.S. policy of helping Israel because of the Lobby’s continual pressure.** In fact, I heard not one voice of opposition to the Israeli invasion of Lebanon this summer (except Chuck Hagel). Lebanon always has been a “throw away” country so far as the congress is concerned, that is, what happens there has no effect on U.S. interests. **There is no Lebanon Lobby.** The same was true in 1982, when the Congress fell completely silent over the invasion that year.

I think in the heart of hearts of both members of congress and of the administrations they would prefer not to have Israel fouling things up for U.S. foreign policy, which is to keep oil flowing to the Western world to prevent an economic depression. But what our policy makers do is to juggle the Lobby’s pressure on them to support Israel with keeping the oil countries from cutting off oil to the western nations. So far they’ve been able to do that. With the exception of King Feisal and his oil embargo, there hasn’t been a Saudi leader able to stand up to U.S. policy.

**So I believe that divestment, and especially cutting off U.S. aid to Israel would**

**immediately result in Israel’s giving up the West Bank and leaving the Gaza to the Palestinians.** Such pressure would work, I think, because the Israeli public would be able to determine what is causing their misery and would demand that an immediate peace agreement be made with the Palestinians. It would work because of the democracy there, unlike sanctions against a dictatorship where the public could do little about changing their leaders’ minds. One need only look at the objectives of the Israeli Lobby to determine how to best change their minds. **The Lobby’s principal objectives are to keep money flowing from the U.S. treasury to Israel,** requiring a docile congress and a compliant administration. As Willie Sutton once said, “That’s where the money is.”  
Jim Abourezk

It’s too bad Mr. Abourezk wasn’t more vocal on this issue while he was an active member of the Senate but it’s nice to get the truth out now.

Let’s look at another promising sign. We’ll credit the Wayne Madsen Report with this observation posted on December 4 as “BREAKING NEWS” (emphasis added):

“Unconfirmed U.S. ambassador to the UN John Bolton tendered his resignation this morning. A GOP plan to confirm Bolton in the lame duck Congress was dead-on-arrival. **Bolton is the fourth major neo-con to resign since the election.** He follows Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, Assistant Defense Secretary for Intelligence Stephen Cambone, and Republican National Committee Chairman Ken Mehlman out the door.

Well, this piece is getting beyond a manageable length, so we’ll try to keep the list of PROMISING SIGNS short.

On December 4 the *Washington Post*’s Walter Pincus is credited with a piece captioned, “Democrats Who Opposed War Move Into Key Positions”.

*New York Daily News* reports: “New Poll Shows 71 Percent of Americans Disapprove of War Policy”.

Ray McGovern, a CIA analyst from 1963 to 1990 and Robert Gates’ branch chief in the early 1970s, now serves on the Steering Group of Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS) and is quite happy to blow the whistle on the parasitic usurpers—including his former employee, Robert Gates. Don’t expect Gates to step too far out of line because the truth is going to corral him.

Matt Pascarella of *Op-Ed News* reported on December 8 outgoing Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney’s “Articles of Impeachment Filed against Bush, in Congress”. The impeachment might not go anywhere—for now—but it is yet another Promising Sign, after all (emphasis added):

... Article I states that President Bush has failed to preserve, protect and defend the *Constitution*. Specifically cited in this article is the charge that Bush has manipulated intelligence and lied to justify war ...

Article II, “Abuse of office and of executive privilege”, states that President Bush has disregarded his oath of office by “obstructing and hindering the work of Congressional investigative bodies and by seeking to expand the scope of the powers of his office.” The President has “failed to take responsibility for, investigate or discipline those responsible for an ongoing pattern of negligence, incompetence and malfeasance to the detriment of the American people.”

... Article III states that President Bush has



failed to “ensure the laws are faithfully executed” and that he has “violated the letter and spirit of laws and rules of criminal procedure used by civilian and military courts, and has violated or ignored regulatory codes and practices that carry out the law.”

... McKinney explains, “We have to do this because this is simply the right thing to do. **The American people do want to hold this man and his office accountable for the crimes they have committed** and if no member of congress is willing to do it, then I will.”

... “My duty as a member of Congress is merely to uphold and preserve the *Constitution* and to represent the will of my constituency. Ultimately, it isn’t up to me or any other member of Congress; it is up to the American people to decide.”

THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE DECIDED; THE ONLY QUESTION NOW IS WHETHER THEIR REPRESENTATIVES WILL REPRESENT THEM OR ZOG. There is much to be learned from the Russian experience as to how to deal with the ZOG parasitic usurpers.

About the ONLY thing which presently allows for recovery of the Constitutional Republic is people’s BELIEF in their constitutional rights. Those rights have, in actuality, not been available through the Admiralty Jurisdiction courts since 1933 when the United States went BANKRUPT. Belief in freedom of speech could actually lead to its restoration.

Rev. Ted Pike is pushing HARD to drum up opposition to the “Federal Hate Bill”—which has been defeated three times in the last two years. He writes: **“Such control over the thoughts and speech of all mankind is the very definition of world conquest for those behind this legislation—ADL and its parent organization, B’nai B’rith International. We stand at a precipice. Below is a chasm of unimaginable darkness. If we do not turn America back now, while she still honors dissent, America will never be saved tomorrow, as a nation that outlaws free speech.”**

He recommends: “Begin toll-free phone calls to Congress immediately. Visit [www.truthtellers.org](http://www.truthtellers.org) for the complete list of members of the new Congress. Set aside 15 minutes a day (before work or during lunch) to call toll-free 1-877-851-6437 or 1-866-340-9281 (toll is 1-202-225-3121).

Texe Marrs has exposed pedophilia, homosexuality and other aberrant behavior in his piece, “White House Bordello”. He calls George W. Bush a “high profile sodomite, a cocaine abuser shamelessly guilty of hiring male prostitutes to service him”.

Why do I put this under “PROMISING SIGNS”? Because there are still ones with the courage to get this information out to the public at any cost to themselves. AND, HOPEFULLY, **THERE ARE ONES READY, WILLING AND ABLE TO HEAVE ’EM OUT!!!**

Marrs discusses White House relationships with the likes of: Jeff Gannon, Karl “Turblossom” Rove, Ken Mehlman, Victor Ashe, Mark R. Dybul, U.S. Supreme Court Judge John Roberts, Lewis “Scooter” Libby, Israel’s President, Moshe Katsov, Congressmen Mark Foley, Danny Hastert, Jim Kolbe, and a slew of others, including Governors, Senators and Judges.

“Bush and his coterie of homoerotic thugs are craftier and more sinister than anything the brutal Soviet system ever produced. And coincidentally, Lenin and the boys in Russia were all Jews, and they were all homosexuals to boot. ...

“In a debate in Chicago with Notre Dame professor and scholar Doug Cassel, **Bush Justice Department attorney John Yoo argued that President George Bush has the full legal authority to sexually torture**

**anyone suspected of being a terrorist. According to Yoo, if Bush desires, he can even order the sexual torture of infants. He can, for example, order interrogators to crush the testicles of a person’s child, to get the parent to ‘confess’.** It was Yoo who authored Bush’s misnamed *Patriot Act* legislation, authorizing the torture, the suspension of constitutional rights for suspects, and the imprisoning of ‘potential’ criminals at U.S.-run gulag camps.”

Have ENOUGH people become aware enough to cause the play to change? We HOPE so and we BELIEVE so and we PRAY for a Happy New Year on the Mean Planet. The rest of the nations have spoken loudly and clearly for peace and it is within reach of the American people now to HEAVE ’EM OUT and restore the Constitutional Republic.

# Final Warning: Part 11a

*Somewhat reluctantly we are going to excerpt segments rather than present the entirety of David Allen Rivera’s Final Warning: A History of the New World Order. The entire body of material is very well researched and worth reading for the background information it provides but we find it necessary to home in on that which is most relevant to TODAY.*

*In this installment we are skipping over a great deal of material pertaining to the 1800s at the start of Chapter 7 relating to the Origin of Communism. We are also going to skip right over the entire Russian Revolution because that story should soon be able to be told more accurately from an inside perspective. Yes, of course it led to a Zionist Occupational Government but the real lesson from the experience is how to get out from under same.*

*We pick up the story where it reaches World War Two and documents the tremendous resources which the United States poured into the communist (ZOG-run) USSR.*

*[QUOTING, emphasis added:]*

Through a lend-lease agreement, America responded by sending \$11 billion in raw materials, machinery, tools, complete industrial plants, spare parts, textiles, clothing, canned meat, sugar, flour, weapons, tanks, trucks, aircraft and gasoline to aid the Russians, which turned the tide against the Germans. Some of the material which was sent: 6,430 aircraft; 121 merchant ships; 1,285 locomotives; 3,734 tanks; 206,000 trucks, buses, tractors, and cars; 82 torpedo boats and small destroyers; 2 billion tons of steel; 22,400,000 rounds of ammunition; 87,900 tons of explosives; 245,000 telephones; 5,500,000 pairs of boots; 2,500,000 automobile inner tubes; and two million tons of food. In dollars, it broke down this way:

- 1942 - \$1,422,853,332
- 1943 - \$2,955,811,271
- 1944 - \$3,459,274,155
- 1945 - \$1,838,281,501

The Russians were to pay for all supplies and return all usable equipment after the war. It didn’t happen. For instance, they kept 84 cargo ships, some of which were used to supply North Vietnam with equipment during the Vietnam War. What we sent to the Russians, after the War became the foundation upon which the Soviet industrial machine was built. Through an agreement negotiated years later by Henry Kissinger, the Russians agreed to pay back \$722 million of the \$11 billion, which amounted to about 7 cents on the dollar. In 1975, after paying back \$32 million, they announced they were not going to pay

## VKD/WANTA SMOKESCREEN

Smoke has been absolutely billowing all over the Internet about the fantastic claims of Ambassador Leo Wanta. VK Durham has been anxious to sidle up to the Wanta situation, even to the point of extending an invitation to him to “come in from the cold”.

What can we say about the Wanta Trillions? Not much, except that it is interesting that the total is claimed to be \$27.5 trillion—EXACTLY HALF of the \$55 trillion which has accumulated subsequent to the 1996 agreement between the United States Treasury and COSMOS/GAIA. What a coincidence.

We stand ready, willing and able with THE solution to be implemented when man is ready for it. **Ronald Kirzinger**

the remainder of the Lend-Lease debt.

After the War, in 1946, America turned over two-thirds of Germany’s aircraft manufacturing capabilities to Russia, who dismantled the installations and rebuilt them in their country, forming the initial stage of their jet aircraft industry.

Even though Congress had passed legislation forbidding shipments of non-war materials, various pro-Soviet officials and Communist traitors in key positions openly defied the law and made shipments. In 1944, Harry Hopkins, Henry Morgenthau (Secretary of the Treasury), Averell Harriman (U.S. Ambassador to Russia), and Harry Dexter White (Assistant Secretary of Treasury) supplied the material needed for Russia to print occupation currency. Printing plates, colored inks, varnish, tint blocks and paper were sent from Great Falls, Montana, in two shipments of five C-47s each, which had been loaded at the National Airport near Washington, DC.

The Russians then set up a printing facility in a Nazi printing plant in Leipzig and began to print currency which the U.S. couldn’t account for. Russia refused to redeem the currency with rubles, therefore the U.S. Treasury had to back the currency. The Russians were using these newly printed Marks to sap the German economy and take advantage of the United States, who, by the end of 1946 had lost \$250,000,000 because of redeeming, in U.S. dollars, marks which were issued in excess of the total amount of marks issued by the Finance Office, who was officially printing occupation money for the Germans. In addition, the \$18,102 charge for the plates and printing material was never paid.

**In 1943, a Congressional investigation revealed that even before the U.S. had built its first atomic bomb, half of all the uranium and technical information needed to construct such a bomb was secretly sent to Russia.** This included chemicals, metals and minerals instrumental in creating an atomic bomb, and manufacturing a hydrogen bomb. In 1980, James Roosevelt, the son of President Franklin Roosevelt, wrote a novel, *A Family Matter*, which detailed how his father made “a bold secret decision—to share the results of the Manhattan Project with the Soviet Union” in 1943 and 1944.

Air Force Major Racey Jordan was a Lend-Lease expediter and liaison officer for the Russians in Great Falls, which was the primary staging area for the massive Lend-Lease supply operation to the Soviet Union. In his diaries, which were published in 1952, he said that the U.S. built the Soviet war machine by shipping all the materials needed to construct an atomic pile, including graphite, cadmium metal,

thorium and uranium. In March 1943, a number of black leather suitcases wrapped in white window sash cord and sealed with red wax, said to be of a diplomatic nature, were to be sent to Moscow. One night the Russians had taken them out for dinner and, suspicious of their friendliness, Jordan decided to sneak away and went back to the base with an armed sentry. He discovered that two Russian couriers from Washington had arrived and had procured a plane bound for Russia to take about 50 of these cases.

He detained the flight and discovered that the shipment was being sent to the “Director, Institute of Technical and Economic Information” in Moscow. He opened eighteen of the cases and discovered a collection of maps that identified the names and locations of all the industrial plants in the U.S., along with classified military sites. One case contained a folder of military documents marked, “from Hiss” and another case which contained a White House memo from “H.H.” (Harry Hopkins, former Secretary of Commerce and head of the Lend-Lease Program) to Al Mikoyan (Russia’s number three man, after Stalin and Foreign Commissar Molotov), which accompanied a map of Oak Ridge and the Manhattan Engineering District, and a report from Oak Ridge, which contained phrases like: “energy produced by fission” and “walls five feet thick, of lead and water, to control flying neutrons.”

In short, traitors within the Administration of Roosevelt were giving the Soviets the instructions and the material to build nuclear weapons, even before the United States had fully developed the technology for use by our country. Jordan reported all of this to Air Force Intelligence but nothing ever happened.

The Russians’ ability to establish their space program was also provided by America. When General Patton was moving eastward through Germany, he captured the towns of Peenemunde and Nordhausen, where German scientists had developed the V-1 and V-2 rockets. Gen. Dwight Eisenhower ordered him to turn the two towns over the Russians, who dismantled the facilities and shipped them to Russia, along with the scientists. One of the German scientists, Dr. Werner von Braun, led a group of 100 other scientists, who surrendered to the Americans. He later became head of the American space program.

Braun was prepared to launch history’s first satellite, long before Russia developed one, but Eisenhower would not authorize it because it was to be made to appear that Russian technology was superior to ours, when it wasn’t. It would add to the facade being developed that Russia was stronger than we were, and therefore should be feared.

As recently as 1978, it was believed that Russia still had not been able to construct a single-stage rocket capable of placing large payloads in orbit. American researcher Lloyd Mallan called the Soviets’ ‘Lunik’ moon landing a hoax, since no tracking station picked up its signals, and that Alexie Leonov’s spacewalk on March 18, 1965 was also staged. Concerning the film of the spacewalk, Mallan said:

“Four months of solid research interviewing experts in the fields of photo-optics, photo-chemistry and electro-optics, all of whom carefully studied the motion picture film and still photographs officially released by the Soviet Government ... (indicate them to be) double-printed. The foreground (Leonov) was superimposed on the background (Earth below). The Russian film showed reflections from the glass plate under which a double plate is made ... Leonov was suspended from wire or cables ... In several episodes of the Russian film, light was reflected from a small portion of wire (or cable) attached to Leonov’s space suit ... One camera angle was impossible of achievement. This showed Leonov crawling out of his

hatch into space. It was a head-on shot, so the camera would have had to have been located out in space beyond the space ship.”

The U.S. donated two food production factories (\$6,924,000), a petroleum refinery (\$29,050,000), a repair plant for precision instruments (\$550,000), 17 steam and three hydroelectric plants (\$273,289,000).

Later, Dressler Industries built a \$146 million plant at Kuibyshev to produce high quality drill bits for oil exploration. The C. E. Lummus Co. of New Jersey built a \$105 million petrochemical plant in the Ukraine (\$45 million would be put up by Lummus through financing from Eximbank and other private banks, which was guaranteed by the O.P.I.C.). Allis-Chalmers built a \$35 million iron ore pelletizing plant in Russia, which is one of the world’s four largest. The Aluminum Co. of America (ALCOA) built an aluminum plant, which consumed “half the world’s supply of bauxite”. We sent the Russians computer systems, oil drilling equipment, pipes and other supplies. The ball-bearings used by Russia to improve the guidance systems on their rockets and missiles, such as their SS-18 intercontinental ballistic missies, were purchased in 1972 from the Bryant Grinder Co. in Springfield, Vermont.

All of this financial aid to Russia was advocated by Henry Kissinger and the U.S. Government. The reasoning behind it was to allow Russia to increase their industrial and agricultural output to match ours because by bringing the two countries closer together, hostilities would be eased. They were not. The Illuminati, through the U.S. Government, had allowed the Soviet Union to have a technology equal to our own. Congressman Otto Passman, who was the Chairman of the Appropriations Subcommittee, said: “The United States cannot survive as a strong nation if we continue to dissipate our resources and give away our wealth to the world.”

[END QUOTING]  
*The GOAL was to have the ZOG-run USSR merge with a ZOG-run United States. Today, however, while the ZOG parasites have taken over the organs and offices of the United States, Russia has emerged parasite-free.*

*The next segment we will “cherry pick” relates to the Korean War, during which famed General Douglas MacArthur discovered the uncomfortable truth that all of his actions were proscribed by a RUSSIAN in charge of the United Nations operation.*  
[QUOTING:]

From 1910 until 1945, Korea was part of the Japanese empire. The victorious World War II allies agreed that Korea should be made an independent country but until negotiations could take place, the U.S. took charge of the area south of the 38<sup>th</sup> parallel, while the Soviets occupied the northern half. Plans to establish a unified Korean government failed and in 1948, rival governments were established: the Communist government of Kim Il Sung in the North and the pro-Western government under Syngman Rhee in the South.

An officers’ training school and a small arms plant was set up by the United States. They gave the country \$100,000,000 worth of military hardware to arm the 96,000 soldiers of the South Korean armed forces. On July 17, 1949, Owen Lattimore said: “The thing to do is let South Korea fall, but not to let it look as if we pushed it.” In a memo to the State Department, he wrote: “The United States should disembarass itself as quickly as possible from its entanglements in South Korea.” In 1949, the American troops were withdrawn from South Korea and in a January 12, 1950 speech, U.S. Secretary of

State Dean G. Acheson publicly stated that South Korea was “outside of (the U.S.) defense perimeter”.

The North Koreans, heavily equipped by the Russians, considered Acheson’s statement an invitation to attack in order to unify the country under communism. Gen. Douglas MacArthur had received military intelligence reports from Gen. Charles A. Willoughby that North Korea was preparing for an invasion and John Foster Dulles of the State Department went to ‘investigate’ and covered up the activity he viewed at the 38<sup>th</sup> parallel.

On June 24, 1950, the North Koreans swarmed across the 38<sup>th</sup> parallel and proceeded to overrun the country. Rhee appealed to the United States and the United Nations for help, as the communists closed in on the South Korean capital of Seoul.

Truman called for an immediate meeting of the United Nations Security Council, who convened the next day and called the attack a “breach of the peace”, ordering the North Koreans to withdraw to the border. Two days later, the Security Council called upon the UN members to furnish assistance. Immediately the U.S. sent in ground troops and began air strikes. On July 7, the Security Council urged 15 of the countries to put their troops at the disposal of the United States, under the UN command of Gen. Douglas MacArthur.

**With the UN being involved in the war, all U.S. battle plans had to be submitted for approval, in advance, to the Under Secretary for Political and Security Council Affairs. Due to a secret agreement made by Secretary of State Edward Stettinius in 1945, this position was to always be filled by a Communist from an eastern European country.** During the war, it was filled by Russia’s General Constantine Zinchenko. It was later revealed that Russian military advisors were actually directing the North Korean war effort and one of those advisors, Lt. Gen. Alexandre Vasiliev, actually gave the order to attack. Vasiliev was the **Chairman of the UN Military Staff Committee, who along with the Under Secretary for Political and Security Council Affairs was responsible for all UN military action.** Vasiliev had to take a leave of absence from his position to command the communist troops. So, what it boiled down to was that the Communists were controlling both sides of the war and Russia was able to receive vital information concerning all troop movements within the UN forces in Korea, which was passed on to the North Koreans and Chinese.

General MacArthur realized what was happening and planned one of the most daring military assaults in the history of modern warfare. To execute the engagement he hand-picked a group of trusted and loyal officers so the initial stages would be kept a secret. MacArthur did not submit the strategy to General Zinchenko. The resulting amphibious assault on September 15, 1950, at Inchon Bay, turned the tide of the war by enabling UN forces to recapture Seoul, destroyed large supply dumps and began to push the North Koreans back across the border. In October, they captured the North Korean capital of Pyongyang and many communists retreated into Manchuria and Russia.

The Taiwan government was planning to move against China and Truman warned Chiang Kai-shek not to make an attempt to recapture his homeland. Truman ordered the American Seventh Fleet into the Strait of Formosa to prevent any type of invasion. This freed the Red China army to enter the Korean War. The Chinese, with the excuse that they were protecting the security of their country, stormed across the border on November 26, 1950 and stopped the UN army at the Yalu River. Chiang then offered to send an advance force of 33,000 troops into North Korea but the State Department refused. They were a member of the UN, yet the United States would not let them fight.

The Korean War, Korean Conflict, or Police Action, as it is sometimes called, developed into a stalemate of broken cease-fire agreements, and MacArthur made plans for a massive retaliation against China. He wanted to bomb the ammunition and fuel dumps, the supply bases and communication lines to China (bridges across the Yalu River), and to post a blockade around the Chinese coast. However, on December 5, 1950, Truman and other Administration officials decided that this sort of action would bring Russia into the conflict and possibly initiate World War III. MacArthur was ordered not to proceed with any of his plans. The Joint Chiefs of Staff said: “We felt the action urged by Gen. MacArthur would hazard this safety (of the U.S.) without promising any certain proportionate gain.” A letter written to a Congressman by MacArthur was read on the floor of the House, giving them the full story of how much the Red Chinese were involved. Still, nothing was done. Gen. Lin Piao, the Red Chinese commander, said later: “I would never have made the attack and risked my men and military reputation if I had not been assured that Washington would restrain General MacArthur from taking adequate retaliatory measures against my lines of supply and communication.”

With MacArthur insisting that there was no substitute for victory and that the war against Communism would be either won or lost in Korea, he was relieved of his command on April 11, 1951 by Gen. Matthew B. Ridgeway, a member of the CFR.

Air Force Commander, Gen. George Stratemeyer said: “We had sufficient air bombardment, fighters, reconnaissance so that I could have taken out all those supplies, those airdromes on the other side of the Yalu; I could have bombed the devils between there and Mukden, stopped the railroad operating and the people of China that were fighting could not have been supplied ... But we weren’t permitted to do it. As a result, a lot of American blood was spilled over there in Korea.”

Gen. Stratemeyer testified before the Congress: **“You get in war to win it. You do not get in war to stand still and lose it and we were required to lose it. We were not permitted to win.”** Gen. Matt Clark told them: “I was not allowed to bomb the numerous bridges across the Yalu River over which the enemy constantly poured his trucks, and his munitions and his killers.”

MacArthur would later write: “I was ... worried by a series of directives from Washington which were greatly decreasing the potential of my air force. First I was forbidden ‘hot’ pursuit of enemy planes that attacked our own. Manchuria and Siberia were sanctuaries of inviolate protection for all enemy forces and for all enemy purposes, no matter what depredations or assaults might come from there. Then I was denied the right to bomb the hydroelectric plants along the Yalu River. This order was broadened to include every plant in North Korea which was capable of furnishing electric power to Manchuria and Siberia ... Most incomprehensible of all was the refusal to let me bomb the important supply center at Racin, which was not in Manchuria or Siberia but many miles from the border ... (where) the Soviet Union forwarded supplies from Vladivostok for the North Korean Army. I felt that step-by-step my weapons were being taken away from me ...”

“That there was some leak in intelligence was evident to everyone. (Brig. Gen. Walton) Walker continually complained to me that operations were known to the enemy in advance through sources in Washington ... information must have been relayed to them assuring that the Yalu River bridges would continue to enjoy sanctuary and that their bases would be left intact. They knew they could swarm down across the Yalu River without having to worry about bombers hitting their Manchurian supply lines ... I

realized for the first time that I had actually been denied the use of my full military power to safeguard the lives of my soldiers and the safety of my army.”

**Gen. Douglas MacArthur also said: “I am concerned for the security of our great nation, not so much because of any threat from without, but because of the insidious forces working from within.”**

Over 33,000 American lives were lost in a war that they were not allowed to win. Instead, a truce was signed on July 27, 1953.

However, the Communists weren’t giving up on Korea. With North Korea being supported by China, Russia and the Eastern Europe communist bloc countries, they built up their military strength and made enormous economic gains. During the late 1960s, they began a dialogue for the reunification of Korea and bilateral talks were held in 1972, which further improved their relations, as the Communists attempted to take over with diplomacy. A nonaggression pact was signed in December, 1991; and in 2000 a summit meeting was held to explore the possibility of a reconciliation.

As information about communist agents occupying high cabinet posts surfaced, the American people took out their frustrations at the polls. Eisenhower’s slogan was: “Let’s clean up the mess in Washington.” He had promised “peace with honor” in Korea, however, the truce allowed 400 soldiers to remain in communist prisons. Even though the 1952 Republican Platform called the Truman Plan “ignominious bartering with our enemies”, in reality, Eisenhower’s plan made even more concessions.

Eisenhower’s tough rhetoric on communism ushered in a renewed patriotism in America. People behind the Iron Curtain were inspired and in the fall of 1956, Hungarian freedom fighters forced the Russians to leave their homeland, ending Soviet occupation. So what did the United States do? According to the *Congressional Record* of August 31, 1960, the U.S. State Department sent the Soviet Union a telegram which read: “The Government of the United States does not look with favor upon governments unfriendly to the Soviet Union on the borders of the Soviet Union.” Hours after receiving the telegram on November 4, 1956, Khrushchev sent Russian troops back into Hungary to retake the country.

Soon Eisenhower initiated foreign aid programs to the communist governments in Poland and Yugoslavia, who by 1961 received almost \$3 billion in food, industrial machinery, jets and other military equipment.

In June 1956, John Foster Dulles said that if the U.S. discontinued their aid to Marshal Tito, Yugoslavia would be driven into the Soviet fold. However, two weeks before, Tito said: “In peace as in war, Yugoslavia must march shoulder to shoulder with the Soviet Union.” On September 17, Tito announced his full support of the Soviet foreign policy. Meanwhile, U.S. aid continued, even after 1961, when Yugoslavia began their own foreign aid programs to spread communism among the world’s underdeveloped nations.

When Eisenhower’s two terms came to an end, the amount of economic and military aid to communist and ‘neutralist’ countries came to \$7 billion. In the February 25, 1961 edition of *People’s World*, and the March 10, 1961 issue of *Time*, Robert Welch, founder of the anti-communist John Birch Society, charged that the Eisenhower Administration was a tool of the communists.

[END QUOTING]  
As we showed at the start, it was more accurate to REVERSE what Eisenhower said. As the USSR was “Heaving Ho”, the ZOG parasites moved on the United States drawing it in to the conflict in Vietnam. (The assassination of JFK WAS A ZOG COUP D’ETAT.) [QUOTING:]

As the communists moved forward with their plan for world domination, Southeast Asia was to be the next target. In July 1954, Indo-China fell. William Zane Foster, Chairman of the U.S. Communist Party, said in February, 1956, that they “constitute the beginning of a new socialist world”.

They moved on to Vietnam, where the U.S. was pulled into a conflict, which was to become the longest in U.S. history. American intervention actually began in 1954 with economic and technical assistance, after the *Geneva Accords* ended the Indo-Chinese War.

Kennedy increased the military budget and escalated the war just for the purposes of impressing the Russians after being embarrassed and humiliated by the failed Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba. Later, Kennedy planned to begin scaling back.

Vietnam escalated into a major war by 1964, with casualties peaking in 1969.

In 1964, with a possibility that ultra-conservative Barry Goldwater might win the presidency, a coalition of liberal forces under the guidance of Illuminati advisors worked for the election of former Vice President Lyndon B. Johnson, who had taken over after Kennedy’s assassination in 1963. Johnson was urged to pursue “peace at any price” but the Illuminati didn’t want peace and Johnson further escalated the war. At the height of the war, there were about 543,000 American soldiers in Vietnam.

On July 25, 1965, President Johnson told an American television audience that the military build-up was to administer “death and desolation” to the communists, yet he made agreements to provide the Soviet Union and her communist satellite countries with millions of dollars worth of food, computers, industrial plants, oil refinery equipment, jet engines, military rifles and machine tools for an \$800 million automobile production facility. At the same time, our Supreme Court ruled that communists could teach in our schools and work in our defense plants; and the Senate and State Department allowed them to open diplomatic offices in major American cities, even though FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover warned that their embassies were part of an espionage network.

Johnson’s war policies severely damaged his chances for re-election and he was forced to drop out of the 1968 Primary race.

In 1966, after Averill Harriman had made a 22-day, 12-nation peace tour for Johnson, **he was asked by a television reporter how the Russians felt about the Vietnam War and Harriman said they were “embarrassed by the war. They don’t like it and they would like to see it stopped.” ...**

**In 1968, the Congress increased ‘foreign aid’ of war materials to communist bloc countries by over 80% from the previous year and this ‘aid’ was then redirected by railroad to North Vietnam, who used it to manufacture military equipment.**

A peace treaty was signed on January 23, 1973, by the U.S., North and South Vietnam, and the Vietcong (National Liberation Front, later referred to as the Provisional Revolutionary Government). The treaty specified that the Vietcong was to have equal recognition with the South Vietnamese capital of Saigon. ...

The cease-fire didn’t hold, and after the American pullout, which left over \$5 billion worth of military equipment, the communists were given a free hand in Southeast Asia. On April 30, 1975, the government of South Vietnam fell to the communist regime and on July 2, 1976, the country of Vietnam was officially unified as a Communist state.

It is estimated that 57,000 Americans died during the Vietnam conflict.

[The official number of casualties in Iraq is less but the actual cost in lives will be far greater.]  
[END PART 11A]



# Gold and Other Interesting Things: Part 10

We continue from where we left off in the last issue with the rest of a writing from Feb. 21, 2000:

2/21/00—#2 (13-189)

## CHAPTER 25 WHO TOOK WHAT?

Did Marcos loot the Central Bank?  
Charles McDougald, the unabashedly pro-Aquino fortune hunter, claims that Marcos looted the Central Bank, despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary. Just before his death, former President Ferdinand Marcos revealed in his Honolulu residence that when he took over the reins of the government in 1965, the Philippines had already accumulated a debt of \$13.5 billion. Nationalist Alejandro Lichauco, one of the country’s most brilliant economists, later confirmed this information. **[H: I find that an oxymoron: economist/brilliant.]**

In one session of the much-talked-about coffee forum, “*Kapihan sa Manila Hotel*”, Lichauco explained that out of the total loan obligations of the Philippines to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the Asian Development Bank (ADB) amounting to \$24 billion at the time of Marcos’ ouster from power, more than \$7 billion were loans secured by the private sector, businessmen of the elite club whose preoccupation was to hurl invectives against what they called “the conjugal dictatorship”. These shylocks used the money to import more luxury goods, machinery and equipment. A substantial amount, too, went to business expansions and infrastructure, like the modern skyscrapers which now adorn Makati City’s skyline.

**[H: This is also too good an opportunity to comment on this particular point, for guess what: Makati is basically referred to as the Jewish Commercial Center. In other words, it is supposed that the “Chinese Jews” and Spanish Jews inhabit the entire of the business sector of Makati. What an interesting concept or observation.]**

What about the Aquino Administration? How did it fare against Marcos’ accomplishments?

Badly, if I may say so. People around her just loved to tell lies. For example, there was a letter dated 30 July, 1986 from a certain Julian Reyes of 357 Sto. Tomas Street, Sta. Mesa, Manila, which related how Rep. Jose Conjuangco, Cory’s younger brother, reneged on his promise to fulfill an 80-20 sharing agreement with those who helped him obtain buried items of value in the old sugar central in Bamban Town, amounting to gold and coins of different denominations, at the Central’s old office.”

How much more did Aquino’s allies actually recover but deliberately did not declare publicly?

## CHAPTER 26 MARCOS AND THE NAZI LOOT

Is the late Filipino strongman’s fabulous Gold Haul linked in any way to the gold bullion allegedly seized from Jewish victims of the infamous Nazi Holocaust during the Second World War?

This important question was raised by Joseph Lariosa of the U.S.-based *News and People* magazine after a couple of Filipinos filed a \$500 billion lawsuit in April 1998 against the Union Bank of Switzerland (UBS) before the U.S. District Court in Chicago, where the Swiss bank operates a branch.

According to court records obtained by Lariosa, part of the Marcos accounts are among those passed

off as bank accounts owned by Holocaust victims. The documents also show that some accounts owned by a certain Dr. Alejo Rizal Lopez are “identified as Marcos accounts at UBS (Lugano) and UBS (Zurich), Switzerland”.

Lariosa disclosed, too, that aside from Lopez, the other claimant to the Jewish loot is one Melba Aganon of San Juan, Metro Manila (where the original Marcos residence is located). Aganon currently resides in the U.S., while Lopez is believed to be living in Cabanatuan City, Nueva Ecija (Philippines) where his “special power of attorney” was notarized. The two claimants claim to have deposited “a total of 135,000 metric tons of gold in the bank for the Nazi Government”.

Carey Portman, President of Commerce International, Inc. based in suburban Chicago, filed the three-count lawsuit on behalf of the two Filipino claimants, saying that “Lopez was a member of the German hierarchy and had also become a spy for the U.S. Government.”

Portman further stated that “the gold was sent to the Philippines during the war, where it was smelted for deposit in Union and several other banks.” *[RK: This is strange because some 617,500 metric tons was shipped OUT of the Philippines to the VATICAN in 1939 according to court records, ostensibly to put it out of reach. Ferdinand Marcos participated in this gold’s return to the Philippines in 1948-49, according to the same court records.]*

According to the lawsuit, the plaintiffs have been in possession of 20-year certificates for the gold which matured on July 15, 1997, notwithstanding the statement of a UBS officer who describes the certificates as “forgery and a fantasy”.

Lopez and Aganon complained that the price of gold has dropped to \$280 an ounce from a high of \$500 during the last ten years, while they were pursuing their claim. In seeking monetary damages, the two Filipinos asked the Court to compel UBS to pay damages “in excess of more than \$100 billion, plus reasonable attorney’s fees”, to make up for the losses they incurred.

In his article, Lariosa reported that Portman likewise filed a defamation suit because of the humiliation he suffered due to statements made by bank officers that “the certificates he brought forward were forgeries and fantasies”. In fact, UBS even filed a criminal case against him in Greece, where he tried to verify the authenticity of the certificates.

Portman asked the Court to order UBS to compensate him with more than \$1 million for defamation and an additional \$5 million in punitive damages for the bank’s “malicious, willful and wanton disregard for the falsity and repercussions” of the allegations referring to him.

There is, however, one thing that bothers us here: It is common knowledge that a central government policy of the Hitler Administration was the breeding of a “superior race”. This required, at the very least, the prevention of “inferior races” from mixing with “superior” ones in order to minimize undue contamination of the latter’s gene pool.

Jerry Bergman, who teaches biology, chemistry and physics at the Northwest Technical College in Archbold, Ohio, claims that the Nazi Race Policy culminated in the “final solution”, the extermination of approximately six million Jews and four million other people [sic] who belonged to what German scientists judged to be “inferior races”.

Does this mean that the Nazis intentionally overlooked the fact that Lopez belongs to the

“inferior” brown race, classifying him according to the German hierarchy?

“The Germans’ belief that they were a superior race had many sources,” says Bergman, “a major one being the social Darwinian eugenics movement, especially its crude survival-of-the-fittest world view.”

**[H: Ah, but, Mr. Bergman, what about the fact that the Jews consider themselves set apart and above the Gentiles (all who are NOT Jews). Is that not being quite selective, Elite and, in consideration of same, somehow “superior”? The Jews, sir, simply cannot have it ALL ways according to whims of choice. The word “gentile” means the same as “cattle” or “herd animals”.]**

Really? But isn’t this the policy adopted by Swiss bankers regarding the Marcos gold account? Is the Philippine Government fit enough to survive the labyrinthine process of recovering the lost Marcos treasure, a process which only Jewish bankers could ever think of?

Despite the sound and fury coming from alleged claimants and the like, the Marcos Gold Haul could not have been part of the so-called Black Eagle gold transactions.

**[H: It certainly does become obvious to me that Marcos should have been called “Superman” instead of “Strongman”. Or, at the time he was to have done all this Holocaust shifting it would have been “Superkid”. Don’t these superior idiots ever use a bit of simple common sense?]**

## CHAPTER 27 YAMASHITA TREASURE: EMPEROR HIROHITO’S SMOKESCREEN?

There have been so many fabulous tales about the so-called Yamashita Treasure, said to be the war booty of Japanese Field Commander General Tomoyuki Yamashita. Dubbed as the “Tiger of Malaya”, Yamashita defeated General Percival’s British forces in Malaya and Singapore and finally seized Bataan and Corregidor in 1942, the same year the war booty from Malaya was first transported to Japan.

The loot was purportedly given to Major Ferdinand Edralin Marcos, thus becoming the basis of his fortune, estimated at \$35 billion. A business tycoon was at first even made to believe that the Japanese gold was what President Marcos had found in the northern province of Luzon before the end of World War II. **[H: The truth is that the stories prevail that Marcos never reached such a rank as “Major”. Either way, it is absurd—so back to “Superkid”!]**

Marcos, however, was truthful in disclosing to Mr. Zobel that the yellow metal was smuggled into Hong Kong where it was melted into gold bars and later sneaked into the United States, particularly into Fort Knox. Other countries which Marcos found convenient for storage included Switzerland, Singapore, Monaco, Rome, Johannesburg in South Africa, Nassau in the Bahamas, Portugal, Morocco, Germany, England and Australia.

Conflicting accounts, however, say that Marcos had reportedly bought gold bars from Japanese soldiers while fighting the battle at Besang Pass, and at Yamashita’s concentration camp in Kiangang, Ifugao. Some war veterans, however, claim that President Marcos never fought in Besang Pass. **[H: And, by golly, there goes that “Superkid” again!]**

This tale was obviously a diversionary tactic of the brilliant Marcos to throw off leads on the truth behind the treasure. Another such story which

surfaced, likewise peddled by some historians, tells of Yamashita Treasure not as huge as the standing claim. This was according to General Inouye Kamatsusaki (turned Filipino, using the name of Buenaventura Ramel Albano of Solano, Nueva Vizcaya), then the head of the 121<sup>st</sup> Division of the Japanese Imperial Army. Inouye was one of the original Japanese sleepers (a spy) who took the cover of a lowly merchant before the war. He became the head of the Hukbong Tagaganap to save his own ass when the Japanese lost the war. He eventually became one of the original trustees of Marcos. Inouye, if still alive, will now be in his mid-90s; two of his children are communists, serving as NPA (National Peoples Army) commanders.

Inouye said that Japan entered the game of war not simply to win, but to hoard wealth for the Banzai Nippon. The first shiploads of war booty entered Japan from different points, the list of countries including even Manchuria. When General Kodama and General Yamamoto needed reinforcement, Yamashita, then operating under direct orders from the Emperor, was assigned to secure the loot taken from the warships of General Percival of the British Forces. This imperial patronage eventually made Yamashita the subject of envy by his peers in the Japanese Imperial Force, including Japanese Field Marshall Hisaichi Terauchi.

The loot, incidentally, was not only in gold bars but was also in an assortment of jewelry, golden religious artifacts and icons, such as gold statuettes of Buddha and Roman Catholic saints.

The second shiploads of war booty, instead of being immediately brought to Japan, were then stashed in different places in Luzon. That was why during the Japanese time the “*perocaril*”, meaning railroad tracks, had to run from Azcarraga (now Recto) and Antonio Rivera, then continued up north to La Union, and then down south again to Camarines Sur, in 1945. While Yamashita fought his last battles in the areas running from the Caraballo Mountains up to Benguet and Ifugao, Inouye hid in Nueva Vizcaya.

When the Americans bombarded Japan, the Japanese warships changed their routes and instead made a side trip to the Philippine Islands with the intention to hide their loot. This made General Douglas MacArthur’s return even more inevitable. This was also why the Japanese, before the end of the War, wanted to make the Philippines one of the prefectures for their Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere.

When I passed this information on to President Marcos in August 1987, it made him sit up while listening, seated in his library. I had to juggle my time between having to sleep or lie awake, waiting, until Imelda was confirmed fast asleep, before the strongman could send for me (I was sleeping at the guest room adjacent to the study room) to discuss these Gold Hoard secrets in more detail, with nary a soul to either hear or know. That was how particularly secretive and extra careful the late Marcos was.

**He likewise confided to me that the circuitous route of the Yamashita Treasure was all the while part of Emperor Hirohito’s grand scheme to confuse and to throw the Illuminati (the American and European bankers) off track. Little had they known that much of the war booty was kept in the Philippines all along [RK: emphasis added].**

After the Japanese surrender, Emperor Hirohito, through the Kuromaku, negotiated with the Illuminati for a loan to save his motherland from total oblivion. Japan was given the nod on its investment loan and request for a technology transfer by the Americans, on condition that the war loot be made collateral.

Japan progressed and became an industrialized

country after a few years and **eventually Japanese big business and bankers merged with the Illuminati, giving birth to the Trilateral Commission [RK: emphasis added].**

Clearly, the catch phrase Yamashita Treasure was a coinage like Colgate, which many Filipinos would generically call any brand of toothpaste. Thus, it should not be misconstrued as anything outside the fact that it was mainly the war booty supposedly transported by the Japanese at the height of WW II.

The shiploads of war booty were buried all over the Philippines, from north to south, by different generals and admirals of the Japanese Imperial Army. The plunder’s existence was known only to President Marcos and a few of his trustees like General Fabian Ver and twelve other generals (whom he thought were loyal to him), Sen. Juan Ponce Enrile, whose business would later mushroom in Makati and President Fidel V. Ramos, who, likewise, knew about the gold.

Elder Japanese have come in and out of the Philippines in the guise of tourists and investors while all the while searching for their hidden treasures. One of them actually had a map of what appeared to be a plain when, actually, the geodetic survey showed and confirmed that the area was a mountain valley, all contrary to what was outlined on the map. **[H: Gee whiz, that shape-shifting again.]**

E.P. Petanne, Associate Editor of the *South China Morning Post*, was right when he said that Marcos did not yet find all of this so-called “Yamashita Treasure”. Zobel was even more precise when he actually disclosed that another \$2.5 billion of war booty had been found in Cagayan recently and had been negotiated by a former government official with the head of a country south of the Philippines.

The offer to buy was allegedly made to the Cory Government, but rejected because Cory did not want any more of “those” problems which Marcos had. Cory was especially wary of incidents similar to the events leading to the December 1989 coup, when the RAM discovered that the Channel 4 compound was being used by Dodie Limcauco as center for the gold recovery program of the Cory Administration.

[END OF QUOTING]

**Hatonn**—We can just leave this for today, Dharma. I think you all are beginning to have a bit of fun with these games. All the while Cory and Sin were mourning poor Aquino, they were really looking everywhere they could get a lead to find that gold in them-thar-hills—and valleys. The strange thing is that nobody recognizes the OBVIOUS. Oh, well. To be continued... and continued... and continued...

Salu, Hatonn  
**dharma** ☼

2/25/00—#1 (13-193)

[Ed: The lead-in to Chapter 28 in the original contains a lengthy section on AIDS, which has been excised from this presentation in order to maintain continuity of the topic at hand and to minimize the use of precious space.]

... We will be giving you more product information, sources and resources, new products, etc., but NOT UNTIL WE CLEAN OUT THE MICE AND VERMIN FROM OUR MIDST. SO BE IT. Let us get on with our ongoing copy in current focus, dharma, for we need to address some other things regarding our own successful conclusions of our early-on efforts. We must work things out carefully in every endeavor, please.

We will turn back now to the topic at hand.  
[QUOTING:]

CHAPTER 28  
TOO MANY JUDASES

Sometime in December 1990, veteran columnist Emil Jurado of the *Manila Standard* met with Mrs. Imelda Marcos in her Dag Hammerksjold apartment in New York for an exclusive interview. On his afternoon with Imelda, Manong Emil touched on the subject of alleged stolen money stashed away by her late husband. The former First Lady quickly retorted, “They say Marcos stole \$250 million or more. But I will show you proof that his assets are far more than what he was supposed to have stolen.” A few minutes later, Mrs. Marcos came out of her room to present her bundle of evidence.

“She then showed me a financial statement showing Marcos’ personal remittances, even before martial law, indicating that instead of stashing money abroad, Marcos was bringing his money into the country,” says Manong Emil. “After that, she showed me documents attesting to shipments of ‘precious metals’ from the Philippines, and acknowledgement receipts from London and Zurich of those precious metals, warehoused by traders of precious metals from the years 1946 to 1948,” Jurado adds. The receipts showed that the precious metals were shipped by Attorney Ferdinand E. Marcos in the name of a foundation. Clearly, “Marcos was still a bachelor then and he was not yet a congressman when these transactions occurred.” **[H: WOW, WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT THAT?]** When *Manila Standard*’s prominent writer asked Mrs. Marcos what the precious metals were, quickly she replied, “Three thousand tons of gold.”

Two years later, Ventura O. Ducat, former President of the prestigious Engineering Equipment, Incorporated (EEI), told the *Manila Bulletin* that Marcos’ wealth “came from a trove of gold which he found even before he became Ilocos Norte’s congressional representative”. In fact, the construction magnate revealed that Marcos had the Philippine Mint purposely built to refine his gold, so that it could be converted to cash for the use of the Philippine Government and the Filipino people, whenever the need arose.

In one instance, Philippine Ambassador to Germany Bienvenido Tan confirms reports that large volumes of gold bars were placed on sale in Munich, West Germany sometime in 1990. About 2,000 tons of gold reportedly bearing the mint markings of the Central Bank of the Philippines were the subject of a “suspicious transaction” between the Lyons Bank, a Munich-based banking house, and the HongKong Shanghai Bank, according to Tan.

**[H: I would right here ask to stop and inquire of YOU: “If the gold was Marcos’ in the first place and he built the mint and then the Central Bank and marked the gold as such—how could he STEAL HIS OWN GOLD? YOU PEOPLE LATCH ONTO ANYTHING IN THE WORLD THAT YOU THINK WILL GRAB YOU SOME GUSTO AND YOU DO IT IN GREED AND AVARICE WHEN, ACTUALLY, GOD PROVIDES PLENTY OF ABUNDANCE FOR ALL. YOU CONTINUALLY MESS UP YOUR OWN NESTS AND DESTROY YOUR OWN ABILITY TO GROW.]**

Also known as the engineer who pioneered in the employment of tens of thousands of Filipino workers in the Middle East, Ducat never doubted former President Marcos’ sincerity and patriotism. “Mr. Marcos, whether still President or not, would have settled all of the nation’s external and domestic debts as he had intended all along and as indicated in a still-undisclosed will, with the huge gold fortune he unearthed in 1952, before he

became a government official.”

**[H: And, furthermore, when he told you from where he got his instructions and information—and Imelda also told you—you called them insane and crazy. So be it.]**

Ducat disclosed that in his last days of exile in Hawaii, Marcos repeatedly told him of the latter’s desire to bequeath all his wealth to the country and people he loved so much. He likewise reiterated what many other authoritative sources, individuals like labor leader Frank Pasion, already stated previously: “Records of the International Monetary Fund show that during a time of capital flight from the Philippines in 1984, President Marcos effected the transfer of \$540 million to the country from a private source in Europe.” Moreover, in all of Marcos’ more than 20 years in Malacanang, the nation’s total budget never amounted to more than \$500 million. Ducat said that the amount was not even one-third of the estimated P1.74 trillion budget of the Aquino Administration in six years. “Even the present Ramos Government is spending over P329 billion in only its first year.”

**[H: Ah yes, but WHOM DO YOU BELIEVE? You people flock to the “I did not have sexual relations with that woman, Ms. L.....” Good grief, what does it take to WAKE YOU UP?]**

The former EEI boss believed that Marcos’ downfall was “principally brought about by the greed and disloyalty of cronies who betrayed him”. And this has been the never-ending hapless state suffered by leaders from the time Brutus betrayed Caesar. **Somehow, it is always those who are in the leader’s “inner sanctum” who draw the first blood of betrayal.** And Marcos, unfortunately, was no exception to that rule. So, too, with Indonesia’s Suharto, twelve years later.

Who were these cronies?

Touching on the subject of Marcos cronies, “Imelda justified their existence during the Marcos regime because the country needed Filipinos to break the cartels and combines of multinationals and Chinese who continued to dominate this economy,” noted Jurado. “This,” said Mrs. Marcos, “is the reason why Bobby Benedicto went to sugar, Danding Cojuangco to coconut oil and copra, Rodolfo Cuenca to the Construction and Development Corporation of the Philippines, J.Y. Campos to drugs, etc.” Before Marcos took over the presidency, the late Antonio Roxas Chua controlled the sugar, rice and copra trade in this country.

What about the trustees in the gold accounts?

Ellen Tordesillas of Malaya reported on August 8, 1991 that the alleged accounts of former President Ferdinand Marcos in the Chemical Bank in Hong Kong amounting to \$3.4 billion were in the name of 46 persons—most of whom are Filipinos. She obtained this information from PCGG operative Reiner Jacobi.

The documents—dated June 4, 1987 and signed by one, Jose Yu Salvador with passport no. AO354547 issued in Manila—carried the transaction code JOB/HK/25K. Salvador represented Gustrade International Resources.

However, the lion’s share in the account was held by a certain Leticia Fideldia, in the amount of \$1.1 billion. The rest was distributed among Ibrahim Boutros Dagher, Harrison V. Esnaola, Brian K. Lau, Fovita Morena, Richard Patterson, Andrea P. Caldon, Darel E. Courser, Richard T. Guzman, Barry Crabtree, Danilo G. Hernandez, Cesar Estonilo, Florentina O. Luna, Jesus M. Capili, Amador T. Buenaseda, Jr., Glorencio U. Salvador and brothers, Robert V. Garcia, Kenneth K.S. Mak, Lucia R. Frigillana, Ma. Lreta David, Herminio Luna, Jr., Mr. and Mrs. Bautista, Nemesio U. Salvador, Amalia Mamaril, Ponciano Ronquillo, Ireneo Mendoza, Arturo Cosuco, Domingo

Lunar, Eddy C.K. Leung, Jose U. Salvador, Harold “Jack” Elkins with Hugo Goce, Comelia Espanola, Carmen P. Ramos, Benjamin A. Cailao, Asuncion de la Calzada, Miguel R. Turaray, Basilio A. Barsomo, Woodrow Yap, Venido Zabala, Robert M. Paredes, heirs of Alicia V. Lazo, Antonio S. Monzon and Amanda Abuedo.

Another document also signed by Salvador canceling the authorization and powers-of-attorney of 12 persons “due to non-performance and non-accomplishment of their functions in connection with the transaction code: JOB/HK/25K, or such other transaction codes used in the buying and selling of precious metals” was also presented.

Among those whose power-of-attorney was revoked was Colonel Enrique A. Pimentel, a former security officer of Marcos who held bond certificates for 250 metric tons of gold deposited in a bank warehouse in Zurich, Switzerland.

Pimentel, the alleged leader of a 14-man team that brought the gold bars out of the country in 1983, tried to secure a loan against the gold certificates with the help of Jacobi. The bond certificates under Pimentel’s name were issued by Mateo Investment Ltd., a Singapore offshore corporation.

Pimentel’s affidavit on the gold bullion dated July 13, 1988 was notarized in Singapore by a certain Lee Kim Yew. It stated that Gold Bond Certificate BC-NO-050-003 could be verified against book number 04715 issued by Johnson Matthey-Zurich-All, a bank depository warehouse in Switzerland.

Pimentel revealed that the gold bullion was in vault no. 052, with the following specifications: weight - 12.5 kilogram bars; quantity - 50 metric tons per certificate; fineness - .9995; and purity - 24 karats.

Pimentel, who is now based in Las Vegas, was in Honolulu until the former strongman died on September 28, 1989. He came home to Manila several times and was under surveillance by intelligence operatives of the Presidential Security Group (PSG) of President Corazon Aquino.

The many cases of the revocation of the powers of attorney are indication of too many Judases in such a short span of time. What price, loyalty?

CHAPTER 29  
GOLD IS MARCOS’ TRUMP CARD

Sometime in the turbulent year of 1986, the indefatigable patriot Julie Amargo quoted President Marcos as saying, “If only the Americans know how much gold we have, they will be fair to me and my Administration.” Undoubtedly, the statement was the strongman’s reaction to the “velocity of unfolding events” since the assassination of Ninoy Aquino.

Already, Amargo was speculating where Marcos would go after he was deposed. “The CIA destabilization process has worried him (Marcos) ... if the Americans will not help him, he can give the military bases to the Russians. But that again is a big question mark. What happens to our gold deposits in the Federal Reserve Bank of New York?” asked Amargo.

How much of the Marcos Gold Hoard is unaccounted for? At the rate things have been going between the Philippine Government and the Marcos heirs, no one will ever know. But one can always come up with an educated guess.

Aside from the Chemical Bank account in Hong Kong and warehouse in Zurich which Pimentel had pinpointed, Reiner Jacobi was able to trace six other accounts: (1) 3,000 MT in the IndoSuez Bank in Hong Kong as of October 23, 1983; (2) 6,572 MT in the Dominion Charter Merchant, Ltd. in London as of November 18, 1983; (3) 10,500 MT in the Banque de Paris et Des Paribas N.V. Amsterdam (Holland) as of

Feb. 20, 1984; (4) 840 MT in the Banque de Paris in Singapore as of April 27, 1984; (5) 2,500 MT in the Credit Suisse in Basle, Switzerland as of August 1984; (6) 4,821 MT in the Union Bank of Switzerland as of December 10, 1984.

According to the PCGG document obtained from Malaya’s Ellen Tordesillas, Jacobi’s report claimed that the bank directors were the account officers assigned to the Marcos gold deposits. But he did not identify all of them.

However, those he named were: A.L. Reijds Brown of the Banque de Paris; and M.R. Dass, D. Quizley, C.W. Klast and J.P. Etchells of the Dominion Charter Merchant in London.

Still, the Solicitor General at that time, Francisco Chavez, wasn’t a bit satisfied with the reports gathered by the PCGG. Chavez’ suspicion was that the late President Marcos had “stashed his ill-gotten wealth, estimated to be between \$3.5 to \$5 billion, in the Swiss banks accounts of businessman Eduardo Cojuangco, former Armed Forces Chief-of-Staff General Fabian Ver and 101 other corporations and individuals”.

Chavez requested Swiss officials to furnish the Philippine Government with documents relating to 103 accounts to enable him to trace the beneficiaries of the numbered deposits. He believed that Marcos made use of 63 firms, 17 foundations and 23 individuals (most of whom were his cronies) to hide his Gold Hoard in Swiss banks. The 23 private depositors allegedly included the Marcos children Imee and husband Tommy Manotoc, Irene and Gregorio Araneta, III and Ferdinand, Jr., beer and tobacco magnate Lucio Tan, sugar baron Roberto Benedicto, former Minister of Energy Geronimo Velasco, banana king Antonio Florendo and former GSIS Chairman Roman Cruz, Jr.

The foundations reportedly keeping Marcos’ billions were identified by Chavez as Azio, Verso, Palmy, Xandy, Winthrop, Spines, Charis, Scolari, Valamo, Trinidad, Carmello, Avertina and Vinur, all maintaining accounts in Swiss Credit Bank, and Rosely, Lazano, Maler and Alrelle.

Other Marcos cronies who allegedly acted as Marcos’ front-men were Edna Camcam, Andres Genito, Jr., Rolando Gapud, Fe Roa Gimenez, Peter Sabido, Ricardo Silverio, Herminio Disini, Bienvinedo Tantoco and the late former Public Works and Highways Minister, Baltazar Aquino.

Chavez also insisted that Marcos himself maintained accounts at the Swiss Credit Bank, in the Trade Development Bank and in the Banque Paribas. Other personal accounts were listed in the name of Jane Ryan and William Saunders, the reported aliases of President Marcos and his wife Imelda, and Paciencia and Lea Disini.

Chavez likewise identified related accounts belonging to Fides Trust Co., Aguamin Corp., Philippine Sugar Commission, Philippine Holdings Anstaldt and numerous foreign corporations. He sought copies of articles of incorporation of the firms and foundations, original and revised signature cards, letters of instruction, correspondences, deposit and withdrawal slips, debit and credit advises, payment orders, telexes, canceled cheques and periodic account statements.

Pamela Balcena of the *Daily Globe*, who interviewed Chavez, stated that Chavez also discovered some remaining accounts that were numbered or listed in the names of Establishments Mabari (numbers 1 and 2), Pretorian, Intelligence, Gardenia, Cesar, Bullseye, Gladiator, Security Bank and Trust Company (clients 1, 2 and 3, account numbers 036521 N, 036517 J, 037973 R, and 038439 Z), Special Intelligence Fund in the name of Stephane Cattai, International Intelligence Fund also in Cattai’s name, Corraterra Gestion Coges 00777,

Account No. 52.616.4 LC, Account No. 4949 GEF, CPF S.A. Lausanne, Repromatic Technology BV, Interful Holdings (Panama) and Titanium Holdings.

**[H: My goodness, does anyone see Marcos' name on these accounts? People, you can't go raid that which is another's just because you claim some kind of right to access. This becomes highway robbery under shelter of the law.]**

In addition to Chavez' list, PCGG Chairman David Castro told reporters that between October 1983

and 1985 Marcos allegedly shipped 320 tons of gold to Switzerland and claimed that the gold was being held by the Union Bank of Switzerland in Zurich under account number 72570367-D. He then produced certificates from a Manila firm, Tamaraw Security Services, Inc., saying it was involved in the transfer from an unnamed Philippine Airlines (PAL) pilot who, in turn, flew the gold to Zurich.

Castro also talked about a Marcos gold hoard in the United States, which information he claimed to

have obtained from the first husband of Cristina Ford, a close friend of the Marcoses.

Castro gave no figures on how much gold was allegedly being stored in Switzerland. "There are no figures because the people there (in Switzerland) do not want to quote volumes. They say that if a high volume is mistakenly quoted, it would affect the market ... But they say it's substantial."

[END OF QUOTING]

**dharmat**

# HEAVE 'EM OUT!!!

*The following piece by Steve Lendman provides some confirmation of the royal roots of the Bush family (which David Icke has identified as being of the Habsburg lineage). From the perspective of a common-sense, patriotic American, Mr. Lendman offers all the reasons necessary to heave this particular bunch of parasites out.*

*We find no copyright on this work but in the effort to do it justice we will quote verbatim from the sections we use. We encourage all readers to visit this man's blog site and show him you appreciate the way he puts your thoughts down on "paper".*

*[QUOTING, emphasis added:]*

**THE END OF THE BUSH DYNASTY**  
By SJ Lendman, SJLendman.Blogspot.com, 11/24/06

The Bush family has been characterized in various ways including the Bush dynasty, crime family or syndicate. George Bush is just the latest in a line of unsavory characters but clearly the bad or worst seed and, in the eyes of most honest observers, the least worthy of an unworthy lot. He was supposed to be the latest in the Bush family line chosen to lay another golden egg for the dynasty but turned out instead to be an ugly duckling who's just been an embarrassment and much worse because of the course he chose and his rigid ideological obstinacy to change even in the face of failure.

**The Bush family considers itself among the special chosen ones if based only on its royal heritage. The family is connected by blood to every European monarch on and off the throne including every member of the British House of Windsor. That relationship is more than familial and extends to the president's father having close business dealings with Queen Elizabeth II and Prince Phillip who themselves are connected to the notorious Carlyle Group that also employs GHW Bush as a "senior consultant" and master-rainmaker/fixer-arranger at a very high price for his services.**

**George W. Bush, of course, is in the bloodline and is a distant cousin of the Queen and Prince Charles. This American "royal" family traces its heritage back to 15<sup>th</sup> century Britain at the time of Henry VIII or earlier, but its royal connection is not unique to Washington politicians as both Al Gore and John Kerry also have familial ties to the British crown, and ironically Gore is a distant cousin of his former presidential rival from having been a direct descendant of Charlemagne when he was emperor of the Holy Roman Empire.** Truth is indeed stranger or at least more ironic than fiction.

The modern-era Bush family dynasty goes back four generations and was connected to the military-industrial complex of its day during and after WW I much like the most recent two Bush generations are to the present one. It began with George H. Walker and Samuel Prescott acting as dual founding fathers of what

turned out to be a criminal enterprise run under the family name much like it is under a local Godfather except for much bigger stakes and with the government of the United States acting as protector, benefactor and enforcer.

Walker was a St. Louis financier who later went to work for Averell Harriman as president of WA Harriman & Company, a banking business that invested in railroads, shipping, aviation and commodities like oil. Samuel Prescott Bush, the current president's other great grandfather, was a major Ohio industrialist and ran the Buckeye Steel Castings Co. that produced armaments. He later went to Washington to run the small arms, ammunition and ordnance section of the War Industries Board and became a close advisor to Herbert Hoover.

The president's grandfather Prescott Bush, Sam's son, had a varied career as a U.S. Senator, Wall Street investment banker with Brown Brothers Harriman (BBH and same Harriman) and as a director of various companies involved in war production including Dresser Industries where his son, the president's father, later worked for a time. A hundred years ago, the Bush family was also connected to John D. Rockefeller and Standard Oil and later with a number of Wall Street firms as well as with the U.S. intelligence community since WWI.

Above all, this is a family that formed strong ties to the institutions of power that began in industry and Wall Street and was parlayed to become a powerful political dynasty that included a U.S. senator, two governors, a congressman, vice-president, CIA director and two presidents (the current president's father, of course, having been a congressman, CIA director and vice-president before being elected president in 1988).

Prescott, the president's grandfather, had a particularly unsavory connection as recently declassified documents show. He was a director of New York based Union Banking Corporation (UBC) that was a holding company for the Nazis and represented the German steel industrialist Fritz Thyssen who was intimately involved with the Nazi regime. He was also a director and shareholder of various other companies involved with Thyssen. UBC bought and shipped millions of dollars of gold, oil, steel, coal and U.S. treasury bonds to Germany that helped build and support the Nazi war machine. Prescott was also with Brown Brothers Harriman (BBH) when the firm did business with the Nazis during the 1930s that continued during the early years of WW II until the company's assets were seized in 1942 under the *Trading with the Enemy Act*.

What BBH did and paid a price for, many other U.S. corporations did as well, prospered from and were never held to account for their lawlessness. Charles Higham documented much of it in his 1983 book called *Trading with the Enemy* in which he showed evidence of how major companies in America like the Rockefellers' Chase Bank and Standard Oil, Ford, General Motors and other corporate giants had no political or ideological problem doing business routinely with Nazi Germany during the war. It was

just business with another good customer, no matter what the customer's business was.

Particularly heinous was the role of IBM Headquarters System Engineering, Design Automation and Management (not covered in the Highman book) when it was run by Thomas Watson. The company used IBM tabulation equipment to set up a system for the Nazis to locate all the Jews of Europe and then sort, file and categorize them for extermination in the death camps [Ed: Really???] using the company's equipment and whose camp personnel IBM employees trained. All the while this went on, IBM managed to fend off U.S. War Department probes into its illicit activities so it could continue to profit handsomely from the Nazi genocide [Ed: History is not always as it appears.] the company knew was taking place and was facilitating—all for the big "blood money" profits involved. Current shareholders of the company's stock might wish to take note of this and reconsider their investment choice.

BBH had no problem cashing in either, and by the late 1930s claimed to be the world's largest investment banking firm in business like all others to make money, and like most others, as willing to do it with regimes like the Nazis as with any other customer. George Herbert Walker and Averell Harriman, who later became a prominent politician and diplomat serving under four U.S. presidents, have been characterized by some as two evil geniuses who saw no difference in dealing with the Bolsheviks in Russia as with Hitler and the Nazis. For them, business was business just the way it is today and in the 1980s when GHW Bush as vice-president and president was willing and eager to be part of the scheme to arm Saddam Hussein who then became public enemy number one to be demonized for using the weapons supplied him by U.S. and other western corporations when he was an ally.

Before his son succeeded him in the Oval Office (8 years removed), GHW Bush was involved in a long laundry list of criminal activities he never could have gotten away with under a system of law and order with those violating it held to account. He never was. As CIA chief in 1976 under Gerald Ford, the elder Bush was in charge of covering up the Agency's involvement in coup d'etats and assassinations of foreign leaders including its connection to an earlier September 11—the one in 1973 ousting and murdering democratically elected President Salvador Allende in Chile that established the 17-year fascist dictatorship of General Augusto Pinochet who, despite his despotism, became a close U.S. ally.

The president's father was also deeply involved in the secret, illegal negotiations with Iran in the 1980s, when he was vice-president, that led to the Iran-Contra arms-for-hostages scandal that broke in 1986. With the help of friends in the Congress, including Dick Cheney who served then in the House and the corporate media that always looks the other way, he was able to escape investigation and scrutiny. They helped him get away



with a strategy of lies and aggressive cover-ups to stay untarnished. It freed him to pursue and secure the Republican presidential nomination in 1988 and the highest office in the land he always wanted to hold, maybe because he felt his royal blood entitled him to it.

In 1992, Iran-Contra special prosecutor Lawrence Walsh (who took his job seriously unlike his successors) uncovered evidence linking the president to the illegal operation and lying to the public about it, but “trickier-than-Nixon” Bush pardoned six indicted Iran-Contra figures shortly before he left office to bury the evidence against himself and slither away unscathed again. He’s now seen as an esteemed elder statesman, his past buried, forgotten and above rebuke. No matter the truth is quite another matter that went down “the memory hole” and is no longer part of the “official” historical record. That judgmental error paved the way for a member of the next Bush generation to ascend to the nation’s highest office, a move not turning out as planned.

**A Dynastic Success Story Now on Shaky Footing**

A Bush family tradition of lying with impunity, operating freely outside the law and getting away with it was no obstacle for the next family member in line, George W. Bush, to be chosen by his party to enter the presidential race in 2000. He got the nomination after serving six years as Texas governor distinguished only by a record of indifference to the public and a total dedication to the business interests in the state. It meant giant corporations were salivating at the thought of having a man like this in the White House serving them in that capacity the same way he did it for the business community in Texas. Thanks to a fraud-laden election, he got the job the old-fashioned way—his influential friends and family stole it for him as arranged by family *consigliere* and master-fixer Jim Baker securing the necessary 25 Florida electoral votes helped along by the complicity of five friendly Supreme Court justices who had to be in on the scheme.

The corporate interests got their main man in Washington, and for a short time seemed to be in “good hands” with him. But lying and getting away with it only works when the schemes lied about go according to plan. Bumps aside, the rise of the Bush dynasty to prominence and power went well through the ascendancy and tenure of George Herbert Walker Bush, the president’s father, which included the election and reelection [of] George W. Bush’s younger brother Jeb as governor of Florida after an initial failed bid for the office in 1994 and George W’s time as Texas governor.

Nothing lasts forever though, and as best laid as the plans were, they went awry with the misguided selection of the younger George to carry the family banner as the rightful successor to assume the position of supreme leader of the free world and lord and master of the universe. He wasn’t the family’s first choice and only got bumped up to that spot in line after brother Jeb’s initial gubernatorial defeat—one the family must now look back on as a major turning point in the family’s political fortunes that going forward may be irreversible.

It should have been an omen of things to come when if it hadn’t been for the intervention of Jim Baker and those five arrogant High Court justices, in an election Al Gore clearly won, George Bush would have had to have found another line of work. The justices chose to rewrite the law giving themselves the power to annul the vote of the electorate to install their preferred candidate in the office they gifted to him the same way he’s gotten everything else in his privileged life he never deserved and never had to work for. It’s the way it’s always been for a man of questionable ability and dubious character going back to his days as a youth when at best his behavior could only be charitably described as mischievous and without significant achievement. This is a man who rose to the top the way former Texas governor Ann Richards described it—as “someone born on third base (thinking) he hit a triple”.

Six disastrous years later, this man now must not only choose a new career path in two more years, he must also employ a good legal defense team at the ready for the inevitable law suits sure to be filed against him once he leaves office in January 2009—a time that can’t come soon enough for most and that many wanting him impeached and ousted aren’t willing to wait for and may press their demands he go a lot sooner and face the music for his high crimes of war against humanity and against the people of the United States.

As the current holder of the nation’s highest office, George Bush is not unique. As Noam Chomsky rightfully observes: “If the Nuremberg laws were applied, then every post-(WWII) American president would have to be hanged (like the worst of the Nazi war criminals found guilty).” Other than the Vietnam era (that family influence let him bypass in a comfortable Texas National Guard slot he rarely showed up for) and arguably the Korean war one as well, the only difference about George Bush as president is the immensity of his crimes and his hard-line arrogance and indifference about them and toward the people he’s harmed at home and abroad. He’s undeterred and committed to press on with what he sees as a messianic mission, or even royal prerogative, and that makes him stand out as a special rogue who’s already surpassed all others before him holding the nation’s highest office.

With a lot of help from the Congress and complicit corporate media that continues to shield him, George Bush not only took the nation to war against two countries that never threatened us based on lies, deceit and cover-up, he’s determined to push on to a victory that can’t be won and is listening to sinister advice from the wrong people telling him to do it. ...

*The Guardian* reported that the president told his senior advisors (or more likely Dick Cheney and other hard liners told him) the U.S. military (with any help it can get) must make “a last big push” to win the war in Iraq and instead of beginning a drawdown in force strength, he may send an additional 20,000 more soldiers into this cauldron even against the advice of his Central Command (CENTCOM) commander-in-chief on the ground General John Abizaid, who testified before Congress the same day the president was ignoring his advice that now may be changing after hearing what his boss had to say.

Whatever is said publicly or is released in the ISG report, all that matters is what, in fact, will happen going forward and that may be a clear example of a clinical definition of insanity—continuing to do the same things (more or less) that have failed, expecting a different result. It may also be more evidence that was first reported in Capitol Hill Blue on September 5 that Bush has gone over the edge and that Republican and Bush family insiders, including the president’s father, are worried George Bush may be heading for a “full-fledged mental breakdown” judging by his bizarre or irrational behavior.

Jeffrey Steinberg writing in *Executive Intelligence Review* said GHW Bush fears his son is obsessed with his messianic mission and is “unreachable” even by some of his closest advisors like Secretary Rice. That view was also stated by prominent psychiatrist Dr. Justin Frank, who wrote *Bush on the Couch: Inside the Mind of the President*. He said: “With every passing week, President Bush marches deeper and deeper into a world of his own making. Central to Bush’s world is an iron will which demands that external reality be changed to conform to his personal view of how things are.” Dr. Frank added that George Bush needs psychiatric help. ...

*[At this point Mr. Lendman provides an excellent analysis of the ISG Report, which was not yet released but had been leaked to great extent. He also covers the real story of what’s happening on the ground in Iraq and the lying media’s complicity in covering it up and*

*perpetuating the terror. We’ll have to skip over all of this good stuff to get to Mr. Lendman’s wrap-up comments.]*

... It won’t work for the new congressional leadership any more than it did for the president who brought down the house of Bush ending the family dynasty’s reign while turning the nation’s imperial dreams into its death throes by his arrogance and ineptness. He’ll now live in infamy as the man who accelerated the American empire’s decline. His imperial madness buried it in the caves and rubble of Afghanistan and the burning sands of the Middle East financing it with an unrepayable mountain of Federal Reserve-created debt in an age of aberrant capitalism gone wild and transformed into a fiscal weapon of mass-destruction that may end up throttling the U.S. and world economies. It’s what out-of-control greed and delusions of grandeur always lead to—self-aggrandizing excess that eventually undermines the “irrationally exuberant” dreams of fools and despots that go well beyond the limits of reason or any hope for success.

If George Bush lasts another two years, it’ll be thanks to the kindness of his dwindling number of hard core friends and strangers who still think they can pick something from the bones of his tenure before payment for his imperial overreach comes due. When it does, it’ll be high, painful and inevitable just like it always is the way it was for that French queen of “let ’em eat cake” fame who along with her husband, King Louis XVI, lost their heads for their misdeeds. “King” George may keep his, but the family dynasty has been undone and defrocked by the sins of the unworthy scion ill-chosen to carry its reign forward to pass on to the next in line after him. It wasn’t to be as the dominance of another powerful family passes into history, never to be trusted again with the seat of power in a nation accelerating in decline in the new century that was planned to be an American one but already is not six years into it.

Whereto from here with a disgraced head of state and unindicted war criminal already an artifact or relic of an era past, his power ebbing and marking time going through the motions despite the same bravado, smirk and all, that resonates less with each public appearance. It’s intended to keep his weakened presidency from collapsing that may just take one more good shove to do it. Despite desperate efforts to save it, in the end who but the family will care if it does and who will ever again believe a serial liar once exposed and disgraced making him unwelcome in the halls of power that once embraced him. Success, as they say, has many parents and friends, but failure is an unwanted orphan, and it’s showing up as some of the hard core faithful voice their displeasure openly and walk away.

It now remains for his final exit that can’t come soon enough for most who want him out now and may act to force it if the Congress won’t act as a majority of the public demands. Whatever happens from here, the king is dead (even with his head in place), and with it the power and influence of a family dynasty brought down by the poisoned chalice of its ill-chosen successor, unworthy and unable to wear the crown and pass it to the next in line. Henceforth, all will know what should have been clear all along. Behind every “Bush” there’s a crime, and some of them are too great to hide, make up for or overcome. So it is with the lesson of George Bush, a very bad seed and a president only a mother can love. And even that’s in doubt in a family that doesn’t take defeat very well. Give them time, they’ll acclimate.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago and can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). Also visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com).

*[END QUOTING]*

*Not to mention the involvement of George HW Bush in the JFK assassination (ZOG COUP D’ETAT), of which Mr. Lendman seems unaware.*



# World News Desk

By Jerry Schnoebelen  
WorldNewsMail@Gmail.com

*With regret, Jerry Schnoebelen has informed us that he will no longer be able to produce the News Desk. He was quick to add that his belief in the "Phoenix Project" is undaunted and we have no doubt his abilities will be able to be harnessed at some time in the future.*

*Meanwhile, we invite anyone who might be interested in the job to contact Jerry at the email address provided above and hopefully we will be able to carry on publishing this section of the paper.*

## PEBBLE BED MODULAR REACTOR— STILL ATOMIC SUICIDE

For some the pebble bed reactor is the hope of the future of nuclear fission generated power. With its uranium, thorium, and plutonium nuclear fuels bundled in a unique way this technology promises to reduce complexity while improving safety by an equal margin. Its compact modular design allows for future expansion and quicker project completion times. Since helium instead of water is used for the cooling system location choices are dramatically increased.

While China and South Africa are leading the way towards bringing reactors online this is not a new technology. The concept was invented by Professor Dr. Rudolf Schulten in the 1950s. A 15 megawatt demonstration reactor was built at the Julich Research Centre in Julich, West Germany and operated from 1966 until it was shut down in 1988 in the wake of the Chernobyl nuclear disaster.

Since the fuel is contained in graphite pebbles, the volume of radioactive waste is much greater, but contains about the same radioactivity when measured in becquerels per kilowatt-hour. The waste tends to be less hazardous and simpler to handle. As current legislation requires all waste to be safely contained, pebble bed reactors would increase existing storage problems.

However there is a much darker side to nuclear fission no matter what the process. It would be hard to deny that most people's instinct and intuition causes them to fear atomic energy yet they don't know why. Clearly there is an admitted danger and the evidence lies in the fact that nuclear users go to great lengths to dispose of, so they think, the radioactive waste products.

In the Phoenix Journal *Murder By Atomic Suicide*, Violinio Germaine says that "Radiation is the normal death principal. Everything in nature dies normally by slowly radiating its heat. Radioactivity is the explosively quick death principle. Radioactivity is man's discovery of how the human race can die quickly, and not be able to propagate its kind for many long centuries."

Germaine goes on to explain that the fallacy of nuclear fission is that the so-called deadly poisonous gases from the radioactive elements in reactors and in the waste products are not poisons in their own environment underground where they are serving a necessary purpose of helping to make it possible for organic life to live upon our planet.

He states, "Man makes them poisonous by removing them from their purposeful environment to place them in an abnormal environment unsuited to their normal environment. The so-called deadly radioactive poisons are preparing the soil for oxygen

dependent life to live by causing countless billions of microscopic explosions in the rock formations underground to release water and other necessities for human life and vegetation. That is all GOOD. In their proper place in Nature they are fulfilling their necessary useful purposes. They are of benefit in and when underground or distributed in rocks. It is only when you dig them out from under the ground and condense tons of harmless rock into ounces of deadly free metal, such as uranium piles, that you make the Earth uninhabitable for man."

As scientists are looking near the ground for fall-out and radioactive dangers the greatest menace is the radioactive particulate that is accumulating from eight to 12 miles up into the atmosphere. In 1956 it was already known that which would happen to us. From *Murder By Atomic Suicide*: "since records have been kept the amount of radio-strontium which has fallen out on Britain has multiplied six times. In 1948, radioactivity, to roughly .002 of a gram of radium, had fallen out on each square mile of countryside. Since then the rate of deposition has increased, and now the equivalent of nearly .006 of a gram of radium are settling each year. And there's plenty more of it to come. The concentration of radioactivity 8 miles up is some 200 times greater than it is at ground level."

As we are slowly sent the bill for our ignorance from the skies above will enough of mankind see it in time to change its course? And what about the mess we've already created? I for one am going to hang on to the idea that with God all things are possible and the way will be presented when we have demonstrated a desire for a remedy through responsible actions.

## ISRAEL'S CYBER SPACE PUBLIC RELATIONS WEAPON

Designed to counter what Israel's Ministry Of Foreign Affairs believes to be a negative bias towards Israel and a rising tide of pro Arab propaganda, the Internet Megaphone software was introduced by the World Union of Jewish Students (WUJS) during this summer's war with the aim of getting the pro-Israel community to respond in real-time to developments on the Web.

The Megaphone is available as a free download and according to Amir Gissin, who heads the Public Affairs Department at the Foreign Ministry, the software was developed by an Israeli company (Mossad?) and it functions like a beeper from one central place. It sends alerts and anyone who downloads the software gets a pop-up with links to an activity.

For example it can be used to vote for Israel in a CNN survey or react to an especially nasty article. Yonit Farago in *The Times* states, "The Foreign Ministry has ordered trainee diplomats to track Web sites and chatrooms so that networks of US and European groups with hundreds of thousands of Jewish activists can place supportive messages." WUJS's Jonny Cline said that Jewish students and youth were ideally placed to present Israel's side of the Middle East story.

Just as a garden tool can be used to cultivate life-sustaining food or be used to injure or bring death upon another being why can't an Internet tool such as

a reverse engineered Megaphone software package be used to spread truth and counter the Zionist propaganda machine?

## AMERICA'S OLDEST WORKER

*In spite of illness, in spite even of the archenemy sorrow, one can remain alive long past the usual date of disintegration if one is unafraid of change, insatiable in intellectual curiosity, interested in big things, and happy in small ways.*

—Elizabeth Wharton (1862-1937)

At 104 years old Ralph Waldo McBurney of Quinter, Kansas was recently dubbed "America's oldest worker" at a ceremony in Washington. He earned this title by still showing up every day to work at his honey business.

With a driver's license that doesn't expire until 2010 Ralph wonders if his license will last longer than he does. One can never know these things but one thing is certain: Ralph W. McBurney has already outlived even his gravestone's expectations. Written on his headstone is "1902-19\_\_." "I'm just going to let somebody else worry about that," he explains with a laugh.

McBurney credits his active longevity to having never smoked or drank and eating his vegetables. He also says that staying busy is vital. Besides the honey business he has also written a self published autobiography called *My First 100 Years* that he autographs and mails out personally. "Use it or lose it," he says.

Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn has espoused the benefits of having honey in the diet, especially the Tupelo variety. Makes me wonder if Ralph, through all these years, has dipped into the "sweet" profits of his enterprise and unwittingly stamped his membership card in the "centenarian club"?

## ANOTHER CELEBRITY JOINS 9/11 DOUBTERS LIST

According to e-mails received at Alex Jones' Prison Planet, actor James Brolin, the husband of Barbara Streisand, has joined the list of celebrities who are speaking out against the official version of the 9/11 events.

Appearing on *The View* Brolin questioned 9/11 and urged the audience to check out the web site www.911weknow.com for more information. He is following in the footsteps of other notable celebrities such as film director David Lynch, Ed Asner, Jesse Ventura, Matthew Bellemy, and Charlie Sheen.

In the Philippines, judging from the following article, it seems there is no longer any question as to whether or not there will be a coup; it's only a matter of whether the coup will be of the NWO or Nationalist variety.

[QUOTING:]

## PRO-U.S. COUP OR REVOLUTIONARY NATIONALIST COUP? By Alejandro Lichauco, *Daily Tribune*—RP, 12/07/06

There are two mutually incompatible coup models staring us at this time when coup talks—fueled by speculations on the reportedly failing health of GMA and the political unrest provoked by Constituent Assembly—are spreading like wildfire. One is the model represented by the Chilean coup staged by Gen. Augusto Pinochet against the democratically-elected socialist government of Dr. Salvador Allende in the 1970s. That coup, it is now common knowledge, was staged with the helping hand of the U.S. government, if not actually initiated by it. Washington then was driven to panic by the fact that democratic elections in

Chile produced a socialist government.

In the 1970s, a committed socialist elected to the presidency of a Latin American state was simply unthinkable and, to the U.S., simply unacceptable. And because it was unacceptable to Washington, the democratic verdict cast by the people of Chile was set aside by the CIA and Allende was assassinated in the Palace grounds, replaced by a junta of generals.

The Pinochet coup, to this day, continues to serve as living proof that the U.S. isn't really interested in democracy as such insofar as the Third World is concerned. It is interested only in a democracy which results in mounting pro-U.S. governments which then take to free market policies, such as the recently U.S.-mounted government in Iraq.

A government, no matter how democratically elected, which chooses an economic model opposed to the free market model prescribed by Washington, and which takes to an independent foreign policy is a government that simply has to be prevented from being elected and, if elected, should be removed even if its election represents the true will of the people.

The other coup model is that represented by Libya's Col. Moamar Khadaffy, Egypt's Col. Nasser and even of South Korea's Gen. Park Chung-hee. Those were coups staged by military nationalists who proceeded to reconstruct their respective societies by taking either to the socialist path, as Khadaffy and Nasser did, or to an economic model described as "state-directed capitalism". That was the path taken by South Korea's Park. It is a model in which the Senate plays a controlling role in the economy, the complete opposite of that prescribed by the U.S.-IMF-WB group, which insists that government should stay out of the economy and leave everything to private business. This particular model is strongly characterized by its economic nationalism, refusing international capital playing a dominant role in the economy.

Should a military coup materialize in the Philippines, the first question that the people will ask of it is just exactly what is its economic ideology? Will it essentially adhere to the free market model prescribed by the U.S. and the IMF-WB-WTO group or will it repudiate that model and take to the model set by the military nationalists represented by the likes of Khadaffy, Nasser, Park and Hugo Chavez?

At this point, it isn't possible to predict just what kind of coup it will be because there's ample reason to believe that a quiet struggle for control of a future military government is now being waged. This is evident from the various articles posted in the Sundalo Web site [www.sundalo.bravehost.com](http://www.sundalo.bravehost.com). Some tend markedly to the nationalists in orientation, such as the article What We Filipinos Should Know which was the subject of earlier pieces by this writer. That article, as previously discussed, went as far as to define economic treason as the act of allowing foreigners to assume control of the economy and attribute much of the nation's crisis to what it sees as the American orientation of the educational system and the free trade ideology pressed on the country by the U.S.

Other articles, however, unmistakably indicate a completely opposite economic philosophy, one that would essentially accept globalization, with slight, insignificant modifications. Those articles are notoriously silent on the malevolence of U.S. colonialism, free trade and foreign domination of the economy. They focus on motherhood subjects such as electoral reforms, fostering honesty in government and promoting a true democracy of the people—while remaining silent on the havoc which free market

economies, the IMF, WB, globalization and foreign economic domination have wrought on the economy and the life of the poor.

At any rate, what this piece is saying is that those who believe that a coup, by removing GMA's government, will necessarily bring about change for the better, particularly if such coup were to call for snap elections, could be sadly mistaken. The reason is that GMA and her government don't constitute the heart and soul of this nation's crisis. It is globalization and the free market ideology which GMA represents that are at the bottom of this nation's crisis—and hers as well. Only a coup which will repudiate that ideology while at the same time retrieving the nation's lost sovereignty would work change for the better. Change for the sake of change is sheer idiocy as we should all realize by now.

[END QUOTING]

In the Philippines, although most Filipinos are not yet aware of it, SOVEREIGNTY would mean immediate potential for abundance through realization of the assets of the Tallano Foundation (technically, the Don Esteban Benitez Tallano and Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation).

These days Filipinos are very upset over the actions of their Congress critters, who seem intent on putting in place a parliamentary form of government AGAINST THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE.

Pretty soon some of these political animals are going to learn that it is not going to help their longevity in office to continue with such "business as usual" at the expense of the people, who are awakening GLOBALLY.

[QUOTING:]

A CALL TO ACTION  
By Herman Tiu Laurel, *Daily Tribune*—RP, 12/08/06

It is now time for every conscientious Filipino lover of democracy to take action and express indignation over the shameless, self-serving act of Gloria and Jose de Venecia's House to declare a rump Constituent Assembly (Con-ass). A bunch of demonstrably corrupt politicians has arrogated unto themselves the power to change our nation's basic law—all to their own benefit and against the will of the people! The Catholic Bishops Conference of the Philippines (CBCP) president, Archbishop Lagdameo has called on the faithful to "watch and pray for self-serving representatives" in his press statement. We support that and add that we must all now take action.

Around me are countless groups and citizens beginning to wake up to the need for voluntary action, and as I write this, I am heartened by the middle and upper class citizens who have started to organize motorcades to demonstrate their extreme disgust over the congressional majority's act of total disrespect and the insults to the wishes and rights of the people to be heard in such sacred act of changing our Constitution and our form of government. The mass organizations are already mobilizing countless sectors and continue street protest of various forms, from noise barrages to prayer vigils.

Schools must be involved, and I expect that in a few days the Catholic schools will start feeling the commitment of the church leaders to the cause of restoring respect for the will of the people. It took some time for the voices of warning from the progressive bishops to be heard and they would probably have continued to be unheard if it were not for the absolute hubris that has taken over Gloria's minions in Congress. The state colleges and

universities, I am certain, will follow with student rallies and even boycotts of classes until this Con-ass crime is redressed.

I appeal to our readers to take every bit of action they can and be prepared to join the calls for protests from their own homes and offices, wherever they may be. Start an e-mail campaign to recruit more people into active campaigning against this Con-ass and join the daily protest that is already starting. Go and talk to your parish community and help spread the press statement of Archbishop Lagdameo about the "self-serving representatives" and encourage both prayers and actions even within and around the parish vicinity. The country needs every bit of initiative from everybody now.

What is at stake is the little that is left of our citizens' rights, such as the right to vote for national leaders, the right to demand accountability from elected public servants to ensure that self-serving elements can be eliminated around election time, to question the leaders when they abuse, to expect equal protection of the law for ordinary citizens as well as political power wielders. If you have felt the abuse by these people in Congress the past years passing laws on power, water and taxes that have been inimical for you and your family, you will see worse of it if they get away with this Con-ass.

It is no longer a matter just of "politics," punning and wisecracking against the asses in the Con-ass campaign, now it is a deadly serious end game where the corrupt and graft-ridden politicians will dominate in perpetuity if they get away with this Con-ass and we shall be permanently enslaved and never again have the peaceful, bloodless changes that only regular, predictable, scheduled elections for national leaders can provide. The other option is bloody carnage that will take lives on all sides, the bloodletting of a civil war that will make the streets of Manila like Baghdad.

We are calling on all our readers to prepare to join major mass actions at the Senate, on Monday, Dec. 12, to support our anti-Con-ass senators for the hearings on the issue, and to converge 50,000 strong at the Batasan on Tuesday, Dec. 13, to protest the opening of Gloria and De Venecia's rump Con-ass. This may swell to 100,000 if several religious movements that have signified their intention to join the anti-Con-ass activities are able to muster their people swiftly enough. Meanwhile, motorcades all over Metro Manila and provincial cities will fan the spirit of protest and resistance.

As we witness the rape of democracy, particularly after the Con-ass legislators made a mockery of age-old rules of Congress with regard to constitutional procedures, I wondered about the officers in our military institutions. Are they also all as corrupted as the Con-ass politicians and Gloria, or as apparently unprincipled as their Chief of Staff and superiors Esperon, Tolentino, Danga, et al., who have been accessories to the rape of our elections? I believe there are still more decent officers in the AFP than there are knaves, the only question is when will they move to defend our democracy.

That the rump Con-ass is providentially timed for the start of the Asean conference in Cebu, the world will be witness to the political crisis exploding in the Philippines. Now is the time! Let us move, let us be counted. As Archbishop Lagdameo appeals to his flock, we appeal also to the rest of the country: "...be vigilant, to express their opposition to Con-ass... God help us! God help our country!" and God helps only those who help themselves.

[END QUOTING]

NEVADA CORPORATIONS:

# Keeping Shields Up In A Foreign Jurisdiction

Budget's "Tip of the Week" #11:

## Maintaining a Nevada Corporation's Liability Protection in a Foreign Jurisdiction

When using your Nevada corporation in a foreign jurisdiction, what can you do to maintain the strong liability protection afforded under Nevada's statutes?

So-called "long arm" laws have been used to claim jurisdiction over "foreign" corporations, stripping away the benefits that would otherwise accrue to them based on the statutes prevailing in their home jurisdiction. These "long arm" laws have been used to great effect in California, for example, ostensibly to protect that state's citizens from encroachments and predatory practices by "outsiders". **Nevada corporations are not immune from this potentially devastating application of the law that would deny them the liability protection inherent in Nevada's Revised Statutes—BUT IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THEY CAN BE.**

Article I, section 10, clause 1 of the *U.S. Constitution* prohibits the states from passing any "law impairing the obligation of contracts". The test for determining a violation of this "contract clause" in the *Constitution* was stated in *Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus*, 438 U.S. 234 (1978):

**"The first inquiry must be whether the state law has, in fact, operated as a substantial impairment of a contractual relationship. The severity of the impairment measures the height of the hurdle the state legislation must clear. Minimal alteration of contractual obligations may end the inquiry at its first stage. Severe impairment, on the other hand, will push the inquiry to a careful examination of the nature and purpose of the state legislation."**

The solution to retaining the superior liability protection made inherent to Nevada corporations in the *Nevada Revised Statutes* thus appears to be fairly simple:

**AS A PART OF ANY CONTRACT (and remember that a contract does not have to be in writing) WITH ANY ENTITY OR ENTITIES IN A FOREIGN JURISDICTION, ENSURE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CONTRACT IS TRANSACTED IN NEVADA, AND THAT ANY DISPUTE SHALL BE RESOLVED IN NEVADA.**

You might also want to consider liberal use of the suffix, "a Nevada corporation", which can be appended to your corporation's name to add a measure of protection. In legal terms, there is a "rebuttable presumption" that Nevada law applies to any contract between a Nevada "person" (yes, a corporation IS a "person", by legal definition) and any other entity, so long as the second party is aware of the fact that they are dealing with a Nevada corporation. For this reason alone it can be wise to ensure that the Nevada corporation is identified as such while operating within a foreign jurisdiction

## CORPORATION SETUP AND MAINTENANCE FEES

<b>Budget Corporation</b> —includes:	<b>Nominee Service</b>	<b>\$200</b>
• First-year resident agent fee	<b>Obtain EIN</b>	<b>\$ 75</b>
• Corporate Charter	<b>Bank Account Setup</b>	<b>\$100</b>
• Articles of Incorporation	<b>Expedite (24-hr. setup)</b>	<b>\$150</b>
• Corporate Bylaws		
• Corporate Resolutions	<b>Annual Resident Agent Fee</b>	<b>\$ 85</b>
• Budget corporate record book	<b>Budget Mail Forwarding (18 per yr)</b>	<b>\$ 50</b>
• 3.5" floppy disk of resources	<b>Full Mail Forwarding (240 pcs/yr)</b>	<b>\$150</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$410</b>

For more information:

## "THE NEVADA CORPORATION MANUAL"

Priced at just \$45, including shipping and handling

**Budget**  
Corporate Renewals

"Nevada corporations  
at Budget prices"

**(702) 870-5351**

P.O. Box 27103  
Las Vegas, NV 89126  
E-Mail: BCR@BudgetCorporateRenewals.com


**Phoenix Source Online**  
email: [GCHContact@OneMain.com](mailto:GCHContact@OneMain.com)  
[www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com](http://www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com)  
-Published and unpublished Journals  
- CONTACT back-issues



**FAIR USE NOTICE:**  
This News Review contains excerpts of copyrighted material intended to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, scientific and social justice issues. Such use is considered 'fair use', exempt from copyright laws as provided for in Title 17, Ch. 1, Section 107 of the U.S. Code.

**(800) 800-5565**  
**Phoenix Source Distributors**

**NOW AVAILABLE**  
Because we want you around to enjoy what's coming!



Turn back the clock on the aging process and enjoy the rejuvenating effects of better hormonal levels and balance. Look younger, feel younger and stick around a while for the FUN stuff ahead of us.

**BACK IN STOCK! GET YOURS NOW!!!**

For some 7 years over 100 Phoenix Journals were withheld from the public domain never having been published. We acknowledge Dr. Overholt for his efforts in collating the writings of Commander Hatonn into Journal format and are pleased to now be able to offer these Journals "as-is" until such time as others can apply the finishing touches (titles, indexing, etc.)

**Free Download at:**  
[www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com](http://www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com)

For the latest News on the  
**'DivinePlan'**  
unfolding from the Philippines:  
[www.GlobalAllianceAssn.com](http://www.GlobalAllianceAssn.com)  
Global Alliance Investment Association

Oh yes, you're going to let us have it because we become the **TICKET** to the survival of the other—**humanoids**—who would like to become **HUMAN**.—GCH, 7/24/94