


CONTACT

PHOENIX JOURNAL REVIEW

News Reviews, Previews and Alternative Views

*NOT TO OPPOSE ERROR IS TO APPROVE IT
NOT TO DEFEND TRUTH IS TO SUPPRESS IT*



VOLUME 49, NUMBER 5

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

MAY 9, 2007

Toleration of Evil IS NO VIRTUE

5/8/07 (20-265)

Tue., May 8, 2007, Year 20, Day 265
Manila, Philippines

“ARMAGEDDON DRAWS NEAR”?
IF THAT’S WHAT YOU WANT, OK
(THE BOGUS EJ-JONUR 4/25/07 ISSUE)

You don’t have to go beyond the masthead of the purported (*de facto*) “CONTACT” of April 25 put out by EJ Ekker to KNOW that it is intended to DECEIVE. Apparently Mr. Ekker didn’t LIKE the masthead put in place at the request of Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn: “NOT TO OPPOSE ERROR IS TO APPROVE IT; NOT TO DEFEND TRUTH IS TO SUPPRESS IT.” In its place is the familiar-sounding, “A LIGHT IN EVERY MIND”. It SHOULD sound familiar because that is the same OLD message which ran from January 16, 1996 through August 16, 1999 and appeared on the final issues put out by Ed Young.

It certainly appears as though “someone” (EJ)

would rather people not focus on the need to take a stand in opposition to ERROR; hence, this issue’s headline.

As has been pointed out very clearly in recent issues of THIS (*de jure*) publication, there are MANY ERRORS IN NEED OF OPPOSITION. As predicted in the April 25 issue of THIS resource, NONE OF THE REAL AND VERY SERIOUS ISSUES WERE ADDRESSED BY MR. EKKER. Sorry, Valerie, but Mr. Ekker has made a liar out of you for promising subscribers these issues WOULD BE ADDRESSED.

Eight major points were raised but NONE of these were addressed by the bogus EJ-Jonur issue:

- 1) MONEY LAUNDERING
- 2) FINANCIAL SUPPORT OF TERRORISM
- 3) INCITING SEDITION AND POLITICAL INTERFERENCE
- 4) ENDORSEMENT OF BLASPHEMY
- 5) THEFT BY CONVERSION
- 6) MULTIPLE BREACHES OF CONTRACTS
- 7) NUMEROUS FRAUDULENT ACTIONS

8) WHAT ABOUT THE NEW PROJECTS?

Perhaps that’s not entirely fair because Mr. Ekker’s sickening answer to the charge of BLASPHEMY is his overt, unabashed and ongoing ENDORSEMENT of it. At some point in time it might be good to present again the “JONUR BLASPHEMY” piece carried in the first post-split issue of March 14, 2007. For now let’s just reiterate: IF YOU WANT TO GO WITH SOME PRETEND “GOD” WHO TELLS YOU TO CLOSE YOURSELF AND FAMILY IN A SMALL ROOM AND CRANK UP THE PROPANE BURNERS, YOU DESERVE THE OUTCOME (CARBON MONOXIDE POISONING).

Yes, EJ Ekker had the temerity to ADVOCATE SUCH POISONING and put to print this very advice from the “HATONN-Jonur” writing of December 7, 2006 in his BOGUS April 25 issue purporting to be “CONTACT” WITHOUT A DISCLAIMER. If you need/want some help with discernment of the truly blasphemous Jonur material

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Immigration Issues.....	page 2
EJ Ekker Does NOT <u>Own</u> Global Alliance.....	page 8
Dissatisfied Lenders?.....	page 9
Public Notice re <i>De Facto</i> (Illegitimate) Tallano-Acop Foundation....	page 13
Public Notice of <i>De Facto</i> Entities Operated by Eddyjo Ekker.....	page 14
GAIA Public Notice re Suspension of EJ Ekker.....	page 15

and have not read it already, the “JONUR BLASPHEMY” article can be downloaded from the PhoenixSourceDistributors.com website.

The headline of the *de facto* (Ekker-Jonur) April 25 issue shouts: “ARMAGEDDON DRAWS NEAR.” Sorry to say but it certainly appears that the majority are working towards exactly that outcome. To the extent you fail to oppose obvious error and to the extent you fail to defend the truth—exactly to that extent do YOU ADVOCATE the Armageddon scenario. IS THAT WHAT YOU REALLY WANT? Apparently it is so because THE VAST MAJORITY OF YOU—the most patiently taught chelas of Commander Hatonn—REMAIN SILENT.

It has been said, and rightly so, that it takes two to lie: one to offer the lie and another to accept it. “Well, I don’t really accept it, I’m just confused and can’t seem to determine who’s telling the truth. I’m on the fence,” you say. YOU HAVE ALREADY MADE A CHOICE: THE DECISION TO NOT ACT IN OPPOSITION TO THAT WHICH YOU KNOW IS WRONG. Don’t even try to tell me that you believe GOD would tell you to close yourself and your family into a small room and turn on the propane burners! This is BLASPHEMY and it has been PROVEN “beyond a reasonable doubt”. WHY DO YOU TOLERATE IT?

IF YOUR SUBSCRIPTION MONEY IS NOW BEING USED TO PROMOTE BLASPHEMY AND YOU DO NOT OPPOSE IT, YOU HAVE TACITLY CONSENTED TO THE BLASPHEMY. NOT TO OPPOSE ERROR IS TO APPROVE IT; NOT TO DEFEND TRUTH IS TO SUPPRESS IT—REMEMBER? GOOD, BECAUSE MR. EKKER AND HIS CREW WANT YOU TO FORGET THAT VERY IMPORTANT LESSON.

Mr. Ekker says the material “is coming from Aton, Hatonn”—a PROVEN LIE—SO, IS NOT ALL ELSE SUSPECT? HE IDENTIFIES ME AS HIS ADVERSARY—FAIR ENOUGH. BUT WITH THE STATEMENT, “When one of Lucifer’s ‘sleepers’ is triggered and suddenly everyone is awakened to his REAL intent the tests we get to experience often prove chaotic”: has he not clearly identified HIMSELF as the subject “sleeper”? WE ARE TO JUDGE THE ACTIONS and if you believe someone who endorses YOUR and your family’s demise by carbon monoxide poisoning is your and God of Light’s servant—I can only feel sorry for your terminal state of confusion.

Let’s consider the extent to which Lucifer is revealing his servant:

“With Dharma out of the picture,” he says in “interesting” phraseology (“out of the picture”?—she is surely not out of “my” picture, although EJ seems anxious to POISON AND BURY HER WORK IN JONUR TURDS) “and no ‘voice’ for the ‘Light Side’” (REALLY? YOU WISH, MR. EKKER), “was the advent of the Jonur material enough for Lucifer to expose one of his greatest potential assets, _____?” Whose name BELONGS where I have put in a blank? YOU fill it in!

“That is a question—postulation, really—worthy of your individual study and discernment ...” INDEED, INDEED!

“In my Public Notice dated March 10, 2007 ... I mentioned that I might be required to prefer charges since Mr. Kirzinger’s accusing me of murdering Doris,

my wife (Dharma) is a criminal offense here.” IF MR. EKKER COULD “PREFER CHARGES”, HE WOULD DO SO, NOT JUST TALK IT TO DEATH. IF HE COULD SEE ME FOUND GUILTY OF A CRIMINAL OFFENSE—ANY CRIMINAL OFFENSE—HE WOULD DO SO IN A HEARTBEAT. HE CAN’T. NO SUCH CHARGE WOULD PROSPER. SO, INSTEAD, HE HAS CAUSED BOGUS CHARGES TO BE BROUGHT AGAINST ME THROUGH THE BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION, WHICH WILL BE DISCUSSED AT LENGTH A LITTLE FURTHER ALONG IN THIS PIECE.

Let me ask you something at this point, readers: If a long-time friend and co-worker was reported to have said something you found particularly offensive, how would YOU respond? Wouldn’t it be reasonable to go to that one and ask him IF HE REALLY SAID IT? Or would you respond as Mr. Ekker did: immediately setting about trying to terminate ALL business relationships, cutting off all sources of funds, putting him out on the street and arranging for the DEPORTATION of the alleged offending party? WHY SUCH A STRONG REACTION without even verifying what might or might not have been said? Let’s just call Mr. Ekker’s response “interesting” and REVEALING.

To wrap up the BALONEY, Mr. Ekker sums up his front-page editorial with the statement: “Ron Kirzinger seems to have escaped from the Philippines. Perhaps Canadians should be forewarned to ‘secure their assets’?”

SAY WHAT? Mr. Ekker KNEW that I had to exit the country at the end of one year—just as he has done on at least seven occasions—in order to remain compliant with immigration regulations affecting VISITORS to this country.

This raises a question with regard to EJ Ekker, however: Is EJ merely a “visitor” to the Philippines for the last (by August) NINE YEARS? I suppose one could qualify as a mere “visitor” if one was not DOING BUSINESS here. “I” am NOT doing business in the Philippines—but Mr. Ekker? Well, if he’s not doing business in his personal capacity, perhaps he can answer how it is that he has drawn up a PERSONAL Memorandum of Agreement with “Danny” to consummate a certain PERSONAL (FOR HIS OWN BENEFIT, SUPPOSEDLY USING HIS “PERSONAL” FUNDS) GOLD TRANSACTION?

Of course he has gone on the record (in front of the Board at the March 6, 2007 “caucus” of the Tallano-Acop Foundation) stating that ALL of the expenses of funding the Foundation have come FROM HIM, PERSONALLY, TOO—so, WHERE DID HE GET THE FUNDS, IF NOT FROM THOSE WHO HAVE LOANED TO SUPPORT THE GLOBAL ALLIANCE MISSION?

IMMIGRATION ISSUES

“Explaining” why he has not pursued charging me with “Intriguing against Honor” (roughly equivalent to U.S. libel/slander), EJ wrote in his front-page editorial:

“That action has been taken out of my hands by Filipinos with an even stronger case and motivation than I have, so maybe the matter is closer to ‘over’ than I imagine.”

You wish, Mr. Ekker—but be careful in your wishing because it just might come back at you. The Immigration issue IS very nearly resolved, just not the way you might have imagined.

First, to say that the action has been taken out of his hands is surely incorrect: there is nothing to prevent him from proceeding with his threats other than the fact that there is no valid basis for him to make such a complaint. To “intrigue” against his honor requires that I have presented known false information to others—which he KNOWS is not the case. HE HAS NO CASE and so it might be easier to see why he says “Filipinos” have “an even stronger case” than he does. With regard to “motivation”: perhaps there was a little bit of money involved providing that motivation?

Let’s examine the “even stronger case and motivation” he says certain Filipinos supposedly have against me IN DETAIL—because, as we know, THE DEVIL IS IN THE DETAILS.

Immediately after the March 6, 2007 “get together” with the Board of the Tallano-Acop Foundation (in which I questioned Mr. Ekker regarding WHOSE funds were spent chasing after “Danny’s” gold deal and he answered, surprisingly, that ALL funds came out of HIS pocket as HIS PERSONAL EXPENSES) I spoke with Erick San Juan regarding the developing rift between EJ and myself. And IMMEDIATELY after that, as will be shown below, the gears were put in motion to cause my departure from the Philippines, one way or the other, “by hook or by crook”.

By his own testimony in the bogus April 25 issue of “CONTACT”, it is only recently that some Filipinos have apparently decided to take matters into their own hands—so, shouldn’t we suppose that the actions taken IMMEDIATELY were his own?

March 13 “Background Report”


WITHIN ONE WEEK, BY MARCH 13—without EJ so much as asking me if I had actually said the things Erick San Juan reported to him—“somehow” a BACKGROUND REPORT was done on me by “someone”. Allowing for the fact that it takes TIME to get a government official to act, this implies that an effort was undertaken to obtain such a report IMMEDIATELY after the March 6 meeting! Again I pose the question: WHY DID EJ HAVE SUCH A POWERFUL AND IMMEDIATE REACTION AGAINST A DECADE-PLUS LONG BUSINESS AND PERSONAL RELATIONSHIP WITHOUT SO MUCH AS VERIFYING THE FACTS? Please see the image of the BACKGROUND REPORT on the next page.

First, let’s address the CONTENT of this report because the “FACTS” as reported within it are FALSE in almost all significant respects.

At the top of the report is a hand-written notation: “Memo for Atty. Hussin”, followed by a “squiggle” of some sort. We’ll identify this “squiggle” a little further along. It is addressed, “TO: Whom it may concern”. A reference to Passport # has been blacked out and replaced by another passport number. It tritely lists “Business abilities” pertaining only to “computer technology, including establishing and maintaining small websites”.

The next two lines inexplicably contain more blacked-out text, followed by the last line of the

Background Report: Who Gave This Information?



the best of my knowledge.

“While he has been able to avoid receiving taxable income himself (through the clever use of corporations), he has assisted others to avoid paying taxes, one of whom served time in US Federal prison for tax evasion.” THERE IS A BIG DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AVOIDING AND EVADING TAXES. THE IMPLICATION THAT I HAVE DONE SOMETHING WRONG IN THIS REGARD BORDERS ON LIBEL. JUST BECAUSE I HELPED A CERTAIN DOCTOR FROM HAWAII GET HIS LIFE IN ORDER AFTER HE HAD FALLEN IN HIS FIGHT AGAINST THE TAX MAN SHOULD NOT IN ANY WAY BE USED AGAINST ME.

“Since his arrival in the Philippines April 21, 2006 he has been using one of the 3 bedrooms in the condominium rented by EJ Ekker as his bedroom and office—all expenses and food paid with additional spending money furnished by Ekker. He has also been invited to join and act as an unpaid consultant to the Don Esteban Benitez Tallano & Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, Inc. In that capacity he has established a website upon which to display the many documents necessary to the validation of the claims of the Foundation.”

Yes, EJ and I agreed early on to save Global Alliance money by rooming together at the “Executive Offices” of Global Alliance. The “additional spending money” referenced was minimal and went toward the purchase of some computer accessories, office supplies, personal toiletries, food supplements and yes, cigarettes (at about 25 cents per 10-pack); altogether perhaps a couple of hundred dollars a month. As EJ himself said recently, I live very frugally.

On Doris’ passing, it was pre-determined that I would come to the Philippines and that I would replace her in all of the corporate capacities she held. These discussions occurred at the instigation of Commander Hatonn in the months prior to her passing—so, how can it be true to say that I was “invited to join and act as an unpaid consultant” to the Foundation, as if it was at EJ’s request? Wouldn’t YOU say that statement is, at the least, MISLEADING?

WHO DO YOU SUPPOSE PROVIDED THE “INVESTIGATOR” WITH THE “INFORMATION” IN THIS “BACKGROUND REPORT”? WHO TOLD THE “INVESTIGATOR” I WAS “WANTED FOR KIDNAPPING IN THE US”? COULD ANYONE BUT EJ EKKER HAVE PROVIDED THE DETAILS IN THE LAST PARAGRAPH OF THIS SO-CALLED “BACKGROUND REPORT”? DOES EJ EKKER HAVE SOME KIND OF MOTIVATION TO BEAR FALSE WITNESS AGAINST ME? IS THAT GOODLY, GODLY BEHAVIOR?

summary header: “Semi expert in the formation and management of corporations in Nevada”.

The first paragraph of the body of this purported “backgrounder” begins with, “Wanted in the US for kidnapping”, which is underlined for emphasis. This is NOT TRUE to the best of my knowledge. I contacted the Nevada Attorney General’s office in 2004 to determine if there was any warrant outstanding against me and the answer was a clear and succinct, “No.”

“[H]e took his six-year-old son from Las Vegas where he was living to Canada after losing a custody

case in a divorce action.” Again, this is NOT TRUE; at the time I left Las Vegas I was in FULL CUSTODY of my son and his mother was the subject of a strict no-contact one-year protective order, which did not expire for another six months.

“The mother of the boy had him arrested in Canada and retrieved the boy, taking him to live with her in Oklahoma where Mr. Kirzinger cannot go due to the outstanding arrest warrant.” It is NOT TRUE to say that Evan’s mother had me arrested. It is NOT TRUE to imply that I was arrested for kidnapping. And the “outstanding arrest warrant” is NOT TRUE to

WHO IS THE “INVESTIGATOR” (THE ONE WHOSE “SQUIGGLE” APPEARS AT THE TOP-RIGHT PORTION OF THE PAGE)? AT WHOSE REQUEST DID HE INITIATE HIS “INVESTIGATION”? WHY WOULD HE INITIATE AN “INVESTIGATION” WHEN AT THE TIME THERE WAS NO COMPLAINT AGAINST ME? What MOTIVATED this report?

The Bureau of Immigration is widely known as one of the most corrupt agencies in the government here—for the simple reason that they are dealing with (presumably rich) FOREIGNERS. How much would it cost to get such a “favor” as this so-called “background report”? WHO “motivated” this report? Was the “motivation” in the form of MONEY? MORE SIGNIFICANTLY, IF MONEY CHANGED HANDS, WHOSE MONEY WAS USED??? SURELY NOT GLOBAL ALLIANCE MONEY, OBTAINED FROM LENDERS FOR THE PURPOSE OF DOING GOD’S WORK!!!!


Since we can’t seem to get any kind of accountability from Mr. Ekker with regard to the funds under his control, how can we rule out that possibility? WERE THE FUNDS OF GLOBAL ALLIANCE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF BRINGING ABOUT MY IMMEDIATE DEPORTATION?

What do YOU think?
March 28 Complaint of Attorney Estepa

Attorney Alejo F. Estepa, purporting to act on behalf of the Foundation (even though his services had been TERMINATED by a quorum of the Board on March 19, 2007 and he had been notified of same—refer to “NOTICE OF TERMINATION” on a nearby page), filed an initial complaint with the Bureau of Immigration dated March 28, 2007. Images showing the cover sheet and two-page “complaint-affidavit” are located nearby for easy reference.

Let’s start with the **Cover Page** letterhead: “A.F. ESTEPA AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICES”, “Attorneys-at-law, Notaries, Case Managers”. Mr. Alejo Estepa is a nice enough guy but let’s just say that he is very dependent on remaining in Mr. Ekker’s good graces, financially. HE HAS NO “ASSOCIATES”, he works alone; HE HAS NO OFFICES, he works out of his very humble abode in a squatter’s area; and using the PLURALS of “Attorneys”, “Notaries” and “Case Managers” can only be described as DECEIVING. So much for “first

Cover Page of March 28 Complaint by Atty. Estepa



impressions”.
The plea in the final paragraph “to deport him [RK] from the Philippines” is prefaced by, “We in the [T-A Foundation] ...” and he signs as LEGAL COUNSEL for the Foundation. Keep in mind that this man’s services were TERMINATED by a quorum of the Board of Directors TEN DAYS EARLIER than the date of this complaint and ask yourself: Is this CHUTZPAH or what?

It is hard to see even in our “original copy” but the DATE STAMPS are worth noting: It looks like the “complaint-affidavit” was received “MAR 3_, 2007”—either March 30 or March 31, 2007; and the second stamp, at the bottom-right of the cover page, shows that it was received by “Annie” in the “INTELLIGENCE DIVISION” on “2 April 2007” and assigned a case number of 07-283.
Why review the dates? You might recall that the

March 28, 2007 Initial Complaint to Immigration

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES)
QUEZON CITY)

COMPLAINT AFFIDAVIT

I, ALEJO F. ESTEPA, of legal age, Filipino, widower and a resident of No. 632, Nueve de Febrero St., Mandaluyong City, Metro Manila, in my capacity as the LEGAL COUNSEL, after having been sworn to according to law do hereby depose and state:

1. That I am charging RONALD KIRZINGER a.k.a. RON KIRZINGER, a Canadian national now temporarily residing in Manila Peninsula Hotel, Makati Ave. cor. Ayala Ave., Makati City, Metro Manila as a "PERSONA NON GRATA" to the Philippines for interference in the operation of a non-stock PHILIPPINE CORPORATION and whose temporary presence IN THE PHILIPPINES is causing grave and serious prejudice in the operation and management of the duly registered non-stock, non-profit foundation, DON ESTEBAN BENITEZ TALLANO AND DON GREGORIO MADRIGAL ACOP FOUNDATION, INC.;

2. That said RON KIRZINGER ever since his arrival in the Philippines in April 21, 2006 has been concocting and plotting to take over the control and management of the above-cited Foundation and after apparently brainwashing two of the officers of the said Foundation unleashed his take-over scheme as evidenced by the rapid successions of splintered meetings, contrary to the existing by-laws, with this two officers and his eventual alleged "election" as a TRUSTEE of said Foundation (trustees are elected once a year during the annual stockholders meeting in APRIL as provided by its Articles of Incorporation and BY-LAWS) and eventual alleged "expulsion" of the long-time and incumbent CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES MR. E.J. EKKER, the very same person who assisted him to enter this country, copies of the said alleged "NOTICE OF HEARING" AND alleged "expulsion" herewith attached and marked as ANNEXES "A", "B" and "C" respectively;

3. That apparently not contented with these highly illegal and anomalous subterfuges, said RON KIRZINGER even went to the extent of throwing criminal charges (without filing any in court if indeed with legal basis) against the said chairman of the board of trustees in an effort to discredit and malign his reputation and standing in the Foundation and even apparently to dislodge any opposition inside the Foundation, even cajoled the husband and wife, MR. & MRS CENON C. MARCOS to terminate this legal counsel, copies of the said imputation of a crime and alleged "termination" herewith attached and marked as ANNEXES "D" and "E" respectively;

4. That all of these undermining schemes of said RON KIRZINGER has disrupted the operations and regular meetings of the said Foundation TO THE GRAVE AND SERIOUS PREJUDICE OF ITS RESPONSIBILITIES, TASKS AND MISSION and that any further stay in this country of said RON KIRZINGER will aggravate the situation and totally paralyze the functions of this court-mandated Foundation and it is in the best interest of the said Foundation AND OF THE PHILIPPINES to stop, prevent and eliminate these actuations of RON KIRZINGER as soon as possible.

AFFIANT FURTHER SAYETH NONE.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my signature this 28th day of March 2007 at QUEZON CITY

ALEJO F. ESTEPA
Affiant

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 28th day of March 2007 at QUEZON CITY and exhibiting to me his Com. Ren. Tax Receipt No. 2142-3913 issued at Mandaluyong City on March 7, 2007.

MY HAND AND SEAL.

Doc. No. 62
Page No. 07
Book No. 101-f
Series of 2007

ATTY. DELFIN R. AGCAONIL JR.
NOTARY PUBLIC
PTR NO. 901595
IBP NO. 679914
TIN NO. 144-519-066
DATE ISSUED: 1/2/07
ISSUED AT QUEZON CITY
VALID UNTIL DEC. 31, 2007

Trustees of the *de jure* Foundation HAND-DELIVERED an INFORMATIONAL letter (not intended as a complaint) to the Bureau of Immigration on April 2, 2007 (printed on pages 4 and 5 of the April 11 issue). This is significant because it means that they already had Attorney Estepa's complaint in hand when our explanatory letter was received. Apparently, our information caused a delay because Attorney Estepa's complaint appears to have bounced around within the Bureau of Immigration for approximately THREE WEEKS before "somehow", on April 23, the Chief of the REGULATION Division would ENDORSE Mr. Estepa's complaint. We'll get to that "interesting" endorsement a little further along in the story.

In paragraph 1, Attorney Estepa charges me with "interference" in the operation of the Tallano-Acop Foundation, causing "grave and serious prejudice in the operation and management" of same. My response? WHO IS INTERFERING WITH WHOM? THIS MATTER MUST BE ADDRESSED IN THE COURT SYSTEM TO DETERMINE WHO IS REALLY *DE JURE* AND WHO IS *DE FACTO*. PLEASE KEEP IN MIND THAT THIS ATTORNEY WAS FIRED BY A QUORUM OF THE BOARD ON MARCH 19.

In paragraph 2, he charges me with "concocting and plotting to take over control and management ... after brainwashing two of the officers" and cites numerous ALLEGED examples of my SUPPOSED "interference" (which he actually describes as "illegal" in the following paragraph. "Brainwashing"? Are we to suppose the attorney is now some kind of expert on the subject of mind control? I had no intention of "taking over" a PHILIPPINES Foundation, which must, by LAW, be controlled by Filipinos. This is absurd in the extreme.

In paragraph 3, he says I went "to the extent of throwing criminal charges" ("without filing any in court") at Mr. Ekker and then ADMITS his services were TERMINATED! Wow. Does it sound to YOU like I charged Mr. Ekker with anything? Atty. Estepa's providing the Bureau of Immigration with the "NOTICE OF TERMINATION" of his own services by the *de jure* Trustees certainly ranks right up there with the greatest chutzpah I have ever observed!

In paragraph 4, he elaborates that "these undermining schemes" have "disrupted the operations and regular

meetings of the Foundation” and blah, blah, blah. APPARENTLY, HAVING THE NERVE TO QUESTION MR. EKKER WITH REGARD TO THE SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR THE FOUNDATION IS WORTHY OF DEPORTATION?

How absolutely EMBARRASSING that the Foundation’s supposed ATTORNEY would sign such an obviously spurious document. Didn’t Mr. Ekker have the BALLS to stand up for his own interests? He wouldn’t sign his own name to such nonsense but apparently thinks nothing of causing one of his agents to do so. It’s a lot like chess, I suppose, where the King doesn’t make the moves and only the pawns are sacrificed.

In this case, the sacrifice is not a mere pawn but a KNIGHT. And in this case the consequences could be a MAJOR problem for the one used because I have been advised by legal counsel that he has possibly stepped over the line with regard to the rules of professional conduct.

Poor Attorney Estepa! A “debt of gratitude” is a very significant thing here in the Philippines and Mr. Estepa is rather deeply in debt to Mr. Ekker for a significant amount of money loaned during a time of need. HE COULD NOT SAY NO and for that reason, has some of my sympathy.

It is very much like the situations of certain other people with whom Mr. Ekker stocked “his” TA-Fdn board: He OWNS/CONTROLS them—with “HIS” (???) money. Is it “HIS” money or is it YOUR money, loaned to “The Mission” that is doing this?


The March 29 “Knight-sacrifice” (SILLY “affidavit-complaint”) of Attorney Estepa was apparently not enough for Mr. Ekker and was followed on by a SECOND complaint to the Bureau of Immigration against me. This complaint just might have fatally impaled both Alejo Estepa and EJ Ekker.

April 17 Complaint of Attorney Estepa (Motivated by EJ Ekker)

As things turned out, the spies Mr. Ekker apparently sent into the Marcos neighborhood to determine my whereabouts (again, at WHOSE EXPENSE?) were unable to confirm my presence and so it appears an assumption was made that I was residing at the hotel next door to Mr. Ekker’s condominium. Apparently—although I was unaware of it at the time—an attempt was made by the Bureau of Immigration to serve me at the Peninsula Hotel sometime in early April. The Notice called for me to attend a meeting and respond to Mr. Estepa’s charges against me on April 24.

Adding insult to injury, no doubt at the behest of Mr. Ekker, Attorney Estepa prepared a second

April 17, 2007 Second Complaint to Immigration



Don Esteban Benitez Tallano and
Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation

SEC Reg. CN200322944

TIN: 237-114-038

Attorney ALEJO ESTEPA, President

HON. COMMISSIONER
Commission on Immigration & Deportation
CID Bldg., Intramuros, Manila

SUBJECT: ADDITIONAL CHARGES AGAINST
CANADIAN RONALD KIRZINGER

HONORABLE SIR:


In relation to our earlier complaint against said Canadian national RONALD KIRZINGER, we would like to file under the same oath an additional charge of misconduct on his part when he used as props the Philippine Flag and the Philippine National Anthem IN PRODUCING A CD POWER POINT ADVERTISING MATERIAL for the Foundation without likewise the appropriate necessary Board Resolution., a sample piece of the CD is herewith enclosed for your evaluation and reference.

Meanwhile, may we take this opportunity to inform your august Office that during the ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING OF THE FOUNDATION held on APRIL 7, 2007 at the Ayala corporate offices, there were elected a new set of trustees and officers, the term of office of CENON MARCOS, ERLINDA MARCOS AND JAIME RAMIREZ having expired on said date, copy of the GENERAL INFORMATION SHEET (GIS) together with the corresponding Minutes of Meeting filed with the S.E.C. herewith attached for your info, reference and guidance.

In relation to the hearing on April 24, 2007, Mr. Kirzinger may be notified care of Cenon Marcos, #8-B San Bernardo St., San. Joaquin, Pasig City.

Looking forward to your immediate and favorable action on the matter,

Very truly yours,


ATTY. ALEJO E. ESTEPA
Complainant

Cc: RONALD KIRZINGER
DRA. BRENDA BANDAAY

6751 Ayala Ave., Makati City
Tel: (632) 843-1698 Fax: (632) 843-1707

APR 17 2007

RECEIVED

complaint dated April 17, 2007, just one week before the hearing he believed was still scheduled for April 24—but of which I had not yet been notified.


It would be hard to outdo the inanity (or insanity) of the first complaint but this one comes close.

Let’s check the dating details because again they are revealing. The second complaint letter is dated April 17 and stamped as received by the Bureau of Immigration on April 18. Nothing too remarkable about that, you say? Let me ask you: When is the last time you got same-day turnaround from a bureaucracy like the Bureau of Immigration and Deportation?

That’s exactly what happened for Messrs. Ekker and Estepa; the SAME DAY this second complaint was received, the Bureau wrote up a new Notice to me, asking me to respond to them by April 30. Now THAT’s service! It sure makes one wonder how the phrase “OIP” ever came into existence, with efficiency like that in the government agencies. Unless, perhaps, there was a little “MOTIVATION” to act so suddenly? Who knows?

Staying with the timeline just a little longer: On April 23 Atty. Edgardo L. Mendoza, the Chief of the Immigration Regulation Division, ENDORSED Atty.

What Motivated this High-Level Endorsement?



Estepa’s initial complaint “for undue and illegal interference in the management and operations of a duly established non-stock, non-profit Philippine Corporation”. This endorsement, located nearby for ease of reference, was sent to Atty. Faizal Hussin, the Chief of the Intelligence Division.

I just have one question regarding this endorsement: On what basis did Atty. Mendoza conclude that I was guilty of “illegal interference” in the operations and management of the Foundation? What could MOTIVATE him to arrive at such a conclusion, absent evidence from the other side of the

controversy?

Check out Atty. Edgardo L. Mendoza’s signature. Now, go back to the “background report” sent “to whom it may concern” from an unnamed party and look at the “squiggle” placed on the upper-right corner of that page. Did the light bulb just turn on? That’s right, this is the SAME signature as the one on the MARCH 13, 2007 “background report”—which “somehow”, we must suppose, manifested SPONTANEOUSLY, WITHOUT A COMPLAINT?

We were not in possession of the aforementioned endorsement when we went to the Bureau of

Immigration and Deportation’s office as requested on April 30. We were aware that Mr. Ekker had ALSO been summoned to answer their questions on the same day and I was looking forward to an encounter with the Old Bird as an opportunity to get everything out in the open. Mr. Ekker was, however, a NO SHOW. Attorney Estepa showed up some twenty minutes late and while we waited for him we obtained a copy of his initial complaint (this was the one effecting a meeting on April 24, which had not been served) and we couldn’t help but chuckle a little as we looked it over—although there was a big GULP when we encountered the apparently spurious endorsement suggesting hidden MOTIVATION. Upon Atty. Estepa’s arrival, we agreed to reply to both of his complaints against me within 10 days—by May 9.

Atty. Estepa signed a statement saying that he would NOT RESPOND ON BEHALF OF MR. EKKER—NOT “TODAY” (April 30), not May 3 per an extension he had requested—NOT AT ALL because, he said, the Information Letter from the *de jure* Foundation Trustees had not been notarized and was “a mere scrap of paper” in his professional opinion. He stuck by his guns even when informed by an employee of the Bureau of Immigration that THEY considered our letter to be a valid complaint.

THE NON-RESPONSES OF MR. EDDYJO EKKER ARE GETTING TO BE DEAFENING. He won’t respond to the numerous important issues raised in this publication; he won’t respond to his co-Director; he won’t respond to the Global Alliance Secretary when called upon to provide corporate records; he won’t respond to the Auditor of the Foundation with regard to accounting of its expenses; he won’t respond to the LENDERS who have financed his activities; and now his attorney says he won’t respond to the Bureau of Immigration and Deportation.

AT SOME POINT, MR. EKKER, THERE WILL HAVE TO BE AN ACCOUNTING.

Looking at the substance of the complaint, Atty. Estepa charges that I “used as props the Philippine Flag and National Anthem IN PRODUCING A CD POWER POINT ADVERTISING MATERIAL for the Foundation without likewise the appropriate necessary Board Resolution”.

Let’s focus for a moment on the key verb, “PRODUCING”. Sure, I designed a CD Powerpoint presentation for the Foundation—which was approved by Mr. Ekker—but it was PRODUCED by Mr. Ekker’s agent in Las Vegas, Janet Carriger, then shipped to the Philippines for distribution. And guess who distributed it? Well, we have the SIGNATURE OF THE COMPLAINANT, ATTY. ESTEPA, who was the Legal Counsel for the Foundation at the time,

on documents sent out to numerous BANKS around the country! Moreover, surely the “Chairman”—Mr. Eddyjo Ekker—should be considered the responsible party within the Foundation?

The POINT of the law with regard to the flag, national anthem and “other heraldic items” is RESPECT. The CD was viewed by THOUSANDS of Filipinos over many months since August 2006 and somehow ONE of them, the complainant, got upset about it ONLY AFTER THE RIFT WITH MR. EKKER? That is curious timing, for sure, and the fact that Attorney Estepa owes a DEBT OF GRATITUDE to Mr. Ekker for funds loaned to him, let’s just say he had his own MOTIVATION.

You have to admit that this is a very weak complaint. Well, maybe YOU don’t have to admit it but the Bureau of Immigration will probably see it that way, in the opinion of my legal counsel.

My Counter-Affidavit

My answering Counter-Affidavit of three pages in response to both complaints made by Atty. Estepa is too lengthy to present in its entirety.

It only makes the necessary LEGAL points in response to the Estepa complaints, of course, but if you have read this far you can surely see there is a lot more to the story. Here are some excerpts:

“That the complaints against me by Atty. Alejo F. Estepa do not pertain to violations of immigration laws, rules and regulations; neither do his charges involve the interest of national security, public health, public safety and/or national interest, and, therefore, the charges are not proper grounds for immigration proceedings.”

“That ... [these matters] are intra-corporate controversies, which are beyond the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Immigration to entertain; such controversies are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Regional Trial Courts pursuant to Republic Act 8799.”

“That the expulsion of EJ Ekker and termination of Atty. Estepa and the indefinite suspension of Tom Taylor were done by the Board of Trustees in valid meetings and on grounds provided by the By-Laws of the Foundation.”

“That ... the one responsible for production, distribution and promotion [of the CD Powerpoint presentation] was Mr. EJ Ekker, the Chairman of the Board and functionally the Chief Executive Officer of the Foundation.”

EJ Ekker Does NOT Own Global Alliance

GAIA MEMO

DATE: February 4, 2007

TO: NAME REDACTED

FR: EJ Ekker

RE: GAIA DEEDs

Most thinking people, when they really understand the benefits and uses of the GAIA DEEDs, respond with the euphemism "too good to be true". And the next obvious question is: "What's in it for Mr. Ekker and Mr. Kirzinger, GAIA, and all of their attachments?"

Without leaning too heavily on it we must begin by acknowledging the following fact: It is God's program; it is far too simple and effective, and it stretches over too long a time for it to be the invention of any one person.

None of the GAIA team could claim to be its author, and all of the GAIA team will tell you that we are but STEWARDS, and thus claim no "ownership". However, we expect to live in "abundance" just as we expect all of God's people to live in abundance. God's people are not often found in castles and State Houses, and perhaps there are only a few to be found upon the pulpits. The Master of Deceit, Satan, has been an effective recruiter and has placed his minions in the most sensitive and controlling posts.

Since those statements might create the impression that I am overly spiritually oriented, I will hasten to say that I am generally regarded as a "hard-headed businessman" and am in this slot for that reason. My background has been in insurance (home office administration of sales), investment management (mutual funds and pension trusts), and more recently design and construction of alternative energy projects (cogeneration, transmission, and alternative energy--wind, primarily--). I grew up on a large cattle ranch in the state of Utah; my education is a BS in Ecology, and I am a licensed commercial pilot--age 76.

The DEEDs are based upon a Peruvian bearer bond (Gold Certificate) of \$1000 issued and sold in 1875 in New York City, one of 3600 such bonds sold for the benefit of the Peruvian Guano industry. The first evidence of the bond was when a legal opinion was sought by its then holder, Russell Herman, of the attorney Schreiber of Lima, Peru in 1977 whose opinion disclosed that the bond had not been redeemed and that the venue for collection would be New York. Russell Herman was a CIA operative and a personal friend of George HW Bush who, with the complicity of Lloyd Bentsen, US Treasurer, James Baker III, Secretary of State, and Alan Greenspan, Governor of the FED, had the bond valued (using a "penalty" interest rate of 44%) and had it accepted as a bona fide debt of the UST via a letter from Bentsen to Bush. The debt of Peru, including the bond was assumed by the US in 1906 to avoid a war between Peru, Bolivia, and Chile on one side and Germany and England on the other. In 1913 a private corporation called the

Page 1 of 3
GAIA MOTIVATION 2/4/7

Enough said?

What about EJ Ekker?

It looks as though the letter of April 2 from the *de jure* Foundation Trustees to the Bureau of Immigration, published in the April 11 issue, was sufficient to bring about a serious investigation of Mr. Ekker’s activities in the Philippines. We have been given an opportunity to ADD to the initial letter—which was intended only to pre-empt the telegraphed

move on Mr. Ekker’s part to have me deported—and are still considering whether or not it is worth the effort and further distraction.

The “text message terrorist” seems to have stopped for now and that just might be because the expanding investigation has already resulted in a knock on HIS door.

What is INTERESTING about this whole mess of Immigration Issues is that it was INITIATED BY MR.

EKKER (or one of his agents/chess pieces) IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE MARCH 6 INTERACTIONS WITH ERICK SAN JUAN, WITHOUT EJ SO MUCH AS ASKING ME IF WHAT HE HAD HEARD WAS TRUE. THIS APPEARS TO BE A CLASSIC CASE OF “THAT WHICH YOU PUT OUT RETURNS UNTO YOU”—LIKE A BOOMERANG.

I can’t help but think that maybe the impudent mouse in that wonderful poster was aware of something which the focused and fixated eagle was not—like a boomerang, say, just behind the bird. There truly is nothing hidden which shall not be revealed and this seems to be quite the year of revelations.

DOES EJ EKKER OWN GLOBAL ALLIANCE?
NO, HE DOES NOT

It’s time to return to the question asked by the headline of the March 28, 2007 issue, which began with the statement:

“If EJ Ekker OWNS Global Alliance, then ‘Shush my mouth!’ because if that can be PROVEN, it is time for me to be on my way.”

The principal statement made in that issue bears repeating at this time as well:

“IF YOU WANT TO KNOW JUST EXACTLY WHY THE BIG BANKERS WILL NOT WORK WITH GLOBAL ALLIANCE, THE REASON HAS JUST BEEN REVEALED. NO ‘MAN’ SHALL EVER GAIN TOTAL CONTROL OF THESE ASSETS FOR SELF. AS LONG AS MR. EKKER IS POSITIONED TO ‘OWN IT ALL’—AND HE HAS SEEN TO IT THAT HE IS IN THAT POSITION AND DEMONSTRATED THAT IT IS, IN FACT, HIS POSITION WHILE YOU HAVE BACKED HIM ALL THE WAY OR SIMPLY CHOSEN TO OVERLOOK THE FACTS—IT SHALL NOT COME TO BE. THE LORDS OF THIS WORLD APPEAR TO BE ACTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DIVINE WILL IN BLOCKING THE PROGRAM UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES.”

And I’m going to repeat something else: Global Alliance Investment Association was established to ADMINISTER the asset created by the Certificate of Debt FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL MANKIND. Anyone who bothered to follow along with the George Mercier material or has a background in law knows the foregoing statement MEANS that Global Alliance Investment Association and its assets are OWNED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE WORLD, GENERALLY AND WITHOUT EXCEPTION.

MR. EKKER HAS ACCEPTED THE FOREGOING BY TACIT CONSENT, HAVING FAILED TO OBJECT TO IT AND THUS HAVING ENDORSED THIS POSITION, WHICH WENT OUT IN THE FORM OF THE SPECIAL CHRISTMAS (2006) POWERPOINT CD TO ALL *CONTACT* SUBSCRIBERS AND, PRESUMABLY, ALL LENDERS TO GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION—WHO, LIKEWISE, HAVE ACCEPTED THIS TRUTHFUL PROPOSITION. THAT IS, THUS, THE FACTUAL REALITY OF THE SITUATION.

Any one can certainly feel free to object to this

position but I do suggest you ponder its significance.

IF EJ EKKER DOES NOT OWN GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION, IF IT TRULY EXISTS FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL MANKIND, THEN HE HAS NO RIGHT TO TERMINATE MY SERVICES AS CO-DIRECTOR AND SECRETARY OF GLOBAL ALLIANCE—AND HIS RELATED TERMINATIONS OF MY SERVICES FROM OTHER CORPORATE ENTITIES ARE SIMILARLY UNLAWFUL.

“Funny” thing, though: He won’t respond to the issues AT ALL. What do you think: Does that seem like someone who is doing everything rightly and for goodly purposes?

Why raise again this particular issue? Because we have more to go on than EJ Ekker’s “mere” tacit consent; we have his position “straight from the horse’s mouth” and JUST BEFORE THE RECENT SCHISM OCCURRED.

As “luck” would have it, I happened to come across a certain GAIA MEMO (relating to GAIA DEEDs and responsive to the question of “GAIA MOTIVATION” as per its footer). This document (an image of the first page is located on the opposite page for ease of reference) was written by EJ Ekker on February 4, 2007. Note carefully the underlined portion, which states unequivocally (emphasis added):

“... [W]e are but STEWARDS, and thus claim no ‘ownership.’”

THUS, AS THE WHOLE WORLD CAN SEE, **EJ EKKER DID NOT CLAIM ANY OWNERSHIP AS OF FEBRUARY 4, 2007.** As the *de jure* Secretary of this corporation I can easily attest to the fact that NO STOCK WAS ISSUED during my tenure as Secretary, from the moment of Doris Ekker’s passing and it is my understanding that no stock had been issued prior.

THUS, EJ EKKER HAD NO RIGHT TO ACT “AS IF” HE IS THE OWNER IN PURPORTEDLY TERMINATING MY SERVICES ON MARCH 10, 2007 AS A UNILATERAL ACTION WITHOUT AN APPROPRIATE BOARD MEETING AND RESOLUTION. HIS ACTIONS ARE UNLAWFUL AND HIS OWN WORDS PROVE IT.

THUS, EJ EKKER’S ACTIONS PURPORTING TO TERMINATE MY SERVICES WITH REGARD TO ALL SUBSIDIARY AND AFFILIATED ENTITIES—WHICH HAVE CAUSED GREAT HARM—ARE ALSO UNLAWFUL AND HE MUST STAND PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DAMAGES HE HAS CAUSED.

THUS, I REMAIN AS THE *DE JURE* OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS OF CONTACT, INC., PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC. AND BUDGET CORPORATION SERVICES, INC. AND I CONTINUE TO HOLD ALL POSITIONS HELD PRIOR TO MR. EKKER’S UNLAWFUL INSTRUCTIONS TO JANET CARRIGER, HIS AGENT IN LAS VEGAS, TO CHANGE OUT MY NAME ON VARIOUS LISTS OF OFFICERS FOR A NUMBER OF CORPORATIONS. “SOMEONE” IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FILING FALSE LISTS OF OFFICERS FOR THESE ENTITIES.

THUS, I AM COMPELLED TO TAKE THE EXTRAORDINARY ACTIONS OF SUSPENDING MR. EKKER FROM ALL POSITIONS HE HOLDS AND PURPORTS TO HOLD WITH REGARD TO

ALL OF THE AFOREMENTIONED ENTITIES.

Please refer to the Public Notices presented in the back pages of this issue.

DISSATISFIED LENDERS?

For Goodness’ sake, every lender and contributor SHOULD BE UPSET AND DISSATISFIED with how things are going. A couple of “M&Ms”—Mark Moore and Michael Morearty—have kicked off an interesting round of discussions on the email circuit, voicing extreme dissatisfaction with the current state of affairs.

In particular, Mark Moore is presenting his “MATURED” notes for payment. EJ Ekker’s response has been, to put it mildly, less than satisfactory. Basically, Mr. Ekker is telling Mr. Moore to “go away, don’t bother me” but adds language to the effect that if Mark DOESN’T just “go away”, he runs the risk of being stricken from the list of those whom Mr. Ekker would choose to repay—EVER and AT ALL.

As a very “interested party” myself, I have jumped into the discussion. The FIRST thing that needs to be confronted is Mark Moore’s 2003 set of allegations that I am somehow some kind of liar, cheat, thief and con man. I don’t personally CARE what Mark Moore thinks of me but he has NO RIGHT putting forth such libel and slander against me (“intriguing against my honor” as they would term it here in the Philippines).

EJ Ekker made a couple of brief replies early on and succeeded in turning all of the attention on me with a declaration to the effect that “Ron had all the income he desired” while “intriguing” against him (EJ) to take over control of all Mission assets; more BS. To his credit, EJ clarified his prior remark in his second response to the self-styled “Ground Crew”, admitting that “Ron lives very frugally.”

Of course, Mark Moore, who has made clear his blind HATRED of me and “Michael Morearty” (whoever that may be) jumped all over the “all the income he desired” as if it meant that I had some kind of high level of income. Nope, I never took more than my NEEDS to sustain in service to “The Mission”. I HAVE GIVEN AND CONTINUE TO GIVE MY “ALL” IN SERVICE; ANYTHING BEYOND MY BARE LIVING REQUIREMENTS AND FUNDS REQUIRED FOR BUSINESS TOOLS (COMPUTER EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES, FOR INSTANCE) HAS GONE TO “THE MISSION”.

There isn’t enough available space to cover the entire back-and-forth on the email circuits, so I’m just going to provide a “flavor” of the discussions along with some explanations for those not included in all of the Cc’ing.

The first message to me from “Michael Morearty” purported to ask “a couple of questions” and then rambled on and on around the numerous misrepresentations made by Mark Moore in October 2003. I asked Michael if he would please take the time to distill his questions down to a handful of really “juicy” ones. He refused, so I set about answering his initial “couple of questions” as best I could. Just as I finished my response, in came a message from him boiling his initial list of questions down. I replied by forwarding the response I had already finished. As I said, this is just to give readers a “flavor”.

RK Response to “Michael Morearty”

Michael, the lesson here seems to be: “Garbage in, garbage out”. You have relied extensively on the hit-piece written by Mark Moore and formed opinions (and made judgments—not true discernments) based on false information.

I have taken the liberty of extracting your questions from the rather lengthy piece you sent me (prefaced by a statement that it leaves you with a “couple of questions”, although there are MANY) and provided my answers to those specific questions below. If you have other specific questions, feel free to ask.

MM: Whew! Passing up a “slam dunk” civil settlement worth millions? What could have possessed you to do that?

RK: NCH was worth millions when I left, so perhaps that is why Mark was talking about a “civil settlement worth millions”. I built NCH (doubled it in size every year for the three-and-a-half years I was there) while Cort was almost entirely absent, building NADN, a truly fraudulent “business” (which was later taken down by the Federal Trade Commission). Cort Christie stole NCH from The Mission by issuing all of the stock to himself and fired me because of what he knew was coming to Diane—and the Ekkers; he was on the “inside” with the “turner-inner” gang. He made his choice and gave me the opportunity of staying with him. Even though it meant termination of my services, I stayed with “The Mission”. NCH was to have taken care of my immigration visa but Cort never followed through on his commitment to me in that regard and so I ended up being in the country as an unregistered alien. I was never an employee, so there was no possibility of a wrongful dismissal suit, no matter what Mark Moore says.

MM: You responded: “No, No—YOU tell me how much I owe!” What? First you call to get the amount of Contact’s loans to you in “your time of need” (when you already knew how much it is) and then say you won’t pay until Valerie is able to tell you the total. Is there something fishy here?

RK: This is taken out of context and has been misrepresented. First off, the loans were not made to “me” in “my” time of need; they were made from one Mission entity to another Mission entity at a time when The Mission needed a corporate services company and nobody else would fill the breach. I had a record of what was owed but had reason to believe my record was incomplete—that BCR owed MORE than I had put down. BCR never refused to pay, nor did I as its manager refuse to pay; it paid and I signed the checks. I was merely trying to get independent corroboration of the amount owed. BCR was not “me” and “I” was not BCR; it was a Mission asset. So, the only question was what was in the best interests of The Mission. Please note that BCR is the entity which has paid the rent on the warehouse holding 140,000 or so Phoenix Journals—the point being: it, under my management, more than carried its own weight all along.

MM: Chutzpah. Remember you had not paid back even a penny of the monies you were loaned in your time of need. And when you had the money you refused to pay unless given a full accounting of the amounts loaned. So, the laughter is well warranted. Hell, you should have been run out of town on a rail! Who or what kept you around?

RK: Although I have given you the benefit of the doubt with regard to good intentions, you are being Judgmental and the “Chutzpah” comment is unwarranted. Repeating: “the loans were not made to ‘me’ in ‘my’ time of need; they were made from one Mission entity to another Mission entity at a time The Mission needed a corporate services company. What kept me around? My desire to be of service when NO OTHER WOULD. My decision to “hang in there” pretty much cost me my marriage because my wife “needed” more security than my dedication allowed us to have.

MM: Whew! This information is coming out so fast it is hard to keep up. So many questions raised about everything to do with you, your history, experiences and actions. I have never heard of these allegations until recently. I frankly didn’t know this stuff had occurred at all!

Ron, is this bunk, the ravings of the overworked mind of Mark Moore?

RK: YES.

MM: Until I received this affidavit from Mark Moore I was unaware of the names of anyone involved with Artemis. I am appalled that you would produce such a product and sell it. How could you sleep at night? I have been involved in food production. Yes, the temptation to cut corners is strong. But it is never worth it. Of course this depends on what you think of yourself and your goals. Betray your friends, clients and customers for 20 pieces of silver? Strange! Now I wonder if the Gaiandriana and Aquagaia was the real thing. I still have some.

RK: I did not produce any of the Artemis product nor did I have any ability to ensure quality control. I was not there in Tehachapi but Mark Moore was; and yes, Mark Moore made some product. Mark was familiar with the equipment and had been trained in production. I was only making an effort to make the products available again—at quite great risk to myself, actually, given what had just happened to Diane. “I” did not benefit from all of this purported wheeling and dealing. I kept my living needs/expenses so low and lived with such uncertainty with regard to income that my wife left me. I GAVE EVERYTHING I HAD. Tell me, please: Whom did I supposedly betray—for what?

MM: Ron, there appears to be a consistency in your Modus Operandi, at least according to Mark. It seems to involve you and you and you – and shekels and shekels and shekels – oh, and lest I forget, control. I ask you again, is what Mark Moore disclosed true or is it bunk and balderdash? If what Mark states in this affidavit is true it bodes VERY BADLY for your “white knight” persona you have taken on in the 4 issues of Contact which

you engineered.

Well, I am asking you to remedy this and set the record straight. Is any of this true or is it just balderdash?

RK: Balderdash.

MM: Let us pause here a minute and go back to something Mark says you proclaimed i.e. that you were Cort Christie’s right hand man, George Soros right hand man and Bronfman’s right hand man. And you submit renewals in handwriting! If this is true you’re winning the “balderdash” trophy. Mark Moore can’t hold a candle to you.

RK: Almost NOTHING of that which was presented by Mark Moore was true. I was the manager of NCH, true. I provided some trading advice to George Soros’ trading staff in the late 1980s-early 1990s at the behest of a Canadian client. I worked at the time primarily with Andrew (Andy) Sarlos on Bay Street in Toronto, who was a countryman of George Soros and the two of them seem to have had some kind of relationship, which is how I ended up as one of many advisors (actually an advisor of the advisors) to George Soros. Andy Sarlos was a lieutenant for the Bronfmans, however you want to define that. I also provided trading advice to Elaine Garzarelli and other less well-known but influential Wall Street and Bay Street individuals and trading companies. Somehow, by the time Mark Moore presents these facts, I become the BSer?

MM: Ron, did you really do this?

RK: No.

Michael, I am assuming you are acting in good faith, as I’ve said before. The bottom line is that you don’t have a clue how things were really handled and you are believing false information.

IF YOU WANT TO JUDGE A MAN, I SUGGEST YOU DO IT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MASTER TEACHER’S INSTRUCTION TO JUDGE A MAN BY HIS FRUITS.

I don’t know YOU at all but you are taking up a large portion of my time with this exchange. So, my ONE question for you is: **WHAT ARE YOUR FRUITS; WHAT HAVE YOU PRODUCED FOR THE MISSION?**

Ron

Michael Morearty’s Reply to My ONE Question

That’s certainly a reasonable request on my part, don’t you think? I mean, “Michael Morearty” wants to GRILL me with questions based on an absolute GARBAGE set of allegations made by Mark Moore in 2003 and I ask him to please qualify himself with the answer to just ONE QUESTION. Let’s see what YOU think of his answer to that one question:

“My interest is to find who is telling the truth. This is very difficult as there has not been transparency for some years in Contact. Little if any information is passed along. I care very deeply for GAIA, Contact, GCHatonn and all of the Ground Crew. I will not stand idly by while a legal contract is denied payment (with threatening words) or when another attempts to take over Corporation(s?) in a foreign country or when any other activity puts into jeopardy the Mission or its assets. When we verify the verifiable we eliminate the unknowns. Presently,

this is what I am trying to do. There are a lot of people out in the “Provinces” that, like me, know little to nothing of what happens in Techachapi, Manila or ... There is a LOT of potential support out here that is untapped and presumably ignored. This is in answer to your question ...”

I asked what he had produced for The Mission. His answer shows he has not produced ANYTHING except intrigue. Nevertheless, I graciously put together another set of responses to his follow-up questions, which I will share with you now.

RK Further Response to “Michael Morearty”

Re #23 (What are YOUR fruits, Mike?)

RK comment:
Not to be demeaning, Mike, but that’s what I thought your answer would be like. Many people PROFESS to be “totally committed” to “The Mission”, GCH, etc. but FEW LIVE IT.

As far as a legal contract not being fulfilled, I would like to make a comment here:

All who have contributed financially or otherwise are in the same position as at the time of Betty Tuten’s (George Green’s) “assault” on the Phoenix Institute. There is a LEGAL principle involved: **No one lender can be paid out at the expense of others.**

There are two solutions: dissolve the assets giving each claimant a pro-rata portion; or carry on, looking for success so that all obligations can be fully honored (and then some). The first solution is NOT a good solution, given that total obligations exceed total assets by a factor of perhaps 20 to 40 times—meaning a \$50,000 note might only return less than two or three thousand dollars MINUS the cost of litigation. Moreover, that solution is NOT ACCEPTABLE because it means termination of any possibility of success.

In my opinion, EJ’s decision to re-denominate outstanding notes in ounces of gold makes SENSE as long as there is a likelihood of success. From my perspective, however, there is no probability of success as envisioned as long as EJ is in control of everything. He was given the opportunity to do the right things for the right reasons and if he had done so, success would already have been achieved—“in my opinion”.

I believe we have ample evidence to show that things have not been done FOR THE RIGHT REASONS and in my opinion, that is why success has remained just outside of the grasp. READ the “Philippines Future” document (FORCE IS NOT OF GOD); READ the spurious MoAs (BACK-DOOR DOUBLE DEALING); READ about the spreadsheet showing an IMPOSSIBLE amount somehow owed to EJ Ekker, PERSONALLY—and all of the other information presented in those last four issues—and if you still believe things are being done FOR THE RIGHT REASONS, then by all means, support Mr. Ekker.

Re #6 (Request for repayment of loans allegedly not repaid)

“Considerng Valerie’s response, it doesn’t seem like you explained to Valerie the reason for your request.

“However, verification of your/BCR’s repayment of the loans should be easy to verify

with the check numbers and dates of payment by BCR and independently through Contact records of receipts and deposits.”

RK comment:
Considering Mark Moore’s inaccurate and exaggerated description of Valerie’s response, I can understand how you would see things that way. I don’t recall the conversation verbatim but I’m pretty sure it was all explained to Valerie’s satisfaction, whether or not Mark Moore was ever aware of the resolution—which was, most assuredly, coordinated through the Philippines.

I have no access to BCR’s financial records at this time. What I can and will state categorically is that I have given, given and given more—EVERYTHING I HAVE, MY ENTIRE LIFE ENERGY, for whatever that may be worth to you (but I can assure you it is quite meaningful to our Father). Accusations of money-grubbing simply can’t adhere to me because I HAVE NOTHING. ALL OF MY EFFORTS WERE ON BEHALF OF THE MISSION, all of my work has been FOR THE MISSION and whether or not you believe that is none of my concern; God knows.

If BCR “only” gave to “The Mission” \$1,500 per month in storage costs for the Journals over 30 months—if that was ALL BCR gave back to “The Mission”—wouldn’t that somehow offset SOMETHING?

Are you aware that BCR has CARRIED the accounts of numerous *CONTACT* readers—not to mention the renewal costs of every Mission-related corporation and every filing with the Clark County Recorder, etc—for a very long time? Add it up and there’s probably close to \$30,000 just in those types of expenses covered FOR THE MISSION.

YOU DON’T GET IT. BCR WAS AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE MISSION, NOT SOMETHING SEPARATE FROM IT. IT WAS MANAGED FOR AND IN FAVOR OF THE MISSION. LEGALLY, IT IS A WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION. IT IS NOT AND NEVER WAS “MINE”.

What is BCR worth? WHO OWNS IT? WHO BUILT IT AND GAVE IT ENTIRELY TO/ FOR “THE MISSION”? WHAT IS THAT NOT-SO-LITTLE CONTRIBUTION WORTH? WHO IS CALLING ME SOME KIND OF A SHEKELS-SHEKELS KIRZARIAN CON MAN? WHY?

Somehow this MAJOR CONTRIBUTION means NOTHING, OR BECOMES A NEGATIVE AGAINST ME BASED ON SOMEONE’S SPURIOUS, ABSURD ALLEGATIONS? YOU’RE BARKING UP THE WRONG TREE. ALLEGATIONS OF SHEKELS-SHEKELS AND KIRZARIAN ANYTHING ARE, AT BEST, FOOLISH; THEY SIMPLY DON’T HOLD UP TO ANY KIND OF SCRUTINY.

Re #13 (Artemis)

I’m going to make a brief statement, going only so far as it is wise to do so and I really don’t care if this is “enough” for you or anyone; it will just have to suffice:

I WANTED THE SPECIAL PRODUCTS TO BE AVAILABLE TO EVERYONE WHO WANTED THEM.

I KNEW (AND KNOW) THAT GOAL COULD BE ACCOMPLISHED AS LONG AS NO REFERENCE IS MADE TO CURES/ TREATMENTS.

I WAS TOLD BY OTHERS THERE WAS CAPABILITY OF PRODUCTION.

I WAS REASSURED BY OTHERS THAT PRODUCTION WOULD MATCH THE QUALITY OF THE FORMER PRODUCTS.

I HAD TO BE VERY CAREFUL TO PROTECT CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS WHOM I SHALL NOT NAME HERE.

ARTEMIS WAS A FICTITIOUS FIRM NAME (DBA) FOR A REGISTERED NEVADA CORPORATION (AND NO, IT WAS NOT “MINE”). THE ONLY ROLE MONEY PLAYED IN MY DECISION-MAKING WAS WITH REGARD TO THE ABILITY TO GET THE PRODUCTS OUT TO PEOPLE.

THERE IS ONE MAIN REASON THINGS DIDN’T WORK OUT, IN MY OPINION, AND THAT WAS THAT A CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL WITH A WONDERFUL BACKGROUND IN CHEMISTRY—WHO WAS TRAINED IN THE PRODUCTION OF NEW GAIA PRODUCTS—CHOSE TO PREVENT PRODUCTION INSTEAD OF CONTRIBUTING TO THE EFFORT WITH HIS LIFE ENERGY. IT COULD HAVE BEEN DONE BUT FOR THIS ONE’S OPPOSITION TO THE GOAL OF MAKING THE PRODUCTS AVAILABLE FOR EVERYONE.

YOU AND ALL READING THIS COULD HAVE HAD THE PRODUCTS ALL ALONG, WITH NO RISK TO THE PRODUCERS OR DISTRIBUTORS—IF SOMEONE, ANYONE NOT QUITE SO UP TO HIS EYEBALLS IN ALLIGATORS AS ME, HAD TAKEN A SERIOUS INTEREST IN ACCOMPLISHING THIS GOAL.

“FOR SOME REASON”, AGAIN, AS I HAVE TRIED TO GET THIS OFF THE GROUND, IT HAS BEEN STOPPED. THE REASON, OF COURSE, IS THAT LUCIFER DOES NOT WANT GOD’S PEOPLE TO HAVE THESE PRODUCTS.

MY CONSCIENCE IS CLEAR IN HAVING TRIED AND TRIED TO GET THESE PRODUCTS OUT TO CONTACT READERS. PERHAPS YOU’D LIKE TO TRY, ESPECIALLY IF YOU HAVE BEEN TRAINED IN PRODUCTION. MEANWHILE, PLEASE GO PICK NITS WITH SOMEONE ELSE. I’M WRESTLING WITH ALLIGATORS AND YOU WANT ME TO TAKE TIME FOR THIS KIND OF NONSENSE? THE SHAME IS NOT ON ME.

END OF STATEMENT

And now, if you’ll excuse me, please, I have the May 9 issue of *CONTACT* (the REAL, *de jure* record of the Phoenix, not some “Jonur”-driven nonsense) to put together, with or without help or support from you or anyone. And guess what? Nobody has ever paid me one red cent for that “work”.

Please note that “volunteer” Mark Moore DEMANDED \$500 per month at one point for his

efforts at editing. And somehow Mark Moore names ME as some kind of money-grubber—not to mention, ‘liar, cheat, thief and con man’?

Mark Moore’s major issues with me at the time had to do with the running of the George Mercier material (which he STRONGLY opposed), the running of the incorporation services company (Budget Corporate Renewals) and the efforts made by a FEW (not including Mark Moore) to try and ensure that the miraculous Gaia Products were made available to all who might want them.

The M&Ms (Mark Moore and “Michael Morearty” have “questions” in some kind of search for the “truth” of these issues raised so destructively by Mark Moore back in October 2003? Fine, let’s see what Commander Hatonn had to say at the time.

What Would GCH Say about All This?

The following excerpt comes from a private (unpublished) writing by GCH of November 4, 2003:

As to Artemis—never mind anything as relative to *CONTACT* (Mark or otherwise). Games are not up for playing. I have asked that a few products be available—and so be it. We will not longer argue or debate. We will CLOSE DOWN any operation. I do mandate (demand if you like) lists of subscribers with ability to make mailing labels to our readers to tell them that we will have to change out (or stop) all products. This is, therefore, a demand for mailing lists—also ASAP.

There will be no “outdated” product even if it is such that IMPROVES with age—like good wine. All else has been properly labeled or has no connection with anyone now choosing to damage anything we have done or offered.

Perhaps we can ask Connie to continue on the phone service (which is all she had anyway) until such time as all severance can be accomplished and present inventory of the Dria products exhausted. We should run a “closeout” flyer to use with those “mailing labels”. Then I ask that Connie resign, in writing, so that we can publish a “notice” to that effect.

There has been no lessening of products offered—the point is that with Fed control and debacles—the product had to be brought into total conformity and you cannot EXPECT other than problems. Any credit card problems must be worked through the credit card company for remedies are readily and IMMEDIATELY available. But we will jeopardize NO INDIVIDUAL longer to serve where unwelcome or subject to, for goodness sakes, wars, chosen “sides” and other thrusts at parties “assumed” to have done things WRONG. No, the very fact that seekers and destroyers could NOT find the evidence they thought interesting for their destructive use—proves the business is intact and fully qualified through proper BUSINESS channels.

Perhaps Mark and a few others would like to live through prison and outrageous fines for the privilege of serving as did Diane. She is out of the loop FOREVER—or at least for the next five years at which time she will never again serve willingly just to help people of our little circle.

We will have massive research facilities and probably they will have to be established first in a

place like the Philippines. We will certainly not longer fight anyone for the right to fight among ourselves.

I would remind everyone, EJ, that we never demanded that anyone use anything we offer or even suggest—PERIOD. No one was coerced or forced into any relationship with any corporate agent in Nevada nor did we force anyone to even so much as HAVE a corporation. How is it that we NOW have blastings and threats of some disastrous escapades when all we offer is management through better ways and SHARE that which is gifted—for your use. I, for one in this program, will cease and desist in suggesting much of anything—although that does not prevent my full intent to continue to give you information on as many topics as is possible.

I would note that in conversation with Berends—the name of the author of the confrontational information was not even “remembered” for correct pronunciation. This is “telling” in that many take “sides” and fail to consider the information BEFORE making judgments of such magnificent scale. WE HAVE A PROGRAM TO ESTABLISH AND IT MUST BE BASED ON LAWS WITHIN LIMITATIONS OF USE—WHILE AT THE SAME TIME ESTABLISHING THE VERY THINGS, I.E., “CONTRACTS” AS ACCEPTABLE IN GOOD MANAGEMENT. IT IS NOT UP FOR VOTING OR GRABS AS TO OPINIONS OR DEBATE WHERE OUR PROGRAM RESTS ON US DOING IT “RIGHT”. Therefore, EJ, do not be swayed by opinions and outrageous demands. We either have the tools we need or we find them for we will not forfeit any program because of lack of understanding and the minding of another’s business affairs.

Ron Kirzinger is an integral part of our program and, in spite of everything—HAS DONE HIS JOB SUPERBLY AS TO OUR NEEDS. Furthermore, he is willing and ABLE to attend some of the tedious problems of fundamental needs in the STATE whereat we have birth-rights. Therefore, all other arguments fall away!!

A question which shall surely arise from Connie: “To whom do I resign?” In addition, “I only answer phones and record information...” Therefore, good question and perhaps Mark might suggest to whom it would be an appropriate receiver. He seems to know more about that business than his own of which he keeps deeply buried. This is neither complaint nor reprimand—everyone should be as “private”.

As to unhappy campers, fine—we will close the campground and solve the immediate problem as to complaints.

And so it came to pass that the Gifts from Heaven once known as the Gaia Products became unavailable for any purpose, at any price—thanks to Mark Moore, who would not apply his God-given knowledge of chemistry to ensure good product.

PAY ME!!!

TODAY, Mark Moore’s major concern happens to be oriented around “his” “shekels-shekels” as he tries in vain to get EJ Ekker to pay up on his “matured”

loans. He still seems to have some kind of ego attachment to the other issues but they are distinctly secondary to his MONEY concerns. Both he and the other M&M, “Michael Morearty” (what do you think: real name?), are pushing hard to gain an admission of “guilt” from me with regard to the Artemis situation but it is Mark Moore’s SHAME, not mine.

Strangely, however, when it comes to the ALL-IMPORTANT “money” issues, he and others are pushing on ME instead of directing their ire toward the man in control of the money, EJ Ekker. Well, perhaps that won’t last indefinitely, either; we shall see. For now, their focus on me is keeping EJ’s blood pressure down and that’s not such a bad thing. He has had some rough days and nights lately, I’m sure.

For my part, I have tried to explain to Mark Moore, John Ray, “Michael Morearty” and others on the email circuit that efforts to collect at this time are likely to be met with ongoing resistance from Mr. Ekker—for the simple reason that there has not yet been SUCCESS and therefore it is impossible to pay out any one lender without prejudicing the rights of others. Mark wants to claim that his “matured” loans take preference and should be paid out immediately—and I just know EJ isn’t going to pay him out.

So, how will this matter be resolved?

I imagine that Mark Moore and perhaps several others will make efforts to force payout—and believe it or not, that suits me JUST FINE. You see, IF this matter gets into a court and it moves toward bankruptcy of the GROUP of now provably related companies—that court is going to have to deal with Global Alliance’s CLAIMED MAJOR ASSET, which cannot be denied!

It will also be very interesting to see how that court will handle the Global Alliance Articles of Incorporation with regard to IMMUNITIES. Again, I don’t really care which way the court decides: either the immunities exist (in which case the M&Ms won’t be able to lay a glove on EJ “and companies”) or they do not exist—i.e., the CONTRACT is VOID (in which case the United States will FORFEIT the benefits of Association). In the latter case, Americans might miss out but at least it’s a bigger pie for the rest of the World. Oh, well, America?

And so, even as Mark Moore and others work toward their own self-interest at the expense of others, all they do shall conspire for GOODNESS.

It’s hard to see how anything GOOD can come from TOLERATING the ongoing EVIL while distracting from or focusing on tearing down the Divine Plan as GCH structured it but “not to worry” because everything SHALL end up conspiring for Goodness. Wait and see or DO YOUR PART? God does, indeed, work in mysterious ways and vultures, too, have a role to play.

Are there OTHER ways to handle this, aside from forcing the issue? Surely! But I, personally, cannot see any of them working as long as EJ Ekker is in control of everything.

Despite his efforts to shut down the websites, despite his efforts to choke off all financial ability, despite every “by hook or by crook” and “no matter what” he has presented, “somehow” things shall continue to move forward.

Salu.

Ronald Kirzinger (“of” Hatonn)

In fulfillment of legal requirements for sufficiency of Public Notice, this is the first of three publications of this Notice.

Don Esteban Benitez Tallano & Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, Inc. (Philippines) Public Notice

This notice hereby invokes Rule 301 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United States and is intended as appropriate judicial notice in any jurisdiction in which it is recorded of public record. If all interested parties fail to rebut any given allegation or matter of law addressed herein, the position will be construed as adequate to requirements of judicial notice, thus preserving fundamental law.

NOTICE RE *DE FACTO* DON ESTEBAN BENITEZ TALLANO & DON GREGORIO MADRIGAL
ACOP FOUNDATION, INC. REGISTERED IN THE PHILIPPINES (EJ EKKER, "CHAIRMAN")

Whereas Eddyjo ("EJ") Ekker was expelled from the Board of Trustees of Don Esteban Benitez Tallano & Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, Inc. by a quorum of the Board at a duly noticed meeting of the Board held in accordance with the Foundation's Bylaws on March 17, 2007; and

Whereas EJ Ekker has caused to be filed a fraudulent General Information Sheet for said Foundation with the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) of the Republic of the Philippines within which he is identified as an Incorporator, which he never was, according to official SEC-filed documents; and

Whereas EJ Ekker has caused to be filed with the SEC bogus Annual Meeting Minutes in which a quorum is certified but did not exist; and


Whereas EJ Ekker continues to fraudulently hold himself out as "Chairman" of said Foundation and to conduct business as though his fraudulent filings are *de jure*; and

Whereas the Board of Trustees of the *de jure*, Philippines-registered, non-stock, non-profit Foundation duly registered as the Don Esteban Benitez Tallano & Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, Inc. take exception to the foregoing; and

Whereas it is in the Public Interest that the Public be informed of the foregoing facts;

Therefore this Public Notice is being presented to inform the Public of the *de facto* (illegitimate) nature of the non-entity controlled by Mr. Eddyjo Ekker, who purports to be its "Chairman". DO BUSINESS WITH THIS ENTITY AT YOUR OWN RISK.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF the undersigned has affixed his signature for the corporation this 9th day of May, 2007.



CENON C. MARCOS, President
Don Esteban Benitez Tallano & Don
Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, Inc.

SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of Don Esteban Benitez Tallano & Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, Inc. Certified at Pasig City this 9th day of May, 2007.



Erlinda R. Marcos, Secretary



In fulfillment of legal requirements for sufficiency of Public Notice, this is the first of three publications of this Notice.

Global Alliance Investment Association Public Notice

Also Affecting:

- Budget Corporate Renewals, Inc.
- Budget Corporation Services, Inc.
- CONTACT, Inc.
- Cosmos Seafood Energy Marketing, Ltd.
- Don Esteban Benitez Tallano & Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, Inc.
- Phoenix Institute for Research & Education
- Phoenix Source Distributors, Inc.
- Foundation for the Tallano Estate, Inc.
- International Energy Systems Corporation
- International Energy Systems Corporation DBA IESC (California)
- Continential Developers, Inc.

This notice hereby invokes Rule 301 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United States and is intended as appropriate judicial notice in any jurisdiction in which it is recorded of public record. If all interested parties fail to rebut any given allegation or matter of law addressed herein, the position will be construed as adequate to requirements of judicial notice, thus preserving fundamental law.

NOTICE OF DE FACTO (ILLEGITIMATE) ENTITIES OPERATED BY EDDYJO EKKER

A prior Public Notice, "NOTICE OF REFUSAL TO PRODUCE CORPORATE RECORDS FOR INSPECTION", was run in the March 28, April 11 and April 25 (2007) issues of this publication. That Notice established the following presumptions:

"It is only reasonable to stipulate that absent proof of Mr. Ekker's authority to act, it shall be presumed such authority does not exist and absent production of the stock ledger, it shall be presumed no stock has been issued."

Mr. Eddyjo Ekker's unilateral actions with regard to GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION (a Nevada corporation) and a number of corporations closely affiliated with it have continued and he has made no effort to rebut the foregoing presumptions. His memoranda purporting to terminate my services are fraudulent according to the presumption that he had no authority to act unilaterally against a co-Director. Lists of officers and directors have been unlawfully filed with the Nevada Secretary of State wherein EJ Ekker's name has replaced the name of the undersigned, Ronald Kirzinger. These actions were taken without the formalities of meetings or board resolutions. The unlawful actions of EJ Ekker (directly and/or through agents acting on his behalf, wittingly or unwittingly) do not change the fact that I still hold such offices and directorships.

Accordingly, in the Public Interest, it is necessary to notify the Public of the illegitimate nature of the Ekker-controlled (*de facto*) corporate entities.

BE ADVISED: AS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, FRAUDULENT LISTS OF OFFICERS HAVE BEEN FILED WITH THE NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE FOLLOWING ENTITIES:

BUDGET CORPORATE RENEWALS, INC. (Nevada # C1359-2001); changed 3/22/07 from EJ Ekker as contract officers to EJ Ekker by breach of contract

BUDGET CORPORATION SERVICES, INC. (Nevada # C15815-2001); changed 3/16/07 from Ronald Kirzinger (Janet Carriger as Secretary) to Janet Carriger

CONTACT, INC. (Nevada # C3384-1993); changed 3/22/07 from Ronald Kirzinger to EJ Ekker

COSMOS SEAFOOD ENERGY MARKETING, LTD. (Nevada # C1707-1985); changed 3/22/07 from Ronald Kirzinger and EJ Ekker to only EJ Ekker

DON ESTEBAN BENITEZ TALLANO & DON GREGORIO MADRIGAL ACOP FOUNDATION, INC. (Nevada # C18308-2003); changed 5/1/07 from Ronald Kirzinger and EJ Ekker to only EJ Ekker

GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION (Nevada # C11415-1997); changed 4/27/07 from Ronald Kirzinger and EJ Ekker to only EJ Ekker

PHOENIX INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH & EDUCATION, LTD. (Nevada # C2166-1990); changed 3/22/07 from Ronald Kirzinger and EJ Ekker to only EJ Ekker

PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC. (Nevada # C987-1993); changed 3/23/07 from Ronald Kirzinger and EJ Ekker to only EJ Ekker

This list may not be complete because it is known that Mr. Ekker has instructed his agent, Janet Carriger, Office Manager of Budget Corporate Renewals, Inc., the resident agent for all of the above-named entities, to change out other lists of officers, which have not yet been changed, including most notably the FOUNDATION FOR THE TALLANO ESTATE, INC. (Nevada # C15240-2002) and INTERNATIONAL ENERGY SYSTEMS CORPORATION (Nevada # C4233-1986), registered to do business in California as "IESC", along with CONTINENTIAL DEVELOPERS, INC. (Nevada # C14042-1992). In addition, there are other entities with which my personal involvement was very rare and of which I may not have been informed.

DO BUSINESS WITH THE EKKER-CONTROLLED *DE FACTO* "VERSIONS" OF THE ABOVE-NAMED ENTITIES AT YOUR OWN RISK. ANY ACTION TAKEN BY THE ABOVE-NAMED ENTITIES WITHOUT THE SIGNATURE OF THE UNDERSIGNED, RONALD KIRZINGER, IS NOT VALID.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the undersigned has affixed his signature for the corporation this 9th day of May, 2007.


RONALD W. KIRZINGER, Director

SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of Global Alliance Investment Association, a Nevada corporation, effective May 9, 2007.


RONALD W. KIRZINGER, Secretary



In fulfillment of legal requirements for sufficiency of Public Notice, this is the first of three publications of this Notice.

Global Alliance Investment Association

Public Notice

This notice hereby invokes Rule 301 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United States and is intended as appropriate judicial notice in any jurisdiction in which it is recorded of public record. If all interested parties fail to rebut any given allegation or matter of law addressed herein, the position will be construed as adequate to requirements of judicial notice, thus preserving fundamental law.

SUSPENSION OF EJ EKKER AS DIRECTOR, PRESIDENT AND TREASURER OF GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION AND AS OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS OF ALL AFFILIATED AND SUBSIDIARY CORPORATIONS PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE CHARGE OF POLITICAL INTERFERENCE IN AND AGAINST REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES

Whereas the Board is in possession of substantial evidence showing that Mr. Eddyjo Ekker, Director, Chairman, President and Treasurer of Global Alliance Investment Association, has interfered in the political affairs of the Republic of the Philippines in violation of Article II, Section 8 of the Articles of Incorporation regarding political interference; and

Whereas Mr. Ekker has been wholly unresponsive to the specific aforementioned charge; and

Whereas due process suggests that, absent a finding of criminal conduct by a governmental agency, Mr. Ekker should be allowed response before action is taken against him; and

Whereas there has not yet been any finding of criminality by the Republic of the Philippines; and

Whereas Mr. Ekker has been charged with numerous other breaches of conduct affecting Global Alliance Investment Association as well as numerous other related entities, as recorded in the pages of this publication in the issues of March 14, March 28, April 11 and April 25 (all in 2007); and

Whereas the undersigned has not been able to meet with Mr. Ekker to resolve these matters;

THEREFORE, the undersigned, Ronald W. Kirzinger, Director and Secretary of Global Alliance Investment Association, hereby undertakes the following extraordinary actions, to wit:

Eddyjo Ekker is hereby SUSPENDED from the Board of Directors;

Eddyjo Ekker is hereby SUSPENDED from holding himself out as an officer and from taking any action on behalf of the corporation;

Eddyjo Ekker is hereby SUSPENDED from all positions of authority and all offices held in all affiliated and subsidiary entities pending resolution of the foregoing matters.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the undersigned has affixed his signature for the corporation this 9th day of May, 2007.


RONALD W. KIRZINGER, Director

SECRETARY'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of Global Alliance Investment Association, a Nevada corporation, effective May 9, 2007.


RONALD W. KIRZINGER, Secretary



UNABASHED PLEA FOR YOUR SUPPORT

Support can take many forms, beginning and ending with a prayer for all our relations. I have been “put out on the street” in a strange country and “cut off” from funds which should be provided by Global Alliance for my sustenance, so your prayers are definitely appreciated.

If you can contribute monetarily, please contact the undersigned at (702) 940-9858.

We are “there”. All that remains is to determine **YOUR PART IN THIS GRAND PLAY**. For my part, I will continue to contribute 100% of my life energies to “The Mission” as put forth by Commander Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn through his scribe, “Dharma”.

Sincerely,

Ronald W. Kirzinger
President and Director
CONTACT, INC.
PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

Phoenix Source Online

email: GCHContact@OneMain.com
www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com
 -Published and unpublished Journals
 - CONTACT back-issues



FAIR USE NOTICE:

This News Review contains excerpts of copyrighted material intended to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, scientific and social justice issues. Such use is considered ‘fair use’, exempt from copyright laws as provided for in Title 17, Ch. 1, Section 107 of the U.S. Code.

(702) 940-9858

Phoenix Source Distributors

Please note:

Temporarily, at least, all inquiries should be routed through THE GOODLY COMPANY in Las Vegas, Nevada at the telephone number provided above. We apologize for any inconvenience but fully expect to re-establish a correct working relationship through our order center in Tehachapi, California in short order.

For some 7 years over 100 Phoenix Journals were withheld from the public domain never having been published. We acknowledge Dr. Overholt for his efforts in collating the writings of Commander Hatonn into Journal format and are pleased to now be able to offer these Journals “as-is” until such time as others can apply the finishing touches (titles, indexing, etc.)

Free Download at:

www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com

For the latest News on the

‘DivinePlan’

unfolding from the Philippines:

www.GlobalAllianceAssn.com
www.TallanoFdn.com

In the face of a lie, present truth if you know it, and let the liar be caught in his own trap which was laid for you.—GCH, 1/6/02