



PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS

A bulletin commenting on appropriate current news events, clarification of portions of the Journals and answers of a general nature to questions not found in the existing Journals.

PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS is published by America West Publishers, Inc. P.O. BOX 986 Tehachapi, CA. 93581. Subscription rate is \$20 per 13 issues or \$75 per 52 issues, First Class mailing. COPYRIGHT 1991 by America West Publishers, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction of this copyrighted material for private non-profit use is expressly encouraged, for commercial purposes it is strictly forbidden.

APRIL 1991 VOLUME XII NUMBER 2

4/21/91 HATONN

What I have to share with you is not lengthy and it will not be detailed in nature but I am going to put the abduction cases, contacts of little aliens and blood-sucking mutilators to rest. NONE of the tales, as told, are true as presented within the "UFO" community of disinformation-bringers. It is not necessarily true that the stories are deliberate fabrications (although in most instances they are such), but ones can only conclude, incorrectly, that the activities are perpetrated by etheric or alien beings.

It makes for good science fiction, but dear friends, you will find, when the wash is out and hung in the sunlight, that Truth is far stranger than is that fiction.

The evil Anti-God was on your place and busy at his work long before any being called Adolf Hitler entered the scene. Further, it was through the coalition of the Khazar Zionists and the Nazis headed by Adolf Hitler that the "master race" was presented and all the experimenting began and quite frankly was pretty well functioning. From then until now it has been one big cover-up after another while the Elite move to take your planet.

WALK-INS

My intent is not to cause any of you any great disappointment but I can assure you that if you are telling ones that you are a "walk-in"--you are in for some real surprises yourself. What you are actually saying is that you believe yourself to be a fully fledged clone or reproduction with new programming. That is not a state to be taken either lightly or with great joy. **GOD DOES NOT PRESENT ONES**

WITH WALK-INS AND IF A NEW CREATION IS NEEDED--HE CREATES. ON THE OTHER HAND--THE EVIL BROTHERHOOD MUST WORK FROM THAT WHICH IS ALREADY MANIFEST AND NOW, WHERE DOES THAT LEAVE YOU WHO CLAIM TO BE WALK-INS?

Does this mean that somehow you are lost and have no ability to reclaim self? No, but you must recognize the truth of it and demand that the tampering cease, the false energies depart, require that God regain control--clear your space and recognize what has happened, either mentally or physically or both.

CATTLE MUTILATION AND OTHER ODDS AND ENDS

As gene splicing and synthetic reproduction has been experimented on during the past decades there were two thrusts present at all times. One was to totally terrify the populace by the activities in remote places, etc. Secondly, much experimenting had to take place in remote places to coincide with the research going on in laboratories. The "scientists" had to have several things with which to carry on research--male/female reproductive ovum and sperm, cells for biological splitting and cloning, places for surrogate incubation and ability to control the subjects.

At first the most efficient method of research was simply for the host carrier to act as the carrier--no more and no less. Then it was found that cattle cells made excellent host structure for the beginning of the splicing and reproduction and far quicker than simple reproductive growth even with hormone rapid-growth substances. Also it was found that if the host cell was, say, bovine--the cow could then carry the fetus for a period of time

without rejection and abortion and without any impact on the fetus. Therefore a fetus could be begun (the clone started) and simply carried within the cow as a surrogate mother device until species differences would cause spontaneous abortion. This method is no longer even necessary to produce fully adult duplicate synthetics.

Now, as for the number two cause of cattle and sheep experimentation--you have strains of viruses which are in what are referred to as walking reservoirs--i.e. there is a strain of smallpox which has no publicly known cure which is "on hold" in "walking reservoirs" of bovine and sheep. The bovine leukemia and sheep visna viruses are the most tampered with of the viral family of death dealers, i.e. HIV (AIDS), etc. You see, death is not from the HIV which is a lazy, almost worthless virus but rather from the diseases allowed to take over the body due to the inhibited immune system of the host. The virus itself will mutate with every carrier--but can be stopped from mutating by taking a cell from the already mutated cell and reproducing it--in other words, cloning the mutant. A vaccine possibility? Indeed, but not as you might think--you must first find a subject which has a disease which can be treated, clone the disease and transmit the treatable disease into the host and therein treat the treatable disease, building immunity to any other assault on the system. You don't even have to touch the HIV sleeping in the nice comfy T-cells. The experimenting can easily be carried out in sheep and cows and most certainly has been to ad nauseam extent.

Now, for the miserable part of the human social experience, there comes

forth the Satanic worshippers, witches and those who practice wicca magic while calling it white magic, etc., and the Zionists Elite sacrificial dallings and other blood-letting ritualistic nonsense, and soon you have groups in the actual state of evil worship through the blood baths and supping and ritual killings of both animals and humans. Part of the intent is the terror involved and the other is the simple stupidity of human ritual to evil with no intent otherwise. Please do not be foolish in your translation, dear ones--you who think you practice divine magic while practicing wicca had best think again for unless you are a truly HOLY MAN you will get yourselves trapped, for wicca, by its pure meaning, is taken from the word "wicked" which means: Evil in principle and practice; vicious; sinful; depraved; akin to "wicca". Now, let us take it a bit further in definition: "Witch: a person who practices sorcery; one having supernatural powers, especially to work evil and usually by association with evil (wicked) spirits or the devil." "Wicce" witch is the feminine of "wicca" wizard. So--when a beautiful young minister gives you equal billing with speakers for "Wicca", I think I would probably consider that there is not great discernment as to that which should be allowed to enter into the sanctuary of God acting under the guise of a "Christian" church. Further, to place my "Command" (Hosts) on the same level of "dark" controversy is a bit on the "much" side. I serve only Holy God of Light and furthermore, a wicca wizard wouldn't last ten minutes in my presence for I will simply ask him to take leave in the name of God. Further, for each one who "channels" any energy--I do likewise; I always ask audience with the energy and I don't have too many takers--at least not a second time. I would have our friend, Rick, know that I would be most happy to interview the one he calls "Drahcir".

I have received a document which has been circulating around ones who read the Journals--of course it comes from ones who "wouldn't be caught dead reading the Journals". That's right, give away your power into the controller's hands, dear friends, and try to convert those who have found Truth into your own stupor. The document is called BASIC TRAINING, Doctrines of Demons by Brian Brodersen who seems to somehow come from Calvary Chapel of Vista, 885 E. Vista

Way, Vista, CA 92084. Brian Brodersen is the Pastor and seems to be some type of offshoot of "BACK TO BASICS", the radio outreach of Calvary Chapel of Vista. The book has a cover quote: *"Now the Spirit expressly says that in latter times, some will depart from the faith, giving heed to deceiving spirits and doctrines of demons."* 1 Timothy 4:1. Yes, I can certainly agree with that statement so let us read on and see why so many want to believe we are "evil" and of "the devil". To handle this, I shall have to ask Dharma to write the document statements and then I shall effort to clarify a few points for readers, for these people are sorely misinformed about our presence, purpose and certainly--intent. I do, however, suggest all look within and at the organizations producing the accusatory documentation and perhaps see through more clearly washed glasses.

It is one thing for a traditional society such as the native Indians to consider sorcery for they understood not that which was being foisted off on them--but there is little excuse for the continued behavior except to gain control through fear of another being. It all revolves back into "mysticism" and God is not mystical--only wondrously mysterious. He acts not in the dark, secret and witch-ridden places but rather in the sunlight of the openness of ALL CREATION. I, in no manner what-so-ever, have intent to offend Rick for I honor and especially care for him for he is daring where others are too "scared" to risk. It simply takes time to sort Truth from the nonsense of that which bombards the planet.

So much for this, just allow us to move on, Dharma.

DOCTRINES OF DEMONS

One of the oldest and most effective tactics of the devil is false doctrine. The devil would like nothing more than to get you tripped up in some sort of false teaching. In this study we want to take a specific look at some of what the Bible refers to as "doctrines of demons" and see what they are, and how we as Christians can spot and avoid them. We will deal with this subject by looking at four different forms of false teaching: 1) Cults; 2) Counterfeits; 3) Heresies and 4) Fanaticism.

Ok, fair enough. Since I am accused of all of these four things I think we should first define the terms so we have explicit understanding of meaning.

1. **Cults:** A system of religious rites and observances; zealous devotion to a person, ideal, or thing.

I assume that even though the author claims to even be a "Christian Pastor" of a Church called Calvary Chapel, that he does not consider that they, or himself, is a member of a cult? How can you be a member of a church (body of--) without falling into this category by pure definition?

I am guilty--one hundred percent totally guilty. I come in total service to God of Light, the Christ Truth, the Creation of Godness and the Laws of God and The Creation as given forth for Mankind. The Christ energy, having acquired his Godness, comes again with the Hosts of which I am in Command--I don't believe you can get much closer than that, my friends.

2. **Counterfeits:** To make an imitation of--with intent to defraud; pretended; made fraudulently to resemble the genuine; any imitation or copy; an imposter.

Well, we don't fit any of those for we pretend to be nothing other than that which we are--wayshowers, Truth-bringers and friends to a group in trouble. Now, it stands to reason that our friend may be somewhat of a counterfeit teacher for further reason stands that probably there are deliberate tamperings with those books given for your use--from the very ones who now wish to control your world in the service of total evil. You have pronounced yourself counterfeit if you deny that you belong to (in fact, head) a cult--for that is in simplistic language that which a Christian (or any other) church is. At best, the speaker is misinformed and ignorant of terminology. At worst, he is putting you into false expression by deceit and fraud.

3. **Heresies:** A belief or opinion contrary to the established doctrines of a church or religious system; any belief or opinion that is contrary to established doctrine; the holding of such a belief or opinion. **Heretic:** One who holds beliefs or opinions contrary to the established doctrines of his reli-

gion; one who maintains unorthodox or controversial opinions on any subject.

Isn't this fun? Look how much we can learn just by paying attention and getting explicit definitions free of accusations--also, please note egg mixed with mud on the countenance.

I believe that this man and therefore I must assume that his followers or congregation are heretics. I do not fit the role as to Christian heretic for I do, in fact, travel about with the Christ in total Godness and therefore I certainly do not deviate in any iota from the whole Truth of the doctrine of God--having no interest nor intent to participate in any "group" for I am a portion of the "body of--" God through Christ.

By his own statement, however, this man labels himself a heretic and lumps his congregation within the same snare--I do not believe, as I read his intent, that he follows "the accepted doctrines of a church or religion" and he is also "contrary to established doctrine"--for I assume he disavows the accepted doctrines of, say, the established church of Satan, and perhaps even the Mormons, the Catholics, the Hebrew Judaists and perhaps even the Lutherans.

From whence does the author derive his information? The Bible as truly written as dictated by God does not resemble to any great extent the material which is continually quoted and "interpreted" by self-appointed spokesmen of the WORD. I have noted that the true WORD OF GOD--AND GOD IS THE WORD, is totally understandable without interpretation--the commandments (laws) are explicit in every detail from "...not kill" to "...no adultery". It certainly requires no self-styled "preacher" to foist off his opinions on an unsuspecting and unthinking public because he deceives them into thinking he is somehow better or more informed than they (sic, sic).

4. Fanaticism: The spirit or conduct of a fanatic; extravagant or frenzied zeal.

Ah so, I am at a loss having not experienced Mr. Brodersen's projections as yet. As for myself and my friends (we have no "group" other than the unity of friendship), we may be passionate about saving the Constitution and

coming again into the laws of God and balance within The Creation but we certainly are not fanatics as defined: **Fanatic:** A religious zealot; a person who is moved by a frenzy of enthusiasm or zeal; given to or actuated by extravagant or intemperate zeal; unreasonably enthusiastic. We write TRUTH as given forth by God and neither insist nor force anyone to partake of it. In looking at the definition and having assumed that the four "terrible attributes" are somehow negative in intent, I assume that this author must have some zeal for his own subject since he regularly espouses his opinions. Certainly he rejects any listeners or readers from partaking in anything other than his doctrines which have very little to do with Godliness as far as I can deduce. So, perhaps there will be clues as we move along--please continue.

PSEUDO CHRISTIAN CULTS

There are certain principles that are common to all cults, and their teachings are all very similar. The deceptive thing about the cults is that they appear to be Christian. They will use Christian terminology and will talk about God the Father, Jesus Christ, and the Holy Spirit. They talk about repentance and salvation, using similar terminology, but in reality, their beliefs are much different than that of Biblical Christianity.

Who says? Who pronounces that which another states is "against Biblical Christianity"? Further, who decides that which is "Biblical Christianity"? Moreover, do you want "Biblical" Christianity or Christed Christianity? Never mind, I believe the world has overwhelmingly stated the preference as you have voted-in and out the true laws of Christ. Further, is this person saying that he does not refer to the terms listed above? What does he tell the members of the "cult" (group/church) in which he claims leadership?

Many of the cults are growing very rapidly and I believe that their success is partially due to the failure of many churches to hold fast to the Word of God. Many churches have forsaken the Bible and turned their backs on the power of the Holy Spirit. Subsequently, they offer nothing to the person who comes inquiring.

Well, I certainly think the man is

probably right about the failures. But I would think that ones are left searching for the Truth that has been removed from their very lifestreams by the self-appointed interpreters of Truth. MORE IMPORTANTLY--WHAT DO YOU OFFER OR FAIL TO OFFER THOSE WHO INQUIRE? COULD IT BE THAT ONES OF THE ORTHODOX, NON-CULTS NO LONGER GIVE FORTH THE WORD OF GOD IN TRUTH BUT RATHER VOTED-IN LIES? The very statement presumes you should give a man that which he WANTS to hear and cautions the "Christians" to not give forth the Truth.

The cults on the other hand are committed to what they believe and there is an excitement among the people. They've got all kinds of programs and people are being drawn in by all the excitement. These cultist churches have a great zeal and therefore become an attraction.

Is this man going to tell me he goes on radio outreach and writes his opinions without zeal or desire for "attraction"? Why, then, does he bother you and especially me? He is the one with the cult, etc. He seems by definition to pronounce himself, and thusly his followers, as Cultists, Counterfeits, Heretics and Fanatics. Oh, but I see, he is going to tell us how to tell the difference.

The question is this, how do you know what a cult is, versus what is genuine? The most common denominator between the cults is their denial of fundamental Christian doctrine. Hands down, every cult denies the fundamental teaching of the Bible.

Well, you who read the Journals can sigh with relief. **Genuine:** Being actually of the origin, authorship, or character claimed; real; authentic; not spurious, adulterated, or counterfeit; not affected or hypocritical; frank; sincere. Boy, that was close but we come in clear and open in all categories of "genuine".

As to denial of "fundamental" Christian doctrine,, since I have not a smidgen of anything but fundamental Christian doctrine, we surely clear that one--but I don't believe the author passes for he tells on himself with the next sentence: "Hands down, every cult denies the fundamental teaching of the Bible." This one is wide open,

brothers--the term "every" indicates "ALL" and I would wish he were speaking of his own cult but I fear he is NOT. For he sums it up in the term "...teaching of the Bible". Ah, he would have had my undivided attention if he had only said "teaching of Christ and/or/all one--God". I care not what any of your hundreds of Bibles say in translation--'tis the WORD OF GOD AND LAWS WHICH ARE IMPORTANT--NOT A BUNCH OF SCRIBBLES BY ARISTOCRATS UNDER A "KING" (JAMES) BY GERMAN SCHOLARS--WHICH I FOLLOW. You ones can follow any that you wish but--to make it home to God on High--you will follow HIS LAWS AND THOSE GIVEN FORTH FOR BALANCE WITHIN THE CREATION.

INERRANCY AND AUTHORITY OF THE WORD

The cults deny the inerrancy and authority of the Word of God. They do not put the kind of trust in the Bible that you as a Christian would. It is very difficult to argue with a Mormon from the Bible, because for the most part, they see their revelation as being superior to the Bible. The Jehovah's Witnesses are similar in their belief; they have certain study guides which they believe enable them to understand the Bible. They study the Bible in light of their study guides and in so doing, place those guides above the Scriptures. Every cult denies the inerrancy and authority of the Word of God.

How many of you even know what the word "Inerrancy" means? It is a noun meaning innerant. So much for that! Well, it means "free from error; unerring". Again the person uses the term "every" of which I wish I could believe the explicitness of his statement. Unfortunately, most "claim" to believe the inerrancy of the book you loosely call Bible. Brother, so do the Mormons call their book-Bible as do the Jehovah's Witnesses. They will read to you the Scriptures from daylight until dark from that which they label "Bible". One does not necessarily have to ever speak the word of God to be called Bible, and furthermore, do not say to me, "...but you know what I mean". Yes I do, and it astounds me in its bigoted ignorance even of your own language.

What is really happening herein is a total denouncement of that which those

ones who claim themselves to be Christians and that which you claim, sir, to be your "Christianity" which is not Christian behavior but the mere denouncing of a brother efforting to find his God Truth. It is certainly NOT OF GOD TO JUDGE, MUCH LESS CHRIST, AND THEREFORE YOU ARE MOST UN-CHRISTIAN IN YOUR PRONOUNCEMENT OF JUDGMENT. DO I SANCTION THEIR PROJECTIONS AND DOCTRINES ABOVE YOURS? NO, FOR I DO NOT JUDGE EITHER ONE--**NOR DO I SANCTION EITHER FOR I FAIL TO FIND GOD AND/OR CHRIST IN TOTAL WITHIN THE TEACHINGS OF EITHER.** Does that mean I denounce them? No--it is none of my business but God offers Truth unto the uninformed when they are ready to find Truth above and beyond the tinkering and projections of other MEN! When men stop asking other men and start asking Christ for Christ direction you shall see a light shining beyond your imagination. Moreover, as in the case in point--ME--what gives anyone even a tiny opportunity for projection even in the least amount if you have partaken of none or only little of that which is presented? Wherefrom do all of you "setters to straight" and "praying for the salvation and reclaiming" from "whoever that is who writes that stuff"?

I am most happy that ones who follow this teaching as presented unto me and that one who sets the rules forth in great authority--is not in charge of the passage-way into God's house lest God not be allowed within! Oh well, I see that he will now clarify a few points:

DOCTRINE OF GOD

The god of the cults is very different from the God of the Bible. [Hatonn: And for that we most surely thank God.] For instance, the God of Mormonism used to be a man and is a product of evolution. He was a man at one time but through a process of time has evolved into being God and therefore, we who are men today can also become God through a process of evolution. The God of the Bible is eternal and is the same yesterday, today, and forever.

Who said? Whose Bible? The one you labeled "Christ" proclaimed that anything He could do, you can do better! That sir, came right out of

YOUR Bible. How do YOU know that those ones don't eventually "evolve" into God? Now, you do, in addition, state that, "The God of the Bible is eternal and is the same yesterday, today, and forever." BUT, God also says "...thou shalt not place limitations upon my being for I am without limitation and I am infinite." Where does this author claim "authority" to so limit God in sameness--I suggest God shall be and do anything He wishes to be and/or do. Oh, I see, it is written in YOUR Bible! Which translation is your Bible? Who scribed the translation? When? How much did you PAY for your copy of the book you quote? Is it in no way tainted by another's translation/understanding? I believe most of the Bible which you may or may not have was first written in Arabic but ALL came through the translation of German scholars. Yes, those same Germans who produced Adolf Hitler and the Nazis--surely they did not tamper with any of God's Truth in the flowing through. Again, I CARE NOT WHAT YOURS, OR ANY OTHER BOOK SAYS--IF IT BE TRUTH OF THE CHRIST PATH AND WITHIN THE LAWS OF GOD--IT MATTERS NOT WHO TRANSLATES IT. I find very little of either in these projections thus far in regards to the matter.

The greater point herein, however, is who pronounces the "DOCTRINE" of God. If God is eternal and Man, by your rejection of the Mormon Godness belief--who can know what is the "doctrine" of God? At best all you can do is pronounce the "doctrine" as interpreted by a MAN of what he thinks God's doctrine might be--in my own opinion, even that does not match that which is YOUR doctrine, sir.

I am going to ask for a break at this point lest this get too long. I know that you wonder why I am doing this insidious dissertation--BECAUSE MY SCRIBE IS IN RECEIPT OF THREE OF THESE BOOKS AND HAS BEEN PRONOUNCED "EVIL" AND "DOOMED TO HELL". I DARE SAY THAT MY CHELA HAS LITTLE TO CONCERN REGARDING HER WELCOME INTO THE REALMS OF GOD. SO BE IT. WE ARE GOING TO FINISH THIS--AND IT WILL TAKE DAYS--BUT YOU ARE GOING TO LEARN TO STOP JUDGING AND GET ON WITH THAT WHICH IS DESTROYING YOU OR YOU ARE FOR THE

PITS, FRIENDS.

Yes, dear ones, as I said--it certainly appears that the clouds are going to be empty and so, probably will the ship home not be overly crowded. I would hope otherwise, as man sees the level of his manipulation at the hands of self-proclaimed gurus. Christianity? There is so little Christ-ness that I begin to despise the very use of the blessed and beautiful term of perfection for it is tainted by its willful integration as title to bigots and traitors unto God.

Allow us a rest please.

Hatonn, come of light in service
ONLY UNTO GOD OF LIGHT AND
CHRIST LAWS--I CARE NOT A
WHIT FOR YOUR BOOKS OF LIES.
WHY NOT FIND THE PORTIONS
OF THE BIBLE WHICH DO PRO-
JECT TRUTH--SUCH AS THE
LAWS OF GOD AND THE PART
ABOUT NOT JUDGING?

I single not out this one "preacher"--I shall be happy to accept invitation to converse with any who will come forth for I stand on the rock of Truth and the WORD OF GOD AND GOD IS THE TRUTH AND SAVE THROUGH THOSE AVENUES SHALL ANY MAN COME INTO THE REALMS OF GOD IN FULFILLMENT OF HIS LESSONS. MAN TAKES LEAVE OF GOD--NEVER DOES GOD TAKE LEAVE OF MAN--BUT TO DWELL WITHIN THE ONENESS OF GOD--MAN SHALL CAST OFF THE THINGS OF EVIL AND PHYSICAL INTENT FOR THUS IS IT TRULY WRITTEN AND HAVING BEEN WRITTEN AND GIVEN FORTH UNTO MAN AS GUIDELINES--SO SHALL IT BE--INTO INFINITY.

SALU,

HATONN TO CLEAR AND ALLOW
YOU TO PONDER UPON THESE
THINGS.

4/22/91 HATONN
MONDAY

In the glory of HIS service, Creator/Creation, Mother/Father, I am Hatonn. As we come closer and closer to realization of Truth on a much broader scale, I wish to say that I am honored to serve with you. I salute

you who take up the banner and the WORD and go forth.

KUWAIT

I think I shall only speak briefly about what is happening in the Middle East, for you see, all news cannot be blocked from your vision for bits of truth slip back within your borders from overseas where the underground is also at work.

Note the trouble within the government in Kuwait. The Emir has taken up ways worse than prior to the war and will not allow the masses of poor back into Kuwait and the iron rule of monarchy has come down on the puppet parliament. The ones who expected change are near riot stage and demand Baker pay attention and what does Baker say? "Kuwait should have a better form of government and we hope that the rules will be slackened for a gradual move into some participation by the citizens." So be it.

Ah, but another point which I suggest you not miss. Prince Charles and Diana are going to Brazil--why? Oh, I see, to go to a hospital where children have AIDS and she intends to hug them. What are they doing in Brazil? I re-warn you people of America--you are about to lose the entire Western hemisphere to the Elite. It is time to reread the Journals lest you overlook the line of power and the fountain from which it springs.

CANADA

I have a letter and article from one in Canada, Ottawa, who inquires as to what might be done to stem the tide sweeping Canada in this madness of "New World Order". *The focus must be to shut down the flow from the United States, for Canada, Australia, New Zealand and other places are already under the Crown of England from whence was birthed the New World Order. I suggest you all practice that which is within your laws, since your constitution will not bear up as will the United States'--if you work rapidly. The "big boys" are working like hands in gloves and so, too, must you citizens--REVEAL TRUTH AT EVERY TURN AND GIVE US SOME PUBLICITY AS WE MOVE ALONG HERE.*

I do wish to share with your southern brothers the seriousness of this, how-

ever, and ask that Dharma reprint the article.

The Globe and Mail, Thursday, April 11, 1991:

DEMOCRACY? The government is proposing changes that would minimize the role of the House of Commons and distort the way it operates. And to make sure they get their way, the PCs are even suggesting a rule that lets the government invent new rules.

TURNING PARLIAMENT INTO A SAUSAGE FACTORY--OR WORSE: If you like laws and sausages, you should never watch either one being made--Otto von Bismarck. Ottawa:

Most Canadians want a Parliament that is more than a sausage factory. They are fed up with legislatures that seem at best ineffectual and at worst childish. They are angered that our democratic institutions so blatantly ignore their wishes.

They want a legislature that stands up to government and bureaucratic arrogance. If, in fact, they want a Senate at all, they want one that provides a check and balance to the abuse of power by a central Canadian government.

In short, they want change. They want parliamentary reform.

Conservative House Leader Harvie Andre has proposed a series of changes to the way the House of Commons operates. But his proposals seek to deform, not reform, Parliament.

In fact, if the Conservative government has its way, the House of Commons will be worse than a sausage factory. It will become a legislative abattoir.

Mr. Andre proposes to make the House of Commons more "efficient"--a machine that will produce legislative sausages like an assembly line. There will be no inspection, taste tests or other stops in the process [H: exactly like the one in the United States!]

He will achieve this by:

** increasing by 25 percent the time spent each day passing government motions and bills,*

* reducing by almost a third the number of days spent debating the budget, the Throne Speech and opposition motions,

* reducing the number of committee meetings by requiring six or more committees to share two rooms,

* restricting witnesses appearing before legislative committees to purely "technical matters",

* facilitating the use of closure and "time allocation", the methods by which debate can be halted,

* reducing the length of speeches, and

* removing right to vote on certain stages of bills.

These changes have one goal: to minimize the role of Parliament and keep it closed when possible. If they are adopted, the government will escape the scrutiny of the House for almost half the year.

By reducing the number of sitting days to 135 (from 175), Mr. Andre will have sliced a further two months from the parliamentary calendar. Thus for 24 weeks out of the year there will be no Question Period, no regular press scrums, no committee hearings and no ability to debate or question the government's policies.

In fact, if it wants, the government will be able to avoid sitting for all but a few days. Under the current rules, the House must sit for 25 days a year before the government can get its money, or "supply". The new rules would allow the government to get its supply bill with only a few days' debate.

And if, despite all this, the government still can't get what it wants, the Conservatives have invented the ultimate escape clause: a rule that lets the government invent new rules.

Almost daily, the House of Commons agrees to set aside regular procedures by unanimous consent (democratic vote) to speed things up a little. However, any one member present can prevent the rules from being bent or set aside in its way. No individual can stop the will of the majority, but any MP can ensure that the rules agreed by the majority are followed.

Last year, Elijah Harper stood up for his community by insisting on proper procedures. He couldn't block

or defeat the Meech Lake accord, but he could refuse to let the Manitoba Legislature relinquish the rules agreed upon by all during previous constitutional debates. He denied unanimous consent to waive the required notice period.

The Conservatives propose to redefine "unanimous consent". By their count, unanimous means "all but 25".

The government proposal would require 25 MPs to be present to block changes to the rules. If fewer than 25 scramble to their feet, the motions will be adopted without debate. No MP could utter a word.

This unprecedented rule will erode the rights of all members, but is specifically targeted at new minority parties, such as the Bloc Quebecois and the Reform Party. More than half the New Democrats and almost one-third of Liberals will be tied up in the House ensuring that "unanimous consent" is not inadvertently given.

There is a certain irony in the sweeping changes Mr. Andre proposes. The man who wants to close the House for two more months each year is, after all, the same man who stopped the House from sitting by setting the bells ringing for more than two weeks in the early eighties.

Ironic, but not surprising. This is also a government that has shown a consistent contempt for Parliament. The Conservatives have brought in closure on more debates, and permitted less public input than any government in Canadian History.

From the illegal use of closure in committees to stacking the Senate, this government has shown no hesitation to use, abuse, break or change any rules to achieve its ends.

[This article is by Nelson Riis--a member of Parliament for Kamloops and House Leader of the New Democratic Party. (Not to be confused with any "democracy" party.)]

Thank you--I believe the document speaks for itself. I would also tell you that the Parliament in London is even more controlled and is truly still a puppet of Thatcher and the Elite through Majors. You seem to need confirmation on such matters and

"inside" information from clandestine resources--why don't you ask MacLain to go "out on a limb" and describe what she knows about the British parliament!?

WHY THE THRUST REGARD- ING "BIBLICAL" STUFF?

This has poured back at me since I took up the subject on the yesterday. You ones seem to think I have singled out a pathetic being named Brodersen. No, I use that information simply because there has been an influx into my precious people to badger them. The final straw on the subject is when Karen's brother sent her the booklet after others had already arrived to this place. Karen gives full time to the bookstore and because she works there and also reads the documents we publish and believes them to be truth, her father and brother are in continual hounding of her and the attack is most confusing and painful. The ones in point refuse to look at the Phoenix documents--much less read them. Further, the father refuses to even speak on the matter. This is a fragile and beautiful young lady who gives that which she can unto God and I shall not longer sit aside and allow the non-support to continue. It is not probable that the ones who need the information will come from their judgmental benches to read the information--BUT KAREN WILL! I CARE NOT ABOUT THEM--I CARE INFINITELY ABOUT KAREN! SO BE IT. For you readers, Karen is the one who does beautiful calligraphy and produced the "logo" in its final presentation at my request. The triangle representing the triune of all Godness in connection with the inner circle of infinity from which comes the "Phoenix" of rebirthing from out of the rubble and ashes of the destroyed. I honor her and I shall give her reason to not longer ponder her choices unto service. Thank you.

VIKINGS

Inserted somewhere in this document should be a picture of a "Viking" with explanation. I wish to herein comment. No, it is not a Viking--it is a replica of a holographic picture of a Khazarian straight from his original base within the constellation of Orion. The image represents the small, pale, almost vacant-eyed aliens in excellent reproduction. The size is incorrect in the projections for the replica projec-

tion is a bit too tall in stature and the lower face a bit too broad--neither would you find quite as many wrinkles about the eye sockets for the eyes were larger with fewer "folds". It should be noted, however, that as interbreeding and evolvment happened the results are evident in myriads of sizes and shapes--Yitsak Shamir being the most typical "remaining" race specimen and he has far more recently come to your place to serve at this time of efforted "take-over". Note that the specimen remains were discovered in York, England--would you not find that strange in placement? I certainly do hope so, readers! Therefore, you can be almost certain of **misinformation**. Thank you JH of Illinois--I am indebted to you for your sharing and also give appreciation for your own artwork shared with us for it touches the hearts of our weary crew. Your work will be rewarded, beloved brothers--indeed it shall!

BACK TO THE BOOKLET AND THE "DOCTRINE OF CHRIST"

Among the cults, the Son of God is always denied as revealed in the scriptures. Every cult denies the deity of Jesus Christ. To some, Jesus was a good man, upon whom the Christ spirit came. To others, He was an angel who became a man at a certain point. To others, He is the spirit brother of Satan. In every one of the cults He is less than who the Bible says He is. The Bible says that Jesus Christ is God. (See John 1:1; Hebrews 1:8).

Oh dear, there is that term again, "EVERY ONE". Further, at the time of Emmanuel Esu in the Holy Lands--his name was not Jesus (that label came via Paul after traveling in Greece several years after the entity in point was no longer present). Secondly, he represented the Christ Path of Truth and Godness--he NEVER CLAIMED TO BE GOD. IN FACT AT HIS TRIAL WHEN ASKED IF HE WAS GOD HE SAID, "THEY say as much." You say in the book that, "To others, He is the spirit brother of Satan." I ask you ones to define for me who is Satan? The term Satan only denotes "adversary" and even according to your tampered "Bibles" it says that Lucifer was a perfection and creation (son) of God who was cast out of Heaven. If you also say that Jesus was the son of God--how do you explain the absence of some type of brotherhood if both spring from the same

source? If your Bible says that Jesus Christ is God--it errs. It should say that the one returning will wear a new name and the name will be the Word which is God--would this not indicate that possibly the Christed energy will have had to grow in His own right to achieve the bearing of the new name? That is, is it not just possible that the name might be Sananda--the WORD WHICH IS GOD!?

DOCTRINE OF SIN

The cults also downplay the problem of sin. Sin isn't that big of a problem to the cults. And of course Hell does not exist. They deny the depravity of man, and teach that man is essentially good. The Bible says that man is irrevocably lost without the intervention of God. (See Romans 5:6; Ephesians 2:11,12)

What means the term sin? Sin means a failure to reach perfection (an error)--nothing more and nothing less. Learning comes most effectively through the experience of errors. Hell does not exist as presented by the evangelists as a place of burning fire--Hell is created by the energy who requires self-punishment for that which is perceived as sin. This is that which occurs as one confronts God at the time of "judgment" of the journey and decision of the soul as to level of growth. It also is a designated state of existence when evil has prevailed and the entity has removed himself from the presence of God. Hell is the word defining the void or absence of the presence of God. Since man is the fragment of God experience and even your Bible says that God dwells within HIS temple which is within each--it only stands to pure reason that man of God creation is innately GODLY (good) and has somehow strayed and lost his direction and moved in an effort to remove himself from God of Light to experience in the physical density of manifested flesh body. Man, if he has become without goodness--it is because the leaders and teachers, pastors and preachers--have taught him falsely. By your own teaching, all this "lost" man needs to do is again turn his heart unto God and wham-bam there again is God--re-birthed! That sir, is indeed true. At that moment it has nothing to do with Christness. Christness comes as the soul of that man who reclaims God seeks to set his path to straight in the Christ teachings within the LAWS OF

GOD AND THE CREATION. The name of the MAN who brought the CHRIST TEACHINGS--IS OF NO IMPORTANCE! THE TRUTH OF THE WORD IS THAT WHICH IS IMPORTANT AND UNTO THE MAN SEEKING CHANGE; IT IS THE WORD AND ACTION OF CHANGE WHICH IS IMPORTANT.

DOCTRINE OF SALVATION

They also have a salvation that is based upon works. The cults know nothing of grace. They talk about grace and being saved by grace but it is not grace in the biblical sense, because salvation to them is always based upon works (i.e. joining their organization, and living according to their precepts and statutes).

Sir, you do not say what is the "Biblical sense" of GRACE. The "Christed teacher" said that only through Grace would you be "saved"--the Grace of God. He told you he was only an intercessor in your behalf for you were ignorant and knew not that which you did. He also said that only through the Christed path could you find your way back to Godness. He could not have said "except through me, Jesus" for his name was Emmanuel of the house of Joseph. Further, since "Christ" is a "state of being" and not a "NAME" it becomes more obvious that the Man speaking the instructions actually said that only through the Christed path will you be granted GRACE!

Moreover, YOUR BIBLE says in addition, that only through "...works can a man be known" and "faith without works is without meaning."

Further, you suggest that "...salvation to them is always based upon works (i.e. joining their organization, and living according to their precepts and statutes)." Do you not, sir, ask ones to send tithes and contributions unto you, and ask them to come forth and proclaim their belief in your doctrine according to the precepts and statutes as presented by your cult (GROUP, CHURCH, BODY OF--)????

So these are some of the differences between the cults and genuine Christianity. There are several groups that would fit the description of Pseudo Christian Cults. They are Jehovah's Witnesses, Latter Day Saints, The Unification Church, the World

Wide Church of God, Christian Science, The Way International, Unity School of Christianity, and others. Cults are one of the manifestations of the wiles of the devil, to deceive people concerning the things of God.

I can only deduce through this tirade of judgment from this speaker/author that he understands not that he speaks primarily and first of his own "cult". The Christ for which, obviously, Christianity was not named--said judge not lest ye be judged. Further, I suggest that the speaker/author knows very, very little of the doctrines in full, of any of the proclaimed "cults" named herein. He could not know of the Mormons, for instance, for their total doctrines are "TOP SECRET". Does Hatonn sanction all those "cults"? No, but I stand with the wondrous projectors who proclaim their right to practice religion as deemed personally appropriate, for it is not my business. If I see that what they teach differs from mine--it is my responsibility to see how and where it differs--FIRST, and then suggest they consider other perspectives perchance they, or me, are misguided as to the Truth of God and the Creation. God's laws and regulations will go on a page, dear friends and that which deviates in any manner what-so-ever from the Laws of God and Creation is not of God. If the shoes of sanctity fit--I suggest you take them out of your mouth and place them on your feet. I have no responsibility, however, to allow the overflow of groups who proclaim Satan as God, as Satan is now defined as a label of the Prince of Darkness, within the lighted halls of God unless they turn into the light and away from the evil teachings. I force not any man--for force is not of God--however, I have laws which demand that I stand in defence of Truth and the first commandment of "Thou shall not have other gods before ME"! Even there, if man wishes to form churches in service unto the evil leader, they shall be left to devour and destroy selves--in absence of God of Light. Evil has no honor and the first to be destroyed are the ones of the "clan" of evil for in the denouncing of the laws of God, they also pledge no brotherhood unto one another. I suggest you all go back and read SATAN'S DRUMMERS.

I promise you that the method of salvation as preached by the evangelists of your current day wherein you just

"believe on Jesus' name" and "the blood shed by that Man will 'save your assets' IS TOTAL BUNK". Most important of all the things which were gifted unto you by God was "reason". You shall not dump your sins and errors, evil and law-breaking actions upon a MAN by any name--dead or alive. EACH BEING WILL STAND IN JUDGMENT OF SELF, NAKED AND BARE BEFORE GOD AND ANSWER FOR THAT WHICH HE HAS DONE. YOU HAVE BEEN LIED TO, BROTHERS--AND IT IS TIME YOU SIT UP AND TAKE NOTE THAT THAT WHICH IS BEING GIVEN UNTO YOU IN THE NAME OF GOD AND CHRISTIANITY IS THE BIGGEST LIE OF ALL. YOU ARE VICTIMS OF ONES SUCH AS THIS POOR MISFORMED INTERPRETER OF WHATEVER HE HAS COME UP WITH BEING. INADVERTENTLY THESE ONES HAVE BECOME THE VERY SERVANTS OF EVIL AND ARE THE VERY TOOLS WHICH ARE THE INTENDED LIARS WHO WILL PULL YOU DOWN. YOUR YOUTH IS PULLING AWAY FROM THE CHRISTIAN CHURCHES (CULTS OF THE WORST KIND) BECAUSE THERE CAN BE NOTHING OF CHRIST FOUND WITHIN AND THE BIGOTRY AND HYPOCRISY CANNOT LONGER BE FOISTED UPON THE MASSES WHO BEGIN TO SEE BEYOND THE LIES AND INTO THE TRUTH OF IT.

Let us take a break, please, and then we will cover "COUNTERFEITS". Thank you. Hatonn to clear, Please.

WANT TO SEPARATE TRUTH FROM FICTION?

Phoenix Express/Journals publisher George and Desiree' Green will be lecturing on May 12th at the UFO Expo West May 11th & 12th at the Los Angeles Airport Hyatt Hotel. For further information about the Expo call 1 213 850-8919

THE PHOENIX JOURNALS:

Sipapu Odyssey
And They Called His Name Immanuel,
I Am Sananda
Space-Gate
Spiral To Economic Disaster
From Here To Armageddon

Survival Is Only Ten Feet From Hell
The Rainbow Masters
AIDS, The Last Great Plague
Satan's Drummers
Privacy In A Fishbowl
Cry of The Phoenix
Crucifixion of The Phoenix
Skeletons In The Closet
R.R.P.P.*
*Rape, Ravage, Pillage and Plunder of the Phoenix
Rape of The Constitution
You Can Slay The Dragon
The Naked Phoenix
Blood And Ashes
Firestorm In Babylon
The Mossad Connection
Creation, The Sacred Universe
Pleiades Connection, Return Of The Phoenix Vol. I
Burnt Offerings and Bloodstained Sands
Shrouds Of The Seventh Seal--
The Bitter Communion
Counterfeit Blessings
The Phoenix Express Vol's I & II (\$15)
The Phoenix Express Vol's III & IV (\$15)
The Phoenix Express Vol's V & VI (\$15)

The price is \$10 per JOURNAL, (EXCEPTING EX-PRESS) 10% discount on orders of 4 or more. California residents add 6% sales tax. Add shipping, UPS \$3.25 and \$1.00 each additional or U.S. Mail \$2.50 for first title and \$1.00 each additional.

Write for Quantity Discount.
Available from America West or your Local Distributor.

Express is \$20 per 13 ISSUES for U.S. & Canada (including back issues for current Volume) or \$75 per 52 ISSUES. FOREIGN is \$30 per 13 (1 Vol.) \$110 per 52 issues.

Send orders and Payments to: America West Distributors, P.O. Box 986, Tehachapi, CA. 93581.

For credit card orders Telephone 1 800 729-4131 PLEASE USE ONLY FOR ORDERS (THE EXPENSE IS TOO MUCH FOR US) For other purposes 805 822-9653.

Photos to go with Segment "VIKINGS" on p.6 of Vol. **XII** #2 Express



Israeli Prime Minister
Yitzhak Shamir

NEWSMAKERS

Chicago Tribune

APRIL 12, 1991



AP Laserphoto

Laser returns Viking to life

YORK, England (AP)—The face of a Viking dead for a thousand years has been brought back to life with a laser and computer.

The Viking, dubbed Eymund by scientists who reconstructed his face, is on display at the Jorvik Center, a permanent exhibition on the city's Viking past.

"This is undoubtedly the closest we have ever come to seeing a Viking face," said Dr. Dominic Tweddle of the York Archeological Trust.

The Viking's ancient skull was rotated in a laser beam, Tweddle said. "The lighting bouncing back from the skull was used to create three-dimensional pictures."

The scientists then put together a slight, 5-foot-6 body fitting anthropological findings about the Viking build, and added Eymund's head. Tweddle said Eymund's remains were discovered in 1986 in an excavation in York.