




CONTACT

THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

Y2K—THE NEW MILLENNIUM

*KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION*



VOLUME 30, NUMBER 1

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

OCTOBER 25, 2000

Financial Oligarchy Fomented Destruction

10/19/00—#2 (14-64)

RE: ERICK SAN JUAN; CRUSHED BY CONSPIRACIES

REMAIN IN THE WONDER OF THE DAY

The soul reaches out and pleads for insight into that which IS as against that which IS NOT. BOTH ARE! You cannot have one without the other and remain in the physical experience. You can LEARN about either and understand neither.

And who shall “receive” higher input? ALL, so why should any ONE choose to steal from another the very identity first shared with that “other”? Difficult in concept of comprehension? No, total lack of ability to KNOW that God does not long allow subterfuge to remain acceptable. Some receivers of my own, as claimed, are not thinking clearly in the continuing game of non-identification for your disservice. Some of you such receivers are trained by Dr. Young and that is

unfortunately not of “hither” insight. It is not “humble” to fail to identify self—it is almost always an intent to NOT BE FOUND-OUT TO ALLOW READERS TO SOMEHOW BELIEVE YOU ARE AUTHENTIC AS TO THE ORIGINAL “CAUSE”. NO, YOU ONLY INTENSIFY THE MAGNITUDE OF THE MISDIRECTIONS OFFERED BY, FROM AND THROUGH THE LIE ITSELF.

From onset of my interchange it was ALWAYS PRESENTED EXACTLY THE WAY IT IS: We have a commitment to task and a very physically-oriented JOB to be accomplished. Now the ones who claimed, under my tutoring, to have pulled away and, yes, criminally, taken that which was not theirs, in my name, continue to now plead for you to continue to fund and assist them in their lies and cover their misdeeds. So be it. The physical experience/expression is one of exactly that: experience in physical expression. Satan is the very FIRST one to tell you the lies IN THE NAME OF GOD AND TRUTH. YOU knew/know that, readers—THE PRINCE OF CONFUSION PERSONIFIED IS THAT WONDROUS

BRIGHT AND MORNING STAR.

I have my scribe, Dharma, right here in Manila, Philippines, for that is where the physical aspect of our program MUST COME TO BIRTHING. Therefore, she can’t spend time writing about that which is in the Middle East or be unto the news desks that which is carried by the multitudes of writers around the globe.

It is time for Armageddon and if you haven’t noticed, please spend more time in the “News Desk” or READ YOUR DAILY PAPERS AND WATCH YOUR CONTROLLED MEDIA—AND YOU CAN GET THE TRUTH IF YOU KNOW THAT FOR WHICH YOU LOOK.

We must give attention HERE to that which is taking place and honor some of the players in this location, for it will be THROUGH THEM that the program can continue to function as my teams have to confront other matters and events.

The writing from Erick San Juan is a good example of being current and yet daily “outdated”. Chaos is

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
MOJAVE, CA 93501
PERMIT NO. 110

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

- Doris’ Corner, by Doris & E.J. Ekker.....page 5
- The Conspirators’ Hierarchy: The Committee Of 300* (Part 11), by Dr. John Coleman...page 8
- Blood Ritual* (Part 14), by Philip De Vier.....page 12
- The News Desk*, by John & Jean Ray.....page 15

abounding in Manila as the rest of the nation sinks into despair and economic collapse under the burden of the evil already thrust upon the nation.

THIS is the focus of OUR INDIVIDUAL TASK and, therefore, we must write about this arena and depend on those others back home to attend the majority of the paper's writings.

We honor Mark Moore who, when he took over the paper last year couldn't use a computer. He now puts out that paper without help at the computer or layout but that cuts out two of our contributors—Mark and Ron, who ceased to have much to do with the construction of the paper itself.

The others back "home" must then put "out" the paper and now must do that while vacating the very location of that effort itself.

This comes as there is mandatory attention to the Federal raids and other litigation matters and now that must come without files, offices, or available resources. The house is almost clean, though, isn't it? And, yes, indeed, the crew understands that circumstance very clearly.

The facts are that the impostors couldn't get God's troops shut down in time to keep from uncovering themselves. And further, those who just wish to be sure and "not possibly be involved" have named themselves as well. I also note for the remaining workers that it is of no consequence to attend the input of those upon whom no dependence could be placed. When decisions must be made, they are made, and those who only kibitz have no place in the final outcome. So many wish to be on the "winner's" side even if it is the WRONG SIDE. Things such as vacating leased offices and space cannot wait for a vote of the visiting forces, or the gossipmongers tossing in their opinions or supposition-speculations.

This reminds me of the use of the term "investors" as presented continually by Dr. Young, *et al.* No, the Phoenix Institute NEVER had "investors"—EVER. PI "borrowed" money from lenders who knew exactly what they were doing. PI did NOT change one iota in intent or practice—to this very moment in time and experience. This is how just the change of the meaning of a word or a word itself suggests something totally different from factual presentation. And no, the Phoenix Institute is not and was NEVER in the metals business, precious or otherwise. Gold was purchased as collateral for the bank for loans—period—and banks retrieve the collateral the minute a call is made—without nice or remorseful "by your leave".

If anyone made "investments", then you lied to our people about your intent because we are moving directly on to accomplishment of that which we offered and for which we borrowed funds to accomplish.

We will meet any of "our" "obligations" as we shoulder them—we cannot control what happens to that Institute at the hands of the destroyers. Anyone who loaned for the accomplishment of our project, as here established, shall reap abundant rewards beyond that which they expected. Those who worked deliberately and diligently through the years to destroy same, shall have to wait for the resolution of the court litigations.

For those who continue to ask through Dr. Young about the settlement of the gold "case" of Overton's, hold your breath. Out of a value that had once gotten

near \$400,000 there was a settlement which brought only enough to pay the current bills of PI for some two months while ALL the rest went to legal fees and counsel. And people, those legal fees CONTINUE because every corporation MUST have AUTHORIZED (by law) legal counsel. Ekker-Ekker will, I suggest, simply bankrupt, for there is NOTHING left for them—and now is as good a time as any to get that done. They are not responsible for Phoenix Institute—although they continue to shoulder the responsibility as mere corporate directors and officers until some of the mess can be sorted. And, yes, E.J., I AM SUGGESTING YOU CONSIDER GETTING ON WITH THAT FURTHER CLEARING OF YOUR DECKS.

You, Ekkers, have no burden to such as Tuten and, therefore, should not have to be included in any suit she continues to hold. Stop dragging everyone's excess baggage, for you continue to fill up my baggage car as well. When people go against the ones who actually damage them, then and only then will I give response to their complaints.

How came everyone to blame Ekkers for everything? Others wrote checks, paid out funds, took funds, took pay for services and now claim otherwise? No, I don't think so, readers. Justice does NOT work that way and I am weary of watching more and more funds being sifted away just to play in a game of "getcha" and "seek and destroy". Nothing stops us from bringing proper litigation processes against the guilty and, furthermore, the damages can better then be assessed and accounted.

It is interesting to note that the Horton-Abbott-Green machine still has an ongoing case against Overton's gold (literally), before the Nevada Supreme court—even now after the gold is gone. They also have something in a John Schroepfer case and dear John has been gone and dead for nearly half a decade. Is there perhaps something wrong with the legal players in this picture?

To our "team", however, KNOW that we can do and be that which we now choose to be, for with seeming "loss" also comes recognized FREEDOM. And how, now, is YOUR day? Every step is a positive move toward success as you peel off the old bindings—and that IS "creation" in its highest form. Get that cup emptied so you can get the new while ridding the parasites from the necrotic contents of the vessel itself. One step at a time—one day at a time, and when the old is cleared away, the new can bloom in all its glory.

We must grow, however, "up through" that which IS and, therefore, there are the leavings of each segment as it is birthed, matured and finally, the recreated is as with the butterfly—casting off the remains of the metamorphose processes. The intent is always to be somehow greater than that which we leave behind in that truth and beauty can outweigh that which would "seem" desirable to hold as in the physical grasp. Furthermore, to really hold something as precious to self, we must gain that wondrous being with love and appreciation beyond self.

A way to measure your own is to HONESTLY evaluate whether or not you are "actually" doing "all you can" in any given circumstance where you find self in some kind of "need", be it the rent, the baby's food or your own "druthers be". With whom are you

"really" trying to get even? No, I am quite serious, for nine times out of ten when there are problems it is because of the games people play. Sorry about that to you who would rather not be found manipulating another. Is it the game or the getting caught that bothers you most?

The world is walking on the brink of sudden disruption and it is springing forth from every crack and crevasse. Moreover, you seem to have immediate access to information from anywhere around the globe—however, you have no way to monitor truth of any presentation, and worse, you can't even really discern what you see and hear in front of you. THIS IS THE TIME OF KALI (CHAOS) AS IS PROJECTED FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE GAME ITSELF.

Mr. San Juan speaks to this very issue. He also has been willing to spend time researching and gathering input to better present and figure out what is taking place. Sometimes the findings are worse than the unknowing but only seeking to KNOW can bring about positive change out of the confusion. God sends messengers and presents "the solutions"—but each must recognize the gifts handed forth and that through willingness to reach out and make it work.

The following is not dated but is current enough to consider as in October, 2000 and is most certainly applicable to right now where even political structures rise and topple within hours.

[QUOTING Erick San Juan, Manila, Philippines, October, 2000:]

ONE WORLD DICTATORSHIP

Friends:

Our nation today stands in great danger of being crushed by the forces of the conspiracy of the world revolution. We are inundated with revolutionary political issues, designed to demolish, once and for all, our constitutional order.

Contrary to the claim of the U.S. State Department, democracy is not becoming stronger in this "technotronic" world. As the international financial and monetary system has been eaten up since the end of the Bretton Woods system in 1971, most nations have been subject to a one-world dictatorship, by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and other related institutions.

"Under the rule of these institutions, virtually all nations, and their governments, have been subjected to increasingly savage austerity measures," says the eminent economist Lyndon LaRouche. "By the standards of practice of the insurance actuary, during the past quarter-century, the IMF and associated institutions have caused far more deaths than the Adolf Hitler regime did," he adds, "the pattern has been, increasingly, that governments which balk at imposing such murderous policies on their nation's citizens, are overthrown by coups conducted on behalf of the IMF." No nation, therefore, whose government adopts IMF/World Bank "conditionalities", can be described as "democratic" in any meaningful sense of the term.

Nation after nation has fallen under the IMF machete, from Indonesia to Russia to most of Latin America. In the United States, Congress says the country can no longer afford infrastructure,

education and quality health care. According to the public testimony of Dr. Emerita Gueson, a Fil-Am gynecologist in Philadelphia, presently on the medical staff of Holy Redeemer and Nazareth hospitals, “U.S. health maintenance organizations (HMOs) have killed more than the whole Vietnam War.” In Japan, the political Elite are committing *seppuku*—the opposite of *hara kiri*, savaging one of the world’s most powerful industrial economies in order to prop up a moribund banking system.

The IMF’s murderous regime is carried out under the banner of “free trade”. But, as LaRouche pointed out, the concepts of “freedom” and “democracy”, which are behind these IMF policies, first appeared in Athens, circa 400 B.C., when the Democrats of that day “democratically” murdered Socrates for the “crime” of questioning the beliefs of the ruling oligarchy.

The same seems to be true these days. In his July 24 State of the Nation Address (SONA), undoubtedly prepared by a globalist mole working inside the present dispensation, President Estrada correctly warned of another “Asian currency contagion”, but, at the same time, called for a “radical structuring of the economy to put the country squarely on the path of the information superhighway” and other free-market “reforms”. This ambiguous declaration elicited a hostile reaction from the *Manila Times*.

It reminded President Estrada that former President Diosdado Macapagal’s “acquiescence to the IMF’s structural program in 1962” started the problem. Every President since has significantly increased the country’s foreign debt, which it says “is the single most loathsome legacy from all past Presidents, and continued by Estrada, on the Filipino people”.

Manila Times insists, “No future national leader can escape this debilitating burden, unless one comes along ready to take it by the horns and wrestle it down with currency, capital and import controls.” It, however, laments with the entire nation, “We have yet to see any Filipino leader come up to the standard of Mahathir.”

In his address to the delegates of the 27th Foreign Ministers Meeting of the Organization of Islamic Conference (OIC) in Kuala Lumpur on June 27, Malaysian Prime Minister Dr. Mahathir bin Mohamad, said:

“The financial system of the globalized world almost bankrupted us... almost placed us under the direction of foreign powers whose agenda is not the same as ours. Mergers and acquisitions, part of the current speculative boom, have made companies so big that sovereign states are comparable only to a department of these giant corporations... In the end, we will become like the banana republics where the managers of the plantations are more powerful than the Presidents of these countries.”

Unlike many of our country’s political leaders, Mahathir is not afraid to be “democratically murdered” like Socrates. He is very much aware of the globalist destabilization plot against him. On July 11, the Malaysian Prime Minister talked about the recent theft of 90-100 weapons from two Malaysian military facilities by the Al-Ma’unah cult, which reportedly tortured two Special Branch officers, one

of them Christian and one Hindu.

Five days later, as Mahathir marked his 20th anniversary in office, sparking predictable fulminations from Western, or should I say, globalist wire services, the *Far Eastern Economic Review* leaked a report in its July 13 issue that, on June 22, the CIA convened a conference on “Prospects for a Post-Mahathir Malaysia”, attended by representatives from the CIA, State and Defense Departments, and former U.S. diplomats and media correspondents posted to Kuala Lumpur.

The *Review* reported: “Malaysia could face considerable political uncertainty, including infighting in the ruling United Malays National Organization, once Mahathir gives up the reins he has clasped tightly since 1981. The panelists predicted (take note) new economic turmoil in three to five years because of growing fiscal debt, brought on by the state’s rescues of troubled companies and the minimal restructuring of the economy in the wake of the 1997 financial crisis.” **[H: Now wouldn’t they just love to have this happen? It gets less and less likely, I would guess, this afternoon.]**

According to a report in Malaysia’s *New Strait Times* of July 12, Dr. Mahathir responded to the CIA’s criticism of his decision to intervene into the financial crisis in 1997, “rather than knuckle under to IMF reforms”. Mahathir plans to retire at the end of his term, in 2004. But, as things stand, he may have to increase his vigilance to achieve this.

At a time when the global financial crisis is intensifying, and a general financial crash is becoming more imminent, the oligarchs of international finance have launched a new terrorist offensive around the globe, in the desperate hope of destroying every potential for regional economic and trade development. The main theaters of this strategy of tension and chaos are Southeast Asia, Central Asia, and Europe, especially France, Spain, and Russia. I shall enumerate here the reasons why these areas have been marked for destabilization.

In Southeast Asia, since the May 6 Chiang Mai meeting, terrorism has hit the Philippines, Indonesia, and Malaysia, as “Islamist” separatist movements attacked in retaliation against what the globalists perceive as the growing rebellion of Asian leaders who declared that “The days of the Washington Consensus are over.” The term “Washington Consensus” referred originally to the globalization, privatization, and market liberalization imposed by the IMF and the U.S. Treasury on Latin America after its 1990 debt crisis. Later, the U.S. and IMF insisted this also be applied to Russia and Eastern Europe, claiming that, with the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989, such “free market fundamentalism” had been proven superior to all other economic theories. The IMF then attempted to impose this on Asia during 1997-1999.

Government officials and advisers in South Korea, Japan, China, and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN Plus 3) were irate at the July 21, 2000 Okinawa Summit of the Group of Seven Statement on the World Economy, which says that “strengthening the international financial architecture” simply means “strengthening the IMF” to make it play more and more of a “central role” as world economic dictator. This is followed by Section 8 of the communiqué calling for

“strengthening IMF surveillance” and the like. As a consequence, Asian financial officials scrambled to broaden the Chiang Mai Initiative through the creation of a new \$30-50 billion Asian Arrangement to Borrow, set up the Asian Monetary Fund (AMF), and even create a new Asian currency unit of account to enable trade and production to continue. **[H: Yes, indeed, we do keep ourselves quite busy from day to day.]**

Earlier, a meeting of 55 “eminent persons” from the ten-member nations of ASEAN met in Manila (April 1), and released a blueprint for the political, economic, and social integration of ASEAN, to be presented to the legislatures of the respective states.

According to the April 1 issue of *The Nation*, a Thai daily, the proposal includes the following: A “United ASEAN” political center would be set up on the Indonesian island of Singtep; and a central bank in Jakarta, and a common currency would be created. Yangon, Myanmar would serve as the headquarters for the Army; Hanoi, Vietnam, the Air Force; Manila, the Navy; and Phnom Penh, Cambodia, the police. Malaysia would be the center for communications and information technologies; Bangkok, Thailand, the transportation hub; Jakarta, foreign affairs, partly due to its role in the Non-Aligned Movement; and other similar divisions of labor.

English is to be the common language, while the three top candidates for “head of state” are from Thailand, Indonesia, and the Philippines.

The dumping of the so-called “Washington Consensus” by the Asians, as recommended by former World Bank chief economist Joseph Stiglitz, does not sit well with what Lyndon LaRouche describes as “the filthy financier-oligarchical five”, because the drive for “globalization” is nothing but an intended revival of the pagan Roman Empire—this time as a one-world dictatorship by a London-centered international financier oligarchy. The intended dictator of this new world government, is a group of five English-speaking former nations: the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. The fifth nation is that led by U.S. components of the British-American Canadian (BAC) set.

What has come to be known as the “new terrorism” emerged in the 1980s from more traditional forms of political conflict in the Middle East. Gradually, along with pro-Palestinian acts of political violence, new strands of strident Muslim terrorism began to appear that were unrelated to the Palestinian or any other definable political cause. In Egypt, President Anwar Sadat was assassinated by religious extremists—and Hezbollah suicide bombers in Lebanon targeted symbols of American military power. By the mid-1990s, religious-based terrorism aimed at the general population as well as at symbols of government power exploded throughout the world. Activists from virtually every religious tradition were involved; not only Islamic suicide bombers in the Middle East but also Christian militants in the United States, Jewish assassins in Israel, a terrorist Buddhist sect in Japan, and radical Sikhs and Hindus in India.

In 1998, U.S. Secretary of State Madeleine

Albright announced a list of 30 of the world's most dangerous groups, which includes the Abu Sayyaf. What she did not say, however, IS THAT ALL ARE EITHER LONDON-BASED OR RECEIVE FUNDS FROM LONDON SOURCES.

As we are now seeing, the Philippines, like most Hispanic countries, is "melting like ice cream in the Sun", reeling from the multi-headed globalist hydra sent by the Money Power to blow our nation-state to kingdom come. Worse, President Estrada's cluster of advisers do not seem to be equipped physically and mentally to confront the deadly enemy.

The globalist destabilization process, also known as the "London-Wall Street" operation, hit two birds (the Philippines and Malaysia) with one stone. A group of tourists were abducted by elements of the Abu Sayyaf from a Malaysian beach resort and brought to Sulu. To protect their boys from whatever military operation the Manila government may have in mind, the real handlers of the Abu Sayyaf commissioned a group of Pentecostals led by evangelist Wilde Almeda to act as human shields against Army howitzers while negotiations for the release of hostages were held.

All of the pagan empires used state-regulated religious belief as the principal means of governmental mind-control over subject populations. Each of the fallen empires of Mesopotamia practiced this. The Cult of Apollo, originally the Gaea-Python Cult at Delphi, was the principal such enemy of civilization inside ancient Greece. The Roman and Byzantine empires used state-regulated pantheons as the principal method of control of subject peoples.

As it became a world empire, the British monarchy imitated precisely such practices of its Roman and Byzantine predecessors. Henry VIII's declaring himself the Pontifex Maximus (Supreme Pontiff) of England, was used as an established precedent for the Church of England's adopted role of regulating the sundry varieties of religious beliefs among the subjects of the British Empire, and used religious channels for persisting efforts at attempting to re-conquer the United States from within.

The most successful forms of such attempted subversion are, naturally, the charismatic cults. The advantage, that of a purely arbitrary doctrine, by its nature, as the old pagan religions did, conceals its true purpose from the light of reason. **Thus, London-Wall Street's control over key sections of our population is now the single greatest security threat to the continued existence of our republic.**

The British Empire, through its World Council of Churches (WCC), invented Pentecostalism. According to Pentecostal lore, the movement began when a woman spoke in tongues in the church of Charley Fox Parham in Topeka, Kansas, in 1901. Reverend Parham spread the method until it blossomed in the famous Azusa Street, Los Angeles, revival of 1906; from there, disciples took it around the world until it reached the Philippines.

Aside from Almeda's "prayer warriors", two other intelligence operatives were commissioned to use the Mindanao hostage crisis as a cover for their secret mission, which is to establish a site for the setting up of a sophisticated communications system to be used by the separatists in reporting their

progress to their Anglo-Saxon masters. Retired Gen. Manuel Pepino revealed this information via ABS-CBN television only recently.

(Needs translation)**** Yung pag-baliktad ng dalawang French journalists at yung maguio at iba-ibang testimonya ng mga taga—JESUS MIRACLE CRUSADE as part and parcel of the script concocted by the hidden hands to destabilize not only the national economy but the body politic as well.

Over and above this "Punch and Judy" show, the separatists headed by the MILF want nothing short of independence. And no less than Hashim Salamat confirmed this by calling for the Muslims in Mindanao, "like what was done in East Timor... (with) a real result... conducted by a third party". This early, we can predict that what happened to East Timor would happen to Mindanao if it becomes successful in separating from the Philippines. Its economy will be dollarized and all oil exploration will be undertaken by Shell.

Meanwhile, the ongoing destruction of the Armed Forces of the Philippines (AFP) by globalist termites advising President Estrada continues unabated.

The Armed Forces of each nation has an historic, important and unique role to play in foreign affairs, the defense of the sovereignty, independence, and integrity of the national patrimony and, domestically, intervention as a last resort when all other police capabilities of the state have failed to maintain order.

Thus, the Armed Forces is the armed branch of the Fatherland, and its very existence coincides with that of the Fatherland. You can see, in the process before independence, that when a nation decides to seek its own path, without the imposition of a foreign will, it forms national militias to fight for independence. This was the history for all of the so-called "democratic" countries.

What has happened in recent years is an attack on our national patrimony with the process of privatizations and, also, international greed for the oil and gold of Mindanao which so concerns us.

These neo-liberals have no scruples, and say and do exactly what they want. We have to defend ourselves, and to defend ourselves we need strong Armed Forces with a deterrent capability. That is, any adversary which seeks to impose its will upon our people should have to think twice before undertaking such an adventure, out of fear for the consequences. This is why the Armed Forces have been made the main target for destruction, because everyone knows that while there are strong and powerful Armed Forces, no one can impose a will damaging to our national interests.

We must strengthen the AFP to guarantee our independence, our sovereignty, the integrity of our national patrimony which is so threatened by separatist mercenaries, narco-terrorists and dissidents. But look what's happening. The AFP is being undermined chiefly by a shrinking budget, in order to deter it from playing a major role.

Violence is being deliberately fomented in the countryside to destroy agriculture, another vital component of the national patrimony. And there is no security provided for the sale of agri-products. With agriculture destroyed, the enemy will control, through hunger, a legion of miserable people, kneeling to do anything for a plate of food. In the

end, they will control genetics, chemical supplies, and seed production, so that those who continue to farm will do so on their knees, not praying to God, but to those with money.

"To weaken or destroy a nation without a war, the most efficient strategy is—to destroy its families (by means of unemployment), radicalize its religious positions, and eliminate its defenses, acting upon its Armed Forces, weakening and demoralizing them," says a retired Brazilian Air Force general. This is exactly what is being done to us.

All over the world, terrorism experts, public officials, and even some members of the media—especially television—are rewarding terrorist acts with disproportionate coverage that plays into the hands of terrorists. Brigitte Nacos, associate professor of Political Science at Columbia University, hit the proverbial nail on the head when she surmised, "If terrorism is seen as a political theater performed for audiences... clearly the mass media plays a crucial role. Without massive news coverage, the terrorist act would resemble the proverbial tree falling in the forest." [H: Excellent observation. It is expressed magnificently here where there are still hostages, still ongoing jungle struggles—but hardly a word is mentioned as against the backdrop of the President's indiscretions in gambling misadventures.]

What, if I may ask, do you think local media's role is in Mindanao? Accomplice or Witness?

In the Indian-controlled part of Kashmir, numerous bloody assaults were launched, just at a time when the Indian government was intensifying its "Eurasian cooperation" with Russia and China. In Moscow, on August 8, exactly one year after the attacks in Dagestan had re-ignited the Chechnya conflict, a bomb exploded in the Tverskaya Street subway station. Eight people died and 93 were wounded.

In Central Asia, Tajikistan, Kyrgyztan, and Uzbekistan have come under pressure from self-styled "Islamist" guerillas, linked to the Taliban in Afghanistan. An expert said, "We are seeing a counter-offensive of radical Islamic forces, extending into the Indian subcontinent and Central Asia." Why?

On July 17-19, Russian President Vladimir Putin visited China, a continuation of the "Shanghai Five" summit held in Dushanbe, Tajikistan on July 4-5. This meeting of the heads of state of China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Tajikistan, and Kyrgyztan has created the potential for this group to become the first multi-lateral forum to promote the broad security and economic interests of Eurasia.

The nations of Central Asia, as well as China, realize that they urgently need a stable situation if they are to have a chance to develop economically. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, London-Wall Street had rushed into the region, pushing into the oil sector, and promoting the expansion of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) in the vast area from Azerbaijan to Kazakhstan—all the way to the western borders of China.

However, the Anglo-Americans have not delivered, either economically, or in promoting security. The expansion of the Shanghai Five is one of them—which infuriated the globalists.

In Europe, it is France and Spain that are under the guns in this new terrorism offensive of the globalists,

whose declared aim, separatism, is to destroy the integrity of the nation-state. From the standpoint of London-Wall Street, France represents the last bastion of the defense of the nation-state. Moreover, the French government signed a treaty of defense and cooperation with Germany, which the British consider as “an act of war”. Lately, the French government’s investigation into the activities of Echelon, the Anglo-American intelligence operation, has infuriated London even more.

In Germany, a shrapnel bomb was exploded in late July at the metro station in Dusseldorf. Used as an excuse of terrorists is that Germany is becoming a “Fourth Reich”. The bombing, of course, is nothing compared to the crash of France’s Concorde supersonic jet in Paris. **[H: How many of you still think that was some kind of an accident?]**

In Spain, ETA, the Basque separatist organization, has so far committed 18 terrorist acts, after it officially announced in December 1999 that it was ending the cease-fire which had begun in September 1998.

The LaRouche Movement has identified, in this growing number of terrorist offensives, a symptom of the imminent crash of the global financial system. Anyone who considers all these events as a whole, will recognize their common denominator. Evidently, the financial oligarchy, which has long lost control over its own system, is bent on weakening the growing resistance of emerging regional blocs, such as the ASEAN Plus 3, against global speculation and the messianic arrogance of the international financial oligarchy. What is scary is that French assertion of a degree of independence from Anglo-American policy, and joint Franco-German efforts in the financial-economic (including military domain), could trigger World War III.

Should this nuclear holocaust occur, it is certain that Russia, China, and the Central Asian Republics, along with India, Iraq, and Iran will definitely be against the Anglo-American bloc.

Eventually, President Estrada will have to decide, once and for all, whether he should stick to the London-Wall Street policy of free trade and globalization, or join nations like Malaysia in fighting against the one-world dictatorship. He cannot remain a political fence-sitter for a long time. Even chameleons like Cuba’s cigar-chomping dictator chose to abandon his Soviet sponsors when the Bolshevik Empire was about to collapse. In the meantime, Filipinos will have to be patient and bite the bullet—or the dust—while waiting for Malacanang to make its “liberty or death” decision.

[END OF QUOTING]

Well, it appears—just since this writing—that a lot of things are bustin’ out all over and decisions are being made whether or not it is a preferred turn of events.

I am always asked for my comments and, preferred, prophecy, about these things coming down the road. I suggest you check your seers but meanwhile: when starts the new millennium? Was it REALLY 2000 or will it be upcoming New Year’s day? Are you sure? I would check out those computers if I were you. Planned political chaos rarely comes off when you expect it.

Can we do our job? Yes. Will you do yours?

Salu, GCH

dharma

Doris’ Corner

10/22/00—#1 (14-67)

By Doris & E.J. Ekker

RE: DISCUSSIONS ON GOLD, VISAS AND CHAOS IN THE STREETS

CHECK ON REALITY

Am I the only one old enough to remember the “heartbreak of psoriasis” ads? Well, I personally look out over the rooftops of Manila and I get more a feeling of Ebola than psoriasis but then the skin rash certainly looks more like anemic psoriasis. Just scratch and forget it! You know: “Just endure—never cure.” (The view from our apt. window is southwest over the acres of rooftops of the Glorietta Mall with all of the vents and air-conditioning paraphernalia rusting and discolored. Not far in the distance are several windowless unfinished concrete and steel buildings of 20-40 stories tall. But if one pokes one’s head out the “patio” window to look at Ayala Avenue the view improves. Ayala Ave. is beautifully landscaped, tree-lined with more trees and short hedges in the center of eight lanes, and runs northwest toward a collection of big buildings that, from here, look more impressive than downtown L.A.)

The mind takes its side trips along the river which flows by bringing more pollution and poison and so you also let that go on by. Some times perhaps I do, after all, want to crawl back into the “basket” of Martin fame and become a “case”. God, on the other hand, says, “No, that is a luxury we cannot afford.” HE always wins and for that we are humbly grateful.

Another morning, Sunday yet, another day, another week, another month, another year—with missed birthdays, special times and always the psoriasis of this heartbreaking place in the Sea of Peace (???). It seems like God is squashing a zillion years of examples into a tiny little place in the middle of an ocean and the heart can’t hold it all. No wonder people “go to sleep” to turn off that television in the mind.

Time to renew visas. We are going to run out of passport space for stamps while thinking each 60 days will be the last needed. I really choke up when I confront the fact that we have been here so long that some of those first people here who were nice to us have gotten married and are working on second babies—but, not to give in to such circumstances, we still only know three words of Filipino among which are NOT “no” or “yes”—can’t even find translations for those. Bad English suffices to find out the bad news of the day and the new “dramas” for the daily input.

Yesterday the Philippines had to face the fact that U.S. Standard and Poors (S&P), who does “ratings” (economic/financial) “Downgraded RP rating to ‘negative’.”

Why? “The revised outlook reflects growing concerns about the government’s ability to undertake effective economic management during a period of political uncertainty” (S&P statement).

As to foreign investments pulling out, it seems that in the “second quarter” those foreign investments dived by 21%—and are non-existent in this fourth quarter.

Who knows what happened in the “third” quarter?

[QUOTING *The Philippine STAR*, Saturday, October 21, 2000:]

WB WORRIED OVER POLITICAL CRISIS IN RP

The World Bank called for urgent action yesterday to end the political crisis to prevent a further erosion of investor confidence. **[D: They must be out of their minds. What investor confidence?]**

Confidence in the Philippines has been rocked by political uncertainty, brought about by an impeachment motion by opposition parties against President Estrada. **[D: LIE. This is all brought about directly by the destruction brought forth by the IMF and WB. It is only through corruption and total degradation has it been allowed to be used as a tool to totally destroy this place and most of the rest of the world.]**

The crisis has pushed the peso to record lows and the stock market to a two-year trough.

VINAY BHARGAVA, World Bank director for the Philippines, said corruption allegations against President Estrada are a “serious matter” that must be quickly resolved.

Bhargava said the World Bank also notes “with concern” that the country’s budget deficit is well above the target for this year, and called on the government to reduce the gap so it can continue to draw on a World Bank loan package.

“It is now critical that action be taken to contain the fiscal deficit during the rest of 2000, and to include actions in the 2001 budget that will insure the budget deficit gets progressively smaller in line with the medium-term objective of a balanced budget,” he said.

The budget deficit for this year has soared to P82.98 billion for the first nine months of the year, well above the government’s deficit ceiling of P62.5 billion for the full year.

The government this week withdrew plans to tap the last \$310 million of the \$1.4-billion International Monetary Fund (IMF) loan facility after the fund refused to allow the government to raise its budget deficit target.

With the loss of the IMF loan, a \$600-million World Bank-Japan Bank for International Cooperation banking sector reform loan is also in jeopardy.

The country drew the first \$200-million portion from the World Bank-Japan Bank loan in 1999, but local officials said yesterday the government is unlikely to receive the next portion this year after failing to meet loan conditions.

Bhargava said that based on the assessment of a World Bank mission which visited Manila this week, “good progress continues to be made in implementing the banking-sector reforms.”

However, one of the requirements for the release of the second portion of the World Bank loan is “maintenance of a sound macro-economic framework”: that includes fiscal, monetary and external policies targets.

Bhargava said the country may draw from the World Bank’s banking sector reform loan until June

2001, provided its fiscal position meets the targets set with the IMF.

He explained that World Bank's conditionalities are separate from those of the IMF and that the World Bank conducts its own performance assessment of the government.

The government and the World Bank signed yesterday a \$4.79-million loan agreement for a land administration and management project.

[END OF QUOTING]

Now, get this one: The IMF "won't give permission" to use GAIA instruments "even though they are proven and validated as U.S. Treasury debt through the Federal Reserve."

The IMF/WB claims to have no control over the U.S. Treasury or Federal Reserve. (Just because they PAY our Secretary of Treasury and Attorney General, just to name two, the secret conspiracy continues to thrive.)

The Philippines, just like the U.S. and every other nation, IS IN BANKRUPTCY FORECLOSURE! Meanwhile, nobody fiddles here—they just argue over a "numbers" game. God did not do a nice thing, for us, by giving us this job. But it is sorting the "hide-and-destroy" kids from the facts-of-life crowd.

Every day the uprising gets bigger on the streets with a major rally at our location on Wednesday and then a gathering at the gates of Malacanang (White House/Capitol Compound) Friday. Just to bring your attention to the magnitude of this little "rally": "16 THOUSAND cops fielded for oust-Erap rally in Metro." Now, friends, these are taking place all over the Philippines.

Meanwhile, Erap is having his own rallies all over with the masa (masses) of "the poor"—from whom he TOOK THE GAMBLING MONEY.

Perhaps it is, after all, MY clock that needs winding?

Meanwhile, the kidnapping and murdering of the kidnapped continues unabated right in downtown Manila. Wow, what excitement and enticement to go forth as a tourist. I'm afraid to go to the airport if we could go home. To get to the airport, which from here we can SEE the planes coming and going, takes over an hour and goes through "Watts in riot costumes".

Friday (with those 16,000 cops) was another kind of day for nerves. Does anyone remember the "jellyfish" clog that closed down the electricity in Luzon (the big Island here in Paradise)? Well, Friday, the entire place from tip to tip—was without power. We got up to no electricity and no cable TV. We could not even GET information until evening. "Living dangerously"? I don't know, but even Jason Brent is beginning to look good in his mid-evil projections for mankind (please don't correct my spelling here).

So, does this shut down our program? NO, NO AND REALLY, NO! When it gets bad enough we will get some hearings.

A VERY IMPORTANT friend/leader came to visit and his words were such that touched my heart properly as to such as Malaysia, *et al.*: "Only an ACT OF GOD could stop this now." Well, God is not in the "stopping" business. So, yes, I think we can suck in our belts and live on a bit less peanut butter if we must.

We also have to help our Papua New Guinea (PNG) friend of nearly two years to get over to PNG this week because he is now in contact with National Senators who want him to meet with the Prime Minister.

For HERE, we plan a massive (wide-spread) media release with the notation that GAIA has been sending stuff to the government, including personally, the President, for more than two years. We are told

it is "time", now, to present this to the people, for we have so many deeds out that it cannot be reversed as to credibility. Not the least of which are two MAJOR-sized offerings to the Government itself for infrastructure and stabilization. FACTS ARE: IT IS NOT UP TO THE IMF OR THE WORLD BANK—AND IT APPEARS THAT THE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO INSIST ON THAT RECOGNITION.

NOW TO THAT NASTY STUFF CALLED GOLD

What seems hardest to recognize and consider for those such as the Cypriot dickering with Ron is that they don't have the "time" to spend finding out what they hold or have and argue on that lack of information—specifically here exemplified: The use of Gold. (We have been at it now some six years.)

"Belt and suspenders" just doesn't cut it, I suppose, and it SEEMS I understand it and then with the quibbling I get confused myself because the arguments are so totally off the wall.

First of all, in the Philippines where people HOLD boodles of gold, the problem is BRINGING IT IN SAFELY and selling it without it being thieved right out of their hands by Communist rebels (NPA), Moro insurgents (MILF), the local cops, the national cops, the army, or the central bank itself.

So, we have offered a plan whereby the thieves can be avoided and payment at market can be offered and we then offer \$400 per oz. to have the "difference" paid when gold market is \$400. This through a certified-licensed-permitted "international" respected gold dealer working with and through the very CENTRAL Bank process. Or, he can legally, under protection, ship it or buy it, sell it or whatever he chooses to do with it, once purchased from the holder.

Now, put that aside because that is not part of GAIA. GAIA needs gold in the central banks so has developed a program to assist, and at the same time put upward pressure on the price. So, let us look at wherein the problems seem to raise their hydra heads: at the reserve-collateral-banking level.

Our deeds are recognized as authentic U.S. Treasury debt, guaranteed by the FED, payable in gold. However, there is such trauma at the banking level and terror from the IMF (the bankers' collection agent) as to bog everyone into catatonic immobilization.

According to people who claim to have seen stacks and stacks of gold in caves and others who claim to have seen satellite maps, there are huge stocks of gold here, measured in metric tons—no foolin' around with ounces. Therefore, even though there is no NEED to have gold as such—the program completely secures itself when there is gold to balance the borrowing. Then there is no need for further interchange with the "parasites" or any argument regarding values, currency, (well, you name it). But, YES, you DO need our deeds or the program won't even get started. In other words, the deeds represent the agreements against abuse and misuse and workable programs can be functional from upstart.

This presents an industry to flourish, in that gold mining and processing grow into a MAJOR industrial business and source for employment if, as it appears, there is ample gold to put a gold base under all of the needed currency in the world. Since, however, our instruments are payable in GOLD, they could also represent "warehouse receipts" for same (actually more like a Gold Bullion Certificate). BUT: The big-boys just

drag and flag and giggle and don't quite get the transfers made. So, never mind them because the "receipts" are fine for acquiring the "real stuff" right from the meltdown furnaces. The price of gold SHALL move upward almost immediately—because the "fix" probably will no longer be in LONDON.

Now, Ron's friend suggests that everything we have is worthless because the IMF does not "approve" it. Of course, what is at stake is the sovereignty of the nation in point. If the nation wishes to continue to live under the yoke of the IMF (E.J. prefers to call it the IBC for International Banking Cartel, saying the IMF is only a tool), the nation can accept the IMF edict as some sort of gospel. We think the trade-off is easy to see: Does a nation come out better borrowing from the IMF or earning gold-based money with GAIA? Taking the Philippines as an example, we can see from the newspaper article above that neither the IMF nor World Bank plan to release any more money to the Philippines this year. Looks to us like they have been pushed into such a corner that they may have to get serious about considering GAIA. We could say, "Unfortunately, the current chaos makes it impossible to do anything in the short term," but that would ignore the fact that the chaos is what got them in the jam, so maybe things are working the way they are supposed to. As to sovereignty, as E.J. pointed out in his paper of that title, it is strictly up to a nation what it uses as banking reserves (even seashells and wicker baskets?). If it converts those reserves to gold (the GAIA plan), its currency is automatically 1st-class foreign exchange—so it no longer has to purchase dollars to pay its offshore bills.

Back to Ron's friend: What do I have to say which could end the argument? Don't argue! WE HAVE THE PROGRAM—HE/THEY DO NOT! That would seem to speak for itself—and, it is so BIG that I suggest the resource be carefully considered as to "from whence it came" and WHY it came this way.

I began this dissertation because from time to time it becomes fuzzy and writing it helps to sort and fix it in my mind. Also, I know that most of you have not yet dealt with it enough to understand it well and, when we need back-up, everything you know will be helpful. The Big Bankers that want to own the world have figured out that economic control is easier and less risky than the old military/colonial kind. The "Euro" is a perfect example. By acceding to the globalization scheme and giving up their own currencies (along with the right to issue currency as needed), they have given up their sovereignty. That's why England did not join. It may be why Denmark is having second thoughts.

Each sovereign nation has its own currency and its own central bank (except the U.S., which is not sovereign). Using the GAIA deeds as its banking reserve, the central bank issues new (at virtually no cost) money/credit to purchase gold, and then uses the gold as further reserves for the issuing of credit to commercial banks who lend money to do projects (of all kinds). In the process the central bank soon acquires enough gold to base its currency on gold (which means that it guarantees to redeem its money for gold at any time) which brings great comfort to the entity from whom the first gold was bought.

We had to modify the Philippine plan because the central bank was scared to use our deeds. So we issued the deeds to the project proponents, the associations, multi-purpose cooperatives, livelihood coops and corporations. We have offered to issue them to

provinces and municipalities but political entities are also scared. These entities are required to have a viable project to present to their banker that would nearly carry itself. Once the banker sees the value of the project, the entity can add the deed as additional collateral, thus making the loan extremely attractive to the bank. The bank shows the “future value” of the project plus the value of the deed as an addition to its reserves and can then draw funds from the central bank. If the bank follows our plan, it draws enough “new money” from the central bank to buy as much gold as the deed is worth, divides the gold between the entity and GAIA, and loans the entity (via a line of credit) what it needs for its project, based upon the gold as the collateral. (Remember, the deed was used to buy the gold.) The bank can also establish a line of credit for GAIA, which limits itself to taking no more than 20% of the value of its gold “offshore”, leaving the balance with the bank and/or using some of it for local projects that can’t otherwise qualify for a loan.

The nicest part of this is when the price of gold doubles, some of it can be sold to pay off the projects, or new ones funded. Tremendous flexibility, and all extremely secure for the banks, which by this time will be operating in an inflation-free environment so they can make money at very low interest rates. If a project fails, the bank takes the gold, or what it needs to satisfy the outstanding loan.

This does not insist that the world go on a gold standard—just those doing “business” with GAIA.

Bankers love to say, “But what if I have to collect on the deed?” E.J. says he answers them as follows: “Why would you do that, you stupid idiot? You are holding the highest quality bank reserve in the world, second only to physical gold and a lot easier to handle and account for, and you would trade it for baseless fiat paper Federal Reserve Notes, which are supposedly backed by the U.S. but, in fact, are IOUs backed by nothing? No. Hell No. Never let the deeds go, even if the U.S. Treasury seeks you out and offers to buy them back at a big premium. Keep them; you can use them forever as collateral; as banking reserves, they are, truly, “good as gold”.

The Central Banks gain mightily because they can print currency on these reserves and on that gold collateral WITHOUT inflating the currency AT ALL. The value only increases according to REAL VALUE.

Again, I stress: THE IMF/WB HAVE NOTHING TO SAY ABOUT THIS AND, ACTUALLY, NEITHER DOES THE U.S. TREASURY DEPARTMENT OR FEDERAL RESERVE. THIS IS WHY OUR AGREEMENTS HAVE BEEN DESIGNED TO STABILIZE INSTEAD OF COLLAPSE THE STRUCTURE IN OPERATION. EACH NATION REGAINS ITS SOVEREIGNTY AND CAN VERY SOON PAY OFF ALL OF ITS DEBT AND PUT ITS PEOPLE TO WORK.

God has worked the plan to such a detailed extent that even those tiny “but ifs” are covered. And, yes, I guess we CAN struggle a couple or so more months to get this on track and the steam building to push this locomotive into motion. How? I don’t know but once the program IS UNDERSTOOD, there is no longer any reason NOT TO USE IT. Our agreements are to limit the amounts of deeds we issue to \$5T per year and we are now nearly to our 6th year. Further, we can issue until the stars fall and—until it impacts the powers-that-be—we don’t even have to account to any great extent. Indeed, without push or pull, this could be THE program that one day would stabilize the U.S. as well, and without impact on the currency except to value it properly, based

on VALUE instead of empty paper and ink.

I believe that anyone capable of making a plan like this is also capable of somehow seeing to our safety, or if the plan be that safety is not propitious, then so be it. It’s a good job and somebody gets to do it! We, therefore, are as good as any and from the selection crowd, we somehow landed the position. I do not refer to E.J. and me—we are just one tiny part of the program. I just have to let God confront HIS own enemies as HE sees them. HE claims, however, to have “no enemies”. The obvious facts are that this has to be so—for the “created” cannot outdo the “creator”, lest they get uncreated right quickly and when appropriate. Certainly, I can live with that, here or there.

To you back home, there aren’t words to express the depths of appreciation for everything you do. I can certainly see why the “enemies” would try to get you to defect or whatever we might call such a move away—for we could not do this job and hold it together here except for your holding it together there.

I know, however, that the rewards will be great—and no, I don’t mean “just money”.

To John and Jean Ray, I personally thank you for the “we care” package that just arrived. Not a thing even chipped—not even the apple chips. That you would take the time, effort and funds to send candles and a lamp along with personal thoughts is beyond “touching”. And, especially, thank you for the picture of Little Crow. I wept to see him having changed from a young teacher into a mature elder on whom such responsibilities are fallen.

We can’t all look through any other’s eyes but we can focus the “glasses” so that we get a more clear vision beyond the moment. Moving beyond the frustration of the moment is a gift in itself and a lesson I have learned from that “elder” brother. And there is another example of life in the higher-lane. Relatively speaking we have spent almost no time with Little Crow—but the bond reaches beyond anything I have ever experienced. Now, whether that perception is SO matters not, for to me, it IS and is sufficient in all definition. Through him, I believe, I confronted my purpose and certainly it has not been what I “thought” I would have chosen. In my complaints to him one day he said, go look in the mirror and repeat your statement. Oh, well...

It is through his “wisdom” that I have gained “something”; “It will be exactly as it will be.” And, “It is exactly as it is.” And always, “We will have exactly what we have and be exactly where we will be and, yes, indeed, it will work out exactly as it works out to be.” Now, I ask you, with wise fortune-telling such as that, it keeps you praying for more insight, doesn’t it?

One thing for sure, it points out that it is as it is and if we wish it otherwise, WE HAVE TO DO SOMETHING TO CHANGE IT. And that works well for us. BUT, we could not physically and humanly do it alone—and thus comes the unity of we who bring strength into the binding of the fragments which form the “cable” which cannot be broken and when the cable becomes the whole, we have achieved a miracle in creation/creating.

God says: Find your purpose and your desired achievement—and MAKE IT SO! Now that is about as perfunctory as L.C.’s wisdom speakings. But, oh, boy, I could enjoy holding the medicine bundle for just a little while—again clinging to something I perceive as “touching”. Dream on, Doris dear, for the REALITY is long beyond the things in a medicine bundle. I believe God had to move us OUTSIDE the living loop of habit

and perspective to get a little perception as to reality vs. physical expression.

Are we too old to learn? No, we finally got old enough TO learn. Youth is truly only a background for growing up enough to learn new tricks and “what’s it all about, Alpha?” as the movie went.

I’m getting too nostalgic so I will return to something in the paper just noted as a sign-off. It will speak for itself in that the IMF plans up to now have not destroyed quite thoroughly enough so here we go. See, never change the goal, just the game. And no, they are NOT joking.

[QUOTING STAR, Thursday, Oct. 19, 2000, “Business”:]

RP TO ENTER NEW IMF PROGRAM

The country will enter into a new monitoring program with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) by January next year after failing to successfully graduate from its present program with the IMF.

The IMF introduced recently a new system wherein countries that end a program with the Fund can enter into a post-monitoring phase where the IMF assesses regularly the economic policies and economic developments in the country, IMF mission head Markus Rodlauer said yesterday.

This means, he said, that a daily report of government’s economic policies, plans and programs would have to be submitted on a regular basis to the IMF board.

Economic Planning Secretary Felipe Medalla said the new program will have a set of agreed upon criteria which will be monitored by the IMF. “These are sets of indicators of good economic management such as deficit, monetary targets, economic targets, inflation, balance of payments, and other structural and financial sector reforms,” Medalla said.

However, Medalla said the government and the IMF have yet to firm up the economic targets for next year. Medalla also said the government is proposing the lowering of the budget deficit target for next year to less than the proposed P85 billion. “The deficit (next year) should be lower than the actual deficit that will be incurred this year,” he explained.

The IMF, according to Medalla, has also asked if the proposed P725-billion budget for 2001 could be reduced by at least P5 billion to P6 billion. “After the proposed cuts on internal revenues allotment and interest payments, the IMF wants a substantive cut of P5 billion to P6 billion, which is not possible,” he said.

Meanwhile, Rodlauer said the IMF welcomes the progress made recently by the government on important structural reforms and looks forward to the completion of this year’s reform agenda with the passage of key pending laws on electric-power sector restructuring and amendments to the BSP (Central bank) and Philippine Deposit Insurance Corp. charters.

[END OF QUOTING]

No sweat. There are no jobs, no business, no industry, no more gambling rip-off to the government, no tax collections because there are no taxpayers left and there you have it—a new program of **daily**, not monthly, monitoring by Big Brother. Surely enough, “1984” came and went and nobody noticed!

Enough from these “tweaking fingers” who don’t qualify as a “talking head”—by definition, just a foreign correspondent. As in: one who corresponds from a foreign location. With love to all, from Doris.

THE CONSPIRATORS' HIERARCHY: THE COMMITTEE OF 300

By Dr. John Coleman
(Part 11)

This Fourth Edition Masterpiece—one of the best reference sources still available on the world would-be-king Elite Puppet-Masters—is a must resource for all libraries, public and private. This book's FOREWORD, in addition to Dr. Coleman's excellent article "Betrayal of the Philippines", was front-page-quality material in the April 5, 2000 issue of CONTACT. Other parts of this continuing series: Part 1 (8/9/00); Part 2 (8/23/00); Part 3 (8/30/00); Part 4 (9/6/00); Part 5 (9/13/00); Part 6 (9/20/00); Part 7 (9/27/00); Part 8 (10/04/00); Part 9 (10/11/00); Part 10 (10/18/00). Our appreciation to Dr. Coleman for sharing this One World Government magnum opus. See page 11 at the conclusion of this part for ordering information.

COMMITTEE OF 300

From Oxford, I went to the Victoria and Albert Museum and gained access to the papers of Lord Palmerston, one of the founders of the opium dynasty in China. Palmerston, like so many of his kind, was not only a Freemason, but also a dedicated follower of Gnosticism. Like the present royal family, Palmerston made a pretense of being a Christian but was, in fact, a practicing Satanist. Many Satanists became leaders in the hierarchy of the British aristocracy. These are the people who made immense fortunes from the China opium trade.

I learned from the papers in the museum named after Queen Victoria that she changed the name of the Order of St. John of Jerusalem in 1885—in order to break away from the Catholic connection of the Order's founder, Peter Gerard—and renamed it the "Protestant Most Venerable Order of Jerusalem". Membership was open to every oligarchical family that had made their fortune in the China opium trade—and every thoroughly decadent family received a place in the "New Order".

Many of these venerable gentlemen were responsible for overseeing the prohibition era in the United States from Canada, while several of its members supplied the whisky ferried to the United States. Notable among this group was Committee of 300 member Earl Haig, who gave his whisky franchise to Joseph Kennedy. Both prohibition and the distilleries who met the demand for alcohol were creations of the British Crown, acting through the Committee of 300. It was an experiment which became the forerunner of today's drug trade, and the lessons learned from the prohibition era are being applied to the soon-to-be-legalized drug trade.

Canada is the route most used by Far East heroin suppliers. The British monarchy sees to it that this information never becomes public. Using her powers, Queen Elizabeth rules over Canada through the Governor-General. (One wonders how modern Canadians can accept such an archaic arrangement.) The Governor-General is the Queen's PERSONAL representative, and so on down the line, to the Privy Council (yet another archaic hangover from colonialist days) and the Knights of St. John of Jerusalem, who control Canadian commerce in all of its facets.

Opposition to British rule is suppressed. Canada has some of the most restrictive laws in the world, including so-called "hate crime" laws imposed upon the country by Members of the House of Lords in England.

There have been four major trials in various places in Canada, involving persons charged with "hate crimes". These were the Finta, Keegstra, Zundel and Ross cases. Anyone who dares to try and show proof of British control of Canada (which the Bronfman's exercise), is immediately arrested and charged with so-called "hate crimes". This will give us some idea of the vastness of the reach of the Committee of 300, which quite literally, sits on top of everything in this world.

Testifying to the truth of this statement is the fact that the Committee of 300 set up the International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS), under the auspices of the Round Table. The IISS is the vehicle for MI-6-Tavistock black propaganda, wet jobs (an intelligence cover name denoting an operation where bloodshed is required), adverse nuclear incidents and terrorism, which goes to the world's press for dissemination, as well as to governments and military establishments.

Membership in the IISS includes representatives of 87 major wire services and press associations, as well as 138 senior editors and columnists drawn from international newspapers and magazines. Now you know where your favorite columnists get all of their information and opinions. Remember Jack Anderson, Tom Wicker, Sam Donaldson, John Chancellor, Mary McGrory, Seymour Hersh, Flora Lewis and Anthony Lewis *et al.*? The information provided by IISS, especially scenarios like those prepared to blacken President Hussein, and to justify the coming attack on Libya, was all specially tailor-made for the occasion. The Me Lai massacre story published by Seymour Hersh, came straight out of IISS. I provide this information to correct the perception that men like Hersh do their own research work.

The International Institute for Strategic Studies is nothing more than a higher echelon opinion maker, as defined by Lippmann and Bernays. In the writing of books and in newspapers, IISS was formed to be a coordinating center for not only creating opinions, but to getting those opinions and scenarios out much faster and to a far greater audience than could be reached by a book for example. IISS is a good example of the gridding and interfacing of Committee of 300 institutions in the field of "inner directional conditioning"—the technique developed by the Tavistock Institute.

The idea of bringing IISS into being arose out of the 1957 Bilderberger meeting. It will be recalled that the Bilderberger Conference is a creation of

MI-6 under the direction of the Royal Institute for International Affairs. Its chief function is to take future promising leaders in all endeavors—social, economic, political and religious—and turn them into top promoters of Socialism. The idea came from Alastair Buchan, son of Lord Tweedsmuir. Buchan was chairman of the Bilderbergers at the time, a board member of the RIIA and a member of the Round Table, reportedly very close to the British royal family. This was the same conference that welcomed Labor Party leader Dennis Healey to its ranks. Others in attendance were Francois Duchenes, whose mentor Jean Monet Duchenes ran the Trilateral Commission under the tutelage of H.V. Dicks from Tavistock's Columbus Center.

The governing council of this gigantic propaganda-opinion making apparatus includes the following:

Frank Kitson, a one-time controller of The IRA PROVISIONALS, the man who started the Mau-Mau insurgency in Kenya.

Lazard Freres, represented by Robert Ellsworth.

N.M. Rothschild, represented by John Loudon.

Paul Nitze, representative of Schroeder Bank. Nitze has played a very prominent and substantial role in matters of Arms Control agreements, which have ALWAYS been under the direction of the RIIA.

C.L. Sulzberger of the *New York Times*.

Stansfield Turner, a former director of the CIA.

Peter Calvocoressi, representing Penguin Books.

Royal Institute for International Affairs, represented by Andrew Schoenberg.

Columnists and Reporters, represented by Flora Lewis, Drew Middleton, Anthony Lewis and Max Frankel. It was Frankel who gave the order to "bury the Clinton-Flowers story, as it is not within our plans for the election". As we know, the torrid affair between then-Governor Clinton and his mistress of 12 years, was successfully played down by the United States media.

Daniel Ellsberg. Ellsberg was commissioned to bring discredit upon former President Nixon, and at the same time, create a negative public opinion about the Vietnam War, by his release of the top-secret "Pentagon Papers".

Henry Kissinger.

Robert Bowie, a former director of the CIA's National Intelligence Estimates.

Flowing from the 1957 Bilderberger meeting, Kissinger was instructed to open a Round Table office in Manhattan, the nucleus of which consisted of Haig, Ellsberg, Halperin, Schlesinger, McNamara and the McBundy brothers. Kissinger was directed to fill all executive positions in the Nixon administration with Round Tablers, loyal to the RIIA and, therefore, to the Queen of England. It was no accident that Kissinger chose President Nixon's old hangout, the Hotel Pierre in Manhattan, as his center of operations.

The significance of the Round Table-Kissinger operation was this:

On the orders of the RIIA chairman Andrew Schoefield, a block was placed on all agencies involved in intelligence. The block was to prevent them from giving information to President Nixon. This meant Kissinger was actually able to get *all intelligence, foreign and domestic, law enforcement information, including FBI Division 5 Reports*, before any of it was released to the president. This

made certain that all MI-6-controlled terrorist operations in the United States would have no chance of being leaked. This was Halperin's bailiwick. In 1993 President Clinton nominated Halperin for a senior post in his cabinet but, as of December 1993, Halperin's nomination is still held up by a Senate committee examining his credentials.

By working to this methodology, Kissinger at once established hegemony over the Nixon presidency, and after Nixon was disgraced and hounded from office, Kissinger emerged with unprecedented powers, such as have not been equaled before or since Watergate. Some of these seldom enumerated powers included the following:

Kissinger ordered National Security Decision Memorandum No.1 to be drafted by Halperin, who got the actual wording directly from the RIIA, via Round Table circles. The memorandum appointed Kissinger as the supreme U.S. authority and chairman of the Verification Panel. All SALT negotiations were directed from there, using Paul Nitze, Paul Warnke and a nest of traitors inside the Arms Control Mission at Geneva. This committee would block crucial information from reaching President Nixon.

In addition, Kissinger was appointed to the Vietnam Special Studies Group, which oversaw and made evaluations of all reports, civilian and military, including intelligence reports, coming out of Vietnam. Kissinger also demanded and got oversight of the "40 Committee", a super-secret agency that had the task of deciding when and where to initiate covert activities, and then monitor the progress of operations it set in motion.

Meantime, Kissinger ordered a blizzard of wiretaps by the FBI, even on his closest assistants, so as to give the impression that he was on top of everything. His inner circle was told that wiretaps on them were in force. This nearly backfired when an MI-6 operative by the name of Henry Brandon was ordered wiretapped but was not informed by Kissinger. Brandon was doubling as a reporter for the *London Times*, and Kissinger very nearly got thrown out because nobody does this to the *London Times* and gets away with it.

The full story of the Ellsberg break-in and the subsequent Watergating of Nixon is too long to be included here. Suffice to say, Kissinger took control of Ellsberg from the day that Ellsberg was recruited while he was at Cambridge. Ellsberg had always been a hardliner in favor of the Vietnam War but was gradually "converted" to a radical leftist activist. His "conversion" was only a shade less miraculous than that of St. Paul's Damascus Road experience.

The entire spectrum of the new left in the United States was the work of British intelligence MI-6 acting through its Round Table assets and the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS). Just as it did with all countries with a republican base whose policies had to be changed, IPS played a leading role, even as it does today in South Africa and South Korea. Much of IPS's activities are explained in my work, *IPS Revisited*, published in 1990.

IPS had one main function, that being to sow discord and spread disinformation resulting in chaos. One such program aimed at America's youth, is centered on drugs. Through a series of IPS fronts, acts like the stoning of Nixon's motorcade and a

large number of bombings, and a climate of deception was effectively created, one which led a majority of Americans to believe that the United States was under threat from the KGB, the GRU and the Cuban intelligence service, DGI. The word went out that a lot of these imaginary agents had close ties to the Democrats through George McGovern. It was, in fact, a model disinformation campaign for which MI-6 is so well known.

Halderman, Ehrlichman and Nixon's closest tides, had no clues as to what was happening, hence the flurry of statements emanating from the White House that East Germany, the Soviet Union, North Korea and Cuba were training terrorists and funding their operations in the United States. I doubt whether Nixon knew very much about IPS, let alone would he have suspected what it was doing to his presidency. We-the-people suffered the same high-level of disinformation during the Gulf War, when the word went out that terrorists of all stripes were about to invade the United States and blow up everything in sight.

President Nixon was literally left in the dark. He didn't even know that David Young, a Kissinger pupil, was working in the basement of the White House, supervising "leaks". Young was a graduate of Oxford and a long-time Kissinger associate through Round Table assets such as the law firm of Milbank and Tweed. President Nixon was no match for the forces arrayed against him under the direction of Kissinger who received his instructions from MI-6, on behalf of the Royal Institute for International Affairs and, hence, the British royal family.

About the only thing that Nixon was guilty of in so far as Watergate is concerned, was his ignorance of what was going on all around him. When James McCord "confessed" to Judge John Sirica, Nixon should have been onto it like a flash that McCord was playing a double game. He ought to have challenged Kissinger about his relationship with McCord, there and then. That would have thrown a spanner in the works and derailed the whole MI-6-Watergate operation.

Nixon did not abuse his presidential powers. His crime was not defending the *Constitution of the United States of America* and not charging Mrs. Katherine Meyer Graham and Ben Bradley with conspiracy to commit insurrection. Mrs. Katherine Meyer Graham's pedigree is of the most doubtful kind, as "Jessica Fletcher" of *Murder She Wrote* would soon have discovered. But even knowing that, Mrs. Graham's controllers in the Round Table would have fought hard to keep the lid on things. The role of the *Washington Post* was to keep the pot boiling by one "revelation" after another, thereby engendering a climate of public distrust of President Nixon, even when there was not one shred of evidence to support any wrongdoing by him.

Yet, it shows the immense power of the press as Lippmann and Bernays had quite properly anticipated, in that Mrs. Graham—long suspected of the murder of her husband, Philip L. Graham, which was officially classed as "suicide"—should not have retained any credibility at all. Other traitors who should have been indicted for insurrection and treason were Kissinger, Haig, Halperin, Ellsberg, Young, McCord, Joseph Califano and Norman Chomsky of IPS, plus those CIA operatives who went to McCord's house and

burned all of his papers after the Watergate break-in was "discovered". It is worth repeating that Watergate, like many other operations we do not have the space to include here, demonstrated the COMPLETE CONTROL exercised over the United States by the Committee of 300.

Because Nixon kept company with people like Earl Warren and some Mafia dons who had built Warren's house, that does not mean that he should have been disgraced over the Watergate affair. My dislike of Nixon stems from his willingness to sign the infamous *ABM Treaty* in 1972, and his all-too-cozy relationship with Leonid Brezhnev. One of the sorriest slip-ups of the Minority Council was its abject failure to expose the dirty role played by INTERTEL, the Corning Group's ugly private intelligence agency whom we have already met, who "leaked" a lot of Watergate material to Senator Edward Kennedy. Private intelligence agencies like INTERTEL have no right to exist in the United States. They are a MENACE to our right to privacy and an insult to all free men everywhere.

Blame must also fall upon those who were supposed to protect Nixon from the kind of steelmesh net that was thrown around him to isolate him. The intelligence personnel around Nixon were a poor lot, who had no knowledge of just how thorough British intelligence operations are. Indeed, they had no inkling that Watergate was a British intelligence operation in its entirety.

The Watergate plot was a *coup d'état* against the United States of America, as was the murder of John F. Kennedy. Although this fact is not recognized as such today, I am confident that when all the secret papers are finally opened, history will record that two *coups d'état*—one against Kennedy and one against Nixon—did, indeed, take place, and in their wake, brought the most violent rape and assault on the institutions upon which the Republic of the United States stands.

The individual who most deserves the title of traitor, and who is most guilty of sedition, is General Alexander Haig. This deskman-office colonel, whose paper-shuffling career did not include commanding any troops in battle, was suddenly thrust upon the scene by the invisible upper-level parallel government. Nixon once described Haig as a man who had to ask Kissinger's permission to go to the bathroom.

Haig was a product of the Round Table. He was noticed by Round Tabler Joseph Califano, one of Her Majesty's most trusted Round Tablers in the United States. Joseph Califano, legal council to the Democratic National Convention, had actually interviewed Alfred Baldwin, one of the plumbers, *a month before the burglary took place*. Califano was stupid enough to write a memorandum on his interview with Baldwin in which he gave details of information on McCord's background and why McCord had selected Baldwin to be on the "team".

Even more damaging, Califano's memorandum contained full details of transcripts of wiretap conversations between Nixon and the reelection committee; all this BEFORE the break-in occurred. Califano should have been indicted on a score of federal offenses—instead he got away with his criminal activity. Sam Ervin refused to allow Fred Thompson, Minority Council, to introduce this highly damaging evidence at the Watergate hearings, on the

spurious grounds that it was “too speculative”. This bombshell information was thus able to be suppressed.

On Round Table orders, Kissinger had Haig promoted from colonel to four star general in the most meteoric rise ever recorded in the annals of United States military history, during the course of which Haig was leapfrogged over 280 senior U.S. Army generals and high-ranking officers.

During Haig’s promotion and as a result of it, 25 senior generals were forced to resign. As a reward for his treachery toward President Nixon and the United States, Haig was subsequently given the plum job of Commanding General of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) forces, although he was *the least qualified Commander ever to hold that position*. Here again, he was leapfrogged over 400 senior generals from NATO countries and the United States.

When the news of his appointment reached the Soviet Armed Forces High Command, Marshall Orgakov recalled his three top Warsaw Pact generals from Poland and East Germany, and there was much merrymaking, clinking of glasses and quaffing of champagne until well into the dawn. All through Haig’s tenure as commander of NATO forces, the professional elite cadre of the Soviet Armed Forces—men who have never been anything else but professional soldiers with extensive battlefield experience—held Haig in the utmost contempt, and openly referred to him as “the office manager of NATO”. They knew that Haig owed his appointment to the RIIA, and not to the United States military, and that he was probably the least qualified military man in the world to hold down the top job at NATO.

But before his military promotion took him out of Washington, let it be known that Haig, in conjunction with Kissinger, all but destroyed the office of the President of the United States and its government. The chaos left by Kissinger and Haig in the wake of Watergate, has never been fully, clinically and unbiasedly chronicled to the best of my knowledge. On the insistence of the RIIA, Haig virtually took over the management of the government of the United States after the April 1973 *coup d’état*. Bringing in 100 Round Table agents—chosen from the Brookings Institution, Institute for Policy Studies and the Council on Foreign Relations—Haig filled the top 100 posts in Washington with men like himself, who were beholden to a foreign power. In the ensuing debacle, the Nixon administration was torn asunder—and the United States along with it.

Thrusting aside the pious platitudes and posturings of defending the *Constitution*, Senator Sam Ervin did more to change the United States than anything President Nixon was alleged to have done. The United States has not yet recovered from the near-mortal wound of Watergate, a Committee of 300-sponsored operation conducted by the Royal Institute for International Affairs, the Round Table and “hands on” MI-6 officers in the North American Office. Although Ervin is undoubtedly a great scholar of the *Constitution*, unhappily, in defense of party politics, he allowed his talents to be misdirected by people he did not know were working for a foreign power. It is clear to me that Ervin acted in good faith, not knowing who was controlling him and for what purposes.

The way President Nixon was first isolated,

surrounded by traitors, and then confused, followed to the letter the Tavistock method of gaining full control of a person according to the methodology laid down by Tavistock’s chief theoretician, Dr. Kurt Lewin. I have already given details of Lewin’s methodology elsewhere in this book, but in view of the textbook-perfect case of Richard M. Nixon, I think it is worth repeating:

“One of the main techniques for breaking morale through a strategy of terror, consists in exactly this tactic—keep the person hazy as to where he stands and just what he may expect. In addition, if frequent vacillations between severe disciplinary measures and promises of good treatment—together with the spreading of contradictory news—make the cognitive structure of this situation utterly unclear, then the individual may cease even to know a particular plan would lead toward or away from his goal. Under these conditions even those individuals who have definite goals and are ready to take risks are paralyzed by severe inner conflict in regard to what to do.”

Kissinger and Haig followed Tavistock training manuals to the letter. The result was a distraught, confused, frightened and demoralized President Nixon, whose only course of action, he was told by Haig, was to resign. In 1983 I wrote two works, *The Tavistock Institute: Sinister and Deadly* and *The Tavistock Institute: Britain’s Control of U.S. Policy*, based upon Tavistock secret manuals which had fallen into my hands. Tavistock Institute’s methods and actions are spelled out in these two works.

So successfully were Tavistock methods applied to unseat Nixon, that the people of this nation fully believed the calumny of the lies, distortions and set-piece contrived situations, mounted by the plotters as truth when, in fact, Watergate was a diabolical lie from beginning to end. It is important to stress this, because we have certainly not seen the last of Watergate-type operations.

What were the alleged impeachable offenses committed by President Nixon, and the so-called “smoking gun” evidence which was supposed to back up the charges? First, the “smoking gun”. This piece of FICTION was created by Kissinger and Haig around the June 22 tape, which Haig at first tried to coax, and then coerce Nixon into surrendering to Leon Jaworski.

Haig spent hours convincing Nixon that this tape would sink him, because it proved “beyond any doubt” that Nixon was guilty of serious wrongdoing and a co-conspirator in the Watergate break-in. President Nixon’s first response was to tell Haig, “It’s utter nonsense to make such a big deal of this,” but Haig kept chipping away until Nixon became convinced that he could not make a successful defense before the Senate, based solely on this particular June 23 tape!

How did Haig accomplish his mission? Acting out a scenario prepared for him by his Round Table controllers, Haig had an unedited transcript of the “smoking gun” tape typed by his staff. In reality, there was nothing on the tape that Nixon could not have explained. Sensing this, Haig then circulated his unauthorized unedited transcript of the tape among Nixon’s staunchest supporters in the House and Senate, and the Republican Party high command. Peppered with “smoking gun” and

“devastating” commentary coming from Nixon’s trusted aide, the transcript had the effect of a falcon hitting a flock of pigeons—Nixon’s supporters panicked and flew for cover.

Following up his sedition and insurrection, Haig summoned to his office Congressman Charles Wiggins, a staunch Nixon supporter who had agreed to lead the fight in the House to head off impeachment proceedings. In a barefaced, blatant lie, Wiggins was informed by Haig: “The fight is lost.” After that, Wiggins lost all interest in defending Nixon, believing that Nixon himself had agreed to throw in the towel. Haig then dealt with Senator Griffin, a leading supporter of the president in the Senate in the same way. *As a result of Haig’s seditious, treasonous activities, Senator Griffin immediately wrote a letter to President Nixon calling upon him to resign.*

Three months earlier, Round Table-controlled fellow of the Institute for Policy Studies, Marcus Raskin, had delivered EXACTLY the same ultimatum that President Nixon resign, using the British intelligence propaganda journal, *The New York Times* of May 25, to deliver it. The Watergate tragedy was a step in the irreversible transition to barbarism which is enveloping the United States—and which is propelling us into the One World Government-New World Order. The United States is now at the same place where Italy found itself when Aldo Moro tried to rescue his country from the created instability inspired by Kissinger and P2 Masonry.

With what wrongdoing was Nixon charged? John Doar—whose brutish character was well-suited to the task of bringing so-called articles of impeachment against the president—was the author and finisher of one of the most far-reaching ILLEGAL domestic surveillance counterintelligence operations ever run in the United States.

Heading the Interdepartmental Intelligence Unit (IDIU), Doar garnered information from every conceivable agency of the federal government, including the Internal Revenue Service. The program was linked to the Institute for Policy Studies. One of the highlights of John Doar’s career was to provide the CIA—which is forbidden by law to engage in domestic surveillance—with 10,000 to 12,000 names of citizens he suspected of being political dissidents, for further investigation.

On July 18, 1974, this great upholder of the law, with measured pomposity, delivered the “charges” against President Nixon, which episode was nationally televised. *There was not a single piece of evidence that Nixon had done anything impeachable.* Indeed, Doar’s pathetic litany of Nixon’s alleged “crimes” were so trivial that it is a wonder the proceedings went beyond this point. Income tax fiddling, unauthorized bombing of Cambodia and a vague “abuse of power” charge that would never have stuck in a court of law, was the best that Doar could do. The United States was in a totally unstable condition when Nixon resigned on August 8, 1974.

Nowhere was this instability more noticeable than in our nation’s economic and fiscal policies. In 1983 the international bankers met at Williamsburg, Virginia to work out a strategy to prepare the United States for the total disintegration of its banking system. This planned event was to stampede the United States

Senate into accepting control of our monetary and fiscal policies by the International Monetary Fund (IMF). Dennis Weatherstone of Morgan Guarantee on Wall Street stated that he was convinced this was the only way for the United States to save itself.

The proposal was endorsed by the Ditchley Group, which had its beginning in May of 1982 at Ditchley Park in London. On January 10 and 11, 1983, this alien group met in Washington D.C. in violation of the *Sherman Anti-Trust Act* and the *Clayton Act*, and conspired to overthrow the sovereignty of the United States of America in its monetary and financial freedom. The United States Attorney General knew of the meeting and its purpose. Instead of charging members of the group with conspiracy to commit a federal crime, he simply looked the other way.

Under United States law, proof of a conspiracy is all that is needed for a felony conviction, and there was ample evidence that a conspiracy did, indeed, take place. But as the Ditchley Foundation had met at the request of the Royal Institute for International Affairs—and was hosted by the Round Table—no one in the Justice Department had the courage to take action, as demanded by those who had sworn to uphold the laws of the United States.

The Ditchley Plan to usurp control of the fiscal and monetary policies of the United States was the brainchild of Sir Harold Lever, a strong backer of Zionism, a close confidant of members of the British royal family, and a member of the Committee of 300. Lever was a director of the giant UNILEVER conglomerate, an important Committee of 300 company. Lever's plan called for the IMF's influence to be broadened so that it could influence central banks of all nations, including the United States, and guide them into the hands of the One World Government Bank of International Settlements.

This was considered a vitally necessary step in bringing about a situation where the IMF would become the supreme arbiter of world banking. The ultra-secret January meeting was preceded by an earlier meeting in October of 1982 which was attended by representatives of 36 of the world's top banks, who met at the New York Vista Hotel. Security for the October 26-27 seminar was as tight as anything ever seen in the Big Apple. This earlier Ditchley Group meeting also violated United States law.

Addressing the meeting, Lever said it was essential that national sovereignty, as an archaic hangover, must be ended before the year 2000. "The United States will soon have to realize that it will be no better than any Third World country when the IMF takes control," Lever said. It was later reported to the delegates that plans to appoint the IMF as the controller of United States fiscal policies were being readied to be brought before the United States Senate by the year 2000.

Rimmer de Vries, speaking for Morgan Guarantee, said it was high time that the United States became a member of the Bank of International Settlements. "There must be a reconsideration of U.S. hesitancy over the past 50 years," De Vries declared. Some British and German bankers, fearing possible violations of U.S. law, said that the Ditchley Group was no more than

a committee to iron out exchange-rate problems. Felix Rohatyn also spoke of the great need to change United States banking laws, so that the IMF could play a greater role in this country. Rohatyn headed Lazard Freres, a Club of Rome bank and part of the Eagle Star Group, which we have already met.

Round Tablers William Ogden and Werner Stang spoke enthusiastically in support of surrendering U.S. fiscal sovereignty to the International Monetary Fund and the Bank of International Settlements. Delegates representing the Alpha Banking Group, a P2 Freemasonry bank, said the United States must be forced to surrender to "the higher authority of a world bank", before any progress toward the New World Order could be made.

On January 8, 1983, before their big meeting on January 10 and 11, Hans Vogel, a leading Club of Rome member was received at the White House. President Ronald Reagan had been invited by George Shultz, Caspar Weinberger, George Kennen and Lane Kirkland to be present at the meeting with Vogel, who explained to Reagan what the aims and objectives of the Ditchley Group were. From that day, Reagan did an about face and worked with the Committee of 300's various agencies to advance the International Monetary Fund and the Bank of International Settlements as the authority on U.S. domestic and foreign monetary policies.

The invisible government of the Committee of 300 has put tremendous pressure on America to change its ways—for the worse. America is the last bastion of freedom. **If we refuse to allow our freedom to be taken away from us, progress toward a One World Government will be considerably slowed—if not made completely impossible.** Such an undertaking as a One World Government is a massive one, requiring a great deal of skill, organizing abilities, control of governments and their policies. The only organization that could possibly have undertaken this mammoth task with any hope of success is the Committee of 300—and we have seen just how far it has already progressed toward total success.

Above all, the battle is a spiritual one. Unfortunately, the Christian churches have become little more than social clubs run by the infinitely evil World Council of Churches (WCC), whose beginnings lie not in Moscow but in the City of London, as we see from the chart at the end of the book, which gives the structure of the One World Government Church. This body was set up in the 1920s to serve as a vehicle for One World Government policies and stands as a monument to the long-range planning capabilities of the Committee of 300.

Another corrupt body similar in structure and design to the WCC, is the Union of Concerned Scientists, set up by the Trilateral Commission and funded by the Carnegie Endowment Fund, the Ford Foundation and the Aspen Institute. This is the group that has led the fight to prevent the United States from mounting an effective deterrent against Russian advanced weaponry.

The United States SDI program was designed to counter the threat posed by the Soviets, a threat which still exists in spite of the assurances that "Communism is dead". Soviet spokesman Georgi Arbatov told a meeting of the Union of Concerned

Scientists that it was important for them to oppose the SDI program, because if the SDI program became operational, "It will be a military catastrophe." Year after year, the Union of Concerned Scientists has opposed every budget which included funding for the vital SDI program, until by the end of 1993, there is not even enough money to fund the further research still required, let alone place the system in orbit. The Union of Concerned Scientists is run by the Royal Institute for International Affairs and is heavily infiltrated with MI-6 British intelligence agents.

There is not one single aspect of life in America that is not watched over, steered in the "right" direction, manipulated and controlled by the invisible government of the Committee of 300. There is not one elected official or political leader who is not subject to its rule. No one thus far has gotten away with defying our secret rulers, who do not hesitate to make "a horrible example" of anyone, including the President of the United States of America.

From 1776—when Jeremy Bentham and William Petty (the Earl of Shelburne), fresh from the triumph of the French Revolution which they had planned and run, were drafted by the British Crown to bring their combined experience to bear against the colonists—to 1812, when British military forces sacked and burned Washington, destroying secret documents that would have exposed the treason being worked against the young United States of America, to the Watergating of President Nixon and assassination of President Kennedy, the hand of the Committee of 300 is clearly visible.

This book is an attempt to open the eyes of the American people to this terrible truth: We are not an independent nation, nor can we ever be one, as long as we are ruled by an invisible government—the Committee of 300.

[END OF PART 11]

* * * * *

To order this title or any of Dr. Coleman's publications, or to receive a copy of Dr. Coleman's catalog and *World In Review* magazine, please call (800) 942-0821 or Write To:

W.I.R.

2533 North Carson Street, Suite J-118
Carson City, NV 89706

CONTACT Staff

Margie Berndt

Mark Moore

John & Jean Ray

Rex Smith

Princeton Winton

Editorial Policy

The opinions of CONTACT contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the staff or management.

Blood Ritual

By Philip De Vier

(Part 14)

This continuing series will be run in its entirety. The previous dates for parts run in CONTACT are as follows: Part 1 (6/14/00); Part 2 (7/12/00); Part 3 (7/19/00); Part 4 (7/26/00); Part 5 (8/2/00); Part 6 (8/23/00); Part 7 (8/30/00); Part 8 (9/6/00); Part 9 (9/20/00); Part 10 (9/27/00); Part 11 (10/4/00); Part 12 (10/11/00); Part 13 (10/18/00). Author contact and ordering information are on page 15, following the conclusion of this part. Again, we thank the author for his generosity in sharing with us this timely, extensively researched and well-written work.

CHAPTER NINE

FINAL REPORT AND ANALYSIS

“When you remove the impossible, whatever remains, however improbable, must be the truth.”—Sherlock Holmes in the novels of Sir Arthur Conan Doyle

“...[we] confirmed a rule of criminal investigation first promulgated in Arthur Conan Doyle’s Sherlock Holmes stories, and made a part of the B.S.U. canon [Behavioral Sciences Unit of the F.B.I.]... Once all other possibilities are eliminated, whatever is left, however improbable, is what happened.”—From THE EVIL THAT MEN DO (1998), a true story of F.B.I. profilers.

CONCLUSION

As a result of a survey and evaluation of the evidence, probable motives, Profile and M.O., we demonstrated the existence of a small, perverse, covert, conspiratorial cabalistic death cult deep below the outer trappings of Judaism. This cult has operated for many centuries. There is ample probable cause to believe that at least some of the murder cases represent the actions of this sinister secretive sub-sect. The motive for these crimes is a basic one—revenge for centuries of oppression and suppression. Deeper underlying motives also involve psychosexual perversion. This motive and the actions of the cult are traced throughout the book from ancient biblical times to the modern era. As we studied the accounts of the slayings, the grisly *Modus Operandi* became sickeningly self-evident.

Analysis Of The Process

As the 20th Century dawned, almost all the old accusations against the Jews ceased. Yet one old legend and folktale, that of ritual human sacrifice, kept popping with an annoying persistency. Reports of ritual crimes continued. Did a cult of ritual killers really exist? We know that if the situation were different, and this much evidence pointed toward almost any other ethnic group (especially “Whites”) we would have cries for a wider investigation. If a “White supremacist” or “Islamic terrorist” group were accused of serial murders over the centuries, mostly of children, we would spend tax dollars investigating, and the victim groups would cry out for justice—and reparations. What if it were alleged that a cult among White slave owners practiced ritual torture and murder? All kinds of public and private agencies would mount a witch hunt with a hearty hue and cry! If these crimes of terror were committed against African-American or Asian-American or Hispanic children, a “task force” would long ago have taken a closer look. Because of the Jews’ status as a government-protected minority special-interest group, this won’t happen.

Just as we have condemned a blanket dismissal of the Blood Accusations, we also oppose a blanket acceptance of

every accusation. Neither position is objective or scientific. A certain number of cases, as the Jews and their adherents so eloquently point out, are solely the result of ignorance, bigotry and hatred. In other words, the Jews got a “bum rap”. Another group of cases has very little extant documentation after the long passage of time, wars, and natural disasters. They were attributed to the Jews, but we have no way of making a fair judgment. We may exclude yet another group due to misinterpretation of the evidence. Not every brutal knife murder with vicious slashing and blood loss, whether committed by a Jew or not, qualifies as a ritual crime, so another small parcel of cases may represent more bum raps.

What happens when we peel away the layers of the false and the impossible? What remains is a group of cases and events where the evidence appears to indicate the work of a serial killer cult, motivated by centuries of hate and revenge, but also seeking to satisfy their magical ritualistic needs. When the practices of this cult are examined under a bright modern light, we cannot help but see a strong Pedophile psychosexual perversion as an underlying motive. This murderous sub-sect is a succession of murderers passing on the dreadful secrets from one generation to another. We know from our study that the Jews have a violent and checkered past, often with strong motifs of revenge. The *Old Testament* examples of child sacrifice are too powerful to ignore or rationalize. Moloch was a powerful god, even a rival to Yahweh as some believed the evil fire-sacrifice deity was just another manifestation of the God they already worshipped! Revenge is a powerful motivator. The accompanying quotation and a full understanding of its implications not only serve to further illustrate the primal revenge motif, it might even help make some sense of our confusing world situation. In the matter or these crimes, like the larger question, if we never address the underlying causes of terrorism, the acts will continue unabated.

“It is doubtful the oppressed ever fight for freedom. They fight for pride and power—power to oppress others. The oppressed want above all to imitate their oppressors; they want to retaliate [i.e., seek revenge].”—Eric Hoffer in THE TRUE BELIEVER... [Emphasis and comment added.]

Have We Achieved Our Investigative Goals?

We have succeeded in piercing the iron curtain of silence and obscurantism that enveloped this topic after the Second World War. Enough evidence and testimony remain to convince us that a murder cult of serial killers could have perpetrated at least some of the crimes alleged in the hundreds of years of Blood Accusations. With investigative goals, we clearly established a motive for the crimes—that of basic revenge. We have reviewed a large number of accounts of alleged cases of ritual murder over a period of hundreds of years. Many resulted in convictions in the duly appointed courts of the day. In some cases, the evidence seems incontrovertible. Much depends on

how one interprets the evidence, which in turn depends on one’s willingness to look beyond the “usual suspects” of ignorance and anti-Semitism to a deeper truth—some Jews, albeit few, did these diabolical deeds. There is too much feel of authenticity in some of the stories to casually shrug off the allegations because the conclusion is unpleasant or politically incorrect. We amassed all the known facts, verdicts, confessions, testimony, affirmations and contradictions, all the soupy fog that surrounds this topic, and then attempted to distill whatever truth that remains after all the years.

At least some of the hundreds of cases over hundreds of years must be valid and true instances of ritual murders perpetrated by a cult of transgenerational serial killers. This was a tiny, but violent conspiratorial Jewish religio-magical sect based on an aberrant and perverted belief system. This “Secret Cabala” or “Secret *Talmud*” was effectively passed on through a strong oral tradition and through their rites of initiation. This covert credo sanctioned and prescribed human sacrifice not only as a way of obtaining revenge. The murder and bleeding of the victim were the tools for obtaining the ritual blood, believed specially imbued with power. On a deeper level the ritual gave a veneer of legitimacy to practices that are best described as sadistic child abuse and Pedophilia. Many ordinary Jews did not know of or did not believe in the existence of these hideous practices. Others were coerced into participating in or covering up the crimes. An outer circle of “auxiliary” members or supporters did know about it and worked hard to conceal its existence. Absolute denial was the chosen vehicle for dealing with the problem.

There is a very definite *Modus Operandi* in the exaggerated and peculiar ritualism characteristic of the murders. The primal motivating force behind the crimes is resentment and desire for vengeance in reaction to severe oppression. This archetypal revenge urge strikes out on the literal and the symbolic levels. Literally, through the act of murder, and symbolically, through the perverse Satanic ceremonies that degraded and defiled the victim, and through the victim, the hated Christian religion. The opportunity for acting out Sadism and Pedophilia is an important underlying part of the motive. Their psychosexual perversions were given free rein in the ritual perpetration of the cult.

We have crimes, suspects, M.O. and motive. We answered the basic investigator’s litany of Who, What, How, When, Where and Why. Until these old charges are honestly and truthfully faced, they will continue creeping out at the most embarrassing and inopportune times. The Genie can never truly be put back in the bottle. Pandora can’t close her box once the ugly contents make their way out. Only the cleansing light of day and the pure spirit of confession and forgiveness can remove this persistent stain.

Could Ritual Murders of the Classic Pattern Occur Today?

“Remember that the constant rule of the secret societies is that the real authors never show themselves.”—Andre Baron

As we saw previously, the question is not whether the Jews were capable of such crimes. The overwhelming evidence of history is that they, along with the rest of humanity, are subject to the same archetypal forces and drives that impel us all to both the heights of greatness and the depths of despicable depravity. The real question is whether the Jews did the deeds of which they stand accused. We looked at the evidence and the literature, and we have probable cause to suspect that at least some of these cases were genuine ritual murders. But that conclusion further begs the question of whether these

heinous crimes still occur today. As a result of the Allied tidal wave of victory in the Second World War, it is now politically impolite and incorrect to even pose such a query. In some “modern democratic” nations such as Germany, you can do jail time for printing or speaking about the issue. As a result of their “baptism” as the greatest martyrs of World War II (although Stalin killed 25,000,000+ Russians and others), the Jews are washed clean of any misdeeds, alleged or otherwise. This is an era of apologizing to and compensating the Jews with monuments, museums and cash reparations for their martyrdom and suffering. They have finally escaped from being considered the race of the Devil, that mantle now passed to the Germans. Numbed by the ultra-violent and explosive age, we are no longer shocked by sordid, lurid crimes, including those with ritual overtones. We have become inured to them, no longer shocked by descriptions of terrible murders and ethnic cleansings. With the increased fantasy violence on television and the movies, plus the increased actual violence in school shootings and other terrorist acts, we might never see a story about a mysterious child murder. Globally, thousands of children (and others) disappear each year, never seen again. While some have postulated that Satanic groups and other violent sects might be responsible for some of the disappearance, this is as close to a ritual murder accusation as you will ever hear in today’s controlled media.

Concealing One Ritual Crime Under the Trappings of Another

If a classic ritual murder were to occur, how could it be hidden from view? It is possible, sometimes, to conceal one kind of crime under the outward trappings of another. Let’s say a Jewish ritual murder occurred, according to the M.O. we have established. A victim could be kidnapped a few days before Purim, crucified upside down, his blood emptied into a ritual vessel. How could we conceal it from detection? Easy! First choose a victim from a lower socio-economic group, one that has little political clout. Just kill a few chickens, cats or other small animals. Gut them and leave their carcasses, blood and feathers spread about. Add some cowrie shells, some Voodoo or Santeria candles or wax images, and, *voilà*, the Cubans or the Haitians get the blame. In another scenario, or in conjunction with the one just stated, you could leave drug paraphernalia and a small amount of narcotics at the scene, then shoot the victim up with Heroin. The whole scene might be written of as just another “drug related crime” with “cultic overtones”.

Issues in Investigating and Prosecuting Ritual and Cultic Crimes

In all fairness we must say that only a handful of police detectives or private investigators have had much training or experience with cultic crimes. The average uniformed officer will have even less. Even those with some degree of experience may focus only on outward signs and clues. Most investigators work a heavy schedule. Even if inclined to probe further, time and other resources are simply not available. Add to this the powerful taboo against saying anything remotely critical of certain government-protected ethnic groups, and you see how difficult it would be to pierce the veil of illusion. Also know that no police or prosecutorial career would ever be advanced by raising such an accusation.

So What Happened To This Murderous Cult?

It is demonstrably evident that the cult continued its bloody rites into the late 19th and early 20th Centuries. With the disruption of Europe during and following the nightmare years of W.W. I and W.W.

II, and its split into East and West blocs during the subsequent “Cold War”, the trail becomes shadowy and hard to follow. So as you can see, there is no easy answer to the question of if this cult’s ritual crimes transpire in the present. We see that they could happen, but we cannot know for sure. Could the cult have been suppressed or totally quashed by the Jewish leadership once modern and enlightened ideas took hold? This is quite possible, and it makes good sense. This hideous vengeance and murder cult, with its underlying Pedophile deviancy, caused the death of untold Jews who suffered pogroms spurred on by a ritual murder accusations. It is no wonder riots and disturbances broke out in reaction to these despicable crimes. As Jewry became more centralized in the 18th and 19th Centuries through the founding of (non-secret) international Zionist organizations, the leadership surely saw the ritual murder sect as an embarrassment and impediment as they advanced more and more into positions of power, wealth and influence all over the world. An independent Zionist state was the ultimate goal. They knew that an ugly example of savagery and child abuse would only harm their cause. Surely, they concluded that the cult had to go.

But it could still exist. If so it may have switched its base of operations to Third World countries where crimes are difficult to solve and influence easily bought. The hiding of one ritual crime beneath the veneer of another one is an even more viable concealment strategy under those circumstances. “Snuff films” (films or videos of actual brutal murders) were made by criminal producers who bought their victims, filming the action in Latin American and Asian safe havens. When news of these diabolical films and videos first surfaced in the 1970s and 1980s, there was much disbelief expressed in some segments of the media. However, after more evidence and documentation, these films proved genuine. In addition, there are films and photographs reputed to be those of an actual Satanic “black mass” and human sacrifice. Are these real? In the age of digitized photography, it is almost impossible to say. Yet as the reports come in from all over the world about this grisly phenomenon, some simply dismiss them all as fantasies. But if someone goes to the trouble to make a realistic fake of such a horrible rite, isn’t it just as sensible to think that someone else might not be satisfied with a mere simulation, thirsting for real blood? Given the evidence we have reviewed, could a Jewish ritual sacrifice be preserved on videotape? Given the reclusive and understandably paranoid M.O., we would say no. Who can really say? Pedophiles, including Satanists, seemingly operate more or less openly in Asian cities like Bangkok or Calcutta *et al.*, where money buys whatever perversion you want. If “kiddie porn” snuff films are possible (and they are), then one may presumably arrange a ritual sacrifice. Or they could be right here with us in America and Europe. In the U.S. and Canada, hundreds of children and young adults disappear annually, never seen again. Some are the victims of serial killers, spree killers, sexually motivated slayers—and cultic ritual killers of one type or another. If a Jewish Ritual Murder Pedophile Cult survives, it certainly (as it always has) lies beneath many layers of concealment and protection. After all, it has had twenty centuries to learn, experiment, hone and develop its skill in clandestine cabalistic activity, concealment and disinformation.

AFTERWORD

Because of the volatile and ultra-sensitive nature of this book, a final word is in order. Some will dismiss the project as anti-Semitic and/or anti-religious. Others will say that we only repeat an old canard, a relic of more ignorant times, with nothing to commend it for reconsideration. Yet some others may say we are attempting to profit from a vile calumny. None of this is our purpose. We reexamined and reorganized the still-extant data and re-presented it in a truthful and straightforward manner. We pander to no one, especially groups with centuries of vested interests and preconceived agendas for our world. We admit our bias—a dislike for powerful monolithic groups with secret or unstated agendas they seek to impose on culture and society. We are completely unapologetic for this, and have no shame whatsoever in shining a spotlight on their covert and clandestine activities. Truly religious people, Christian, Jewish or others, should not feel that we have impugned their beliefs. If we have appeared unnecessarily blunt or direct, we apologize. On the other hand, however, any belief that rejects the findings of truth and free inquiry doesn’t deserve much respect anyway. Unfortunately, most religious establishments have only institutional survival, power and control at the core of their value systems, offering little, if any, valid insight into ritual crime and its reality. For those who might be so naive, don’t “share” this book with your pastor!

So, if we are dubbed insensitive, reckless and tasteless, well, it’s your call. During certain private investigations we did some “dumpster diving”. We got dirty, sometimes filthy (there was this dead dog once, but we’ll save that story for another book). But we always made sure we dug to the very bottom of the pile, no matter how ugly the contents, before giving up. Sometimes the papers or clues we sought lay beneath just one or two more layers of trash. We found it because we were willing to go where others would not. As we have come to say, “To get to the gold, you have to dig in the dirt.” In the spirit of revisionist historiography, we made a fresh examination of the evidence so that readers can make their own decisions about a series of usually suppressed and little-known historical events. You, the reader of this report, are the judge and jury—the final decision is yours.

“For nothing is hid that shall not be made manifest, nor anything secret that shall not be known and come to light.”—Saint Luke 8:17

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF KEY WORKS CONSULTED

Abrahams, Israel and Roth Cecil as Editor and Reviser, *JEWISH LIFE IN THE MIDDLE AGES* (London: Goldston, 1932).

Anderson, M.D., *A SAINT AT STAKE: THE STRANGE DEATH OF WILLIAM OF NORWICH, 1144* (London: Faber and Faber, 1964).

Ausubel, Nathan. *PICTORIAL HISTORY OF THE JEWISH PEOPLE* (New York: Crown, 1984).

Balsiger, David W., *WITCHCRAFT AND SATANISM RITUAL CALENDAR* (Costa Mesa, CA: Writeway, 1991).

Bauer, Yehuda and Mendes-Flohr, Paul, eds., *THE JEW IN THE MODERN WORLD* (Oxford: 1980).

Bordon, Morton, *JEWS, TURKS AND INFIDELS* (Chapel Hill, NC: 1984).

Braham, Randolph, *THE POLITICS OF GENOCIDE:*

- THE HOLOCAUST IN HUNGARY* (New York: 1981).
- Burton, Sir Richard, *THE JEW, THE GYPSY AND EL ISLAM* (London and New York: H.S. Stone Co., 1898).
- Cantor, Norman, Gen. Ed., *THE ENCYCLOPEDIA OF THE MIDDLE AGES* [p. 261] (New York: Viking, 1999).
- Carus, Paul, *THE HISTORY OF THE DEVIL AND THE IDEA OF EVIL* (Chicago: Open Court Publishing Company, 1900), re-published under the same title (New York: Gramercy Press, 1996).
- Cornwell, John, *THE HIDING PLACES OF GOD: A PERSONAL JOURNEY INTO THE WORLD OF RELIGIOUS VISIONS, HOLY OBJECTS, AND MIRACLES* (New York: Warner Books, 1991).
- Cornwell, John, *POWERS OF DARKNESS, POWERS OF LIGHT: TRAVELS IN SEARCH OF THE MIRACULOUS AND THE DEMONIC* (London: Viking, 1991).
- Coudehove-Kalergi, Count Heinrich, *ANTI-SEMITISM THROUGH THE AGES* (Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 1935).
- Coulton, George Gordon, *THE INQUISITION* (New York: H. Smith Co., 1929).
- Cross, F. L., Ed., *THE OXFORD DICTIONARY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH* [Includes an Entry for Saint William of Norwich] (Oxford and New York: Oxford U. Press, 1989).
- Cruz, Joan Carroll, *EUCCHARISTIC MIRACLES* (Rockford, IL: Tan Books and Publishers, Inc., 1987).
- Cryer, F., *DIVINATION IN ANCIENT ISRAEL AND ITS NEAR EASTERN ENVIRONMENT* (Sheffield, 1995).
- De A'Morelli, Richard and Reavis, Sharana, *THE BOOK OF MAGICKAL AND OCCULT RITES AND CEREMONIES* (West Nyack, NY: Parker Pub., 1980).
- Eban, Abba, *CIVILIZATION AND THE JEWS* (New York: Summit Books, 1984).
- F.B.I. HANDBOOK OF FORENSIC SCIENCES* (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice).
- Flannery, Edward H., *THE ANGUISH OF THE JEWS* (New York: 1965).
- Franck, Adolf, *THE KABBALAH* (New York: Bell Pubs., 1940).
- Fyfe, J. Hamilton, *MERCHANT ENTERPRISE* (Edinburgh and New York: T. Nelson & Sons, 1872).
- Gaster, Theodore H., *PASSOVER: ITS HISTORY AND TRADITIONS* (New York: Abelard, Schuman, 1958).
- Gilbert, Martin, *THE HOLOCAUST* (New York: Henry Holt, 1985).
- Ginzburg, L., *THE LEGENDS OF THE JEWS*, English translation in four volumes (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society of America, 1909).
- Goldberg, Daniel, *THE JEWISH PEOPLE, THEIR HISTORY AND RELIGION* (New York: Penguin, 1987).
- Goldberg, Daniel Jonah, *HITLER'S WILLING EXECUTIONERS* (New York: 1992).
- Grayzel, Solomon, *A HISTORY OF THE JEWS* (New York: Jewish Pub. Society of Amer., 1968).
- Grayzel, Solomon, *THE CHURCH AND THE JEWS IN THE 13th CENTURY* (Philadelphia: 1933).
- Hatonn, Gyeorgos Ceres**, *BIRTHING THE PHOENIX*, Volumes I-IV, Numbers 222-225 of *THE PHOENIX JOURNALS* (Las Vegas, NV: Phoenix Source Distributors, Inc., 1998).
- Hilberg, Raul, *THE DESTRUCTION OF THE EUROPEAN JEWS* (New York: 1985).
- Hsia, R. Po-chia, *THE MYTH OF RITUAL MURDER: JEWS AND MAGIC IN REFORMATION GERMANY* [Contains a large bibliography of German source materials.] (New Haven: Yale U. Press, 1988).
- Johnson, Paul, *A HISTORY OF THE JEWS* (New York: Harper and Row, 1987).
- Jung, Carl Gustav, *MAN AND HIS SYMBOLS* (New York: Doubleday, 1964).
- Karolides, Nicholas J., Bald, Margaret and Sova, Dawn B., *100 BANNED BOOKS* [By definition, then, actual banned books would not appear in this volume!] (Checkmark Books: 1999).
- Koestler, Arthur, *THE THIRTEENTH TRIBE, THE KHAZAR EMPIRE AND ITS HERITAGE* (New York: Random House, 1976).
- Lea, Henry Charles, *A HISTORY OF THE INQUISITION IN SPAIN* in Four Volumes (New York: Macmillan, 1922).
- Lee, Martin A., *THE BEAST REAWAKENS* (Boston: Little, Brown & Co., 1997).
- Leese, Arnold, *MY IRRELEVANT DEFENSE: MEDITATIONS INSIDE GAOL AND OUT ON JEWISH RITUAL MURDER* (London: I.F.L. Pubs., 1938).
- Lindemann, Albert S., "Anti-Semitism: Banality or the Darker Side of Genius?" in *RELIGION*, (Vol. 18, pp. 183-195, 1988).
- Lindemann, Albert S., *THE JEW WAS ACCUSED: THREE ANTI-SEMITIC AFFAIRS, DREYFUS, BEILIS, FRANK, 1894-1915* (New York: Cambridge U. Press, 1991).
- Lindo, Elias H., *THE HISTORY OF THE JEWS OF SPAIN AND PORTUGAL* (London: Longman, 1848).
- Linedecker, Clifford L., *HELL RANCH: THE NIGHTMARE TALE OF VODOO, DRUGS AND DEATH IN MATAMOROS* (Austin: Diamond Books, 1989).
- Lipman, V.D. *THE JEWS OF MEDIEVAL NORWICH* (London: 1967).
- Littman, David, "Syria's Blood Libel Revival At The UN: 1991-2000" in *MIDSTREAM* (February-March 2000).
- Lukas, Rev. P., *EUCCHARISTIC MIRACLES* (Clyde, MO: Benedictine Convent of Perpetual Adoration, 1926).
- Mannix, Daniel P., *THE HISTORY OF TORTURE* (New York: Dell Publications, 1964).
- Maples, W.R. and Browning, M., *DEAD MEN DO TELL TALES: THE STRANGE AND FASCINATING CASES OF A FORENSIC ANTHROPOLOGIST* (New York: Doubleday, 1999).
- Marcus, Jacob R., *THE JEW IN THE MEDIEVAL WORLD* (Cincinnati: Sinai Press, 1938).
- Marsden, Victor E., Ed., *THE PROTOCOLS OF ZION*, (No Publisher Given: Dated 1934).
- Michaud, Stephen G. with Hazelwood, Roy, *THE EVIL THAT MEN DO: F.B.I. PROFILER ROY HAZELWOOD'S JOURNEY INTO THE MIND OF SEXUAL PREDATORS* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1998).
- Monmouth, Thomas of, *THE LIFE AND MIRACLES OF SAINT WILLIAM OF NORWICH*, edited by A. Jessop and M. R. James (Cambridge: 1896).
- Muth, Annemarie S., Ed., *FORENSIC MEDICINE SOURCEBOOK* [First Edition] (Detroit: Omnigraphics, 1999).
- Noth, M., *THE HISTORY OF ISRAEL* (Philadelphia: Westminster, 1950).
- Oke, Isaiah (as told to Joe Wright), *BLOOD SECRETS, THE TRUE STORY OF DEMON WORSHIP AND CEREMONIAL MURDER* (Buffalo: Prometheus Books, 1989).
- Potok, Chaim, *WANDERINGS: CHAIM POTOK'S HISTORY OF THE JEWS* (New York: Knoph, 1983).
- Ransland, Katherine, *PIERCING THE DARKNESS: UNDERCOVER WITH VAMPIRES IN AMERICA TODAY* (New York: Harper Prism, 1998).
- Rogger, Hans, "The Beilis Case: Anti-Semitism and Politics in the Reign of Nicholas II" in *SLAVIC REVIEW* (Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 615-629, Dec. 1986).
- Roper, Jack, *ANALYZING OCCULT ACTIVITY* (Milwaukee: C.A.R.I.S., 1989).
- Roth, Cecil, *A HISTORY OF THE JEWS* (New York: Schocken Books, 1954).
- Roth, Cecil, *A HISTORY OF THE JEWS IN ENGLAND* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1941).
- Roth, Cecil, *A HISTORY OF THE MARRANOS* (Philadelphia: Jewish Pub. Society of Amer., 1932).
- Roth, Cecil, "The Feast of Purim and the Origin of the Blood Accusation" in *Speculum* (October 1933).
- Roth, Cecil, *THE RITUAL MURDER LIBEL AND THE JEW, THE REPORT BY CARDINAL LORENZO GANGANELLI [POPE CLEMENT XIV]*, (London: Woburn Press, 1935).
- Runes, Dagobert D., *OF GOD, THE DEVIL AND THE JEWS* (New York: Philosophical Library, 1952).
- Runes, Dagobert D., *THE JEW AND THE CROSS* (New York: Philosophical Library, 1965).
- Runes, Dagobert D., *THE WAR AGAINST THE JEW* (New York: Philosophical Library, 1968).
- Samuel, Maurice, *BLOOD ACCUSATION: THE STRANGE HISTORY OF THE BEILISS CASE* (New York: Knoph, 1966).
- Scott, Martin, *MEDIEVAL EUROPE* (London: Longmans, 1964).
- Seiden, Martin Irving, *THE PARADOX OF HATE: A STUDY IN RITUAL MURDER* (New York, London: Thomas Yoselhoff, 1967).
- Seligman, Kurt, *MAGIC, SUPERNATURALISM AND RELIGION* (New York: Random House, 1948).
- Showalter, Dennis E., *LITTLE MAN, WHAT NOW? DER STURMER IN THE WEIMAR REPUBLIC* (Hamden CT: 1982).
- Smith, LL.D., William, *SMITH'S BIBLE DICTIONARY* (New York: Pyramid, 1967).
- Strack, H.L., *DAS BLUT IM GLAUBEN UND ABERGLAUBEN DER MENSCHEN, MIT BESONDERER BERUECKSICHTIGUNG DER VOLKSMEDIZIN UND DES JUSISCHEN BLUTRITUS*, Eighth Edition (Munich: 1900). Translated into English as *THE JEW AND HUMAN SACRIFICE* (London: 1909).
- Streicher, Julius, Editor/Publisher, *Der Sturmer* Newspaper, Special 'Ritual Mord' Edition of May 1934 [English Translation by Eva-Maria Hood, Edited by L. Craig Fraser and Thomas E. O'Brien]. (Originally Published in Nuremburg, Germany: May 1934. Re-published by Sons of Liberty Books in 1976).
- Summers, Rev. Montague, *HISTORY OF WITCHCRAFT AND DEMONOLOGY* (London: 1926).
- Svraray, Yaron, *GODS OF DEATH: AROUND THE WORLD, BEHIND CLOSED DOORS, OPERATES AN ULTRA-SECRET BUSINESS OF SEX AND DEATH, ONE MAN HUNTS THE TRUTH ABOUT SNUFF FILMS* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1997).
- Szajkowski, Zosa, "The Impact of the Beiliss Case on Central and Western Europe" in *THE AMERICAN ACADEMY FOR JEWISH RESEARCH* (Vol. XXXI, 1963).
- Trachtenberg, Joshua, *THE DEVIL AND THE JEWS: THE MEDIEVAL CONCEPTION OF THE JEW AND ITS RELATION TO MODERN ANTI-SEMITISM* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1943).
- Up de Graff, F. W., *HEAD HUNTERS OF THE AMAZON* (New York: 1923).
- Various Authors, *A BRIEF COMPILATION OF*

REPORTS RELATED TO SATANIC AND CRIMINAL ACTIVITY ON SOCIETY (Milwaukee: C.A.R.I.S., 1990).

Various Authors, *ENCYCLOPEDIA OF MAGIC AND SUPERSTITION* (New York: Crown Pub., 1974).

Various Authors, *STRANGE STORIES AND AMAZING FACTS* (Pleasantville, NY: Reader's Digest Association, 1976).

Various Authors, *THE OXFORD ANNOTATED BIBLE*, Revised Standard Version [Most, but not all, *Bible* quotations are from this source.] (New York: Oxford University Press, 1962).

Various Authors, *THE RITUAL MURDER OF FATHER THOMAS IN 1840*, Translation of Official Documents from the Archives of the Syrian Government. Published in Arabic and French in 1986 by General Mustafa Tlass, the Syrian Minister of Defense. Translated from the French by Irena Mateeva. (Published in the U.S. in the year 2000 by CDL Books, P.O. Box 449, Arabi, LA 70032).

Various Authors, *WITCHCRAFT, MAGICK AND SATANISM* [Text of Slide Presentation] (Privately Published By Christian Apologetics Institute, 1987).

Various Authors, *WITCHCRAFT, SATANISM AND RITUAL CRIME* [Compilation of Articles] (Green Egg Press, 1989).

Vetter, George B., *MAGIC AND RELIGION, THEIR PSYCHOLOGICAL NATURE, ORIGIN AND FUNCTION* (New York: Philosophical Library, 1973).

Walsh, William Thomas, *CHARACTERS OF THE INQUISITION* [published in Spanish as *PERSONAJES DE LA INQUISICION*] (New York: P.J. Kennedy and Sons, 1940).

Walsh, William Thomas, *ISABELLA OF SPAIN* (London: 1931).

Webster, Nesta H., *SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS* (London: 1924, reprinted in U.S.A.).

Woodward, Kenneth L., *MAKING SAINTS—HOW THE CATHOLIC CHURCH DETERMINES WHO BECOMES A SAINT, WHO DOESN'T AND WHY* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1990).

Zilliox, Larry and Kahaner, Larry, *HOW TO INVESTIGATE DESTRUCTIVE CULTS AND UNDERGROUND GROUPS* (Alexandria, VA: Kanne Assocs., 1990).

Wistrich, Robert S., *ANTI-SEMITISM* (New York: 1992).

All Rights Reserved Under U.S. Common Law And International Copyright Treaties. This Material May Not Be Reproduced Or Quoted In Any Form By Any Means Without Explicit Written Permission Of The Publisher.

COPYRIGHT © 2000

[END OF PART 14 AND SERIES]

* * * * *

BLOOD RITUAL is available in these formats:

Notebook-style binder (8.5"x11"), 180 pages \$21.95

3.5" Disk (Windows Microsoft Word) \$9.95

Both Manuscript and Disk versions \$28.95

Please note that the entire text of this book is available on computer disk for \$9.95, with shipping by First Class Mail included. The book may be ordered in a number of other formats through:

Eagle Books

P.O. Box 6303

Corpus Christi, TX 78466-6303

(361)-888-8060 (Phone, Fax and Voice Mail)

Also available is **THE EAGLE NEWSLETTER**, your "politically incorrect" intelligence report: 4 issues \$10.00 (Foreign \$15.00)

The News Desk

By John Ray

SHATTERED EXPECTATIONS

By Adam Hamilton, *gold-eagle.com*, 10/16/00

Few would dispute that the Temple Mount in Jerusalem is one of the most important 45 acres on the planet Earth. From a secular perspective, there is no reason Jerusalem and its contested Temple Mount should be so valuable to so many people around the world. Jerusalem is not on a major trade route, it has no harbor, and is not a great center of commerce or wealth. Yet, all the nations of the world look at Jerusalem and shudder, as final status negotiations between the Palestinian Authority and Israel failed over the incredibly contentious issue of Jerusalem. All over the Western world, people felt let down as violence erupted throughout Israel in an escalating maelstrom, with Palestinian militias engaging Israeli soldiers throughout the small nation. The expectations of peace, which welled so great in so many people around the world, were shattered as Israeli soldiers were lynched by a Palestinian mob and Israeli Cobra gunships fired rocket salvos into PA-controlled areas of Israel in retaliation.

Unfortunately, the Western media and politicians largely ignored the ancient roots of the conflict. Jerusalem is held sacred by tens of millions of Jews and hundreds of millions of Muslims around the world. The high expectations of peace were highly dependent on a millennium-long conflict being forgotten by both sides.

To the Jews, the Temple Mount in Jerusalem is the most important piece of real estate on Earth. Some Jewish mystics believe the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob stood on the huge foundation stone of the Temple Mount when he created the world. The great Jewish patriarch Abraham almost sacrificed his beloved son on Mount Moriah, a vastly important event in the history of Judaism. Mount Moriah, of course, is the very spot known as the Temple Mount today, in the heart of Jerusalem. Two great Jewish temples of antiquity were built on this site, and all Jewish males were required to come to the Temple Mount three times each year, for the great feasts of Passover, Weeks, and Tabernacles. The first temple was built by King Solomon around 950 BC, and was destroyed by the Babylonians when Nebuchadnezzar sacked Jerusalem in 586 BC. Rebuilding of a second temple on the Temple Mount in Jerusalem began in 520 BC by Zerubbabel. The second temple was expanded substantially in 38 BC by Herod, the Roman appointee governor of Israel. The second temple stood until 70 AD, when the 5th, 10th, 12th, and 15th Roman legions led by Titus Vespasian invaded and razed Jerusalem to put down a Jewish revolt against Roman imperial authority. Jerusalem is mentioned by name 667 times in the Jewish holy scriptures, the *Tenakh*, and alluded to innumerable other times by other names, including the City of David. Without Jerusalem and the Temple Mount, the beating heart of Judaism is ripped out of its chest.

Following the Roman destruction of Jerusalem, the Temple Mount lay fallow for 70 years. In 141 AD, the Romans led by Antoninus Pius built a Roman temple on the site known as Aelia Capitolina, in direct response to

another Jewish revolt to regain the Temple Mount led by Bar Kochba. In 312 AD, the Roman Emperor Constantine had his famous vision of the cross in the sky, ostensibly converted to Christianity, and officially established Christianity as the state religion of Rome. The Roman temples, including Aelia Capitolina, were converted into Christian churches.

In 570 AD, a man was born who would forever change the course of history and have a vast impact on the world. The Prophet Mohammed was from a powerful trading family from the city of Mecca, in what is now western Saudi Arabia. As he was nearing the latter days of his incredibly interesting life, Mohammed wrote the *Koran*, the holy book of Islam. The word "Islam" means submission to the will of Allah, and Mohammed laid down the foundation for the faith. Mohammed's teachings would form the nucleus of the fastest growing religion ever seen on Earth. Although the *Koran* does not mention Jerusalem by name, in the 17th sura the famous night journey (al-Mi'raj) of Mohammed is referenced. The Prophet was awoken from his sleep by the great Archangel Gabriel. The Angel had brought a mighty horse for Mohammed to ride, Burak. Gabriel led Mohammed and Burak through the night air to Mount Sinai, where Moses had received the Law, to Bethlehem, where Jesus Christ had been born, and then to the "remote mosque", which the majority of Muslim scholars believe is a reference to the foundation stone of the Temple Mount in Jerusalem. From the remote mosque, Mohammed ascended into heaven, met many of the Biblical patriarchs, and was shown the glory of heaven by the Archangel Gabriel. As the modern Islamic world firmly believes the Temple Mount is the launching point of the ascension of Mohammed, Jerusalem is the third most important holy site in the Islamic faith, right behind Mecca and Medina.

In 637, soon after Mohammed's death in 632, Muslims captured Jerusalem. The Dome of the Rock was built to commemorate Mohammed's ascension. The Dome is the architecturally beautiful building with the golden cupola so prominent in all the pictures of Jerusalem we see today. The mosque is of vast importance to hundreds of millions of Muslims around the globe. In 715 a companion mosque was built immediately south of the Dome of the Rock on the Temple Mount, the Al Aqsa mosque. In 1099, the European crusaders captured Jerusalem. In 1187, one of the greatest warriors who ever lived, the great Saladin, routed the crusaders and Islam once again gained hegemony over Jerusalem and the Temple Mount. In 1517, the Turks captured the Holy City which then became part of the Ottoman Empire. Following the defeat of the Ottoman Empire in WWI, British general Allenby captured Jerusalem in 1917. In 1947, the United Nations announced the partition of the British Middle East territories into a Jewish and Palestinian state. The Jews were given Israel on the west side of the Jordan River, and the Palestinian Arabs were given Transjordan (simply called Jordan today) on the east side of the Jordan River. In May 1948, Israel declared its nationhood and Egypt, Syria, Lebanon, Jordan, and Iraq immediately declared war on Israel. Jordan captured the eastern half of

Jerusalem in the war, including the Temple Mount, but Israel survived the hostile onslaught. In June 1967, Egypt, Syria, Iraq, and Jordan again invaded Israel, and were once again routed. Israel recaptured Jerusalem and the Temple Mount before the short war ended. In a conciliatory move, Israel allowed the Waqf, the Muslim High Council, to retain administration of the Temple Mount. It has been under direct Islamic control in the center of Jewish Jerusalem ever since.

This brief and superficial history helps give a sense of perspective to the current conflict over Jerusalem. Tens of millions of Jews and hundreds of millions of Muslims around the world believe Jerusalem is an uncompromisable component of their faith. The conflict is primarily a holy war, not a political issue easily negotiated. High Western expectations were shattered when the peace process fell apart in recent weeks, but any peace plan that fails to account for and address the history of the Temple Mount is bound to fail. The battle for the Temple Mount is an ancient struggle between the two great faiths of Judaism and Islam. Quick fixes and clever political maneuvering will not solve the contentious issue. Very few people who understand the history of Jerusalem believed the current talks between Israel and the Palestinian Authority would result in the resolution of this powder keg. History is CRUCIAL for the proper perspective on current events...

... The seething crucible of the endless struggle for Jerusalem has shattered the expectations of Western diplomats who naively believed they could sit around a table, have a beer, ignore thousands of years of history, and unilaterally create and imperially impose an artificial Pax Americana on the region. U.S. investors will soon have their expectations of endless prosperity and gains in equity valuations shattered as well. Sooner or later, they will realize that the brave new investing world they envisioned is much more dark and dangerous than anything they could have ever imagined.

[JR: Clinton may force or buy an agreement between Barak and Arafat as the heads of their "political" entities in order to glorify his legacy but that will not convince the Israeli and Palestinian people nor the rest of the Arab world to accept it. Clinton is hell-bent on forcing HIS "peace" agreement but has no real understanding of the true historical significance of Jerusalem—and may actually trigger a major HOLY WAR. He is unable to see the importance of Jerusalem through the religious eyes of the Israeli or the Muslim people directly involved, in addition, there are also the world's Christians but they are far too submissive for any major objections. For many decades most Americans were not taught real Geography/HISTORY and many have also strayed from their GOD so they are oblivious to the significance of Jerusalem. A peaceful settlement can only become viable if both sides re-educate their people on the significance or acceptance of each other as "equals" and put aside the centuries of festering hostility, hatred and distrust on both sides. Clinton and his enforcers are too biased and obstinate to be the negotiator/arbitrator in this case and should step away and let the UN negotiate a peaceful coexistence agreement that is more balanced and specifically addresses the issues responsible for triggering these sporadic eruptions of violence and killing.]

LIES, HATRED AND THE LANGUAGE OF FORCE

By Robert Fisk, *The Independent*—UK, 10/13/00

An Arab View

This is a story about lies, bias, hatred and death. It's about our inability—after more than half a century—to understand the injustice of the Middle East. It's about a part of the world where it seems quite natural, after repeatedly watching on television the funeral of 11-year-old Sami Abu Jazar—who died two days after being shot through the forehead by Israeli soldiers—for a crowd to kick two Israeli plainclothes agents to death. It's about a nation that claims "purity of arms" but fires missiles at civilian apartment blocks and then claims it is "restoring order". It's about people who are so enraged by the killing of almost a hundred Palestinians that they try to blow up an entire American warship.

It's as simple as that. When I walked into the local photocopy shop yesterday afternoon, the boys there greeted me with ecstatic smiles. "Did you hear that an American ship has been attacked?" one of them asked. "There are Americans dead." All I saw around the room were smiles. In a corner, on a small television screen, an Israeli Apache aircraft was firing a missile at Yasser Arafat's headquarters in Gaza.

Seven years ago, CNN showed us the Israeli prime minister shaking Yasser Arafat by the hand, live on the White House lawn. Now, live from Gaza, we watch a pilot carrying out an order from the Israeli prime minister to kill Arafat by bombing his headquarters.

As usual last night, the television news broadcasts—those most obsequious and deforming of information dispensers—were diverting our minds from the truth. They did not ask why the Palestinians should have lynched two Israeli undercover men. Instead, they asked why Palestinian police had not protected them. They did not ask why a suicide bomber in a rubber boat should have bombed the *USS Cole*.

Instead, they asked who he was, who he worked for, and they interviewed Pentagon officials who denounced "terrorism". Always the "who" or the "what"; never the "why".

It is, of course, possible that Osama bin Laden, one of the more recent American hate figures, could have inspired—by sermons rather than direct instruction—the attack on the *USS Cole*. Bin Laden's family originally came from Yemen. And it was Yemen that demanded the right earlier this week to fly arms direct to the Palestinians of the occupied territories—provoked, it seems, by slow-motion footage of yet another boy, a 12-year-old, dying on top of his father in Gaza after being shot by the Israelis. Yet many of the attacks on Israeli occupation forces in Lebanon were carried out by young men, unconnected with the corrupt Arab political Elite but enraged by the injustice of their lot. Maybe it was the same in Yemen.

When Yasser Arafat signed the Oslo Agreement seven years ago, only a very few asked how soon this raddled, flawed, hopeless "peace" would collapse. I thought it would end in violence because the Palestinians were being forced by Americans and Israelis to sign a peace that would give them neither a state nor an end to Jewish settlements on Arab land, nor a capital in Arab East Jerusalem.

I wrote that Arafat had been turned from "super-terrorist" into a "super-statesman" but could easily be turned into a "super-terrorist" again. And so it came to pass. Yesterday, the

Israeli spokesman Avi Pasner shared a *BBC* interview with me—and called Arafat a "terrorist".

Alas, none of it was surprising—none save our continued inability to grasp what happens when a whole society is pressure-cooked to the point of explosion. A Pentagon official was saying last night the U.S. government was trying to find out if the attack on the *USS Cole* was "related" to "violence" in the Middle East. Come again? Related? Violence? Who can doubt that the attempt to sink the *Cole* and all her 360 American crew was directed at a nation now held responsible for Israel's killing of scores of Palestinian civilians? The United States—despite all the claptrap from Madeleine Albright about "honest brokers"—is Israel's ally.

Ever since Arafat tried to leave the U.S. ambassador's residence in Paris two weeks ago, the Palestinians have placed this responsibility on America's shoulders. If the U.S. wants to go on supporting an ally that shoots down Palestinians in the streets of the occupied territories, then the United States will be held to account. And will pay for it.

No, of course, this does not excuse the bloodthirsty killing of armed Israeli agents or the desecration of the Tomb of Joseph in Nablus, or, indeed, the murder of Jewish settlers. But the cruelty of the Palestinians can be explained by the cruelty of the Israelis. The death toll among Palestinians now is almost exactly equal to that at Qana in 1996 when Israeli gunners butchered 106 Lebanese civilians. We called it a massacre. The Israelis said it was a mistake. True, it's scarcely 5 percent of the death toll at the Sabra and Chatila refugee camps, when Israel's militia allies killed up to 2,000 Palestinian civilians. We called that a massacre. Israel said this, too, was a mistake. Like they called the death of two 12-year-old children and a seven-year-old child and Sami Abu Jazar a mistake.

And yesterday—with no institutional memory to guide them—journalists were taking at face value Israel's extraordinary claim that they fired "only at military targets", that the civilian population of Gaza had been "told to evacuate" the areas to be bombed. Do I not seem to remember how the Israelis said in 1982 that in Lebanon they "only fired at military targets"—and left more than 17,000 civilians dead in two months? Do I not recall that the Israelis ordered the villagers of Mansouri to "evacuate" before they shelled it in 1996, then attacked their cars on the road and fired a missile into the back of an ambulance, killing four children and three women—the missile made, of course, by the Boeing company of America?

And was not the CIA supposed to be training the Palestinian policemen now being derided by Mr. Pasner as "terrorists" (his own country having personally vetted which of them should carry arms)? And was not the United States the guarantor and broker of the disastrous Oslo Agreement? So is it really surprising that the Palestinians—indeed, the Arabs—blame the United States for the tragedy unfolding in the Holy Land?

And is it any less surprising that the Israelis have now turned on the man with whom they thought they would conclude a peace that would turn "Palestine" into a Bantustan? The man who was supposed to "control" the Palestinians, who was supposed to lock up opponents of the "peace process"—whether they be peaceful or violent—is not doing what he was told. He walked out of Camp David because it was a surrender too far. So President Clinton blamed him for the conference's failure—on Israeli television, of all places—and ordered Arafat not to declare a state. Or else.

And now, when two U.S. presidential contenders—Messrs. Bush and Gore—try to out-do each other in their love and loyalty for Israel, can America comprehend what is happening?

I suppose it's the same old story. The Israelis only want peace. The unruly, riotous, murderous Palestinians—totally to blame for 95 of their own deaths—understand only violence.

That's what Israel's military spokesman said last night. Force, he said, "will be the only language they understand". Which is about as near to a declaration of war as you can get.

[JR: The Israeli perspective is well represented in our controlled media, so this is for some balance. Keep in mind this article is the observations of Mr. Fisk who is an English correspondent covering the Middle East. Are his views less relevant than the facts he presents? He dares ask the WHY questions as to the unfolding events, which is uncharacteristic for our own U.S. establishment media. Yesterday Arafat was a statesman, today he's termed as a terrorist. How can a peace agreement pushed by the U.S. be unbiased when we are known to be Israel's staunchest supporter? Israeli interests are always promoted, but never the Palestinians. Why? When Palestinians are massacred, it's readily accepted that it was an Israeli mistake or miscalculation. Barak and Arafat are both guilty of inflaming the passions of their people, resulting in the violence and cruelties that are increasing daily. Was Sharon a provocateur or just a visitor to the holy site? Keep these points in mind when you see those images on your TV and then form your own conclusions, opinion and attitude. Opinion polls do not reflect the real issues—and the questions are programmed to condition you to think the way the government wants you to think.]

CLINTON ADMINISTRATION FACES DILEMMA WITH BARAK

By Martin Sieff, *UPI* senior news analyst, 10/10/00

WASHINGTON (*UPI*)—If Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak wanted to make sure he could fulfill Yasser Arafat's ambition and guarantee that moderate Arab states would be drawn into a full-scale war against his own country, he could not be doing a better job.

And the Clinton administration has only itself to blame. It knocked itself out to make sure that Barak decisively defeated his predecessor Benjamin Netanyahu in elections in May 1999.

The Clinton team thought Netanyahu was a dangerous extremist who would wreck the peace process.

They should have been thinking of Barak.

It was Barak, not Netanyahu, who unleashed the Israeli Air Force to inflict civilian casualties on Lebanon.

It was Barak, not Netanyahu, who alarmed the Palestinians by ignoring them and trying to work out a separate peace deal with Arafat's historic arch-enemy, the late President Hafez Assad of Syria, first.

Netanyahu never dared to defy the United States by boycotting a crucial peace summit. Barak did so last week when he refused to go to Sharm el-Sheik at the southern tip of the Egyptian-controlled Sinai Peninsula to participate in an emergency peace summit with Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, Arafat and Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak.

And Netanyahu never dreamed of imposing a dramatic 48-hour ultimatum to end the peace process as Barak did on Arafat last week.

Netanyahu was derided by his own right-wing hard-liners for supposedly backing down to U.S. pressure to sign an accord over the status of Hebron and also the 1998 Wye Accords.

But he never humiliated himself and his country by having to reverse himself within two days, as Barak did Monday when he was forced to extend his dramatic 48-hour ultimatum—for the very good reason that Arafat and the Palestinians had just ignored it.

Netanyahu was no moderate and he made no secret of the fact that he did not like or trust Palestinians—any of them.

But he never provoked the kind of alarm and pan-Arab unity against Israel that Barak's bizarre combination of extreme weakness and extreme toughness has done in the current escalating crisis.

Mubarak of Egypt, by far the longest-serving pro-American ruler in any moderate Arab nation (he has been president since 1981), knows the tidal wave of pro-Palestinian passions that is growing in his country and throughout the Middle East.

The last thing he wants is a full-scale war with Israel. But he defied Clinton administration pressure Monday when he met Arafat in Cairo and refused to pressure him to agree to Barak's demands to end Palestinian violence.

Even Kuwait, which was rescued by the United States from a murderous occupation by Iraq in the 1991 Gulf War, has announced it will not object to Iraq participating in a pan-Arab summit in Cairo hosted by Mubarak to rally Arab support for the Palestinians.

The Kuwaitis are not being ungrateful to the United States. They too recognize the wave of support building for the Palestinians as the Palestinian and Israeli-Arab wave of violence against Israel—the worst ever in the 52-year history of the Jewish State—continues to grow. And they want to keep their own heads.

And Crown Prince Abdullah bin Abdulaziz ibn Saud of Saudi Arabia—arguably the most powerful and influential pro-Western ruler in the Arab world—spoke out too. He warned in Riyadh Monday that Barak should not think Saudi Arabia and other Arab nations would stand back passively if he unleashed the Israeli Army against either Lebanon or Syria.

Mubarak and Abdullah are not watering at the mouth to inflict a full-scale war on Israel. They fear getting hammered disastrously by Israel's dominant air force in any such confrontation and rightly fear the wave of pan-Islamic fervor that would sweep their countries in such a scenario.

But they know that Arafat is working tirelessly to try and pull them in. And they believe that Barak, through a mixture of incompetence and his natural toughness, is helping him.

This is an extraordinary dilemma for the Clinton administration. They know well that throughout the Arab world they are seen as having supported Barak significantly as he challenged for power last year and have worked hand-in-glove with him ever since.

Indeed, the current U.S. Ambassador to Israel, Martin Indyk, who had served in the post before from 1995 to 1997, was brought back to it at Barak's express personal request last year after serving as assistant secretary of state for Near East affairs.

It is virtually unprecedented for the U.S. government—any U.S. government—to select an

ambassador to a significant nation because the leader of that nation specifically requests him. After all, ambassadors are supposed to serve their own government's interests, not those of the nation they are accredited. But President Clinton did not hesitate to fulfill Barak's request.

But now Barak can no longer talk to Indyk, for the U.S. ambassador is a lame duck. Though he remains resident with his family in Israel, his security clearances have been suspended because he is accused of having repeatedly ignored the State Department's own security procedures. ...

It is quite feasible that Barak would have consulted the politically canny Indyk before making his disastrous decision to allow nationalist Likud leader Ariel Sharon—his chief opposition challenger—to "inspect" Muslim holy sites on the Temple Mount in Jerusalem Sept. 28. It was that event which set off Palestinian protests, which Arafat and his own security forces then worked hard to encourage, once they had been provided the pretext.

And Indyk would certainly have sought to persuade Barak to moderate and to attend the Sharm el-Sheik meeting with Albright, Arafat and Mubarak. ...

Barak was willing to put himself in the hands of American political handlers—a team of election strategists led by James Carville and Stan Greenberg—to get elected as Prime Minister last year. But he was a soldier for a quarter of a century, long before he entered politics. And unlike Netanyahu he is not primarily a political animal. He remains at heart a military one.

That is a major reason why his vaunted coalition government collapsed in little over a year where Netanyahu—with all its fractiousness—lasted three. For Barak was notorious for making up his mind on key issues alone and not taking senior colleagues into his confidence.

But now he is making life-or-death decisions on war and peace without—it appears—significant input from either key Israeli party leaders or the Clinton administration. The U.S. government, for all the efforts and hopes it has invested in Barak now sees him making his own moves, not theirs.

As recently as Monday, Barak proved reluctant to attend a new emergency summit this week in the region that Clinton had proposed. The U.S. president had little choice but to accede to his wishes. Yet just as Barak failed to either predict or prevent the Palestinian explosion, he so far remains powerless, or all the military power he has deployed, to end it either.

U.S. leaders can be forgiven if—against everything they ever imagined—they start hoping that they were dealing instead with Netanyahu.

[JR: First off, Indyk has been reinstated as ambassador to Israel by MADam Albright and his security clearance has been restored, so he is back in the diplomatic game. Barak may not be as smooth as Netanyahu and takes more risk in the continuous stall-and-provoke style of Israeli leadership in dealing with the Palestinians. Barak's tripwire was allowing Sharon's provocation "inspection" of Muslim holy sites on the Temple Mount that has resulted in the latest Pan-Arab unification. Sharon and Barak have now formed an alliance for Israeli unity. This may be an effort to provoke the Arabs and Palestinians into a conflict that Israel means to win at any cost. The U.S. must be made to understand that its influence is the fuel that feeds the fire in the Middle East.]

ISRAELI FINGERPRINTS ALL OVER USS COLE?

By *ralph@TeamInfinity.com*, 10/15/00

Jordanian Newspaper reveals shocking information: Israel, U.S. and Yemen Involved in a Mysterious Military Deal.

The Jordanian newspaper *Al-Majd* quoted last week Western reports and Arab and foreign sources as disclosing details of a most dangerous deal on security and military cooperation between Sanaa and Tel Aviv, pointing out that tourist normalization was the only part of the Yemeni-Israeli alliance that could grant Israel a lot of control over the Gulf-gate from the base of Aden.

Jordanian *Al-Majd* newspaper also said in its issue on April 25 that political observers pondered long over the backgrounds and dimensions that led the Yemeni president to begin his recent tour to America by visiting "The Middle East Centre for Peace and Economic Cooperation", which is well-known for its Jewish identity and patronage of Israeli-associated American organizations. The newspaper maintained to say that reports had mentioned that the Yemeni-American-Jewish meeting took place two days after the return of the first public visit to Yemen by an Israeli tourist delegation, described by Israeli circles as "very successful". Reports also indicated that the delegation's visit to Sanaa, and president Ali Abdullah Saleh meetings in New York and his talks with President Clinton were merely a camouflage move to distract the attention from more serious relations. They also judged it as purposeful theatrical attempt to conceal very dangerous and covert contacts and cooperation agreements concluded between Sanaa and Tel Aviv.

Reports prepared by a Western security apparatus confirmed the existence of such contacts. Information disclosed details of the deal that the said security apparatus thought to have started from a meeting that took place two months ago in a European capital between Barak's advisor Dany Yatom, Mossad's former chief, and a security advisor to the Yemeni President. The information revealed that the meeting resulted in a comprehensive deal including receiving Israeli tourist delegations in Yemen, but the more serious aspect is still under cover. The deal included an offer of "security, military and intelligence cooperation; the U.S. participates in some of its articles."

Upon the deal, Israel would supply Yemeni authorities with monitoring and observation devices and sophisticated electronic equipment in addition to some weapons among which are "medium range missiles". The Mossad commits itself to provide necessary expertise for training special units from the Yemeni Republican Guard and intelligence as well as training and forming a Yemeni commando unit specialized in combating terrorism and kidnappings. Israel would commit itself to exercise its influence on the American administration and the Congress and the Jewish lobby for supporting the Yemen's regime and economy by improving the image of Yemen especially in relation to kidnapping of foreigners.

Israel promised to actively participate in increasing foreign investments in Yemen and urging American Jewish businessmen and Yemeni Jews to invest in Yemeni projects in addition to agricultural cooperation.

The latest information indicated that actual implementation of the deal began three weeks ago. The Jordanian newspaper goes on to report that in the first week of last March, a U.S.-Israeli mission arrived secretly in the city of Aden and held coordination

meetings with very close Yemeni personalities to install monitoring devices aimed at controlling this strategic waterway. Intelligence information has also disclosed that a Mossad delegation had arrived in Yemen—most of them of Yemeni Jews. The Yemeni president entrusted his son Ahmed in charge of the Republican Guard to directly supervise the work of the group in training the special guard and qualifying an anti-terrorism and kidnapping unit.

News reports also mentioned that a high-ranking Yemeni army officer made a secret visit to the Dutch capital, Amsterdam, to procure developed espionage equipment and supplies to be used in combating terrorism and for monitoring and observation. The equipment could be used for monitoring citizens' moves, borders, communications and spying activities against neighboring countries. It is reported that the purchase deal cost is estimated at \$34 million.

[JR: Nothing happens in the Middle East that has not been planned, orchestrated or observed by the U.S. and Israel. The "secret" meetings held (six months ago) were to establish an Israeli Mossad outpost to monitor activities in and around Yemen. The Port of Aden is strategically located in Southwest Yemen, right near the narrow entrance to the Red Sea where international shipping traffic can be monitored. The Red Sea lies between Saudi Arabia and Egypt and is the world's major shipping route through the Suez Canal, the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden into the Arabian Sea and on to the Far East. This move by Israel is to establish a foothold in Yemen and to undermine and compromise the security of the Islamic territories. The President of Yemen has been bought with the promise of investments by Jews and in Yemeni development projects. Don't wait for that to happen anytime soon, now that the U.S. has publicly denounced Yemen as a potential terrorist area. U.S. warships refuel in Aden—and Washington plans to install an electronic listening post nearby, *The Washington Times* reported 10/14/00. *The Washington Times* also said the listening post will be installed on the island of Socotra, about 400 kilometers off the coast of Yemen. The listening post will monitor ship traffic that passes through the Arabian Sea and the Indian Ocean—confirming the validity of this article. Although the Pentagon was well aware of the security risk of the area, this public announcement was made only AFTER the "attack" on the *USS Cole* by phantom terrorists resulting in seventeen American sailors killed. This "arrangement" for the U.S. fleet in the Middle East to refuel at the Port of Aden was part of the secret deal Israel made with Yemen—putting American lives at risk for the benefit of Israel—AGAIN!]

KOSTUNICA SAYS YUGOSLAV FEDERATION MUST REMAIN ONE

Central Europe on line, 10/10/00

PARIS (*Reuters*)—Yugoslavia's new president Vojislav Kostunica said on Monday there was no question of granting independence to Montenegro and Kosovo, adding that the unity of the Yugoslav federation was crucial.

But in a television interview with France's TF1 channel, Kostunica said he did not back the idea of a greater Serbia embraced by his ousted predecessor

Slobodan Milosevic.

"I am democratic to the core, but at the same time a nationalist just as the French might be, or the Americans or other people," Kostunica said according to the translated version of his remarks.

"My nationalist feelings are normal and are linked to my interest in the future of my country. It is not a case of chauvinism towards other people," he said. "There is no big idea of a great Serbia."

Kostunica, seeking to save what is left of the Yugoslav federation following the election and subsequent popular uprising that toppled Milosevic last week, said the Yugoslav constitution did not allow for the secession of Montenegro, Serbia's small sister republic.

Montenegro has warned the new president that it will push for independence unless his administration dismantles Milosevic's power machine and sets up new democratic structures.

"What we want is, in fact, to establish a democratic regime between Serbia and Montenegro," Kostunica told TF1.

The new Yugoslav president was due to meet Bernard Kouchner, the chief administrator of Kosovo, which has effectively been run by the United Nations since NATO expelled Serbian forces after a 78-day bombing campaign last year to end Belgrade's violence towards the majority ethnic Albanians.

Kostunica described the idea of an independent Kosovo as "impossible" due to a UN Security Council resolution which guaranteed "the territorial integrity and the sovereignty of the Yugoslav Federal Republic".

"The question of Kosovo has to be resolved within the framework of the resolution and not according to the wishes or desires of certain Albanian leaders, mainly those with a separatist bent," Kostunica said.

"This stability is absolutely necessary in this current situation where there is chaos," he said, adding that the lack of democratic institutions precluded any decision on the fate of Milosevic, whom the West wants to put on trial for war crimes.

Kostunica, who was due to meet French Foreign Minister Hubert Vedrine in Belgrade on Tuesday, also welcomed a partial lifting of European Union sanctions on Yugoslavia.

"We wish to open up to the world and mainly to the European Union," he said.

The European Union agreed on Monday to lift an oil embargo and an already defunct ban on flights to Belgrade but stopped short of removing an investment freeze and a visa requirement until it was clear that Kostunica had consolidated his power.

[JR: Wrestling Kosovo from the UN/NATO and the controlled by Albanians will be a daunting task for Kostunica. The guarantee of the Security Council as to territorial sovereignty of Yugoslavia could get lost in the fine print of interpretation, as in "define IS". Montenegro still has the option to decide on its future—but will American troops positioned there make it questionable due to its proximity to what is left of Serbia Yugoslavia?]

YUGOSLAV TROOPS WILL RETURN TO KOSOVO BY YEAR'S END: DJINDJIC

Yahoo News, 10/11/00

BELGRADE (*AFP*)—Yugoslav troops will return to Kosovo by the end of the year, a senior figure in new President Vojislav Kostunica's

Democratic Opposition of Serbia (DOS) party says in an interview published here Wednesday.

"Between now and the end of the year we will return 1,200 of our (Serb) police and our Yugoslav soldiers to the border with Albania," Zoran Djindjic, a senior figure in the DOS, told the daily *Vecernje Novosti*.

Kosovo, which has an ethnic Albanian majority and borders Albania, is currently under UN administration following NATO intervention and attacks on Serb targets last year.

Although officially part of Yugoslavia, the exodus of almost all Serbs from Kosovo and the rise of ethnic Albanian calls for independence leave its long-term fate in doubt.

UN Security Council resolution 1244 declares that Kosovo will remain a part of federal Yugoslavia.

The resolution, oft cited by Belgrade leaders, also maps out the eventual return of Serb police and Yugoslav troops to the area, although no deadline is given.

Djindjic added that "a few days ago" he had spoken on the phone to the UN administrator in Kosovo, Bernard Kouchner.

"He asked to come to Belgrade to discuss the return of our police to Kosovo. As soon as we have formed a federal government, we will send a delegation to Brussels and Washington and demand that resolution 1244 be honoured," said Djindjic.

"We can see absolutely no reason for any kind of delay," he added.

On Tuesday, Kostunica denied a report that he had invited Kouchner for talks in Belgrade.

Speaking earlier Tuesday in Macedonia, Kouchner urged the international community not to rush to resolve the status of Kosovo following the ouster of ex-Yugoslav president Slobodan Milosevic.

"Let's go step by step. At the moment we need (Serbian and ethnic Albanian) communities to accept to coexist and after that to accept, step by step, a sort of comprehension," Kouchner told journalists after talks with top Macedonian officials.

Kouchner stressed that the Kosovo problem should be solved within the region as the whole, but said he believed it was "too early to talk about the solution for Kosovo".

Kouchner, however, ruled out the idea of an independent Kosovo.

According to UN resolution 1244 "Kosovo remains a part of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia," he stressed.

"I will go to Belgrade to discuss with (new Yugoslav President Vojislav) Kostunica if he invites me," Kouchner said, adding that "there were some misunderstandings about that."

He said he hoped to establish a "significant dialogue" with the new Yugoslav government.

[JR: The decision of the Serbs going back into Kosovo will be deferred—bet on it. If Kouchner's decision rests on Serb and Albania's ability to coexist with one another, it won't happen in the immediate future. Mixing Serbs and Albanians is a lot like mixing Israelis and Palestinians—it's too volatile a mixture.]

EX-CIA CHIEF COMPROMISED SECRETS

By Bill Gertz, *The Washington Times*, 10/12/00

Former CIA Director John Deutch compromised some of the most sensitive defense programs by

improperly transferring data about ultrasecret Pentagon programs to computers he used to send e-mail and access the Internet, *The Washington Times* has learned.

The compromises occurred sometime after 1994 and have raised fears among Pentagon security officials that foreign governments obtained access to the "crown jewels" of the Pentagon's secret weapons, intelligence and military programs, according to defense officials.

Mr. Deutch is suspected of using the Internet to send the secret information on so-called special access programs (SAPs) over the commercial Internet service provider America Online as part of a 1,000-page personal journal he produced during his tenure as deputy defense secretary from 1994 to 1995 when he also was director of the Pentagon's Special Access Program Oversight Committee (SAPOC).

As the head of SAPOC, Mr. Deutch sat at the pinnacle of the defense secrecy system involving hundreds of special access programs and ultrasensitive information ranging from exotic weapons development to secrets used during war-fighting operations.

The officials provided new details of the compromised programs to highlight what they say is an effort to cover up the security breaches to avoid the political embarrassment for a high-ranking Clinton administration official during the presidential-election campaign.

The Senate Intelligence Committee has completed a report on the CIA's handling of the Deutch security breach, but release of the report is being delayed by Sen. Carl Levin, Michigan Democrat, who is challenging its findings, according to congressional sources.

The Pentagon officials said the compromises are based on a "worst case" estimate that information requiring extraordinary secrecy has been released improperly or gathered clandestinely by foreign spies.

"We don't know the full extent," said one official. "But until we do, you have to assume there were serious compromises."

A second defense official said: "A security and counterintelligence investigation is needed to determine where is the information, and a damage assessment is needed to determine what has been compromised."

Officials said the case is potentially the most damaging security breach in the Pentagon's history because of the secrets involved.

For example, special access programs include vital defense information used during wartime. During the Persian Gulf War, one special access program was set up to protect information about a flaw in a foreign-built radar system used by the Iraqi military, which provided a major advantage to U.S. allied military forces during the conflict.

The officials said the compromises are not being investigated by security and counterintelligence officials at the Pentagon or the FBI.

The failure to aggressively pursue the security breaches has led some officials to question whether the matter is being covered up by the Pentagon in order to protect Mr. Deutch, who became CIA director in 1995 and left after being passed over for a senior post in the second Clinton administration in 1996.

Pentagon spokesmen have said a damage assessment is under way but that it has been stymied by Mr. Deutch's refusal to cooperate in turning over computer disks.

Spokesman Kenneth Bacon said no one in the Pentagon has covered up the security breaches by Mr. Deutch.

Officials do not know why Mr. Deutch produced the secret personal journal. However, the biggest fear is that Mr. Deutch has used the information with his international consulting firm, or will do so in the future.

Mr. Deutch is currently a co-chairman of the advisory council for Intellibridge Corp., a District of Columbia-based global intelligence and information service for corporations. The company was originally called Newmarket. The board co-chairman is former White House National Security Adviser Anthony Lake. Other board members include former high-ranking U.S. and foreign government officials.

The Intellibridge Internet site states that the company "is a strategic knowledge tool providing a total information and intelligence solution for the international corporation." A spokesman for the company confirmed Mr. Deutch is on the advisory board.

Pentagon documents obtained by the *Times* show the Pentagon waited 20 months until February 2000 before initiating an inquiry into the security breach. The Pentagon was first informed in June 1998 by CIA investigators that special access program data was found on Mr. Deutch's unsecured computers, the documents state.

A draft Pentagon inspector-general report on the Deutch matter also said that Mr. Deutch used seven U.S. government-owned Macintosh computers to write "a journal that contained classified information on unclassified computers both at his residence and his office." Some of the computers "were used by Dr. Deutch and his family to access his [America Online] account," the report said.

The documents were first disclosed by *National Journal* magazine.

As for his use of America Online and the Internet, the report stated that "using computers in this manner was extremely risky in that a computer 'hacker' could have gained on-line access to Dr. Deutch's computer and the information stored in temporary files on the hard drive, including the journal."

Mr. Deutch could not be reached for comment and his attorney, Terry O'Donnell, did not return telephone calls.

According to a 1998 Pentagon memorandum, Mark W. Spaulding, who investigated Mr. Deutch for the Pentagon, said after a CIA briefing on the case that Mr. Deutch's unsecured computers "were regularly used in connection with his AOL account and thus may have been used to transfer such information without regard to proper security procedures."

The information contained in the personal journal included "Top Secret and Top Secret Compartmented, as well as DOD Special Access Program information," Mr. Spaulding wrote. According to Pentagon spokesmen, the current investigations are limited to a review of information involved in the case and an inspector general inquiry of computers and storage media.

Pentagon spokesman Adm. Craig Quigley told reporters on Tuesday that key information contained on the computer diskettes held by Mr. Deutch has "not been recovered".

Asked later if the information was transmitted over the Internet, Adm. Quigley said officials suspect it was.

As for security compromises, Adm. Quigley said: "Well, it's something, I guess . . . that you never know until you have it."

However, another defense official stated flatly: "The [special access] programs are compromised. The only question is whether foreign intelligence services have the

information or will get it.”

The officials said Mr. Deutch would type notes into an unsecured laptop computer after secret briefings on various special access programs he was overseeing at the director of SAPOC.

Officials believe Mr. Deutch then sent e-mail copies of the notes to himself and later retrieved them using computers at his home.

“We know that foreign intelligence services routinely monitor the Internet for just such material,” the defense official said. “And AOL is a major target.”

In particular, Russia’s electronic eavesdropping service monitors all electronic messages sent through Internet servers in Russia. China’s intelligence services have similar filters that are used to monitor Internet message traffic.

[JR: These treasonous acts by Deutch pale in comparison to the 20-month delay by the Pentagon even before they begin an investigation into Deutch’s clandestine activities. Sen. Levin’s delay of the Senate Intelligence Committee report on Deutch’s activities speaks volumes. The scandals, the lies, and the cover-ups are synonymous of the Clinton administration and his Justice Dept. headed by Reno. Since the Mossad seems to run the CIA, then Deutch, as an ex-Director, can safely choose not to cooperate. The only interests protected here are Israel’s who has turned our government into their Knesset.]

U.S. SIGNALS WELCOME
FOR EU DEFENCE INITIATIVE

By Rupert Cornwell, *The Independent*—UK, 10/11/00

The United States yesterday gave its warmest welcome yet to the European Union’s efforts to forge its own defence identity, saying that NATO would have to share responsibility for handling security crises that affected Europe.

Washington has, in the past, openly expressed its fears that the EU initiative, which includes setting up a 60,000-strong rapid reaction force by 2003, would merely duplicate and weaken the Atlantic Alliance, dominated by the U.S.

But William Cohen, the Defence Secretary, told NATO defence ministers meeting in Birmingham the U.S. accepted that a security dimension to the EU was a “natural, even inevitable” part of the process of European integration. “We agree with this goal, not grudgingly, not with resignation, but with wholehearted conviction,” he said. NATO, he conceded, would no longer be the only multilateral body dealing with defence issues in Europe.

This far more positive language from the U.S. drew an instant welcome from France, ever the strongest advocate of breaking Europe’s reliance on the U.S. in the defence arena. Alain Richard, the French Defence minister, expressed “profound delight” at the “new climate” in relations between the EU and NATO. Independent analysts called Mr. Cohen’s speech a noteworthy departure, which boded well.

The breakthrough comes little more than a month before a key pledging conference at which potential EU defence partners will specify what forces they are ready to commit to the new venture. Mr. Cohen called for regular meetings of all 23 countries in either the EU or NATO, to allay concerns of non-EU NATO members—notably Turkey, Norway and Poland—that they would be excluded from decision-making.

[JR: Mr. Cohen’s enthusiastic acceptance of the defense force for Europe has removed another obstacle in the takeover plans of the “Weismen” in Brussels. Our President, his Cabinet and our sellout Congress have assured the success of their plans because we have allowed it. We are like the three monkeys: We see no evil, hear no evil and speak no evil against our appointed masters—as programmed by Tavistock.]

CLINTON-GORE ADMINISTRATION AWARDS
SPR OIL DEALS TO INEXPERIENCED FIRMS
WITH ONE EMPLOYEE

By Jim Burns, *CNSNews.com*, 10/10/00

The bidding procedures at the U.S. Department of Energy are being questioned after contracts for more than 10 million barrels of crude oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve were awarded to three tiny oil companies that insiders say have little or no experience in making oil deals. On Capitol Hill, the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee is monitoring the controversy.

“We’ve been following this very closely. The next thing that happens is that these guys have to deliver letters of credit and if that doesn’t happen, they will not get the contracts. They (Department of Energy) will have to re-bid the contracts,” said a committee spokesperson.

In announcing the contracts, the Department of Energy agreed to hand over 30 million barrels of crude oil from the Strategic Petroleum Reserve to 11 companies that had submitted bids. In exchange, according to DOE officials, the 11 firms will return more than 31.5 million barrels to the federal oil stockpile next year.

A Department of Energy spokesperson, who didn’t want to be identified said, “We picked the companies that offered the best bids based on quality and quantity.”

However, the Energy and Natural Resources Committee spokesman said, “this is turning very political.”

Some oil traders and analysts, according to *Platt’s Oilgram News*, say they have never heard of three of the companies receiving the oil reserves: Euell Energy Resources of Aurora, Colorado; Burhany Energy Enterprises of Tallahassee, Florida; and Lance Stroud Enterprises of New York.

All three companies are minority-owned. *Black Enterprise* magazine listed Euell as among its top 100 Black-owned businesses in the United States in 1980-81.

Platt’s Oilgram News Editor Beth Evans said in a statement, “It’s very surprising when you see companies that have never done an oil deal suddenly grab a third of the oil being released.”

According to the DOE spokesperson, “By the close of business on Thursday, we anticipate moving the largest SPR (Strategic Petroleum Reserve) exchange ever.”

Neither Lance Stroud Enterprises of New York nor Burhany Energy Enterprises in Tallahassee could be reached for comment. However, Stroud is reportedly his firm’s only employee and Burhany Energy Enterprises reportedly lists as its only employee, Ronald Peek.

Euell Energy Resources, according to its Website, is “an integrated energy services and construction company with operations that include natural gas and power marketing, construction and pipeline and cable installation.”

Among Euell’s projects, according to its Website are a “rapid transit system foundation for subway trains which are scheduled to begin running in 2001”.

Another project is a “High Pressure Water System” in Northern California. The company says “installing this high pressure system entailed overcoming not only difficult work conditions through the Sierra Mountains, but also required that eight miles of road be built alongside the 14.2 mile pipeline.”

The company says it assisted in the construction of the Alaska pipeline, which extended from Canada to North America, and according to the Website, “Euell Energy Resources has extensive experience in federal procurement and federal contracts since 1970.”

Among those the company commends for helping make Euell “the successful company we are today” are the Reverend Jesse Jackson, the late U.S. Representative Mickey Leland, former U.S. Senator and Republican Presidential candidate Bob Dole and former U.S. Interior Secretary Cecil Andrus.

Neither Jackson nor Dole could be reached for further comment.

In announcing the oil exchange contracts, Secretary of Energy Bill Richardson said, “These companies offered the best value in terms of restocking the Strategic Reserve a year from now. Every barrel we can get into the market in the next few weeks reduces the risk of a shortage of heating oil and diesel fuel this winter. This is good for consumers and good for our nation’s long-term energy security.”

[JR: These have to be “insider information” companies helped by panderers like Jackson and Dole. It has been reported that some of these companies were only incorporated a few months prior to the SPR oil sale announcement. Could it be that certain Lincoln bedroom visitors may have received advanced information and established these companies just for this highly lucrative purpose? Energy Secretary Bill Richardson’s tenure has been met with numerous scandals and improprieties such as the general lapse in security at Top Secret government labs, highly sensitive DOE disks lost during the Los Alamos fires, and the Wen Ho Lee debacle, to name a few. With sweetheart deals like these... the U.S. is becoming the most corrupt Banana Republic of the New World Disorder.]

RESISTING GLOBALIZATION’S
“DEMOCRATIC DEFICIT”

By R.C. Longworth, *Chicago Tribune*, 10/15/00

The background noise around the world this autumn is the cry of the crowd. From Yugoslavia to Peru, from Prague to Copenhagen, from Chile to Indonesia, demonstrators have surged through cities and voters have used the polls, all demanding that their leaders pay attention.

The thread through all this clamor is a radical change in democracy—who wants it, who’s getting it, and who may be losing it.

In the post-Cold War world, the democratic idea is sweeping the globe. Freedom House, the New York institute that charts democracy’s progress, says that 120 of the world’s 192 countries have governments that were elected in fair elections, a new high.

In countries such as Yugoslavia or Peru, democratic yearnings, if not democracy itself, seem to have taken root.

But as developing countries discover democracy, many citizens of developed countries fear they may be losing the democracy they have enjoyed all their lives. This is the driving force behind the demonstrations against world trade, the World Bank and global capitalism that have roiled virtually every global meeting recently.

The fear is that the global economy is undermining democracy by shifting power from elected national governments to faceless global bureaucracies and that economic and political decision-making may be moving beyond the control of the people who must live with the consequences.

This concern, first heard at the World Trade Organization meeting in Seattle last winter, was echoed at the World Bank meeting in Washington early this year and at September meetings of the World Economic Forum in Melbourne and the World Bank meeting in Prague. Protest against the global economy has gone global itself.

Analysts in Europe said this same concern lay behind the vote in Denmark late last month to keep that country aloof from the single European currency, the euro. The vote, they said, was not about the euro itself, but about the sense, felt everywhere in Europe but most acutely in Denmark, that the European Union is delivering economic benefits at the cost of democracy.

The Europeans talk a lot about this “democratic deficit”—a feeling that the EU has transferred power from national governments, especially parliaments, and given it to the bureaucrats at the EU Commission in Brussels.

With the advent of the global economy, this “democratic deficit” has become a global issue.

The same trends—in politics, communication, business and culture—that power the global economy also batter at the gates of dictatorships and send powerful new ideas into once-closed societies.

Clearly, being a dictator isn’t what it used to be, as Slobodan Milosevic found out.

Once, dictators claimed the right to rule through sheer force, sometimes backed by divine right or, in the case of the communists, the iron rule of history. A few, such as Saddam Hussein or Fidel Castro, still do, but they are an endangered species.

Even Milosevic, for all his malevolent power, felt the need to seek legitimacy in elections, however rigged. But democracy, he proved, can take on a life of its own and swamp even those who never took it seriously.

Milosevic fell to a populace striving under the core principle of democracy: that leaders and their governments are responsible to the governed and stay in office only so long as they keep their people’s consent.

Alberto Fujimori, the Peruvian president, also felt he had to win rigged elections, then announced he would step down because of a government scandal.

Gen. Augusto Pinochet, the former Chilean dictator, is being held accountable for his crimes in the last days of his life. A court ruling that dismissed corruption charges against the former Indonesian dictator Suharto ignited riots among his once-docile subjects in Jakarta.

In many countries, this concept is an idea, but not yet a habit. There is more to democracy than an electoral system. Any democracy needs democratic institutions—strong political parties, independent courts, a free press—to function democratically.

Freedom House, the think tank that lists 120 electoral democracies, says that only 85 are fully free. In other words, a country can be a democracy without being totally free.

This is the lesson being learned by Serbs, trying now in these post-Milosevic days to build democracy with institutions corrupted by dictatorship.

Without the institutions of democracy, democracy itself fails. Russia, for instance, has a fledgling electoral system and a good deal of freedom. But it has few democratic institutions and could easily relapse into dictatorship.

Karl Popper, the Austrian-British philosopher, wrote that a real democracy is the best system only because it is a bulwark against something worse. Well-functioning democratic institutions don’t guarantee brilliant leadership, as this year’s U.S. presidential election shows. But they do guarantee against tyranny.

In a developed democracy, these institutions assure the people a voice in the decisions that govern their lives.

If demonstrators from Seattle to Prague are right, it is no less than this assurance that is threatened in the new globalism. Even supporters of the global economy concede that these demonstrators have struck a chord with millions of citizens who see a new world taking shape, and worry about it.

This process of transferring decision-making from national governments to supranational bodies has gone further in Europe than elsewhere, but the European experience illustrates what is happening around the globe.

The 15 member nations of the EU have transferred much of the economic decision-making to Brussels—not necessarily to the EU’s much-criticized bureaucracy, which proposes new laws and regulations, but to the EU Council, which is made up of government ministers from the 15 nations who meet regularly to approve policies.

In the process, real power remains with elected governments—especially the presidents, prime ministers and cabinet ministers who make up the Council. The real losers are the national parliaments, which have lost the power to make economic decisions and are reduced to the rubber-stamp function of ratifying laws written and enacted in Brussels.

Parliaments, like Congress, more closely represent local voters than any other branch of government. This loss of parliamentary power is what the Danes and other Europeans mean when they talk about a “democratic deficit”.

Decisions and laws that once were debated and passed by Congress now are under the purview of international forums such as the World Trade Organization, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the Bank for International Settlements.

These laws affect trade, money, banks, stock markets, accounting rules, anti-trust—highly technical subjects, perhaps, but crucial to the way a global economy works.

But these laws are made not by these esoteric bodies themselves but by officials and experts sent by the U.S. government and other governments, which still hold much power over the process. And the writing of these laws has given new power to the executive branch of government, at the expense of Congress, which more often than not doesn’t even get a chance to debate or ratify them.

If Popper was right that real democracy rests on strong institutions such as parliaments and courts, then the shift in power away from national parliaments and courts erodes democracy itself.

Power is going global but democracy, like politics, still stops at frontiers.

Some of the demonstrators this autumn are saying as much, and public opinion polls indicate a surprising support for this point of view.

Sooner or later, American voters may be asked to consent to this process and these voters, like the Danes, may say no.

[JR: First off there are few, if any, fair elections in the developed democracies when they are computerized elections. The shifting of power from a local to a regional government is being absorbed into centralized power zones throughout the world. Today’s democracy is socialism—this is what the One World Governance is all about. It doesn’t have to provide brilliant leaders, just front men who will faithfully carry out the orders of their masters. Many people are awakening and feel they no longer have a voice in their government anymore and that’s what these demonstrations are all about, from the U.S. to Austria and Prague and elsewhere around the globe. Our own Congress is a rubber stamp for the WTO, OECD, and BIS and going the way of the dinosaurs. Soon they too will be extinct. With open borders, we Americans will become the minority in our own country in a globalized world.]

OHIO CONGRESSMAN BLASTS ARMY FOR DENYING CATHOLIC MASS IN CHAPEL

By Jim Burns, *CNSNews.com*, 10/11/00

An Ohio congressman on Wednesday took to the House floor to attack the U.S. Army for not allowing Roman Catholic GIs to use a base chapel near Brussels, Belgium for a traditional mass.

Representative James Traficant (D-OH) said on the House floor, “If that’s not enough to shred the *Bible*. The Army does allow and permits witchcraft and pagan ceremonies at the base. The (Army) spokesman said and I quote, ‘the witchcraft groups pay for their own pagan ministers.’

“Unbelievable,” Traficant exclaimed. It’s time to call in the dogs, throw the coffee grinds on the fire, the hunting’s over. When the U.S. Army allows Satan in one door and will not allow God in the other door, America is so screwed up, we don’t know where we’re going. An America without God is an America that the founders never planned.”

The Washington Times, in its Tuesday editions, quoted an Army spokesman as saying the Army’s European command does not want to pay the cost of hiring a Roman Catholic priest when personnel can go off base to churches where Mass is conducted in Latin.

U.S. Army Lieutenant Colonel David Sonnier, according to the paper, requested permission for Mass to be conducted at the U.S. Army base chapel in Brussels.

The paper quoted Sonnier as saying that his request was turned down by Lieutenant General Larry Jordan. Jordan is the Deputy Army European Commander. Sonnier serves in the NATO Support Activity at the U.S. Army base providing logistics and personnel support for the U.S. delegation at NATO headquarters in Brussels.

Sonnier contends that the chapel presently hosts two Protestant services weekly. He estimates that about 20 Roman Catholics would like to have their own religious service.

“All we want is the Army to allow us to use the chapel like the wiccans and pagans. This is politically correct to an extreme when pagans and wiccans are

allowed into a chapel and traditional Roman Catholics are blocked entry," Sonnier told the *Times*.

However, the Army contends it's not a question of access, but of cost. The pagan sects, the Army says, supply their own ministers.

"In order to have a Roman Catholic priest say a Latin Mass, the Army would have to contract out to a private priest," according to Colonel Carl Kropf, an Army spokesman in Heidelberg, Germany.

Kropf also said the matter is a "resource issue", but he also said the Army suffers "a tremendous shortage of Roman Catholic chaplains, in particular".

Sonnier also said his research shows that at least 12 military bases worldwide host pagan or Wiccan ceremonies.

Sonnier has written to Senate Republican Leader Trent Lott (R-MS) seeking his help on this issue. Sonnier is a Mississippi native and was nominated to West Point by Lott.

Lott's office had no comment when contacted on Wednesday.

[JR: God of Light is being eased out by these new naturalistic, paganistic and the new New Age religions and it's not about paid or payee ministries. Would the "no comment" from Lott's office be a reflection of his own preference or just uncertain how to be politically correct on this "religious" issue?]

A FUTURE FOR GOLD

By Greg Kaza, *gold-eagle.com* editorial, 10/5/00

Republican Richard M. Nixon closed the gold window Aug. 15, 1971, breaking the last monetary link with the precious metal. During the next three decades, prices as measured by the CPI more than quadrupled, or, put another way, the value of the U.S. dollar has fallen to 24 cents.

Meanwhile, the dollar has lost more than two-thirds of its value versus the Swiss franc, Japanese yen and German deutschmark. Much of the damage was done in the first decade after Nixon's action. Gold surged from \$35 to more than \$800 per ounce [January 1980] as the consequences of the federal government's massive 1960s spending spree—domestic (Great Society) and foreign (Vietnam) collapsed the U.S. dollar in financial markets.

Americans, living through one of the greatest booms in our nation's history, should never forget gold's historic role as a straitjacket that keeps wasteful career politicians from destroying taxpayer wealth and human progress through inflation. They should also remember some of the current prosperity is probably illusory—built on a credit boom fueled by massive federal spending increases.

Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan's repeated references to the gold standard, over a 35-year period, have led anti-inflationists to question whether gold could once again serve some formal role in the U.S. monetary system. "An almost hysterical antagonism toward the gold standard is one issue which unites statist of all persuasions," Mr. Greenspan wrote in an essay, "Gold and Economic Freedom" (*The Objectivist*, July 1966). **"...In the absence of the gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation."**

During his confirmation hearing as Fed chairman in July 1987, Mr. Greenspan wrote U.S. Senate Banking Committee Chair William Proxmire, "Under the conditions of the 19th Century, the gold standard probably worked more effectively than critics assert today, and if the key conditions could be replicated we might be well served by such a standard."

Mr. Greenspan has not backed away from his *philosophical* commitment to the gold standard during congressional testimony in the ensuing 14-year period, even if he fails to defend its practical merits now.

Can the gold standard be restored? There are considerable political obstacles to overcome; others involve technical issues of finance that were addressed by Greenspan in a *Wall Street Journal* essay (Sept. 1, 1981). Given the Fed Chair's remarks, and the approaching 30th anniversary of Nixon's grievous mistake, it is time to consider a new political agenda for gold that addresses the prospect of inflation.

Two schools of economic thought in the 20th Century shunned a role for gold. These were the Keynesians, whose founder, the British economist John Maynard Keynes, termed gold "a barbarous relic"; and the Chicago-school monetarists led by Nobel laureate Dr. Milton Friedman.

But intellectual support for a golden role emerges from two schools of economic thought: the venerable 125-year-old Austrian school of Carl Menger, Eugen Böhm-Bawerk, Nobel laureate Friedrich Hayek, Ludwig Von Mises, and Murray Rothbard; and the modern supply-siders, who trace their roots to Say's Law, and whose major advocates have been Dr. Arthur Laffer, former U.S. Rep. Jack Kemp, R-N.Y., author Jude Wanninski (*The Way The World Works*) and *The Journal's* editorial page.

The supply-siders began to argue in the late 1970s for a gold price rule to stabilize prices. Under a price rule, commodity prices, including gold, would be stabilized by the Fed within a target range. If commodity prices declined below the range, the Fed would ease monetary policy to bring them within the target. If prices increased above the range, the Fed would tighten monetary policy to bring them into the target. Supply-siders contend gold is the most important commodity, a sort of North Star for monetary authorities to follow. There is some evidence the Fed, under Paul Volcker, used an informal price rule in the early 1980s. Former FOMC members such as Manuel Johnson have also publicly disclosed they followed commodity prices in setting monetary policy.

After Republican Ronald Reagan was elected president in 1980 the supply-siders aggressively promoted a formal gold price rule. The Fed would have the power to stabilize the dollar, a fiat currency, by fixing the gold price. Dr. Laffer and colleague Charles Kadlec wrote:

"The purpose of a gold standard is not to turn every dollar bill into a warehouse receipt for an equivalent amount of gold [Why not?!], but to provide the central bank with an operating rule that will facilitate the maintenance of a stable price level." (*Wall Street Journal*, Oct. 13, 1981). Gold could be one of many commodities in a basket index, or even be excluded; one reason the price rule proposal was not welcomed by Austrians. Nor was it adopted by many Republican politicians in the 1980s; the idea quietly disappeared from public view. But the gold price rule

could reemerge as a policy idea or platform plank within the Republican Party if inflation returns or the U.S. dollar falls.

The political obstacles to gold are clear: The classic gold standard, or any variant such as a price rule, is anathema to career politicians because it restricts their ability to spend tax dollars and engage in wasteful spending. There are no references to gold in the 2000 Democratic and Republican platforms. This is not surprising given the relative disinflation—falling inflation rates—of the last two decades. Only the Libertarians formally promote the idea, noting a gold standard would give the American people veto power over Fed monetary policy by giving them the means to demand the precious metal as redemption for dollars declining in value. By contrast, the current fiat money system gives central banks and politicians that power.

Political obstacles do not mean all economists, even those at the Fed, dismiss gold on an intellectual level. In addition to Greenspan's remarks, some Fed research has noted the powerful economic advantages of gold. In the May 1981 *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Bulletin*, Michael Bordo noted the price level and real economic activity were more stable under the classic gold standard. Bond yields were dramatically lower, in the two to three percent range, due to reduced inflationary expectations. Finally, recessions under the gold standard, while common, were harsh but much shorter than in our time.

Adopting the gold standard would be the final step in a long process; politically, the obstacles are so great it could only be re-established given a monetary crisis—rampant, persistent inflation. In the interim, however, it is possible for supply-siders and Austrians, entrepreneurs and workers, hard-money legislators and informed citizens to advance a political agenda for gold including:

Congressional action requiring the Fed to acknowledge gold's important role, as a price signal; to monitor its price in financial markets; and require its chair to discuss the metal before Congress.

Federal and state legislation authorizing the sale of gold-backed treasuries and state bonds.

Congressional action reauthorizing the U.S. Gold Commission, which examined the gold issue early in the first Reagan administration. The Gold Commission was the last federal panel to formally study a return to the gold standard. The panel's majority opposed the idea, the minority forcefully dissented in a long report, *The Case For Gold*.

A political agenda for gold would lay the groundwork for the political response that will occur if an inflation crisis emerges in the future.

The Fed and a Gold Price Signal

The Fed conducts monetary policy through changes in the discount rate, i.e., the short-term interest rate it charges member banks; and open market operations such as the purchase or sale of treasuries or currency. (But the market sets long-term rates, one reason the 10-Year treasury note and 30-Year treasury bond are bellwethers closely watched by financial markets). To tighten monetary policy, the Fed raises the discount rate and sells treasuries. To ease, it lowers the discount rate and purchases treasuries. Its officials attempt to estimate what is occurring in the economy by reviewing monetary aggregates (ex. M3) and dozens of market prices. The system is

far from perfect: The Fed has made many mistakes that it later admitted, such as its policy in the early 1930s and mid-to-late 1970s.

Gold advocates frequently note that market signals such as prices provide more accurate information to Fed policymakers than the econometric models so beloved by academics. These are based on past data, and are unable, as the Austrians note, to predict rapidly changing prices in the real world of financial markets. A rising gold price is a signal of inflationary expectations while a falling price, over a long period, signals disinflation or deflation.

Congress passed HCR 133 in March 1975 requiring the Fed to “maintain long-run growth of the monetary and credit aggregates commensurate with the economy’s long-run potential to increase production, so as to promote effectively the goals of maximum employment, stable prices, and moderate long-term interest rates.” This legislation is known as “Humphrey-Hawkins”, after its sponsors. Since then, the Fed chair has testified semi-annually before Congress and openly discussed his use of aggregates and prices in setting policy.

According to ex-FOMC members, questions about the stability of some aggregates led the Fed to utilize other market signals. These include the U.S. dollar’s foreign exchange value. Briefly, an increase in the U.S. dollar’s foreign exchange value versus other currencies could serve as a market signal for the Fed to ease to prevent the currency from rising too much.

Conversely, a decline in the dollar’s for-ex value could serve as a signal for the Fed to tighten to prevent its further fall. They also include interest rates. In 1995, the German Bundesbank and Bank of Japan lowered their short-term discount rates in an effort to prevent the deutschmark and yen from rising too high versus the dollar. Despite the rate cuts the dollar continued to fall for a time. A Fed easing given these events would have been likely to cause the dollar to fall further; a tightening might have stopped the decline.

The Fed also follows commodity prices, among them gold, informally. Formal recognition would legitimize gold in any policy debate and be an important victory for hard-money advocates. In political terms, career politicians and the Keynesians and monetarists who advise them could no longer dismiss gold so readily. But the most important reason is that Mr. Greenspan will eventually leave the Fed, most likely replaced by a Keynesian or monetarist dismissive of gold’s importance.

Gold Bonds And The Federal Budget Deficit

One of the most frequently overlooked advantages of the gold standard were interest rates substantially lower than those faced by Americans in the 20th Century’s latter half. Declining interest rates and falling bond yields have long been synonymous with the gold standard. In his definitive work, *History Of Interest Rates, 2000 B.C. To The Present*, Sydney Homer wrote that long bond yields declined almost steadily in the last two decades of the 19th Century. The price stability that existed under the gold standard contributed to low real interest rates of about two percent and bond yields half of what they have been in our time. This fact has serious implications for federal spending, the annual budget deficit and U.S. national debt.

One result of price stability was the market’s measure of predictability with respect to the dollar’s

value. The market’s belief in long-term price stability encouraged people to enter into contracts with the expectation that commodity price changes would reflect real forces instead of changes in the currency unit’s value. Investors and bondholders did not demand the inflation premium they do today because prices rose at a negligible, snail’s rate. Interest rates and bond yields fell as a result.

If the federal government could finance its deficits at lower interest rates, hundreds of billions in tax dollars could be saved. Since Nixon decoupled the dollar from gold in August 1971, the 30-year treasury bond’s yield has ranged from six to more than 20 percent. Yields for 30-year treasuries are more than double the yields under the gold standard—even at the lowest of the fiat-currency rates.

The cost to the American taxpayer of these higher interest rates is staggering. In 1995, the national debt stood at \$4.8 trillion. Thus, the cost to taxpayers was \$48 billion for every increase of 100 basis points (one full percentage point of interest; each basis point equals one-hundredth of a percent). If rates had fallen 300 basis points on treasuries in the mid-1990s the national debt would have virtually disappeared.

This math has not been lost on some members of Congress. In 1995, the following exchange occurred between U.S. Sen. Robert Bennett, R-Utah, and Chair Greenspan:

Sen. Bennett: We know how much pain we go through politically to try to cut \$48 billion a year out of the budget, to try to get spending under control. Likewise, how much pain we go through if we try to pass a tax increase that produces \$48 billion a year... As I look at that, I become more convinced, therefore, that we must do whatever we can to see to it that interest rates are as low as possible for deficit reasons. And in trying to find some way to get them as low as possible without producing pernicious economic effects, I keep coming back to gold... If we could somehow establish a tie between the dollar and gold, would it, in fact, bring down real interest rates and give us the potential of the kinds of savings on the deficit?

Chairman Greenspan: Senator, anything which would change the view of long-term inflation prospects in the United States, whether it be a gold standard, whether it be credible monetary and fiscal policy, or some combination, will effectively reduce both nominal and real interest rates. And if one looks in the past as to what types of interest rates occurred when expectations of long-term inflation were nonexistent, you get very low rates.

Politically, the Clinton administration should have a greater incentive than the Republican Congress to explore the use of gold bonds for deficit reduction and national debt retirement purposes. Time and again, President Clinton, members of his administration and Democratic members have stressed debt retirement as their priority.

Short-term gold treasuries could be issued with principal and interest payable in gold. The bond yield curve for the gold bonds would be calculated by arbitraging regular treasury rate yields for the same maturity with the forward delivery premium for gold on the futures market. Current prices suggest rates half of what they are today.

Conservatives and libertarians may object that

proceeds from gold bond sales would be spent on federal programs that are not a legitimate function of government. This problem could be overcome by Congress specifying proceeds would only be spent on national defense, justice administration and other duties specified in the *U.S. Constitution*.

Gold bonds need not be limited to the federal government. State, county and local governments could also issue them to achieve lower financing costs. One factor working against this occurring is the overall lack of knowledge among government officials regarding this potential innovation. Gold advocates should educate, and encourage.

A New Gold Commission

U.S. Rep. Ron Paul (R-Texas) has played a key role in several crucial victories for gold proponents occurring in the past quarter-century. In 1974, private ownership of gold was legalized, and the U.S. Treasury began circulating a gold coin in 1986 for the first time in more than a half century. In 1979, Rep. Paul amended the International Monetary Fund appropriation to require creation of a U.S. Gold Commission to study the feasibility of a return to the gold standard.

Economic times were very different two decades ago: Double-digit inflation was the norm and lawmakers were more willing to consider changes to the economic system. The fact that an official U.S. government body was considering gold as a solution for the first time since the 19th Century was a major achievement for Rep. Paul and his supporters. Although the panel’s majority ultimately decided against the gold standard, millions of Americans were exposed to the idea for the first time.

A gold commission would become feasible again if oil prices rise and inflation returns. But it could also raise important issues—such as a price rule—if the opposite, i.e., deflation, occurs. Gold is more of a signal than an investment, and its fall from \$800-plus in the early 1980s to \$275 per ounce today is evidence, along with falling bond yields, of a long-term trend of disinflation. If disinflation evolves into deflation—bad deflation—monetary policy will once again emerge as a major issue along with, potentially, gold.

Promoting the Agenda

There will always be professional academics and corporate economists supporting a role for gold. But the best way to promote a political agenda for gold is by encouraging and supporting the people’s duly-elected representatives on Capitol Hill who fight to legitimize gold.

Only a handful of federal lawmakers, led by the brave Rep. Paul, pressed for the last Gold Commission; another small group is all that is necessary to affect change today. They should build coalitions, especially across the aisle, with other lawmakers who support transitory steps such as gold bonds or a price rule, if not the classic gold standard. To do otherwise is to concede the moral high ground to the funny-money advocates and monetary cranks who have Congress’ ear, and their fingers in the American public’s pocketbook through inflation.—**Greg Kaza served three terms (1993-98) in the Michigan House of Representatives. He has written for *The Wall Street Journal* and *Investors’ Business Daily*, and teaches finance at a Michigan college. greg_kaza@hotmail.com**

**New Gaia Products
are not being
advertised
at this time.**

PHASING-OUT SALE

Since we have little idea how far the Federal Government will follow the false information given by its mind-controlled informers, we are assuring your opportunity to gather all the invaluable material presented through the years from this source—at going-out-of-business sale prices.

Phoenix Source Distributors now offers all *Phoenix Journals* at half price—\$3.00 each, plus the usual shipping charge. This means ownership of a complete set of published *Phoenix Journals* is now domestically available for less than \$300.00, most locations. A modest price for an almost complete treatise of Truth on this era of planetary civilization.

Contact Inc. is selling all past newspapers and newsletters at \$1.00 each, which includes domestic shipping. Please call for additional shipping charge on foreign orders. Please give volume and issue numbers, or dates on newspapers and newsletters—with the respective quantities. We offer an almost complete set of newsletters and newspapers for \$300.00. Many early *Phoenix Journal Express* newsletters are absent and a few subsequent newspapers, but more than 90% of the total are available—and since putting a complete set together is easier than selecting some, we offer this special price of \$300.00 for almost 500 of these publications. This includes domestic U.S. shipping. Please request foreign shipping rates.

CONTACT: (800) 800-5565

New Gaia Products

Order by Mail		1999 Order Form		Order by Phone	
New Gaia Products P.O. Box 27710 Las Vegas, NV 89126			1 (800) NEW-GAIA (639-4242) 1 (702) 263-5181 FAX		
(Please Print)					
Name			Date		
Street Address					
City/Town		State/Prov.		Zip Code	
Daytime Phone No.					
Credit Card No. (Visa, Master Card or Discover)			Expiration Date		
Signature For Credit Card Orders					

**** SHIPPING & HANDLING RATES:**

FOR: CA, WA, OR, AZ, MT, UT, ID, CO, NM, WY, NV		FOR THE REST OF CONTINENTAL USA	
\$ 0-100	\$6.00	\$ 0-100	\$8.00
\$ 101-200	\$7.00	\$ 101-200	\$9.00
\$ 201-300	\$8.00	\$ 201-300	\$10.00
\$ 301-400	\$9.00	\$ 301-400	\$11.00
\$ 401-500	\$10.00	\$ 401-500	\$12.00
\$ 501-600	\$11.00	\$ 501-600	\$13.00

ALASKA & HAWAII PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES

***NOTE:**
****** For UPS 2nd day to Rural Alaska, please call for rates.
****** For Priority Mail to any locations, please call for rates.
 All Foreign orders, please contact our office in writing
****** for specific rates as rates vary greatly.

When ordering cases of product call for shipping rates. FOR ALL BREAD MACHINES, BREAD MIXES, FLOUR ORDERS, PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES AND MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, CALL FOR SHIPPING COSTS.

Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount	Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount
GAIANDRIANA LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00			OXYSOL Trace minerals & Colloidal Silver 2 oz.	\$ 8.00		
	\$ 40.00				\$ 45.00		
AQUAGAIA (Mitochondria) LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00				\$ 75.00		
	\$ 40.00			GAIACLEANSE KIT 14-DAY PARASITE PROGRAM	\$ 48.00		
GAIALYTE 2 liters	\$ 8.50			<i>Individual components sold separately—call for prices</i>			
	\$ 15.00			GULF WAR SYNDROME "Starter Kit"	\$260.00		
KOMBUCHA TEA BREEZE 1 liter	\$ 3.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND 2 oz.	\$ 6.00		
	\$ 6.00			NICOTINE__ CAFFEINE__ ALCOHOL__	each		
KOMBUCHA TEA VINEGAR 16 oz.	\$ 6.00			SUCROSE__ STARCH__			
CARBRAGAIA (FIBRINO-CARTILAGE) 8 oz.	\$ 8.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND TRAVEL PACK	\$ 15.00		
MELLOREAM BEVERAGE POWDER 3.25 lb	\$15.00			*HITACHI (HB101) BREAD MACHINE (FACTORY BLEMISHED/REFURBISHED)	No Longer Available		
"3 IN 1" GRAPE SEED EXTRACT 60 CAP-	\$18.00			*GAIASPELT (Whole Wheat & Spelt) BREAD MIX	\$ 3.50		
"4 IN 1" WILD YAM EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			* GAIASPELT FLOUR 2 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 2.50		
A-C-E Anti-Oxidant Formula 180 TABLETS	\$24.95				\$ 5.00		
CHLORELLA 300 TABLETS/500mg. EA.	\$21.00				\$ 10.00		
ECHINACEA GOLD PLUS 90 TABLETS	\$24.50			*GAIASPELT KERNELS 4 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 5.00		
GAIATRIM — 30 Day Supply	\$35.00				\$ 12.50		
GINKGO BILOBA (24% Extract) 180 TABLETS	\$24.95			*PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGE	\$130.00		
OLIVE LEAF 60 TABLETS	\$24.00			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.)			
OLIVE LEAF EXTRACT 35 PG. BOOKLET	\$ 2.75 S&H included			1 Bottle AquaGaia (1 qt.)			
RARE EARTH CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00			2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each)			
POSLIN CAPSULES 60 CAP-	\$ 6.00			4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix			
ALOE PLUS 77 60 CAPSULES/450mg. EA.	\$16.95			5 Audio-cassettes			
ALOE PLUS 77 Alfalfa & Minerals	\$16.95			*MAINTENANCE PACKAGE	\$ 80.00		
ALOE FREEZE DRIED CAPS 90 CAPSULES	\$30.00			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.)			
NONI 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each)			
MEGA-MULTI VITAMINS 30 CAPSULES	\$11.00			4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix			
ALOE JUICE Whole Leaf Aloe Vera Concentrate (10X STRENGTH) 1 liter	\$18.00			*MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS ALKALINE/ACIDIC WATER SYSTEM	\$1100.00		
SUPER OXY (CHERRY-BERRY) (CRANBERRY-APPLE) 1 quart	\$18.00			VORTEX KIT	\$ 8.00		
BODY BOOSTER 32 oz.	\$20.00			ADZUKI BEANS 50-LB BAG	\$ 50.00		
LIQUID LIFE 32 oz.	\$22.00			RED LENTILS 50-LB BAG	No Longer Available		
GAIAGLO LOTION 4 oz.	\$20.00						
HORSETAIL TINCTURE 2 oz.	\$ 8.00						
GAIA VITE Colloidal Multi-Vitamin & Mineral 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA COL Colloidal Silver with trace minerals & Trace Gold suspended in a distilled water fluid 2 oz.	\$10.00						
	\$56.00						
	\$96.00						
GAIA GOLD Colloidal Gold 2 oz.	\$20.00						
	\$112.00						
	\$192.00						
GAIA DHEA Colloidal Dehydroepiandrosterone 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIA CU-29 Colloidal Copper 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA TI-22 Colloidal Titanium 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIALIFE COLLOIDAL MINERALS 121++ 2 oz.	\$10.00						

PLEASE USE THE SHIPPING RATE CHART (located on the top of this order form) WHEN CALCULATING SHIPPING FOR ALL New Gaia Products.

*** FOR PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES and MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, BREAD PRODUCTS, MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS, BEANS AND LENTILS PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES.**
 PLEASE ALLOW 3 TO 6 WEEKS FOR DELIVERY.

Please make all checks and money orders payable to:

New Gaia Products
 P.O. Box 27710
 Las Vegas, NV 89126

TOTAL	
SHIPPING & HANDLING	
SUB TOTAL	
SALES TAX	Nevada Residents only: add 7%
TOTAL ENCLOSED	

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *Phoenix Journals* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE Journals** ARE \$6.00; ANY **4 Journals** ARE \$5.50 EACH; **10 OR MORE Journals** ARE \$5.00 EACH (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT JOURNALS, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, Inc.
P.O. Box 27353
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126

(or call)
1-800-800-5565
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)
UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

ALASKA & HAWAII
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

CANADA & MEXICO
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

FOREIGN
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

PLEASE NOTE:
CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are **NOT** the same! Checks sent for JOURNALS or book orders should **NOT** be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.

CONTACT: THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126
Phone: (800) 800-5565

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565. Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.

Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.


SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Qty OF ISSUES	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CANMEX	FOREIGN	Qty OF ISSUES	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders

Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges



Editorial Policy

Opinions of the CONTACT contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the CONTACT staff or management.

TELEPHONE HOTLINE (Discontinued)

As an adjunct to CONTACT, the Telephone Hotline keeps you as informed as possible on current events and other important information that needs to get to our subscribers before our publishing date.

The message machine will answer after 2 rings if there are any new messages for that day, and after 4 rings if not. Thus daily callers can hang up after 2 rings and save toll charges if no new message has been recorded. If the Hotline does not answer your call, then there is currently no Hotline message.