


CONTACT

THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

Y2K—THE NEW MILLENNIUM

*KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION*



VOLUME 30, NUMBER 2

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

NOVEMBER 1, 2000

The Zionist Utopian Ultimate World Order

MARCHING TO ZION: THE ULTIMATE WORLD ORDER

With the passage of PUBLIC LAW 102-14, March 20, 1991, you made it LAW that you will have a national religion and it will be based on the Zionist Talmud and "Jewish" Torah. This is in direct violation of the Constitution of the United States. But it was passed by voice vote and made PUBLIC LAW on March 20, 1991—and hardly any of you noticed. This is the anti-Christ-God in his march to Zion!

BY GYEORGOS CERES HATONN

"dharma"

PHOENIX JOURNAL #55

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
MOJAVE, CA 93501
PERMIT NO. 110

FIRST CLASS MAIL

CHAPTER 5

9/19/92—#1 HATONN
8:03 A.M. YEAR 6, DAY 34

WATCHIT!

"Methinks" that "they" stress much too strongly the Bonnie hurricane in the Atlantic not being a danger to shore. Chelas, THIS IS THE WAY THAT MANMADE HURRICANES ARE FORMED—out at sea with more and more pulsing currents until you have a mass as big as you want to do the damage aforeplanned. THAT hurricane is being built to straggle around offshore until appropriate to drive her inland. Where? Watch the non-news and see WHERE they "let it slip" that a big happening, like a missile or rocket launch, may be planned. If you are "lucky" and capitulations occur as being demanded on this or that point of action—it will dissipate. If not—it will be brought ashore where appropriate and you will be able to plot it daily by events as well as currents.

ULTIMATE MEMORY RECALL

The Russians despised and abhorred the Bolsheviks when in Russia and further despise them now that they are the big force in the United States of America. These are mainly comprised of Khazarian Zionists who CALL THEMSELVES "Jews" but are NOT. They are the Banksters of the world and have brought your entire planet to its knees. WHO IS YOUR ENEMY? It depends on WHOSE side YOU are on! I would suggest you look around you, get informed and figure it out. After all, chelas, even now and then an innocent man is sent to the legislature. Therefore, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE. I simply remind you good people: "Put not your trust in kings and princes. Three of a kind will take them both." Ponder it!

I also suggest to you ones who are in troubled families as within the larger troubled nation and planet—that you first do your children the largest service of all, for if you really WANT to do something positive for your children, you should make every effort to improve your marriage, for upon your example will

(Continued on page 2)

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

The Conspirators' Hierarchy: The Committee Of 300 (Part 12), by Dr. John Coleman....page 9

The News Desk, by John & Jean Ray.....page 12

rest the rest of their lives in human form. Then, after improving the relationships according to the Laws of God—instead of the rules of the destroyers—you can move on into restructuring the government of your own nation or building a new one. Then and only then can you begin to restructure the direction of your world. You have to be alert, however, for the experts will usually come “with an accent” to tell you what and how to change. Is this accident? No, for everything sounds more romantic and more factual expressed in an authoritarian “accent” of a foreign language.

You ones struggle along as if the solution to problems is simply to “drop out”, whether it be from a child who will not attend school to a marriage wherein the “sex spark” is dimmed—just get out of it, disclaim responsibility and “let somebody else” do it for you and, if you fail again, so what. You ones have been told to constantly depend on others to make you happy, successful—whatever. No, you will become responsible and balanced within self, and only self, or you will be endlessly disappointed—and those whom you have brought forth as children are doomed to the same destiny. Your first lesson of life is that if there were no problems, there would be no opportunities. If you cannot rectify a problem without “dropping out”, then I suggest you have not gotten out of kindergarten. It is said that “If you give a pig and a boy everything THEY want—you end up with a good pig and a very bad boy!” I suggest you ponder it, parents, and see wherein YOU FIT. And ALWAYS, it will be the things of value that will require the most avid stand in their defense—especially when the popular “vote” has ruled otherwise.

CORPORATION SENSE

Cort, for your “corner” in this week’s *LIBERATOR*, please utilize Lewis’ discussion on fund transfer. I get myriads of questions such as this: “I know that a corporation protects me, especially a Nevada corporation, but, I need to get some money out of my corporation. How can I do this to my very best advantage? I do not want to hold sums of large amounts in bank accounts because I know I will lose the asset one of these days. I want to cover in gold or something similar to the Institute gold collateral plan but I just don’t know how to get even small amounts properly arranged.”

This question has a lot of answers, depending, of course, on how much income your corporation “makes”, shareholders and whether or not you have issued shares (not a good idea), employees, what is the purpose of incorporation, e.g., family estate and business, business, privacy and protection or whatever the need. I don’t wish to spend time on this when there is ample information available—but I do feel that it is timely and would appreciate Cort’s response in the *LIBERATOR*. Thank you.

If you are going to utilize incorporation in Nevada, then PLEASE DO IT RIGHT—OR LEAVE US OUT OF YOUR ARRANGEMENTS. We NEVER suggest you do anything to either EVADE taxes or create any breaking of the laws of the land. NEVER! There are ample laws which allow for tax shelters through legal and expected means as set forth by corporations as well as privacy and good management for even “small” people, as you like to call selves. If you act in greed in order to serve selves—you are very

apt to get into ego problems and get that veil pierced the first time the government comes to “getcha”. I cannot warn you often enough and I do ask that if you simply want to greedily hold everything in your own name, rank and status-grabbing—stay a long, long way AWAY from me in so-doing. USE the laws existing for benefit—don’t abuse them or they will be brought down on you so hard and fast your head will swim until they let you out of jail—if they ever do.

We only offer SOUND, LEGAL alternatives to help get through this time of chaos and economic trauma. We never give doom-and-gloom facts without offering suggestions as to how you might do better and if you wish to work WITH GOD’S TEAM, then there will be other alternatives and possible security options that you can’t “just” go do on your own, for you have not “time” to establish methodology nor have conduits for management. This is abused herein, by ones thinking themselves beyond the “rules” and thus demanding more than fair and just shares at mind-change whims—these we also hope will stay a very long way away from us. God answers the sincere petitions of His people—but if your intent is only for self and greedy gain—you shall not be welcome in the service provided. Check your intent before you call on our ones for service and inclusion, please. They are not here to “judge” you or your intent—but I AM HERE to see to it that all honest participants are protected from the tentacles of the greedy. So be it and watch your “footwear” and the way you “make your bed”, for therein shall ye be finding yourself walking and sleeping.

So much for the tinkering of the morning, Dharma. We are going to dive right off into the information provided and following onto the past two days’ writings. We are going to take up what is now called:

THE ULTIMATE WORLD ORDER

This information is as pictured in “*The Jewish Utopia*”. I shall not change the wording for that would only further protect the “guilty”. I believe you who have followed along with our messages will be able to begin to fit the final tapestry pieces together as you add these bits and pieces.

The work compiled here comes from a small book, *The Ultimate World Order—as pictured in The Jewish Utopia* (with slight revisions) by Robert H. Williams. Mr. Williams has compiled the information into print and I shall do as usual—simply utilize the material, giving great honor for a daring and worthy job of presenting information which is efforted at burial in an ongoing and ever more drastic attempt at silencing.

No, the ADL will NOT like this—and the screams of “anti-Semite” will again flow loudly and abundantly. Note that THAT is the aggressive offensive of the ADVERSARY IN ACTION. A little about this information: THERE IS A MASSIVE EFFORT AFOOT TO STOP THIS PRESENTATION JUST AS IS THE CASE WITH THE ZIONIST PROTOCOLS AND ONE WORLD ORDER INFORMATION—SO—THERE MUST BE TRUTH HIDDEN SOMEWHERE THAT IS DESIRED TO BE, ONCE MORE, KEPT FROM YOU-THE-PEOPLE and quite frankly, ESPECIALLY THE SO-CALLED “JEWS”.

[QUOTING:]

THE JEWISH UTOPIA, discovered by the author

(Williams) in an unlisted Jewish collection in the library of the University of Texas, is the **authentic and complete plan of the Zionists for WORLD DOMINATION**. It pictures the ultimate “new social order” which the Zionists hope to establish after they have used Communism, democracy and a third world war to gain their ends. *THE ULTIMATE WORLD ORDER* is an analysis of *The Jewish Utopia*, WITH EXCERPTS FROM THE ORIGINAL TEXT.

[H: Where there are photocopies included, I ask that the editors effort to reproduce them if legible. Perhaps the inclusion, even in poor quality, can give credence to truth of presentation (See Appendix). I also ask that we give ample credit to the compilers of this information, for as with today’s patriots, there is little mention of selves, dates of printing and/or copyrights. The information is far too important for ego transgressions and all we can do is FURTHER the information flow in order to serve the original compilers. Herein is our purpose in writing and publishing this material—that it might go further and broader into the hands and eyes of your globe. Please note that “footnotes” (*) as nearly following subject in printing as possible within the text. I thank J.H. for providing the first document for use. We now have several. People ARE awakening and beginning to focus on urgent truth and it brings joy, for the voice in the wilderness IS being heard.]

THE ULTIMATE WORLD ORDER

... as pictured in *The Jewish Utopia*

If the Communists think they are going to conquer all the nations and set up a world government under a dictator of their own choosing, they may be in for a surprise. For their parent, the sect which originally launched the Communist movement as an offshoot to accomplish a specific and temporary purpose, has plans for an ultimate world order of its own; and this sect, commonly called Zionist, now vastly overshadows the much cruder Communist machine in skill, finance, organization and influence.

The Communist plan for rubbing out all national, religious, cultural and racial lines and submerging the world in formless, characterless chaos for easy domination is grandiose enough. To say that there is still another, more grandiose plan beyond that for which the Communist machine was set up is, indeed, to challenge the credulity of most of us, especially of us Anglo-Saxons who are too busy with our humdrum routines to pull the propaganda curtain aside and see the giant hiding there.

Not many years after I began studying the Communist movement, trying to understand it, I noticed a hint that Communism was not to be the ultimate world order. **Heinrich Heine, German-Jewish poet and Communist youth leader of the 1830s and 1840s (friend and co-revolutionary of Karl Marx) spoke of Communism as temporary.***

* The Heine prophecy of the destruction of Russia nearly a hundred years before the event is so revealing and so amazing as to justify quoting it at some length. Note the picture of a nationless, raceless world, “one flock and one shepherd... with an iron staff”:

“Communism, though little discussed now and loitering in hidden garrets on miserable straw

pallets, is the dark hero destined for a great, if temporary, role in the modern tragedy....

"It would be war, the ghastliest war of destruction... The second act is the European and the World Revolution, the great duel between the destitute and the aristocracy of wealth; and in that there will be no mention of either nationality or religion; there will be only one fatherland, the globe, and only one faith, that in happiness on Earth... How could the drama end?"

"I do not know; but I think that eventually the great sea serpent (Britain) will have its head crushed and the skin of the Northern Bear (Russia) will be pulled over its ears. There may be only one flock and one shepherd—one free shepherd with an iron staff and a shorn-alike, bleating-alike human herd!..."

"The Gods are veiling their faces in pity on the children of man, their long-time charges, and perhaps over their own fate. The future smells of Russian leather, blood, godlessness and many whippings. I should advise our grandchildren to be born with very thick skins on their backs."

Why and in what way temporary? What did this far-sighted master strategist of revolution see as the successor to Communism? Is it not disturbing enough that a machine dedicated to liquidating all opponents, wiping out the nations as such and the best blood of the races and blending the remnants into a faceless brown slob—is it not enough that this, the Communist machine, already enslaves nearly half the people of the world and is armed with hydrogen bombs?

If Communism is only temporary, what is to be the ultimate *novus ordo seclorum*, the new order, of society if the successors to the Jewish revolutionaries, Marx and Heine, accomplish their aim? Who are to be the masters of the new order and what do they want to do with our children and grandchildren?

It goes without saying that no man can escape concern about such a scheme if it has powerful backing.

For years I have felt that somewhere there must be a master plan showing what Heine and his fellow planners had in mind for us, after the fires of Communism burn away the heritages of the various races and cultures, religions and nations; after Communist monsters have killed out several generations of what they rightfully call "the leadership personnel", all who might have the intelligence, skill and courage to resist.

But I little expected ever to have this ultimate master plan, this chapter beyond the *Communist Manifesto*, in my hands. Of the hundreds of documents I have collected on activities of the Marxist revolutionaries—including originals or photostats of official government reports, intelligence releases, Communist papers, Zionist organizational reports to their members, Jewish histories of revolutions, biographies of their revolutionary leaders, etc.—I have never seen anything comparable; for this small book sketches the general outlines of the ultimate goal hundreds of years ahead, toward which all the various activities of the **Zionists and their "liberal" dupes** are, wittingly or unwittingly, contributing.

[H: Yes, we HAVE given you this information in several forms, readers and listeners, but YOU DIDN'T DIGEST IT AND ACTUALLY PUT IT DOWN as some sort of radical, bigoted and "anti-Semitic" assault. YOU HAD BEST NOW PAY ATTENTION AND REALLY DIGEST this material, for you ARE OUT OF "TIME". I will

put this information to audiotape, for I feel it time to dump this terrible load of truth on your shoulders before you are enslaved forever. For you "tapers", please do not rush to do this FOR me, for I want to comment as I go along—and we will do this instead of regular "meeting" tapes, for I want no meetings until our current problems are resolved.]

ZIONISM FROM TALMUD AND TORAH

[H: Be sure you read the writings of the past two days regarding PUBLIC LAW 102-14 prior to diving off into this, for it has EVERYTHING to do with YOUR NEW NATIONAL RELIGION!]

Yet, the book was not marked secret; it was in plain English and in the Library of the University of Texas. (And, a friend found a copy in the Library of Congress, Washington, D.C., supposedly a copy which was filed with application for copyright in 1932.)

It is a book of 118 pages, plus preface, notes and bibliography, entitled *THE JEWISH UTOPIA* (not "A" *JEWISH UTOPIA*, but "THE" *JEWISH UTOPIA*), by Michael Higger, a university professor, published by the Lord Baltimore Press, Baltimore, Md., 1932, and copyrighted the same year by the author.

If the book is not marked secret, if it is in plain English, it is nevertheless almost safe, **for it is largely couched in the esoteric language which all Jewish revolutionaries have used throughout known history, language found even in their Torah (The Books of Moses) and which Jewish writers imparted to Communist literature.** Their use of words which you and I take to mean one thing but which their followers understand as meaning something else, a system which our people little suspect, almost guarantees the security of the document. **[H: To catch a thief YOU MUST LEARN TO "THINK" LIKE THE THIEF.]**

HERE AT LAST IS THE COMPLETE PLAN OF THE ZIONISTS, IN THEIR OWN WORDS, FOR WORLD DOMINATION.

The author speaks of "the righteous" and "the just". He says they shall inherit the Earth. He quotes the Prophets, the *Books of Moses*, etc. Who would suspect that he had political revolution and total conquest in mind? But read on and you find that the "righteous" are to be the Zionist Jews and such gentiles as they may accept.

ALL OTHERS SHALL PERISH

That is what the author says repeatedly, on page after page. And note that this book is *not* the creation of the Jewish Professor, Michael Higger; he merely compiled it. It is the sum total of the prophecies, teachings, plans and interpretations of the foremost rabbis and Jewish tribal leaders of the past 2500 years—since the time of the Oral Law and the beginning of the *Babylonian Talmud*, with its double standard for Jews and non-Jews and its nationalistic, militant interpretation of the *Torah* (the *Books of Moses*, the first five books of the *Old Testament*).

There is no mistaking who is meant by "the righteous". Mr. Higger says **even those Jews who fail to enter into the program of the Utopia (which he reveals to be the same program of Socialism, brotherhood and internationalism as that of the Zionists and involving much also that is Communist) will be denied the Utopia.** Even the non-conforming Jews

shall perish, along with the rest of us.

On page after page Mr. Higger's *JEWISH UTOPIA* unfolds the ultimate order. Some mighty force, with the ruler from the house of David on the throne, is to take control of every life, every shekel's worth of property, every acre of land, every nugget and coin; no child may live, none may be born, if the Power objects.

And the regard in which *THE JEWISH UTOPIA* is held by the rabbinate may be surmised from the printed Texas University Library seal inside the front cover, which says the book was "Presented by the Kallah of Texas Rabbis, 1939" to The Abraham I. Schechter Collection of Hebraica and Judaica" of the University library.

I stated earlier that the book was in plain English. The fact is, it is addressed throughout to students familiar with the *TALMUD*, the teachings of the foremost rabbis of Jewish history; **it was not in the library proper but in the Abraham I. Schechter collection, to which one may access only by special permission; and it was not, and is not, listed in the library catalogue.**

We shall see presently the plan in detail. We would dismiss the entire book as a daydream by an unbalanced mind but for the facts of history, including current history, in which the Socialistic man-trap has caught this and other countries and people, and is steadily sucking their blood.

The Jewish machine has men in the most powerful positions; protected by the cowardice of leaders who fear to be called "anti-Semitic". We are paralyzed by that atom-powered little scare word; we are children afraid of the dark.

Apparently a good many Jews themselves are now fearful of the impending bloodshed. **I have known, and do know, many Jews; while the Jewish temperament is incredibly true to pattern, there are many who realize that they have found the Promised Land, their Utopia here in tolerant, benevolent, rich America; and if we appeal to these, they might well join us in the fight to keep America free.**

Mr. Higger says those Jews who do not knuckle under and who are not "tall and handsome" will be weeded out.

While our first concern is for the survival and continued freedom of our children and grandchildren, we ought to find helpful allies among the Jews. Several million Russian children and many millions of adults have been beaten to death, shot or starved by the insane Marxist machine called Bolshevism, or Communism; and the Zionists, Communists and their foolish "liberal" dupes are far advanced with the Marxist Socialist program in this threatened Republic.

So that the reader may not be asked to take my word, I am showing several pages photographed (See Appendix) from Mr. Higger's unique blueprint for conquest.

THE AIM

Professor Higger states his aim in the first paragraph of his Preface:

"For my main problem is to reconstruct an ideal social life on Earth as pictured by the rabbis of old."

He adds, later:

"An ideal society among the family of nations, as visualized by the prophets, although not realized as yet, will ultimately be achieved. Nations will come, nations will go. Dogmatic Christianity has

come, dogmatic Christianity will be gone. 'Isms' have created nations, 'isms' will destroy nations. Capitalism has brought happiness and woes to mankind; Communism may bring its paradise and hells to mankind. Doctrines have shaped the destinies of peoples, doctrines may bring destruction to peoples. But the millennium will come only when the nations of the Earth direct their efforts toward the visions of the prophets, and make function the teachings of Amos, Isaiah and Micah."

Do Professor Higger and Talmudic rabbis interpret the Prophets as do the Christian? Or do they believe that the Jewish Prophets of the *Old Testament* were trying to keep their people dedicated to a religion of conquest, trying to keep them united and moving toward political and economic domination?

Let us keep the question in mind as we read; eventually, we shall find the answer.

Mr. Higger continues:

"A Jewish Utopia begins where Wells leaves off. It starts with the world as the basis of the new social life. From that viewpoint, the rabbis picture first a scheme of a transvaluation, of spiritual, intellectual, and material values, and a complete spiritual transformation. Having laid this foundation of the new, ideal order, the Jewish idealists proceed with the rest of their plan, and complete the superstructure of their Utopia. In that part of the structure there are, to be sure, a few common elements in the rabbinic and other Utopias as the ideals of common interest and mutual helpfulness; cooperation supplanting competition in the new social order; the toil of industry being reduced to a minimum, and thus permitting a higher cultural and intellectual life."

Obviously, some catastrophic change is in store for the world. *Isms* will destroy nations. An *ism* has destroyed Russia in our lifetime—that is, a secret organization successfully promoted an *ism* as a device for undermining and capturing—and then gradually destroying—the Russian people, their culture, economy, religion and already, to a great extent, their best racial stock.

From beginning to end, **THE JEWISH UTOPIA** emphasizes that what the rabbis, the **TALMUD** and the *Old Testament* were talking about was an earthly regime, not a spiritual one—but an ideal political, racial, economic and social era (from the Jewish viewpoint) here on Earth. And it is to be a one-world state, conforming to a single ideology:

"... Plato is chiefly concerned with what will hold the ideal city together. The rabbis, on the other hand, are mainly interested in that ideology which would hold the whole world, or the Universal State, together."

This concept of the Jewish religion as a conquering ideology, preparing the world for a Jewish-dominated military-political state here on Earth, is not held by all the rabbis—but it is the Talmudic interpretation, as the **UTOPIA** shows.

The author hints that his paradise will be a Socialist order, for it is to begin "where Wells leaves off"—and H.G. Wells, a Socialist, idealized Socialism. A Socialist-regimented world order would kill the spirit, dry up the ambitions, chain the imagination of the highly individualistic Anglo-Saxon and his kinsmen of the Western world and, likewise, his friends in Asia, the Japanese and Chinese.

[END OF QUOTING]

Dharma, we will effort to keep the segments to a length suitable for a sitting, so that ones can digest the information as they move forward. **This is the most important information you will ever receive in this manifested experience upon your place in human format. You are in the time of great evolution of species and planet. You are in the "Revelation" time of the prophecies. That which you WISH to believe has no bearing on that WHICH IS. My mission is to uncover the truth for your information, that you might know that which is upon you—no more and no less. What you do with this information is that which determines your remainder of experience. JUST REMEMBER, CHELAS—GOD OF DIVINE LIGHTED SOURCE/CREATOR—ULTIMATELY WINS!(!!!)** Perhaps, after all is said and done, THAT is the "ULTIMATE" PART OF THE DIVINE PLAN.

Let us leave this now. May the Light of TRUTH be abundant as you sort of these mighty things come upon you. Hatonn to clear, please.

CHAPTER 6

9/20/92—#1 HATONN
10:29 A.M. YEAR 6, DAY 35

THE JEWISH UTOPIA (CONTINUED) (ULTIMATE PLAN 2000)

[QUOTING:]

WHO IS TO JUDGE?

Higger says the term "righteousness" has all but lost its meaning in the modern world; that "the Jewish Utopia is built upon this very term, or idea, of righteousness... the Kingdom of God in this world will come only when suffering mankind passes through the gate of righteousness.

This sounds **not unlike** a Christian, Anglo-Saxon or any other "Utopia". Idealization of righteousness is more or less universal. The Nordic, with his "New England conscience", idealizes righteousness and sometimes practices it. But we must ask by what standards righteousness is to be judged. The Christian expects God and his own conscience to be the judge of righteousness; the Anglo-Saxon, the Negro, the Japanese, surely would not want to be judged righteous or unrighteous according to the wishes, ambitions or whims of a worldly Jewish authority. On the other hand, we could hardly expect ardently pro-Jewish Jews, including Talmudic rabbis, in their paradise, to cede power to ardent Christians, individualistic Norsemen who cherish their personal freedom, or the art-loving Mediterraneans who likewise must give vent to creative yearnings and who like a leisurely, unregimented life. Hence, the Jewish regimenters are sure to encounter resistance.

"To understand the rabbinic conception of an ideal world it will help us if we imagine a hand passing from land to land, from country to country, from the Indian Ocean to the North Pole, marking 'righteous' or 'wicked' on the forehead of each one of the sixteen-hundred-million inhabitants of our earthly globe. [H: Does this counting date this book's origin better than could I by giving you dates? (Sixteen-hundred-million inhabitants?)

Does this not also speak of evolutions of civilizations and incarnations for growth of souls in ever changing experiences?] *We should then be on the right road toward solving the major problems that burden so heavily the shoulders of suffering humanity. For mankind should be divided into two, the only two, distinct and unmistakable groups, namely, righteous and wicked. To the righteous would belong all that which God's wonderful world is offering; to the wicked would belong nothing. In the future the words of Isaiah, in the language of the rabbis, will be fulfilled: "Behold, My servants shall eat, but ye shall be hungry; behold, My servants shall drink, but ye shall be thirsty; behold, My servants shall rejoice, but ye shall be ashamed. This is the force of the prophecy of Malachi, when he said: 'Then shall ye again discern between the righteous and the wicked, between him that serveth God and him that serveth Him not.'"*

But who is to direct the hand which marks on your forehead and mine, on the forehead of each of our children and our loved ones, "righteous" or "wicked"? Who is to say who may own property and who must die of thirst and starvation? Who is to dictate such conformity? Who is to deny us our own right to choose?

Notice that Professor Higger considers that the Jewish Prophet Malachi used the term righteous as referring to the same persons to whom he, Higger, and the nationalistic rabbis would apply it today—righteous according to the standards, aims and desires of the leaders who build toward a Jewish paradise, a Jewish world order. That is not the Christian interpretation of Malachi and the other Prophets, Jews though they were. The Talmudic interpretation inspires the Jewish flocks to believe that the Prophets were addressing their appeals to their own people, trying to whip them into unity and consecrated devotion to the building of a Jewish world order.

The *Talmud** tells the Jewish flocks that Moses was their militant, conquering hero, and that the Mosaic Law which says love thy neighbor is for the Jews only. It shows the Jewish people are not bound by any moral requirement in dealing with gentiles. [H: You must always be keeping in mind that the term "JEW" WAS CREATED EXPRESSLY FOR THIS PURPOSE OF IDENTIFICATION OF "ZIONIST" LEADERS.]

* There are TWO **TALMUDS**, the Babylonian and the Jerusalem or Palestinian *Talmud*. Any reference to the *Talmud* means the *Babylonian Talmud* unless otherwise stated, for the other is rarely used. The *Talmud* consists of many huge volumes. The English translation of it (from which I have many photostats, showing how the Jews are free to deceive and outwit the non-Jews [KOL NIDRE!], except where there is danger of reprisals) now apparently is available for purchase by libraries and, possibly, by gentile individuals. There is also a single volume of considerable size, called the *Shulkan Aruch*, a compilation of excerpted teachings from the *Talmud*, which volume is in common use among rabbis and seems to be available in most big city libraries.

"The Law Moses gave unto us as an heritage; it is an heritage for us, not for them." (Sanhedrin 59 a.)

"Ye are called men, but the goyim (gentiles) are not men, but beasts." (Baba Meziz fol. 114 b.) [H: Does that not put most of you in your place in relationship to this New World Order?]

These quotations are translations from the 9-volume German-language edition of the *Babylonian Talmud* in the Library of Congress, Washington, D.C. [H: **So it would seem pretty hard to deny presentation as they have with the Zionist Protocols, etc.**]

GLORIFIES STEALTH AND DECEPTION

The *Talmud* is a many-volume compilation of Jewish history and traditions and the teachings of the ancient rabbis. One of its most important elements consists in interpretations of the Law of Moses by rabbis over a period of centuries, in legal decision after legal decision. The quotations above are from different rulings in different cases. Whereas the Christian who accepts the *Old Testament* as either sacred or at least historical, thinks of Moses as a great moral leader appealing to all the world, the Talmudic rabbis consider Moses a great military commander. By their interpretation, Moses kept the Children of Israel in the Wilderness “forty years” so as to discipline his flock, raise up an army and train it to gosestep. And, of course, the *Old Testament* does say that Moses’ soldiers fell on unsuspecting villagers and annihilated them—men, women and children. The *Books of Moses* plainly glorify this slaughter and the theft of the land from unsuspecting gentiles. [H: **This is no way, or by any means, of Lighted Creator God of Source. God of Divine Source of Light—only creates, He does not destroy—He ONLY creates, for there is no need of other in the unlimited presence and ability of the ONE CREATIVE SOURCE!**]

* Historians now say that the Hittites whose peaceful villages were thus attacked, were a fair, blond people speaking a language so much like early German that many words were identical. The Hittites, like the Germans 1300 years or more B.C., also knew the secret of working iron.

Read especially the *Books of Numbers* and *Deuteronomy* for additional examples of how the Jewish adherents should take what they want.

“*And we took all his cities... And we utterly destroyed them, as we did unto Sihon, king of Heshbon, utterly destroying the men, women and children of every city.*” (Deuteronomy 3:4-6)

Not only Zionism—but Communism, too—acquired much of its hatred of Christians from the spirit of hatred kept alive by rabbis in the ghettos of Europe and Russia—the constant repetition of “mine enemies” from the *Old Bible*.

“*And ye shall be saved from your enemies... And let thine enemies be scattered, and let them that hate thee flee before thee.*”

The master-race concept, the zeal for conquest and self-adulation were kept alive in the ghettos for their interpretation of the *Torah*:

“*And it shall come to pass... that the Lord thy God will set thee high above all the nations of the Earth.*” (Deut. 26:19) “*The Lord shall establish thee a holy people...*” (Deut. 28:9)

Read also in *Exodus* how Moses had his fellow Jews borrow jewelry and other valuables from the Egyptians the day before they slipped out of Egypt. They did not return the valuables. [H: **Sound familiar, anyone?**]

We may sympathize with the racial or tribal pride of the Jewish men in the wilderness when they resented the presence of a non-Jewish woman in the tent of one of their brothers; but the example of bloody

fanaticism shown when they stoned the couple to death because the woman was not Jewish is a strange example, indeed, to come from those who cry loudest for brotherhood (from us to them) and who are the first to condemn such race pride (in non-Jews).

Whatever the Christian may think of the intent of Moses, the *Talmud* teaches the Jews to believe that Moses was talking only to their ancestors, not to the goyim, when he said Love thy neighbor; Thou shalt not steal, etc. The *Talmud* gives adequate cause for the belief among the Jews that Moses was a military leader, keeping the Children of Israel in the wilderness the figurative forty years so as to train them under the brief, rigid *Ten Commandments*, which thus would be his police code to keep them in hand. By this interpretation, Moses was, indeed, building an army of conquest.

The *Talmud* thus indicates that when Moses said Love thy neighbor as thyself, he meant Love thy Hebrew neighbor; thou shalt not steal (from thy Hebrew neighbor), etc.

If this is what many Jews believe—and if the *Torah* is the core of their religion—very well; it is their privilege to worship as they please, even if they plan thereby to annihilate us. But let us open our eyes and defend ourselves. We must not blame them if we let a small tribal group bring about our destruction.

While the *Encyclopedia Britannica* (p. 771, Vol. 21, 1949 edition) says “The *Talmud* is still the authoritative and practical guide to the great mass of the ‘Jews’, still not all the rabbis accept the *Talmud*, with its glorification of secrecy and cunning and its incitation to blood-letting and conquest. Rabbi Elmer Berger, for instance, repudiates both the *Talmud* and the *Torah*. In his *Partisan History of Judaism* (Devin-Adair Co., New York, 1952) he attacks the *Books of Moses* as expressions of nationalistic fanaticism, only partially based on historical fact. He shows that modern Zionism springs from this ancient “Zionism”.*

* Rabbi Berger accepts the Prophets, and about 1947 he told a closed meeting of delegates to the convention of the American Council for Judaism (a small anti-Communist, anti-Zionist group) “God promised us world priesthood.” Thus he and his group, too, have a program. But there is a vast difference: Their program, apparently, is only a missionary ambition—to Judaize the world by open and legitimate missionary methods. One does not have to accept their program. The Christian and some other religions are not bent on destroying all who refuse to knuckle under.

USES ESOTERIC TERMS

Mr. Higger leaves no doubt of his meaning in using the word “righteous”. The word as here used is a cabalistic, esoteric term. The whole substance of the text shows that the “righteous” are those nations and individuals who work with and for the Zionist world program. The author even explains some of his key words by direct definition. For instance:

At the outset it should be pointed out that the terms redemption and salvation have a radically different connotation from that which they have in Christian theology.... Jewish redemption stands for the physical liberation and freedom of Israel. For the people of Israel will attain the height of their spiritual functions and potentialities only through their attainment of material freedom and liberty.”

Redemption then, for the Zionist, has nothing to do

with “redemption from sin”, nothing to do with his personal relationship with God and the hereafter. It refers to a political, military and geographical accomplishment, and setting up of the state of Israel and the “liberation and freedom” of the Jews.

The terms liberation and freedom, as used by Zionists, likewise, are consistently misinterpreted by gentiles, as is the Jewish term persecution. A study of Jewish revolutions in many countries shows that the word persecution is almost universally used to mean prosecution. Even those Jewish writers who tell in detail about Jewish revolutionary and seditious activities against the government of their host country, brand any punishment of the guilty Jews by courts of the land, as persecution. Notice that in the three-volume *HISTORY OF THE JEWS IN RUSSIA AND POLAND* by Simon Dubnow, one of the foremost Jewish historians, though Dubnow relates with pride many of the subversive, violent movements launched by Jews, he always brands their punishment as persecution. Similarly, the Jewish press and planted articles in the general press in America, during the trials of Jewish Communist officials in Russia, Poland and Hungary during the past few years, have treated the trials as **persecution** rather than **prosecution**. And the courts and Stalin were anti-Semitic! (Stalin lived with Rosa Kaganovich, **sister to his close friend, Jewish Deputy Premier Lazar Kaganovich**—though there cannot be found a record of any marriage.)

“*All the treasures and natural resources of the world will eventually come in possession of the righteous. This would be in keeping with the prophecy of Isaiah: ‘And her gain and her hire shall be holiness to the Lord; it shall not be treasured nor laid up; for her gain shall be for them that dwell before the Lord, to eat their fill and for stately clothing.’ Similarly, the treasures of gold, silver, precious stones, pearls, and valuable vessels that have been lost in the seas and oceans in the course of centuries will be raised and turned over to the righteous... In the present era, the wicked are ordinarily rich, having many comforts of life, while the righteous are poor, missing the joys of life. But in the ideal era, the Lord will open all the treasures for the upright, and the unrighteous will suffer. God, the Creator of the world... will be happy, so to speak, only in the era to come, when the world will be governed by the doings and actions of the upright...*”

Now we are beginning to catch broad panoramas of the Zionist Utopia, the ultimate world order, as longed for by Professor Higger and the principal rabbinical teachers of 2500 years: “Ye shall be hungry unless you are among the righteous.” “All the treasures and natural resources of the world will eventually come in possession of the righteous.”

Since only the righteous shall own property—and all the rest of us shall, in fact, perish—it is important to know who are to be judged righteous and who unrighteous. Which of us are to be among the chosen, enjoying the Kingdom of God here on Earth; and which of us are to be among the hundreds of millions of human beings denied even food and water?

[H: **Pay close attention here because if you are of Lighted Creator God—you are going to find that YOU are not included in the lift-off lists of the Zionists, Jews or whatever they wish to call themselves. You are among the ones intended to**

perish! By the way—unless you already HAVE YOUR TICKET TO THE UNDERGROUND SURVIVAL FACILITIES—YOU ARE GOING TO PERISH IF THESE ADVERSARIES HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT. YOU HAVE BOUGHT THEIR GARBAGE—AND NOW YOU ARE IN THE “COLLECTION” PROCESS OF THEIR FORECLOSURE ON A PLANET PHYSICAL. YOU WILL NOTE THAT ALL REFERENCES ARE TOWARD MATERIAL “PHYSICAL” ACQUISITIONS, ETC. YOU HAVE MET THE ANTI-CHRIST ADVERSARY—AND HE STANDS BLOCKING THINE PATH AS SURELY AS A WALL OF STONE. YOU WILL EITHER RISE ABOVE AND BEYOND SUCH PERCEPTION OF REALITY—OR YOU SHALL SURELY PERISH IN IT.]

“In general, the peoples of the world will be divided into two main groups; the Israelitic and the non-Israelitic. The former will be righteous; and they will live in accordance with the wishes of one, universal God; they will be thirsty for knowledge, and willing, even to the point of martyrdom, to spread ethical truths to the world. All the other peoples, on the other hand, will be known for their detestable practices, idolatry and similar acts of wickedness. They will be destroyed and will disappear from Earth before the ushering in of the ideal era. All these unrighteous nations will be called to judgment before they are punished and doomed. The severe sentence of their doom will be pronounced upon them only after they have been given a fair trial, when it will have become evident that their existence would hinder the advent of the ideal era. Thus, at the coming of the Messiah, when all righteous nations will pay homage to the ideal righteous leader, and offer gifts to him, the wicked and corrupt nations, by realizing the approach of their doom, will bring similar presents to the Messiah. Their gifts and pretended acknowledgement of the new era will be bluntly rejected. For the really wicked nations, like the wicked individuals, must disappear from Earth before an ideal human society of righteous nations can be established.” (p.37)

That makes it clear. Now we know that unless the United States as a nation joins wholly, in fact and in spirit, in the Israel-Zionist movement to promote the new social order, our nation is doomed (if the Zionists win). The Zionists call the process of liquidating opposition, “social surgery”.

[END OF QUOTING]

I ask that we break this writing right here, Dharma. I will next look at the “plans for Armageddon” and see if you can’t have even a bit MORE insight. I also ask that this information be published in the least expensive format possible and offered at as low a price to cover expenses and give coverage enough for follow-on printing, as possible. YOU ARE MEETING YOUR ENEMY AND HE IS CLEVER AND DECEITFUL. HE USES EVERY TERM IN YOUR LANGUAGE TO OPPOSITION OF MEANING—AND THE TRUTH IS, YOU ARE DOOMED IF YOU SEE NOT THROUGH THIS VEIL OF LIES AND PHYSICAL CUNNING. YOU NEED NOT LOOK BEYOND THAT WHICH IS OBVIOUS—HE WHO CALLS HIMSELF BY THE

THIEF’S NAME IS THE ONE THAT IS GUILTY. IF “HE” CALLS HIMSELF “JEW” AND/OR ZIONIST—THEN HE IS YOUR ENEMY—IF YOU DO NOT IN FULL INTENT AND PURPOSES OF “HIS” GAIN, CALL YOURSELF ONE OF “HIM”. Time has run out for the choices. You are either “of God” or you are his enemy!

May insight into the truth of your circumstances be given into your blinded eyes.

Salu, may the blessings of LIGHT be shown unto you. Hatonn to stand aside.

CHAPTER 7

9/21/92—#2 HATONN
11:35A.M. YEAR 6, DAY 36

THE ULTIMATE WORLD ORDER (CONTINUED) FROM: THE JEWISH UTOPIA

From material compiled from *The Jewish Utopia, Encyclopedia Judaica, Torah, Babylonian Talmud and Jerusalem Talmud*. This larger “body” of information is compiled by Robert H. Williams and independently researched for accuracy. **This is material presented “by the ‘Jews’ as their own plan of World conquest by year 2000”.** Since it is projected and placed into libraries—although in selected departments wherein the “public” will not have easy access—it is both valid and of “their” own presentation, not that of so-called bigots or biased persons. Remember, the “JEWS” are a selected term from the mid-to-late 1700s as given by those who also call themselves “Zionists”. These are not necessarily Judeans, Hebrews or any other “race” or “creed”—they are just as would be a “political” party who thieves the traditions, names and redefines (secretly as in “coding”) words in languages which mislead the populace. I shall effort to repeat this opening statement frequently so that there is no misunderstanding about that which we offer herein. **THIS IS INFORMATION FROM THEIR OWN BOOKS OF INSTRUCTION—NOT SOMETHING WE CONJURE TO MAKE ANOTHER LOOK BAD, FEEL BAD, OR SINGLE THEM “OUT”. THIS IS THE “JEWISH UTOPIA” AS PLANNED FOR THE ULTIMATE WORLD ORDER (A STEP BEYOND THE NEW WORLD ORDER!).**

[QUOTING:]

PLANS FOR ARMAGEDDON

It is Israel and associated nations, the Israelitic group, those nations which help build the new social (or Socialist) order, which are to dominate the world; **the others must perish.**

Notice that the “sin” specifically identified is that of idolatry. But Mr. Higger makes clear that all those who are spared must worship the *Torah* and the Israelitic god, in Jerusalem. Hence, **idolatry MEANS ANY OTHER RELIGIOUS FAITH!** [H: Please go back and reread the NOW-ENACTED PUBLIC LAW 102-14. THIS LAW IS NOW THE LAW OF YOUR LAND, PASSED ON MARCH 20, 1991, 105 STAT. 44, PUBLIC LAW 102-14, 102nd CONGRESS (OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)—BY VOICE VOTE (didn’t even require a roll-call).]

Higger and his fellow Zionists are not talking in figurative terms. They are dealing in human blood, oceans of it:

“Hence, Israel and the other righteous nations will combat the combined forces of the wicked, unrighteous nations under the leadership of Gog and Magog. Assembled for an attack upon the righteous nations in Palestine near Jerusalem, the unrighteous will suffer a crushing defeat and Zion will thenceforth remain the center of the Kingdom of God. The defeat of the unrighteous will mark the annihilation of the power of the wicked who oppose the Kingdom of God and establishment of the new ideal era.

“This struggle will not be merely the struggle of Israel against her national enemies but the climax of the struggle between the two general opposing camps of the righteous and unrighteous. A saying in the name of Rab states that the descendant of the house of David will appear as the head of the ideal era only after the whole world will have suffered for a continuous period of nine months, from a wicked, corrupt government, like the historical, traditionally wicked Edom.”

The author minces no words here. Israel and her allies are to be the victors; Israel is to become the center of “the Kingdom of God”—implying that the world is to look on Israel as holy and worship its leader, “a descendant of the house of David”. *Webster’s Dictionary* defines an Edomite as “one of the descendants of Esau, or Edom, the brother of Jacob”. You remember the account in the *Old Testament*, the *Jewish Bible*, which glorifies Jacob’s tricking and deceiving his half-brother, Esau, out of his birthright. **Esau is always pictured in Jewish literature as the gentile, and the name is used as the CODE WORD for THE GENTILE; Jacob the CODE WORD for the JEW.***

* An example of the use of the Jewish code words Esau and Jacob is found in a sermon preached by Rabbi Leon Spitz during the Purim observances in 1946 (quoted here from the *American Hebrew* of March 1, 1946):

“Let Esau whine and wail and protest to the civilized world, and let Jacob raise his hand to fight the good fight. The anti-Semite... understands but one language, and he must be dealt with on his own level. The Purim Jews stood up for their lives. American Jews, too, must come to grips with our contemporary anti-Semites. We must fill our jails with anti-Semitic gangsters. We must fill our insane asylums with anti-Semitic lunatics. We must combat every alien Jew-hater. We must harass and prosecute our Jew-baiters to the extreme limits of the laws. We must humble and shame our anti-Semitic hoodlums to such an extent that none will wish or dare to become (their) fellow-travelers’.”

There are, of course, no laws against “anti-Semitism” except in the Communist countries. Anti-Semitism, anti-Catholicism, anti-Protestantism, anti-Irish, etc., are not crimes by our laws, though Jewish and “liberal” members of Congress have introduced such Communist-type laws every year and are coming closer and closer to getting them enacted as Congress has less and less understanding of or concern for principles, interfering more and more with social attitudes and relationships of individuals, one to another.

Notice that Higger uses a capital “G” for gentile,

which is the biblical use of the word, whereas the modern use rarely capitalized it, letting the word stand as a common noun. According to the Bible and also Webster's Dictionary, the word meant: "as used by the Jews, one of a non-Jewish nation or of non-Jewish faith."

You must attend the fact of the "UNESCO Brown Man" (See Appendix), as you may call him or it, which was photographed from page 70 of the book *United Nations: Blueprint for Peace*. The author of the book says the picture is an official UN photograph. The cutline under the picture says it "represents all of us, everyone on Earth". Obviously, this raceless, characterless "man", standing on the world is the UNESCO ideal toward which all of us must work, the blending of all the races of mankind into one composite raceless, nationless, homeless, characterless, faceless brown slob—utterly lost from all heritage and completely subject to the will of the Zionist master race—which, while producing the propaganda to cause us to blend, quickens the tribal pride of the Jews to keep them from blending. The statue resembles the "art" of, and evidently was done by, the Jewish revolutionary Bernard Rosenthal who also did the famous or infamous trio, the Faceless Family, "Mr. and Mrs. Faceless and Little Faceless", showing parents and a child just like the picture in point (see Appendix), representing the future family! (End footnote)

Just as it is the nations who oppose, or even fail to support the Zionist ambitions, who are to be destroyed, so is it the individuals failing or refusing to cooperate, who must disappear:

"Who are the wicked? What constitutes wickedness, which is an obstruction to the establishment of the Kingdom of God? No exact definition of the terms can be formulated. A few rabbinic passages dealing with the subject, however, give a general idea of the meaning of wicked and wickedness, so far as a Jewish Utopia is concerned.

"First, no line will be drawn between bad Jews and bad non-Jews. There will be no room for the unrighteous, whether Jewish or non-Jewish, in the Kingdom of God. All of them will have disappeared before the advent of the ideal era on this Earth. Unrighteous Israelites will be punished equally with the wicked of other nations. All the righteous, on the other hand, whether Hebrew or Gentile, will share equally in the happiness and abundance of the ideal era."

It is the Jewish power which is to decide which of us is "bad". It is hardly likely that anyone who opposes the Zionist-Utopian program would be found "righteous" by the Jewish judgment; he would, without doubt, be called "anti-Semitic" and condemned to the non-Israelitic camp.

"Second, one's external religious observances will not necessarily put one in the category of the righteous... Only those who will be observant as a result of their conviction and faithfulness will be welcome into the Kingdom of God.

"Third, people who maliciously cause mischief and suffering to the upright and just, will be termed wicked, and the Kingdom of God will not have them."

Rabbinical and political organizers have often maneuvered to get some of the flock punished so as to intensify their cry of persecution. And no doubt Professor Higger was unusually persecution conscious in the year 1932 when his book was published—for Hitler was rising and the war between Hitler and the

Jews already was joined.

"Fourth, speculators, dishonest industrialists, and all those who accumulate wealth at the expense of the suffering of their fellow-men, will be unknown entities in the rabbinic Utopia.... [H: This, of course, refers to the suffering "Jew"—not any other segment. The Jew will aid in any possible way the usurpation of the rights and property of all non-Jews.]

"Fifth, those who are thwarting the purposes of God in this era, and do not help to build up and bring about the new era, will consequently not enter the Kingdom of God. [H: Again, this refers to a god of the specific Zionists—NOT GOD OF CREATION/LIGHT. In other words, this states that any one NOT FOLLOWING THE DIRECTIVES AND PURPOSES OF THE ZIONISTS (JEWS) WILL NOT ENTER THE KINGDOM OF GOD AND WILL THUS PERISH.]

Those who "do not help to build up and bring about the new era" will be branded wicked on their foreheads and shall perish! As in the Communist states, you will not be permitted to be neutral; you will be given bloody assignments to liquidate friends or loved ones as a test of your loyalty to the Israelitic group and program—if they win. It already is in the testing period.

And so:

HERE IS THE PROGRAM

What is their program?

It calls for a king, an all-powerful one (descended from the house of David): [H: There are a couple of things to look for in this statement: 1) It might be "assumed" by the "Christian" who recognizes the Christed Emmanuel (Jesus) to return in his second coming as this lineage from the house of David. No. "Jesus" was NOT from the house of David as recognized by the Zionists. This, again, is to fool the world—a created illusion to make the masses believe one thing, while you are stricken by quite another. As with the Israeli flag and the so-called "star of David", this started as the "national symbol" with the crusade of DAVID AL-ROY. Recall that David al-Roy began his teachings and rise to power as the anticipated "messiah" in Baghdad (Babylonia). The six-pointed star was actually referred to as the "shield of David". Can you see how easy it is to fool some of the people ALL of the time—and then after stating and restating and then making "laws" regarding a thing—it is accepted as FACT, when it is a lie at onset?]

"I will raise unto David a righteous shoot, and he shall reign as king and prosper and shall execute justice and righteousness in the land."

That the "Holy One" whom all the world must worship is to BE A MAN seems evident:

"In the future the Holy One, blessed be He, will arrange a chorus for the righteous in Paradise."

The Paradise referred to throughout the Utopia is: "... a universal paradise of mankind... established in THIS world—with no reference to the future world whatever." (Mr. Higger's emphasis on "this".)

The author further identifies the Holy One:

"He will sit in the center and each of the righteous will be able to point to Him with his finger, as it is said: 'And it shall be said in that

day: Lo, this is our God.'" [H: Not "universally" but only of "THIS" world. If each of the righteous as defined by the Zionist Ultimate Planners can point to the "man", it indicates a "place" and "a man" and, therefore, this "God" "King" cannot be of ALL—but only the specific FEW.]

Numerous references in the *Utopia* indicate that this man-god is to be the same as the Jewish world ruler of the house of David. For instance:

"Messiah, the ideal righteous one, will come from the East, where the Sun rises. He will be a descendant of the house of David." [H: Everything in that statement indicates a MAN (not returned from anywhere) but rather, from the East in physical location and is the descendent of a MAN, David. This can only mean, chelas, a physical man—and not a returned Christed being.]

This ruler, described as the dispenser of justice, obviously is the "Holy One":

"But in the future, the Holy One will summon the rich to judgment for having robbed and oppressed the poor."

The economic system will be quite socialistic: There shall be "new conditions and radical changes", "when human individuals in general, will each enjoy the work of his hands". The Communists set out to build such a system but, of course, built the most privileged "ruling class" in history.

The radical change will wipe out inheritances. There shall be a hundred percent inheritance tax:

"For, at present, when man dies he leaves all for others. But in the future 'they shall not build, and another inhabit.'"

You will have to help bring about this state confiscation.

The United States government has adopted a substantial inheritance tax, plus the graduated income tax recommended by Karl Marx, which takes as high as 91 percent of a man's annual earnings—amounting to already, almost the total confiscation of property which Israel will require of all nations in the Utopia to come, after the great war.

The new order will be an international order; Israel will be the seat and center of all activities; you will have to help promote Israel and subordinate your country.

"The nations will gradually come to the realization that godliness is identical with righteousness, that God cleaves to Israel, the ideal righteous nation. The peoples of the Earth will then proclaim to Israel: We will go with you, for we have heard that God is with you." (p.31)

"The people of Israel will conquer, spiritually, the nations of the Earth, so that Israel will be made high above all nations in praise, in name and in glory."

Thus, the eternal drive of the Jews to induce or force the rest of us—primitive slave people—to worship the Jews. By now it must be evident to the reader that in using the word "righteous" the author has no reference whatsoever to morality or godliness; the righteous, by this usage, simply means those who join in the Israelitic program to reorganize and dominate the world. You are moral and godly only if you help promote the Israelitic movement. [H: This is why ones like Jerry Falwell and Pat Robertson can stand forth and say they are Christians, not Jews, and yet are "Zionists and proud of it". The fact of the matter remains that the Zionists are working THROUGH THE JEWISH cover in order to suck

in the nations and people before you realize what the truth is or how you got trapped into something you don't even understand.]

President Harry Truman would be among the righteous, for he helped the state of Israel get its independence in 1948 (against the urgent appeals of the then-Secretary of War Forrestal, who foresaw danger to American interests if a Communist-Zionist center of intrigue blossomed in the strategic geographic and oil center, the Near East). **[H: For his outspoken truth-bringing Mr. Forrestal got sucked by force into a mental institution and murdered by being pushed from an upper-level window (after he was already strangled). These one-worlders of "righteousness" will stop at NOTHING to accomplish their aims.]** In a sense, the United States would be among the chosen, for it has officially helped Israel subjugate and drive back the Arabs, it makes loans to Israel, and it has allowed American citizens (in violation of the *United States Criminal Code*) to send hundreds of millions of dollars to help Israel make war on nations friendly to us.

Our government also little-by-little adopts the measures of the socialistic Utopian order of "the righteous".

UN IS PART OF THE PLAN

But to go on with the requirements of the program:

The nations and peoples will have to accept some form of United Nations, which will embrace Israel's God:

"The nations would first unite for the purpose of calling upon the name of the Lord, to serve Him." **[H: Please don't wiggle around and try to make this fit a Christian concept—the JEWS and ZIONISTS rejected that Christ as claimed through Esu Emmanuel (Jesus), remember? This is why there is NO "Judeo-Christian" anything, there cannot be—for each is mutually exclusive in meaning. The Jews did not accept the Christ "Jesus" as messiah(!!!), no way, no how! And 2000 years doesn't do a thing except get you closer to their plan of global takeover by year 2000 A.D.—in 8 years.] [Where are we NOW?!?!]**

[H: You might further wish to argue that the "U.S. was birthed one nation under God..." and consider the possibility of a messiah either Jewish or non-Jewish. Nope, won't work—for you were given "unalienable rights" by "Creator". Creator MEANS God of LIGHT AND SPIRITUAL DIVINITY—NOT SOME MAN CHOSEN AND PUT ON AN EARTHLY THRONE TO RULE ALL OTHER PHYSICAL THINGS AND PEOPLE PRESENT, WITH SPECIAL GOOD THINGS TO HIS PUPPETS AND ENFORCERS.]

Jewish writers have traditionally claimed the United Nations idea as a Jewish invention, and the evidence supports their claims. Israel's Premier Ben Gurion told a *Time* magazine correspondent (see *Time*, August 16, 1948), **"I consider that the United Nations ideal is a Jewish ideal."** **[H: Right from the "horse's mouth" and not one of our sly little teasers. You cannot get more blunt than that, chelas.]**

The *California Jewish Voice* (February 23, 1951) stated that the Jewish Professor Lemkin of Yale University originated the *Genocide Pact*—and famous Jewish organizations have more recently presented him awards for his invention. The *American Jewish Year Book* for 1952, Vol. 53 (Probably in your public

library.) states that both the *Genocide Pact* and the *Covenant of Human Rights* were the work of the American Jewish Committee:

"Our work in behalf of international safeguards for human rights has progressed steadily ever since we were instrumental in having the Human Rights provisions incorporated in the United Nations Charter at San Francisco in 1945. In 1950, after years of intensive activity, we were able to see the first great step in the ratification of the United Nations Covenant against Genocide by the requisite number of member states. To date, however, we must report the failure of the United States to associate itself with that ratification, although we have every hope that the United States will ratify."

"We should like here to briefly describe our activities in connection with the campaign to put across the Genocide Convention because in so doing, we would be offering an excellent illustration of the way our specialized staff departments, our lay people and our chapters pool their skills and dovetail their efforts whenever the agency sets itself a goal on national or international levels..."

Like the UNESCO propaganda—which is preparing the way for world "law" and United Nations power—to supersede the *U.S. Constitution* and our principles of government, the *Genocide Pact* and the *Covenant of Human Rights* contrive for special privileged status for racial "minorities" at the expense of principles of law and justice and, of course, at the expense of the "non-minority"—all at the expense of the freedom and rights of the White non-Jew. **[H: Please note that the "Jew" despises the "Blacks" with a near hatred and yet uses them as a typical "minority" group to cause them to rise up and strike out. This is another thing typical of the Zionist so-called Jews—THEY ALWAYS GET SOMEONE ELSE TO FIGHT AND DIE IN THEIR STEAD—EVERY TIME WITHOUT FAIL. THEY ONLY ACTIVELY ENTER THE FRAY IF IT IS THE ONLY WAY TO GET THE JOB DONE—AND THEN IT WILL BE THROUGH SECRET MEASURES AND NEVER OPENLY AS IF TAKING A STAND FOR "RIGHT".]**

Genocide is defined as the killing or harming of anyone because of his race, or the killing or harming of a race or any part of it; and the harming included mental injury—that is, criticism or even opposition, perhaps, which hampers the fanatical ambitions of some racial fragment to whom a revolutionary cause becomes holy. **[H: Recall that we have already stated that an Israeli murder is done as "reprisal"—but against a given group which usually has no connection with the original act. By any other language interpretation that is GENOCIDE. Further, if an Israeli is then slain for ANY reason—he becomes a "martyr". You had best be carefully looking at these historic facts, for they are about to eat you alive.]**

All nations have laws against killing anybody for any or no cause, and you can sue anyone who unjustly injures you. But the *Genocide Pact* would throw the defendant before a court in whatever country the self-appointed Genocide administrators might decide, for trial!

It seems probable that the Jewish Utopia will require a single language for all mankind. Professor Higger quotes the prophecy of *Zephaniah*:

"For then will I turn to the peoples a pure

language, that they may all call upon the name of the Lord, to serve Him with one consent." **[H: Again, you have a relationship in only a physical experience, for this presents a "pure language, that they may all call upon the name of the Lord, to serve Him with one consent". No, Creator already has ONE universal language and needs no other for any kind of communication either to or from.]**

Inasmuch as the prophet used the plural, "peoples", he obviously referred to more than just the Jewish people; the world supposedly would have to embrace the Jewish language. In our time, the Communists and the Zionists have been in disagreement as to whether Yiddish (created to go along with the Khazarian self-proclamation of being Judaists. Remember, the language was "Hebrew" or Aramaic) or Hebrew shall be the Jewish language. Communist countries have traditionally used Yiddish as one of their official languages (the other being the language of the country to which the broadcast is beamed). The Zionists have held out for Hebrew as the official language, and they have the advantage that some of their ancient literature was in Hebrew. But in the past 20 years, the Yiddish language (a composite of German, Polish, Russian and Hebrew, using the Hebrew alphabet) seems to have outstripped Hebrew in popular demand in Jewish schools in America.

[END OF QUOTING]

Dharma, I would like to leave this now if we may and move to the audio equipment, for I believe it necessary to put this information to tape for the larger audience of weekly listeners. My intent is to wake up the sleeping so-called "Jews" as well as all others of God's Creation. I single out no creed, color or race. If YOU ARE NOT in the Zionist-conformed listings of who is suitable—you are set to the list to perish, Jew or non-Jew. Not only is "goodness" NOT a required attribute, but is very definitely to be used against those who act in goodness. Any evil which breaks the laws of Creator to gain the physical means to the end of global domination and Ultimate World Order is preferred and demanded. This IS the battle of "good" vs. "evil" in full-blown effect. The point being that if you can gain all that is physical man—he will simply give you his soul and you will have achieved Hell on Earth, for you will have EXCLUDED Creator God of Light and THAT is the definition of "Hell".

To you who would take exception to this information—go seek and find for self—it is there for the taking. **Your nation is all but lost at the date of this writing [Where's this nation—actually, the WORLD—8+ years after the fact?!]—already passed by are your *Constitution* and your freedoms. It is in pure refusal that man will not look at that which surrounds him and question those ones and things that take that which is his heritage and right. All and each is created in equality in the eyes of God of Light—there is NO EQUALITY in the perceptions of these would-be destroyers of MAN. Have you not been ignorant quite long enough?**

Hatonn to clear.

* * * * *

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT PHOENIX JOURNALS, PLEASE INQUIRE:

**PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.
P.O. BOX 27353
LAS VEGAS, NV 89126
(800) 800-5565**

THE CONSPIRATORS' HIERARCHY: THE COMMITTEE OF 300

By Dr. John Coleman

(Part 12)

This Fourth Edition Masterpiece—one of the best reference sources still available on the world would-be-king Elite Puppet-Masters—is a must resource for all libraries, public and private. This book's FOREWORD, in addition to Dr. Coleman's excellent article "Betrayal of the Philippines", was front-page-quality material in the April 5, 2000 issue of CONTACT. Other parts of this continuing series: Part 1 (8/9/00); Part 2 (8/23/00); Part 3 (8/30/00); Part 4 (9/6/00); Part 5 (9/13/00); Part 6 (9/20/00); Part 7 (9/27/00); Part 8 (10/04/00); Part 9 (10/11/00); Part 10 (10/18/00); Part 11 (10/25/00). Our appreciation to Dr. Coleman for sharing this One World Government magnum opus. See page 11 at the conclusion of this part for ordering information.

SOME SUPER SERVANTS OF THE COMMITTEE OF 300

There have been a number of serious questions raised as to how the Committee of 300 carries out its operations on such a vast scale. The answer is really quite simple: it uses PEOPLE, specially trained, and willing to betray their country for the sake of a special place in the hierarchy of the One World Government-New World Order. What follows is a partial listing of such persons—in no particular order—who have proved their worth to the rulers of darkness, the spiritually wicked men in high places, men who carry on the day-to-day operations of this all-powerful supranational body.

Douglas Dillon

It is only now being realized what crucial role Dillon played in controlling the actions of John Foster Dulles and his brother Allen. The impact that Dillon had on these men and his control and manipulation of key economic planning, had a profound effect on the foreign policy of the nation. Although Arthur Burns played a more visible role in handling President Dwight D. Eisenhower, it is fair to say that toward the end of Eisenhower's term in office, it was Dillon who shaped events by working to undermine the United States economy.

If ever a man proved the contention that it matters not to the Committee whether a politician is a Democrat or a Republican, that man was Dillon. Surely, by now, the American people must have woken up to the fact that they have been totally misled by labels. Dillon was selected by the Committee in 1960 to sabotage United States trade. A nominal Republican, Dillon was equally at home among Democrats. The son of the founder of Dillon Reed, whose history of placing its officers in key positions in high government offices is a long and successful one, Dillon used his connections as a Wall Street international banker to influence Eisenhower on what was best for the commerce of this nation, from the perspective of the Committee, of course.

In 1960 Dillon was sent to Geneva to inject himself into the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) negotiations, which, as we shall see is the Trojan Horse that has been instrumental in wrecking America's commerce. According to a report issued by the U.S. International Trade Commission, at the urging of Dillon, Eisenhower authorized a disastrous round of tariff cuts that sent certain commodities in which the United States was formerly preeminent, skidding downhill, never to recover.

Economists call this point where a removal or reduction of tariffs against imported goods begin to erode local production, the "peril point". The excuse offered by Eisenhower for this suicidal trade action was "to

obtain concessions from the Common Market". Dillon, an ardent supporter of the "free trade" policies of Adam Smith (first used against the American colonists in the 1700s) was rewarded by President John F. Kennedy with the job of Secretary of the Treasury. It was Dillon who assisted very materially in the draining of gold reserves belonging to the people of the United States which, in the closing days of the Eisenhower administration, had become endemic. Dillon worked with George Ball's wrecking crew in persuading President John F. Kennedy to send more of our dwindling gold stock to European bankers. That Kennedy did not have any understanding of the role economics plays in wars and revolutions, is exemplified by the manner in which he conceded that a large dose of economic strychnine would cure our balance of payment problems. His response to Dillon was in order to liberalize tariff reductions and import quotas, by an all round 50 percent. Dillon was a key player in selling this suicidal program to Kennedy.

According to intelligence documents, MI-6 was behind the plan which was given to the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA) of Chatham House, 10 St. James Square, London, to carry out. Dillon was one of the men chosen by the RIIA to carry out the Committee's directive. Working closely with George Ball, what followed was a trial run for the NAFTA treaty which promises to be the coup-de-grace to United States industrial power when combined with the current round of GATT going on in Geneva (1993). Under Eisenhower, large doses of economic strychnine were administered to the ailing patient, but it was Kennedy who acted as its pall-bearer.

Dean Rusk

Dean Rusk had his moment of glory as a servant of the Committee of 300, in his now infamous conduct toward General Douglas MacArthur during the Korean War. The Korean War was a war of containment of Stalin, who showed no signs of acquiescing to Committee of 300 demands that he obey its orders. Stalin's reply was to denounce what he called "the Washington cosmopolitans and their efforts to seize Russia". When North Korean tanks crossed the 39th Parallel, they expected a "Berlin Wall" reaction from the United States. This was based upon the total lack of resolve by the United States to tear the wall down and confront the Russian military. The alternative "Berlin airlift" was a sop to Stalin in the hope that he would mend his ways.

The media jackals in Britain and the U.S. depicted the airlift as a great United States victory, when in fact, it was a resounding defeat. Given the fact that the United States placed Mao Tse Tung in power in China, and Castro in power in Cuba, the North Korean leadership expected no American opposition to their "walk across the border".

But the order went out from the Committee of 300 to "contain but not defeat the North Koreans, because they are backed by Stalin". An end-run around the U.S. Constitution enabled Truman to plunge an unprepared America into a major war, by using the excuse that he was authorized by the United Nations to take such action. I am not attempting to give a history of the Korean War, but rather touch upon the most crucial days in that war, which, I believe, were in early November of 1950.

General Douglas MacArthur had sent Truman a dispatch, informing him that he was about to order U.S. Air Force General George E. Stratemeyer to bomb the 56 Chinese divisions massed along the Yalu River and destroy the bridges and their staging areas. The dispatch caused Truman to gather his advisors at Blair House for consultation, among them, Secretary of State Dean Rusk, who came out strongly against MacArthur. According to intelligence dispatches, Rusk told Truman that he had just heard from the RIIA, and was reminded that the United States "had a commitment with the Government of Great Britain, not to attack the Chinese on the Manchurian side of the Yalu River, without prior approval of such plans by the British government." This was confirmed years after the war by Truman in his work, *Years of Trial and Hope*.

What Truman did not tell the world, was that the Committee, which had always worked very closely with the Chinese government to regulate the opium trade in which both countries had a huge stake, had told London that they would hold the Committee responsible, if MacArthur attacked the Chinese massing along the Yalu River. Truman, bowing to British pressure, promptly told MacArthur to "postpone all bombing of targets within five miles of the Manchurian border".

In spite of a tremendous outpouring of protest from MacArthur, Dean Rusk told Truman, "the order must stand," and it did. MacArthur was about to resign on November 26, when more than one quarter of a million Chinese troops crossed the Yalu River and fell upon the lightly-armed and thinly strung-out American forces. Once again, the American GI showed his tremendous fighting qualities, and in spite of the treason committed by Rusk and Truman, our troops stood fast against vastly superior forces, but in the end, suffered the most terrible military defeat ever inflicted upon American forces.

After months of enduring horrible hardships, during which time Rusk continually urged Truman to sack MacArthur, the American forces began to get the better of the Chinese troops. Rusk promptly conveyed Committee of 300 orders from St. James Square to Truman: "Arrange for a truce to be called." Ever the obedient servant of the Committee, Truman ordered a truce, even though MacArthur vigorously opposed it, stating that "the Communists are on the run—we don't need a truce. Give them (the Chinese) an ultimatum to get out of Korea or be decimated."

Truman's answer was to sack MacArthur, a treacherous act of treason that resulted in a great many more casualties among our brave fighting men, than would have been the case, if MacArthur had not been relieved of his command. Figures made available to me by intelligence sources, indicate that of the total U.S. casualties (157,530) of which 94,521 were killed or wounded, representing *three-fifths* of the total casualties suffered by U.S. forces, occurred AFTER Rusk and Truman forced Gen. MacArthur to give up his command. This horrific loss of life was allowed to occur because, to use the words of Rusk, "we had a commitment with the British not to take action which might involve attacks

on the Manchurian side of the river without consulting them.” Why was this? Did the British Army have a significant military presence along the Korean border with Manchuria? The answer is “NO”, but what the Committee of 300 did have, was a thriving opium trade with China which it did not want to upset.

Edwin Feuler

Feuler was a confidential assistant to President Hoover, and was used by the Committee to undermine the Hoover presidency in economic matters. He was secretary-treasurer of the Mont Pelerin Society, and a member of the Hoover Institute. He also held the important post of Secretary of Defense in 1969.

Milton Friedman

Friedman was well trained to do the bidding of the Committee, which may be generally described as the destruction of the economy of the United States and scores of other countries, especially those having right-wing governments. Friedman studied his art under the tutelage of the great Ludwig Von Mises, and Arthur Burns. Apart from his worldwide role as an economic spoiler, Friedman was the leading light at the Hoover Institute, which is still being passed off as a “conservative” think tank.

Friedman created a new type of economic heresy, the so-called “free market economy” which is one of the worst swindles ever perpetrated upon the American people. Friedman’s economic policies were designed to destroy every vestige of the trade tariff barriers imposed by our Founding Fathers to keep the trade of this nation in American hands, protect the jobs, and the well-being and lives of the American people.

Friedman’s policies launched the United States into a yawning chasm of deeper debt and a rising tide of trade deficits. Friedman had a Svengali-like hold over former President Ronald Reagan, and under the Reagan presidency, the world began to gang up against the United States in matters of trade, which became worse in terms of GATT. In a moment of unguarded truth, former secretary of commerce Peter Peterson said on the CBS *Evening News*, October 24, 1987: “We have lost control of our economy, because we now depend on foreigners to finance us.” That is precisely the goal of the Committee. *NAFTA*, and the present *GATT* round in Geneva, are an integral part of the scheme.

William Simon

A former secretary of the treasury, Simon played a key role in denuding the United States of its gold stocks. When the Committee deemed that the time was right for such a move, it called the International Monetary Fund into its thirtieth annual session in Washington D.C. In 1975, the Committee began its most serious attack on United States gold held by government agencies on behalf of the nation. Its chosen instrument was William Simon. Simon had his instructions to “eradicate gold from the IMF system: Gold is a hindrance to our global plans”.

A few days before the IMF meeting, Simon took the most important foreign delegates to the pending IMF conference on a trip down the Potomac in the yacht, *Sequoia*. During the trip, he lambasted those who still wanted gold to play a role in world economics. When it was agreed by the hold-outs that they would lend their support to Simon’s plan, Simon sent Edwin H. Yeo, a Pittsburgh banker, to hammer out his policy at the Rambouillet meeting of the heads of the major governments. With the help of Jacques de Larosiere, Yeo made a deal on behalf of the United States: each country could have free rein in selecting its own exchange rate, pegged to “a basket of currencies but NOT to gold”.

Under this agreement, about 50 million ounces of gold held by the IMF, (much of it donated by the United States) was auctioned off and in some cases, even “donated” to Third World countries. Russia, and Yugoslavia, also got a substantial share of the gold windfall. The effort to rid Western economies of gold was continued at the 1976 IMF meeting in Jamaica, where a further 50 million ounces (much of it coming from United States government stocks) was distributed to countries like Brazil, Jamaica, Hungary, Poland etc. The only holdout to this madness was South Africa’s minister of finance, O.P.F. Horwood, who said: “We are utterly opposed to this, and South Africa reaffirms and entrenches the monetary role of gold.”

This was a slap in the face for Simon and a terrific setback for the IMF. This single incident is believed to have galvanized the Committee to speed up the plot to bring convicted felon Nelson Mandela to power as soon as possible, so that control of South Africa’s gold would be totally in the hands of the Committee before 1996, and the rule of the stable, White government of South Africa, brought to an end. “This time the Committee said there will be no ‘Boer War’ defeat; this time we will put an end to them (the White South African government),” my intelligence source told me.

Jane Addams

An ardent American Socialist, Addams was awarded the Nobel Prize for pacifism on behalf of her efforts for the Committee. Addams was tireless in her efforts to bring the radical feminist ideas of Madame Kolonsky, a rabid Marxist anti-family abortionist to the United States. Addams was a frequent guest at the London home of Beatrice and Sydney Webb, founders of the British Fabian Society.

Israel Helfant (Paims)

The Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 sent a wave of euphoria across the Socialist establishment in America. There are many who credit Israel Helfant with making this stark tragedy become a reality. Helfant, better known as “Parvus”, was educated in Switzerland, which has been “home” to so many world revolutionaries. Helfant went to Turkey, where he met with a string of mystery men and acted as the financial agent for the Socialist International. He somehow managed to make a huge fortune in Turkey and the Balkans.

Thereafter, Helfant went to Germany, where he published a Socialist newspaper, *Die Glocke*, in which he extolled the virtues of the Socialist creed. When Helfant met Lenin, the future Bolshevik leader was only the leader of a minority group, the Russian Social Democratic Party, an offshoot of the Socialist International. It was Helfant who brought Lenin and Trotsky together, urging them to work as a team “for the good of Russia”. He had known both men since the failed 1905 revolution.

Helfant persuaded the German government to allow Lenin to be sent across Germany in a sealed train, from his hideout in Switzerland, en route to Russia. Since his days as editor and publisher of *Die Glocke*, Helfant was frequently consulted by the German government about Russian matters.

Walter Rathenau

A prominent Socialist leader in Germany, and Socialist cabinet member in the German government, Rathenau was entrusted by the Committee of 300 to bring Socialism to Germany. After WWI, he organized huge Socialist rallies which are said to have alarmed many Germans, especially the industrialists who later backed Hitler, who declared Rathenau an enemy of the German people. Rathenau was only carrying out the

Committee’s plan for Germany when he arranged with Loucheur to pay allied reparation demands in kind, a move that infuriated most Germans.

Rathenau is the only man I know of who disclosed the existence of the Committee of 300. That he did so without permission appears certain, and may be why he was assassinated on June 24, 1922. Rathenau said, “There is a Committee of 300 men whose identity is known only to each other, who rule the world.” Rathenau’s assassins allegedly committed suicide after their arrest.

Sir William Wiseman

Head of British intelligence MI-6 operations in North America, Wiseman worked as the “right arm” of Colonel House, through whom RIIA orders for the foreign and domestic policies of the United States were fed to President Wilson. A co-conspirator was the British ambassador to Washington, Sir William Spring-Rice. Wiseman actually moved into an apartment above one occupied by House, only a short distance from the White House. Wiseman was often able to see the president at short notice, and as an old friend of House, he did see Wilson quite frequently. It was not unusual after such meetings for Wilson to announce important new directives or alter old ones.

Ramsey MacDonald

A leading Fabian Socialist, Ramsey MacDonald became prime minister of England, and is known to have been the instrument chosen by the Committee of 300 to take England off the gold standard in order to set off a worldwide chain reaction. This MacDonald did on September 30, 1931. The result was mass financial suicide, with wholesale liquidation of European investments in the United States, and a huge run on stocks of American gold. The stockmarket went into an accelerated slide, and the Depression deepened. MacDonald became a favorite son of the Committee, and was much honored by its members for the manner in which he helped to wreck the American economy, and siphon off United States gold for the Bank of International Settlements.

Roosevelt, in accordance with what MacDonald said was necessary to be done, did the dirty work of the Committee in America, with three executive orders which amounted to war on gold. On March 10, 1933, he took the United States off the gold standard. Since then, the United States has not been on any standard. Roosevelt got the support of a Congress that had no idea what was happening, and passage of the *Emergency Banking Act* set the seal of doom on the economic wellbeing of the nation.

Thomas Watson

Watson was chosen by the Committee to speak for them with regard to relations between the United States and Bolshevik Russia. The Committee had successfully blacked out from the American news media, information about the terrible slaughter going in Russia at the hands of the demented Bolsheviks. At a glittering dinner party given in honor of the 16th anniversary of the Bolshevik Revolution, and attended by Maxim Litvinov, the Bolshevik ambassador to Washington, Watson, who was president of IBM, said that he hoped every American would refrain from making any criticisms of the Bolshevik government in the interest of good relations between the USSR and the United States. Other Committee of 300 favorites who attended the November of 1933 dinner were James Mooney of General Motors, the executives of General Electric and Chase National Bank and the House of Morgan.

Harry Hopkins

Hopkins was selected by the Committee of 300 to sell the economic suicide policies of John Maynard Keynes to Roosevelt—as Roosevelt had no idea what Keynes was talking about. Hopkins, an avowed Socialist, became paymaster for Federal Emergency Relief—unemployment relief handed out by the Roosevelt administration. It was Hopkins who successfully rigged the 1940 elections that gave Roosevelt a third term, an invaluable service for the Committee.

That Hopkins acquired personal power second only to Roosevelt is not disputed by the Fabian Socialists who ran him from London. Hopkins mentors were Professor Jesse Macey and Dr. Edward A. Steiner, a convert to Judaism. Both men were friends of Dr. George Heron who was the original chairman of the American Socialist Party. Macey was the first to teach political science in the United States. In 1928 Hopkins went on a visit to his Socialist cousins at the London Fabian Society. It was not long thereafter, that he caught the eye of Eleanor Roosevelt, and Miss Jane Addams, the grand-dame of Socialism in America. Hopkins and Eleanor Roosevelt took to each other like ducks take to water, and nobody dared buck what Hopkins said or did, not even the president.

It was on the say-so of Hopkins that Roosevelt gave everything to Stalin that the bloody dictator asked for—Stalin only had to mention his needs to Hopkins, and the deed was as good as done. Lend-Lease is said to have been sold to Roosevelt by Hopkins, following instructions Hopkins received from the RIIA. In any event, Hopkins made a trip to Russia on July 27, 1941 and gave the Lend Lease deal to Stalin, *even though Russia was not yet an ally of the United States at the time.*

Rexford Guy Tugwell

Appointed to the Rand School of Science two years after Roosevelt became president, Tugwell began teaching Socialism along with Raymond V. Moley. Tugwell was mentioned in the *Fabian News* which showed his close association with that group. Tugwell, on the instructions of the Committee of 300, made a study of the Soviet Union's agriculture collective farming policy. From Rand, Tugwell went to Columbia University where he taught economics colored by what he had picked up about the Soviet economy.

It was said that Tugwell's input into the Roosevelt New Deal was immense, but his recommendation that the profit system be abolished was not taken up by Roosevelt, who thought its time had not yet come. Tugwell became Governor of Puerto Rico in 1945 and will be best remembered for airlifting free of charge, hundreds of thousands of Puerto Ricans to New York, so that they could register for welfare and vote Communist Congressman Vito Marcanto into office. This is the origin of the Puerto Rican "province" in New York City.

Walt Whitman Rostow

Rostow was one of the college professors who became advisor to Presidents John F. Kennedy and Lyndon Johnson, following the plan to have future United States policies made by Socialist professors. Rostow was the leading man at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology's Center for International Studies (CENA), a CIA think tank. The basic program formatted by Rostow was to chart a course which he said would result in "the end of nationhood for the United States".

In 1960, Rostow journeyed to Moscow for secret discussions with Vasily Kuznetsov, concerning U.S. first-strike weaponry under testing. What Kuznetsov

proposed was that the United States go backward on its nuclear missile program, in order to allow Russia to obtain "parity" with the United States. This idea was actually suggested to Kennedy by Rostow. Although Kennedy appeared not to agree with it, nevertheless, a whole series of United States defense weaponry was dumped; this included "Skybolt", the B70 bomber, the Bomarc-A missile and the Atlas missile. Cost to the United States is unknown, but the dumping of 129 Atlas missiles alone, to please Rostow and the Soviets, cost more than \$5 billion.

In 1964, Rostow was appointed National Security Advisor to President Johnson. It was Rostow who pressed for, and got, the first United States troops committed to Vietnam. Johnson described Rostow as "the most important man in the White House, aside from the President". It was the insistence of Rostow that led to our massive troop deployment in Vietnam. Perhaps this was in the mind of Rostow when he said, "It is a legitimate national objective to see an end of nationhood as it has been historically defined." Rostow might have been brainwashed by Gunnar Myrdal, for whom he worked in Geneva. We shall meet Myrdal later.

William Brock

The Committee of 300 was particularly pleased with Brock's showing in getting the *NAFTA* treaty passed. Brock, a nominal Republican, but as chameleon-like as they come, was Reagan's trade representative, who had developed a habit of never defending American industries and workers against foreign competition. This was in strong contrast to Secretary of Labor Ray Donovan, whose "America first" stance, earned him the ire of the Committee and, as a result, Donovan was viciously slandered and removed from office. Under Brock's watchful gaze, the United States was flooded with foreign goods, in fact, the greatest flood of such goods in our history. Brock almost single-handedly destroyed hundreds and hundreds of industrial plants, and at the same time, compiled a trade deficit of almost \$1 trillion, a record at that time.

Gunnar Myrdal

If there was a Committee "medal of honor", this Swedish Socialist wrecker of the United States would have been first in line to get it. As we have seen, it was Myrdal who greatly influenced Rostow to do all in his power to end the United States as we know it. A former Minister of Commerce in Sweden, Myrdal supplied all of the faulty, grossly prejudiced opinions as expressed in *The American Dilemma* that brought chaos and confusion to American schools. The work was used extensively by lawyers for the NAACP.

This native of Sweden, also had the audacity to sling mud at the *United States Constitution*, at one stage writing "...there is a nearly fetishistic cult of the *Constitution*... In many respects the 150-year-old *Constitution* is impractical and ill suited to modern conditions... Modern historical studies reveal that the Constitutional Convention was merely a plot against the common people. Until recently, the *Constitution* has been used to block the popular will." Myrdal is credited by Socialists with wrecking junior and high school education standards in the United States.

Dr. Donald E. Cameron

A medical doctor, who became notorious for his experiments in leucotomy, brainwashing and the use of mind-altering drugs during interrogation. Cameron worked with Allen Dulles and the OSS in Switzerland. He was part of a team that instructed U2 pilots what to do if captured. Believed to have participated in the CIA

experiment which was authorized on April 20, 1950, by then CIA director Roscoe Hillenkoetter, code named "Bluebird". The project dealt with mind and behavioral control, using drugs.

John Gardner

Apart from the direct work Gardner did for the Committee, he was also very useful in making statements which were quite open about the Committee's intentions regarding the One World Government-New World Order. The following is a typical example of the pronouncements in which Gardner specialized:

"We will build the NEW WORLD ORDER, not by an end run, but we will build it piece-by-piece, right under their (the American people) noses. The House of the New World Order will have to be built from the bottom up, rather than from the top down. An end-run around sovereignty, eroding it piece-by-piece, will accomplish much more than the old fashioned frontal attack." (*Foreign Affairs Journal of the CFR*, April, 1974.)

Paul Warnke

Warnke did more to disarm the United States during the SALT talks than any of the Communist leaders. Warnke was a fellow of the Institute for Policy Studies, and a friend of every Russian leader since his appointment to the Arms Control Commission in Geneva. Warnke helped Leslie Gelb and Daniel Ellsberg with the *Pentagon Papers*.

In 1977, concern arose about Warnke's association with Ellsberg and the *Pentagon Papers*, when he was nominated for the post of director of the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. A letter signed by ten leading Democrats and presented to the Senate committee looking into Warnke's appointment, stated that "Warnke has shown a lack of concern for the protection of highly classified documents." Backed by the Committee, Warnke simply sailed over all opposition to reach his high position in various United States administrations, and he went on to become one of the best friends in America that the Committee of 300 ever had.

[END OF PART 12]

* * * * *

To order this title or any of Dr. Coleman's publications, or to receive a copy of Dr. Coleman's catalog and *World In Review* magazine, please call (800) 942-0821 or Write To:

W.I.R.

2533 North Carson Street, Suite J-118

Carson City, NV 89706

CONTACT Staff

Margie Berndt

Mark Moore

John & Jean Ray

Rex Smith

Princeton Winton

Editorial Policy

The opinions of CONTACT contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the staff or management.

The News Desk

By John & Jean Ray

ARAB LEADERS TAKE STANCE IN MIDEAST

The Guardian—UK, 10/22/00

CAIRO, EGYPT (AP)—Arab leaders meeting to map out a response to growing Israeli-Palestinian violence took a moderate line Saturday, with many warning against letting emotions hinder the push for peace in the Middle East.

Although delegates had not yet released a final declaration, there were indications that it will stop short of calling for breaking ties with Israel, even as fresh fighting Saturday in the West Bank and Gaza Strip killed four more Palestinians.

The approach angered the Libyans, who walked out declaring: "While our Palestinian brethren are still dying every day and Arab public opinion is still enraged by Israeli activities, the Arab leadership is passive and quiet."

The walkout was likely to lead to criticism that Arabs are divided and unwilling to confront Israel. But even Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat appeared to take a moderate tone, saying, "Our choice is the choice of permanent, just and comprehensive peace."

Even so, Israeli government spokesman Nachman Shai called the overall tone of Arafat's speech "very extreme".

"For the past seven years, he was the partner for peace. We were absolutely sure and convinced he was going to make peace with us," Shai said. "But in a few weeks, everything collapsed, everything was brought down by him."

The two-day meeting, the first Arab summit in four years, drew 15 Arab heads to Cairo a day after one of the worst outbreaks of violence in the West Bank since fighting erupted a month ago.

Palestinian militiamen fired on Israeli soldiers Friday, drawing massive return fire that left nine Palestinians dead and 103 wounded. Four more were killed and 100 injured Saturday in stone-throwing clashes and exchanges of gunfire as tens of thousands of Palestinians took to the streets for protests and funeral processions.

So far 117 people have been killed, most of them Palestinian.

Saturday's summit follows the collapse of a cease-fire Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak and President Clinton helped broker this week at Egypt's Sharm el-Sheik resort.

Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak said he would call an open-ended "time-out" after the Arab summit to rethink Israel's peace policy. Israel was watching the summit closely for signs of how the Palestinians might proceed once they receive the backing of fellow Arabs.

Arabs, who commemorated the dead in a moment of silence Saturday after Mubarak opened the summit saluting their "just resistance, not only supported the Palestinians but also pushed for more action.

Jordan's King Abdullah II said the "human and financial support that our countries offered to heal the wounds of the Palestinians are not all that we can do."

Saudi Crown Prince Abdullah called for Arabs to raise millions to aid the families of Palestinian victims.

But the Libyans complained that the summit declaration "does not include a clear condemnation of Israel, or at least propose cutting diplomatic relations."

Drafts of the declaration reportedly called for a return to boycotts against Israel, with Arab countries

recalling trade representatives from the country.

At the least, the final version could call for a reconsideration of ties with Israel. But that still falls short of the diplomatic rupture Libya called "the minimum that can be done".

Iraqi President Saddam Hussein, in a speech read by his deputy, Izzat Ibrahim, joined Libya in pushing for stronger action by calling for a holy war.

And Syrian President Bashar Assad, echoing his late father's hard-line stance, said: "All kinds of cooperation with Israel should be stopped and the boycott should be reactivated."

But most, including Arabs considered radical, made it clear that they still see negotiations as the best way to settle their differences with Israel.

In the past, war with Israel has brought little more than destruction and humiliation for the Arabs, while peace deals struck by Egypt and Jordan have yielded recovered land and U.S. aid.

On the streets, however, protests showed no sign of abating.

"The only way to liberate Jerusalem is through holy war," crowds chanted Saturday in San'a, Yemen, in a demonstration that drew thousands.

And in a rare display of hostility toward moderate Arab leaders, Palestinian marchers at a Hebron funeral burned photos of Mubarak, King Abdullah, Morocco's King Mohammed and Saudi Arabia's King Fahd for maintaining contact with Israel.

Mubarak acknowledged Arabs' anger but warned against "surrendering to our emotions".

"We must, as people who have rights, continue on the long road toward our legitimate rights and let no passing provocation sway us from it, because right in the end is what triumphs," he said.

A grave Mubarak called on Israel to prove that it, too, wanted peace. He warned that Israel was showing a trend "toward provocation" by closing off the Palestinian territories, "terrorizing innocent civilians and killing defenseless children and letting loose extremist settlers armed with guns."

"We insist on guarantees that this not be repeated under any circumstances," he said.

[JR: It is in wisdom that the Arab summit takes a "moderate" approach so that heated emotions don't cloud the issues being debated here. If Israel didn't have the advantage of the American press, aid subsidies in the billions of dollars, and military technology and assured protection, both sides would be equal, but they are not. Arab leaders have lost faith in their Western allies and can no longer ignore the demands of their people. The Arabs know they are out-gunned, so truth is the only weapon left for them at this point in time.]

ARAB SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE IN EXCERPTS

BBC NEWS, 10/22/00

The leaders hold Israel responsible for returning the region to the atmosphere of tension and the manifestations of violence due to its practices, aggressions and blockade of the Palestinian people in

violation of its obligations under the 1949 Fourth Geneva Convention, in its capacity as the occupying power.

This is in addition to the fact that all this constitutes blatant violation of the rules of international law and the destruction of peace efforts in the region.

Moreover, Israeli rulers have dealt with the issue of holy Jerusalem with disregard, satisfying their desire for irresponsible showmanship and intentional provocation which is based on odious racism.

In response to the suggestion made by the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the Arab leaders have decided to set up two funds. One will be called Al-Aqsa Fund.

The sum of \$800 million will be allocated to this fund to finance projects preserving the Arab and Islamic character of Jerusalem and prevent its obliteration and enabling the Palestinian people to rid themselves of their subservience to the Israeli economy.

The second fund will be called the Jerusalem Intifadah Fund and will have capital of \$200 million. This fund will be devoted to the families of the Palestinian martyrs of the intifadah. It will also help care for and educate their children.

The Arab leaders demand the formation of a neutral international investigation commission within the framework of the United Nations.

This commission will submit a report to the Security Council and the Human Rights Commission on the causes of and the responsibility for the serious deterioration of the situation in the occupied Palestinian territories and the massacres the Israeli occupation forces have committed against the Palestinian and Lebanese people as well as other Arab citizens there.

The Arab leaders also call on the UN Security Council and the General Assembly to ensure the necessary protection for the Palestinian people under Israeli occupation by considering the formation or presence of an international force for this purpose.

The United Nations must assume permanent responsibility for the Palestinian land and people until they are able to exercise their inalienable rights in Palestine under international legitimacy.

The Arab leaders demand that the Security Council form an international criminal court to try the Israeli war criminals who perpetrated massacres against the Palestinians and Arabs in the occupied territories.

The court will be similar to the two courts the Council formed to try war criminals in Rwanda and the former Yugoslavia.

[JR: These are the agreed-to proposals at the Arab summit. They are reasonable but not much of a threat to Israeli security. The Zionist media blitz in the Western press is doing a hard sell on the Palestinian provocations and disruption of the peace process. It will be interesting to see how Israel's position holds up once the UN Security Council begins their inquiries regarding Israel's aggressive force in the occupied territories. The UN should also inquire as to why the U.S. is considering installing "death ray" laser weapon batteries on Israel's borders that can blind approaching ground troops.]

CLINTON RAMS THROUGH A CEASEFIRE SIGNED BY NEITHER SIDE

Special to World Tribune.com, 10/18/00

CAIRO—U.S. President Bill Clinton has succeeded in ramming through a ceasefire agreement between Israel and the Palestinian Authority.

The agreement was not signed by either Israel or the PA. Israeli and PA officials said they were uncertain whether the agreement would be honored.

The agreement achieved at the summit in the Sinai resort of Sharm el-Sheikh includes an immediate end to the violence, the opening of the Palestinian territories and the Gaza airport as well as the establishment of an international inquiry into the mini-war that began on Sept. 28.

"I believe we have made real progress today," Clinton said on Tuesday. "When we leave here today we will have to work hard to realize what has been agreed. Our primary objective has been to end the current violence."

Clinton said Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak and PA Chairman Yasser Arafat have agreed to call for a ceasefire, eliminate points of friction and end incitement to violence.

"All that is being done at this summit is declarations," Israeli Deputy Prime Minister Binyamin Ben-Eliezer said. "We'll see what happens in the field."

The ceasefire also includes a mechanism by Israel, the PA and the United States to monitor the suspension of violence.

"The most immediate achievement is the halt in the violence," Foreign Minister Shlomo Ben-Ami said. "We don't have a military solution to the Palestinian problem. Anyone who believes we do is dreaming."

The agreement, which ended the summit, also includes the establishment of a U.S.-led committee of inquiry into the mini-war between Israel and the PA as well as a vague commitment to resume the peace process.

PA officials at Sharm confirmed the key points of the Clinton statement. "We are not happy, but we want to protect the lives of our people," PA International Cooperation Minister Nabil Shaath said.

Israeli sources said the most difficult part of Clinton's effort was obtaining a clear commitment from Arafat to stop attacks by his Fatah gunmen. In Gaza, PA security chief Mohammed Dahlan warned that violence will continue and he will not disarm Fatah.

Officials said the sequence of events agreed by Israel and the PA is an immediate ceasefire followed by an Israeli lifting of the closure of the West Bank and Gaza Strip. If the ceasefire holds for two days, Israel will begin withdrawing troops and tanks from forward positions.

In another two weeks, Clinton will convene Israeli and PA negotiators to resume peace talks, officials said. **[JR: Clinton needs a reality check. He cannot broker a peace agreement when he demands the Arabs stop throwing rocks and the PA to cease responding to Israeli actions to incite. Since this hurried meeting the violence has increased and Israel has now taken a hard position where it seems Sharon's influence dominates—not Barak's.]**

UN GENERAL ASSEMBLY CONDEMNS ISRAEL'S "EXCESSIVE" FORCE

By William M. Reilly, *Isyndicate.com*, 10/21/00

UNITED NATIONS (UPI)—The General Assembly's Mideast Emergency Special Session Friday condemned 92-6—with 46 abstentions—the "excessive use of force (by Israel) against Palestinian civilians." Opposing, were the United States, Israel and the Pacific states of the Marshal Islands, Micronesia, Nauru, and Tuvalu.

The European Union was split and did not vote as a bloc.

The current president of the EU, France, as well as Austria, Belgium, Finland, Greece, Luxembourg, Ireland and Portugal supported the resolution, while Britain, Denmark, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Sweden and

Spain were among those abstaining.

Australia, Canada, Japan and Poland also abstained and China, the two Koreas and Russia supported the resolution.

The session opened in late afternoon with Secretary-General Kofi Annan reporting to the assembly on his recent trip to the region. Earlier he briefed the Security Council, which condemned the Mideast violence Oct. 7 in a 14-0 vote with the United States abstaining.

Resumption of the debate Friday—it was recessed Wednesday to allow Annan to return and present his report—coincided with the worst day of violence in the Middle East in weeks and Israel's suspension of the Mideast peace process.

After the vote, the Palestinian observer, Nasser al-Kidwa, thanked supporters, but said 10 more Palestinians were killed during the day "including two children under 18" years of age.

Before more than 50 speakers took the green marble podium in the General Assembly Hall, Annan said the main purpose of his trip was "to try to help the Israelis and Palestinians to resolve the current crisis." The secretary-general said he sought "disengagement, an end to violence and a return to normalcy; a resumption of the peace process; and the establishment of a mechanism to inquire into recent tragic events and ways of avoiding a recurrence." He recounted his efforts over the 10 days of his shuttle diplomacy and said that he attended the Sharm el-Sheikh summit, jointly chaired by Presidents Hosni Mubarak of Israel and President Clinton, with Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak and Palestinian Chairman Yasser Arafat.

"Feelings on both sides were at fever pitch and there was a real danger of the situation spiraling out of control," Annan told the assembly. "I found each side deeply mistrustful of the other's true intentions. Both were talking, privately as well as publicly, the language of war." The secretary-general said the situation had "reached the brink of the abyss". Saying his main goal was to get the two leaders to address public appeals to their constituents for calm, he also sought they "indicate some specific measures that they were prepared to take in order to de-escalate the tension." Annan said that at times he thought the gap between the two sides could not be bridged.

Highly praising Clinton, the secretary-general said that largely due to his personal efforts, the president was able to announce at least a limited agreement at the end of the Sharm el-Sheikh summit.

"In my view the agreements reached in Sharm el-Sheikh are a vital first step back from the brink and towards a resumption of the peace process," Annan said. "It is essential that they should be faithfully implemented in their entirety by both sides. They may contain elements to which one side attaches more importance than does the other. But both parties need to demonstrate good faith—above all by their actions. It is not going to be easy. Mutual mistrust is deep." He said the hurt runs so deep it "may take a generation to heal. But we must move forward, painful though it is, so that the children and youth of today—angry and frustrated as they are—can have a better world to live in." To that end he repeated for the third time in as many days, that in the next few "vital" days, "words can inflame or soothe, and everyone needs a restoration of calm and quiet so as to create the best possible atmosphere for a resumption of peace talks." The last time the General Assembly voted on a resolution denouncing Israel, the vote was 115-2 with five abstentions. So, the number of abstentions Friday night was not anticipated. Some observers felt it was a reflection of Annan's plea for a lowering of the rhetoric.

A British diplomat said London abstained because it felt the "timing" of the vote was not appropriate, but

basically agreed with the content.

In addition to condemning the violence and the "excessive" Israeli action, the measure also demanded a cessation of violence, supported the Sharm el-Sheikh accords, said Israeli settlements in Palestinian territory were illegal and an obstacle to peace, and demanded Israel abide by the 1949 Fourth Geneva Convention relating to the status of civilians in time of war.

Fifteen members were not allowed to vote because their dues were in arrears. A score more were not present.

A representative for Belarus entered the hall after the vote, interrupted remarks by Israel's Ambassador Yehuda Lancry and declared that if the Belarus delegation had been present it would have voted for the measure.

[JR: No surprise here—the U.S. voted to abstain rather than to condemn Israel for excessive force against the Palestinians. The Zionists make up Clinton's cabinet, run D.C. and are the negotiating team who dictate the peace terms to the Palestinians. The longer this process is drawn out the more Israel will move in and secure more areas and add more to her list of prescribed grievances. The UN would do well to place sanctions against the U.S. if we ship any more arms and technology to Israel, as it has created a great imbalance in the Middle East. As small as Israel is, she has more armament, firepower and weapons of mass destruction than every other country in the region—and that is discounting the U.S. arsenal.]

ISRAELIS PLAN TO BUILD FENCES, NOT BRIDGES

By Suzanne Goldenberg, *The Guardian*, 10/23/00

New map: 'Berlin wall' would seal off Palestinians

JERUSALEM—An elevated highway racing 28 miles across Israel with no exit, and electrified fences slicing through the stony hills of the West Bank. As visions of peace drown in rivers of blood, the Israeli prime minister is drawing a new map of the Middle East, one that is both futuristic and a throwback to the concrete walls and checkpoints of Cold War Europe.

After turning his back on negotiations by declaring an indefinite "time-out" to the peace process yesterday, Ehud Barak is reviving a plan to change the whole architecture of the Middle East. He wants to build fences, not bridges.

In effect, Israel would simply impose the boundaries on a separate Palestinian entity—with none of the haggling about percentages of territory in the West Bank. At its most extreme, the concept of "unilateral separation" being debated in Israeli circles would hermetically seal off the West Bank and Gaza with an elaborate system of roads, tunnels and road blocks, akin to international border crossings.

This is Israel's worst-case scenario—and the Palestinians'. It could become reality if the Palestinian leader, Yasser Arafat, declares an independent state on or after November 15, and Israel takes retributive action.

Mr. Barak's new sketch map of the Middle East would bar 110,000 Palestinians from their jobs in Israel, painfully sever telecommunications, electricity and water systems that are deeply intertwined, and kill off economists' hopes of a happy marriage between a hi-tech economy and a low-wage Arab workforce.

Last week the Palestinians' information minister, Yasser Abd Rabbo, denounced it as apartheid. "Separation means first and foremost the shattering of a dream that has already died," said a comment in the liberal *Ha'aretz* newspaper.

But despite opposition from liberals and 200,000 Jewish settlers in the West Bank, who would be forced to abandon isolated enclaves and amalgamate settlement blocks, the idea of separation is gaining momentum.

Last week Mr. Barak charged Israel's deputy defence minister, Efraim Sneh, with studying the plan, and the trade and industry ministries have been instructed to look into its economic implications.

"If it becomes obvious that an agreement between Israel and the Palestinians is not at hand, we have to think about a new direction," Mr. Sneh said.

Despite devoting months to peace negotiations, Mr. Barak is a longstanding believer in the idea of separating Israel from the Palestinians physically, economically and politically. "Good fences make good neighbours" is one of his favourite axioms.

This is an ideal with immense psychological appeal for many Israelis, who would gladly wash their hands of the Palestinians, and who see it as a solution to their bottomless sense of insecurity about losing their demographic edge in the Jewish state.

There is already some degree of separation. The Gaza Strip is cut off from Israel by an electrified fence, breached by two border crossings: Karni for commercial goods, and Erez for tens of thousands of Palestinian workers. But in its most absolute form, separation is an extremely complicated and expensive venture.

According to an idea under discussion since last year, Israel would build an exitless elevated highway from Gaza, decanting near Hebron so that Palestinians could travel to the West Bank without setting foot on Israeli soil. In concept, the highway would resemble the autobahn lined with watchtowers that allowed West Germans to travel through East Germany to West Berlin during the Cold War. That alone would cost \$250 million.

But the border between the West Bank and Israel is extremely porous and would require radical solutions. Mr. Barak is ready for that, with proposals to tunnel under the Mount of Olives, and to erect high fences along the seam that divides Jewish west Jerusalem from the Arab east, occupied by Israel since 1967. Estimates put the cost of that as high as \$1 billion.

*Israel stakes its claims to its territory, and by default, lays out the borders of a new Palestinian state

*Turn existing army roadblocks into international border crossings

*\$1 billion for a system of roads, tunnels and bridges to seal off the West Bank, while providing access to Jewish settlements

*\$250 million for a sealed 28-mile elevated highway from Gaza to the West Bank

*Reduce Israel's reliance on 110,000 Palestinian labourers

[JR: This is Israel's final maneuver to avoid once and for all any idea of a peaceful co-existence with the Palestinians. You know by now that it's the Zionists running the U.S. government which will force U.S. taxpayers to pay for this latest "improvement" on Arab lands. This wall will seal the fate of the Palestinians which is the systematic genocide of the Palestinians. These Nazis go by another name today—it's called Zionism.]

NAVY CHANGES COLE BOMBING TIMELINE; NEW QUESTIONS RAISED

NewsMax.com, 10/21/00

WASHINGTON (UPI)—The *USS Cole* had been refueling for about an hour on Oct. 12 when a bomb

exploded nearby, according to the Navy, a small change in the timeline that significantly changes the Navy's account of the presumed terrorist attack.

The change will also open the Navy to even more criticism and second-guessing. The question the Navy must now answer is how the small boat believed responsible for the bombing was able to get so close to the warship without being challenged or intercepted.

Initial reports indicated the bombing was carried out by port employees or people posing as port employees, who were helping the *Cole* tie up to a floating fueling station in the port of Aden in Yemen.

The Navy's initial account of the bombing was based on a single witness, an Army major attached to the U.S. Embassy in Yemen.

The witness said a small craft, apparently part of the harbor tender's fleet, helped tie one of the *Cole*'s lines to a buoy and then approached the port side of the Arleigh-Burke class destroyer. At that point, 12:15 p.m. local time, a huge explosion rocked the *Cole*, according to the Navy's initial account.

But Friday, the Navy said the *Cole* had been refueling for nearly an hour when the bomb exploded, destroying the popular theory that the attack was almost certainly carried out by an insider who worked for the private company that was contracted to refuel the \$1 billion ship.

"According to ship records, the explosion occurred at about 11:18 a.m. local time in Yemen, not 12:15 p.m., as previously reported. *USS Cole* had completed mooring operations at 9:30 a.m. Refueling operations commenced at approximately 10:30 a.m. and were ongoing at the time of the terrorist attack," the Navy said.

The Navy reviewed the timeline in response to a query from the weekly *Navy Times*, which said a source in Aden had claimed the Navy's initial account of the bombing was incorrect.

The Navy said the earlier report was incorrect because it was based on initial voice and relayed reports from the ship.

The ship's communications system and power was disabled by the blast, which killed 17. Ship records were not available earlier last week.

"As is often the case, these initial relayed reports contained some errors, and in some cases were misunderstood back here," stated the Navy.

"To have people think it was all one big event, they were pulling in to refuel and the explosion happened, is wrong," Lt. Cdr. Greg Smith, a Navy spokesman, told *UPI*.

The Navy is not releasing other details about the attack.

"[Given] the coverage of the initial reports, the Navy felt it was necessary to provide the correction as soon as possible," stated the Navy.

According to a *Navy Times* source, the port of Aden is sprawling and made such an attack easy, even without the cover of a harbor tender craft.

"The idea that a mooring boat was involved just doesn't hold water," said the source in Yemen, who spoke on condition of anonymity. "There simply weren't any mooring boats around the ship at the time."

"There are so many points of access where people can get in," the official said. "It's a big, sheltered bay, and you can disappear two miles around the corridor and more or less do what you like," said the source, according to the *Navy Times*.

[JR: Of the hundreds of sailors and security watch aboard the *USS Cole*, the dock handlers and the fueling personnel that were present during the incident, why was there only one witness? This "single witness" just happened to be "an Army

major attached to the U.S. Embassy in Yemen" who claimed to have seen what happened and put out this phony cover story? This sounds like a typical screw-up in a clandestine operation and they had to quickly fabricate a "CIA story" to shift the blame so as not to reveal covert action, what really happened and who was really responsible. The crew knows but were undoubtedly given an order to remain silent "for the good of the Corps" as well as possible International repercussions if certain secret regional operations are prematurely exposed. It is going to take time for the Navy, the U.S. Embassy, the FBI, the Pentagon and this lying administration to get their stories together to create a scenario plausible enough to sell to the victim's families and the American sheeple. I still speculate it was a covert operation run by our favorite "ally" in the Middle East to force commitments.]

INFLUX OF U.S. PERSONNEL MAKES YEMENIS WINCE

By Alan Sipress and David A. Vise,
International Herald Tribune, 10/21/00

ADEN, Yemen (WPS)—Nasr Awadi is slightly too young to remember when this port was a British imperial outpost. But the 30-year-old Yemeni sees trouble ahead: The sudden arrival of at least 2,000 U.S. Marines off Aden and the scores of FBI investigators who have come to town, he warns, could be the advance guard of a new foreign occupation.

"The longer they stay, the more problems there will be," said Mr. Awadi, a shopkeeper standing in the sultry Tawil marketplace with his back to the grubby but graceful stone facade of a building dating to colonial days. "It's O.K. for ordinary Americans to be here, but soldiers with guns, people won't like that. The religious Muslims won't like that."

As Americans stream into Aden to salvage, support and guard the crippled destroyer *Cole* and to investigate the apparent terrorist attack that killed 17 of its sailors a week ago, they have created another highly visible, and controversial, presence in the sensitive Arabian Peninsula and Gulf region.

U.S. officials have tried to lower the visibility of the military and other U.S. personnel here, limiting them largely to two premier hotels and to U.S. Navy ships moored offshore. But about 3,000 service members are now aboard eight vessels, including the *Cole*, and more than 200 other Americans, primarily military, FBI and State Department personnel, are on assignment in this city of 420,000.

Already, Yemenis around the country have started saying that the Aden Hotel, the headquarters for U.S. officials, has become an American military base. While the outside of the hotel is guarded by Yemeni soldiers carrying assault rifles and perched atop Land Rovers mounted with weapons, the upper floors are protected by U.S. Marines wearing bulletproof vests and cradling M-16s. In the lobby, plainclothes Yemeni security officers keep vigil, but upstairs, Marines with sidearms ramble through the halls.

U.S. officials say resentment over U.S. military presence in the region, particularly since the Gulf War a decade ago, may have led to the bombing of the *Cole* in the first place. They suspect the attack may have been the work of the exiled Saudi militant Osama bin Laden, who has vowed to drive Americans out of the area.

Even before the attack, Yemeni opposition politicians and newspapers had accused the United States of covertly trying to establish military bases in Aden and on the Yemeni island of Socotra, about 880 kilometers (550 miles) to the east off the Horn of Africa.

U.S. military officials say their relationship with Yemen is limited to an agreement signed last year for U.S. Navy vessels to refuel and resupply in Aden, and that the influx of U.S. personnel is only an emergency response to the *Cole* bombing. But in a place that lived under British rule from 1839 until 1967, that has not stopped people from worrying.

"Some people feel the coming of a lot of Americans offends the sovereignty of this country," said Mohammed Hatem Qadhi, managing editor of the *Yemen Times*. "If they stay here for a long time, people will start gossiping and people in the opposition will think the Yemeni government has given military facilities to the Americans."

U.S. officials have tried to assuage Yemeni fears, saying U.S. military forces are meant only to provide support to the *Cole* operations; for instance, Navy divers Thursday recovered the last four bodies from the destroyer. Likewise, the FBI director, Louis Freeh, during a visit to Aden on Thursday, said the Yemeni government had taken the lead in the probe of the bombing, with Americans as a "junior partner".

After meeting with President Ali Abdallah Saleh and touring the *Cole*, Mr. Freeh said at a news conference: "The FBI presence here is a very temporary one. It is one that is under the complete direction of the local authorities who are in charge of the case. We do not take any action or any steps without their knowledge, without their permission."

Standing beside Mr. Freeh was the U.S. ambassador to Yemen, Barbara Bodine, who earlier pressed for a limit of 15 people in the FBI contingent, according to a federal official who attended a State Department meeting dealing with Middle Eastern affairs. But Ms. Bodine, a former acting coordinator for counterterrorism at the State Department, lost that behind-the-scenes debate with the FBI despite her concern that sending more than 100 investigators would be seen as excessive.

In a statement this week, Ms. Bodine said she did not object to the size of the American presence and that it suited the twin missions of supporting the *Cole* and probing the attack. "We're not really concerned about a backlash here in Yemen," she said. "There are obviously hostile elements here, but this is not a hostile environment."

But only minutes from the Aden Hotel, crouching beside a canvas sack teeming with dried chili peppers on a shaded street corner in a beaten-down neighborhood suitably called Crater, a Yemeni mechanic named Fayed Ali was less upbeat. "I'm worried about the huge number of Americans," he said. "There are all these Americans coming and we have no idea what's going on."

Western faces are rare on the streets of Crater, where aging Toyotas and Mazdas, bruised and bent, fight for the rutted pavement with men in traditional skirt-like clothing and women in black robes, their faces often totally concealed. Nor are Americans commonly seen along the main boulevard in the Maalla neighborhood, from which cranes from the port where U.S. warships call are visible above apartment buildings.

"We're all talking about the huge presence of American troops and American military equipment," said an engineer in Maalla named Nabil. "We're concerned."

Other Yemenis remain hospitable. Ahmed Muqbil, an

elderly pharmacist returning from prayer at the corner mosque, said: "You are all welcome. Consider this your second country."

But signs of Yemeni skepticism are apparent in the press. An independent paper, *An Nass*, published its account this week of the *Cole* investigation under the headline: "In What Seems to Be American Occupation of Bab al Mandab," referring to the strait between Yemen and Africa at the mouth of the Red Sea.

Mr. Saleh bristled Wednesday evening in a television interview when he was asked whether the United States had designs on Yemen.

"The Americans have come to save their vessel and, therefore, it is not colonialism or occupation," he told the Qatari satellite channel Al Jazeera. "They are not happy to come, but we welcome them." He added, "They are guests that have come to fix their vessel and could leave in two or three days or a week, I understand."

He said the Americans came at Yemeni invitation, rejecting suggestions that Marines have surrounded the country. He said that U.S. ships had taken up locations designated by the Yemeni government and that U.S. helicopters flew only with Yemeni approval.

[JR: Oh, please! Americans are not in Aden to just be "junior partners" in the USS Cole investigation. The U.S. has 8 ships offshore with 3000 servicemen, 2000 marines and Louie Freeh commanding 100 FBI and military investigators. This is an invasion to set up a previously negotiated surveillance post, among other things, and bottle up this area for Israeli reconnaissance of seagoing traffic lanes. Let's hope the Yemenis aren't as gullible as the people who put out this propaganda.]

U.S. SEEKS MILITARY BASE IN RED SEA

Middle East Newswire, 10/22/00

The United States intends to establish a military base at the entrance to the Red Sea.

Arab diplomatic sources said the Pentagon has been quietly negotiating with Yemen to build a military base at Bab Al-Mandab. The base would comprise thousands of troops, aircraft carriers and warplanes.

The sources said the discussions have been proceeding for more than a year but were accelerated in the wake of the bombing of the *USS Cole* earlier this month. The bombing was said to have been the work of suicide attacks based in Yemen. Seventeen U.S. sailors were killed in the attack.

Two suspects have been identified, the sources said.

Earlier, Pentagon officials acknowledged that the United States and Yemen were discussing the establishment of a listening post off the coast of the Arabian Gulf. The listening post was meant to monitor ships that travelled in the Red Sea and in the adjacent Indian Ocean.

Officials said they were pleased with Yemen's cooperation in the bombing investigation and expressed the hope that this would lead to further expansion in the defense relations between the two countries.

[JR: Nothing happens by chance. The attack on the USS Cole legitimizes our establishing a military base in Yemen because of the strategic location at the entrance to the Red Sea. A U.S. base adds to Israel's security and furthers her dominance in the Middle East. Israel doesn't have to occupy more land in the region, she has the U.S. doing that for her.]

U.S. COURT RULES "GOVERNMENT IS NOT A PERSON" AND CANNOT BE COMPELLED TO DISCLOSE EVIDENCE OF MURDER!

New Netizen, 10/18/00

U.S. court quashes Diana lawsuit

A court in the United States has rejected a request by the Egyptian tycoon, Mohamed Al Fayed, for the release of information relating to the death of Princess Diana and his son Dodi Fayed.

The court said it had no powers to force the Central Intelligence Agency to hand over classified documents.

It is the latest in a series of legal setbacks for Harrods owner Mr. Fayed, who maintains that the Paris car crash in which the pair died was not an accident.

Diana and Dodi were killed when a Mercedes driven by Henri Paul, the deputy head of security at the Paris Ritz, ploughed into a pillar in a Paris road tunnel on 31 August 1997.

French police found that Mr. Paul, who also died in the crash, had been drinking heavily and was speeding when the accident happened.

Conspiracy theory

But Mr. Fayed believes British intelligence agents conspired to murder his son and the Princess.

The CIA has already denied any knowledge of a plot or that it spied on the pair.

National Security Agency officials acknowledged in 1998 the agency picked up some references to her in its monitoring that were casual and incidental, but maintained she was never a target of U.S. intelligence efforts.

The decision by the three-judge panel of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia hinged on whether a government agency could be defined as a "person" that by law could be compelled by subpoena to hand over documents. (It is interesting to note exactly how ridiculous the thought of the "Government" being defined as a single "person" is. If the "Government" cannot be defined as a person compelled by subpoena to hand over crucial evidence, but any citizen is required to, then who does this make the so-called "public-servants" in the "Government" accountable to?) The ruling rejecting that idea was written by U.S. Circuit Judge Stephen F. Williams on behalf of himself, Judge David B. Sentelle and Judge Judith W. Rogers.

[JR: This is the new courts of law we now live under—it is called the "color of law" and they will rule whatever way suits their needs at the time. Since our government(s) is incorporated or acts as a corporation and as such is defined in *Black's Law Dictionary* as: "An artificial person or legal entity created by or under the authority of the laws of a state. ... The law treats the corporation itself as a person which can sue or be sued." Does this NEW ruling mean courts can no longer order corporations to turn over evidence of a crime or be sued? What a Pandora's box this is!]

WHATEVER HAPPENED TO THE ROGUE STATES?

By Martin Walker, *ISyndicate.com*, 10/20/00

WASHINGTON (*UPI*)—When Britain's Tony Blair and German Chancellor Gerhard Schroeder announced in Seoul this week that they were about to re-open diplomatic relations with North Korea, they joined the U.S. in ending the curious six-year reign of the "rogue state" thesis.

America's closest allies were not blazing a trail ahead

of Washington; U.S. Secretary of State Madeleine Albright is on her way to Pyongyang. And President Clinton is planning a post-election visit to the long-forbidden North Korean capital, part of an Asian tour whose highlight will be the first U.S. Presidential visit to Vietnam since the end of the Vietnam War 25 years ago.

Just in time for the new thaw with North Korea, the U.S. State Department formally in June of this year dropped the term "rogue states" for countries deemed to be international outlaws, and replaced it with the bland phrase "states of concern".

The term "rogue states" was formally born in 1994, when White House national security adviser Tony Lake used it to describe North Korea, Libya, Iran, Iraq and Cuba. In February, 1998, President Clinton stressed that they were becoming the most important threat to American security.

"In the next century, the community of nations may see more and more of the very kind of threat Iraq poses now—a rogue state with weapons of mass destruction, ready to use them or provide them to terrorists, drug traffickers or organized criminals who travel the world among us unnoticed," Clinton said.

But the former rogues have been breaking out of the isolation in which U.S. policy sought to contain them. Saddam Hussein's Iraq was able, despite the continued United Nations sanctions, to make a deal to sell oil for food and medical supplies which is currently earning Iraq \$20 billions a year. And the sanctions on Iraq's air travel have been breached daily in the past three weeks, with flights into Baghdad from Russia, France and Arab neighbors.

This weekend, above all, the long isolation from the Arab world that had endured since the 1991 Gulf War ended when Iraqi officials arrived in Cairo for the Arab League summit on the Palestine crisis.

Iran had hardly qualified for the old title since the election of the reformist President Mohammad Khatami in 1997. Britain, America's closest ally, has re-opened diplomatic links, and says it sees "no evidence" that Iran is still a state that sponsors terrorism. European countries have reopened commercial ties, despite continuing U.S. fears that Iran continues a secret nuclear weapons program.

Libya has come out of the cold since its wayward leader Muammar Gadafy accepted the mediation of former South African President Nelson Mandela and surrendered two Libyans, suspected of the 1998 bombing of the Pan-Am 007 jumbo jet over Scotland, to trial in the Hague.

And the U.S. Senate last week relaxed its long-standing sanctions against Cuba, as another close U.S. ally, Canada, stepped up its efforts to have Cuba re-admitted to the meetings of the Organization of American States.

The question is whether the "rogues" have fundamentally changed their behavior, or whether the shift has come in American and Western perceptions.

The answer may be a bit of both, but the issue remains contentious in domestic American politics. Earlier this year, when he was challenging Texas Governor George W. Bush for the Republican Presidential nomination, U.S. Senator John McCain argued that America should show no mercy to rogue states.

"I'd institute a policy that I call a rogue state rollback. I would arm, train and equip forces that would eventually overthrow the governments and install free and democratically elected governments", McCain said.

The U.S. foreign policy establishment disagreed. Brent Scowcroft, national security adviser to President Bush from 1989-92, has long urged the need to differentiate between so-called "rogues". The policies

needed to encourage Iran further along the path toward democratic reforms should be different from those designed to keep Saddam Hussein "in his box".

Lee Hamilton, veteran chairman of the House of Representatives committee on international relations, and a leading contender for Secretary of State in a Gore administration, has stressed U.S. self-interest. "Iran, Iraq and Libya will be key suppliers of energy in the future—we should not call them rogue states nor seek to isolate them at all costs."

But in the case of North Korea, this key change has come from within the peninsula. The historic North-South summit in June this year, the first since the Korean War broke out fifty years ago, was the event that triggered the formal end of the State department's use of the term "rogue states".

This carries an important policy implication for the future. U.S. plans to develop a defense system that could shoot down ballistic missiles in flight have been justified as a necessary precaution against attack by "rogue states". President Clinton has left the decision whether to proceed with building the infrastructure for such a system to the next President.

Governor Bush says he is strongly in favor. Vice-President Gore says "American security must be the priority." But if the rogue states have gone, persuading Congress to vote the estimated \$80 billions to pay for such a ballistic missile defense system will be a hard sell. There is more than just semantics at stake in the words "rogue state". **[JR: The U.S. strategy is to neutralize and refine its foreign policies regarding the rogue states to draw them under the influences of the world controllers. Our Spider Lady MADam Albright is in North Korea doing just that. She may also be preparing the way for Clinton's ill-conceived visit to Korea, which is publicly denied. Rogue states serve their purposes when we want to increase our defense spending and develop new weapons systems for control and subjugation. It works the same when they tout the threat of domestic terrorism when their true intent is to override our constitutional rights for security. Golly-Gee, Oh, Okay! —If that's what it takes to make things safe for us?]**

FEDERAL WEBSITES SECRETLY TRACKING USERS

Nando Times, 10/21/00

WASHINGTON (AP)—Despite a White House prohibition, 13 government agencies are using technology that tracks the Internet habits of people visiting their Websites, and in at least one case, provides the information to a private company, a congressional review has found.

The agencies range from the Federal Aviation Administration to the federal offices that provide disaster relief and administer Medicare, the General Accounting Office found in a study obtained by the *Associated Press*.

"How can this administration talk about protecting privacy when its own agencies jeopardize some of the public's most private information?" asked Sen. Fred Thompson, R-Tenn., chairman of the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee.

Thompson's committee has jurisdiction over the 1974 *Privacy Act* and other laws that dictate the government's privacy practices.

At issue is the use by the 13 government Websites of small text files called "cookies" that record information about an Internet user's browsing habits when they visit a site.

In June, the White House Office of Management and

Budget advised all federal agencies that they are not allowed to use such text files without approval from the agency head. If they are used, the OMB directive said, Website visitors must be given "clear and conspicuous notice" of such use.

But the GAO, the investigatory arm of Congress, found that 13 agencies were using the technology to track visitors, although their formal Internet policy claimed they weren't doing so, and none of the Website visitors were advised the technology was being used.

The study found all 13 tracked consumers' paths during their visit to the site, and some were employing "persistent" text files that could be read for years after the initial visit.

In addition, the U.S. Forest Service's International Programs site was found to be using so-called "third-party cookies" that transmit the visitors' activities to a private company which had been hired to compile reports for the agency.

Such a practice is not mentioned in the Forest Service site's privacy policy.

Forest Service spokesman Joe Walsh said he was unaware of the use of the tracking technology until contacted for comment Friday. "We're looking into it," Walsh said. "We take this very seriously."

The other agencies found to be using the "cookies" software were the U.S. Customs Service, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Office of National Drug Control Policy, Bureau of Land Management, Central Federal Lands Highway Division, the Energy Department's Ames Laboratory, National Park Service, Office of Personnel Management, the U.S. Trade and Development Agency and the Health Care Financing Administration, which runs Medicare.

In June, the White House confirmed that its drug policy office operated a Website using the "cookies" technology. The discovery prompted the directive from the White House budget office.

But the GAO concluded the drug office continues to use the technology, despite having a privacy policy that prohibits its use.

The drug policy office did not return a call for comment. Congress has begun to weigh in more heavily on the issue of government privacy.

A provision sent to the president last week as part of the Defense Department spending bill Congress approved directs the government to develop policies to increase computer security at all federal agencies.

In past months, the GAO has reported that several federal agency networks, including those of the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Environmental Protection Agency, are easily prone to hacking.

[JR: The heads of these government agencies are always so unaware of the surveillance technologies used to track the browsers who visit government Websites. Are we to believe they are always kept out of the information loop when these programs are discussed and implemented into their system? Remember, they may deny, act surprised and express shocked indignation but they can't or won't do anything to stop such practices. They'd be out of a nice paying job if they did.]

SOFTWARE DIGS DEEP INTO LIVES OF VOTERS

By John Mintz and Robert O'Harrow Jr.,
Washington Post, 10/10/00

This Election Day, Republican campaign workers will tape personalized letters on the front doors of thousands of

voters in Missouri. People who recently hinted to GOP telephone solicitors a concern about taxes will receive notes highlighting that issue. Others who mentioned guns or homosexuality will get letters on those topics.

People whose spotty voting records suggest they need a ride to the polls or a reminder to vote will receive seemingly prescient offers of help.

These personal touches are the result of computer software that helps political operatives intuit voters' beliefs and predilections based on data about their income, lifestyles, past electoral participation and other personal information.

Taking their cues from the world of direct marketing, candidates and political organizations across the ideological spectrum are harnessing high-tech tools to identify which voters to target with their calls, letters, visits and, increasingly, e-mails. In a neck-and-neck election in which turnout could be crucial, this effort will personalize many politicians' pitches to voters as never before.

"I see us on the cusp of a completely new politics, a marriage of old shoe-leather organizing with the high-tech of the Internet age," Ralph Reed, former executive director of the Christian Coalition and now an adviser to Texas Gov. George W. Bush, said of the new computerized targeting. "Many believe that this race is so close, and that the two sides will fight to a draw on TV and in the debates. So it'll come down to the night before the dance, and these techniques could be the major factor."

The new technology will be employed not just by candidates but also by independent political groups. To locate likely allies beyond its 4 million members, the National Rifle Association buys government and private lists of pickup truck owners, people with hunting licenses, concealed weapons permit holders, gun show exhibitors and outdoor magazine subscribers. This information is fed into computers at NRA headquarters in Fairfax, where officials draw up a "clean" list of people who are not already NRA members and who will be contacted by phone and mail.

Using its own carefully culled lists, the National Abortion and Reproductive Rights Action League is reaching out in 15 key states to 2 million Republican and independent women who the group believes will be sympathetic to its cause. It has fashioned profiles of typical pro-choice women, using as a model demographic data that its own members provided, and then seeking out non-members who visit the same Websites, listen to the same radio stations or read the same newspapers....

The trend of data-triggered targeting closely tracks advances in the world of commercial direct marketing, and it offers many of the same benefits, such as crafting messages relevant to individual voters. But political consultants say it also raises many of the same troubling questions about Information Age manipulation, and in the far more sensitive area of supposedly private political beliefs.

"It scares the hell out of me," said John Aravosis of Wired Strategies, an Internet consulting firm that advises groups about online marketing. "Political information is per se more sensitive.... People have no clue about what these companies do."

Even Jerry Baumann, an executive vice president at Map Applications Inc., a company that sells database software to the Missouri GOP, predicted the American public could be unsettled as campaigns find themselves in an escalating race to gather more and more fine-grained data about voters.

Politicians "will need to know more about individuals, but the closer they'll come to offending voters' sense of privacy," he said. Many campaigns,

like the ones in Missouri, avoid drawing attention to their technological prowess. "They're just very careful they're not blatant about it," he said.

Patrick Fusselman, director of information services for the Missouri GOP, agreed, saying it's best to downplay the data prompting a personalized contact. "You're not telling them you know they're pro-life," he said. "You're sending them a pro-life message."

Map Applications, which also works with Iowa Republicans among other GOP and conservative groups, can link more than 40 layers of personal data to a voter's name and address, and then make sense of it all. The information includes voters' ages along with their children's ages, the value of their homes, whether they have bank cards, and their ethnicity. Much of the data resold by Map Applications originated with credit bureau giant Trans Union, which for years has fought Federal Trade Commission limits on the use of information contained in individuals' credit records.

Political campaigns have other smorgasbords of data from which to choose. One is the SRDS Direct Marketing List Source, the bible of the direct marketing industry. A recent SRDS catalogue offered a 44,079-name list called "Anti-Clinton Republican Revolutionaries". Others include "Beer-Bellied Reactionary Republicans," and "Colorado Model Liberals".

Almost half the members of the Senate, more than 200 House members and 46 state parties buy lists from another firm, Aristotle International Inc. By culling rolls of registered voters in county courthouses and other sites, it has assembled a catalogue of 150 million voters and can append scores of other personal details, such as the model of a voter's car and estimated income.

The Republican National Committee runs an even bigger list, with 165 million voters' names. Most are accompanied by an array of data provided by vendors, such as whether the voter is a military veteran. The RNC is just beginning to make available these names—sliced and diced according to any number of demographic profiles—to GOP groups around the country....

"This is the next generation of politics, and we're using [the data] in ways we never have before," said RNC Chairman Jim Nicholson. "We're trying to push the technology to the edge... Tip O'Neill used to say, 'All politics is local.' But now all politics is personal..."

The NAACP is assembling its own registry of 3 million Black voters to be contacted by phone and letter. The task is easy in the South, where states are required to list voters' race on voter registration rolls. To find its constituency elsewhere, the group uses census data to locate mostly Black neighborhoods and then employs other information banks to strip out non-Blacks.

In decades past, Democratic canvassers had to flip through immense stacks of "walk cards" on which they jotted information about voters. Now they fill out pre-printed forms on voters' responses to questions as they walk from house to house on routes mapped with the help of precinct software bought from the U.S. Postal Service to determine the most efficient route through neighborhoods.

Then they key the data—such as information on which issues most motivate the voters—into a computer back at the office, using a wand that scans unique bar codes on the forms, one to a voter. That allows the database to be tapped according to the desired demographic group of the moment—for example, over-40, pro-choice women in Scranton, Pa.

Hillary Clinton's Senate campaign in New York uses another technology. As phone volunteers fill out forms

recording voters' responses to questions about their views and backgrounds, the forms are faxed to a computer in San Francisco, which automatically enters the recorded data. It is then zipped back to a computer in Philadelphia, where the information is sorted for an overnight report back to the campaign about the voters' positions, as well as about where the campaign is succeeding or lagging.

"The voter contact business has been revolutionized by technology that handles the transfer of these huge amounts of data," said Ken Smukler, president of Voterlink Data Systems, which handles the job for the first lady.

All the data-crunching work by political organizations is put to the test in the last few days before the election, when they take part in what was once the most old-fashioned and pedestrian of electoral activities, getting out the vote. In the modern era, one of the most effective methods is to bombard voters with "robo-calls" featuring taped messages exhorting targeted voters to get to the polls. This Election Day, Democrats are expected to make millions of such calls featuring a message from President Clinton....

Dee Stewart, executive director of the Iowa Republican Party, said the software the GOP uses "enables you to really cross reference any data you want" about voters, including whether they are Christian, or are gun enthusiasts, married or unmarried, affluent or poor. As for running a political campaign without such technological help, he said, "I can't imagine."

[JR: If you are still under the illusion that elections are honest and open, you missed the point of this article. Every survey or poll you respond to voicing your concerns or opinions will be manipulated and used against you. The gurus who run these political companies will gather this information and use it to create a candidate that will address the issues that are important to the majority. Once in office, it's business as usual—the master controllers take over and the voters' concerns are buried in the lies.]

HOUSE CLEARS DERIVATIVES DEREGULATION

By Janet Kidd Stewart, *Chicago Tribune*, 10/20/00

WOULD PERMIT FUTURES TRADE ON SINGLE STOCKS

WASHINGTON—The House overwhelmingly passed legislation Thursday aimed at deregulating U.S. futures and derivatives markets to help them better face growing global competition.

The bill would keep privately negotiated, or over-the-counter, derivatives free of government oversight, restructure regulation of U.S. futures exchanges and allow trading of futures on individual stocks.

The measure cleared the House by a 377-4 vote. Its prospects in the Senate, however, are uncertain.

Trading in futures on specific stocks has been outlawed for 18 years, but futures trading on stock-market indexes—notably the Standard & Poor's 500—has been increasingly popular.

With futures contracts, investors commit to buy or sell an item at a set price in the future. A futures contract imposes an obligation to trade, as opposed to options, which give their holders the right to buy or sell stocks or other investments at a set price within a specific period.

Officials at the Chicago Board Options Exchange applauded the action, saying it opens up a strong new product line for the exchange, which currently trades options on more than 1,650 individual stocks. The Chicago Mercantile Exchange and Board of Trade have

long sought lifting of the ban on single-stock futures.

The New York Stock Exchange and the NASDAQ stock market have lobbied against lifting the ban on single-stock futures.

The provision allowing such trading is nestled within bipartisan legislation that would exempt from regulation the estimated \$80 trillion market in so-called over-the-counter derivatives, such as swaps.

But with Congress pressing to adjourn next week, the bill's chances of enactment remain in doubt. It must still clear the Senate, where Banking Committee Chairman Phil Gramm has objected to some bill provisions and suggested it could be held over until next year.

[JR: This is an announcement, not news reporting. Futures trading (in currency) and derivative markets are what destroyed certain Third World economies. Why is it being legitimized now on individual stocks when it was outlawed for 18 years? We are now using credit instead of capital to push forward our economic growth and to prop up the U.S. dollar and market. U.S. banks here account for 95% of derivative exposure. Two of our largest banks would be vulnerable as they already hold \$20 trillion in notional (imaginary) derivative securities/contracts (gold-eagle.com, see CONTACT 10/18/00, pg. 21). Our whole creative banking system is tangled up in this illusionary web of prosperity.]

WHO IS BUYING ALL THE GOLD OTHERS ARE SELLING?

By Steve Hickel, *gold-eagle.com* (editorial), 10/18/00

The *who* has been well documented by GATA. We know *who*. It is Britain and the U.S. Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF) and, for some unknown reason, Deutsche Bank and the likes of Goldman Sachs, J.P. Morgan, etc. It is the countries using these civilian banks, who are in the know, that irks the likes of us. I suppose one could argue that the Central Banks (CBs) can't intervene in the gold market directly and must use the best tools at their disposal: the bbs or bullion banks. It is this dotted line from CBs to bullion banks that gives the bbs the advantage and insider knowledge. That Mr. Robert Rubin happened to have been chairperson of Goldman Sachs and then later Secretary of the U.S. Treasury, and now head of Citibank and friend of Al Greenspan, shows clearly the dotted line relationship that GATA speaks of. Nothing official, just a bunch of conflict of interests in power, who also have an on/off switch to investigator bodies to expose all.

The *why* has been sufficiently documented. The U.S. dollar has defaulted twice on its debt in gold. It is about to do it again. Nations have a right to protect their currency. No one can argue with that. It is the manner of intervention that has us all up in arms, because as commodity investors we have been duped by an underhanded attempt to show gold as only a commodity—but yet has the full force and power of nations behind its manipulation in order to extend the life of the dollar. It is this relationship that has the press only focusing on gold as a commodity. This completely ignores its role as a monetary asset that—were it left on its own accord—would show inflation to be extraordinarily high, that has us all up in arms.

It is NOW a no-brainer to see that various charts (among them Kondratieff and Dow vs. Gold) show that the backing of currency with gold—but not allowing it to float at the market price of gold—has caused

governments to conspire to hide the true relationship of currency to gold. These charts show that nations can only hold inflation and gold back for so long and then BOOM, something causes the clock to start over again, just as though a winding spring has broken loose. Because so much gold is stored in Central Bank vaults, they have the ability to extend the lifeline of currency. But when that gold is no longer held or controlled by CBs in sufficient quantities, they lose control of it (as now appears to be the case), then the clock is reset. We are witnessing such a colossal resetting of a clock that has three lives, with the third one seemingly on its last few days or months. Who can blame those nations for colluding in gold? Can we as Americans (some of us) really blame our country for trying to extend the life of the dollar? I say go for it.

What irritates me, though, is that instead of doing it in the open, we have chosen to manipulate through hidden deals in a way that has directly cost me easily \$100K—either in lost opportunity or reduced gold-share prices. It is this hidden tax that you and I bear directly through underhanded dealings with a few special banks in the know, because of their unique market position, that makes me support the efforts of GATA. I want the dollar to win—let there be no doubt. But I believe that “goldbugs”, mines and gold-mining countries are truly hurting because of these efforts.

If the financial powers believe that they are in a currency war, then they will take extraordinary measures to save the dollar. I believe that is what we are witnessing—extraordinary measures of CBs to save the dollar. If that means stepping on a few goldbugs, so be it, eh? Is this right? No. Perhaps in their minds it is—and that is what GATA is all about. It is a foul that has a maximum 20-yard penalty and forfeiture of the ball to the other team.

Yet, the euro was born by some pundits to replace the dollar as the eventual world reserve currency. It is the oil-gold-euro-dollar relationship that would appear to be the trump card in this relationship. If the dollar were to fail, and let's hope it does not, then would it be better to have another currency that could step in without much harm to world economies—or would it be better to move solely to a gold standard? We have thoroughly discussed this topic here and elsewhere on the Web. I believe the conclusion was that it would be better for another currency to step in that had the potential to handle the volume, as it were.

In the meantime, we are forced to watch this all unfold, while we call “foul”. And now we must wait while the referees determine whose ball it is and what to do about it. Negotiations will take a while; they are reviewing the tapes now. “Please, I'll take a beer and some peanuts.” It is going to take a while before they figure this out.

I forgot to mention that we watch this game behind an opaque screen and only occasionally get glimpses of players being carried off the field. We hear grunts, clashing pads and helmets, but see no direct action. What is most clear: Gold is at the center of a tremendous worldwide game of dollars, gold, euros, and oil. The nation players don't talk about their game, they just do their thing and we watch what we can.

Finally, it is gold as the ultimate inflation indicator that keeps it suppressed. We have heard that Greenspan watches gold for signs of inflation. If he knows, and we know he knows, that gold is being held back, then he knows what true inflation there is out there. It is gold as the ultimate thermometer of inflation that throws it into center

field. When gold resets the clock of values as has been shown in 1929-33 and in 1979-80, it bought time. Because the U.S. didn't mark the dollar to gold's market value (a very unpopular move in 1971-73), the dollar only bought more time. Had it been allowed to float with the value of gold—and gold allowed to act as a thermometer of spending beyond U.S. means—then all may have been good longer term for the dollar. But instead, one chose to eschew the role that gold has on currencies—a disciplinary role that keeps excess spending in check beyond 2500 tons of mined gold per year.

So, the game appears to be about how nations reassert the role of gold without shocking the world with that reality. Since the dollar has twice defaulted—and appears close to doing so again on gold-debt (paper gold)—it may have been decided by the refs that the other team (euro?) gets the ball now. We shall see.

WHEN is also the question. When will gold rise? When it is time to let currencies reset the clock to gold's market value? When will it be proper to let currencies reset to a gold value? When no more moves can be made to hold gold back or a key player who can turn the ball over decides it's time. Is oil the key to turning the ball over? It seems so. Why?

Oil going higher and gold staying low has skewed the historic relationship of gold to oil. It would be interesting to see a chart of oil vs. Dow, as this would likely show the reset is in progress—but through oil this time (as a leading indicator). It is oil that appears to be the leverage that will cause a turnover. The reason is the record trade deficit, the rise in U.S. dollar inflation, and the subsequent inability of the U.S. to control inflation—as reflected into the economy by higher oil prices. We saw this in the PPI on last Friday (10/13/00). It showed a nearly 12% per annum inflation rate. As more oil dollars chase less physical gold—physical gold will dry up and reset the gold clock. The turnover will be complete. For now, though, the dollar is still in play and stashes of OPG (other peoples' gold) are being put in play to extend the game.

So, the WHEN now becomes when the physical gold is all called for and oil has no more to buy, then currencies will reset. **Oil will drive gold higher through an ever-increasing appetite for physical gold. The game can only be extended as long as players accept more and more dollars for paper gold. Once this insatiable appetite for paper has been stopped, physical gold will rise.** The ME (Middle East) may then attempt (in this game) to leverage the leverage or turn the timetable up. Any quick turnover would cause more harm to the U.S. The ME may initiate such an attempt. It has the effect of ratcheting oil prices higher, forcing the hand in the trade deficit quicker, and making inflation that much more apparent. Gold is now starting to react to such pressures (but was quickly contained). The ME would seem to be another horseman then. Oil has gone ahead of gold in its traditional behavior from a lagging to a leading indicator—and now oil supplies are being threatened which further compounds this traditional break in gold vs. oil. If oil ratchets higher, quickly, then this will force hands much sooner. All eyes turn to the Middle East. The currency battle has turned violent in the ME.

I am fairly certain that all the euro gold (Swiss 2000, British 400 or so and whatever else was allowed through the Washington Agreement) is all called for already. It is supplies of new or OPG that are being tapped. And when that run dries, the changeover may occur. Oil and tensions over oil appear to be the play that could force the euro into reserve currency status

much sooner than hoped for or thought possible. Higher oil prices will allow more dollars to buy more physical gold exerting more pressure. Physical gold will dry up much more quickly with higher oil prices. More profit, more gold. More gold, less available. Less available, higher prices for gold—once the paper can't or won't satisfy.

So, the question now becomes: How long can the Commodity exchanges for gold support a lower gold price? Answer: As long as those buying the paper are satisfied to not convert paper into gold. Or, as long as those buying physical gold can still buy it at the artificially lower price as set by COMEX and LBMA. When physical gold becomes scarce, it will require more and more of it to be directed to COMEX. Or, COMEX will have to set up to demand less and less physical gold. When COMEX no longer needs physical gold or can't provide it, or provides an alternative (dollars?) for settlement, then physical gold will rise. Only those who follow COMEX much closer than I do could tell us when this might be. Are the gold lease rates the barometer for this? Some of you know.

SILVER TO ZERO

By David Morgan, *gold-eagle.com* (editorial), 10/20/00

This article is devoted to silver shorts and silver bears. The title of the article is not about when silver will reach a price of zero—but rather when will all available inventories of silver be gone. Being a silver bull has not been easy; in fact, it has been one of the most trying experiences of my life. If you grew up as a nerd (before it was fashionable) and always excelled at mathematics, went on to major in engineering (the rocket-scientist type), then took up silver [market] analysis first as a hobby and eventually as a vocation, then the numbers just do not add up. For several years the logic of an ever-dwindling supply coupled with a static price made no sense. Thanks to Mr. Ted Butler for his work that finally shed the much-needed light on the topic. His work is extensive and if you do not believe the silver (and gold) leasing scam by now, you never will. I will not discuss the leasing issue because I wish to focus on **the coming silver shortage**. Since I have all but thrown in the towel, I am at a point where I must admit I just do not see silver prices rising until the actual physical bullion supplies reach critically low levels. I say this not because of my background in economics—because silver prices should have begun to rise a long time ago—I say this because the shorts have such a straggle-hold on the market that only a physical shortage is going to make the market move.

Inventories held by investors, banks, refiners, fabricators, and others have been consistently drawn down over the past decade or so. It is generally recognized that at least 1.2 billion ounces of silver have been used. If we average the annual shortfall, it comes to approximately ten million ounces per month that is being used up from the available supplies. The most important question is not the trend, but how much silver still exists in inventory.

In fact, CPM group has recently published an update on silver and it is bullish. This is what that report has to say:

Few people, if anyone, dispute the conclusion that the market is living off of inventories and—after a decade in which perhaps 1.3 billion ounces have been used from such stocks in industrial applications (with another 325 million ounces or so being converted into silver bullion coins)—it seems reasonable to expect that these stocks are getting low.

So, we agree that silver has been used up to a great degree over the last decade or more. But the critical question is just how much silver is left? Here again I quote CPM's latest report: As recently as the end of 1999 there were around 113.4 million ounces in reported inventories. Another 217.7 million ounces or more may have resided in unreported bullion inventories, for a total of around 331.1 million ounces in bullion inventories.

Bullion inventories of 331 million ounces—with an average use rate of 10 million ounces per month—would represent approximately 33 months of silver left. Well, since the numbers have driven me crazy for the last several years, I am going to do what markets usually do, that is anticipate the coming shortage. First, let's recall the fact that Mr. Warren Buffett may still have 129 million ounces of silver that he is unlikely to sell at a price lower than he paid, particularly since he knows the long-term fundamentals as well as anyone. So, we might just chop off 13 months of inventory that will not be available at the current price. This would take us to twenty months worth of silver inventory—if and only if all silver were for sale! Twenty months is less than two years; in fact, it is just over one-and-one-half years.

Next, I would like to look at CPM's statement again. Another 217 million ounces may have resided in unreported bullion inventories. That fact is: CPM does not know for certain; I do not know for certain; and you do not know for certain how much silver exists in inventory! A fact we do know is that we have had more than a 250 million-ounce drop in COMEX stocks from their high point a few years ago.

What are the expectations of the owners of silver? You can bet there are a few bulls in the mix—and less and less inventory as time moves along. For the sake of argument, let us predict that the bulls and bears are evenly matched at this point. It would mean nothing, in fact, if there was just one silver bull with enough cash—ala a Bunker Hunt—since there is so little silver available that just one strong hand could totally control the remaining inventory. A point that I want every reader to understand more than any other in this article is **the fact that there is actually less silver than gold available**, as hard as that may be to comprehend. A large money manager could buy up enough contracts on the COMEX to control all the truly visible silver bullion on a whim. I doubt this will happen because the CFTC rules are extremely favorable to the short side. However, the point is not whether it will happen, the issue is this: Such a small amount of capital is required to control the market. I am sure some bear is thinking, what do you mean, there is less silver than gold? They mine six or seven times as much silver than gold on an annual basis. Yes, indeed, more silver is mined per year than gold. However, remember, of the 500 million ounces of silver mined in the world per year, all of it is used and a deficit of at least 120 million ounces must be met from above-ground silver inventory. With less inventory than gold, and production six or seven times greater, this might suggest to us that since they are both in deficit consumption patterns, silver should be selling for one seventh the price of gold, or something close to \$40 per ounce. But even this would be too cheap! I can try and extrapolate when silver inventories will reach zero, but the fact is, again, no one knows. But the rate is so much greater than for gold that a premium for the scarcity should be considered. This “scarcity” premium would suggest a price greater than one-seventh the price of gold.

What else could speed up the day of reckoning? Well, again, I must give credit to Mr. Butler, because you

see we know there exists a silver short position on the Comex of roughly 500 million ounces. This is what is visible, this does not count the “off market” positions. Yes, if you read Mr. Butler's work, you will discover some very interesting things about the silver market. **One fact Mr. Butler brings to light is that the Comex silver short position is the largest short position in the entire history of the commodities markets.** Now, perhaps one of these short players starts to get a little nervous and begins to cover their position. By the way, there are only four truly BIG shorts. So if only one of them starts to get a little nervous and begins to cover, it will move the market up. Let's have some fun at this point and look at how truly pathetic the shorts' position is. Let's summarize the total short position of approximately 500 million ounces on the COMEX and a billion+ ounces of physical silver in Over The Counter (OTC) transactions that have been taking place for well over a decade, against what may be only a few million ounces available at this time. There are several shorts out there: Bullion Banks, several mining companies, and many technical hedge funds. Now compare the OTC billion+ ounce short position to what's really available. At a minimum of one to ten ratio, there is only one-tenth of one ounce sitting in the COMEX for every single ounce of silver that was physically “borrowed”. Since we're in a genuine deficit, no supplies are available from mine production, effectively, to cover the shorts, only existing stocks. This situation is as explosive as it can get. Most readers know that in a short squeeze, holders of inventory will hold even tighter; it's human emotion. Also, once the silver squeeze gets started, many momentum players will jump on the long side of the silver market.

Once any of the shorts decide to cover, the fun begins, because Mr. Short now has to **cover ten ounces of silver with only one available**. This is why, as Mr. Butler has pointed out so many times, the “leasing of metals” is **fraudulent**. The lessor moved the metal to someone who sold it into the market—and now, the fact is, the metal is gone forever! What price will silver have to reach when the panic starts? How are the loans going to be paid back? How do so few ounces of physical silver cover over one billion ounces that have been loaned out over the past decade? I do not have a concise answer; however, recent history in the palladium market does give us some clues. First, who has ever heard of having to put up margin at two or three times the cash (fully paid) position? Well, check the rule changes in palladium recently. Look at how the CFTC can change the rules to suit their needs. To stop delivery of a commodity contract held by a long is against everything the free market stands for. In fact, any silver bulls as old as me will remember the CFTC rule change in 1980 when the Hunt's had cornered the silver market. Only sell orders were permitted! The commodities exchange will do everything in its power to deny delivery to the longs. This can be verified by recent history—again, look at the palladium market.

What is the reader to do with this information? Act, of course; buy physical silver and store it where you can go and visit it from time to time. I am not trying to be funny here. **I truly believe that the paper silver market will cease to exist within the next three to four years.** There will be no forwards, options, or futures contracts for silver—all metal will come to market by way of the cash-only system. So, my readers, go ahead and beat the shorts at their own game—look ahead and buy physical silver for cash now!

With the more than 120 million ounce annual shortfall

between new production and total consumption, the world is heavily dependent upon above-ground stocks. **Silver is the cheapest today in constant dollars as it has ever been in modern history.** As the pitifully small supplies are depleted, the price will rise and continue to rise into the future as far as I or anyone can see.—**David Morgan, silverguru22@hotmail.com.**

REVERSE GOLD HEDGING

By D. Paul Bouchard,
gold-eagle.com (editorial), 10/27/00

Who in their right mind would sell gold at the current low price? *GOLD-EAGLE* readers know the answer to that: The U.S. government wants to suppress the price of gold. It appears it has been shorting the gold market in any way they can... by hook or by crook. Who else?

Many have been misled by the massive propaganda machine (also sponsored by Uncle Sam and his cohorts) to the effect that gold is passé, a "barbaric relic", and that it no longer has any useful monetary function in today's world.

And how about the gold mining companies? They, too, are selling their precious ore at unbelievably low prices, and yet they are still having trouble making ends meet. Many have already gone out of business. So why sell it at such a low price? Simply answer: cash flow. How can they keep their doors open if they do not have money coming in? They do not want to sell their gold at such low prices, but they need the money to keep operations going. But is there any way around this "catch 22"? Perhaps.

Many gold mining companies hedged at higher prices. Fair enough in a market with the price trending downward. But the end effect of selling more gold on the open market contributes to pushing the price down. Wouldn't it be nice if the process could be reversed? Besides delivering into their hedging contracts and closing them out, there is one more possibility. I am not talking about adding more paper contracts to the flurry of gold-based paper derivatives going around. I am talking about continuing production—perhaps even increasing production—but not selling gold on the open market. Rather, they should keep the gold and silver until higher prices are realized. And by the very act of not selling their precious metals, the prices will be driven up.

Let me digress for a moment. The U.S. government has apparently been using the Exchange Stabilization Fund to short the gold market in a big way. **They want to suppress the price of gold so that the world will think that there is NO alternative to the U.S. dollar as the world's reserve currency.** The U.S. government apparently still has massive amounts of gold it could potentially sell (illegally, that is) and may be able to pressure other governments' central banks into selling or leasing their gold reserves. Apparently, that is part of the reason Great Britain is selling most of its gold. In addition, if the Exchange Stabilization Fund runs out of cash, the government may be able to divert cash from some other department or just print up the money needed to continue to short the gold market. Since fiat money has no backing, it is possible to produce an almost unlimited supply. The only thing that can stop this bogus game is to cause a short squeeze in the physical gold market.

So, Mr. Mining Executive, how can you have your cake and eat it, too? That is, how can you maintain some kind of cash flow and yet not sell your gold at such low prices? **If you personally own gold bullion,**

you can go to many banks and borrow money against your gold. You just leave your gold on deposit with the bank and they lend you a percentage of its present value. Why not do the same thing with your company's bullion production? Of course, you might reply, "But the banks will just lend our gold into the market." But they cannot do that if they are contractually obligated not to lend your gold. If the banks are not willing to hold your gold, why not create your own bank?

Imagine a union of precious metals mining companies. The union establishes a bank that will take depositors' money and lend it to the mining companies. In return, the mining companies deposit gold and silver bullion in the vaults of the bank. The bullion just stays there and is not "leased" or sold into the open market. The mining companies pay a small amount of interest and the depositors are paid a small amount of interest. This amount of interest might be equal to the going market rate for bank deposits or it might be a little less, depending on what the market will bear. People might be willing to lose a small amount of interest for the safety of gold- and silver-backed deposits, especially in the current climate of systemic risks to banks with derivative contracts totaling many times their net worth.

The total amount of money available for lending to the mining companies could potentially amount to 80% or more of the value of their deposits. Or perhaps the amount could be based on a percentage of the 200-day moving average price of gold or silver. Also, the value of the bullion deposits might not necessarily amount to the total value of deposits. A video-screen could be put up in the bank that daily shows the percentage of deposits covered by precious metals deposits. Depositors would be free to deposit money or take it out at will, based on the total precious metals backing.

Now flash forward to the advertising campaign. On the TV ad, there sits Clinton fidgeting in his seat as he lies about his relationship with a certain young woman. The announcer comes on and says, "Most banks' customers' deposits are only backed up by politicians' promises. In the event of a major banking collapse, the FDIC would not be able to protect your money. At Gold Miners' Union Bank, your banking account is backed by solid gold up (the announcer slams a large gold brick on the table). Which do you prefer?" And then you see a close-up of Clinton with sweat on his upper lip, along side of the gold ingot close-up.

If such a union and bank were put into existence, and enough of the mining companies withheld their precious metals from being sold on the open market, the price of gold would soon rise because there would simply not be enough metal around to meet physical demand. The jig would be up for the governments and their cronies in the bullion banks. The mining companies would quickly become much more profitable, and the little guys (like you and me) who see what a mess the financial system is in, would finally savor victory.—**D. Paul Bouchard, Taiwan**

FUND MANAGER URGES RETREAT FROM CORPORATE BONDS

By Joshua Chaffin, *The Financial Times*, 10/23/00

NEW YORK—The largest bond fund manager in the country is warning investors to avoid corporate bonds at all costs.

William Gross, managing director of Pimco, the

Allianz-owned U.S. fund manager, fears the U.S. economy is heading for a hard landing and says the current environment for the fixed-income markets is the most uncertain he has seen since he began trading in the 1970s.

"Spread product [corporate debt] is in for some grim reapings in the next month and the next few quarters," said Mr. Gross, whose fund manages about \$200 billion in assets and is considered the most influential in fixed-income markets.

The fund manager's comments, at a New York dinner, have added to the considerable gloom that has descended on the corporate bond market in recent weeks. It has been hit by falling share prices and concerns that the economy's growth may be slowing more than expected as default rates rise.

Corporate bond spreads have widened dramatically in a variety of sectors as a result, drawing comparisons from some analysts to the crisis that swept credit markets after Russia's default in late 1998.

"There is a fear that if a company has even a slight misstep, then it will be punished by investors," said Michael Materasso, of Fiduciary Trust International.

Mr. Gross has recently moved much of his portfolio into mortgage-backed securities, such as debt from Ginnie Mae, the home lending agency. Such bonds offer higher credit quality than corporate debt, and higher yields than traditional Treasury bonds.

Mr. Gross predicted corporate bonds would continue to struggle amid a cyclical slowdown, and cautioned that one of the main risks to the economy was that Alan Greenspan, chairman of the Federal Reserve, might wait too long to loosen monetary policy.

He added that corporate bonds were vulnerable to a revival of growth, as more companies issue debt to compete in volatile technology industries.

Weiss of Safe Money Report comments: The outlook for corporate bonds is frightening. Companies, mostly technology companies, have released a deluge of corporate bonds on the market to finance their expansion. Swapping debt for equity on their balance sheets allowed these companies to report better earnings. However, this practice has caused an oversupply of junk bonds in the market and pushed spreads to dangerous levels. Plus, as several companies have reported earnings well below estimates—and forecast future disappointments—investors are fleeing corporate bonds in droves.

The bond market has already priced-in a high probability that many companies will default. The spreads for corporate bonds are higher now than they were at the time of Russia's default on its domestic debt and the Long Term Capital Management (LTCM) crisis in 1998. And it's going to get much worse. **The U.S. economy is headed for a very hard landing.** A severe slowdown in the U.S. economy will certainly cripple companies' ability to pay the interest payments promised to investors. We've already seen a number of Internet companies file for bankruptcy protection in recent weeks. Furthermore, the euro has strengthened somewhat since the ECB intervened on its behalf. With a **worldwide slowdown** emerging, European investors are finding it easier to keep their cash at home rather than investing in U.S. junk bonds. In addition, the vicious cycle will continue as technology companies struggle to keep afloat by issuing even more debt.

Don't get sucked into this. Corporate bonds, even those issued by those outside of the technology sector, are a bad idea right now.

OH, THE TANGLED WEB WE WEAVE...
WHEN WE SET OUT TO DECEIVE

By Professor von Braun, *gold-eagle.com*, 10/30/00
The Rocket School of Economics

Perhaps it's the fact that Halloween is just around the corner or maybe it's just the smell coming from Wall Street these days—all that burning rubber as stock markets spin their wheels and can't quite decide upon an actual direction. Who knows? Perhaps it's a sign of the coming election with its untold lies about prosperity, budget surpluses, computers in every home, education for all (that one always gets me, as in what have American schools and universities been doing for the last 200 years?) and a burning desire on the part of some candidates to take care of "you"—the same "you" who are, apparently very prosperous after these 8 years of great prosperity and don't need to be taken care of anyway. Figure that one out! If everybody is so prosperous, why do they need to be taken care of?

Or perhaps it's the continual blows in U.S. dollar-terms to the gold market that appear as falling prices on a daily basis—along with the slow death of the XAU index. Or maybe it's the demolition derby being played out in the world currency markets—as we see some currencies, yet again, making new lows—that gives me the sinking feeling every time I watch either *CNBC* or *CNN*. I don't know, but what my instinct is telling me is very, very different from what I see and hear in the popular world of bubble-vision.

Somebody in authority is ignoring all the usual yardsticks of economic determination and has developed an apparent suicidal tendency which can only, at best, have a very negative impact on the savings and retirement plans of millions of people. At worst, it—the suicidal tendency—could throw the world into a major depression, which would see a major shift in how we respect currencies.

With all due respect, Mr. Greenspan—given some of your mind-boggling statements over the past several years—the question has to be asked, "Have you gone mad?" Are you addicted to the same disease as befell young Nick Leeson at Barings Bank? The trader who made a bad bet and then continued to, a) hide the error, and b) increase the bet—convinced that he would win eventually—only to bring down the bank itself?

Are your actions contributing to my feeling of uneasiness when it comes to the true state of the much-touted American prosperity? You making such statements as "Central Banks stand ready to lease large quantities of gold, should the price rise" and, further, in response to a question from Congressman Paul regarding the management of the money supply, you said: "It is not possible to manage something you can't define." Now pardon my confusion but as I understand it, what that means is that the \$100 bill in my pocket may have a value or it may not; but you as the Chairman of The Federal Reserve, who issued this Federal Reserve Note, have no clear definition of the value of my \$100 bill—since you can not clearly define what it is. Is this true? Is this what you meant to say? If it is, then pray tell, how should I measure what I am worth. How can I arrive at a clear definition of my net worth? If you, as Chairman of the Federal Reserve, have no idea of how to measure the value of my \$100 bill, who does?

Should I ask my two-year-old son for an accurate definition? Should I explain to my wife that I believe that our retirement plan is sound, except for one little

snag, which is perhaps best described as, "The unit of money (whatever that is) we are using as a measurement of our wealth, can not be accurately measured, or defined by the chairman of the authority that's issuing it."

Well I know what her response will be and I do not intend to deal with it. No sir! She can call you—and you, or one of your many associates, can explain to her what you actually mean when you say you need to find a more stable proxy for what you believe is the underlying money in the economy. Be careful though because "she who must be obeyed" already believes you have a stable proxy and we are the proud owners of it. She is tough to argue with if she thinks you are wrong, so be prepared for the worst.

Regardless of your response, I personally am prepared to take your statements at face value and convert my U.S. \$100 bills into something that does have a much clearer definition. Having reviewed your statements in public forums, including Congress and the Senate over the years (I did not know that you enjoyed WC Fields so much)—I have decided against: Mexican Pesos, British pounds, Swiss francs, Japanese yen, Brazilian reals, sharing in the new economy that you have referred to on the odd occasion and my local bank, whom I know has ignored your warnings about risk and lent to all sorts of funny ventures.

No Sir! I am going to take my grand daddy's advice and trade my \$100 bills—that have, according to your good self, no definable measure of value—and buy gold from a very reputable dealer, of course. One who can clearly define, at the very least, the purity of what I am buying. No disrespect intended, but if you can't clearly define what is money, who, pray tell can? And good luck with the phone call from the wife—she is Romanian, by the way, and has had experience with funny money before today.

In addition, and all joking aside, could you please explain the distinct feeling of uneasiness I experienced during my recent visit to New York City this past week? Some of the people I spoke to (including a couple of investment bankers, the odd economist and a lawyer or two) where quite convinced of the idea that the unmeasurable (your definition per your response to Congressman Paul) unit of currency in this country that is referred to as money, may be heading for a major devaluation, due to the fact that you have increased to an unheard of level the supply of these undefinable "ratios of goods for money". Have they recently heard about your problem of recognizing that money is NOT unimportant—but how you define it is. Why does it need to be defined? I thought it already was. Silly me. Do you mean it's not defined and we, the gullible public, are constructing 401k plans based on a unit that has no clear definition? Tell me this is not true.

Or are you playing some other game that we, the unsuspecting public, are not other than a disposable pawn? If so, I strongly recommend that you call Al Gore—whom seems a tad naïve when it comes to spending these undefinable units of exchange—and explain the story of the birds and the bees to him. You know, the one about reproduction, Nature, overabundance and the tricky part, sex—that undefinable activity that gets everybody in trouble. Especially, when you appear to be acting in an overzealous fashion and want to inseminate anything that comes your way with, of course, the help of your undefinable substance—and turn it into something that you can't manage because you can't define it.

Where were you when I needed you? Think of the

fun I could have had as a teenager with my undefinable, unmanageable, unmeasurable substance.

However, having grown older and perhaps a tad wiser, I shall adhere to my grandfather's advice and—regardless of how loose your fellow central bankers shall get with their store of available yellow metal—I shall be only to happy to exchange my \$100 bills for something that is, at the very least, definable and tangible while I still can.

We suspect that the October effect will spin over into November this year. Anybody that assumes a bottom is in—in the various stock markets around the world and that new highs are only weeks away—may inadvertently join the growing list of the new poor. That's the not-so-nice thing about paper assets; when they lose value, they tend to be very good at it—here today and down 90% tomorrow.

Professor von Braun can be contacted via email at profvonb@aol.com

IT'S TIME TO COME HOME

By Colonel Dan, *SierraTimes.com* (editorial), 10/27/00

"Tis our true policy to steer clear of permanent Alliances with any portion of the foreign World"—George Washington

"Peace, commerce and honest friendship with all nations; entangling alliances with none."—Thomas Jefferson

Our military forces are currently deployed to over 100 locations throughout the world—the most in any peacetime period in history. Due to some questionable political alliances, our troops are being sent to places for extended periods where I can see no strategic or vital national interests being threatened, served or protected. This at a time when defense resources are being dangerously cut by an administration that has made obvious their lack of respect or concern for the military—or America for that matter. We have thousands of servicemen and women in places that aren't of real national interest—and relatively few in some places of critical strategic importance. It's time we turn out this disgraceful administration, bring our troops home and reevaluate our priorities.

Americans are currently deployed to numerous places of fading national concern because of aging agreements—some half a century old. I characterize many of these aging agreements as the entangling alliances Washington and Jefferson warned of that do nothing but drain national resources, hinder our efforts in those areas that are of vital national interest, stir up ill-will and surrender the sovereignty of America to the United Nations.

Since 1992, our forces have been cut by 8 Army divisions, 20 Air Force and Navy air wings—and **the Navy is now at about 318 ships, down from 600** just a few years ago. Yet this administration, more than any other in our history, has expanded the use of the military for non-vital reasons, i.e. to save its own political hide, establish some misbegotten legacy and divert public attention from scandal while ignoring and even selling out our actual national interests. In a phrase, it is nothing short of disgraceful.

Examples of major troop deployments that I question:

Korea: We've had troops there for almost 50 years and nobody yet has been able to explain to me what vital national interests are at risk on that peninsula. I've been

there 17 times and am still wondering. In all that time, I've seen nothing to keep us in Korea other than one of those entangling alliances made so many years ago. What is of such vital concern to America there, the loss of which would threaten our existence or way of life?

Europe: Although good allies, I can't see the vital interests here either and I served in Germany for more than 7 years. America has had a significant military presence there starting with WW I. Yet more than 55 years after WW II ended, we remain deployed all across the European continent. Why? The Soviet-led Warsaw Pact threat of conquest prompted us to remain after WW II but the Warsaw Pact is long gone. In fact, we now boast several former Pact countries as members of NATO! What it boils down to is that our troops in Europe are a holdover from WW II and the Cold War. They now simply serve no real purpose that supports our national vital interests or directly protects our way of life that I can see. Again, those entangling alliances made so many years ago are haunting and draining us despite whatever benefits are derived.

Balkans: That is a quagmire where the inhabitants have been fighting for over 700 years without resolution. Several years ago, we were told the United States would be there for only about a year—yet another lie by the Clinton/Gore team. This deployment was only to enhance Clinton's world stature and we are now stuck without any light at the end of a very dark tunnel that we have no business being in anyway. This, too, will only serve to be an indefinite drain on our country and our military unless we pull out as G.W. Bush has said he would do. I believe Bush is more apt to keep his word [**When elephants fly—like father's infamous "Read my lips"!**] regarding the Balkans than I believe anything coming from Bill Clinton or Al Gore.

Mogadishu, Somalia, Haiti, and aspirin factories: There are many numerous, smaller areas like these but the question remains the same. What in the world threatened the U.S. or our way of life in those places that was worthy of a single American serviceman or woman's life?

Colombia: The Clinton/Gore team is currently building the case for committing additional forces to fight an unwinnable drug war. This is clearly another quagmire in the making and one whose objective is very unclear by any standard. Has anyone yet adequately described the enemy, mission or exit strategy?

Now let's consider some places that *are* of strategic importance to the U.S. in my opinion.

Saudi Arabia and Kuwait: Our life's blood of oil is from that region, yet how many troops do we have there relative to Korea, Europe and all those other places? Although we did win a major victory there, we pulled out the vast majority of our force after the war due in large part to requests from those countries—they simply don't want us in that region permanently and that's OK. I certainly don't want the U.S. dragged into their political turmoil, becoming yet another entangling alliance, but we must protect the oil supplies we are so very dependent upon.

In my view, we wouldn't be dependent on this area at all were we to adopt a policy of placing our own citizens' needs above those of such things as the snail darter or spotted owl, take advantage of our own resources and establish true energy independence. If it would have been up to the animal-rights groups and environmental bureaucrats that are preventing development of Alaskan oil for example, we would still be fighting to preserve the dinosaurs and allowing Tyrannosaurus Rex to stomp around in your backyard.

According to their philosophy, it's acceptable for us to remain dangerously dependent on foreign oil but unacceptable for us to become independent by using our own resources because of these misguided, feel-good policies of the left. Such a philosophy, I will never accept!

Panama: A very strategic canal for America to which if we were denied access, would threaten our ability to deploy forces and supplies in a rapid manner in defense of our own shores. Yet we gave that away and pulled our troops out after we bought, built and paid for it. We have also allowed the Communist Chinese, via a front company, to operate/control both ends of that canal. Are we showing force there with troop deployments? No.

United States: Our own borders are being overrun and our government response is to do nothing. In fact, Clinton now wants to give these illegal aliens amnesty and green cards—and Democrat voter registration cards along with it, no doubt. It's easier than enforcing our laws, protecting our borders and the citizens that live along that border. In fact, the government, at the state level, has threatened legal action against many American ranchers who live along our southern border if they do take strong measures to protect themselves against this foreign invasion. Unbelievable!

I know some will tell me that I sound like a short-sighted isolationist and the U.S., as the world's only superpower, cannot turn its back on our allies—as that would only serve to destroy America's stature, respect and support around the world. To this I would just say that policies of globalism, nation building and providing a worldwide police force with very deep pockets sure hasn't gained us the undying respect, support and admiration of the world thus far. What they have done is: create hostility when we do intervene where we have no business; generate anger when we don't give as much as the receiving country expects; and drain our national treasure in the process—our national treasure not only in terms of dollars, but more importantly, our people in uniform. It's time to follow a different path that places Americans at the top of America's priority list for a refreshing change.

What would I do? Trade with all, but disentangle America from foreign alliances as Washington and Jefferson advised. Bring our troops home and give them the mission of protecting our own borders. I would only deploy forces outside the continental United States in order to defend areas that are of vital strategic interest to the survival of our own nation. Finally, I would never again send our troops anywhere under the command and wearing the uniform of the United Nations—nor allow that body to dictate or impose anything that would adversely affect or restrict the sovereignty of the United States in any way whatsoever. But that's just me—and the view from my saddle.—**The Colonel, www.SierraTimes.com**

ANTI-AMERICANISM SPREADS IN INDONESIA

Isyndicate, 10/29/00

JAKARTA, INDONESIA (UPI)—A group of Muslim hardliners launched a sweep through hotels in an Indonesian city Sunday searching out American citizens to be evicted from the country, hotel workers said, part of rising anti-American sentiment triggered by Middle East sympathies.

More than 100 youths from four Islamic organizations searched through star-rated hotels in Indonesia's central Java city of Solo, some 300 miles

southeast of Jakarta, looking for U.S. citizens.

The groups issued a general ultimatum warning U.S. citizens to leave the city or the country within 48 hours. They also urged all hotel operators and guest houses in the city to reject any American citizen. They added that a once-a-week sweep of Americans would be launched through hotels across the town.

"We have issued an ultimatum to all hotel operators and guest houses to refuse any American citizen. We will launch a search through the hotels once a week. If later we find any U.S. citizen, we would take more stern action," said one of the groups' leaders identified as Kalono who, like many Indonesians, goes by only one name.

Kalono said Sunday's sweep to find Americans was just a warning.

"This is still yet a real action. But if they (the Americans) remain to be stubborn, we will destroy all U.S. facilities and interests across Solo," he said.

A receptionist at Novotel Hotel in downtown Solo confirmed receiving a similar warning from the same Muslim groups, saying that protesters were demanding a list of hotel guests. There are no immediate reports whether the groups found any American citizen during the sweeps.

The groups, including those identified as Brigade Hizbollah and Laskar Hizbollah (Hizbollah Troopers), claimed that they found evidence of the U.S.'s involvement in the various incidents of violence and unrest that have hit Indonesia, including in the country's eastern strife-torn city of Ambon, the capital of Moluccas.

The groups also set a deadline of Nov. 1 at the latest for the expulsion of the U.S. Ambassador to Indonesia, Robert Gelbard.

However, Indonesian President Abdurrahman Wahid said on Saturday that he would not declare the outspoken Gelbard *persona non grata*. "An ambassador is an envoy of a sovereign country, so we must honor him rather than declaring him *persona non grata*," Indonesia's *Antara* state-news agency quoted Wahid as saying.

The U.S. shut down its embassy in Jakarta from Wednesday to Friday due to a "credible" security threat. It said on late Friday that the embassy's public-service section would remain closed on Monday and Tuesday.

Sunday's sweeps by Islamic groups in Solo have added to the already mounting anti-U.S. sentiment in Indonesia, home of the world's largest Muslim population. In recent days, Americans have been the target of almost daily Islamic protests triggered by the rising violence in the Middle East. **The U.S. embassy is frequently targeted because of Washington's perceived bias toward Israel.**

Besides that, some Indonesian officials and politicians, including Defense Minister Muhammad Mahfud, added to the tensions between Washington and Jakarta by accusing the United States of interfering in Indonesia's domestic affairs. Mahfud accused an American citizen, Aaron Maness of West Valley City, Utah, who was detained recently for photographing riots in Irian Jaya, of being a spy.

However, Wahid's spokesman Wimar Witalar announced on Friday that "the government has found no evidence of U.S. espionage".

PESO SKIDS TO 51.65

By Marianne V. Go, 10/31/00

Continued uncertainty over a corruption scandal involving President Estrada dragged the peso to another

record low of 51.65 against the dollar during intraday trading yesterday, and were it not for Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) intervention, the currency could have plummeted to new depths versus the dollar.

At the Philippine Dealing System, the peso plunged to a historic low of 51.650 before recovering 17 centavos to end at 51.480 to the dollar. Yesterday's close was 40 centavos lower than Friday's close of 51.080 to the dollar.

Dealers said the peso weakened after a local bank reportedly bought dollars heavily, but BSP intervention checked the peso's slide. "If the BSP had not intervened, the dollar could have easily hit 51.700," they said.

"Some exporters also sold dollars in late trading, so the peso recovered a bit," a dealer with a local bank said.

The embattled currency's previous intraday record low was 51.400, posted on Friday when it closed at 51.080 to the dollar.

Sentiments toward the peso remain negative given the unresolved political crisis over allegations that President Estrada took more than P400 million in payoffs from illegal gambling operators, traders said.

BSP Governor Rafael B. Buenaventura's comments that the monetary authority will come out with a new set of measures to stabilize the foreign-exchange market this week did little to boost the peso.

The peso has weakened more than 20 percent against the greenback this year. Its fall accelerated this month after President Estrada was implicated in a jueteng payoff scandal.

Meanwhile, Buenaventura met with his predecessors, former Central Bank Governor Jose L. Cuisia Jr. and BSP Governor Gabriel C. Singson to seek "their expert advice".

While Buenaventura refused to divulge what was discussed in their meeting, he hinted that some new measures may be implemented this week to stem the continued fall of the peso.

Budget Secretary Benjamin Diokno, who was at the meeting together with Finance Secretary Jose T. Pardo and Economic Planning Secretary Felipe Medalla, said the group immediately ruled out the imposition of any kind of capital control.

Diokno said there were also some discussions about the reserve requirements, but it was agreed that only Buenaventura would have the right to speak about the option or measures the BSP would take to arrest the continued weakening of the peso.

The economic team reiterated their solidarity in nursing back to life the battered economy and vowed to separate economic issues from the current political turmoil.

At the same time, Buenaventura denied reports that the country's gross international reserves has declined to \$13 billion.

"The country's GIR as of Oct. 25 remained at \$14.5 billion," he said.

Pardo, for his part, also denied a report quoting him as having said that "all is lost politically for President Estrada." According to Pardo, he would not speak about political matters—as his expertise is the economy.

Pardo also met separately with heads of several business groups such as the Philippine Chamber of Commerce and Industry and the Employers Confederation of the Philippines to secure their support for the economy.

Currency trading will be cut to half-day today. The financial markets will be closed tomorrow and Thursday which are public holidays.

PHILIPPINES STORM TOLL RISES TO 15

By Cecilia Quiambao, 10/29/00

MANILA, PHILIPPINES (UPI)—Rescue workers in the Philippines on Sunday recovered the bodies of seven people killed by tropical storm Xangsane, raising the death toll to 15 from eight previously.

The storm hit the country's population heartland including Manila on Saturday and also left 25 people missing, 221 injured and nearly 44,000 homeless, the civil defense office said. Flights out of Manila Airport experienced delays as aviation officials struggled to clear a backlog of 22 canceled flights.

All but one of the new fatalities were in the province of Quezon, southeast of Manila. They either drowned or were struck by flying debris, falling trees or structures. Many of the injured people are from the eastern province of Sorsogon, while the missing people are mostly fishermen from the eastern island of Samar.

Parts of Manila and surrounding provinces remained flooded Sunday and without electricity for the second straight day.

Meteorologists said Xangsane, packing much weaker peak winds of 75 kilometers (46 miles) an hour, hovered in the South China Sea off Zambales Province northwest of Manila late Sunday, down from its strength of 110 kilometers (68 miles) an hour when it struck the country on Saturday.

SIN: I'LL USE DEVIL'S MONEY TO HELP POOR

By Norman Bordadora, 10/25/00

"If SATAN appears to me and gives me money, I will accept the money and spend it all for the poor."

"It is not the practice of the Church to ask donors where their donations come from. Our duty is to make sure all donations go to the poor."

If the first argument was good enough for St. John Bosco, and the second for Mother Teresa (upon being asked if she was accepting donations from the Mafia), then they were both good enough for Manila Archbishop Jaime Cardinal Sin to use yesterday.

Invoking the saint and the late nun known when she was alive as the "living saint", Sin defended the Catholic Church's acceptance of P181 million worth of donations from the Philippine Amusement and Gaming Corp. since 1998.

And another Church leader, a former head of the Catholic Bishops Conference of the Philippines, is so sure that the CBCP has not knowingly received a centavo from betting money that he said he was willing to "bet dollars" on it.

Although he did not directly liken government-instituted gambling to the devil himself, Sin came close.

"The devil remains... my enemy but I will use his resources to feed the poor," Sin said in his statement, quoting St. John Bosco.

He said the Church historically receives money from criminals as a form of restitution. (He did not name names.)

"In the long history of the Church, Church ministers have received 'dirty money' from thieves and criminals as a way of restitution because they can no longer return the stolen money to their rightful owners," Sin said.

But restitution, he said, "does not make something wrong correct."

"We still remain strongly against gambling," the Archbishop of Manila said.

Sin's latest statement came in the wake of Pagcor Chair Alice Reyes' revelation that 68 Church organizations received the P181 million from the proceeds of Pagcor's casinos and other legalized gambling ventures.

Doesn't look good

Following the disclosure, some senators rebuked the Church for condemning gambling on one hand but accepting gambling money on the other.

Msgr. Pedro Quitarior, spokesperson and assistant secretary general of the CBCP, said that "it's not the whole Church (that is receiving the Pagcor money) but just a part of the Church".

But, he said frankly, "we admit that it does not look good."

Reyes had said Catholic charities and Church-based groups were among the major beneficiaries of Pagcor donations.

The list includes Caritas, which received P1.5 million for its various socio-civic and charitable projects.

Reyes added that P10 million was donated to the Archdiocese of Cebu for the beatification of the Blessed Pedro Calungsod.

Sure bet

In Dagupan City, the former president of the CBCP, Archbishop Oscar Cruz, said it was unfortunate that the CBCP as a whole was dragged into the controversy, adding that it had nothing to do with it.

"I challenge anybody to show that (CBCP) received money from the gambling agency," he said.

However, he admitted that there was a committee that asked for money from Pagcor last year, but he said he was not informed about it.

"Frankly, I was not told. The secretariat was not told, the conference was not told. It is only now that we come to know of it ourselves," he said.

Apart from that, he said, "I can bet you dollars... that the conference itself, much less the president or the secretariat, has not received (or) asked for a centavo from Pagcor."

Quitarior said the same, although he did not bet on it.

Nov. 4 rally

On occasion of the Feast of St. Anthony Maria Claret on Oct. 24, Sin also called "Filipinos who believe in morality in public office" to another prayer rally on the afternoon of Nov. 4 outside the Edsa Shrine in Mandaluyong.

In his invitation, he mentioned the EDSA Revolution of 1986 and asked parishes, Catholic schools, religious communities and institutions to "mobilize in full force for this prayer rally".

Sin said it was time to publicly express "outrage" over scandals plaguing the Estrada administration, and to appeal to Mr. Estrada's sense of decency and ask him to "step down and render our nation a great favor".

"There, where the tanks stopped in February 1986 because of the power of the Holy Rosary, we shall pray the rosary again and beg our Lord and the Blessed Mother to restore moral order to our beloved nation," Sin said.

"May the President be enlightened and, realizing his loss of moral ascendancy to govern, resign," he added.

With a report from Yolanda Fuyertes, PDI Northern Luzon Bureau.

**New Gaia Products
are not being
advertised
at this time.**

PHASING-OUT SALE

Since we have little idea how far the Federal Government will follow the false information given by its mind-controlled informers, we are assuring your opportunity to gather all the invaluable material presented through the years from this source—at going-out-of-business sale prices.

Phoenix Source Distributors now offers all *Phoenix Journals* at half price—\$3.00 each, plus the usual shipping charge. This means ownership of a complete set of published *Phoenix Journals* is now domestically available for less than \$300.00, most locations. A modest price for an almost complete treatise of Truth on this era of planetary civilization.

Contact Inc. is selling all past newspapers and newsletters at \$1.00 each, which includes domestic shipping. Please call for additional shipping charge on foreign orders. Please give volume and issue numbers, or dates on newspapers and newsletters—with the respective quantities. We offer an almost complete set of newsletters and newspapers for \$300.00. Many early *Phoenix Journal Express* newsletters are absent and a few subsequent newspapers, but more than 90% of the total are available—and since putting a complete set together is easier than selecting some, we offer this special price of \$300.00 for almost 500 of these publications. This includes domestic U.S. shipping. Please request foreign shipping rates.

CONTACT: (800) 800-5565

New Gaia Products

Order by Mail		1999 Order Form		Order by Phone	
New Gaia Products P.O. Box 27710 Las Vegas, NV 89126				1 (800) NEW-GAIA (639-4242) 1 (702) 263-5181 FAX	
(Please Print)					
Name			Date		
Street Address					
City/Town		State/Prov.		Zip Code	
Daytime Phone No.					
Credit Card No. (Visa, Master Card or Discover)			Expiration Date		
Signature For Credit Card Orders					

**** SHIPPING & HANDLING RATES:**

FOR: CA, WA, OR, AZ, MT, UT, ID, CO, NM, WY, NV		FOR THE REST OF CONTINENTAL USA	
\$ 0-100	\$6.00	\$ 0-100	\$8.00
\$ 101-200	\$7.00	\$ 101-200	\$9.00
\$ 201-300	\$8.00	\$ 201-300	\$10.00
\$ 301-400	\$9.00	\$ 301-400	\$11.00
\$ 401-500	\$10.00	\$ 401-500	\$12.00
\$ 501-600	\$11.00	\$ 501-600	\$13.00

ALASKA & HAWAII PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES
NOTE:
 ** For UPS 2nd day to Rural Alaska, please call for rates.
 ** For Priority Mail to any locations, please call for rates.
 ** All Foreign orders, please contact our office in writing for specific rates as rates vary greatly.
 ** When ordering cases of product call for shipping rates.

FOR ALL BREAD MACHINES, BREAD MIXES, FLOUR ORDERS, PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES AND MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, CALL FOR SHIPPING COSTS.

Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount	Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount
GAIANDRIANA LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00			OXYSOL Trace minerals & Colloidal Silver 2 oz.	\$ 8.00		
	\$ 40.00				\$ 45.00		
AQUAGAIA (Mitochondria) LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00				\$ 75.00		
	\$ 40.00			GAIACLEANSE KIT 14-DAY PARASITE PROGRAM	\$ 48.00		
GAIALYTE 2 liters	\$ 8.50			<i>Individual components sold separately—call for prices</i>			
	\$ 15.00			GULF WAR SYNDROME "Starter Kit"	\$260.00		
KOMBUCHA TEA BREEZE 1 liter	\$ 3.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND 2 oz.	\$ 6.00		
	\$ 6.00			NICOTINE__ CAFFEINE__ ALCOHOL__			
KOMBUCHA TEA VINEGAR 16 oz.	\$ 6.00			SUCROSE__ STARCH__			
CARBAGAIA (FIBRINO-CARTILAGE) 8 oz.	\$ 8.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND TRAVEL PACK	\$ 15.00		
MELLOREAM BEVERAGE POWDER 3.25 lb	\$15.00			*HITACHI (HB101) BREAD MACHINE	No Longer Available		
"3 IN 1" GRAPE SEED EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$18.00			(FACTORY BLEMISHED/REFURBISHED)			
"4 IN 1" WILD YAM EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			*GAIASPELT (Whole Wheat & Spelt) BREAD MIX	\$ 3.50		
A-C-E Anti-Oxidant Formula 180 TABLETS	\$24.95						
CHLORELLA 300 TABLETS/500mg.	\$21.00			* GAIASPELT FLOUR 2 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 2.50		
ECHINACEA GOLD PLUS 90 TABLETS	\$24.50				\$ 5.00		
GAIATRIM — 30 Day	\$35.00				\$ 10.00		
GINKGO BILOBA (24% Extract) 60 TABLETS	\$24.95			* GAIASPELT WHOLE GRAIN 4 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.			
OLIVE LEAF 60 TABLETS	\$24.00				\$ 5.00		
OLIVE LEAF EXTRACT 35 PG. BOOKLET	\$ 2.75			*GAIASPELT KERNELS 4 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 5.00		
	S&H included				\$ 12.50		
RARE EARTH CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00			*PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGE	\$130.00		
POSLIN CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.) 1 Bottle AquaGaia (1 qt.) 2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each) 4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix 5 Audio-cassettes			
ALOE PLUS 77 60 CAPSULES/450mg. EA.	\$16.95			* MAINTENANCE PACKAGE	\$ 80.00		
	Alfalfa & Minerals			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.) 2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each) 4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix			
ALOE FREEZE DRIED CAPS 90 CAPSULES	\$30.00			* MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS	\$1100.00		
NONI 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			ALKALINE/ACIDIC WATER SYSTEM			
MEGA-MULTI VITAMINS 30 CAPSULES	\$11.00			VORTEX KIT	\$ 8.00		
ALOE JUICE Whole Leaf Aloe Vera Concentrate (10X STRENGTH) 1 liter	\$18.00			ADZUKI BEANS 50-LB BAG	\$ 50.00		
SUPER OXY (CHERRY-BERRY) (CRANBERRY-APPLE) 1 quart	\$18.00			RED LENTILS 50-LB BAG	No Longer Available		
BODY BOOSTER 32 oz.	\$20.00						
LIQUID LIFE 32 oz.	\$22.00						
GAIAGLO LOTION 4 oz.	\$20.00						
HORSETAIL TINCTURE 2 oz.	\$ 8.00						
GAIA VITE Colloidal Multi-Vitamin & Mineral 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA COL Colloidal Silver with trace minerals & Trace Gold suspended in a distilled water fluid 16 oz.	\$56.00						
	\$96.00						
GAIA GOLD Colloidal Gold 2 oz.	\$20.00						
	\$112.00						
	\$192.00						
GAIA DHEA Colloidal Dehydroepiandrosterone 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIA CU-29 Colloidal Copper 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA TI-22 Colloidal Titanium 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIALIFE COLLOIDAL MINERALS 121++ 2 oz.	\$10.00						

PLEASE USE THE SHIPPING RATE CHART (located on the top of this order form) WHEN CALCULATING SHIPPING FOR ALL New Gaia Products.

* FOR PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES and MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, BREAD PRODUCTS, MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS, BEANS AND LENTILS PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES.

PLEASE ALLOW 3 TO 6 WEEKS FOR DELIVERY.

Please make all checks and money orders payable to:

New Gaia Products
 P.O. Box 27710
 Las Vegas, NV 89126

TOTAL	
SHIPPING & HANDLING	
SUB TOTAL	
SALES TAX	Nevada Residents only: add 7%
TOTAL ENCLOSED	

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *Phoenix Journals* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE Journals** ARE \$6.00; ANY **4 Journals** ARE \$5.50 EACH; **10 OR MORE Journals** ARE \$5.00 EACH (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, Inc.
P.O. Box 27353
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126

(or call)
1-800-800-5565
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)
UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

ALASKA & HAWAII
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

CANADA & MEXICO
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

FOREIGN
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

PLEASE NOTE:
CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are **NOT** the same! Checks sent for **JOURNALS** or book orders should **NOT** be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.

CONTACT: THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126
Phone: (800) 800-5565

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565. Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.

Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.


SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Qty OF ISSUES	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CANMEX	FOREIGN	Qty OF ISSUES	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders

Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges



Editorial Policy

Opinions of the CONTACT contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the CONTACT staff or management.

TELEPHONE HOTLINE (Discontinued)

As an adjunct to CONTACT, the Telephone Hotline keeps you as informed as possible on current events and other important information that needs to get to our subscribers before our publishing date.

The message machine will answer after 2 rings if there are any new messages for that day, and after 4 rings if not. Thus daily callers can hang up after 2 rings and save toll charges if no new message has been recorded. If the Hotline does not answer your call, then there is currently no Hotline message.