




CONTACT

THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

Y2K—THE NEW MILLENNIUM

*KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION*



VOLUME 30, NUMBER 5

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

NOVEMBER 22, 2000

“Waiters” Will Not Compromise Program

11/19/00—#1 (14-95)

RE: COMMENTS OF CURRENT INTEREST

“TO TRAP A THIEF...” OR, “IT TAKES ONE
TO KNOW ONE”

Hatonn—Another title for the maze in which each walks could be: “Just When You Think You Have See It ALL...”—YOU HAVEN’T.

The REAL Filipino-Filipina wants to come home and LIVE. The “false” people of the Philippines want to go where there is the Mother of all graft, corruption and payoffs in exchange for the annoying thing called “soul”. But, in the meanwhile, while selling out to meet the requirements of achieving a standard of insider participation, the parasite loses his very blood-source or, worded differently, his selected host. The host dumps the parasite and turns about and blames the parasite for

his own misfortunes (read: “getting caught”).

Are we “there”? Yes, indeed, but so too are the good, the bad and the very, very ugly. So too are the U.S. “getchers”, the CIA, the Mossad, the Flag Carriers AND the fuel for the fire, heating the cauldron and now boiling over around the globe. Even *CNN* on a good day can’t possibly present even the bare-bones incidentals. And never will you be shown the real causes of the massive conflicts.

In politics in the most notable nation currently accepted around the globe, an election is hanging open while the scavengers already are chewing the carcasses to bits and pieces while “never minding the law”. Why legislate laws if there is no intention to use them for the very things for which they were established? Well, we know why. God works in mysterious ways HIS wonders to perform—and the adversaries have grown big and fat and now the gamesters are at one another in quite balanced power-shifts.

We can have ability to witness the United States as

the biggest example and a little place like the Philippines as one of the very small examples. Just watch the movie directors in action as the dramas unfold. What is happening is not what you see—as the visible “play” on the stage is not the truth behind the written scripts in presentation.

And no, readers, there is NO “null” time, only “lull” time.

The important question of the “Elite” today is, “Who gets to have, hold and collect the loot?” and this without attention to the “right” or “wrong” of the acquisition or moral legalities of the issue or the moment.

You must understand that a major problem is, as always, “let somebody else...”. People are really only willing to give up to the boundaries of their own discomfort level or the limits of those who would build the foundation upon which they function, and when that limit of discomfort approaches it is, “I’m outta’ here.”

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
MOJAVE, CA 93501
PERMIT NO. 110

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

Warning To America: A Speech By A Patriotic Jewish Businessman.....page 5

The Conspirators’ Hierarchy: The Committee Of 300 (Part 15), by Dr. John Coleman.....page 8

Doris’ Corner, by Doris & E.J. Ekker.....page 13

The News Desk, by John & Jean Ray.....page 17

SO—HOW LONG, SIR?

As long [**And that's imminent!**] as it takes! I will no longer give away strategies to bring comfort to some while bringing shooting-practice onto the very targets already bearing bleeding wounds.

I will tell you, however, that in the Philippines it can take up to February when the next wave of politics in action takes place—and since most of our team is interested in the Philippines at this moment it must be under pretty high-level attention.

This doesn't mean that things are not happening or that just one stupid action or disaster won't change the face of it, or the action of it, by tomorrow—yea even this very day.

The facts are that as you near the New Year segment of accepted annual counting—a measure of accomplishment or ground lost has to be marked, for sequence is not enough when ones, including the adversary, have to mark their performances by such physical segments and limitations. GOD CREATOR pays little attention to your little misrepresented calendar squares. Furthermore, enough information is flowing from your non-news to keep you totally informed and, therefore, we don't need “speculate” or “psychically conjure” expectations.

Upon what is evil based? Fear and chaos! In either a state of Fear or within a state of Chaos is when the adult becomes the child and reacts in evil antics. Get a mature “adult” in charge and the waters settle. Therefore, since evil is present at all times trying to dislodge the stability of any entity or state of affairs, you have anarchy, confusion and, indeed, EVIL in some fine hour of expression. The body/brain actually produces that which is accepted as “excitement” which is in turn accepted as a sensational “way to go”.

This very concept in action is the ultimate downfall, for the very acts become the addictions which must be had for the “fix” of the moment. It is never enough nor is it satisfying—but becomes necessary for the very reason of being alive. Peace and serenity become only objective and unattainable thoughts to the experiencer—and a concept of same is too boring to even consider as a way to go because the actions already established remove the feasibility of such expectation. Then, the manipulators are lost to themselves in the GAME at hand and from that very stream of fear, lust, and avarice comes the inability of bringing order out of the chaos.

To you who think you can't make it another step, I ask you to consider alternatives—what next? I suggest you “get some ideas and put them to work”—ALTERNATIVE IDEAS. In that mode of response to circumstances you stop MISSING the possibilities which flow all around you in an unending fountain of presentations which can solve your problems and needs. These are simply realities which are unacceptable alternatives to the whining—perception of giving up the luxuries of the moment and/or beyond the desires of the beings in presence.

I will tell you this much without hedging: **WE WILL NOT COMPROMISE THIS PROGRAM TO SUIT THE WISHES OF THOSE WHO “JUST WAIT” FOR THE MIRACLE.** If you have tried your hand at anything involved in this program you KNOW that it is neither easy nor a “quick fix” and yet that is the first and basic expectation of consideration as you begin any association with it: “What's in it for me!?!?” Then, when the going gets testy or bucks your own expectations, it is dump and run. “Too good to be true” comes the argument? Is it? Then why is it not DONE? Because it is NOT either too good or false. It can't be manipulated in greed or avarice and, therefore, the response is get away and hide from it, for the way is now structured on false premises and opportunities.

WHY WILL THE EVIL FALL?

Because they will always go “too far” in their lust after wealth and power—and their lies will be found out. The observers can see it unfolding and whatever reaction happens is rarely well thought out as to solution of the problems presenting.

Let us take other programs which are now coming into the news and even within the United States of America (U.S.A.). There are the arrests starting to clamp down on the false securities (Treasury paper) which are, at present, \$100 million denominations of “bills”. It is so false that there is no reasonable use for the boxes and boxes of trash. HOWEVER, it was surely originated to both trap and capture the culprits daring to use such garbage. And it appears that will be just ONE MORE nail in Erap Estrada's coffin—and well hammered into the box by the U.S. itself. And then there are the tamperings in the banking, money laundering AND in the drug conduits. These are purely “no-nos” as those like Fujimori and Noriega found out or are finding out now.

So how fits GAIA? Clean and clear, and now available while the game is to see who can utilize it best without being caught in the crimes committed over and around it.

However, if you hold up a candle to light the way, there will always be an adversary to try to blow it out—for the fear is that it will shed light on the guilty. Indeed!

Again, “however”, we have the one asset that can START FROM FOUNDATION UP without having to sort the transgressors first. We need not reveal the delinquents, for they will do that to one another and to themselves.

SO, IMPEACH THE PRESIDENT?

Well, in the Philippines the President's colleagues and those whom he personally paid off claim they can keep from convicting said President. The sillies don't even keep it to themselves; they boast publicly and constantly about that fact—even the ones who were KNOWN without a doubt to have received direct payments from said President.

Even the head of the Senate claims to be goodly because he DIDN'T accept some high-priced “gifts” from the President. Doesn't that, however, also present a CRIME? Isn't that treason—for failing to report bribes and kickbacks? When the whole of the apple is putrid and rotted, how can you even present unspoiled vinegar from the vessel?

The PEOPLE, once wound up and turned loose, are a dangerous sort who will move in any direction pushed and—at Christmas time with no food or way to see beyond—are DANGEROUS and, when they are prodded, as they are being prodded, worse anarchy, violence and revolution can be expected wherein an acquittal is not going to be accepted.

Can this last here in the Philippines until the end of December if that impeachment trial is targeted for finish in acquittal? Probably not. So, hope? Well, of course, there is always “hope” and, yes, we provide the answer, as is God's way—but will it only bring more “foundation” to our stability and offer or simply be dumped as “too good to be true”?

The President (Erap) sent forth a memorandum to his staff and cabinet officers to “Come up with two or three spectacular ‘reforms’ from each department...”. So, E.J. offered a whole lot of possibilities for consideration along with the ability to “quit a winner”. Who knows! Furthermore, we won't spoil the punchline by speculation. Either way it goes, we further protect the credibility of our program and assets.

I remind everyone that we are “equal opportunity” providers but that also becomes a “Catch 22”, for if one doesn't use it as an asset—won't the other?

Might this mean that God would offer gifts into the hands of the adversary? OF COURSE! God uses all the help HE can get! Why do YOU keep expecting and demanding that God and God's PEOPLE always have to do without, suck the dry teat and generally work with hands bound in shackles? You have to understand, GOD DOES NOT JUDGE—THEREFORE, SHOULD YOU?

Yes, you must confront evil where you encounter it or know it to be. That does not mean that you are Judge and Jury or that you crawl off into a cave somewhere and hoard the very tools sent forth for your use. The thieves will always reveal themselves as they are given enough time and rope with which to hang themselves. The Russian leader had a better statement: “The capitalists will sell us enough rope to hang them.” And so it came to pass...! Only NOW it is missiles and laser weapons.

The “tools” in use by one can be utilized by the “other” if you pay attention and use them for self in a GOODLY and HONORABLE manner. If you use them as mere loopholes to cover your dirty and criminal deeds, you will be eaten alive when found out. Corporations as shelters are wondrous and we have spent a lot of time on those very assets—however, used criminally to shelter the crimes committed by the elements of criminal circles, they are also ways of betrayal of the gamesmen.

This is going to be seen in the Philippines as the abuse is brought forth for inspection—and it will be seen through the ongoing investigations into such as NCH (corporation agency) in Nevada, U.S.A. You are going to see shelter for our actions and ongoing activities and a focus on the errors, omissions and outright misadventures of the other side of this now-presented act in the play of even the Phoenix Institute.

Yes, indeed, God DOES promise great returns to those who keep their promises unto HIM. HE never turns away—PEOPLE DO.

I have no need to dump either religious or spiritual confetti onto your shoulders. However, if you begin to recognize TRUTH about your circumstances as you sort your own observations or status of self, you gain a great deal from the processing. Truth, for instance, will NOT set you free—only doing something within and with that truth can accomplish anything.

So, what might be your “Life Challenge”?

I suggest you look carefully at three items which are desirable if not mandatory: Survival, protection and maintaining same.

You are physical and, therefore, the first phase of recognition for consideration is “survival” and that depends upon the circumstances within which you find self. Look around you in physical CONSCIOUSNESS and SEE your circumstances. If you are a child, literally, you are pretty much governed by those “other beings” which can physically control you. When you grow, however, you will find you can have aspirations—and in those chosen aspirations you find you have ability to present the possibilities of attaining that to which you aspire. Aspiring goes beyond simply “coping” with your circumstances. Solutions to problems within your circumstances must be the motivating factor in your thought (aspiration) processes. A good example of this first stage is to consider that if you are surrounded by threats and negative impacts, then “survival” is very high in your listing of “aspirations”. Whether you are caught in a bad family as a child unable to move outside that limitation or a person caught in famine or disease circumstances, you must consider the “reality” of circumstances from which ESCAPE is rarely available as an instant action. Here, more than in any other stage of development, is the acceptance of responsibilities to self and the hope of responsibility or PROTECTION of those to whom you assume some level of responsibility. No MAN is an island unto himself and, therefore, there must be consideration for others on the stage in your own script. Within this realization comes the formation of “protection networks” in which each takes a responsibility and shares it. It is in this network that one learns to be responsible and caring. Just as an infant must have a caretaker so, too, do you need a social interchange to assure protection.

This in itself is the first looking unto GOD, as perceived as a “protector”, for insight, ideas and, literally, to allow ability to form these social structures in benefit to the whole of the social

structure. When you can't trust or depend on your protectors—you have what now truly damages, to irreversible proportions, your world established in such negative presentation.

There is a reward for offering protection, friends: It is called LOVE and RESPECT. Desiring love and respect is NOT the same thing as demanding ego-accolades unto self. For example: A policeman is a “protector”. I suggest, however, that even as a “servant protector” that if you treat him disrespectfully or insultingly, you may well find a reaction of same with a blow to your bean or an arrest with handcuffs applied a bit more tightly than might otherwise be probable. It pays greatly to show respect and be gracious even unto your “paid protectors”. You may even well consider that “protector” evil—but he considers you more evil and he will have the FORCE of both LAW and POWER over your person.

This is a good interpretation example of “turning the other cheek”. WISDOM IN ACTION! Presenting a fist to the enforcer “protector” will probably only merit you a chain to go along with your wristbands. It is also called “intelligence”.

You are getting a lot of examples lately about a lot of those “myths” and “speaking” of the ancient biblical teachers. Let the “dead” bury the dead, instantly comes to mind. Do YOU need to drop your responsibilities to bury someone who has departed the physical dimension? WHY? When you meet YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES and allow others to attend that which is pending elsewhere, you are growing into the importance of focus and activities. The party you honor at a funeral, for example, is GONE so why do the rituals concern you so greatly? Could it be the recognition of YOU that you desire? Surely, the departed could not care less. Blunt? Yes, but that too is a lesson to be learned as to priority of responsibilities in line of importance of the moment.

Since your *Bibles* are written to CONTROL YOUR MINDS, chelas, it is wise you learn the LESSONS and ignore the stupid chattering which locks your wristbands into neckerchief relocation.

How can you tell about these things? THOUGHT in REASONING intelligence!

You can further define possibilities in simple consideration of the nature of what is “good” and what is “evil”. I don't want to go through your lifetime repeating and repeating about evil being that which pulls you away from your relationship with God or goodness. So, let us consider the going phrase of “consciousness” while never minding those “low frequencies” or “high frequencies” or the other things which are good and valid definitions but have been destroyed by the misuse of same.

Good is higher consciousness; Evil is lower consciousness. Dwell in either and you become that with which you merge. You do not, however, need become the other in any passing interchange. YOU CREATE THE WONDROUS OR THE

UGLY, THE ANGELS OR THE MONSTERS, THE TRUTH OR THE LIE. And, I repeat, “shape shifting” is in the eye of the beholder and not in the physical warping of a human individual presenting in manifest form. A human, for instance, does not turn into a frog, although he might of his own accord, or in YOUR perception, turn into a “toad”. DNA structuring is the very scientific basis of this FACT IN TRUTH. This does not mean that individuals can't take on the appearance of characteristics associated with change in attitude or personality from time to time in whatever circumstances are suitable for the change. Some people do have serpentine characteristics just as some serpents have human characteristics around and about the head. Don't confuse that with “becoming” something else, for that perception is an illusion of magic trickery. You always have the choice of going with the magic or the miracle.

Do I simply blow away your sensationalized fun and games? I hope so, for there is enough “far out” reality in that which IS to more than boggle any human limited brain—and note that I did NOT say “mind”. You can crossbreed and/or clone just about anything—so stop the nonsense about monsters and “bad aliens” from the heavens—you build them right in your own institutions. Sometimes your nitwit scientists manufacture that which will ultimately be your own destruction, while you not only allow it but you honor them for their productions. Missile launchers are not installed or utilized to send love and best wishes, my friends.

Can you expect bloodshed or revolution from the happenings taking place around the globe called Earth? Of course, that is the intended outcome of placing missiles around a White House or a Malacañang as IS, INDEED, a fact in both instances. Revolution is the intended OUTCOME. So, to project time schedules for good things to come into being is unwise to consider unless you become confident or absolute in your own creative abilities—it all depends on YOU. When you recognize this fact, you might well choose to change possibilities into more comfortable circumstances. Isn't it time you realize that witches and warlocks are within YOUR manufacture and move in the lower consciousness alongside fear and chaos?

“Mind control” has now moved far beyond the individual restructuring of mental perceptions. The program is to affect the most people in a mass psychological game and that manipulation is easily acquired and perfected. FEAR will accomplish the ongoing catalytic reactions. The “adversary” to peace only has to know the mental status of those he wishes to control. The rest is easy. Therefore, to counter that, you must provide and offer that which is BETTER—for man truly seeks happiness and moves, always, away from pain to the best of his ability. This is why a “welfare” mentality is mandatory for upheaval of governments. If a man is productive, capable of his own survival and responsibilities, he will not attend the conjured revolution.

Evil intentions are being shown for what they

are—all around the globe. The most evident one of the moment is in Palestine-Israel. The Palestinians ask for UN protection and the simple measure is denied. It is impossible to miss the intent, perpetrators and participants.

In that same focus you can then see the desires built into the game of political standoffs in the U.S.A. You can further witness Truth in that neither side serves GOD CREATOR, only god destroyer, and who gets the bigger whip to use on the slaves. The very players are revealing the truth about themselves, and their paid manipulators, the lawyers, are simply the gladiators—and you the slaves don't seem to even recognize that the one you save is going to become your new director in the slave-master game in the line of command—you always being at the dispensable “bottom” of the heap.

I am going to ask Dharma to simply offer some of the more interesting things that pass our attention because I don't want to distract from that which is interesting and good for background recognition and that which we share as friends and teacher-student relationships.

You have what you need to make intelligent decisions—IF YOU PAY ATTENTION. I am infinitely grateful to be able to have the *News Desk* offering the items which might be overlooked or simply tossed aside by readers. We cannot hope to cover everything in some 24 pages a week; however, our intent is to offer that which you might well be advised to know and might otherwise be overlooked while searching out the sensational or the mystic disinformation. I would remind you who like cryptic little sayings like “Rome wasn't built in a day” to consider this: The foundation of Rome, including its great building “foundations”, was not destroyed in a day as well. The foundations we lay in place will not fall nor fail—if we do it RIGHT. Somebody has to do it and might it not as well be YOU who have committed to same? Or did you? That is something only you will be caused to consider. You can CHOOSE to succeed or fail in any task and this is no less a choice—you might, however, wish to consider possibilities and alternatives in accomplishment of same. Accept the lower consciousness and you shall have it—demand the higher consciousness and you shall have that. Which will it be?

You can know, however, that *CONTACT*, the paper itself, is THE resource for communications with the POWERS-that-be. This does not include *SPECTRUM*, readers, or America East Publisher or any other publication. You see, the very players in the game forgot the whole reason for the publication itself and WHY another does not

serve the purpose, nor do the “actors” or the “receivers” in the play. When the actors in the play choose to change plays in the middle of the presentation, it doesn't work out well for the ones who destroy for their own gains or excuses.

Why, for instance, would *Spectrum* now refuse to offer their paper on their own Internet? Because they can't afford it any longer and yet: IT WAS OK TO GIVE AWAY THE ENTIRE STOCK OF JOURNALS, EVEN BANNED ONES, IN FULL, ALONG WITH *CONTACT* AS LONG AS IT SERVED THEM AND THE DISINFORMATION. NOW THEY CRY AND WHINE? I believe they have just made more of a case for us in that THEY offered our product for free to the world—even after being ordered by legal decree to cease and desist. And yet, with all the reasons of which I remind you of having

We have neither zigged nor zagged from our intended purpose, nor shall we.

If you have supported the culprits in their singular move to offer you nothing for that support, pity might well be the defining term for your actions and perceptions. Why? Because they now announce on international networks that they offer nothing save a dead end of perhaps “one more issue” of a paper based on thieved resources. We offer that which we always offered in return in abundance and reward—for we HAVE PRODUCT, while they have nothing but false presentations. So be it. And why do I bring these things to print? BECAUSE THEY MUST BE RECORDED AND DOCUMENTED. It is the little lies by little people who allow the BIG LIES OF BIGGER LIARS. Until you recognize that—you will remain PEOPLE OF THE LIE. Just remember, however, the biggest error of all is to come to believe the lies fed to self.

I would like to leave this now and copy of some of the interesting items.

I am asking Dharma to back up and offer the short presentations of that which is entitled “COUNTDOWN” because it is good investigative information presented in a rather interesting format and comes without recognition of producers or production contacts. It gives interesting insight to the perceptions and KNOWLEDGE concerning the immediate political problems of the Philippines and one, Erap Estrada, President thereof. These items need no interpretation but are certainly meaningful to people who are in the experience itself.

Since the publication just recently began, it is more easily offered and since *CONTACT* is now expected and well-read in Manila, we find it enjoyable to share such information as is circulating on the grapevine information network. It is NOT hypothetical information and we may not get all the articles but we will share what comes our way—if it comes our way.

Everyone enjoys being on the “inside” of “intelligence” resources and here you have a prime example of same.

Don't, please, lose sight of what we are ABOUT—for if you become too distracted into the games themselves, you forget the bigger picture and desired goal which must remain far larger and beyond the singular entity of “YOU”. You ARE the miracle and focus—so let's make it worth our journey.

May you turn on the light that you may better see.
GCH
dharma

SPECTRUM POLICY CHANGE

Yes, stick it to *CONTACT* and, at the same time, make the *Spectrum* staff appear goodly and upstanding by offering our “entire stock” (blatantly stolen by them—lock, stock and barrel) on their Website—gratis. Then came the “BIG OOPS”: *Spectrum* readers are gladly accepting (yea, preferring!) the inconvenience of waiting for the paper's presentation on the Internet—rather than paying subscription fees!

So (surprise-surprise), bye-bye to their sanctimonious good-guy image at *CONTACT*'S expense, to the inevitable hitting-home reality (“the worm turns”, “what goes around comes around”, “you reap that which you sow”, etc.) amid their cacophonous whining: “We can not stay in business under these (self-imposed) circumstances (But... see how hard we tried!) and must, therefore, institute a revocation of policy.”—*CONTACT* staff

CONTACT, they still try to destroy—so WHO is at error here? This is worthy of some considerable thought and perhaps it might be good if our *CONTACT* staff presents copy of the new *SPECTRUM* Website (www.TheSpectrumNews.org) information regarding this turn of events and see what is offered to contributors to the cause of a thieved paper and self-proclaimed speakers.

TRUTH was NEVER presented as to either happenings or circumstances through that stolen presentation—so how could YOU know? Well, they pulled half the subscribers with them because they DIDN'T offer truth of facts, in an effort to destroy people and the resource itself. YOU BE THE JUDGE OF THE ACTS THEMSELVES, AND TRY TO OVERLOOK THE ACTORS IN POINT AND SEE WHERE YOU MIGHT STAND.

Warning To America: A Speech By A Patriotic Jewish Businessman

By Benjamin H. Freedman

(From *The Barnes Review*, July/August 1999)

Benjamin H. Freedman, born in 1890, was a successful Jewish businessman in New York City. He was at one time the principal owner of the Woodbury Soap Company. He broke with organized Jewry after 1945 and spent the remainder of his life—and the great preponderance of his considerable fortune, at least \$2.5 million—exposing the Jewish tyranny which had enveloped the United States.

Mr. Freedman knew what he was talking about, because he had been an insider at the highest levels of Jewish organizations and their machinations to gain power over our nation. Mr. Freedman was personally acquainted with Bernard Baruch, Samuel Untermyer, Woodrow Wilson, Franklin Roosevelt, Joseph Kennedy and John F. Kennedy and many more movers and shakers.

The following speech was given before a patriotic audience in 1961 at the Willard Hotel in Washington, D.C., on behalf of Conde McGinley's patriotic newspaper of that time, Common Sense. Though in some minor ways this wide-ranging and extemporaneous speech has become dated, Mr. Freedman's essential message to us—his warning to the West—is more urgent than ever.

Here in the United States, the Jewish Zionists and their coreligionists have complete control of our government. For many reasons, too many and too complex to go into at this time, they rule these United States as though they were the absolute monarchs of this country. Now, you may say that is a very broad statement—but let me show you what happened while we were all asleep.

What happened? World War I broke out in the summer of 1914. That war was waged, on one side, by Great Britain, France, and Russia; and, on the other side, by Germany, Austria-Hungary and Turkey.

Within two years Germany had won that war—not only won it nominally, but won it actually. The German submarines, which were a surprise to the world, had swept all the convoys from the Atlantic Ocean.

Great Britain stood there without ammunition for her soldiers, with one week's food supply—and, after that [she faced] starvation. At that time, the French army had mutinied. They had lost 600,000 of the flower of French youth in the defense of Verdun. The Russian [soldiers were detecting and] were going home. And the Italian army had collapsed.

Not a shot had been fired on German soil. Not one enemy soldier had crossed the border into Germany. And yet, Germany was offering England peace terms.

They offered England a negotiated peace on what the lawyers call a *status quo ante* basis. That means "Let's call the war off, and let everything be as it was before the war started." England, in the summer of 1916, was considering that—seriously. They had no choice. It was either accept this negotiated peace that

Germany was magnanimously offering them, or go on with the war and be defeated.

I am going to be brief, because it is a long story—but I have all the documents to prove any statement that I make.

While that was going on, the Zionists in Germany—who represented the Zionists from Eastern Europe—went to the British War Cabinet and said: "Look, you can yet win this war. You don't have to give up. You don't have to accept the negotiated peace offered to you now by Germany. You can win this war—if the United States will come in as your ally."

The United States was not in the war at that time. We were fresh; we were young; we were rich; we were powerful. The Zionists told England, "We will guarantee to bring the United States into the war as your ally, to fight with you on your side, if you will promise us Palestine after you win the war."

In other words, they made this deal: "We will get the United States into this war as your ally. The price you must pay is Palestine, after you have won the war and defeated Germany, Austria-Hungary and Turkey."

England had as much right to promise Palestine to anybody as the United States would have to promise Japan to Ireland. It's absolutely absurd that Great Britain—that never had any connection or any interest or any right in Palestine—should offer it to pay the Zionists for bringing the United States into the war.

However, they did make that promise in October of 1916. And shortly after that—I don't know how many here remember it—the United States, which was almost totally pro-German, entered the war as Britain's ally.

I say the United States was almost totally pro-German because the newspapers here were controlled by Zionist Jews, the bankers were Jews, all the media of mass communications in this country were controlled by Jews; and they, the Jews, were pro-German. They were pro-German because many of them had come from Germany, and also they wanted to see Germany lick the czar.

The Jews didn't like the czar, and they didn't want Russia to win this war. These German-Jew bankers, like Kuhn Loeb—and the other big banking firms in the United States—refused to finance France or England to the extent of one dollar. They stood aside, and they said, "As long as France and England are tied up with Russia, not one cent!" But they poured money into Germany—they fought beside Germany against Russia, trying to lick the czarist regime.

Now, those same Jews, when they saw the possibility of getting Palestine, went to England and they made this deal. At that time, everything changed—like a traffic light that changes from red to green. Where the newspapers had been all pro-German, where they'd been telling the people of the difficulties that Germany was having fighting Great Britain—commercially and in other respects—all of a sudden the Germans were no

good. They were villains. They were "Huns". They were "shooting Red Cross nurses". They were "cutting off babies' hands". They were no good. Shortly after that, Mr. Wilson declared war on Germany.

The Zionists in London had sent cables to the United States, to Justice Brandeis, saying "Go to work on President Wilson. We're getting from England what we want. Now, you go to work on President Wilson and get the United States into the war." That's how the United States got into the war. We had no more interest in it; we had no more right to be in it than we have to be on the Moon tonight instead of in this room. There was absolutely no reason for World War I to be our war. We were railroaded into—if I can be vulgar, we were suckered into—that war merely so that the Zionists could obtain Palestine. That is something that the people of the United States have never been told. They never knew why we went into World War I.

After we got into the war, the Zionists went to Great Britain and they said: "Well, we performed our part of the agreement. Let's have something in writing that shows that you are going to keep your bargain and give us Palestine after you win the war." They didn't know whether the war would last another year or another ten years. So they started to work out a receipt. The receipt took the form of a letter—which was worded in very cryptic language so that the world at large wouldn't know what it was all about. And that was called the *Balfour Declaration*.

The *Balfour Declaration* was merely Great Britain's promise to pay the Zionists what they had agreed upon as a consideration for getting the United States into the war. So this great *Balfour Declaration*, that you hear so much about, is just as phony as a three-dollar bill. I don't think I could make it more emphatic than that.

That is where all the trouble started. The United States got in the war. The United States crushed Germany. When the war ended, and the Germans went to Paris for the Paris Peace Conference in 1919, there were 117 Jews there, as a delegation, headed by Bernard Baruch, representing the Jewish people. I was there—I ought to know.

The Jews at that peace conference—when they were cutting up Germany and parceling out Europe to all these nations who claimed a right to a certain part of European territory—said, "How about Palestine for us?" And they produced this *Balfour Declaration*. So the Germans, for the first time realized, "Oh, so that was the game! That's why the United States came into the war."

The Germans for the first time realized that they were defeated. They suffered the terrific reparations that were slapped onto them, because the Zionists wanted Palestine and were determined to get it at any cost.

That brings us to another very interesting point. When the Germans realized this, they naturally resented it. Up to that time, the Jews had never been better off in any country in the world than they had been in Germany. You had Mr. Rathenau there, who was maybe 100 times as important in industry and finance as is Bernard Baruch in this country today. You had Mr. Balin, who owned the two big steamship lines, the North German Lloyd's and the Hamburg-American Lines. You had Mr. Bleichroder, who was the banker for the Hohenzollern family. You had the Warburgs in Hamburg, who were the big merchant bankers—the biggest in the world. The Jews were doing very well in Germany. No question about it.

The Germans felt that was quite a sellout. It was a sellout that might be compared to this hypothetical situation:

Suppose the United States was at war with the Soviet Union and we were winning. And we told the Soviet Union: "Well, let's quit. We offer you peace terms. Let's forget the whole thing." And all of a sudden Red China came into the war as an ally of the Soviet Union. And throwing them into the war brought about our defeat—a crushing defeat, with reparations the likes of which man's imagination cannot encompass.

Imagine, then, after that defeat, if we found out that it was the Chinese in this country, our Chinese citizens, who all the time we had thought were loyal citizens working with us, [who] were selling us out to the Soviet Union—and that it was through them that Red China was brought into the war against us. How would we feel, then, in the United States against Chinese [-Americans]? I don't think that one of them would dare show his face on any street. There wouldn't be enough convenient lampposts to take care of them.

Well, that's how the Germans [German Christians] felt toward these [German] Jews. They'd been so nice to them, from 1905 on. When the first communist revolution in Russia failed, and the Jews had to scramble out of Russia, they all went to Germany. Germany gave them refuge. And they were treated very nicely. And here they had sold Germany down the river for no reason at all—other than the fact that they wanted Palestine as a so-called "Jewish homeland".

Now, Nahum Sokolow, and all the great leaders and great names that you read about in connection with Zionism today, in 1919, 1920, 1921, 1922 and 1923 wrote in all their papers—and the press was filled with their statements—that the feeling against the Jews in Germany is due to the fact that they realized that this great defeat was brought about by Jewish intercession in bringing the United States into the war. The Jews themselves admitted that.

It wasn't that the Germans in 1919 discovered that a glass of Jewish blood tasted better than Coca-Cola or Munich beer. There was no religious feeling. There was no sentiment against those people merely on account of their religious belief. It was all political. It was economic. It was anything but religious. Nobody cared in Germany whether a Jew went home and pulled down the shades and said *Shema Yisroel* or the *Lord's Prayer*. Nobody cared in Germany, any more than they do in the United States. Now, this feeling that developed later in Germany was due to one thing: The Germans held the Jews responsible for their crushing defeat.

And World War I had been started against Germany for no reason for which Germans were responsible. They were guilty of nothing—only of being successful. They built up a big navy. They built up world trade. You must remember that Germany at the time of the French Revolution consisted of 300 small city-states, principalities, dukedoms, and so forth—300 separate little political entities. And between the times of Napoleon and Bismarck, they were consolidated into one state. Within 50 years they became one of the world's great powers.

Their navy was rivaling Great Britain's; they were doing business all over the world; they could undersell anybody; and they could make better products. What happened as a result of that?

There was a conspiracy between England, France

and Russia to slap Germany down. There isn't one historian in the world who can find a valid reason [other than greed-Ed.] why those three countries decided to wipe Germany off the map politically.

When Germans realized the Jews were responsible for her defeat, they naturally resented it. But not a hair on the head of any Jew was harmed.

In his book, Prof. Tansill of Georgetown University—who had access to all the secret papers of the State Department—quoted from a State Department document written by Hugo Schoenfelt, a Jew whom Cordell Hull sent to Europe in 1933 to investigate the so-called camps of political prisoners, who wrote back that he found them in very fine condition. They were in excellent shape, with everybody treated well. And they were filled with communists. **Well, a lot of them were Jews, because the Jews happened to comprise about 98 percent of the communists in Europe at that time.** And there were some priests there, and ministers, and labor leaders and Masons, and others who had international affiliations.

Some background is in order: In 1918-1919 the communists took over Bavaria for a few days. Rosa Luxemburg and Karl Liebknecht and a group of other Jews took over the government for three days.

[Note: Mr. Freedman has his facts somewhat mixed up here. Rosa Luxemburg and Karl Liebknecht were leaders of a group that called itself the Spartacists, which was involved in an attempted putsch in Berlin, not Bavaria, during the period of January 5-15, 1919, at the end of which they were executed and their bodies apparently thrown into a canal in that city. Another group of Reds was similarly active in Bavaria during the time frame of February 21st to early May, when their putsch was similarly put down.—Ed.]

In fact, when the Kaiser ended the war, he fled to Holland because he thought the communists were going to take over Germany as they did Russia, and that he was going to meet the same fate as the czar. So he fled to Holland for safety, for security. After the communist threat in Germany was quashed, the Jews were still working, trying to get back into their former status, and the Germans fought them in every way they could without hurting a single hair on their heads.

They fought them the same way that, in this country, the prohibitionists fought anyone who was interested in liquor: They didn't fight with pistols. That's the way they were fighting the Jews in Germany. And at that time, mind you, there were 80 to 90 million Germans—and there were only 460,000 Jews, about 0.5 percent of the population of Germany. And yet they controlled all the press and most of the economy, because they had come in with foreign money when the mark was devalued and bought up practically everything.

The Jews tried to keep a lid on this fact. They didn't want the world to really understand that they had sold out Germany, and that the Germans resented that.

The Germans took appropriate action against the Jews. They, shall I say, discriminated against them wherever they could. They shunned them. The same way that we would shun the Chinese, or the Negroes, or the Catholics, or anyone in this country who had sold us out to an enemy and brought about our defeat.

After a while, the Jews of the world called a meeting in Amsterdam. Jews from every country in the world attended this meeting in July 1933. And they said to Germany: "You fire Hitler and you put every

Jew back into his former position, whether he was a communist or no matter what he was. You can't treat us that way. And we, the Jews of the world, are serving an ultimatum upon you."

You can imagine what the Germans told them. So what did the Jews do?

In 1933, when Germany refused to surrender to the world conference of Jews in Amsterdam, the conference broke up, and Mr. Samuel Untermyer—who was the head of the American delegation and the president of the whole conference—came to the United States and went from the steamer to the studios of the Columbia Broadcasting System and made a radio broadcast throughout the United States in which he, in effect, said: **"The Jews of the world now declare a holy war against Germany. We are now engaged in a sacred conflict against the Germans.** [Emphasis added.—Ed.] And we are going to starve them into surrender. We are going to use a worldwide boycott against them. That will destroy them, because they are dependent upon their export business."

And it is a fact that two-thirds of Germany's food supply had to be imported—and it could only be imported with the proceeds of what they exported. So if Germany could not export, two-thirds of Germany's population would have to starve. There was just not enough food for more than one-third of the population. Now, in this declaration, which I have here, and which was printed in *The New York Times* on August 7, 1933, Samuel Untermyer boldly stated that: "This economic boycott is our means of self-defense. President Roosevelt has advocated its use in the National Recovery Administration," which some of you may remember, [whereby] everybody was to be boycotted unless he followed the rules laid down by the New Deal, and which was declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of that time.

Nevertheless, the Jews of the world declared a boycott against Germany, and it was so effective that you couldn't find one thing in any store, anywhere in the world, with the words "Made in Germany" on it.

In fact, an executive of the Woolworth Company told me they had to dump millions of dollars worth of crockery and dishes into the river; that their stores were boycotted if anyone came in and found a dish marked "Made in Germany". They were picketed with signs saying "Hitler", "Murderer" and so forth, something like these sit-ins that are taking place in the South.

At a store belonging to the R.H. Macy chain, which was controlled by a family called Straus (who also happen to be Jews), a woman found stockings [for sale] which came from Chemnitz, marked "Made in Germany". Well, they were cotton stockings, and they may have been there 20 years. I saw Macy's boycotted, with hundreds of people walking around with signs saying "Murderers", "Hitlerites" and so forth. Now, up to that time, not one hair on the head of any Jew had been hurt in Germany. There was no suffering; there was no starvation; there was no murder; there was nothing.

Naturally, the Germans said, "Who are these people, to declare a boycott against us and throw all our people out of work, and make our industries come to a standstill? Who are they, to do that to us?"

They naturally resented it. Why should a German give his money to a storekeeper who was part of a boycott that was going to starve Germany into surrendering to the Jews of the world?

The boycott continued for years. But it wasn't until 1938—when a young Jew from Poland walked into the German Embassy in Paris and shot a German official—that the Germans really started to get rough with the Jews in Germany. And you found them then breaking windows and having street fights and so forth.

The only reason there was any feeling in Germany against Jews was that they were responsible for World War I and for this worldwide boycott. Ultimately, they were also responsible for World War II because, after this thing got out of hand, it was absolutely necessary for the Jews and Germany to lock horns in a war to see which one was going to survive.

In the meanwhile, I had lived in Germany, and I knew the Germans had decided that Europe was going to be Christian or communist: There is no in-between. And the Germans decided they were going to keep it Christian, if possible. And they started to re-arm.

In November 1933 Roosevelt recognized the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union was becoming very powerful, and Germany realized that: "Our turn was going to come soon, unless we are strong," the same as we in this country are saying today.

Our government is spending \$83 or \$84 billion annually for defense. Defense against who? Defense against 40,000 Jews in Moscow that took over Russia, and then, in their devious ways, took control of many other countries of the world.

For this country now to be on the verge of a third world war—from which we cannot emerge a victor—is something that staggers my imagination. Our nuclear bombs had a capacity of 10 megatons, or 10 million tons of TNT, when they were first developed. Now, the nuclear bombs that are being developed have a capacity of 200 megatons.

What do we face now? If we trigger a world war that may develop into a nuclear war, humanity is finished. Why might such a war take place? It will take place as the curtain goes up on Act 3. Act 1 was World War I; Act 2 was World War II; Act 3 is going to be World War III. **The Jews of the world, the Zionist Jews and their coreligionists everywhere, are determined that they are going to again use the United States to help them permanently retain Palestine as their foothold for their world government.**

Not alone have I read it, but many here have also read it, and it is known all over the world.

What are we going to do? The life you save may be your son's. Your boys may be on their way to that war tonight; and you don't know it any more than you knew that in 1916 in London the Zionists made a deal with the British War Cabinet to send your sons to war in Europe. Did you know it at that time? Not a person in the United States knew it. You weren't permitted to know it.

Who knew it? President Wilson knew it. Colonel House knew it. Other insiders knew it.

Did I know it? I had a pretty good idea of what was going on: I was liaison to Henry Morgenthau, Sr., in the 1912 campaign when President Wilson was elected, and there was talk around the office there. I was "confidential man" to Henry Morgenthau, Sr., who was chairman of the finance committee—and I was liaison between him and Rollo Wells, the treasurer. **So I sat in on these meetings with President Wilson at the head of the table and all the others—and I heard them drum into Wilson's brain the**

graduated income tax and what has become the Federal Reserve, and I heard them indoctrinate him with the Zionist movement.

Justice Brandeis and President Wilson were just as close as the two fingers on this hand. President Woodrow Wilson was just as incompetent when it came to determining what was going on as a newborn baby. That is how they got us into World War I, while we all slept. They sent our boys over there to be slaughtered. For what? So the Jews can have Palestine as their "homeland". They've fooled you so much that you don't know whether you're coming or going.

Now, any judge, when he charges a jury, says, "Gentlemen, any witness who you find has told a single lie, you can disregard all his testimony." I don't know what state you come from, but in New York State that is the way a judge addresses a jury. If that witness told one lie—disregard his testimony.

What are the facts about the Jews? (I call them "Jews" to you, because they are known as Jews. I don't call them Jews myself—I refer to them as "so-called Jews", because I know what they are.) The Eastern European Jews, who form 92 percent of the world's population of those people who call themselves Jews, were originally Khazars. They were a tribe who lived deep in the heart of Asia. And they were so warlike that the other Asiatics drove them out of Asia into Eastern Europe.

They set up a large Khazar kingdom of 800,000 square miles. At the time, Russia did not exist, nor did many other European countries. The Khazar kingdom was the biggest country in all Europe—so big and so powerful that when the other monarchs wanted to go to war, the Khazars would lend them up to 40,000 soldiers. That's how big and powerful they were.

They were phallic worshippers, which is filthy, and I do not want to go into the details of that now. But that was their religion, as it was also the religion of many other pagans and barbarians elsewhere in the world. The Khazar king became so disgusted with the degeneracy of his kingdom that he decided to adopt a so-called monotheistic faith—either Christianity, Islam, or what is known today as Judaism, which is really Talmudism. By spinning a top and calling out "eeny, meeny, miney, moe", he picked out so-called Judaism.

And that became the state religion. He sent down to the Talmudic schools of Pumbedita and Sura and brought up thousands of rabbis, and opened up synagogues and schools, and his people became what we call Jews. There wasn't one of them who had an ancestor who ever put a toe in the Holy Land. Not only in *Old Testament* history, but back to the beginning of time. Not one of them.

And yet they come to the Christians and ask us to support their armed insurrections in Palestine by saying, "You want to help repatriate God's Chosen People to their Promised Land, their ancestral home, don't you? It's your Christian duty. We gave you one of our boys as your Lord and Savior. You now go to church on Sunday, and you kneel and you worship a Jew, and we're Jews."

But they are pagan Khazars who were converted just the same as the Irish were converted. It is as ridiculous to call them "people of the Holy Land", as it would be to call

the 54 million Chinese Moslems "Arabs".

Mohammed died in A.D. 620, and since then 54 million Chinese have accepted Islam as their religious belief. Now imagine in China, 2,000 miles away from Arabia, from Mecca and Mohammed's birthplace. Imagine if the 54 million Chinese decided to call themselves "Arabs". You would say they were lunatics. Anyone who believes that those 54 million Chinese are Arabs must be crazy. All they did was adopt as a religious faith, a belief that had its origin in Mecca, in Arabia, the same as the Irish.

When the Irish became Christians, nobody imported to the Emerald Isle a new crop of inhabitants. They had not become a different people. They were the same people, but they had accepted Christianity as a religious faith.

These Khazars, these pagans, these Asiatics, these Turko-Finns, were a Mongoloid race who were forced out of Asia into Eastern Europe. Because their king took the Talmudic faith—they had no choice in the matter. Just the same as in Spain: If the king was Catholic, everybody had to be a Catholic. If not, you had to get out of Spain. So the Khazars became what we call today—Jews.

Now imagine how silly it was for the great Christian countries of the world to say, "We're going to use our power and prestige to repatriate God's Chosen People to their ancestral homeland, their Promised Land." **Could there be a bigger lie than that?**

Because they control the newspapers, the magazines, the radio, the television, the book publishing business—and because they have the ministers in the pulpit and the politicians on the soapboxes talking the same language—it is not too surprising that you believe that lie. You'd believe black is white if you heard it often enough. You wouldn't call black "black" anymore—you'd start to call black "white". And nobody could ever blame you.

That is one of the great lies of history. It is the foundation of all the misery that has befallen the world.

Do you know what Jews do on the Day of Atonement that you think is so sacred to them? I was one of them. This is not hearsay. I'm not here to be a rabble-rouser. I'm here to give you facts. When, on the Day of Atonement, you walk into a synagogue, you stand up for the very first prayer that you recite. It is the only prayer for which you stand. You repeat three times a short prayer called the *Kol Nidre*.

In that prayer, you enter into an agreement with God Almighty that any oath, vow, or pledge that you make during the next 12 months shall be null and void. The oath shall not be an oath; the vow shall not be a vow; the pledge shall not be a pledge. They shall have no force or effect. And further, the *Talmud* teaches that whenever you take an oath, vow or pledge, you are to remember the *Kol Nidre* prayer that you recited on the Day of Atonement—and you are exempted from fulfilling them.

How much can you depend on their loyalty? You can depend upon their loyalty as much as the Germans depended upon it in 1916. We are going to suffer the same fate as Germany suffered, and for the same reason.—*The Barnes Review*, (202) 546-1586, www.barnesreview.org

THE CONSPIRATORS' HIERARCHY: THE COMMITTEE OF 300

By Dr. John Coleman

(Part 15)

This Fourth Edition Masterpiece—one of the best reference sources still available on the world would-be-king Elite Puppet-Masters—is a must resource for all libraries, public and private. This book's FOREWORD, in addition to Dr. Coleman's excellent article "Betrayal of the Philippines", was front-page-quality material in the April 5, 2000 issue of CONTACT. Other parts of this continuing series: Part 1 (8/9/00); Part 2 (8/23/00); Part 3 (8/30/00); Part 4 (9/6/00); Part 5 (9/13/00); Part 6 (9/20/00); Part 7 (9/27/00); Part 8 (10/04/00); Part 9 (10/11/00); Part 10 (10/18/00); Part 11 (10/25/00); Part 12 (11/1/00); Part 13 (11/8/00); Part 14 (11/15/00). Our appreciation to Dr. Coleman for sharing this One World Government magnum opus. See page 13 at the conclusion of this final part for ordering information.

APPENDIX I

ORDERED BY THE COMMITTEE OF 300 THE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION WAS A BRITISH INTELLIGENCE MI-6 OPERATION.

In approaching the Kennedy assassination, we need to establish the following points of reference:

1. Why was John F. Kennedy murdered, and why was it carried out in such a public manner?
2. Who ordered his execution and who was responsible for carrying out the plot?
3. How can we explain the successful cover-up that has enabled the planners and executioners to get clean away with it?

I will do my best to answer these questions in the course of this book. Some of what I shall write will be believed, and some will be dismissed with contempt. The only thing I ask of you, the reader, is that you keep a completely open mind as we proceed.

On November 22, 1963, there occurred an event that was to shake America to its foundations. On that fateful day in history, the rifle shots that echoed around Dealey Plaza, in Dallas, Texas, reverberated around the world. On that fateful day, the professionally planned assassination of President John F. Kennedy was carried out in full view of thousands of people crowded into Dealey Plaza and shown to millions of television viewers across the United States. The assassination, which took more than two years of careful planning, was carried out by three of the world's best marksmen, using high-powered Mauser 7.65 caliber sniper rifles—very accurate up to 1200 yards.

The United States and the entire Western world went into a state of traumatic shock. Disbelief that this was actually happening in America, swept over the American people. The course set by the Founding Fathers was forever altered by those rifle shots. Springing directly from the bloody event in Dealey Plaza came an escalation of the war in Vietnam, with tragic consequences for the American people—the full effect of which is still being felt today in the huge drug war against America, one which threatens to overwhelm the nation.

Why Kennedy was Murdered?

The history of the Kennedy family is too well known to have to go over it here. Suffice to say that the Kennedys had a reputation of being Irish rebels. "Old Joe", John's father, was recalled from his post as U. S. ambassador in London after the British Government complained that Kennedy was publicly backing the German war effort. Roosevelt, the servant of the international bankers—who start all wars and profit enormously from them—obeyed his instructions. Joe

Kennedy did not forgive nor forget and he and his sons vowed that they would never be as meek and servile as Roosevelt had proved to be, when one of them became the President of the United States.

When John F. Kennedy was elected to the White House, he thought it was his own doing. The master puppeteers had kept a low profile, not wishing to spook the young, headstrong John Kennedy. The ruling Elite body known as the "Committee of 300" (which I will henceforth refer to as the "300") gently at first, but with increasing impatience, led Kennedy slowly to accept the unseen halter they had placed around his neck. When Kennedy did become aware of the halter—and it came slowly at first—the young president vowed he would remove it. Kennedy was incensed to think that a British institution, the Royal Institute for International Affairs (RIIA) was actually exercising control over his presidency and, indeed, over the entire U.S., as his investigations were revealing.

Kennedy was aware of what the great Disraeli (Lord Beaconsfield) once said: "Elected governments seldom govern." He was fully aware of the special relationship which Winston Churchill had proclaimed between Great Britain and the United States, but Kennedy never seemed to realize that it was more than a "special relationship". It was in effect, "special control". Kennedy's resolve to cast off foreign control of his presidency, hardened during the Cuban missile crisis; more particularly when he discovered that he had been hoodwinked by Khrushchev, with the assistance of the RIIA and his own White House palace guard. The deception was in the following manner: Khrushchev agreed to move short-range older Russian missiles out of Cuba if the United States removed its state-of-the-art nuclear rockets from their forward bases in Turkey. In the deal that followed, the United States was the big loser.

When Kennedy ordered a review of what had led up to the Cuban missile fiasco (and the results of the review pointed a finger of guilt at the palace guard and particularly at Henry Kissinger), the president told Mrs. Kennedy that Kissinger was "a madman" and that he had given instructions to ban him from the White House. The effect of the Kissinger ban was a serious blow to "300" plans, as Kissinger was their main conduit for instructional "advice" for the President from the RIIA, a top executive branch of the "300". In passing, it is worthwhile mentioning that Kissinger later went on to play the main role in the downfall of President Richard Nixon. Clearly, the president's ban on Kissinger was a serious communications break in the "special relationship" between the British and the U.S., which could not be tolerated by the "300". The unmasking

of Kissinger as a messenger for the RIIA came through Soviet defector, master spy Oleg Penkovsky.

Palace guard chieftain and agent in place for MI-6, McGeorge Bundy blocked Penkovsky from direct access to President Kennedy, although strenuous efforts were made for a firsthand interview between the Soviet master spy and the president. But those who were fighting McGeorge Bundy's ban were able to smuggle documents into the White House which were given directly to Kennedy. Once he'd had the opportunity to study the documents, Kennedy saw how badly he had been betrayed by his advisors, and particularly by Kissinger, and just how far "300" control was exercised over his country. As a part of his discovery, Kennedy gave orders that U. S. involvement in South Vietnam should end as soon as it was practicable to get U.S. troops shipped home.

It was at that point that Kennedy decided to hit the international banks owned by the "300" where it hurt the most; their control of the Federal Reserve Banking system. Now, I know there will be those who are going to say, "no such control existed." For this reason, I will now quote what the late, great patriot, Congressman Louis T. McFadden had to say on the subject. McFadden was chairman of the House Banking Committee, when he disclosed how we are controlled:

Thursday, May 4, 1933: "Great Britain moved to consolidate her gains. After the treacherous signing away of American rights at the 7-power conference at London in July 1931, which put the Federal Reserve System under the control of the Bank of International Settlements, Great Britain began to tighten the hangman's noose around the neck of the United States...". McFadden explained how Ramsey McDonald the arch-Socialist had visited the United States to arrange for control of the Federal Reserve to pass into the hands of international bankers, and he then went on to explain how this was accomplished to the extreme prejudice of the American people:

"At noon on March 4th, Roosevelt with his hand on the Bible took an oath to preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the United States. At midnight on March 5, 1933 he confiscated the property of American citizens... He released, or endeavored to release, the Federal Reserve from backing our currency in gold or lawful money on a parity with gold. The people of the United States are now using irredeemable paper slips for money. The Treasury cannot redeem that paper in gold or silver."

Kennedy had ordered a review of the Federal Reserve and may have read McFadden's comments—this, according to new information received from intelligence sources. Kennedy then issued an executive order to return constitutional money to the United States. He signed an executive order calling for the Treasury to print and issue directly, United States dollars, as opposed to Federal Reserve Notes, thus bypassing the Federal Reserve Banks. While executive orders are unconstitutional, being proclamations forbidden by the Founding Fathers, nevertheless, they have an effect as if they are lawful, and have been issued by all presidents since Abraham Lincoln.

Kennedy's decision to bypass the Federal Reserve Banks caused consternation in Washington and London. Shortly after the executive order to issue United States currency was given, a high-level meeting of Committee of 300 functionaries was held to deal with this threat to the foundation upon which control of the United States rested: The Federal Reserve System and its Federal Reserve Banks. Following his decision to bypass the Federal Reserve Banks, Kennedy increasingly showed that as far as he was concerned, he would no longer accept the dictates of foreign entities. Reaction against

Kennedy was not long in coming and, as we now know, it was sudden, brutal and highly visible.

Intelligence sources told me that former Governor of Texas John Connally provided an added “bonus” for the plotters—and that may be why the final choice for the place of public execution fell to Dallas. Connally had long been urging a more evenhanded policy toward the Arab world. At the time of the shooting, he was openly advocating and urging a change in U.S. Middle East policy which did not sit well with the petroleum industry. Both the public execution of Kennedy and “Watergating” of the late-President Richard Nixon were at least two years in the planning stage. Some of the reasons for the execution of Kennedy have now been laid out. The next issue to consider is: “Who was responsible for the plot and who carried it out?” This is an area about which speculation is rife; the subject of hundreds of books, radio talks shows and several movies. I believe the scenario I will lay out is very close to the truth.

According to my intelligence information, the Committee of 300 ruled that Kennedy had to go. His anger over the Cuban missile crisis, his executive order on currency, his plan to get U.S. forces out of Vietnam, and his unwillingness to accept orders from foreign sources meant he had to be disposed of. He could not be bribed with unlimited money and unlimited sex, of which he had a surfeit. Let no man vainly imagine that he can take on the Committee of 300 and win. Jesus Christ, who was not a stranger to politics, spoke of the arrogant power of the rulers of darkness who thrive on spiritual wickedness—the forerunner to evil political action. In my survey of American history, I have come to the conclusion that we are in the grip of the “300” organization. Some of my readers mention various BRANCHES of the “300” as the main players; but the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderbergers, the Roundtable, the Rhodes Foundation—they are only arms of this monstrous and well-organized group.

Latterly, fairly recent newcomers to the scene (and I class as “new comers” any writers or newsletters that have been around for less than 15 years) have taken to expressing everything in terms of the New World Order (NWO) as being behind the murder of Kennedy. Well, the NWO is actually the One World Government (OWG) which has been around for close to 4000 years. It has been known since 1917 as “Communism”. Both the public execution of Kennedy and the disgracing of Nixon were cynical acts of brutal terror designed to demonstrate the power and the reach of the “300”, and to strike fear into the hearts of American politicians.

The job of getting rid of Kennedy was given to Sir William Stephenson, former head of the North American Desk of MI-6, Britain’s supersecret intelligence agency. It was Stephenson who met with President Roosevelt in 1938 as the personal representative of Winston Churchill. The most revealing thing about how the “300” functions is that in 1938, Churchill was not yet prime minister of Great Britain—a nation whose rulers have always controlled the United States and who were soon to plunge the world into a disastrous global war. By sending Stephenson to give Roosevelt his special orders, Churchill was carrying out the tradition of the “300” in using their MI-6 spymasters as foreign policy emissaries.

This is a tradition that dates back to Sir Francis Walsingham, the first spymaster, who was directly responsible to Queen Elizabeth I. This method is useful, as it avoids diplomatic papers that could come back to haunt governments many years later. Another classic

example of MI-6 spymasters used to conduct foreign policy was Bruce Lockhart—day-to-day controller of Trotsky and Lenin all through the Bolshevik Revolution. British intelligence MI-6 still conducts the secret foreign policy of Queen Elizabeth II, to this very day, as it will when her successor is appointed.

Stephenson, a personal friend of the British monarch—certainly a top-top master spy, led an elite spy group inside MI-6 known as Special Operations Executive (SOE) reporting only to the monarch. In essence, what Stephenson told Roosevelt was that henceforth, “special relationship” coined by the Tavistock Institute for Human Relations, a premiere brainwashing establishment in England, would be the phrase used on every occasion to describe relations between Britain and the United States at every level, public and private, and even under “Codeword”, the top intelligence classification of documents.

“Special relationship was to take on a new meaning and a life of its own,” Stephenson told Roosevelt and that, as part of the “special relationship”, he was to set up an American intelligence agency under the title of “Office of Strategic Services” (OSS). This was later renamed the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). Stephenson further told Roosevelt that he, Stephenson, would be responsible for setting up and running the new agency in time for the coming war. Mark you, this was 1938 and yet Stephenson already knew there would be a war the next year. The meeting, not recorded in diplomatic memoranda nor in concordats or formal protocols, was to prove a disaster for the United States in that it made it possible for Roosevelt to drag the United States into a war in which we should never have been involved. It also resulted in the founding of the State of Israel and the Korean and Vietnam Wars, at the cost of millions of American lives and a war debt that crippled postwar America and placed the American people in a state of perpetual servitude to “300” Wall Street and international banks.

In this context it is interesting to note that the international bankers controlled by the “300” did not want WWI and WW II war debts paid off quickly. Rather, they followed the pattern they set with the Civil War debt, which was kept going for as long as possible and only paid off in the 1930s; also, in 1880 the Rothschilds sent an emissary to tell the U.S. that they would not accept silver in payment of the debts owing to them.

To help him carry out the Kennedy execution, Stephenson co-opted his former right-hand man of the OSS days, a certain Major Mortimer Louis Bloomfield, a Canadian citizen. Bloomfield was appointed by Stephenson to run Division Five, the FBI’s counterintelligence service—and he retained the position right up to the end of WWII. That should tell us something about the power and the control exercised by the “300” over our domestic and international affairs. Both Stephenson and Bloomfield were members of SOE and MI-6 whose exploits were fictionally portrayed in “James Bond” movies. While Bond’s exploits were fiction based on fact, the organization was, and still is, a fact of life. The spy agency is not responsible to the British Parliament and its activities are financed out of the monarch’s secret budget. Thus, few outside of the very top leadership of MI-6 had an inkling of what the Stephenson-Bloomfield mission was to be.

Bloomfield assisted Stephenson in planning and executing the most successful assassination and subsequent cover-up in the history of the world. This was made possible not only through the “cell” system first introduced by MI-6 during the run up to the Bolshevik Revolution, which most people incorrectly

believe was “invented” by the Soviet Bolsheviks—but also by operating from behind a number of commercial fronts in true “Bond” style. Stephenson lived at the Tryall Compound, Montego Bay on the Caribbean island of Jamaica. Tryall is a retirement community for wealthy British expatriates—and it was no accident that Ian Fleming’s very first “James Bond” movie was filmed in the Caribbean.

The vast majority of Americans are blissfully unaware of the fact that the United States is today still controlled by the “300” through the special relationship set up through Stephenson and Roosevelt. It was this special relationship that was called into action during the Malvinas (Falkland Islands) war which enabled Mrs. Thatcher to mount a British attack on this Argentinian possession, which had belonged to the Argentines since 1840. Reagan backed the British war effort, even though it meant violating his oath of office and subverting the *Monroe Doctrine* and the *Treaty of Rio*.

What force can stand in the way of such a power, and who can prevail against it? The only force I know of which is capable of defeating the “300” is Christianity, but a penetrated, subverted, weakened Church hasn’t got the strength or willpower to undertake a task of such magnitude. Churches today are more concerned about keeping their tax-exempt status than serving Christ. There is no Church leader in the world today who is capable of tackling the job. We have no Martin Luther to lead the Christians in such a crusade, and in the political realm, no one of the caliber of Oliver Cromwell.

Pope John Paul II thought he could do it, but after he narrowly escaped assassination, he withdrew. The powerful public image of Kennedy was not enough to prevent his demise—only a most powerful and completely supported leader could survive a challenge to the “Olympians”. But how many Americans are even dimly aware of the existence of the “300”? If its rulers would not listen to Galileo when he said the world was round, how much less will the world listen to my account of the Kennedy assassination?

Christ was far more than a religious leader. He came to destroy secret societies, not to pander to them as so many of the Christian churches are doing today. That is why today the Christian Church is no longer the main enemy of the “300”. All else is but other means have been taken to attain the main goal. The manner in which Christian Russia was struck down should be an object lesson to us all. And it is foolish to imagine that the dangers have receded, nor that what happened to the Christians in Russia cannot happen in America. It can, and probably will, unless we stand guard.

Christ was politically outspoken. His message was a message of opposition to the political rulers and bankers of His day—and he told the ordinary people He had come to set them free. This is not the message that the Christian Church is overwhelmingly delivering today. The Church in 1995 is not preaching against occult theocracy nor against secret societies, except in some exceptional in stances. The New Age—Age of Aquarius—has made significant gains since its founding by the Beast, Alastair Crowley, a viciously depraved occultist who by his own admission took part in 180 ritual murders. Crowley was THE high priest of the “300” which was later to plan and carry out the public execution of Kennedy for daring to rebel against their authority.

As soon as Kennedy discovered how badly he had been misled by his palace guard advisors over the Cuban missile crisis, he decided to make a clean break with his controllers, notable among whom was Henry Kissinger,

servant of the New World Order (NWO) and the RIIA. Kennedy's action in ordering United States currency to be printed and his rebellion against the Cuban policy precipitated the crisis which caused the "Olympians" (300) to make an example of him before the eyes of the American people and the whole world.

As I mentioned earlier, Kennedy told his wife he thought Kissinger was "a madman" and ordered him to be banished from the White House. The event occurred after the Oleg Penkovsky disclosures which were somehow smuggled past palace guard chieftain McGeorge Bundy. Penkovsky gave the president a graphic, firsthand account of how his advisors, starting with Kissinger, had blatantly misled him about Russian affairs. Penkovsky told Kennedy through the intermediary that the whole Cuban crisis was the work of Dr. Leo Szilard the man dubbed "Dr. Strangelove" in Stanley Kubrick's movie of the same title. What Kennedy did not know was that all presidents are screened and information which might be counterproductive is kept from them by their controllers. This began in earnest with President Wilson through Colonel House and Sir William Wiseman of the MI-6 North American Desk.

The order went out to "assassinate Kennedy" soon after Kissinger was kicked out of the Oval Office and after Kennedy had made his intentions known about ending U.S. involvement in Vietnam and breaking the monopoly of the Federal Reserve. Kennedy did this under the false assumption that, as the president, he was above all institutions and out of reach of all who might wish to harm him.

Two unsuccessful attempts were made to persuade Kennedy to return to the fold, but the president was adamant. After the second rebuff was received at Chatham House, London, the Kennedy problems became a matter for MI-6 and turned over to Stephenson and his assistant Bloomfield. The pair arrived at the Tryall Compound in Jamaica in March of 1963 for what is presumed to have been a review of the strategy for Kennedy's assassination. From then onwards, Tryall Compound was the nerve center of the "get Kennedy" operation. Those who dared to mention it, said they saw constant comings and goings of men who looked and acted differently than most, whom Stephenson passed off as "friends on vacation". A number of core committee meetings were held throughout March of 1963. One of those who was seen at Tryall Compound on a number of occasions was Colonel Clay Shaw, director at the time of the New Orleans-based International Trade Mart. Altogether, there were seven individuals who were seen making regular visits to Tryall Compound; they obviously comprised the inner members of what I call "The Assassination Committee" and what some call "The Inner Circle".

Behind this committee stood the overwhelmingly vast resources of the Committee of 300 as described in this book. The seven core committee members were directors and board members of a holding company registered in Switzerland, with offices in Toronto, Canada. That company was Permindex, short for Permanent Industrial Exhibitions, whose tentacles reached out all over Europe and even as far afield as South Africa. Permindex operations in France were shut down after an investigation by SDEC (French intelligence) showed it was an MI-6 front, and it then moved the French office to South Africa. Permindex was one of the more easily recognizable "shop fronts" out of which MI-6 operated.

The New Orleans Trade Mart, run by Clay Shaw, was

an integral part of Permindex's worldwide structure. Regarding the seven core-members of the Kennedy assassination committee who met regularly at Tryall Compound, all were British intelligence MI-6, SOE, and SIS officers. A major part of Permindex "trading" was in drugs. In South Africa it set up a network to buy diamonds with dirty drug money and then resell them around the world. The small fish that get caught from time to time in drug raids are usually independent "mules" not directly employed by the company—and these "successes" make it look as if there really is a "drug war" going on.

Such expendables have no chance of finding out who the big boys in the drug trade are, safe behind their walled estates, their resort homes, their palaces—those who lead a charmed, sedate life, unless, like Grimaldi (Prince Ranier) of Monaco, they try to double-cross their controllers. Grimaldi thought he was too important to be touched and went right on skimming from the top of the take, in spite of two warnings to stop stealing from the "300". Because of his failure to heed the warnings, his wife, the former Grace Kelly, was murdered in what looked to be a car accident. Roberti Calvi was another example of an insider who tried to double-cross his controllers. He was found hanged in London, in a way which suggested a Mason ritual hanging, most probably dead at the hands of the very same people whose protection he had sought when the going got rough. MI-6 controllers are still trying to get their hands on Robert Vesco for robbing them of a fortune in the Bernie Cornfeld-IOF fiasco. The hunt for Vesco goes on in 1995. (In 1997 the Cubans prosecuted Vesco on behalf of the "300" and, more than likely, recovered the stolen drug money.)

The Kennedy assassination committee's plan was worked out along simple lines; that is to say it was simple because the top specialists in "wet" jobs were the MI-6 plotters—and they had the experience and the financial resources and ultimate protection to ensure complete success. Major Bloomfield gathered in seven of the best marksmen from intelligence-agency pools. In addition to being the best shots in the business, the marksmen were highly trained in intelligence methodology and procedures. They were more than likely drawn from the S.A.S. sniper's school. (In 1984 an S.A.S. sniper shot and killed a British policewoman, Yvonne Fletcher, who was standing outside the Libyan Embassy in London. Libya was falsely blamed for her death, which was engineered to start a confrontation between Libya and the U.K.)

The seven-man hit-team was then sent to Pueblo, Mexico where they underwent a rigorous period of training and rifle practice for two months at a so-called Christian mission station. The rifles they were provided with were German-made Mauser sniper-rifles, capable of great accuracy and killing power up to 1,200 yards. The superior British Lee Enfield sniper rifle—that would normally have been used by S.A.S. snipers—was rejected because it was "too close to home". The hit-team familiarized themselves with the layout of Dealey Plaza and surrounding areas from scale models provided by Bloomfield. Every little detail of the operation was committed to memory. There were no paper trails.

But the team committed one error which almost proved fatal to their plan; they forget to accurately time how long it would take for the backup team to reach fall-guy Lee Harvey Oswald, shoot him with his old Manlicher rifle—making it look like suicide—and make their getaway. It would have been the perfect setup; Oswald shoots the president and then turns the rifle on himself. Had it gone according to plan, there would never have been the slightest doubt in the minds of the

American people that Oswald was the man who shot Kennedy. Another thing the plotters apparently overlooked was that Oswald, a highly intelligent individual, would immediately catch on to what was happening and get out of the schoolbook building where he worked, in a big hurry, which is precisely what he did.

If the autopsy reports, now sealed, are EVER opened, we shall see that the bullets that killed Kennedy did not come from the vintage WWII Italian-made bolt action rifle. We shall learn that the bullets that tore away the back of the president's head were dumdums fired from Mauser rifles. It would prove that the Warren Commission was part of the massive cover-up operation which swung into action immediately following the fatal shots on Dealey Plaza. The Warren Commission was under the domination of Max Fisher of the United Brands Fruit Company, a Committee of 300 company with the closest ties to Permindex, whose office was in the New Orleans Trade Mart building. There was no way that the Warren Commission could have come out with the truth even if it had wanted to, what with Fisher breathing down commission members' necks.

As I said earlier, while seven marksmen were sent to Pueblo, only three were chosen to do the shooting; the other four men were in the backup team, whose job it was to eliminate Oswald and get in the way of any police officers who might get close to the shooters. Anybody who knows the slightest thing about weapons and logistics would NEVER believe that Oswald fired the shots that killed Kennedy. In the first place, Oswald lacked the ice-cold temperament needed to carry out such a momentous killing. One has to undergo rigorous training and "conditioning" before one is skilled enough to carry out such an important mission. This is especially true of S.A.S. snipers.

In the second place, Oswald did not have a rifle capable of hitting Kennedy, a moving target, at that distance. In the third place, Oswald was no better than an average marksman who would have had extreme difficulty in hitting such a small, moving target. Thirdly, the direction of the shots was from the overpass IN FRONT of the motorcade and from a stormwater drain on the street. NO shots were fired from the book depository building which the motorcade had already passed. If Kennedy had been hit in the head by such shots fired from behind, they would have entered the back of his head and exited frontwards, not the other way around. To come right down to it, had Oswald fired the shots that hit the president, it would have been the fluke of the century.

According to all the reports filed by the police agencies who were involved, Oswald had made no plans to flee the building and was not on the floor where the shots were alleged to have come from. Instead, he was at the Coke machine on a lower level when the shots rang out. Several witnesses saw Oswald there at the time of the shooting. He must have been astonished to hear the shots, but quickly recovered, and realizing he had been set up, fled before the Pueblo backup team could reach him. The rest is a story which reflects a cover-up of great magnitude—perhaps the most widespread and successful in our history, which only an entity like the Committee of 300 and MI-6 could have undertaken.

Once Oswald heard what had happened; he knew he would be "eliminated" and immediately fled the building, making good his escape in the press and confusion of the crowds on the street. Oswald went straight for the rooming house where he lived, and as he arrived, he

recognized Tippit, whom he knew as a member of the CIA who had attended some meetings with him, although Tippit was wearing the uniform of a Dallas Police Department patrolman. Oswald, probably realizing that he was about to be shot, fired first and Tippit died on the spot.

Let me backtrack to Permindex, having gotten ahead of my story. Following the several unsuccessful attempts on the life of General Charles De Gaulle, the French SDEC (secret intelligence service—which has undergone a name change in the interim) produced proof positive that Permindex was behind several of the attempts on the life of De Gaulle, and that Permindex had financed those operations. Such sensational information was surely worthy of headlines in the *New York Times*, the *Washington Post*, the *Los Angeles Times* and establishment news magazines. But these journals simply ignored the story altogether, and Permindex remained shrouded in a dense fog where it remains to this day—at least as far as I know. Another interesting item totally ignored by the pillars of the establishment press was that the CEO of Permindex was none other than Major Bloomfield, whom we have already met going in and out of Tryall Compound. Bloomfield was well known in intelligence circles. He had been given an officer's commission in the United States Army, on the order of Sir William Stephenson!

Once a commissioned U.S. officer, Bloomfield then joined Stephenson's MI-6 operations and was later seconded to counter-intelligence Division Five of the FBI under the direct control of J. Edgar Hoover. Bloomfield was a practicing homosexual—and intelligence information had it that the two hit it off from the first day, Hoover being a homosexual. What Clyde Tolson, Hoover's compatriot, thought of Bloomfield is not known. At the time of the public execution of Kennedy, Bloomfield was still with the FBI's Division Five. Now you work that one out! HOW could a foreign national who was not even a U.S. permanent resident alien, get such a prestigious job with the FBI? How was it that not a single one of the Senate or House oversight committees ever said, "What is going on here?" and why did they not tell the American people about this important matter?

The truth lies in the fact that the "300", for whom Bloomfield worked, had the power and the ability to arrange such matters with U.S. government Trojan Horses and often did things of a similar nature. Bloomfield, a top FBI operative, worked behind several fronts in New Orleans where he recruited Guy Bannister and David Ferrie. Bloomfield also had top clearance from the CFR, the Milner Group and the Round Table; all fronts for British intelligence MI-6. His associates like Max Fisher, Roy Cohn (former counsel for the late Senator Joe McCarthy) and Major General Bruce Medaris, should tell us much about the league in which Bloomfield was playing. It was Medaris who was assigned to spiriting the marksmen away from Dealey Plaza once the public execution of Kennedy had been carried out. In view of Permindex's role in that murder and its flagship status within the Committee of 300, it is perhaps a good idea to list its board members at the time the assassination committee was meeting at the Tryall Compound:

Hans Seligman, Max Fisher, Edgar Bronfman, Louis Dreyfuss, Roy Cohn, Ernest Israel Japhet, Viscount Samuel, Bruce Medaris, Clay Shaw and Louis Bloomfield. Stephenson had impeccable credentials, having served under Sir William Wiseman who ran North American Desk for MI-6 when President Wilson was being promoted to the White House by his British controllers, of whom Wiseman was the main one.

Wiseman also ran Colonel Mandel House, Wilson's closest and most respected advisor. House and Wiseman lived in the same building, connected by a "hot line" to each other and to the White House. MI-6 certainly had a hand in the assassination of Presidents Lincoln, Garfield and McKinley, that much we do know.

Bloomfield was operating out of the FBI offices in New Orleans where he recruited Jerry Brooks Gatlin, who was close to another FBI agent, Guy Bannister. Both men died under highly suspicious circumstances after the Kennedy murder. Bannister's room was raided by the FBI within a few minutes of his death, and documents known to have been removed by the FBI have never been recovered, nor has the FBI ever been called to account for its strange and seemingly unlawful conduct.

Gatlin was pushed out of a sixth-floor window of a San Juan, Puerto Rico hotel room. These unfortunate events occurred after Gatlin and Bannister had outlived their usefulness to the assassination committee and now represented a real danger if they were ever compelled to talk or offered big money to tell what they knew. Both men died at the very hour that New Orleans District Attorney Jim Garrison was closing in on them. Let me say that Garrison was on the point of cracking the Kennedy assassination wide open, at least up to the level of Clay Shaw. Garrison was within hours of interviewing both men—and one, Perry Raymond Russo of Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Russo was Garrison's chief witness and was to have testified before a grand jury within hours of his disappearance. The grand jury had been called by Garrison to take testimony from Russo about Shaw's connection to the Kennedy case. But Russo recanted his earlier testimony implicating Shaw, and then fled to California.

Experts with whom I have talked in preparing for this "white paper" are unanimous: Had Russo been taken before the grand jury, he would have blown the Warren Commission's findings to smithereens. Russo would have demonstrated that a "higher power" was behind the public execution of Kennedy. Russo had already given Garrison's chief investigator, Paris Flammond, sufficient evidence to directly link Shaw, Ferrie, Bannister, Gatlin and "Oswald" (an assumed name used by another FBI officer) to the Kennedy murder. It is CERTAIN that had Garrison not suffered the concentrated wrath, fury and anger of the Committee of 300 and its U.S. operatives, the truth would have exploded across the world.

Never in the history of law enforcement has such a campaign of slander, intimidation, threats on the lives of the Garrison family, vilification, blackmail and vicious smears been unleashed against one of its members. The campaign to terrorize Garrison was orchestrated by Walter Sheridan and was probably one of the ugliest campaigns of its kind ever to be directed against one man. If anything at all, this horror story demonstrates more than words can, the awesome power of the Committee of 300. The jackals of the print and electronic media well and truly lived up to the name I have coined for them.

The "Olympians" have always had the House and Senate in their pocket, but had never before demonstrated its ability to grossly interfere in an ongoing investigation; less still, one into the murder of the president of the United States! There are those who ask: "How can a small country like Britain control the United States as you say it does?" Well, this is one of the ways among the thousands of others. At the operational stage of the Kennedy assassination, following two months of training at a mission school at Pueblo, Mexico, run by the

American Council of Christian Churches founded by Hoover's close friend, the Rev. Carl McIntire, the group left for Dallas where they arrived on the morning of November 17, 1963. They were put up at a "safe house" arranged by Bloomfield and spent two full days looking over the Dealey Plaza location, the location they had seen so often before in scale models.

On November 22nd the Inner Circle team publicly executed the President of the United States and wounded Governor John Connally; a few days later they had Jack Ruby, a C.I.A. operative, shoot and kill the real Lee Harvey Oswald. (There were three "Oswalds" in Dallas that day.) One question is always asked of me: "How did Ruby get into the basement of the Dallas Police Department when it was so heavily guarded?" The answer is simple: Ruby worked for the CIA and all he had to do was display his CIA pass or badge. Ruby was operating under cover of a night club business. He was a partner with Ferrie and Bannister in several business enterprises—although it has never been disclosed what these were. Having displayed his badge or pass to the police, Ruby walked by them to Oswald and shot him, as he had been instructed. Thereafter, Ruby begged the investigators to move him to Washington D.C. and place him in the care of specially selected U.S. Marshals, but that was never done. Ruby suspected and feared that he, too, would go the way of Oswald at a propitious moment. And that is what happened.

As for the seven-man Inner Circle Pueblo team, they were spirited away from Dallas and handed over to General Bruce Medaris who got them away to Alabama via the White Sands test proving range. Ostensibly, they vanished into oblivion—and nobody knows where they are or even if they are still alive. Given the long list of people murdered in the wake of the Kennedy execution, to silence them for whatever part they may have played in it, we can surmise that the seven were also "eliminated". Unless, of course, they had made foolproof arrangements with their lawyers to safeguard against such an eventuality, as is usually the case in such matters. Perhaps they were wiser than Shaw, Bannister, Oswald and Ferrie, who apparently hadn't been as farsighted, which oversight cost them their lives.

Obviously, there are big gaps in my narrative. Then there is a connection with a Middle East country that nobody dares to explore. I certainly don't intend going down that road, although I have a great deal of information about it. Perhaps this missing piece of the puzzle will one day be added by someone who can afford to risk doing so.

Another observation worth noting was the violation of States rights by Secret Service agents who, with guns drawn, hijacked the body of the dead president from the State of Texas. Where were the Texas Rangers? Where were the State Police? Where was the Sheriff of the county and his deputies? These State police agencies are supposed to protect the sovereign people of the States from such excesses by agents of the federal government! They should have stood up to the Secret Service agents and not allowed the gross violation of 10th Amendment rights to take place, even if it meant an armed confrontation and a public statement by the Governor, explaining to the people exactly why his police were taking such action.

Finally, it is interesting to note that in my original account of the Kennedy assassination, I said that one of the three riflemen had positioned himself in a stormwater drain with a wide view of Dealey Plaza, from where he fired and hit Kennedy. Years later, as the conspirators

bursting with pride and unable to contain themselves sometimes do, *X Files* ran an episode showing EXACTLY what I had described back in 1984. It was almost as if the *X Files* episode writer had taken the account from my work. There will be many other verifications of my account in the years to come.

APPENDIX II

AN INSIGHT INTO THE OPIUM TRADE: CASE STUDY—BURMA

The lyrics of an old song written during the heyday of the British Empire control of Burma (now Myanmar) whose last outpost, Hong Kong was just recently surrendered to China, speak of the beauties of Rangoon “where the dawn comes up like thunder across the Bay—where the flying fishes play”. It is a song full of nostalgia, a song which typifies the successes of the British East India Company’s (BEIC) total cover-up of its involvement in the opium drug trade.

As we now know, opium was the principal money-earner for the Lords of BEIC. Today, the opium trade in Burma is ten times what it was in the 19th Century, only now, it is in “foreign” hands. In 1988 an organization called the State Law and Order Restoration Council (SLORC) seized power and without much ado, promptly incorporated the opium-heroin trade into the economy of the nation, but with control still resting with the “300”.

Organizations genuinely fighting to quell the prosperous and booming trade were disbanded, its leaders either imprisoned or they went missing, as SLORC staged a crackdown on them. One of the most unfortunate victims of the crackdown was U Saw Lu, who had been bitterly opposed to the proliferation of poppy cultivation and the huge increase in the number of opium addicts in the country. In the early morning hours of January 19, 1992, SLORC military agents under the direction of General Khin Nyunt, head of SLORC’s military intelligence, seized Lu and spirited him away to a secret location where he was continuously tortured.

According to Benjamin Min, a former officer of SLORC based in Rangoon, “Lu was hung upside down, like Mussolini, while electric shocks were applied to his testicles; urine was poured over his face and mouth, nearly choking him; chains were used to beat him. This went on for 56 days, and when he was lowered, he lay next to a freshly dug grave for days without medical attention for his serious internal injuries. Although a doctor was there for the 56 days the torture lasted, he made no effort to assist Lu.”

SLORC had discovered that Lu was funneling information on drug production and shipments to a DEA special agent in Rangoon, Richard Horn, which information was invaluable as it linked SLORC to Major Than Aye who in turn was linked to the world’s most notorious opium trade chieftain, Lo Hsing Han, a key partner in the growing and distribution of opium, later incorporated into Burma’s official economy. This was decidedly not the Burma of *Come Ye Back To Manderley* the romantic song about Britishers living in Rangoon in the 19th Century. What it was, of course, was a rough dose of reality and a warning to those who chose to oppose SLORC, and without knowing it, The Committee of 300.

Burma’s lucrative opium trade comes out of poppy cultivation by the Wa tribe, a tribe of about 1 million people living in the remote and almost inaccessible mountains and valleys of Shan State, who plant and cultivate as much as 85-90 percent of the opium poppy

crop. The Wa live without the benefits of modern society, that is to say, they have no electricity service, running water, sewers or roads, no phones, no schools or hospitals, but they are smart enough to demand payment in gold-only for their opium poppies. This insistence on gold was partly responsible for making Hong Kong the premiere trading center in the world for the precious metal. All opium-related gold transactions were done out of Hong Kong, and this will not change with the Chinese takeover.

The opium trade in Burma has quadrupled since the SLORC took power in 1988 and, of course, the Wa people have enjoyed a bigger income. French DSGE and DST satellite photos show a veritable explosion of poppy-growing between 1988-1990 in Wa country. Burma now exceeds 50 percent of the world supply of raw opium and heroin in a trade worth \$70 billion annually, the most of which is pure profit. One of the most reliable agencies tracking the problem is the civilian front of the DSGE, “Geopolitical Drug Watch”, based in Paris—and its Francois Casanier says that it is impossible to do drug business in Burma without the permission of SLORC.

DSGE says that in Rangoon there is no opposition to money laundering so that “Rangoon is today the center of drug-money laundering on a scale far exceeding all other known money laundering centers. Drug money has become so intertwined with legitimate commerce that it would take someone like Price Waterhouse to sort it out.” (Price Waterhouse is the accounting firm that does all the “books of account” for the Committee of 300.)

The Wa, in spite of producing the most sought after agricultural crop in the world today, far outranking soy beans, wheat, corn, rice and other traditional commodities, remain poor people. Incidentally, when the time comes and opium is openly traded on the commodities markets of the world—as it surely will—the Wa will become rich people, but that is still in the not-so-distant future.

Lu proposed to break the stranglehold that poppy-growing had over the Wa people. Like others before him, Lu believed that “alternative agriculture” would wean the Wa people away from growing poppies. Of course, this will never work; growing rice, corn, wheat or anything else, requires a suitable climate and a great deal more effort. His memorandum to DEA agent Horn was quite explicit in what he proposed in this regard.

Horn embraced Lu’s proposals with enthusiasm and told his superiors in Washington that he would work very closely with Lu and the Wa people. Now comes the part that most American will balk at accepting; they will say to me, “where is your proof” as if these things are displayed in shop windows like goods for sale, or printed in the establishment newspapers. If you are one of those demanding proof, then read no further and put this book down.

Horn’s dispatch to DEA headquarters in Washington met with instant disapproval by the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and the State Department. Few Americans know that the State Department has its own intelligence agency network, and this intelligence agency would have none of Lu’s proposals. According to sources, the CIA’s Rangoon station chief Arthur Brown delivered a copy of Lu’s proposals to Colonel Kyaw Thein, a ranking military intelligence officer in SLORC. Lu was picked up again by the police but Horn intervened and threatened to make public what had transpired, causing Col. Thein to back off.

What followed is even harder for the uninitiated to accept. In this respect and in order to get a handle on how the U. S. Government acts, I would strongly recommend a reading of my book, *Diplomacy By*

Deception. In September of 1993, against his strong protest, Horn was transferred out of Burma on the orders of the State Department, which acted under intense pressure from the CIA. Later, in a sworn deposition to the INS in which he sought refugee status, Benjamin Min, one of the leaders of the anti-opium front, states: “Their (the SLORC) aim was to assassinate some Wa people, leaders, U Saw Lu and myself as his chief deputy.”

“The recent ‘surrender’ of drug lord Khun Sa, labeled ‘the world’s most wanted heroin trader’ was, of course, nothing more than a public relations exercise intended to make the gullible believe that ‘progress against the heroin trade is being made, when it is nothing of the kind,’” says the DIGE. “Actually, it was no surrender, but more of a marriage among blood brothers which gives SLORC full access to Khun Sa’s drug laboratories in Shan State, previously out of their reach. Now the drug lords, including Khun Sa, are all together in Rangoon, in one big, happy family. Khun Sa’s ‘surrender’ was actually a big plus for SLORC and world heroin trade to which it is a major contributor.”

“The U.S. recently offered a bribe of \$2 million to SLORC to allow U.S. DEA and FBI agents to come into Rangoon and arrest and remove Khun Sa, which offer the SLORC, not surprisingly, rebuffed. To the SLORC, \$2 million is a joke and in the view of the DSGE, “a joke, especially when it is considered that Khun Sa was indicted in a U.S. federal court in 1988 for smuggling \$350 million worth of heroin into the U.S. If the U. S. was serious, it would have offered SLORC at least \$1 billion.”

“SLORC has strengthened its hold over the Wa tribe, naming several of its leaders to help in the writing of a new constitution and naming them to political and commercial positions of importance. This has given SLORC full control of Shan State and of every border post and control center along all of Burma’s borders. This was confirmed by the Australian Parliament Committee of Foreign Affairs, Defense and Trade, which reported that “narcotics is protected at the highest levels of the government” (of Burma) and that “SLORC’s involvement occurs on a personal and individual basis for personal profit”. The Committee of 300 was not mentioned.

Country Commercial Guide says that slightly more than 50 percent of Burma’s economy is unaccounted for, and that exports of opiates alone appear to be worth as much as all legal exports. Their report concludes that the booming hotel and tourist business in Rangoon comes mainly from investments by the drug trade. “Barriers between the opiates sector and the legal economy appear to have weakened in recent years, a trend that may have accelerated in the last few months” their report concludes. Just like the old days of BEIC.

DSGE reports have it that the “main channel for money laundering is Burma’s national oil company, Myanmar Oil and Gas Enterprise (MOGE). “It is the main channel for a laundering the revenues produced by the opium trade and exported under the control of the Army. Despite the fact that MOGE has no assets besides the limited installments its foreign partners make, the Singapore bank accounts of this company have seen the transfer of hundreds of millions of U.S. dollars—\$60 million of it having come from Khun Sa. Drug money is irrigating every economic activity in Burma and big foreign partners are seen by SLORC as the shield for money laundering. The national bank in Rangoon is currently touting its money-laundering services, and offers this service for a 40 percent fee.”

Yet, even while the U.S. government knows what is going on, its “war on drugs” consists of Clinton ordering

check-cashing facilities and issuers of private money orders to report transaction of \$700 and over, while banks are obliged by law to report transactions of \$10,000 and over. U.S. Postal Money Orders are now scrutinized through a special office for this purpose at a facility located at St. Louis, Missouri.

“This,” my DSGE source said in disgust, “is what the U.S. calls, striking a blow against the drug trade. Pathetic, isn’t it” he said, “especially when we take into account that a decisive blow could have been struck against the heroin trade in Burma, had DEA agent Horn been allowed to proceed but, instead, in one swift blow, Arthur Brown, the C.I.A.’s station chief in Rangoon ended what may have been the greatest opportunity to permanently expose what was going on in Burma and put SLORC out of business. Now that would have been a real blow for the “war on drugs”.

Richard Horn has filed a suit against the C.I.A. saying that the agency illegally surveilled his residence in Rangoon, in order to find out about his unique opportunity to eradicate poppy fields in Shan, so that the eradication plan, then in an advanced stage, could be given to SLORC. Horn was last heard of as a DEA group supervisor operating out of New Orleans, Louisiana, far away from the scene of action in Rangoon, well out of the way of the Burma drug lords of SLORC; like Lieutenant General Kyaw, Minister of Hotels and Tourism. Another serious development is that the military is now supplying “cheap” opium for local consumption among the Wa people and even farther afield, getting them hooked and dependent on the military for supplies, thus placing them under the direct control of SLORC. This is precisely what BEIC did in China.

Recalcitrants and those who do not easily accept military control, are taxed by SLORC until their opium crop is just enough to meet such taxes. Those who don’t meet their opium “quota” have their cattle seized, family members forcibly removed to areas where infrastructure projects are going on, where they work as slaves, without pay and not allowed to contact their relatives.

Although the British East India Company (BEIC) is no longer in charge of Rangoon or Mandalay, its successors, the Committee of 300, most certainly are and they exercise no less control over this lucrative trade today than did their predecessors and, then as now, much of the illicit huge profits, finds its way to the rich and the famous in the U.S. “Where would Hollywood be without Burma?” my DSGE source asked sarcastically.

Where, indeed! The “war on drugs” which began in the Reagan era, always a hollow farce, is much more so today. It is long past the time when our Representatives and Senators in Washington set the stage for a true, all-out war on drugs—unless we want the flood tide of heroin coming out of Rangoon to totally overwhelm the Nation. The solution rests with We-the-People. We were left a legacy by the Founding Fathers which placed all power in our hands. Let us now constitutionally, make the fullest use of it before we, too, are reduced to slaves in the new Communist [Zionist] State known as the One World Government-New World Order.

[END OF PART 15 AND SERIES]

* * * * *

To order this title or any of Dr. Coleman’s publications, or to receive a copy of Dr. Coleman’s catalog and *World In Review* magazine, please call (800) 942-0821 or Write To:

W.I.R.
2533 North Carson Street, Suite J-118
Carson City, NV 89706

Doris’ Corner

11/16/00—#1 (14-92)

By Doris & E.J. Ekker

RE: A.C. EKKER: IN HONOR OF A FALLEN BROTHER

WHO CAN TEND THE FALLEN?

First, a “from the bottom of our hearts” thank you to those who wait lovingly and patiently for some further response or notice from us regarding E.J.’s brother’s death. What a cold term is the word “death” that brings tears to the mind, soul and heart. We have now lost two “brothers” within the month and the heart cries out to “STOP” while life goes right on without slowing for our wishes or consolation.

It is amazing how weeping “sort of” eases the hurt in the throat but the ache returns more brutally as the moments pass and one tries to put aside the distraction. So, we stuff the hurt into our bottle and plug in the cork only to find you can’t get the contents to stay in the bottle. So, we grieve and finally we grieve for all—including even the memories left to sort, the tasks to be fulfilled and the self turns to the task at hand—wherever you find self or “the other”. Well, we know where A.C. might be found; I’m not so sure about the rest of us.

To you who don’t know of what I speak: E.J.’s brother A.C. died in a plane crash at the Ekkers’ ranch in Utah on the 12th—Sunday, I believe. We were notified the same day, which is the 13th in Manila.

Memories first flood the stunned mind, then “mortality” hits with a hit to the heart/mind and then one sorts the pieces and finally settles to a dull ache wherein decisions have to be finalized. However, our NOT returning to the states was not among the decisions to be made. We simply can not do that.

We have made a commitment to the very ones we have lost to transition to wherever souls travel from this physical grid of energy-flow. If any should pass on we would not turn from our appointed job to attend funerals or whatever else might be confronting. We made that commitment to Wally and we made THAT COMMITMENT TO A.C., DIRECTLY.

A.C. supported us, as did the other members of the family, to do exactly what we are doing and our most directly worthy gift to each of them is to keep right on keeping on—right here in Manila, Philippines.

Our family will stand in for us as things come to a rolling boil here in Manila.

But to A.C.? I remember once sitting in a most exciting sharing of ideas and commenting that some of us really walk the edge. I remember a couple of grand conclusions we reached: (1) If you are always dying, then you sure aren’t living; (2) I would rather die doing something than try to live doing nothing, and (3) living isn’t worth it if you are dead already.

WHAT HAPPENED?

E.J. is in meetings all this week with very important persons who have come for the very purpose of the meetings themselves and have a major role in setting

the Alliance into motion and no, at this time there is no one else to take the reins from us here. The Philippines is in Anarchy while trying desperately to get themselves into some kind of “survival” mode. We have both the necessary answers to the plight and, as well, our program is being studied in the APEC (Asian Pacific Economic Cooperation) meeting taking place in Brunei as we write. Bill Clinton and Chelsea are attending as well as the local area Asian nations’ leaders. At least Clinton is gracious and respectful, unlike our presentation in Malaysia in which Gore and Albright embarrassed the U.S. and, actually, the entire world. That is another tale but very related to E.J. and me.

We don’t yet have answers. What we do know is that at the ranch it was “gathering” time for the cattle. In that area bounding the Canyonlands National Park, the area is beautiful but huge in area and rugged beyond belief. A.C. had, as we understand it, gone in the plane early in the morning to locate the cattle scattered around for the riders to gather later in the day.

He had two people in the plane with him and both survived. A.C. sustained mortal head wounds and died on his way to the hospital.

Everyone should realize that the ranch is remote; help had to be summoned by helicopter from Grand Junction, Colorado. It matters little “what” happened, only the resulting consequences are useful now.

To you who don’t know A.C., the Ekkers, or even care to know, it is only appropriate that I take the story a bit more objectively forward.

A.C., as a matter of fact, was the cover picture and lead story in *National Geographic* in Nov. 1974 (as best I can remember the approximate date).

The story itself was by and about Robert Redford and his “Riding the Outlaw Trails”. Ekkers were likewise featured in Robert’s book by that title. This was a consequence of the movie, *BUTCH CASSIDY AND THE SUNDANCE KID*, made prior to the book.

Robert Redford became good friends with both Father Ekker and A.C. Redford came to Green River, Utah to give the eulogy at Father Arthur’s funeral some years ago. A.C. remained friends and all involved in *Sipapu Odyssey* (Now a “Journal”). I mention this to our own friends and family.

A.C. managed the family ranch, basically single handedly, as it should have been because his life had been like a man’s dream of adventures from pack trips (horseback) around the globe to local pack trips along the old outlaw trails. The ranch is a historical site and now is being eaten away by the Federal grabbers to land rights, grazing rights and water rights.

A.C. ran white-water river trips as a business on the Green and Colorado Rivers and ran the more notable rivers elsewhere—even to Alaska.

He belonged to riding clubs which included Ronald Reagan and others from the rather elite clubs of California and elsewhere.

To us he was simply a brother whose life was colorful and, indeed, to the sisters Evelyn (Tissy) and Gaye, could create more work and call them for help than any ten other people under similar circumstances.

A.C. had excelled as a rodeo “player”, having been the All Around Intercollegiate Rodeo Champion in his

University of Utah college days and, although through the years his body was bashed unceasingly, he never lost the addiction to the game. He was still (to his passing) totally involved in cattle, horses and everything related.

Will he be missed? Well, to the family he remained indispensable and now we will find “how indispensable” as the sorting of a lifetime of family foundations are set forth to be considered along with the challenges of “what do we do now?”.

A.C. was an exceptional pilot and bush pilot. He certainly lived life to the fullest and overflowed the cup. Whatever happened this fateful day of November 12, 2000 was part of that ongoing recognition of involvement along with the responsibility of getting a Fall “round-up” accomplished. And no, we don’t even know how that will be concluded but certainly, if required, I would give a bet that such as Willie Nelson and his friends and Redford or a hundred others would be on the next “horse” to Robber’s Roost.

My hopes are that you as readers, if you are interested in “our” background, would look up these publications for they tell of a “family” with total integrity. It is perhaps a dying breed of those whose word is their true honor. That code of ethics comes from the very earth-foundation of what men should be and where even a handshake is unnecessary. This is from where E.J. (and yes, I) fundamentally take our stand—be it a cattle ranch or a Phoenix Institute or a GLOBAL ALLIANCE placed into our hands to bring to birthing. Confronting death itself is a focusing occasion when we move outside our puny selves and self-pity to consider the overall events within our journey scripts.

Was A.C. “religious”? NO—A.C. is from the same stock that KNOWS God in a most SPIRITUAL way, through the very creations shared with all of us and wherein God’s Creation is best witnessed: In that wondrous experience of life and Earth itself. You cannot spend time at that place in that wondrous country and NOT KNOW GOD. All the rest are simply human trappings, equally appreciated but not mandatory to “living” in particular.

Yes, indeed, we personally owe A.C. more than we could ever repay but we shall diligently continue to make every effort under the heavens to do so—to the family left behind.

We are old now and as with so many of our own beloved friends, we seem to have found our worthy purpose(s) when others are passing on. We are not finished and, as we ponder our position, we find that we are “not yet there” in terms of simply walking away from this task undertaken. However, the finish line (starting line?) is within sight and God is “closing in”.

And to Wally Gentleman: We will just have to find another manager and host for the motion pictures yet to be done. Life does, indeed, go right on while we were making those other plans.

“Two-By” sent a picture which arrived on the same fateful day, depicting Lord Michael (the First “Policeman” bearing a fallen officer to heaven). It is wonderful in concept and depiction and shall surely never be forgotten.

A second “coincidence” the same day was the arrival of another package from Edith Schenk of British Columbia, Canada. The gift was two hand-painted plates depicting little wonderful birds. Some days just to receive “wings” is sufficient to bridge the gap in the heart. Thank you.

Then last evening arrived a “care” package from

Randy and Janis bearing “peanut butter”. Ah, yes, SOUL FOOD. This is good for the “soul”, bad for the waste-land—er-a-a, line.

I have no notion that I fully “understand” anything except that we have to confront what is and then make choices—always in hope that we make the correct choices benefiting our most precious “others” and serving the greater good while protecting the most people in our ever-widening circle of impacted people serving alongside us. We will confront the “other” circumstances as we meet them, or perhaps better expressed: We will cross that bridge when we get to the river. Rivers actually have “no return” as such, except in the recycling process and we considered that specific point as we passed the point in this task before us, of “no return”, no cop-out and no reverse possibility; we simply must continue paddling our canoe, come falls or rapids.

We renew our commitment to all of you who have walked this walk with us that we will continue directly ahead as this wondrous journey unfolds. That commitment is also made to brother A.C. and to our friend, Wally. Much comes AFTER the passage of anyone and therein, we trust, can we make our greatest contribution.

The rest comes as we petition for input and then let go and let God. We will not be given more than we can handle as long as we choose to actually “handle” it. “It” being anything that happens while we make those other “physical” plans in experience. And as for those who come against us to destroy that which we are and hold—GOD KEEPS SCORE and his rewards are JUST, not always in the appearance of “FAIR”. So, do we break? No, because the support system allows for the flexibility of “bending” to the task given. Moreover, the individual “testing” never ceases as we are “graded” on our individual sovereign response to circumstances presented. Furthermore, we need not decipher the puzzle for another—only for self.

In closing I would express our love and appreciation for having had the opportunity to move along with brothers and sisters among which is an A.C. who came and played for a while in our sandbox (or was it us playing in his?). Then as Richard Bach wrote: We’ll meet now and then in that place beyond birthdays and within forever—for that which “is” IS FOREVER.

A.C. took a great burden upon himself to manage that which would have fallen upon the rest of the family and for that we are most surely grateful. The ranch and the land was the basic foundation upon which the man lived and it is how we shall always remember him.

As a bit of humor to the moment of loss of a younger brother we would share A.C.’s most recent “problems” with the Bureau of Land Management (The Federal confounding idiots of the insane government).

To give a little background it should be noted that the last few years were spent by A.C. trying to work out a relationship with the Government and others for a partial sale of property rights and segregate water-rights, etc. That finally took place and agreements were reached and time limitations for removal of cattle from certain areas was established.

Now, we have the setting for confusion, I would say, as the next step in finalizing the agreements was that a fence had to be installed to keep out the cows, etc.

HOWEVER, the little wild donkeys are protected under a different set of laws so that the cattle can be contained but the donkeys must pass freely THROUGH the fence. The nice thing is that A.C. would have a year to use the land FOLLOWING the

installation of the fence—which the Powers-that-Be offered him the opportunity of installing.

He declined—since there is NO SUCH FENCING yet known to man as to how to allow full passage of donkeys with no allowance of passage of cows or horses. Idiocy must be a special mark of the bureaucratic personnel.

Oh, by the way, since that land would become somewhat labeled “wilderness”, it would be precluded that there would be no roads, etc. So, to the people who would access such property—get ready to hike a couple of days to even use the grand “wilderness”.

I ask you: Is this an interesting life or what?

Obviously these rules and regulations are structured by the same legal eagles who run the rest of the world, including U.S. elections. And when is it over? When every last cent of every sovereign citizen and every piece of property of same—is transferred into “their” hands and pockets.

Who do “we” root for in the Presidential election? NEITHER! Checkmate!

What will happen in the Philippines as to authority, impeachment, etc.? Well, the President has been impeached—it is just a power-show from here on in as to whether or not there are enough “fixed” senators to carry Erap’s day or serve the people.

I am, however, sorry that every person on Earth can’t be witness to a fledgling Republic trying to lay a foundation from nothing but U.S. regulations as established while struggling to keep some semblance of independence and sovereignty. It is incredible to be able to witness what must have been taking place as the U.S. began her REAL struggle for independence—and never made the grade.

Will we, then, be able to make our program work? It is apparently structured that Mr. Estrada seals his fate for not having acknowledged, at the least, this program, for he has had access to GAIA for over two years. He has taken kickbacks from the very hounds of Hell to NOT allow this to flow forth. The people, eventually, will learn of that indiscretion.

Is it “safe” for us? No, but with things such as have happened to us at the hands of our “partners” and co-workers, we certainly don’t concern much over “enemies”. But, no, we cannot stop the wheels already in motion as to Federal raids and/or other actions already taken. Will God manipulate? NO, God allows—we must walk the walk with the tools we are given, our intelligence and ability to reason and think. THAT is the point of this game in play and somehow we most usually overlook the fact of that reality. As we are “allowed” to share, we find that we are offered the “way” to accomplishment. It means, however, that we STAY THE COURSE and not serve our own selfish “rather haves”. When we LEARN enough to create BOTH, then perhaps we will be in “graduation” territory.

I am asked what I think about the new *Spectrum* Internet information. I guess the learning curve is taking its course—and I think “how good they had it and never seemed to have known”.

God blesses each unto his own needs and HIS own presentations. May A.C. enjoy this new “way to fly”—for in the passage we earn our wings.

In loving memory and with a big void in the heart we grieve “our” losses, for there is no loss to those who move on.

E-E

11/19/00—#2 (14-95)

RE: COPY: SUNDRY INFORMATION OF INTEREST

THERE IS WISDOM IN CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE NON-IDENTIFICATION IS THE INTELLIGENT PRESENTATION.

That intelligence is herein easy to follow, having been given no identification of source or resource, but rather, just dropped off marked for our attention or FYI.

I can't even begin to repeat all that comes our way, even though it is all more interesting than what is presented in the mainstream papers daily—although source is to be found in the daily publications.

There is a new little published “white paper” that seems to have just started circulation via a very small network. It is obviously a “published” paper but is, I'm confident, filled with information which President Estrada might not like to have scattered around. It is not information unknown—just buried if at all possible.

We who would rather find no connections, for instance, between happenings in the Philippines and the U.S.A., find it disappointing at the massive involvements thereof.

It is known, for instance, and has been published that much of Estrada's hopes for his future existence is based on Gore being elected President of the U.S.

If you consider the causes of charges of the impeachment of Estrada, now in process, you will recognize why some of the things, such as mistresses, are so important. But I can't spend the time or space on all that background. It will make a good journal but we start too far behind to catch up today. We are witnessing history of a most distressing sort being dumped onto some very wonderful people who are almost primitive in their beings and in deep poverty while being manipulated by trained seals of the U.S. Unfortunately, the primitive players are too greedy and self-styled to even recognize their own disastrous plight as takeover from them is under way.

They have used the very rules which offer shelter to further the wicked intentions and greed—and it is being shown forth. Ah but—the point in impeachment is: CAN THE MAN GOVERN PROPERLY? Clinton had the advantage of sophistication—and presented in a time of good economic perceptions and experience—and a nation seemingly becoming stronger under his administration.

Poor Erap is faced with devastation of the markets—and the economy and the currency continue their downward spirals. He is blamed, right or wrong, for the ills of the whole—but that is the point—can he continue to have authority TO GOVERN?

The people who will decide, the Senate, are now totally preoccupied with numbers, not evidence—AND, yes, indeed, “what do you wear for your grandstand appearance”. So much so that there had to be a new delay so that black “gowns” could be gotten—so that everyone would look the same.

In addition, we have already shared the indiscretions of the “jurors” (Senators) who have partaken of equally bad activities and “gifts”. Now, they can't very well convict Erap without betraying their own secret lives. And the “lawyers”, oh, my, the lawyers which all of the Senators ARE, make the circus, in this instance, 22 rings in action at once. Every one of them are on daily talk shows and if there

is anything these people love to do—it IS TALK, TALK, TALK AND MAKE DRAMAS WITHOUT THOUGHT TO CONSEQUENCES OR TRUTH. IT IS AMAZING. Headlines in TODAY's paper tell of the deliberate delays of the Senate even as we write.

Without more ado:

[QUOTING *COUNTDOWN*, A weekly intelligence summary, San Juan, Metro Manila. Volume 1, No. 1, November 9, 2000:] **[D: Do not confuse this “San Juan” with any person; it is a “place” and the place happens to have Jinggoy Estrada, in the footsteps of his more famous father Erap, as its mayor.]**

MACEDA SCREWS ERAP—PART II

Former Senate President Ernesto Maceda, who holds a doctorate degree in Political Double Cross, is back in town. Certainly, this political whore did not resign his ambassadorial post in Washington D.C. for nothing. Not even Erap, his stupidly naive benefactor, buys the canard that the purpose of this homecoming is to help President Estrada parry the growing demand for his ouster.

Indeed, Maceda's resignation made a lot of eyebrows rise up to the scalp. There are valid observations that if it were only to help Erap tackle his losing bid to stave off the prospect of getting junked soon, Ambassador Maceda could have just availed of a “recall for consultation”. But why did he have to resign if he does not have a hidden agenda?

Well, this is not the first time that our favorite con artist will be pulling the rug from under Erap's feet. He had victimized Erap several times in the past—the most notable of which was a few days before the 1992 presidential polls—when, after pocketing hundreds of millions in campaign funds, Manong Earning suddenly quit as Erap's general campaign manager. Maceda's abrupt departure left the silver-screen hero limping and with no other alternative but to leave the presidential derby, and immediately settled as the victorious runningmate of their common boss Danding Cojuangco.

And if, in the past, Maceda had hoodwinked the bemoustached stud from San Juan, what can prevent the man whom the late Manila Mayor Arsenio Lacson described as “so young, yet so corrupt”, from doing it on his favorite victim—his milking cow—once again?

MACEDA'S MISSION

There's no doubt that he is back in town to carry out a mission for Uncle Sam. He was given an enormous sum to fund his initiatives, one of which is to deliver to Malacañang a very important message from Washington D.C. In brief, the message is for Erap to “cut and cut clean”.

Since Maceda is back in the guise of helping Erap, it will not come as a surprise if he will be able to lure Malacañang into funding his special operations, which is mainly scenario-building. And among the scenarios that Manong Earning is working on are the “El Loco” prototype; a possible military invention; or one where Erap will be allowed staying in office, but merely as titular head of state.

Deadlines? Erap has until December 15, 2000 to shape up, or ship out “para ang mga Pinoy ay magkaroon naman ng Maligayang Pas-coup.” **[D: No, I don't speak Filipino but I do know that Maceda was a pre-arranged Ambassador to the U.S. on direct orders from the U.S. I also KNOW, because it is public, that the other U.S. tool called Ramos (ex-president) has given Erap until**

December 15 “to reform”. We must not overlook those deadlines given forth by our own U.S. agents. What might that have to do with our own programs? Can't say, but it has been told to us that if the current power doesn't use it, the upcoming power will. Is this true? Well, we surely do not know and our instructions are to STAY OUT OF IT. Walking this tightrope is a most interesting exercise for us—but every warning is attended to the best of our ability. By the way, today, Maceda is returned to the U.S. for his next instructions. I would guess the next instructions for immediate “hang in there” came directly from Billy Clinton in Brunei—as Clinton told Erap at a private meeting that he was “doing the right thing” and to “hold strong”. Where does that put us? We have no idea.]

PUT UP OR SHUT UP

From a record low of P51.95 to U.S. dollar, the domestic currency rebounded to P48.05 during the first trading day after the successful opposition rally at the EDSA shrine last Saturday.

In the next 24 hours, however, the value of the U.S. dollar ballooned back to P49.35 last Tuesday and on the following Wednesday, it farther slid down to P50.55. To the stubborn and criminally callous, the peso's plummeting performance may not bother them at all. But to the decent and socially sensitive, it is a slap in the face of President Estrada! It is tantamount to telling the head of state, “Hey, Mr. Stupid, are you damn?” **[D: It is obvious to anyone who really knows the score that the \$\$\$s are the manipulated side of the puzzle—not the individual national currencies. Being “damned” by the international banking cartel is the code-action of the day, while the people slip deeper and deeper into the hopelessness—at Christmas, no less, as usual.]**

To the banking and business sectors these sharp reversals contradict the Estrada administration's claim that investor confidence on them has not waned and that the current economic instability being experienced is due to the protest actions and the allegations that President Estrada is enmeshed (intended word) in certain immoral and criminal activities.

On one hand, objective economic analysts maintain that the unprecedented appreciation of the domestic currency was brought about by the popular impression that the successful EDSA rally on November 4, 2000 would hasten Erap's departure from Malacañang.

On the other hand, the Estrada administration made an analysis and even boasted that the peso's appreciation is an indication that the president, who has at least 14 wives, still enjoys the confidence of the business and investments sectors.

In fact, stock-market analysts are one with the opinion that the peso will plummet farther to P55 per U.S. dollar before Christmas 2000, in spite of the projected inward remittances of OFW (overseas workers) dollars. And when this occurs, it will be disastrous because the people will start speculating that the depreciation will worsen to about P60 before year's end.

Then, anarchy—characterized by riots and mobs ransacking stores and supermarkets—will not be too far away!

DID YOU KNOW?

1. That Secretary Robert Aventajado through Sulu Governor Sakur Tan finds it easy to blackmail Wilde Almeda, head pastor of the Jesus Miracle Crusade, to

participate in the ecumenical rally for peace and unity. It was the governor who paid Almeda P10 million to bring his 12 prayer warriors to the Abu Sayyaf lair as a squid tactic aimed at concealing their principal participation in the kidnap-for-ransom racket.

2. That Captain Boying Lopez, husband with whom Rowena has two children (the PAL flight stewardess whose third offspring was sired by President Joseph Estrada) is back in town. He is threatening to testify before the Senate Blue Ribbon Committee on how was Erap bribed—with a BMW car and a house at the Marina—to grab his wife from him and threatened him with bodily harm. **[D: Obviously, it was an “indecent proposal” that wasn’t refused. Let me note right here, however, that Erap Estrada will never be a Robert Redford either on or off the silver screen.]**

3. That Jaime de Chavez purchased for Erap a 5,000 sq. m. mansion at #52 Pili St., Forbes Park, worth P180 [million]. This property was formerly owned by Rolly de Asis. **[D: General rule of thumb at “that time”: divide the pesos per dollar at about 40; and to get square feet generally multiply by ten, i.e. a 5000 sq. meter mansion equates to “times 10” it. Forbes Park is THE Elite of elite areas here.]**

4. El Shaddai’s Mike Velarde, Mark Jimenez and President Estrada are co-owners of the Manila Banking Corporation, which was formerly owned by the Puyats.

5. Ricky Razon, owner of the Manila Standard and ITCSI bankrolled the rigged SWS survey by Mahar Mangahas (cousin of actor Ronnie Poe).

6. Atty. Dante Lao Gan and Dante Go of Sugarland are the conduits of Erap to Emilio Yap and Lucio Tan [Taipans].

7. Like the El Shaddai, the Iglesia ni Cristo is also a heavy investor in the *Metro Star*. This accounts for the reason why their leaders can not leave Erap.

8. That the latest addition to Erap’s harem is Marla Poblete Almendras, who works at William Gatchalian’s Waterfront Hotel in Davao City.

9. Yusi Tangco of Asian Terminals Inc. is bankrolling Erap’s telephone brigade, which is run by Bobby Oca.

10. Druglord Alfredo Tiongco through lawyer Oliver Lozano, responding to the plea of Presidential son Jude, financed the pro-Erap rally by Marcos loyalists at the batasan.

[END OF QUOTING]

I believe that you can understand that, since these things are proving to be true, we have no wish to know who is presenting the information or to have opinions on same. Fortunately (or unfortunately), we have had to be in this place long enough to be unable to escape the presentation of the persons and happenings taking place here—even if we stay totally out of it. Otherwise, no comment, please.

[QUOTING *COUNTDOWN*, A weekly intelligence summary, San Juan, Metro Manila. Volume 1, No. 2, November 16, 2000:]

AN ERAP ACQUITTAL MEANS— CIVIL WAR!!

Spawned by widespread poverty, which is aggravated by the moral decomposition and bankruptcy of the Estrada administration, a bloody civil war appears to be the ordinary Filipino’s ultimate recourse should, for one reason or another, the first Philippine President ever

impeached gets exonerated by the Senate.

In fact, the November 14, 2000 “Welga ng mamamayan”, which was aggressively carried out by both labor and capital, should serve as a fair warning to all and sundry that patriotic forces are not, and never shall be, prepared to accept an acquittal of the most immoral, most corrupt, most inept and most incompetent president the Pearl of the Orient Seas ever had. We must bear in mind that the protesters are not limited to the civilians who can lynch the evil forces from San Juan, but as well enjoy the support of a significant faction of the armed forces and a large contingent of police elements.

To them, Ilocos Sur Governor Luis “Chavit” Singson’s checkered past is of no moment. They are in complete harmony with the opinion that Chavit’s disclosures merely provided the prosecutors with the documentary evidences required to effect the swift conviction of Jose Cesar Ejercito y. Marcelo, alias Joseph Estrada (the same guy who masterminded the scandalous insider trading of Best World Resources stocks) for a series of criminal activities that are of public knowledge for the past several moons.

ERAP’S BIGAMOUS MARRIAGE

President Estrada, “the lord of all Jueteng Lords”, is the same college dropout who was the live-in partner of one, Peachy Osorio, a sister of movie director Bebong Osorio. After getting beaten black and blue at the Bayside Night Club (along Dewey Blvd., Pasay City), this hooligan flipped over and got confined at the national Mental Hospital in Mandaluyong, Rizal.

While recuperating from his “psychosis”, he met and courted Dr. Luisa Pimentel, his psychiatrist. The two eventually got married in Tunasan, Laguna. Three children came out of their union.

It was not long after Jude was born—when his psychiatrist-wife could no longer stand the philandering and other criminal activities of Erap—that she had fled to the U.S.

As his movie career started to sour, Erap capitalized on whatever was left of his popularity as an action star. He ran and eventually became the mayor of San Juan. To replace the absent Dra. Loi, he contracted a bigamous marriage with Guia Gomez, his one-time leading lady, at the Pinaglabanan Church and presented her to the public as “the First Lady of San Juan”.

To every sane Filipino, Erap is the immediate cause of the Philippines’ plummeting economy. To keep Erap in Malacañang is to resign to the fate that the Philippine economy will continue to plunge because investors—both foreign and domestic—will continue to shy away for lack of confidence.

We will not be surprised if in the near future some patriot will do the supreme sacrifice of literally offering his own life just so the entire nation can get rid of that beast we address as His Excellency.

But we still see a ray of hope that the Senate will eventually convict Erap. Aside from the likes of Senators Coseteng, Santiago, Sotto and Revilla, all others are believed to still be in possession of some faculties that could make them rise above partisan politics. To many, Senate President Pimentel is a level-headed man. He is just—in so many more ways than one, a man of peace.

ARE YOU AWARE?

That the car of Laarni Enriquez, the favorite Presidential mistress, is a Jaguar Daimler, which is propelled by a V-8 engine? It’s license plate is JLE 777, which stands for Joseph and Laarni Estrada.

That Mimi Jorge, the mistress of Executive Secretary Ronnie Zamora, now lives at Ayala Heights, Quezon City? Her neighbors are wondering where she has been getting all her millions to support her lavish lifestyle. To build a new one, she had her old house, which was worth several millions, torn down recently.

Through Lucio Co’s bagman and Bank of Commerce President Raul de Mesa, President Estrada recently paid P120 million to acquire the house of Luis Nepomuceno at Forbes Park.

There are military intelligence [reports] showing that Malacañang had paid P100 million each to Senators John Osmena, Tessie Oreta and Kit Tatad **[D: Sorry, Teri.]** in exchange for Erap’s acquittal. Senator Meriam Santiago is asking for P150 million on top of the favors already granted to her. **[D: Just never enough, I guess.]**

The reason why PNP Chief Ping Lacson suddenly left for the U.S. 3 days ago was because beauty titlist Aileen Damiles, an emcee of ABC 5’s *Easy Dancing*, gave birth to his child?

Erap’s mansion in Wack Wack is worth P230 millions. It is a gift from Amb. Danding Cojuangco. Actually, it is Erap’s share from several deals involving San Miguel Corporation and others.

[END OF QUOTING]

Ah, but you suggest these are truly just rumors? Well, try this next on for size and see how really bad it is over here, where the criminals have dumped on the people.

In the richest gold-holding country in the world, you get the following TODAY:

[QUOTING *The Philippine STAR*, Business section, Sunday **[So nobody would notice.]**, November 19, 2000:]

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES (RP) GOLD RESERVES VALUED AT \$1.86 BILLION

By Marianne V. Go

[D: This points up that if people really knew how and who runs the government and their lives—there would be lynching of the politicians in the streets.]

The country’s gold reserves as of Oct. 31 this year have been valued at approximately \$1.86 billion, it was revealed yesterday by the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP).

The BSP also explained that 95 percent of the gold reserves, HAS BEEN SHIPPED OUT OF THE COUNTRY VIA A LOCATION SWAP TRANSACTION WITH AN ACCREDITED INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTION.

Under a location swap transaction, the BSP’s counterparty delivers an equivalent amount of gold to the BSP’s account at the BANK OF ENGLAND—after they take delivery of gold from the BSP’s vault and ship the gold out of the country.

That way, the BSP said, the counterparty and the BSP both save on the costs of shipping.

The BSP further explained that it ships its gold reserves to its account at the Bank of England so that these may be used for investment in the international markets.

For example, the BSP’s gold reserves can be deposited with accredited foreign financial institutions, in the name of the BSP, to generate interest income.

Such shipments, the BSP said, are always approved by the MONETARY BOARD, the policy-making body of the BSP, and are properly documented.

The BSP pointed out that the shipment of gold bars out of the country has been part of the gold operations of the BSP since its establishment in 1993.

The BSP said numerous countries also ship their gold reserves to a bank account located outside their respective countries, for example, an account with the Bank of England or with the FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF NEW YORK, so that the gold can be used for international financial transactions.

The BSP's gold reserves are also part of the country's gross international reserves, or GIR.

As of the end-October, the country's GIR stood at \$14.4 billion.

The BSP said that it used to buy gold from silver-scale miners from Davao. However, their gold production has dropped and most of its miners now no longer sell to the BSP since the buying and selling of gold has been liberalized under Circular 1389.

[END OF QUOTING]

OK, friends, there is not enough anti-nausea medication in the world to stop this sickness wafting across this place. Worse yet, this little lady, Ms. Go, hasn't the vaguest idea what she has just told the world. Just the column of her day to fill space.

It becomes obvious, however, as to just WHY so many of our contacts are told they have to go to London to get anything done—and yet when they get to London they are told to “wait” for maturing IMF permissions because the gold has to be “freed up”.

Well, Father has given us the perfection of his insight and gifts: WE DON'T HAVE TO HAVE THE REAL METAL—FOR OUR BASE IS GOLD AND SOMEBODY HAD BEST START GETTING IT BACK WHERE IT BELONGS, FOR THIS SORT OF INFORMATION IS NOW THE “CAT OUT OF THE BAG” TO ANY WHO KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE REAL PLIGHT OF AFFAIRS. GOD BLESS MAHATHIR FOR WHAT HE DID, HOPEFULLY NOT TOO LATE FOR HIS NATION, MALAYSIA.

Today I see what Commander meant when he said: “You will come to realize why it had to be in the Philippines that we would need to make our offers.”

I don't like this job, friends. E.J. responds differently and just keeps pushing ahead. I can't deal with such evil perpetrated on people who have to entrust their very lives into the hands of these evil traitors. They have been CAUGHT and yet, what is the solution? My own answer to my own question: GAIA—the LIVING gift of GOD to balance the very items thieved. Am I in “FEAR”? No, just scared to death.

And to whom and where have the massive sums of “money” gone? Right into the pockets of such as Estrada and his thugs—you know, that Monetary Board dished up regularly right through the IMF/WB! No wonder the gold-holders refuse to deal with that Central Bank bunch of criminals.

There are certainly no longer questions about WHO and FROM WHERE we are globally manipulated—or for whom such as Soros works. And yet the subterfuge is all but invisible to even those dealing in the books every day. This is why Greenspan tells these people that their recovery will depend on such as more “derivatives”, etc.

Well, we have what we need to change it and I know that we will keep right on until GOD CALLS US—LIKE IT OR NOT. Please, however, next time—remind me not to volunteer!

DJE

The News Desk

By John & Jean Ray

GLOBAL FINANCIAL ARCHITECTURE TO BE ADDRESSED IN DECLARATION

By S. Durga Varma, *Bernama*—Malaysia, 11/15/00

BANDAR SERI BEGAWAN—A new global financial architecture, an issue that requires immediate attention in the aftermath of the regional financial crisis, but one which has taken a backseat in terms of active debate, is expected to be addressed in the Brunei declaration by leaders of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum.

An extract of the Leaders Declaration, expected to run into some 40 pages, will be read by the Sultan of Brunei Darussalam Sultan Haji Hassanal Bolkiah at the end of the retreat at Jerudong Park Polo and Country Club here tomorrow.

Prime Minister Datuk Seri Dr. Mahathir Mohamad will, among others, join Japanese Prime Minister Yoshiro Mori, Russian President Vladimir Putin and his ASEAN counterparts at the retreat which will begin at 8 am.

APEC officials say the supervision of the global financial system needs to be addressed to **curb speculative currency activity**. Having recognised the damage it can do to developing and smaller economies, leaders are most certainly not going to sideline the issue, was the general line of thought.

The subject is also a “favourite” with Malaysia, which has been in the forefront of the quest for a restructure in the global financial system.

Both the President of Chile, Ricardo Lagos and the President of the People's Republic of China, Jiang Zemin today clearly also indicated their stand on the issue of a new global financial structure.

At the APEC 2000 CEO Summit this afternoon, both had remarked on the need for effective supervision and rational standardisation of cross-border capital flow.

Lagos had stressed that the APEC business community should press for some sort of international regulations to monitor the flow of capital as the free flow of capital was posing tremendous challenges for small economies.

He said the APEC forum was continuing to ignore the dangers of volatile short-term capital flows and has not recognised the need to check such flows by way of reforms.

Malaysia's quest to raise the issue of reforming the international financial architecture has been relentlessly continuing. Meanwhile, the declaration is also expected to address the widening disparities in wealth and knowledge and bringing the benefits of globalisation to everyone.

In this direction, the leaders are expected to instruct trade ministers in APEC to show leadership in international forums by taking forward an agenda that incorporates the interest of both developed and developing economies.

They are also expected to encourage finance ministers to continue with their extensive work in a wide range of programmes including skills development of financial and insurance regulators and insolvency

law reform and financial disclosure.

On creating new opportunities, the declaration is expected to contain new strategies that would profoundly improve the livelihood of the community ahead through the use of technology.

Realising the far-reaching benefits of technology on each economy, leaders may commit to developing and implementing a policy framework which would enable every person to have access to information and services offered by the Internet by 2010.

A wide ranging programme of capacity building to strengthen the process of preparing for the new economy would also be launched which would encompass a network to improve markets, electronic commerce, infrastructure, knowledge and skills development.

Meanwhile, ministers would also be asked to ensure that the new electronic Individual Action Plan (IAP) system enforced this year would be fully utilised and operational by next year.

Businesses would also be encouraged to use the BizAPEC Website and the APEC Clearing House as tools for seeking new opportunities within the region and to facilitate their trade and commerce.

In the area of facilitating the flow of goods and services within the region, custom procedures and standards are expected to be simplified and harmonised.

This area was raised by the APEC Business Advisory Council (ABAC) as needing priority attention as progress in the area is expected to benefit small and medium enterprises.

[JR: A broad range of financial instruments, tools, systems and structures were discussed during this APEC Business Advisory Council (ABAC) meeting. Under consideration was the restructure of the policies of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank (WB) which are destructive to most developing nations. On the top of the agenda for discussion, however, is a new global financial architecture, an Asian Monetary Fund (AMF), the establishment of an International Islamic Financial Market (IIFM), and behind the scene awaits the ultimate in financial plans—Global Alliance Investment Association (GAIA).]

ISRAEL TARGETS, KILLS PLO OFFICIAL

By John Diamond, Washington Bureau,
Chicago Tribune, 11/10/00

WASHINGTON—Frustrated by escalating violence in the Mideast, President Clinton met with Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat on Thursday as the White House retooled its mediation efforts into a more modest bid to restore dialogue between Arabs and Israelis.

Arafat arrived at the White House hours after Israeli helicopter gunships descended on a West Bank town and fired rockets into a van carrying Hussein Abayat, a leader of Arafat's Fatah, a faction of the

Palestine Liberation Organization. Israelis described Abayat, who was killed in the attack, as mastermind of the killing of three Israeli soldiers and pledged to continue with such strikes.

Clearly angered by what Palestinians are calling a deliberate assassination, Arafat told reporters following the meeting that he was willing to resume peace negotiations, but he blamed Israel for the continuation of violence.

"We are facing a very dangerous situation that's especially hindering the peace process," Arafat said outside the White House. "I am not the one who initiated the violence. My tanks are not attacking Israelis. My artillery is not sieging Israeli towns."

Israeli intelligence apparently had Abayat under surveillance for some time. The rocket attack also killed two women who were passing nearby in the village of Beit Sahour, West Bank, not far from Bethlehem.

The Israeli army lobbied heavily for Prime Minister Ehud Barak's approval of the attack on the basis that Abayat's group was specifically targeting Israeli officers, including the Israeli army chief, Lt. Gen. Shaul Mofaz. Barak, who once held Mofaz's job, assented.

Mofaz told Israeli radio that a rash of Palestinian violence would likely follow the helicopter attack, but he said Israel had to make a show of force, given the threat to its soldiers.

"In the short run, this response will increase the activity of armed Fatah men in the area," Mofaz said. "But in the long run, everyone who wants to harm Israeli army soldiers and citizens of Israel must know that he won't be spared."

Seven Palestinian civilians and four gunmen colleagues of Abayat were wounded in the strike. In a break from standard Israeli practice in the six-week crisis, there was no warning of the helicopter attack. Israeli officials said they could see no civilians nearby at the time of the strike; Palestinians insisted they were in plain sight.

The van in which Abayat was riding was left a hulk of blackened wreckage.

More than 180 people have been killed in six weeks of violence, most of them Palestinian. The specific targeting of leaders, however, represents an escalation of the conflict.

Palestinian negotiator Hassan Asfour said on Palestinian television that he warned his Israeli counterparts "the long arm cannot reach out without having its fingers cut off."

Clinton administration officials stopped short of publicly criticizing Israel but made clear their dismay over the latest intensification of the violence.

"We continue to be frustrated that the violence continues, and it remains a major focus of our discussions with both sides," White House spokesman P.J. Crowley said after Arafat's two-hour meeting with Clinton in the Oval Office. "What we need to see is a de-escalation on both sides rather than an escalation."

As for the helicopter attack, Crowley said only, "We continue to gather facts."

Gone is the talk at the White House and State Department of an ambitious "comprehensive" peace agreement. White House officials now speak of ending the violence and returning the parties to a "political process" as opposed to a "peace process".

The subtle shift in terminology reflects a prevailing

view at the White House that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict will now have to be resolved gradually, not in one dramatic summit meeting.

"Violence breeds violence, and we must find a way to break this cycle," said Samuel "Sandy" Berger, Clinton's national security adviser. The focus, Berger said, was "whether and how to get back to a political process. Where we go from here, obviously, we'll have to evaluate after these meetings..."

Clinton appeared briefly at the West Wing entrance with Arafat, clasping his hand and whispering something in his ear. He made no comments to reporters. Arafat then returned to his hotel to receive Secretary of State Madeleine Albright for further discussions.

Arafat described to Clinton his push for an international buffer force to step between Israeli soldiers and Palestinian demonstrators. Clinton repeated the U.S. opposition to the proposal, which Arafat was to present formally to the UN Security Council on Friday. But Thursday evening, appearing at a forum of the **Council on Foreign Relations**, a private foreign affairs think-tank, Arafat said Clinton promised to bring up the issue of a buffer force, possibly including U.S. forces, with Barak on Sunday.

Asked if he would agree to another attempt at a Camp David-like summit in hopes of reaching a comprehensive peace, Arafat gave a qualified yes.

"I would welcome any effort to convene another summit, provided that it is carefully prepared," Arafat said. He said the failed Camp David talks, while well-intended, were convened too hastily. Arafat also said he would not agree to defer such core issues as the fates of Jerusalem and Palestinian refugees. "Deferring issues is not going to work," he said.

Arafat was both conciliatory and combative in his remarks. Asked at one point if he would call on his own people to stop their stone-throwing demonstrations, he became angry and threatened to walk out of the forum.

He blamed Barak for the continuation of the violence. And he said that in an informal meeting in mid-September at Barak's home, he pleaded with the Israeli leader to prevent opposition Likud leader Ariel Sharon from carrying out his intention of staging a high-profile visit and news conference at the holy mosque site in Jerusalem's Old City...

But Arafat also spoke of his sincere desire for peace, a desire some of his critics have questioned because he refused to accept a compromise settlement of the Jerusalem question at Camp David.

"I will exert every possible effort in order to see peace in my lifetime," Arafat said. "I'm pursuing the peace for my children, including my daughter..."

Arafat went out of his way to put down suggestions that Clinton is no longer relevant to the Mideast peace process now that he has only 11 weeks left in his presidency.

Arafat thanked Clinton for "his genuine human commitment to the peace process". He said he reiterated to Clinton "my full commitment to making peace".

[JR: None of these provocative incidents make any difference in Washington. The U.S. position is always to assess the situation, gather more facts and to differ from any criticism toward Israel. Our national security advisor Berger feels we should now move from a peace process to a

political process, whatever that means. In the meantime, Israel's intent is to increase her lethal force to protect her citizens and any threat to her soldiers. Clinton and Barak's time is short, so perhaps it is time for Arafat to consider resigning and passing the leadership onto someone who is more capable and credible. This change would force a new beginning and require the U.S. and Israel to engage in honest negotiations that are truly sincere in bringing about a lasting peace agreement for both Israelis and Palestinians.]

BARAK SENDS MESSAGE WITH DEADLY ATTACK

By Hugh Dellios, *Chicago Tribune*, 11/12/00

JERUSALEM—Although he may not have known the exact timing, Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak gave the go-ahead for the helicopter rocket attack that killed a guerrilla commander from Yasser Arafat's Fatah movement last week, just hours before the Palestinian president walked into the White House, senior Israeli officials confirm.

The decision came from a man who, despite his announcement of a "timeout" in peace talks after weeks of deadly Mideast clashes, still had been trying to lure Arafat back into peace talks.

To maintain what the Israelis called restraint against the Palestinians, Barak had gone so far as to consult with Yitzhak Shamir on how the former prime minister resisted demands to strike back at Saddam Hussein when the Iraqi leader attacked Israel with scud missiles during the Persian Gulf War.

But Thursday's killing of one of Arafat's top field commanders, the first big calculated strike since the current crisis began, was a clear signal that Barak holds few if any hopes for a resumption of peace talks and is determined to toughen his approach against the Palestinians even if it risks escalating a conflict whose death toll now approaches 200.

As Barak prepares to meet with Clinton in Washington on Sunday before flying to Chicago for the annual conference of the United Jewish Communities, Barak and his fellow Israelis look down the road not at the Mideast peace he had once envisioned, but at a protracted conflict much like the one the prime minister claimed he had safeguarded Israel from earlier this year.

The gunship strike against the Bethlehem-area guerrilla leader whose militants has staged stealth attacks against Israelis was reminiscent of Israel's two-decade war in South Lebanon, from which Barak withdrew Israeli troops last May. This time, however, a guerrilla conflict like the one Israel long fought on its northern border threatens to erupt in Jerusalem's back yard.

"What the Palestinians are doing now is trying to speak to us in Lebanese, but this is a language we know," said Efraim Sneh, one of Barak's closest aids and his deputy defense minister. "A guerrilla war is a two-way street. We did it there and we can do it here."

Before leaving for the U.S., Barak emphasized that he will be asking for very little when he meets with Clinton. Following in Arafat's footsteps, he said his primary goal was seeking American help in halting the violence that neither his restraint nor his retaliatory attacks have succeeded in stopping.

"I would like to lower all our expectations," Barak

said Friday during a brief visit to one of the worst clash points in Ramallah. "I don't expect that the talks in Washington will lead to a renewal of negotiations. I will be going to Washington Sunday for another effort, [just] to end the violence."

In his meeting with Clinton last week, Arafat said he would be willing to attend a new summit meeting with Barak. Israeli officials have made it clear, however, that Barak will not contemplate another summit until the clashes are brought to a halt.

They also have rejected the Palestinians' demands for an international peacekeeping force in the occupied territories, although the timing of Thursday's rocket attack may have made it easier for Arafat to argue to Clinton that one is needed.

The Israelis have long wanted an upgrading of the strategic relationship with the U.S. and Israel. Essentially, a stronger U.S. commitment to Israel's defense, the upgrade is something the Israelis expected to receive as a reward for peace deals while Clinton was in office.

But given the level of distractions and uncertainty in Washington over who will be Clinton's successor, analysts give the Israelis little hope for being granted that or any other last Clinton gift....

Since the clashes began six weeks ago after the visit of Likud leader Ariel Sharon to Jerusalem's most disputed shrine, rock-throwing Palestinian youngsters are more apt to praise Iraq's Saddam Hussein and Hezbollah guerrilla leader Haasan Nasrallah than Arafat and his peace negotiations.

At home, Barak's teetering Labor Party government is held up only by the promise of a "safety net" of votes from the ultra-Orthodox Shas Party. Ironically, Shas leaders oppose Barak's peace overtures and had been working for his downfall, but they do not want the government to collapse in a time of crisis.

To complicate matters further, Israeli army analysts say the potential for the clashes widening into a regional conflict with Hezbollah in Lebanon and even with Syria are high, while Israelis worry about front-page headlines proclaiming high-level meetings between Syria and Iraq for the first time in 20 years. To Israelis, no such warnings sound alarmist after their experience with the 1973 Yom Kippur War, when the nation was completely surprised by attacks from its Arab neighbors who had been thought to be too weak and too practical to invade.

In trying to explain the free-fall into violence, some analysts blame Barak's inexperience in politics. Some say he was too hasty and arrogant in trying to finish off a century-old conflict in a matter of months, and Palestinians complained that the former army general bullied them commando-style during negotiations.

Others blamed the Oslo process, which they said has led to the defeat of every Israeli prime minister who embraced it, not to mention the assassination of its co-signatory, Yitzhak Rabin. By contrast, Barak and his aids insist the deteriorating situation is due to Arafat's refusal to compromise at the Camp David summit in July. That, they assert, paved the way for the eruption of protest, which Arafat then encouraged as a way to pressure the Israelis.

"The problem is that Yasser Arafat made the stupid choice to go to war. He doesn't understand that in compromise you don't go 100 per cent," said Sneh, Barak's deputy. "For us, peace is the strategic core

of our policy. We can't abandon it, but if we have more and more shooting, what can we do? People here are frustrated. Time and time again, we try to reach an agreement and we fail."

Sneh said the prime minister, who is also the defense minister, generally signs off on all military operations undertaken by the army, and so was involved in the order to take out Fatah guerrilla leader Hussein Abayat on Thursday.

Israeli officials accused Abayat of masterminding several attacks on Israelis, which resulted in two soldiers dead and another critically wounded. The army said they tracked Abayat down before launching rockets at his vehicle, also killing two Palestinian women who were bystanders.

In the short term, the reaction was a resumption of fierce gun battles in the West Bank and Gaza and vows by Fatah leaders to get revenge for what they called an assassination and "state terrorism." Security was stepped up in Israel.

[JR: This article by Dellios was in the early-printed Sunday edition but was deleted later from the Tribune Website. Perhaps he revealed too much and it had to be pulled because of the timing of the 6000 attendees of the United Jewish Communities convention in Chicago. Barak came with no hopeful expectations for the peace talks to continue and went home with the same prospects. With the peace talks now considered dead, Israel plans to prepare for a full assault to crush the Palestinian resistance. If the UN doesn't resume its role as the "worlds peacemaker", this Israeli-Palestinian conflict could ignite this whole region and affect all international communities. The world just can't afford to stand by and let this happen.]

SHARON WANTS EARLY ELECTION IN ISRAEL

Chicago Tribune, 11/14/00

CHICAGO (AP)—Israel's hard-line opposition leader Ariel Sharon said today that he would call for early elections in an attempt to unseat Prime Minister Ehud Barak and bring stability to the country.

Sharon, a 72-year-old hawkish former general, said the violence currently plaguing Israel could easily spread throughout the Middle East and drive the region to the brink of war.

Sharon was in Chicago to address the General Assembly of United Jewish Communities. Barak spoke to the group and the public at the UIC Pavilion Monday night.

Sharon, who favors taking a harder line against the Palestinians, said he opposed giving them the right to return to Israel "because that would be the first phase in the destruction of the country".

Sharon said that, under his plan, all past peace **agreements should be voided [KOL NIDRE!!!]** and there would be no negotiations without an end to the violence. Unless the peace talks start over, he said, there is a risk of the instability spreading throughout the Mideast.

"Jews are under siege and under fire," Sharon said. "I fought 52 years ago in the war for independence to defend Jerusalem. I did not think the day would come after 50 years, that it would happen again that

Jerusalem is under siege."

Sharon said he understood "the importance of peace maybe better than the politicians who never had that experience. But for me peace means security for the Jewish people."

A Sept. 28 visit by Sharon to a Jerusalem shrine, holy to Muslims and Jews, sparked the current violence in Israel.

Peace between Israelis and Palestinians seemed so close just a few months ago that the violence that shattered hope of an accord left many Jews wondering if they will ever see peace in their lifetimes, people at the meeting said Monday.

"In my 50 years in Israel, I have seen six wars and I hope that one day I will see peace as well," Abraham Rosenthal of the Israel Hotel Association said during the General Assembly of United Jewish Communities. "But stability and quiet would be enough at this stage."

Police were taking no chances that violence could interrupt the conference, barricading streets, searching every car that entered the perimeter and keeping bomb-sniffing dogs on standby.

[JR: Sharon is not only a hawk for Israel, he is their peace terminator. To attain peace for Israel to him means to annihilate all Palestinians and engage the entire region in a bloody war. This man's whole life has been committed to war and violence and he neither knows nor cares about peace. Sharon can force himself into a leadership role in Israel—but I don't think that most (sane) Israelis support his "scorched earth" policies. It's ironic that Sharon is the perfect model for the qualifications the ADL uses to brand anyone who promotes and carries out acts of racial hatred against certain persons or genocide against people of another ethnic or religious group.]

SECURITY TIGHT FOR JEWISH MEETING

NewsMax.com (Wires), 11/11/00

CHICAGO (UPI)—Police closed a street directly in front of the Hyatt Regency Hotel as a security measure Friday as more than 5,000 Jews began arriving for a five-day convention highlighted by an address by Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak.

Arab groups planned to mobilize thousands of protesters outside the 69th General Assembly of the United Jewish Communities, a conference sponsored by the United Jewish Communities, a fund-raising umbrella group for American Jewry.

Representatives of 189 Jewish federations from across United States and elsewhere are scheduled to hear speeches from Barak, who meets with President Clinton in Washington on Sunday, and Israeli opposition leader Ariel Sharon, whose controversial visit to Jerusalem's Temple Mount in late September touched off six weeks of violence between Palestinians and Israelis that has left more than 200 people dead, most of them Palestinians.

"The whole Jewish world is coming under one roof," said Rabbi Ira Youdovin, executive vice president of the Chicago Board of Rabbis.

Police barricades blocked upper Wacker Drive in front of the Hyatt Regency Chicago and officers checked cars parked around the hotel. Traffic was limited to one lane heading west on Wacker, which is divided by a cement median strip.

Palestinian-Americans planned mass demonstrations at the University of Illinois at Chicago Pavillion, where Barak is scheduled to speak at a rally Monday evening. In addition to Israeli security, Barak will have U.S. Secret Service protection and the State Department will provide special protection for Sharon.

Because of the crisis in the Middle East, Chicago police say they are ready for trouble and will assign hundreds of officers to both venues. An expanded police presence also was planned in Chicago's West Rogers Park neighborhood where shots were fired at a rabbi sitting in his car Oct. 12. The rabbi was not hit, and police had no suspects. Two Arab-American teens charged with hate crimes for shooting marbles at Jews last month appeared in court Thursday.

Local Arab-American and Jewish leaders said they had met with police about designated areas for pro-Israel and anti-Israel protests during the conclave. Both sides expressed hope there would be no violence or terrorism in Chicago.

"With the events in the past number of weeks, the theme and context of the meetings have been transformed and it will be an occasion for our local community to demonstrate its solidarity with the state of Israel," said Michael Kotzin, executive vice president of the Jewish United Fund/Jewish Federation of Metropolitan Chicago.

[JR: They have five streets blocked off (Sat.-Wed.) in busy downtown Chicago and five bus routes rerouted to accommodate our Zionist guests—at great inconvenience to Chicagoans and Loop businesses. Anyone driving through these areas is being stopped and their cars (trunk and hoods) searched. Security is so tight that we would guess there might be one police officer for each delegate attending this Jewish convention. It's your guess how many Secret Service agents from D.C. are here to "observe". The media has not furnished any details regarding security measures.]

BASHAR ASSAD LIKENS ISRAELIS TO NAZIS AT ISLAMIC SUMMIT

By Daniel Sobelman, *Ha'aretz*, 11/14/00

In an unusually strident speech, Syrian President Bashar Assad on Sunday told the Islamic summit in Qatar that Israel is perpetrating a "new Nazism".

"The Israelis kill, and the Arabs and Muslims are accused of terror and anti-Semitism," Assad said in his speech to the 9th Summit of the Organization of the Islamic Conference. "While they are trampling the principles of human rights, we are denounced and described as inhumane and backward. They curse the old Nazis, but they are implementing a new Nazism with no precedent in history."

Assad added that Israel's "arrogance and actions... [which] contradict the moral principles and sacred teachings of every godly faith," would be impossible "without the encouragement and assistance of certain countries and many other parties." The world sees the death of two "murderous Israeli soldiers" as far more important than the deaths of "dozens of innocent Arabs," he said. Similarly, the kidnapping of three Israeli "occupiers of Lebanese land" had caused an international outcry, "while the kidnapping of dozens of Syrians and Lebanese and the imprisonment of

hundreds of Palestinians and Arabs has produced no response from the international community."

However, added Assad, "all this doesn't change our conviction of the need to attain a just and comprehensive peace," which must be based on Israel's withdrawal to the June 4, 1967 lines.

In other speeches, Jordan's King Abdullah demanded yesterday that the international community put an end to the injustice and suffering to which the Palestinian people are being subjected. "As for Jerusalem, its cause is the cause of the Arab and Muslim nations," he said. "We consider Jerusalem an occupied Palestinian city to which UN Security Council Resolution 242 applies."

Iranian President Mohammed Khatami yesterday said that Muslim countries could restore the rights of the Palestinians without resorting to military might against Israel. "If Muslim countries pooled their vast resources together, there would be no need to fight Israel, to engage in military operations against it, or to cry 'we will push Israel into the sea,'" Khatami, in Qatar for the summit, told the *Associated Press*.

The summit is expected to end today with a declaration similar to that which ended last month's Arab League summit in Cairo: The assembled leaders will urge, but not obligate, Muslim countries with ties to Israel to sever them. This clause has been a focus of dispute, with the Saudis, Iranians, Syrians and others pushing for a resolution demanding that member states cut ties with Israel. But Jordan, Egypt and Turkey, among others, resisted such a strong measure, and a compromise was reached in which states will be invited rather than obliged to sever relations.

According to diplomats attending the conference, the statement will also urge members to cut ties with any state that moves its embassy to Jerusalem, call for the establishment of a war-crimes tribunal for Israeli "war criminals" and demand deployment of an international force to protect the Palestinians.

[JR: This article is from an Israeli newspaper and is truthful in its reporting of the issues as Arabs see it. The proposals are reasonable and do not call for a war or violence. Our U.S. media should take notice and do likewise.]

DR. MAHATHIR: OIC NATIONS MAY ACT AGAINST THE U.S.

By Zulkifli Abdul Rahman,
The STAR—Malaysia, 11/14/00

DOHA (QATAR)—Prime Minister Datuk Seri Dr. Mahathir Mohamad said member countries of the Organisation of Islamic Conference (OIC) have begun openly talking about taking action against Israel's close ally the United States, including cutting off ties in protest against the violence in the occupied territories.

He said the members' speeches during the 9th Session of the Islamic Summit Conference here showed they were more serious than ever to demonstrate their solidarity in support of the Palestinians.

He added that many members had expressed outrage at America's intentions to move their diplomatic offices from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem.

"I believe that if there is no response (from both countries) to the wishes of the OIC members, they will certainly take action," Dr. Mahathir said after attending

a dinner here Sunday with Malaysians working in Qatar.

He was asked about developments at the meeting, which had mostly touched on the Israeli attacks which had killed more than 100 Palestinians.

During his speech as representative of the Asian group at the opening of the summit, Dr. Mahathir said Muslim countries should regard the attacks by the Israeli soldiers on Palestinians as attacks on the Muslims' dignity and honour, and called on member countries to unite and face the problem.

On his bilateral meetings with leaders of OIC members, Dr. Mahathir said countries such as Senegal had asked Malaysia to invest in the cotton industry there, while Malaysia would study a request by Sudan to jointly work on the construction of a major hydroelectric dam.

On Malaysia's failure to become host of the 2006 Asian Games, Dr. Mahathir said: "We are sad. We thought we might be chosen as the host.

"We will find out the reasons why we did not make it."

[JR: The U.S. no longer tries to hide its bias for Israel and against the Palestinians—and this has set off a sense of alarm and urgency among Arab/Muslim nations. The whole world is watching to see how we will react should the genocide escalate in Palestine/Israel.]

AL'S NOW A BOY NAMED SUE AND IT'S NOT HELPING

By David Greising, *Chicago Tribune*, 11/10/00

Looks like Al Gore wants to be the first person ever to sue his way into the White House.

Florida is used to flocks of sun birds hitting the beaches this time of year. Now there's a new species: sue birds.

Instead of lotion and sunglasses, they've got writs and pleadings in their beach bags. And they'll slather a lawsuit anywhere they might win an advantage, or even the White House.

Someone must pay for all this ambulance chasing. Perhaps Warren Christopher's corps doesn't work for free.

So the Democratic National Committee is getting its bucks in order. It's hitting up big-time contributors for the new "Gore/Lieberman Recount Committee".

It's way too late for get-out-the-vote money. The voting is over—for now, anyway. Consider this a go-count-the-vote fund.

At last, Al Gore has found something he really did invent.

Sure, Gore raised millions for the campaign. But those funds only went so far. So on Thursday, the DNC faxed a cry for help to its big-time contributors.

Chicago industrialist Lester Crown was on the list. Other deep pockets from coast to coast got the fax, too.

The letter thanks supporters for funding the campaign. That's the windup, and here's the pitch: "Developments in Florida are being watched by our attorneys very closely, and will decide this election. But, our lawyers cannot assure an accurate count without your help."

Inventing as it goes, the DNC points out there are few if any bothersome rules to follow. "Individual,

corporate PAC and labor PAC contributions are allowed," the letter states. "Contributions to this fund have no limits."

That's right. No limits.

That's the nice thing about being original. If you're going to the trouble of inventing a post-election committee, might as well go for broke. Or beg others to go broke financing you, anyway.

"Funds are needed immediately," the letter implores. "Due to the urgent nature of our request, arrangements can be made to pick up checks in downtown Chicago."

Street service. How appropriate.

Deirdre Joy Smith, the DNC's Midwest finance director, said the letter has paid off already. "It's a national effort, and the donors that I've been in contact with have been extremely supportive. They're upbeat, and they're writing checks."

I found no evidence of the Republicans raising recount money—yet.

But if the Republicans haven't started already, they almost certainly will now. In a different context, Ronald Reagan popularized the Russian proverb "trust, but verify". On this matter, the Republicans probably are thinking "distrust, and fortify".

A few days ago, when this tight election first began unwinding, the chief players gave lip service to the need for reason, amity and statesmanship. Gore called this "an extraordinary moment in our democracy" and promised to abide by the results.

But now that extraordinary moment is devolving into something far more ordinary and even mundane: Filing lawsuits, staging street protests, holding news-free press conferences.

And, of course, raising money.

At about the time the DNC letters hit the fax machines Thursday, Gore campaign manager Bill Daley was taking the high road for perhaps the last time on this detour of democracy. "Technicalities should not determine the president of the United States," Daley said. "The will of the people should."

Of course, there's no telling just what the will of the people was. Botched ballots, a slow recount and a hair-thin election margin have made that impossible.

It's gotten to the point where people everywhere want to know the final outcome, almost regardless of whether Bush or Gore ultimately triumphs.

What we need is an honest search for the will of the people. The sooner, the better.

I doubt a well-funded flock of sue birds is going to be much help.

[JR: The fun in all this (really) is that the Gorites and their supporters are operating under the delusion that they and only they have the right to the White House and the Oval Office. They have been anointed (by whom I don't know) to serve forevermore and no election shall ever change their destiny. Is this a fairy tale or a horror story?]

A GORE COUP D'ÉTAT?

WALL STREET JOURNAL, 11/10/00

It looks as if he really will stop at nothing to win

The cliff-hanging Florida results won't be final until the absentee-ballot deadline of November 17, but yesterday Gore campaign head William Daley told a news conference that no amount of recounting would

do. Only one outcome would be acceptable: "If the will of the people is to prevail, Al Gore should be awarded a victory in Florida and be our next President." And if the election results don't do that, the Gore campaign will try to find a judge to do it instead. In your ordinary banana republic, this would be recognized as a Gore *coup d'état*.

When the day began yesterday, we set to work writing an editorial for these columns that, in fact, was going to express some sympathy for what appears to have happened to some confused voters in Palm Beach County, and suggesting that we really do need a better-run electoral process not only in Florida but across the land. This is still a valid point, but was overwhelmed when Bill Daley and other Gore campaign officials announced that the Democrats intended to go to the mattress to cling to political power.

Mr. Daley said the Vice President wasn't going to settle for the recount result, suggesting, of course, they knew it would go against them and so some pretext needed to be found fast to prevent the election from ending. "Let the legal system run its course," Mr. Daley said. "We will be working with voters from Florida in support of legal actions to demand some redress." He added, "We believe with so much at stake, steps should be taken to make sure that the people's choice becomes our President."

Shortly after this, guess what happened? The Democratic Palm Beach plaintiffs seeking "redress" suddenly withdrew their suit from federal court. Why? Because they wanted to shop around for a favorable judge. They had happened to draw federal district Judge Kenneth Ryskamp, whose nomination to the appeals court years back had been defeated in the Senate by Joe Biden. The Palm Beach plaintiffs then announced they would file in state court.

Obviously, they are judge-shopping, reducing this noble enterprise on behalf of the people's choice to the level of backwater justice. Not only Judge Ryskamp, but any reasonably neutral judge would likely dismiss the case outright.

At no point has the Gore campaign suggested that voter *fraud* has cost them votes. Were that the case, we would be in wholehearted support of their complaint. Voter fraud is one of this country's most corrosive, unaddressed problems. Instead, Warren Christopher, a former Secretary of State, yesterday cited "serious and substantial irregularities". Their argument is that the irregularities deprived citizens of Constitutional rights, so undo the whole election.

Yes, voting irregularities do significant damage to elections in America. No serious person involved in politics would doubt that incompetent, flawed or antique voting procedures all over this country disenfranchise some voters in every national election—for the House, the Senate and the Presidency.

None of these concerns, however, is sufficient cause for allowing competing squads of political lawyers to force the people of this country into an unprecedented political crisis, which is precisely what the Gore campaign has shown itself willing to do.

By turning over the Presidential election to the lawyers, the Democrats guarantee that the Republicans would respond in kind, seeking similar irregularities, as are commonly found in South Florida, or anywhere else people voted in the U.S. last Tuesday. The constant harping on the poor souls confused by the Palm Beach

butterfly ballot makes for good TV visuals and stirring speeches about the "denial of justice". But no one should pretend this is going to fly through the courts without a substantial counteroffensive by the GOP's own lawyers.

And in any event, there is the prospect of recounts elsewhere. In Wisconsin and Iowa, where Mr. Gore's margin of victory was several thousand votes, automatic triggers may set off recounts. Moreover, the national vote isn't over. Votes are still being completed in many states, with a million absentee votes from California alone. It is not beyond imagining that when this process is done, the current result will be different, or even reversed.

Poor Florida. It is being put under a national microscope to determine the credibility of its voting system. No surprise, what we're seeing is that the results aren't always particularly edifying. There is no basis in law, however, to believe that demanding that a vote be restaged because of "irregularities" in Florida's election system, or any other state's for that matter, is going to survive in court. Were that true, there'd have been hundreds of restaged votes in this country.

Mr. Gore, Mr. Daley and all the Democratic lawyers know this. Their case about irregularities and confusion is merely a pretext for finding some friendly jurist who will overrule the voters in an excruciatingly close contest. This is a destructive course of action for the Republic and the *Constitution*. Also, by the way, for a **Democratic Party already tainted by eight years of its own irregularities, that is to say, by a habit of trashing the rule of law in the pursuit of political advantage.**

[JR: This is a clash of the Titans of power and it's getting ugly—and now we the "little" people are mere spectators. Bill Daley, Gore's campaign manager was quoted as saying, "... technicalities should not determine the President of the U.S. The will of the people should." (ha ha) Does Daley mean we should ignore the *Constitution* and override the voting system in place just for the Democrats to get elected? If there is still such a thing as the will of the people, we would have chosen more qualified and worthy candidates to lead our nation.]

GLOBALIZING U.S. COURTROOMS

The new American (Insider Report), 11/20/00

In an August 17, 1998 *Insider Report* item entitled "Globalizing the Supreme Court", we took note of an unprecedented 10-day, four-nation tour undertaken by a contingent of U.S. judges led by Supreme Court Justice Sandra Day O'Connor. A July 8, 1998 *AP* report of the tour predicted: "As the world gets smaller, the U.S. Supreme Court will be drawing on judgments from other countries in its own deliberations." In an October 11th *Christian Science Monitor* op-ed column, Albany Law School Professor Martha F. Davis urged the Supreme Court "to consult not only U.S. precedents, but international law".

"A growing body of lawyers and judges worldwide look to international human rights norms when addressing domestic legal issues," wrote Davis. "This approach will rapidly gain momentum with England's October 1st accession to the European Convention on

Human Rights.”

Along with Judge O'Connor, Justices Ruth Bader Ginsburg and Stephen Breyer made the European trek. Not surprisingly, all three are members of the Council on Foreign Relations—nor is it surprising to see that Davis cites Ginsburg and Breyer in making her case for globalizing the U.S. judiciary branch. “[A]s Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg recently noted, the last time the U.S. Supreme Court cited the *UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights* was 35 years ago,” wrote Davis. “Similarly, when Justice Stephen Breyer referred to European federal systems in a 1997 dissenting opinion, he was roundly criticized by the majority.... Such legal isolationism is antithetical to the increasingly international contact that marks American life.”

Davis suggests that litigation can advance the cause of judicial globalism by invoking such UN treaties as the *Convention on the Rights of the Child* and the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)*. In fact, an amicus brief filed by legal activists during a recent Supreme Court case involving the *Violence Against Women Act* “argued that U.S. ratification of the [ICCPR] created an obligation to address gender-based violence in this country.”

[JR: Get ready! This grand tour of Europe by our Supreme Court Justices O'Connor, Ginsburg and Breyer (all CFR) will incorporate International Law into our justice system and undermine our Constitution. Our traveling Justices need to review the laws against treason and how it can be applied under any code of law.]

VLADIMIR PUTIN: RUSSIA IS PECULIAR
INTEGRATIVE HUB LINKING
ASIA, EUROPE AND AMERICA

By George Watts, *Strana.ru*, 11/9/00

President Vladimir Putin regards Russia's full-fledged participation in economic cooperation with countries of the Asian-Pacific Region (APR) as “natural and inevitable”. In his article carried by a number of media outlets in APR countries, Putin points out that Russia is a peculiar integrative hub that links Asia, Europe and America, *ITAR-TASS* reports.

A wide range of possibilities stands open before Russia today. These include cooperation in the sphere of power engineering, ecology, developing the sea shelf, as well as making full use of transportation arteries, and implementing specific economic and investment projects, Putin writes. For instance, there are many reasons explaining why APR countries could opt for using Russian delivery routes. They are much shorter and no less reliable than circumventing sea routes.

For shipping containers dispatched via the Trans-Siberian Railway, the distance to Europe could be reduced by more than one-half. The President writes that he is aware of the condition of marine terminals in Russia's Far East, as well as the bottlenecks in St. Petersburg. “We are actively reconstructing them, but so far, we are doing this alone. But we are prepared to carry out these projects together with foreign investors,” Putin explains.

Perhaps the rail route across Siberia will prompt many to remember about the rich natural resources of our country. The region is known to be one of the richest for its natural deposits. And Russia is

only beginning to tap all this wealth on a large scale. The President invites Russia's APR neighbors to cooperative actively towards this end. Already, Russian manufacturers are thinking about new sales markets, while producing companies have their sights set on raising efficiency at the deposits they are developing.

Three years ago Russia became a member of the Asian-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) forum. This gave fresh impetus to our cooperation, Putin points out. This region (APR) will always need Russia—both in questions of ensuring stability and security, and in ensuring a balance of interests of all the sides. We are prepared to cooperate with both big and small countries in the region, with economically developed states and with those that are so far striving to reach that level, Vladimir Putin writes in his article.

Theoretically speaking, Vladimir Putin should experience complicated feelings in respect to the coming APEC summit that is to be held in Brunei on November 14-15, *Nezavisimaya Gazeta* writes in its commentary. A little over a year ago, the APEC session in New Zealand was the first major international forum that the current Russian President attended soon after he was appointed Prime Minister. And Putin passed that test in Auckland with flying colors since he was able, without any special effort, to fit in with the leaders of that regional community—a kind of crystallizing Pacific EU.

In the interim since the Auckland forum, today's Putin has accumulated more than enough international experience both in Asia and in Europe. It turns out that the Russian President will be flying to that “Asian EU” in Brunei almost right after “the real EU-Russia summit” in Paris. Therefore, it will be not too difficult for him to draw parallels and make comparisons.

The APEC is a special organization, and for many, one that is difficult to comprehend. The G-8 is trying to make it look as if it is responsible for shaping the destinies, the future of the world. However, the APEC forum deals only with purely economic problems of that part of the world. In fact, in that larger part of the world, that organization is slowly but surely creating a Pacific common market. In the APEC Russia is an equitable partner.

In Brunei this year, Russia will be represented by an unusually strong delegation. At this year's APEC forum, the Russian President can play a prominent role. Vladimir Putin is to be one of the key figures at the discussion of globalization problems at a special business summit. Other key speakers at that session will be Japanese Prime Minister Yoshiro Mori, President Bill Clinton, and China's Jiang Zemin.

Clinton will be on hand to say “goodbye”, Mori will be present as Brunei's chief importer, Zemin as the host of the next APEC summit in Shanghai, and Putin as the most promising newcomer to the Pacific family of peoples.

[JR: Our Slick Willy has his work cut out when he addresses the APEC forum and visits Brunei. Putin is playing a prominent role here and is not being shut out as he was during the G-8 meetings. Putin has some sound proposals and offers for development and partnerships for this region. All Clinton has going for himself is his past record of empty promises and failed economic policies.]

RUSSIAN ANTI-SEMITISM

By Vladimir Isachenkov,
The Moscow Times, 11/11/00

A former Russian regional governor, Alexander Rutskoï, accused his successor of making anti-Semitic comments and vowed to sue him, according to an interview published Friday.

Alexander Mikhailov, a Communist-elected governor of the Kursk region on Sunday, said that he had defeated not only Rutskoï but well-known Jewish businessman Boris Berezovsky and the Russian Jewish Congress, and pointed out that Rutskoï's mother was Jewish.

I'm going to file a lawsuit against Mikhailov for inciting inter-ethnic strife, Rutskoï said in an interview with the business daily *Kommersant*. Mr. Mikhailov claims that he was fighting not only me, but the **entire Jewish conspiracy** in the Kursk region.

Mikhailov had said in an interview, also published in *Kommersant*, that we had to deal not with a person, but with this organization. We have defeated them, and I think it's symptomatic, meaning the beginning of Russia's liberation from the filth that has piled up during the last 10 years.

Mikhailov said he and President Vladimir Putin were allies and added that Putin, by the way, is an ethnic Russian just as I am. And, for those who don't know it, Rutskoï has a Jewish mother. Rutskoï, one of Russia's best-known politicians, was struck from the ballot the night before the first round of the election on Oct. 22 by a judge who ruled that he failed to disclose all his property and that he used his official position to assist his campaign.

Rutskoï blamed a Kremlin conspiracy, but the Kremlin has denied any involvement.

But Mikhailov said Putin sent his personal adviser to help, and that she played a key role in the campaign. The Kremlin denied Mikhailov's claim as sheer nonsense.

Jews in Russia faced systematic discrimination during czarist and Soviet times. Although the Russian constitution and government say all groups must be treated equally, Jewish activists point to synagogue bombings and the vandalizing of Jewish cemeteries as evidence that anti-Semitism remains a problem.

Several Communist lawmakers and a provincial governor have made anti-Semitic comments in the past several years.

Rutskoï, a former combat pilot in Afghanistan, was elected President Boris Yeltsin's vice president in 1991, and in 1993 led legislators barricaded in the parliament in a failed uprising against Yeltsin. Rutskoï was briefly jailed, amnestied by parliament, and then elected governor of Kursk, his home province, in 1996.

[JR: The threat of a lawsuit is not against inciting ethnic strife but the revelation of those of Jewish origin. Being Jewish puts to the test where one's real loyalties and affiliations lie. Case in point: Rutskoï was Yeltsin's VP, yet in '93 he led an uprising against Boris. The Russian people know who the real communist are and intend to expose them and other foreign influences that have undermined Russia.]

EVIDENCE: CHEMTRAILS INCLUDE
HAZARDOUS BARIUM COMPOUNDS

By Amy Worthington,
The Idaho Observer, 11/2000

The next time you watch chem-spewing jets wreck a blue sky with a toxic fluorescent haze, think BARIUM. There is growing evidence that we are swimming in the stuff. So let's review some facts about a substance we may be eating, drinking and breathing in large quantities.

Leading chemtrail researcher Clifford Carnicom has completed a series of impressive reports citing evidence that our atmosphere is now saturated with barium compounds as a result of the military's on-going weather and atmospheric modification projects. The presence of metallic alkaline salts in rainfall samples collected nationwide indicates that the atmospheric pH is being rapidly modified—most likely by barium.

Barium facilitates weather-modification projects because it can create cloud formations at extremely low humidity, when natural clouds cannot form. Barium oxide (a salt) is a desiccant (drying agent) and can be used by the military to de-humidify clouds and dry up unwanted precipitation. Have your skin, mucus membranes and eyes been very dry lately?

Barium occurs naturally in two primary forms, barium carbonate and barium sulfate. Both of these metallic substances are mined. Many compounds of barium can be developed in a chemical lab, such as barium titanate, a combination of barium, titanium and oxygen. Radioactive barium is a uranium fission product produced when the nucleus of a U²³⁵ atom is hit by a neutron.

We know that America's military-industrial complex has been spewing various forms of barium into our atmosphere for years. The University of Alaska has propelled barium into space in order to study the Earth's magnetic field lines. The military used barium salts over enemy territory in Libya, Panama and Iraq, reportedly to make the population sick. A recent report from Wright-Patterson Air Force Base confirms that the Air Force has been spraying barium titanate across the United States to facilitate advanced radar studies.

Chemical handbooks state that barium is highly toxic to human beings. The officially "safe" levels of barium in the environment are quite low, on the order of 1-2 parts per million. The *Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry* warns that humans who ingest high levels of barium can develop problems with the heart, stomach, liver, kidneys, spleen and other organs. It also confirms that ingesting high levels of water-soluble barium compounds can cause:

difficulties in breathing
changes in heart rhythm
increased blood pressure
stomach irritation
brain swelling
muscle weakness

Soluble salts of barium can stimulate all muscles of the body, producing contractions of the skeletal muscles and spasms of the smooth muscles of blood vessels, bronchi, stomach and intestines. These salts can radically increase the force of the heartbeat, a potentially lethal situation for the elderly and the chronically ill. In toxic doses, these salts can cause high blood pressure, asthmatic attacks, burning

sensation in the stomach, nausea, vomiting and convulsions. One chemical directory advises that barium be kept out of the reach of children. Great stuff to be spraying over the civilian population of our nation, is it not?

When barium reacts with water to form barium hydroxide, as it would in the moist atmosphere, it liberates much heat. This could explain why, on heavy spray days in warm weather, people complain about the abnormal, almost microwave-type heat they feel. If our benevolent government really cared about global warming, would it spray the atmosphere with heat-generating compounds? Is the government's secret chemtrail aerosol project being used to increase atmospheric heat in order to perpetuate the global warming crisis for the cash cow that it is?

One troubling question not yet answered is: Would the military dare spray us with the radioactive form of barium? If so, it would not be the first time our government has deliberately exposed hapless Americans to radioactive materials which later produce illness and death for countless unsuspecting victims.

For more information go to <http://www.carnicom.com/contrails.htm>. Click on "A Case for Testing" and the other barium-related reports available at this Website.

[JR: Our government and military are using barium and other chemicals in our skies to study its effects on humans, our weather, the Earth's atmosphere and magnetic fields. The scientists engaged in these pseudo forms of science need to be held accountable for such questionable experiments. Their motives are suspect and they are lacking in both conscience and ethics. There is only one God/Creator and science is not His replacement.]

NOSY PUPIL SURVEYS RILE PARENTS

NewsMax.com, 11/10/00

Mothers and fathers are increasingly infuriated by what they feel are inappropriate and suggestive questionnaires schools ask students to answer.

The kinds of questions being put to their children that shock them are:

- "Have you ever considered killing yourself?"
- "Have you ever had oral sex?"
- "When you have sex, how often do you use birth control?" (Not even if, but when.)
- "Are you heterosexual, gay, lesbian, bisexual or not sure?"

An 11-year-old boy who didn't understand the meaning of bisexual asked his teacher for guidance. "It means you like both boys and girls," the teacher replied.

So the student indicated on the questionnaire that he was bisexual and later proclaimed that to his stunned mother.

Those are the kinds of survey questions and reactions that the *Christian Science Monitor* discovered many schools across the country are asking of students—as many as 1 million students so far.

The newspaper went on to report that:

Increasingly, parents are rebelling against in-school surveys they say are intrusive, inappropriate and even encouraging of immoral behavior through suggestive questions.

Proponents of the surveys argue that they assist communities to know what kids are thinking and how to help them.

But a growing legion of parents isn't buying that.

Critics allege that school officials love the surveys because they are a surefire means for them to apply for, and receive, federal grants.

"The easiest, laziest way to [get federal money] is, you put a survey out to 2,000 kids, get your results, [and] send it to Washington," said one upset parent.

Another thing that concerns parents is a growing sense that they are being denied their right, and responsibility, to decide who quizzes their youngsters about what, and then to decide whether to prohibit their children from taking part in those surveys.

There is on the books a federal law, the *Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment*, that is intended to provide such safeguards for students and parents in any school survey involving federal funds

The 1994 law requires "prior written consent of the parent" before a survey can be administered.

But many parents say the eager-for-funds school officials are skipping that and getting away with it.

[JR: School surveys can and are being used as a tool to adjust the attitudes of students and their parents in regard to sexual practices, preferences and behavior. This is a federally funded program called Outcome Based Education (OBE) and is pervasive throughout our school systems. Most kids may be deficient in math, science and reasoning—but will they excel in their "social skills"?)

EUROPEAN UNION TO INCREASE SECRECY

The New American, 11/8/00

In a direct refutation of the European Union's recent promises of greater "transparency", European ambassadors to the EU adopted new secrecy rules on July 26th that limit the public's access to EU documents. This move was prompted by a request by Javier Solana, the EU high representative for common foreign and security policy who was previously the secretary-general of NATO. Hans Verploeg, the general secretary of the Netherlands Association of Journalists, described the method by which the new rules were adopted as a "military coup", since the rules were adopted after the European Parliament went on summer holiday. Fifteen ambassadors voted on the new secrecy rules, with only Denmark, the Netherlands, Finland, and Sweden opposing.

The new rules are broad and could prevent access to a wide range of documents. As specified by the EU decision, documents that would not be released are ones that undermine "the protection of the public interest (public security, the security and defense of the Union or of one or more of its Member States, military or non-military crisis management, international relations, monetary stability, court proceedings, inspections and investigations)." Even documents that mention secret documents would be kept from the public. Any document that has information contributed by a non-EU entity (such as the U.S. or NATO) could not be released without permission from the third party, even if the document is not particularly sensitive.

The August 26th *International Herald Tribune* stated that, according to EU sources, "Mr. Solana was

seriously concerned about the level of security at the council secretariat in Brussels, which was not designed with the EU's embryonic military and security role in mind." This telling statement reveals that the plan to consolidate Europe continues to advance.

[JR: You do not have to wonder why the EU's 15 ambassadors voted for secrecy after Parliament adjourned. The new rules will prohibit disclosure as to how the EU's decisions and agreements will effect and change Europe's governments, its policies and its people. If the EU's plans provide stability and are for the greater good of all, why act in secrecy and keep hidden these coming changes? My guess is that the opposite is true—and openness would reveal the plans for takeover and control.]

A FEW THOUGHTS

By Stever Hickel,
gold-eagle.com (Editorial), 11/13/00

**(Letter to John Crudele,
Journalist, *New York Post*)**

Poignant article you wrote about foreigners investing in America (<http://www.nypostonline.com/business/36992.htm>). Our economy is certainly fragile and this is as a result of our large national debt, large trade imbalance, suppressed gold market, and large foreign holdings of U.S. dollar assets. This list does not include what some pundits have estimated as the \$100 trillion derivatives market. Some Internet sources have surmised that the Euro was introduced to supplant the dollar as the world reserve currency for oil purchases and thus most everything else. It was meant to be a safe-haven currency giving an alternative on par with the dollar at a time when the dollar would become overextended or near the end of its currency cycle. In other words, before the Euro's introduction, dollar-holders had no alternative currency except gold to turn to. Gold's reputation has been disparaged the last 20 years because of the dollar being a reserve currency and the world banking system not being able to withstand a flow of capital into gold. It would simply have ruined the dollar with no means of easily trading gold for goods and services—it would have destroyed the dollar.

The Euro, on the other hand, represents a currency unencumbered by massive debt, a currency at the beginning of its life cycle—one that has not defaulted twice on its gold debt, as the dollar did in 1933 and 1973 (first was a default to the U.S. citizen, then next was to nations). These two defaults were viewed by foreigners as a last-straw effort to save the dollar—but one that left a very bad taste in their mouths. It was the 1973 gold default that, in my opinion, prompted the development of the Euro.

The Euro countries saw fit to back the Euro with 15% in gold reserves. They then proceeded to market this gold once every quarter. Should the price of gold rise in Euro's, which it has actually, since its introduction, this would have had the effect of increasing the value of its gold reserves. Should the value of its gold double, it would have the effect of doubling the value of gold reserves from 15% to 30% of the outstanding Euros.

Internet gold pundits strongly believe that gold price

suppression is being undertaken by U.S. dollar factions to include several prominent Bullion Banks and the not-so-well known ESF or Exchange Stabilization Fund of the U.S. Treasury. This fund's actions fall outside Congressional supervision. The belief is that this dollar "faction" is taking a three-tier approach to extending the lifeline of the dollar. Suppress the Euro, suppress gold, and attract foreign dollars into the U.S. debt and equities market. The effect of this approach instigated by Robert Rubin in 1995 has been to create a very strong dollar. Disparaging the Euro—the Euro is seen as a non-currency, not worthy of bothering with—and suppressing gold pricing prevents inflation from rearing its ugly head. Any strong and persistent rise in the price of gold would be viewed as highly inflationary. Finally, any foreign investments leaving this country for foreign markets would also cause a large problem for the U.S. debt and equity markets.

There is some indication that a plunge-protection team (PPT) comprised of governmental entities (be it the ESF) or numerous large brokerage houses, have been formed to prevent large falls in the equities markets. Rumor has it that this PPT was formed after the 1987 market drop caused a grave concern that the markets could fall precipitously. The purpose of the PPT was to prevent such large drops by intervening in the future markets of the major indices. This too-large-to-fail mentality—and subsequent formation of such a PPT (whether officially formed or by overlooking the enforcement against such an entity)—seems to have been hard at work painting the tape as of late. Too many times lately the market indices have plunged in day-to-day trading only to rally to insignificant losses or small gains in the late part of the day. It is as though the markets show tremendous resilience on any large down day. So, whether the PPT is real or imaginary, the resiliency of the market to recoup major downturns on too many trading days is at least a major indicator that such a PPT is at work.

So, with the markets protected by a PPT, the gold market capped by the ESF and company, and the Euro properly trashed in value from its higher-priced inception, all the pieces are there for a strong dollar. Should the dollars leave the markets as a result of loss of confidence in U.S. politics, should the price of gold rise, or should the markets crash because the PPT failed its mission, any one or all of these could spell serious trouble for the strong dollar. As the dollar goes, so goes inflation.

Inflation is not the CPI or PPI. Inflation is well understood to be a result of or attributable to a large increase in credit or money supply. Since the strong-dollar policy of Robert Rubin in 1995, the increase in dollar supply has gone up dramatically. As demand for U.S.-denominated repayment of debt rose, more and more dollars were needed to pay down the U.S. national debt, both here in the U.S. and to the IMF overseas. Many of these excess dollars found their way into the U.S. debt and Equities markets. Is it any coincidence that this practice started back around 1995? The practice of borrowing Yen at under 1% interest and then purchasing dollars to invest in higher-interest-rate U.S. dollar-denominated investments was also a large culprit in the larger creation of money. Now there seems to be a similar practice with Euro debt (although this may be the reason why the ECB raised the Euro-borrowing interest rates to prevent or stop such a practice with the Euro). Next, it seems that

this same borrowing of assets at low interest rates has also infected the gold markets. Of late, there has been a widespread practice of borrowing Central Bank gold at 1% or so interest rates and then buying U.S. assets at higher rates of return. The net affect of these practices has been to create a strong demand for U.S. dollars, thus maintaining the strong-dollar policy.

In the above, Yen and Euro's can be printed at will to meet the demand for this so-called "carry trade". When it comes to gold, only 2500 tons of new gold is mined each year.

This "gold carry" trade has been going on for quite some time but really got out of hand starting in 1995. It has really only been since then that a real drain on international stockpiles of gold have come to light. Several gold experts have proposed that the gold short position has grown to anywhere from 8,000 tons to 20,000 tons (or three to eight years of production). This gold, if mined, would take that long to mine. If these contracts in cheaply leased gold were to be settled in physical gold, those institutions (bullion banks and central banks) would be forced to liquidate assets or draw upon official reserves to do so. Central Bank gold is a political metal. It is gold that belongs to the people of each country. It would be considered politically inappropriate for a country to have loaned out or leased out its gold to international traders who bought the gold at 1% to sell it for dollar-denominated higher-interest-rate assets, only to discover that these traders can not pay it back in physical gold and must settle in dollars. Should the value of gold rise before settlement, this would cause quite a stir. Imagine having to repay gold that was bought at a 20-year low at a 20-year high.

It is these carry trades that are largely responsible for the large rise in demand for dollar-based assets and may actually be one of the great impetuses for the rise in the DOW and NASDAQ since 1995. The Achilles heal of these carry trades is gold. It is now apparent that the strong-dollar policy started by Rubin in 1995 was meant to extend the life of the dollar. The dollar was about to default as tax revenues were just barely sufficient to pay the national debt. Something had to be done to increase the supply of dollars and increase the demand for them. The carry trades in YEN, gold, and later Euros, did provide the liquidity to the markets to provide a strong magnet for foreign dollars into a rising equity market largely fueled by an increase in the dollar's strength and excess dollar creation.

The entire equity market "bubble", therefore, depends on liquidity created by raiding other currencies and gold assets. When the music stops and these other assets decide enough is enough, then the dollar will no longer be able to sustain its strong position amongst world currencies and the unraveling of the dollar will begin—and it has begun.

England has reverted to selling half its gold reserves to keep several undisclosed bullion banks out of foreclosure. This is not printed anywhere—but from the above, it is easy to see why England would sell its gold to the cheapest bidders in a public auction. The entire intent appears to be to keep gold cheap whilst these loans or leases are repaid in gold.

Deal after deal has been struck with large hoarders of gold to give up their hoards for various trade or defense deals. Kuwait recently dishoarded 70 or so tons of gold for a large \$165 million defense deal. These large unofficial gold hoards are about exhausted.

Where will the gold come from now to repay these large gold loans? Central banks (see Bank of England above)? Or, will these loans or leases be paid back in currencies? I suspect currency. Do we think that these currencies will be dollars or Euros?

The Euro. The evidence continues to mount that the Euro was introduced to supplant the dollar in the settlement of world contracts in oil. Until 1995 the correlation of dollars to gold was -0.87. In other words, when the dollar rose or fell, the price of gold would do the almost exact opposite. There is almost an equally positive correlation of the price of gold to the price of oil. This positive correlation shows that as the dollar rises or falls the price of gold and the price of oil, in tandem, do the opposite of the dollar. In 1996, graphs show that the traditionally high correlation of gold to the dollar broke. It was at that time that the dollar started to rise, but gold and oil started to fall. In other words, the traditional relationships of oil, gold, and the dollar broke. It is not a coincidence that all of this started at the same time the U.S. strong-dollar policy began. In the last two years the normal relation of oil to gold has now broken. The price of oil stopped dropping with gold and took on a life of its own. The price of gold continued to fall with a strong dollar. But the price of oil broke its ties with both the dollar and with gold. It is this tie that bodes extremely badly for the dollar. I believe this little-understood bellwether correlation tells all—in relation to the health of the dollar as a world reserve currency. When the price of oil began to rise as the price of the dollar also started to rise and gold languished—the U.S. dollar as a reserve currency became seriously in doubt. The fact that this occurred at a time when the Euro was about to be introduced (and subsequently was introduced) appears now to be closely related to the divergence of oil and gold.

When Iraq announced that they would no longer accept dollars for oil but only Euros, the world laughed and attributed this to the act of a madman. I believe that this is but another volley against the dollar that shows an underlying trend of the Arab nations to disassociate themselves from the dollar and move towards the Euro. Clearly, the dollar must maintain its overbearingly strong position in order to remain attractive, but by all measures, it can not keep this up forever—and eventually it will be dragged off its pedestal by the ever-mounting pressures that oil and gold are placing on it. One can clearly see the 1995 break of gold from the dollar—and in 1998 and 1999, one can easily see the break of oil from gold. The next break will be of gold from the dollar. **When that happens, the greatest bull market in gold in U.S. history will have begun. When more oil countries start to accept either Euros or gold for oil, then that will mark the end of the dollar as a reserve currency.** Even now, the ECB seems to be selling dollars. Most think this is to bolster the Euro, but it may really be to sell dollars while they are still strong, for other stronger assets.—**Respectfully, Steve M. Hickel, 11/13/00**

A GRIM FAIRY TALE

By Harry J. Clawar, Ph.D.,
gold-eagle.com (Editorial), 11/20/00

Once upon a time there was a very greedy king. This king wanted to own all of the gold in his kingdom.

Aside from its beauty it was, after all, money.

The king had an elaborate plan to get all of this gold. First he took all of the gold from his subjects and gave them paper, which bore the inscription “good as gold”. Aside from the gold of a few strange people who hid it from the king, he wound up with most of it in his vault. Things went so well for the king that after a few years he changed the inscription to “better than gold”. The king’s schools taught the people that the kingdom’s paper money, controlled by wise men, was the best in the world. You see, the schools made sure that the people were not informed enough to distinguish between wise and moral men. In fact, the people were fooled to such an extent that the king eventually even allowed them to buy gold again. Most did not want it.

The next problem for the king was that some subjects were getting new gold from mines that he did not own. This made the king furious. He called together his wise men and asked them to solve this problem. The wise men knew that they couldn’t buy the gold with their “better than gold” money. This would make the mine owners and others just ask for more and more of their paper in exchange for the gold. Their paper money would become worth less [**worthless?!**] compared to gold and people might start to wonder about its real value.

Then the wisest of the advisors stepped forward. His name was Mundus Vult Decipi. He had earned his exalted status years before when the kingdom was very poor. Mundus had told the king that if he would set the people free from slavery, they would work harder and be more creative. The king had recoiled at the idea of losing control of his people. But Mundus said that it would not happen. All the king had to do was institute something called an income tax. Instead of ordering the people to work a certain number of hours a day, he would just remove the income equivalent for those hours. He could continually increase the income tax, taking more and more hours of labor from the subjects. He must, however, be careful not to go too fast or reach the point of “killing the golden goose” (from another fairy tale). Why, he could even enlist the kingdom’s university professors to create reasons why his subjects should willingly submit to this confiscation of income and property.

The king was still worried. Even that amount of control didn’t seem enough for him. Mundus said that there was a second action that the king could take to complete his control. He would proclaim regulations. “What’s that?” asked the king. Mundus said, “You know! It’s when you tell them how to use and not use the rest of their income. They can’t build things without using your approved craftsman. They must keep their children in your schools. They must hire people that you deem worthy. Lots of things like that. With taxes AND regulations you’ll be almost totally in control of their lives. And yet, after going to our schools, they’ll actually believe that they’re free.”

The king accepted Mundus’ suggestions and they worked marvelously!

So, later, when it came time to work on the gold problem, it was Mundus who showed the king how his bankers, who lent money to the miners, could keep the desirability of gold so low. The bankers had the miners promise to sell gold that was still in the ground at very small increments above its current paper-money exchange value. This way there was always a lot of gold coming soon at a guaranteed low exchange rate.

There would, therefore, not be much of a threat to the exchange rate in the future.

But now, this wisest of advisors realized that the king wanted to possess all of the gold. So he told the king that if his bankers promised to sell more and more of the gold, people would think that there’s much more around than anyone really wants. They would then be even less willing to buy the gold, and its price would drop even more. This drop in price would make it impossible for many mines to operate at a profit, and they would go bankrupt. They would be available for purchase by the king’s bankers or the mines that were cooperating by selling their future gold at small markups.

The king thought that this was a good plan except for one thing: “Why should those other mines wind up with more gold properties,” he said. “Oh, that’s the best part,” said Mundus. After we have bankrupted a great number of mines, then we force the price of gold up. “Up?” asked the king. “Yes,” said the advisor. “Then the other mines that have to deliver gold—at small increments to the current price—will not be able to do so. They cannot possibly mine so much gold to meet the required price of sale. They will not have enough of your majesty’s paper money to buy the gold at its new price—and they too will be bankrupt. The king’s bankers can then take over their properties.”

The king smiled. But Mundus thought, “It will work. If only some calamity doesn’t kill the people’s faith in our paper money—and they run all at once for the gold.”—**Harry J. Clawar, Ph.D., HJC@angelfire.com**

ANCIENT CITY FOUND: IRRADIATED FROM ATOMIC BLAST

From Bryant Stavelly,
World Island Review, January 1992

Radiation still so intense, the area is highly dangerous

A heavy layer of radioactive ash in Rajasthan, India, covers a three-square-mile area, ten miles west of Jodhpur. Scientists are investigating the site, where a housing development was being built.

For some time it has been established that there is a very high rate of birth defects and cancer in the area under construction. The levels of radiation there have registered so high on investigators’ gauges that the Indian government has now cordoned off the region. **Scientists have unearthed an ancient city where evidence shows an atomic blast dating back thousands of years, from 8,000 to 12,000 years, destroyed most of the buildings and probably a half-million people. One researcher estimates that the nuclear bomb used was about the size of the ones dropped on Japan in 1945.**

The *Mahabharata* clearly describes a catastrophic blast that rocked the continent. “A single projectile charged with all the power in the Universe... An incandescent column of smoke and flame as bright as 10,000 suns, rose in all its splendor... it was an unknown weapon, an iron thunderbolt, a gigantic messenger of death which reduced to ashes an entire race.

“The corpses were so burned as to be unrecognizable. Their hair and nails fell out, pottery broke without any apparent cause, and the birds turned white.

"After a few hours, all foodstuffs were infected. To escape from this fire, the soldiers threw themselves into the river."

A HISTORIAN COMMENTS

Historian Kisari Mohan Ganguli says that Indian sacred writings are full of such descriptions, which sound like an atomic blast as experienced in Hiroshima and Nagasaki. He says references mention fighting sky chariots and final weapons. An ancient battle is described in the *Drona Parva*, a section of the *Mahabharata*. "The passage tells of combat where explosions of final weapons decimate entire armies, causing crowds of warriors with steeds and elephants and weapons to be carried away as if they were dry leaves of trees," says Ganguli.

"Instead of mushroom clouds, the writer describes a perpendicular explosion with its billowing smoke clouds as consecutive openings of giant parasols. There are comments about the contamination of food and people's hair falling out."

ARCHEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION PROVIDES INFORMATION

Archeologist Francis Taylor says that etchings in some nearby temples he has managed to translate suggest that they prayed to be spared from the great light that was coming to lay ruin to the city. "It's so mind-boggling to imagine that some civilization had nuclear technology before we did. The radioactive ash adds credibility to the ancient Indian records that describe atomic warfare."

Construction has halted while the five-member team conducts the investigation. The foreman of the project is Lee Hundley, who pioneered the investigation after the high level of radiation was discovered.

FBI DOCUMENTS SHOW CARNIVORE CAN "EAT" EVERYTHING

By D. Ian Hopper, 11/17/00

WASHINGTON (AP)—The FBI's controversial e-mail surveillance tool, known as Carnivore, can retrieve all communications that go through an Internet Service Provider (ISP)—far more than FBI officials have said it does—a recent test of its potential sweep found, according to bureau documents.

An FBI official involved with the test stressed Friday that although Carnivore has the ability to grab a large quantity of e-mails and Web communications, current law and specific court orders restrict its use.

Nevertheless, privacy experts said they are worried about the breadth of Carnivore's capability and questioned why the FBI even conducted such a test in June—if it intends to use the tool only for narrow purposes.

"That really contradicts the explanation that the FBI has provided as to the purpose of the system and how it works," said David Sobel, general counsel for the Washington-based Electronic Privacy Information Center. "We've been led to believe that the purpose of Carnivore is to filter and pinpoint the particular communications that the FBI is authorized to obtain. If that's true, then why are they testing the system's ability to store and archive everything?"

Sobel's group recently obtained the FBI documents providing the test results as part of litigation it brought under the *Freedom of Information Act*.

In the lab report, FBI officials said Carnivore

"could reliably capture and archive all unfiltered traffic to the internal hard drive" and could save the information on removable high-capacity disks as well.

Marcus Thomas, head of the FBI's cybertechnology section, said in an interview with *The Associated Press* that the test was only done to check Carnivore's "breaking point". He said the tool wouldn't be used to capture broad swaths of Internet communications in a real-world situation.

Thomas was one of the FBI agents who approved the lab report.

"Certainly, in operation, you could set the filters up to do nothing," Thomas said. "But our procedures are very detailed, we'll only do what we're allowed to in a court order."

The difference of opinion is the latest in what has become a debate between Carnivore's capabilities and its actual use.

While law-enforcement officials have admitted that Carnivore can capture much more than e-mail, including Internet chats and Web browsing, FBI officials insist it is only used to copy e-mail to or from a criminal suspect in accordance with a court order. Opponents say the "black box" nature of the system keeps the public from knowing what it can really do, and its installation at an Internet Service Provider may cause network problems.

The Electronic Privacy Information Center started receiving batches of Carnivore-related material in October, after a court ordered the FBI to release the information.

EPIC representatives said they have received about 550 pages so far, and expect to get only about 30 percent of the 3,000 documents related to Carnivore. Most of the release documents have large portions blacked out.

FBI officials say Carnivore has been used in about 25 cases, most involving national security.

Congress considered several measures this year to rein in Carnivore, but none survived. Lawmakers have said that they may consider measures again next year.

An independent review of Carnivore was ordered by Attorney General Janet Reno [**There you have it—justice will certainly prevail!**], and that report was due to be received by the Justice Department on Friday, Justice spokeswoman Chris Watney said.

Watney said the report is expected to be released to the public early next week, after it is edited to eliminate references to Carnivore's internal blueprints and other sensitive material.

MALAYSIA HOPES U.S. AGREES TO THE INTERNATIONAL FORCE IN PALESTINE

BERNAMA, 11/16/00

KUALA LUMPUR—Malaysia hopes that the United States will agree to the wishes of the international community for the setting up of an international force in Palestine, Foreign Minister Datuk Seri Syed Hamid Albar said Thursday.

He said that the need for the setting up of a United Nations-administered International Force was a fair and justified call to stop the killing of Palestinians.

"The United States should look at the plight of humanity, at what the majority of the international community wanted (the setting up of an International Force)," he told reporters after presenting a donation

for the Mekong Valley flood victims, at Wisma Putra, here.

"The world community owes it to the Palestinians, to the peace and security in the region to allow the deployment of the International Force (IF), so both sides could go back to the areas of responsibility and violence could stop," he said.

The U.S., as the supporter and sponsor of Israel, he said, should do something to prevent the seven-week violence which until now had caused over 200 fatalities among Palestinian men, women and children.

Syed Hamid said that Malaysia had made a commitment to participate in the International Force should the UN Security Council decides to create one.

"There is an urgency to expedite the establishment of an International Force in view of the worsening situation in Palestine," he said.

But the matter rests single-handedly with the U.S., said Syed Hamid.

"It all rests with the United States... on whether it can be stopped or not. This is reality," he said.

Syed Hamid said Malaysia would never lose hope in persuading the U.S. to accept the International Force.

"We hope people in the European Union and other permanent members in the UN Security Council will be able to bring the U.S., together, to agree to the IF," he said.

He also said that the Israelis had committed atrocities during the violence when its security forces shot at Palestinians deliberately with intention to kill them.

On the U.S. \$30,000 donation to the victims in the Mekong Valley flood disaster, Syed Hamid said it showed that good and close cooperation prevailed between Malaysia and Thailand.

"It is our sincere gesture in helping a close friend like Thailand," he said.

Thailand's ambassador to Malaysia Suchitra Hiranprueck, in her speech after accepting the donation, said that the assistance would alleviate the hardship faced by the more than four million people affected by the flood.

She said that the flood, which happened from June 16 to Oct. 30, this year, hit 49 provinces and claimed 55 lives—as well as forced the relocation of 36,000 of its citizens.

CONTACT Staff

Margie Berndt

Mark Moore

John & Jean Ray

Rex Smith

Princeton Winton

Editorial Policy

The opinions of CONTACT contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the staff or management.

PUBLIC NOTICE

This notice will be construed as a continuation of compliance with provisions necessary to establish presumed fact (Rule 301, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and attending State rules). All interested parties have failed to rebut any given allegation or matter of law addressed herein. The position will be construed as adequate to requirements of judicial notice, thus preserving fundamental law. A true and correct copy of this Public Notice is on file with and available for inspection at the newspaper CONTACT which is responsible for publishing the instrument as a legal notice.

September 9, 1996 the Officers and Directors of the Assignee of 50% of the value of PERUVIAN BONUS CERTIFICATE 3392-181 reached an agreement with certain officers of the U.S. Treasury, among whom were Russell Munk and Lawrence Summers (the current Secretary of the Treasury). When those officers refused to sign the agreement, pleading that to do so would not only jeopardize the U.S. Treasury but also the Federal Reserve System, the Assignee converted the agreement to a Public Notice and published it. No objection or rebuttal was ever received from Treasury, or from the FED.

The fourth paragraph of that Agreement/Public Notice reads as follows: *“As a consideration of National Security (as well as Global Security), transactions WITHIN these guidelines will not be interfered with or blocked by the U.S. or its assigns. The consideration for such noninterference is that none of the contracts will be lodged for collection until such time as there is an additional agreement as to the lodging and honoring, through payoff, of the contracts.”*

This Public Notice, however, presents the knowledge of ongoing interference from our counterparts to the agreements and the breaking of each and all of those said agreements to varying degrees of diversion tactics of manipulation, delays and actual stone-wall operations. We acknowledge, however, that we find no outright DENIALS of said program or document value, only delays and refusal to provide information regarding same. We have also noted the utilization of such organizations as the World Bank and IMF to effect the rejection of the use of the collateral through intimidation and bribes of central bank personnel. It is our position, therefore, that we are no longer bound by those agreements as published September 9, 1996.

Because they are sensible, however, two of the requirements will continue to be met: the first being the limit of \$5T per year (beginning in 1996 and thus aggregating \$30T by January 1, 2001) (this restriction will not apply, however, to project funding within the U.S.A.), and the second being the prohibition of any use of “high yield” or “rollover” trading programs, which we believe are being used to grossly inflate the supply of U.S. dollars in an effort to destabilize the financial system of the U.S.A., and thus the world.

We offer this Public Notice as a status report to the current U.S. Administration, and to the parties who will be moving into the U.S. Government Administration, and to other involved persons in charge of governmental affairs in January, 2001. While we realize that most involved persons are very cognizant of current conditions, it is proper that we make an effort to consolidate the record for the sake of accuracy and brevity.

The asset, which is the debt of the U.S. Treasury, guaranteed by the FED, and payable in gold, as valued and established by Messieurs Bush, Herman, Baker, Bentsen, and Greenspan, was assigned to Global Alliance Investment Association (GAIA) April 28, 1998. The Uniform Commercial Code procedure for confirmation of the debt was undertaken and the proper notices to all potential interested parties given, and their receipts noted and published. There was no opposition of any kind made to the procedure, which became final and incontestable as of February 16, 1999. (The signatures at the end of this document shall be construed as a Certificate of Non-Response to the UCC notices.) Researchers have been able to easily establish that the original bearer bond, 3392-181, was reconfirmed, then reconfirmed and reissued as a jurat/contract of identifiable ownership to Cosmos Seafood Energy Marketing Ltd., a corporation set up by its owner and Chairman, Russell Herman. It may be helpful to researchers to know that the owners, officers, and directors of Cosmos are the same as those of GAIA, obviating the need for concern as to the legitimacy of those transfers from Cosmos to GAIA. The asset has been affirmed, albeit by default, as the property of GAIA, and is available for use.

To facilitate the distribution of the asset to deserving end-users, it has been determined, upon advice of counsel, to issue portions of the accrued interest in the form of DEEDS OF ASSIGNMENT FOR CONSIDERATION. Such DEEDS are specifically designed to be banking reserves and, for ease of bankers’ understanding, are to be treated exactly as is other U.S. Treasury debt, since such debt is widely used as reserves by banks everywhere.


GAIA has issued some 1,200 DEEDS, worldwide, with a total value of several trillion U.S. dollars. Honoring our agreement, none (to our knowledge) have been issued to U.S. entities. That can be changed; GAIA would be willing and able to support such a program in the U.S., given the cooperation of the U.S. Such a program would offer ability for the U.S. to reclaim gold which has been moved out of the country and upon which the value of the dollar can have established and authentic VALUE affixed. As it is, allowing derivative-based so-called “high yield” trading programs is creating dollars in ever-increasing and uncontrollable amounts. If Alan Greenspan has any claim to managing the “prosperity” of the U.S., it is only that he has held the regulators at bay and prevented them from controlling, or eliminating, the proliferation of derivatives. It is the rapidly expanding money supply that makes such prosperity possible, but without basis it must one day implode. The use of the GAIA asset and the return to a gold standard for the currency would prevent such a catastrophe if implemented in time.

As its name implies, Global Alliance Investment Association intends to become an Association of Allied nations willing to Invest their time and resources, Globally, to achieve financial stability and complete sovereignty. In 1945 the Bretton Woods Agreement established the World Bank and IMF with, ostensibly, similar intentions. Unfortunately, those noble goals have been perverted and the institutions have become instruments of control and collection for the major international banks. While GAIA has no desire to “compete” with the IMF/WB, because it has become so clear that their former beneficiaries became debtors and are now victims to be bled dry, GAIA will have to defend itself from being denigrated for its preference for non-usurious “Islamic-type” banking, or for its recommendation of basing currencies upon gold so that inflation is eliminated and interest rates can be brought to 2-4% as they were in the 1800s and, in the U.S., until the 1940s. High interest rates kill business, put home ownership out of the reach of the masses, and enrich only the already rich.

GAIA intends to offer its collateral as the basis for the creation of many regional “monetary funds” to be maintained under local control, although the overall GAIA program will soon obviate the need for such funds, once the nations taste and feel sovereignty. Such regional funds could be used to free nations from the IMF/WB debt trap by “purchasing” the debt. However, by far the majority of GAIA DEEDS will be issued to all sorts of entities that need additional collateral to enable them to finance peaceful, beneficial projects.

Because the debt to GAIA is payable in gold, and the GAIA program requires that at least 50% of the value of the DEEDS issued be used to purchase gold, and that gold held in the funding bank for the Alliance, the effect is to create substantial reserves that can be drawn upon in emergencies, especially natural disasters. The money would be immediately available, not like the current situation wherein organizations like the UN must beg for the funds.

This Public Notice presents the facts to the best of our information and we present it under penalty of perjury. Signed this 11th day of November 2000 at Manila, Republic of the Philippines and by Ron Kirzinger at Las Vegas, NV.



E.J. Ekker, President



Doris J. Ekker, Secretary



Ron Kirzinger, Executive Vice-President

PHASING-OUT SALE

Since we have little idea how far the Federal Government will follow the false information given by its mind-controlled informers, we are assuring your opportunity to gather all the invaluable material presented through the years from this source—at going-out-of-business sale prices.

Phoenix Source Distributors now offers all *Phoenix Journals* at half price—\$3.00 each, plus the usual shipping charge. This means ownership of a complete set of published *Phoenix Journals* is now domestically available for less than \$300.00, most locations. A modest price for an almost complete treatise of Truth on this era of planetary civilization. Please request foreign shipping rates.

CONTACT: (800) 800-5565

New Gaia Products

Order by Mail		1999 Order Form		Order by Phone	
New Gaia Products P.O. Box 27710 Las Vegas, NV 89126				1 (800) NEW-GAIA (639-4242) 1 (702) 263-5181 FAX	
(Please Print)					
Name			Date		
Street Address					
City/Town		State/Prov.		Zip Code	
Daytime Phone No.					
Credit Card No. (Visa, Master Card or Discover)			Expiration Date		
Signature For Credit Card Orders					

**** SHIPPING & HANDLING RATES:**

FOR: CA, WA, OR, AZ, MT, UT, ID, CO, NM, WY, NV		FOR THE REST OF CONTINENTAL USA	
\$ 0-100	\$6.00	\$ 0-100	\$8.00
\$ 101-200	\$7.00	\$ 101-200	\$9.00
\$ 201-300	\$8.00	\$ 201-300	\$10.00
\$ 301-400	\$9.00	\$ 301-400	\$11.00
\$ 401-500	\$10.00	\$ 401-500	\$12.00
\$ 501-600	\$11.00	\$ 501-600	\$13.00

ALASKA & HAWAII PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES
NOTE:
 ** For UPS 2nd day to Rural Alaska, please call for rates.
 ** For Priority Mail to any locations, please call for rates.
 ** All Foreign orders, please contact our office in writing for specific rates as rates vary greatly.
 ** When ordering cases of product call for shipping rates.

FOR ALL BREAD MACHINES, BREAD MIXES, FLOUR ORDERS, PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES AND MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, CALL FOR SHIPPING COSTS.

Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount	Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount
GAIANDRIANA LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00			OXYSOL Trace minerals & Colloidal Silver 2 oz.	\$ 8.00		
	\$ 40.00				\$ 45.00		
AQUAGAIA (Mitochondria) LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00				\$ 75.00		
	\$ 40.00			GAIACLEANSE KIT 14-DAY PARASITE PROGRAM	\$ 48.00		
GAIALYTE 2 liters	\$ 8.50			<i>Individual components sold separately—call for prices</i>			
	\$ 15.00			GULF WAR SYNDROME "Starter Kit"	\$260.00		
KOMBUCHA TEA BREEZE 1 liter	\$ 3.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND 2 oz.	\$ 6.00		
	\$ 6.00			NICOTINE__ CAFFEINE__ ALCOHOL__			
KOMBUCHA TEA VINEGAR 16 oz.	\$ 6.00			SUCROSE__ STARCH__			
CARBRAGAIA (FIBRINO-CARTILAGE) 8 oz.	\$ 8.50			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND TRAVEL PACK	\$ 15.00		
MELLOREAM BEVERAGE POWDER 3.25 lb	\$15.00			*HITACHI (HB101) BREAD MACHINE (FACTORY BLEMISHED/REFURBISHED)			
"3 IN 1" GRAPE SEED EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$18.00			No Longer Available			
"4 IN 1" WILD YAM EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			*GAIASPELT (Whole Wheat & Spelt) BREAD MIX	\$ 3.50		
A-C-E Anti-Oxidant Formula 180 TABLETS	\$24.95						
CHLORELLA 300 TABLETS/500mg.	\$21.00			* GAIASPELT FLOUR 2 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 2.50		
ECHINACEA GOLD PLUS 90 TABLETS	\$24.50				\$ 5.00		
GAIATRIM — 30 Day	\$35.00				\$ 10.00		
GINKGO BILOBA (24% Extract) 60 TABLETS	\$24.95			* GAIASPELT WHOLE GRAIN 4 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.			
OLIVE LEAF 60 TABLETS	\$24.00				\$ 5.00		
OLIVE LEAF EXTRACT 35 PG. by James R. Privitera, M.D. BOOKLET	\$ 2.75 S&H included			*GAIASPELT KERNELS 4 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 5.00		
RARE EARTH CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00				\$ 12.50		
POSLIN CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00			*PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGE	\$130.00		
ALOE PLUS 77 60 CAPSULES/450mg. EA. Alfalfa & Minerals	\$16.95			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.) 1 Bottle AquaGaia (1 qt.) 2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each) 4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix 5 Audio-cassettes			
ALOE FREEZE DRIED CAPS 90 CAPSULES	\$30.00			* MAINTENANCE PACKAGE	\$ 80.00		
NONI 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.) 2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each) 4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix			
MEGA-MULTI VITAMINS 30 CAPSULES	\$11.00			* MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS ALKALINE/ACIDIC WATER SYSTEM	\$1100.00		
ALOE JUICE Whole Leaf Aloe Vera Concentrate (10X STRENGTH) 1 liter	\$18.00			VORTEX KIT	\$ 8.00		
SUPER OXY (CHERRY-BERRY) (CRANBERRY-APPLE) 1 quart	\$18.00			ADZUKI BEANS 50-LB BAG	\$ 50.00		
BODY BOOSTER 32 oz.	\$20.00			RED LENTILS 50-LB BAG			
LIQUID LIFE 32 oz.	\$22.00			No Longer Available			
GAIAGLO LOTION 4 oz.	\$20.00						
HORSETAIL TINCTURE 2 oz.	\$ 8.00						
GAIA VITE Colloidal Multi-Vitamin & Mineral 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIACOL Colloidal Silver with trace minerals & Trace Gold suspended in a distilled water fluid 16 oz.	\$10.00						
	\$56.00						
	\$96.00						
GAIA GOLD Colloidal Gold 2 oz.	\$20.00						
	\$112.00						
	\$192.00						
GAIA DHEA Colloidal Dehydroepiandrosterone 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIA CU-29 Colloidal Copper 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA TI-22 Colloidal Titanium 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIALIFE COLLOIDAL MINERALS 121++ 2 oz.	\$10.00						

PLEASE USE THE SHIPPING RATE CHART (located on the top of this order form) WHEN CALCULATING SHIPPING FOR ALL New Gaia Products.

* FOR PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES and MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, BREAD PRODUCTS, MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS, BEANS AND LENTILS PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES.

PLEASE ALLOW 3 TO 6 WEEKS FOR DELIVERY.

Please make all checks and money orders payable to:

New Gaia Products
 P.O. Box 27710
 Las Vegas, NV 89126

TOTAL	
SHIPPING & HANDLING	
SUB TOTAL	
SALES TAX	Nevada Residents only: add 7%
TOTAL ENCLOSED	

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *Phoenix Journals* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE Journals** ARE \$6.00; ANY **4 Journals** ARE \$5.50 EACH; **10 OR MORE Journals** ARE \$5.00 EACH (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT JOURNALS, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, Inc.
P.O. Box 27353
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126

(or call)
1-800-800-5565
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)
UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l


ALASKA & HAWAII
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

CANADA & MEXICO
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

FOREIGN
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

PLEASE NOTE:
CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are **NOT** the same! Checks sent for JOURNALS or book orders should **NOT** be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.



Editorial Policy

Opinions of the CONTACT contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the CONTACT staff or management.

CONTACT: THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126
Phone: (800) 800-5565

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565. Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.

Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Qty OF ISSUES	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CANMEX	FOREIGN	Qty OF ISSUES	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders

Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges

TELEPHONE HOTLINE (Discontinued)

As an adjunct to CONTACT, the Telephone Hotline keeps you as informed as possible on current events and other important information that needs to get to our subscribers before our publishing date.

The message machine will answer after 2 rings if there are any new messages for that day, and after 4 rings if not. Thus daily callers can hang up after 2 rings and save toll charges if no new message has been recorded. If the Hotline does not answer your call, then there is currently no Hotline message.