


CONTACT

THE PHOENIX PROJECT JOURNAL

GOD'S NEW MILLENNIUM

KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION



VOLUME 34, NUMBER 7

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

DECEMBER 5, 2001

U.S. CONSTITUTION Under Terrible Attack Anti-Terrorist Bill Is Anathema

11/28/01—#1 (15-104)

RE: U.S. CONSTITUTION STATUS AFTER SEPT. 11, 2001. JOHN COLEMAN AND MANY OTHER REFERENCES

HONORS TO THOSE WHO KEEP RIGHT ON BRINGING TRUTH IN SPITE OF GREAT DISADVANTAGE.

I want to first thank Mark Moore who has isolated himself almost totally to keep putting *CONTACT* together that we might present a weekly paper.

This also must include Princeton who supports the editorial responsibilities of poring over hundreds of pages of material every week.

Then Mark must do all layout, headings, and actual hands-on manipulations to present "a paper". [MM: **It's important here to also thank those that have been so supportive and helpful to me and many others in so many ways but prefer to remain anonymous—as I do.**]

And these people do this work without income and very little recognition. I won't name others here because I can't do justice but, indeed, there are others scattered around everywhere who not only offer but also allow for support and ability to keep going while we make our way through the maze of confusion and "intent to stop" Project Phoenix.

The office management and all other responsibilities have fallen by necessity to Rex Smith who has all of the interchange. Each one has had to learn to teach themselves computer use and how to utilize programs, from mailing lists to actual layout.

There is no lesser or greater position—only different. And READERS, this includes YOU. If there are no readers it matters not how much we present or how many words are put forth.

Yes, there certainly is a "ground crew" which does a bit of shifting and wavering, but mostly we just get our work done, struggle a bit further along the way, and that with few "strokes" for which every heart needs, to consider its own direction and purpose.

When we have made it over this hurdle we need

some people to have a bit of R&R to maintain balance and recoup energy.

In order that these very people can get a glimpse of "tomorrow" and hopes for same, let us consider the paper and all the ramifications of same. We will have "a" paper as long as there are readers, and yes indeed, there shall be rewards to those who have seen us to accomplishment. However, our intent is to offer to writers, who serve Internet sites and actually have been the authors of the best resources for truth and historical fact, a PLACE, A LIVING, AND ABOVE ALL A PLACE TO SHARE WHILE ALSO BEING ABLE TO LIVE OUTSIDE OF POVERTY.

No, we can't do that yet but we shall. In that mode of function we can support our "contributors" such as John Coleman, Eustace Mullins, etc. We can get those books reprinted which have had no backup for reprints and give the authors ongoing income for the current observations which can only be balanced by that which CAME BEFORE.

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

Truth Bears A Burden Of Responsible Actions.....	page 7
Rampant, Organized: Cult Worship Of Satan.....	page 10
Researching Silver's Therapeutic Value.....	page 14
The News Desk, by John & Jean Ray.....	page 16

Example: Nothing about the Federal Reserve can make much sense if you don't even know what IS the Federal Reserve and its historical birth-and-snatch. If you don't KNOW about the Bolshevik Revolution and who was behind it and who paid for it—you cannot have insight about the world today. If you don't know what is in your *Constitution*, be it in the U.S. or Timbuktu, you CANNOT know what you have lost OR GAINED as things unfold.

Until that day our needs are met, IN GREAT APPRECIATION, to the ones who so generously share their work WITH us that we might put Truth a bit further afield so that it is not lost to the daily impact of pressures.

We are, yes, working toward “funding”, for without useful funding, chelas, the heart may be willing but the restraints contain and limit ability to be heard. But, our commitment is to make sure that, as we are able, our people will have resources for sustaining themselves.

When we are able to fulfill the honorable task assigned to us, it will come to pass that Russell Herman's very will and bequeath shall also be honored TO CONTACT. That alone will support a startup of great fundamental ability to accomplish the necessary foundation.

This will also bring capability to balance and bring current “markers” to those who have volunteered labor without limits to sustaining a viable paper. And yes, we WILL hire professional corporate personnel so that our friends can have a respite.

If someone is unwilling to SHARE TRUTH, then presentations are “suspect”. WE WILL GET THERE!

Meanwhile, we thank the sharing authors who do outstanding work and have been willing to research facts, fictions and misinformation channels, to allow for Truth to any person who really has an inquiring mind and not just a gossip-drama of the day.

I hope that as we offer information presented by Dr. Coleman in his *WORLD IN REVIEW*, that if you can, avail self of the original documents and as soon as we can assist the author we shall and help him get all his works to press and publication—FOR HIM, not for us.

It may well not be realized by either Dr. Coleman or by you—but Dr. Coleman's “COMMITTEE OF 300” is about the most “read” book on the globe. It is copied, passed around, and used as reference and proof of unfolding events for recognition of “players”. It remains as applicable today as when it was first put to press. What it is is proof of its original information and the intent of the Elite manipulators.

I want to ask Dharma to copy these segments to be shared because I want to have access to comment for our own purposes but the information is superb and if you don't get a grasp on your *Constitution*, Americans, you have truly lost every freedom you espouse.

You have to exist in a WORLD now living on headlines as presented by the masters of terror. Example: Today's paper, World section, shows U.S. Marines training in TURKEY but the headlines read: “U.S. Marines poised FOR THE KILL at Kandahar”. Obviously the message gets across either way. I remind YOU, however, that those are your “Marine” children, spouses, etc., set for a

MURDEROUS KILL—and of what? Well, lots of hungry children in rags and lots of women who can't even show their faces, barefoot and also starving with NOTHING. Does this actually make YOU feel proud and wondrous in victory over the helpless? And as for bin Laden? Come on, nobody gives a damn in hell about Osama bin Laden.

But President Bush goes on now, further and farther, by stating he will take this war on terror to anyplace on the globe.

So, why do people in the Philippines, for instance, wonder why their President gets a second State visit to the U.S. in January? Come now, children—why do you think you have a “terror” war in Mindanao TODAY? THE MOROS OF MINDANAO ARE EQUAL TO THE MOST POVERTY-RIDDEN PEOPLE ON THE GLOBE.

I can only warn you, however, citizens of the world: These are the more aboriginal people of your Earth and they do not have short memories—like all their history is in the mind, handed down from generation to generation throughout history. And if you think to have planes from the U.S. dropping bombs on a Moro-Muslim “compound” outside Zamboanga is “macho” and OK, then check the length of your penis shields, gentlemen, for your bombs mean little to the chief Moro. And yes indeed, they DO understand equality and opportunity and are yet willing TO WORK for the achievement—not simply steal it.

The world has become hard because the manipulators have insured it—brother against brother. And yes it IS difficult to live in hope when you witness such atrocities IN YOUR OWN DOORYARD.

So, yes it IS time to again turn to some facts to be faced by, especially, you “freedom singing” Americans and no, I do not just mean the United States of...!

[QUOTING *WORLD IN REVIEW*, September-October 2001. 2533 North Carson St., Suite J-118, Carson City, Nevada, 89706. Ph: 1 (800) 942-0821:]

PART 1

A WORLD TRADE CENTER SPECIAL REPORT

The attack on the World Trade Center was an act of extreme terror that cannot be tolerated or countenanced in any way. Punishment for the perpetrators must result, who ever they may be. We are united in that goal, but having said that let us emphasize that all measures adopted by the Congress can only be and must only be within the bounds of the *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. The *Constitution* cannot and must not be violated, no matter how politicians may see the need for it under stress of the moment.

And currently the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* are being grossly violated. We recognize the need for security at airports, but there are other ways to provide better security than blatantly violating the *Fourth Amendment* rights of passengers who are searched without warrants or probable cause. We dare not obtain security at the cost of the *4th Amendment*, no matter what the circumstances may be, and that is exactly what is happening all across the U.S.

Once we get accustomed to our private persons

and our private possessions being pawed over by all sorts of people who are not even officers of the law—a gross abuse of the *Bill of Rights*—then we are doomed. We might as well paper the walls of our homes with the *Constitution*. As to the Anti-Terrorism Bill, in our opinion it is one of the most ominous pieces of legislation to come along since the Founding Fathers wrote the *Bill of Rights*. It is a garrote around our necks. Today, we fear and tremble for our *Constitution* which has been struck a near-mortal blow.

One of the greatest constitutional scholars of all times was Hugh S. Legare, a South Carolina lawyer with a national reputation. The following is extracted from an article he wrote for the *Southern Review*, August 1828:

“... He will find that government has been fundamentally altered by the progress of opinion; that instead of being any longer one of enumerated powers and a circumscribed sphere, as it was beyond all doubt intended to be, it knows absolutely no bounds but the will of the majority of Congress (the curse of “democracy”—*WIR*); that instead of confining itself in time of peace to the diplomatic and commercial relations of the country, it is seeking out employment for itself by interfering with the domestic concerns of society, and threatens in the course of a very few years, to control in the most offensive and despotic manner, all the pursuits, the interests, the opinions, and the conduct of men... The (*Constitution*) may be wantonly and tyrannically violated under color of law (the most grievous shape of oppression) by men neither interested in its destiny nor subject to its control, without any means of redress being left it except such as are inconsistent with all ideal order and government.”

The Tavistock Institute knew that public opinion of the manufactured kind would be a great weapon to force change and they set about refining techniques to do this in 1921. The Tavistock Institute has enjoyed great success in this regard in its war against the *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* that began with its foundation in 1921. (See the original exposé of this evil organization in *The Tavistock Institute* by Dr. John Coleman. First published in 1969.)

[H: Ordering information will follow the segment. We will not have a list but only contact information for reaching the author.]

To continue with the writing of the greatly revered scholar, Hugh S. Legare:

“... We do not sufficiently reflect what a rare and glorious privilege it is to be a free people (in only the proper sense of that word) and how difficult it is, even under the most favorable circumstances to keep so. We have unbounded faith in forms and look upon a written constitution as a sort of talisman, which gives the liberties of the people a ‘charmed life’. ... It is really curious to look into the debates of Congress when measures pregnant with important consequences are the subject of discussion... Our statesmen are, in general, anything but practical men—a fact that may be, to some degree, accounted for by the vast predominance of mere professional lawyers (not of the first order) and the fact that we have a written *Constitution* to interpret by technical rules.

“We look in vain for that plain, manly, unsophisticated good sense—that instinct for liberty that characterizes the controversial reasoning of the great fathers of the English Constitution... and their worthy descendants and disciples, the founders of our own revolution... It is preposterous to expect that a central government which shall attempt to meddle with the domestic concerns of society can be tolerable to its subjects. It will be inevitably *societes mater discoriarim* (the mother of discord) or if the two sections would unite to make a given law, it would be the most impracticable, impenetrable and reckless tyranny that ever existed...”

John Bannister Gibson was a noted jurist, and in 1874 he wrote what we consider to be pertinent to our current, perilous situation:

“With us, although the legislature be the depository of only so much of the sovereignty the people have thought fit to impart to it. What is a Constitution? It is an act of extraordinary legislation by which the people establish the structure and mechanism of their government, and in which they prescribe fundamental rules to regulate the motion of the several parts. For these reasons I am of the opinion that it rests with the people, in whom full and absolute sovereign power resides to correct abuses in legislation by instructing their representatives to repeal an obnoxious act.”

If ever we needed a man of honor, a man imbued with a love of the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*, a Washington, or a Jefferson or a Horatio at the Bridge to rise from among us and seize these words of affirmation of our sovereignty and take them to Leahy, Daschle, Sensenbrenner, Lott and each and every one of our legislators who grossly violated their oath of office by assenting to the most unconstitutional bill ever to come along in the past 150 years, it is now. The Anti-Terrorism bill is “obnoxious” in every line, and we must demand that it be repealed as a terrible and grave danger to our liberties.

Gibson said, “The oath to support the *Constitution* is not peculiar to judges, but is taken indiscriminately by every officer of the government...” (And every citizen is similarly bound—*WIR*.) “They (the legislature) are trustees, and when they lose the confidence of the *cestui que trusts*, they should resign the trusts. ... Society could not, and it cannot now bear the practical result of the new doctrine (the plea that necessity must override the *Constitution*). It cannot live with them. It cannot surrender the right to exert, according to the limits of the *Constitution* for their exertion, those remedial energies, with which God and Nature endowed it, for the avoidance of human misery and the promotion of human happiness. It was for the right (and note it is not a privilege—*WIR*) of exerting this power, that the blood of the Revolution was shed and independence achieved by the patriots of ‘76...” Source: *Report of Cases Adjudged in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania* 3rd edition, Philadelphia, 1874 Vol. XII pp. 343-358 and *Minutes of the Thirty-Third General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Kentucky*, Frankfort, Kentucky. 1825; pages 231-239.

We call upon every citizen to demand from the legislators who voted for the Anti-Terrorism bill, to repeal this “obnoxious” bill which for as long as it is allowed to stand, represents a state of extreme peril to the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. We call upon every citizen to write, fax, e-mail or telephone

Senator Russ Feingold, the sole, honorable member of the Senate who stood ALONE and with great courage voted against the “obnoxious” Anti-Terrorism bill. This is what Sen. Feingold said.

“WHAT HAVE WE COME TO WHEN WE DON’T HAVE EITHER COMMITTEE OR SENATE DELIBERATIONS OR AMENDMENTS ON AN ISSUE OF THIS IMPORTANCE?”

We shall answer that: “What we have come to is a government exercising arbitrary power in total defiance of the *U.S. Constitution*, a government run amuck and totally out of control, a government which is a grave threat to the *Bill of Rights*. In short we have EMPIRE BUILDERS no longer answerable to We, the People.”

In opposition to Sen. Feingold we have so-called Republican Party conservatives voting for this “obnoxious” bill, and yet some of their notables are guests of honor by the hoi-polloi of the Republican Party, like Phyllis Schaffley for instance. We say to the rank-and-file Republicans, “God help the Republican Party when it descends to this level where the blind lead the blind, the lame lead the lame to stumble into the ditch. We know that Phyllis Schaffley was asked by a large number of *WIR* subscribers on innumerable occasions to invite Dr. Coleman to be a speaker at their ritzy three-day seminars, and that each and every one of these pleas was ignored. There was not even the decency of an acknowledgement.”

It behooves every one of us to do all we can within the limits of the *Constitution* to see to it that the Anti-Terrorism Bill is repealed, because if ever there was an “obnoxious” act, this bill is the very essence of it and it is without a doubt a most sinister and deadly threat to our *Constitution* and our *Bill of Rights*. We have been “preaching in the wilderness” since 1973 and we received stones not bread for our ministry on behalf of our *Constitution*. Our warnings fell on deaf ears. We hope that now, at last, those who thought we were “off the wall” will sit up and take notice.

One who would have mightily rebuked Leahy, Sensenbrenner and Bob Barr, was Edward Livingstone, a constitutional scholar from Louisiana and a favorite of Pres. Andrew Jackson. This is what he said about vengeance, which we now wreak on the people of Afghanistan:

“Vengeance is unknown to the law. The only object of punishment is to prevent the commission of offenses...”

The object of the law is not to take the law into our own hands and go off bombing every country we think we ought to. A nation governed by laws must abide by those laws or it will perish.

[H: So, how far do you think you ARE, down that Primrose Path, citizen?]

The *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* must not become a victim of the World Trade Center tragedy. Don’t allow politicians to take advantage of the terrible disaster to institute “reforms” that will rob us of our precious heritage. The Anti-Terrorist bill is exactly that; it robs us of our precious freedoms under the color of “necessity”. The *Constitution* is not subject to force of circumstances or necessity.

“And are we to interpret the *Constitution* by pictures of suffering, of destitution, or every wrong, and change its plain letters, and extend it to meet every want and necessity that may exist in the country, then

sir, you had better abolish it at once, then sir, the limitations of the written constitution are not worth the paper they are written on.”—Senator Thurmond, one of the greatest constitutional authorities of the 19th Century. We have no one who even remotely approaches this great statesman of the 1880s, no one in the Congress worthy of latching his shoes.

There is nothing in the *Constitution* that gives to government powers other than those delegated to it in Sec. 8, Art 1, clauses 1-18 and nowhere is it given so that the President or the Congress (House and Senate) has any right to suspend or alter the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. Congress can do nothing to diminish the power of the *Constitution*, nor give itself any new powers, nor alter the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. Congress can do nothing to diminish the power of the *Constitution*, nor give it itself any new powers, nor alter any existing delegated powers, like those taken by former President Bush when he committed acts of war against Iraq without a declaration of war, a gross violation of his oath of office. In our opinion, the Anti-Terrorism Bill does all of these things.

There is no provision in the *Constitution* for unelected officials to make war on foreign nations who don’t want to comply with our ideas of how to conduct their affairs. There is no provision in the *Constitution* that provides for a “Homeland Security Director”. There is nothing at all even remotely like it. In short, it has zero empowerment. Why? Because our Founding Fathers knew that such an office would in effect be an attack on States Rights guaranteed under the *10th Amendment*.

They knew that it would entail a federal government department poking its nose into affairs of the States where it had no business to be, no matter what the pretext or excuse for it might be. We say without fear of contradiction that this newly created office is an abomination of desolation of the *Constitution* and the precious rights guaranteed by our Founding Fathers. It is unconstitutional, absolutely unconstitutional and as such ought to be abolished before it can get started. It is what Hugh Legare called “*societes mater discoriarum*”—the mother of discord.

Any legislation backed by Senators Lieberman and Leahy who are International socialists (worse yet, Leahy represents the Committee of 300 in the Senate!) must be looked at with a jaundiced eye. Their notions are lethal poison to the *Constitution* which is slowly but surely being poisoned to death with the passage of each new adulterated impure “law”. Now Sen. Lieberman wants “more clout” for Mr. Thornberry, the so-called “Home Security Director” and he is calling for Senator Graham to push for the necessary legislation.

We have a question for Messrs. Leahy, Lieberman and Graham: “Where in the *United States Constitution* do you find the empowerment for a Homeland Security Director? It is just not there and thus it is an office in violation of the *Constitution*, and especially of the *10th Amendment*.” The Founding Fathers would have laughed you to scorn and dismissed your notions as preposterous (which they certainly are!) if they were with us today!”

The *Constitution* cannot be compromised by “good ideas” or popular causes. We, the people seal our own doom when we allow government to do what

it likes with the *Constitution*. And do not tell us that there are “safeguards”. There can be no “safeguards” when the object of the exercise is unconstitutional. How do you build “safeguards” into a law that is so patently an abuse of the *Constitution*? Do you do it by “trusting” the government? If so, then we suggest that you read what the Founding Fathers had to say about the appalling dangers inherent in trusting an unfettered government!

No President of the United States has any war-making power and none can be “conferred” upon him by any act of the legislature unless it is a power already stated in the *Constitution*. Congress can’t make up something not already in the delegated powers of Sec. 8, Art. 1, clauses 1-18. We were deeply dismayed when we saw nominal Republican Senator Warner’s television interview. Warner said, “The power we gave the President today is better than a declaration of war.” No wonder our nation is in crisis when we appoint people to make laws who are constitutional ignoramuses. Senator Warner and those who voted to approve his blank piece of paper (for that is what it is—not worth anything [**H: Similar indeed, to the U.S. Federal Reserve note called “Dollar”.**]) are, in our opinion, constitutional ignoramuses.

They ought to resign from office or be removed by constitutional means, even if it results in more than 75 percent of the members of Senate being forced out of office. That would be nothing compared with the crisis created by acts of violence against the *Constitution* committed by these supposed representatives of the people. The legislature is the depository of only so much sovereignty as the people have thought fit to impart to it. It is not a chamber where fertile imaginations are permitted to exercise their enfeebled anti-*Constitution* beliefs. Senators Warner, Leahy, Sensenbrenner, Graham and all those who voted for a “Homeland Director” and for the Anti-Terrorism Bill ought to be removed through the constitutional process and sentenced to study the *Annals of Congress*, the *Congressional Globes* and the *Congressional Records* for a period of ten years, after which period they may have learned enough about the *Constitution* to return to the Senate.

Senator Warner’s pathetic declaration reminds us of one of the Roman emperors who replaced a particular senator with a horse as he felt the horse had more sense than the senator.

There must be no more unconstitutional “Bush laws” like the so-called “no fly zones” which is a most blatant example of flouting and doing violence to the *Constitution*, and what is even more troubling, is that no one in the Congress saw that “Emperor Bush” had no clothes and no power to enforce his will on Iraq. We wonder why Senator Robert Byrd, the self-proclaimed doyen of the Senate, a man who prides himself of his knowledge of the *Constitution*, did not cry out, “Look, the Emperor has no clothes!” We ask Senator Byrd how it was that he could approve of bills which are totally unconstitutional? We ask him to tell you exactly where in the *Constitution* the empowerment for these measure is to be found?

Why was there no outcry when former Pres. Bush just took the title of commander in chief on his own

volition? Surely Senator Byrd must have seen it as a violation of the *Constitution*, and of the President’s oath of office? Why did he not leap to his feet and shout, “It is unconstitutional!”? Why did Sen. Byrd, the constitutional expert, allow President G.W. Bush to make the same erroneous assumption? There is no excuse for it! It is a disaster for our nation.

[H: If you seriously want to understand and recognize Sen. Byrd, I suggest you not miss an opportunity to read *TRANCE-FORMATION OF AMERICA* and you might recognize why Byrd might not want to be caught without his “new clothes”, or any other way, before the people in TRUTH.]

The *Constitution* does not allow assumption of the status of the commander in chief by any President. The title has to be conferred upon him following a declaration of war by a joint session of the House and Senate, Gephardt, Warner, Sensenbrenner, Lott, Leahy notwithstanding. Even Roosevelt, the man who brought International Socialism into our government, knew that!

THE *CONSTITUTION* IS THE PEOPLE’S, AND WHEN THEY CEASE TO UNDERSTAND IT, IT CEASES TO BE THEIRS.

[END OF QUOTING, PART 1]

I want to share with you as we move along just “why” I like to offer these brilliant presentations as they come forth and in this format: I have no wish to be classified as some revelator or seer. We have a job to do and it is mandatory that “MAN” does this educating and sharing. This is so because we have already experienced the “enemy” coming forth and claiming “old dharma” is tinged by dark energies and thus and so while no one seems to question that the accusers have just stolen money, a paper, entity guides and you name it. If you don’t have to get caught in the confusion of what YOU might believe vs. something else—READ THE RESULT OF KNOWING AND RESEARCH. Then you don’t have to be “confused”—you will realize that it is your own doing that these usurpings come upon you while you haven’t the vaguest idea what hit you—OR WHAT IS EVEN IN THAT *CONSTITUTION*.

How many of you have a copy of the *Constitution* around handy? Do you even know where to go to get a copy? What about the *U.S. Declaration of Independence*? What about the *Mayflower Compact*? People—GO GET A *WORLD ALMANAC* AND THESE SHOULD BE IN THERE “EVERY” YEAR, FOR THESE ARE THE HISTORICAL FOUNDATION OF YOUR NATION. IF YOU HAVE NO OTHER REFERENCE BOOK—HAVE THE *WORLD ALMANAC* AT YOUR FINGERTIPS AND YOU MIGHT BE SURPRISED AT WHAT YOU FIND INSIDE.

Then, in closing I want to say that our work here is copied until the papers are tattered. People, especially over in Asia, can’t get these books presented—but they will take this, as a for instance, and copy it and share until it is worn to shreds. This is the greatest honor a man can receive.

We are experiencing that now as several of the *Phoenix Journals* make their rounds while the users are shocked to find us as the source. *Spacegate* is the one now being copied and running on the *People Network*.

How long can we “hide” Dharma? Well, as long as it takes to get our job done PROPERLY—first. Then, yes indeed, I shall be delighted to share directly with the people. It is yet untimely for all the reasons of popularity causing wrong focus while security flies out the window. You have to understand that there is an international perception that somehow GOD, *et al.*, are going to bring instant wishes to each individual. That is a very wrong perception, for too many are diabolically opposed to each other and what you do in the “name of God” is quite interesting if not despicable. May you each come to recognize and understand this concept.

It is much like your *U.S. Constitution* IN THE U.S.—it has not been TAUGHT in your schools since 1900—so you have not the remotest idea of what is “unconstitutional”.

In fact, the *13th Amendment* to that *Constitution* is not even accurately numbered—for the original *13th Amendment* dealt with titles of nobility of which every attorney, barrister, esquire (lawyer) is rendered unable to hold a public office as in Administration or Congress. Oh wow, and now it has come to pass in Wonderland that somehow you HAVE TO BE a lawyer to even have real consideration for the manipulator’s post.

You no longer have courts under LAW, you have case law which is based on prior rulings which may bear no measure of relative value to the current case being “tried” at any given time in any given court. Then, the attorney you choose to pay to defend you will ask you how to try the case so that you are also to blame if he should lose. “Practice” law and “Practice” medicine are indeed interesting terms and facts. A stupid doctor can kill you with his antics but since he is only “Practicing”, you haven’t much of a case for, after all, unless you are in surgery you are at the hands of the FDA who decides that which you will have for your “saving” compounds and they are ALWAYS from the best payoff-kickbackers of the big chemical houses. Good show as to what you have become—citizens, with rings in your noses as those who plough the fields in Asia called Caribou. The Talmudists call you “cattle”, goyim.

Truth CAN indeed offer the way to freedom—but you have to seize that TRUTH and claim it while you scrape the parasites from your very beings. It is indeed a very long way to freedom from where you have crash landed.

The Eagle’s wings are caked with blood and mud—and one by one those feathers must be cleaned, dried and fluffed—and dear friends, YOU HAVE TO DO IT, for the parasites are just about to kill your very beings.

Walk in Light that you see into those dark corners closing in on you, for it is there for your use.

Salu, Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

dharma

* * *

Ref: Dr. John Coleman’s *World in Review* is published bi-monthly in the United States by World in Review, Inc., 2533 North Carson Street, Suite J-118, Carson City, NV 89706. (United States)

WIR rates: U.S., 12 months, \$65.00; Canada and Mexico, 12 months, \$75.00; Outside of North America: 12 months, \$95.00.

11/29/01—#1 (15-105)

RE: U.S. CONSTITUTION STATUS AFTER SEPT. 11, 2001. JOHN COLEMAN

[QUOTING WORLD IN REVIEW, Dr. John Coleman, Sept.-Oct. 2001:]

PART 2

THE CONSTITUTION IS THE PEOPLE'S, AND WHEN THEY CEASE TO UNDERSTAND IT, IT CEASES TO BE THEIRS.

It is why it has not been taught in our schools, our universities, our law schools since 1900. It is not by accident; it is by evil design. Once the people don't know their *Constitution*, not only do they lose it, but they no longer care and the legislators who hate its restrictions begin to kick it around like a football.

The American people do not read the *Congressional Record* which is a great pity. They do not know what Senator Schell said when Pres. Wilson was very busy breaking his oath of office to please the British War Cabinet:

"Beginning with Wilson there was a constant fight to drag us down to the level of Europe. The same personnel as in Wilson's time, the same wrecking crew that took us into the war and ruined us, are now in command. The President's first 'noble experiment' when he came to office was to look around for some means by which he could find something he was not anyway authorized to do; look for some secret way of slipping something over on us..."

"He did not have any war powers as did his predecessor (seized un*Constitutionally* by Wilson for which he ought to have been removed from office and charged with treason). So he invented one, and called it the 'war on poverty'. He needed some show of lawful authority to quiet the people while he usurped authority."

This is precisely what former President George Bush did in the Gulf War and nobody must be allowed to repeat his gross violations of the *U.S. Constitution* or encourage others to break the highest law of the land, the *U.S. Constitution*. There can be no show of "lawful authority" as a substitute for the *Constitution*.

Congressional Record, Senate, July 21, 1922, pages 2916-2920:

"In their final deliberate judgment one of the most important features of the covenant was that our country should be distinguished from other nations in its refusal to concentrate in one man exclusive power over foreign relations of the Government and especially over issues of peace and war."

The author of these words was the great *Constitutional* scholar St. George Tucker. We, the People must no longer tolerate secret meetings behind closed doors, no more foreign policy decisions made in secret by unelected bureaucrats, no more secret deals like the *Balfour Declaration*. The President is not a king and his father is not a prince of the realm. We are a government bound by an open-door policy. Our government is forbidden by law to act in secret or to conduct the business of the nation behind closed doors, of making far-reaching decisions about which the sovereigns, the people, know nothing. The *Constitution* forbids it, because it always leads to abuse.

Pomeroy, the great *Constitutional* scholar quoted

in the *Congressional Record*, House, in July 21, 1888: "The organic law nowhere proscribes or limits the causes for which hostilities may be waged against a foreign nation. The causes of war, it leaves to the discretion and judgment of the legislature." In other words, notwithstanding President Bush vainly imagining that he has some special authority (which he does not), only the Congress can decide if and when we are to go to war, and only the Congress can decide the mission of the troops and not the president, not President Bush or his father before him, whose mentor was Elizabeth II of Great Britain.

In short, the President has no authority to direct the mission of our armed forces until war is declared by the Congress and the title of commander in chief is conferred upon him. There are literally hundreds of references to this vital provision in the *Annals of Congress*, the *Congressional Globes* and the *Congressional Records*.

"Well, what about the War Powers Act" you ask? This bogus, hocus-pocus David Copperfield, now-you-see-it-now-you-don't flimflammy was the result of a conspiracy between Morgenthau and Roosevelt in which they "gave" Roosevelt the power to make war on poverty! Even if it was later amended by an act of Congress, it is still un*Constitutional* for the simple reason that Congress cannot amend the *Constitution* nor give powers that are not already in the *Constitution*. To amend something already in the *Constitution* is one thing, to make a new power not there is not an amendment but is making something anew and it is thoroughly un*Constitutional*.

No one can compromise the *Constitution*. Nor can the United States furnish arms and men to fulfill goals of foreign governments, with or without a declaration of war by a joint session of the Congress. There is no such thing in the *Constitution* as the plea of political necessity. To attempt to circumvent the *Constitution* on the basis of "political necessity" is a plea by politicians who have no respect for the *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*.

"If they prevail... every bulwark of the *Constitution* is broken down; it is become *tabu la rasa-a carte blanche* for everyone to scribble on it what he pleases." (—John Randolph, the brilliant *Constitutional* scholar, *Debates*, 18th Congress 1st Session December 1823.) Are we now to stand aside and let the President and the Congress violate the *Constitution*, as is happening almost every day?

We join with the millions of Americans in mourning the terrible and tragic events of September 11, and we grieve along with those who lost family members in the dastardly bombing of the World Trade Towers and the Pentagon. We are shocked at the deaths of the brave passengers who died aboard the American Airlines flight that crashed near Philadelphia as the crew and passengers fought a desperate battle with the hijackers. These were horrifying and sickening events, and will ever remain so. The government is justified in taking measures to protect its citizens—its first job—and to prevent a recurrence of such terrible attacks on our nation, but there is no justification for exceeding its authority where such measures are not strictly within the limitations of the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*.

There is no room for compromise in the *Constitution* by measures that go outside of its delegated powers, no matter what the

circumstances. The *Constitution* cannot be bent and twisted to fit the moment, no matter how bitter and how sad the moment may be. The *Constitution* cannot be compromised by inflamed passions, the effervescence of hysterical excitement nor cries for vengeance, no matter how much our grief demands it. To tamper with the *Constitution* is to bring an end to the *Constitution* and the Republic of the United States on which it stands.

We know that to the majority of Americans, the *Constitution* has become less important than it was to our Founding Fathers. Some have even complained that *WIR* has "too much about the *Constitution*" in it, as if there could ever be enough written about this precious document that made the noble experiment of America a reality. Human nature is such that we don't miss something until it is gone. In October 2001, the people of the United States find themselves in grave danger of losing everything the Founding Fathers and their brave soldiers spilled their blood for, because their *Constitution* is being treated with disdain, even as though it does not exist.

We ought to be weeping over the way that the *Constitution* has been ripped to shreds and tossed in the trashcan in the aftermath of the September 11th attack on the World Trade Center. Tearing up the *Constitution* or making a scribbling pad out of it does not constitute patriotism and does nothing for the defense of our nation. More than that, it is a dangerous weakening of the liberties enshrined in that precious document. The liberties of We, the People, cannot become a political football. As long as we have our *Constitution* we need fear no foreign enemy, but we need to beware of the more dangerous internal enemy as Abraham Lincoln said in his speech delivered at Springfield, Illinois, January 1873:

"No foreign power or combination of foreign powers can take a drink from the Ohio or make a track on the Blue Ridge trail in a thousand years. At what point then is the approach of danger to be expected? I answer, if it ever reaches us it must spring from among us, for it cannot come from abroad. Let destruction be our lot, we ourselves must be its author and finisher. As a nation of free men we must live through all time or die of suicide."

At what point does danger approach us? It approaches with every calamity big and small that befalls the nation, at which point politicians rush to scribble their bills filled with vacuous ideas to supplant that which it is forbidden to supplant because it is fixed in stone. Our Founding Fathers knew all about human nature and the vanity of man. These politicians who are supposed to represent the people lose the honor of tremendous trust bestowed upon them when they rush to the floor of the House or Senate with hasty, ill-conceived legislation and force it through without fully debating its *Constitutionality* as mandated by the *Constitution*.

The Congress "debates" in 3 days what ought to take a year of careful consideration on matters of great profundity, in a calm atmosphere and dispassionate to the degree of its importance. They ignore the Founding Fathers who demanded that all measures be first debated as to their *Constitutionality*. Today, there is no such debate. Instead we have hysterical politicians ramming through bills without regard to their *Constitutionality* in an exercise in

arbitrary power forbidden by the *Constitution*. Through this flagrant abuse of power we have become an Empire! We are not longer a Republic!

We trembled in fear for the safety of our *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* when we read an article by John Lancaster of the *Washington Post*.

“House OK’s Anti-terror legislation. Congress swiftly increases police powers while alarming civil liberties advocates.” Lancaster was crowing over the so-called “Anti-Terrorism” Bill that “would expand the ability of law enforcement and intelligence agencies to tap phones, monitor Internet traffic and conduct other forms of surveillance of terrorists. The legislation galloped through Congress in record time, skirting normal committee procedures and alarming civil-liberties advocates who say lawmakers are being stampeded into far-reaching measures they’ll someday regret. Most of the language grew out of teams led by Ashcroft and Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Patrick Leahy—and they insist that they have been careful to avoid any *Constitutional* pitfalls.”

What a savage blow has been struck against the *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. Of Leahy we expected nothing less, but for Attorney General Ashcroft to be a party to this monstrous rape of the *Constitution*? We never thought we would live to see the day! **[H: STOP; and why not? Remember that that Attorney General Ashcroft IS PAID DIRECTLY BY THE INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND THROUGH THE WORLD BANK OF THE IBC and perhaps you might better respect the move.]** Let us examine this misbegotten child of September 11, 2001:

In the first instance Congress cannot give any police powers other than those already delegated by the *Constitution*. Congress has no right to expand police powers. That is a matter for the States under the authority of the *10th Amendment*. Nor can the several States grant police powers that infringe upon the 4th and 5th amendments to the *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*, and less still can Attorney General Ashcroft and Senator Leahy do anything to expand police powers and made them applicable to the several States. We ask these officials, “Where in the *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* do you find the empowerment for your expanded powers?”

“... in pursuit of terrorists.” How is a “terrorist” defined? And if the word cannot be properly and fully defined in written law, then it is a bad law. And who decides when someone becomes a “terrorist”? Is a man picketing a chanel house of abortion a “terrorist”? We say the term cannot be properly and clearly defined and therefore poses a great danger to the liberty of the people when it is left to some faceless official to make such a judgment call. It is all just too vague.

“The legislation galloped through Congress in record time skirting normal committee procedures and alarming civil-rights advocates...”

How chilling. This bill is a frightening example of an exercise of arbitrary power, strictly forbidden by the *Constitution*! There can be no rushing of legislation through the Congress. The *Constitution* says that all bills are to be fully debated as to their Constitutionality before they can even come to the floor of the House and Senate. On this point alone, the so-called “Anti-Terrorism” Bill is unConstitutional, given that it was not

properly and fully debated as to its Constitutionality!

What is a “civil-liberty advocate”? What special class of individual is this? We say there is no such thing. Every man, woman and child who loves and reveres the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* is a “civil-liberty advocate” and those who “gallop” bills through the legislature without a full debate are the enemies of “civil liberties”.

“... and insist that have been careful to avoid any *Constitutional* pitfall.” God save us! Since when is the *Constitution* a “pitfall” and to whom? We venture to suggest that only those who seek to circumvent its provisions will so regard the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. The legislators in a screaming hurry to force bills through the House and Senate WITHOUT EVEN THE VAGUEST SEMBLANCE OF FULL AND PROPER DEBATES AS TO ITS CONSTITUTIONALITY, are unfit to make the law. They are either ignorant of the provisions of the *Constitution* regarding the exercise of arbitrary power, or else they could not care less. In either case, they are unfit to represent We, the People, and in accordance with the provisions laid down by the Founding Fathers, they must be removed from office in accordance with those provisions.

Of all the inane comments we have read coming from the legislature in our twenty nine years of examination of the *Annals of Congress*, the *Congressional Globes* and *Congressional Records*, what Senator Sensenbrenner said is without equal: “I have been in public office for 33 years... and this is the most difficult thing I have ever done, balancing the need for moderate law enforcement and prosecutorial powers with civil liberties.”

“Moderate law enforcement”? A “balancing act” with the *Constitution*? How amazing this is, coming from one who has served the nation for 33 years, and still does not know the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. Has Sen. Sensenbrenner never heard of the prohibition against exercising arbitrary power? How many months would it have taken to debate the “Anti-Terrorist” Bill? We believe not even a year would have been long enough. The Civil Rights bill was debated for seven years in the 1880s!

And how do you “balance” powers not in the *Constitution* with civil liberties? **[H: My dear people the answer is quite simple: YOU BALANCE THEM WITH THE MANIFESTO-PROTOCOLS OF THE ELDERS OF ZION! The events were deliberate acts to dump you into and under the laws of the Talmud and you had best realize it, for that is the law being now utilized in the very Courts of your LANDS.]** When a bill is as unConstitutional as this one clearly is, there can be no balancing act and no provision for compromise. The *Constitution* was balanced when the Founding Fathers wrote it. What Senators Sensenbrenner and Leahy tried to do was to twist and squeeze it to make it fit their so-called “Anti-Terrorist” Bill.

Such “balancing” is FORBIDDEN by the *9th Amendment*. We believe what Sen. Sensenbrenner found difficult was to COMPROMISE the *Constitution* without it looking as if he agreed to violate it. There is nothing in the *Constitution* that can be modified, altered, added to. The delegated powers of Congress are cast in immutable granite. They cannot be balanced against anything that is

UNCONSTITUTIONAL. Such talk is mere semantics and no more than semantics, probably to obscure the fact that what this bill proposes is a violation to the *Constitution*. How do you “balance” laws that they cannot be written into law with the preciseness demanded by the *Constitution*?

We come now to the most chilling statement that we have ever heard from a U.S. Senator, and a senior member of the Senate at that:

“WE HAVE DONE THE WHITE HOUSE A GREAT FAVOR BY TAKING THE TIME TO ACTUALLY READ AND IMPROVE THIS BILL BEFORE PASSING IT.”

[END OF QUOTING PART 2]

It is so, readers, that you must give careful attention to these things, for the very presence of freedom is quickly being slipped away right from under your noses.

I hearken to yesterday’s speech by Sen. Warner and Levin who went to Pakistan recently. When asked about “losses” (as in death to troops) it was quickly understood that “Oh, the families in our great nation are prepared for that probability. The men (troops) are also prepared for that event... and are quite willing to face whatever comes.” Well, the good Senators ran for home and the comfort of their high-living security and pompous life-styles.

I ask YOU: When have you been aware that any politician consulted a man going into a war as to whether or not he was prepared TO DIE—to save some politician’s assends? Surely enough, people, you are quite INSANE.

We are also amused if not sickened to our souls to watch the unfolding in the Philippines. This moves beyond “insane” but not to ever lose the humor in such connections as with such a place as this and the U.S.—old Senator Lugar (yep, the same one who “handled” Marcos) is at work captivating (second time this very year) the young lady President Gloria. Back to those *Protocols*, readers—look them up and give it a second read.

Frightening? Well, I should guess so—unless you truly do NOT understand your circumstances. This is SERIOUS time, people.


How many of you are aware that just released on Nov. 26, 2001 was a publication in LOS ANGELES (ACN) that Two men, one of them a former Israeli Colonel and Mossad agent, were arrested INSIDE the Mexican Congress carrying 9mm pistols and dynamite, but were released following intense pressure from the Israeli Embassy. The headline read: Mossad Agents Arrested In Attempt TO BOMB Mexican Congress.

Apparently this was on October 15, 2001 and the release was from the Mexican Department of Justice on 11/26/01.

And you still think there is no connection with a tower hit in New York? Oh gosh, kids, it is truly time to WAKE UP.

After all, the FBI in the U.S. is STILL holding Israelis caught in very, very compromising circumstances in New York in more than one location. I’m warning you, people of the world, this is an attempt to get Armageddon under way as well as a New World Order totally in control of YOU. Only the “KING” is under debate.

Salu, GCH

dharmā 

Truth Bears A Burden Of Responsible Actions

11/25/01—#1 (15-101)

RE: POLITICS OF TERROR AND MASS PERSUASION BY WILLIAM THOMAS. VARIOUS INFORMATION BACKING CONCLUSIONS. PHOENIX

PHOENIX, AS IN "LOGO"

Hatonn—Thank you to those who have noted the outstanding logo of the new *American Free Press*, the identical Phoenix Bird. Not only is it fine with us, we are honored and no, it is NOT new nor even the presentation non-use prior to us.

As a matter of fact, the picture itself is the same one utilized by *World Book Encyclopedia* as a representation for the "Phoenix". It was also used by a wondrous writer by the name of Antony Sutton in his newsletters, as someone pointed out after our paper was into print.

The facts are that the wondrous intent of the "Phoenix" has been usurped over and over again by clandestine groups using it for a Project or Program designation.

The project/program laid forth by Marcos/Reagan and then taken by Bush, is also known as Project Phoenix and that was with a proper use intended by the title.

By the way, we have now had someone come in to talk seriously about how and where to go "from here" who claims to have known "Rusty" Herman VERY WELL. True? False? Who knows, for unfolding seems to be the only path to Truth in this day of Kali (chaos).

We have in Manila two diametrically opposed stories about Nur Misuari, just to name one focus.

The headlines from the *Associated Press* claim "arrest" of Nur and "followers" by Malaysia with bunches of long-winded "non-asylum" tales. But NO, others IN THE LOOP of the very activities afoot have later information that Nur Misuari and his people are very definitely NOT IN MALAYSIA OR THE PHILIPPINES.

People, does it matter? Surprisingly little. However, if you don't question the garbage dumped onto your plates, how can you act rationally or with any wisdom at all? When you look WITH REASON, LOGIC and TRUTH—YOU CERTAINLY "CAN" BEGIN TO READ TRUTH EVEN OUT OF THE LIES. IF IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE, IT LIKELY ISN'T SO. TOO GOOD TO BE TRUE IS ANOTHER CIRCUMSTANCE THAT ALWAYS BEARS SCRUTINY—NO MATTER WHO IS PRESENTING. IF THERE IS WISDOM, LOGIC AND REASON BEHIND THE OFFERING, THEN THINGS MAKE SENSE INSTEAD OF SIMPLY BEING MORE CONFUSING.

GAIA IS NO EXCEPTION: YOU HAVE TO KNOW THE PROGRAM AND NOT THE GOSSIP FOR IT TO NOT ONLY MAKE SENSE BUT BE TOTALLY REASONABLE AND NOT SOMETHING FOR NOTHING.

I like to think of a great prophet or "Master" who comes forth as one who "fulfills" prophecy or directives rather than some magician who is a

prophet or seer of some kind.

We do not come to prattle revelations but to fulfill that which is *already destined* to manifest at some time in the perceived "future". This is manifestation and NOT simply more prophecy. This takes away the "magic" and it also takes away the "something for nothing" of murdering someone and then claiming salvation on HIS blood and suffering. That very stance is the most illogical of all mankind's screwed tales.

The absurdity of what you allow and what you accept while enslaving selves is outrightly embarrassing to any teacher of Truth. This is especially true of you who have studied with this old professor for so many years. You are given everything you need to judge circumstances, learn truth and evaluate discernments in every case JUST BY THE ASSUMPTION OF LOGIC AND REASON "FIRST" AND THEN GAINING KNOWLEDGE AS QUICKLY AS IS POSSIBLE. In that way one doesn't ever have to eat the rotten egg off his own face or at the least can do so with dignity, sprayed liberally with GOOD STRONG COLLOIDAL SILVER OR GOLD. Then, when you have followed through PROPERLY, you will have built-in security in TRUTH and not some fabrication of something for accusing or defending. It is indeed a new concept in the court system but if you who face the court accused—that "other accusing party" must PROVE beyond "reasonable or shadow of doubt", their claims vs. your TRUTH. Truth will stand, ultimately, for the lie cannot permanently sustain itself.

Now I would like to turn to an extremely well done observation document presented by one we have quoted before: William Thomas of Canada. Certainly he does not claim all-knowing but simply uses reason, logic and LEARNING to make comment while gathering information in fact, rather than from the *Associated Press* which is fully controlled and manipulated, and everyone around KNOWS IT.

William Thomas has a book worthy of getting, called *ALL FALL DOWN*. It is "The Politics of Terror and Mass Persuasion".

Is the information new and built on revelations? No, and neither are the "revelations" given to you as revelations from anyone anywhere.

Why do you live in the lie? Because you like it that way, for **Truth bears a burden of responsible actions.**

Don't you people even feel foolish when you send off your Thanksgiving/Christmas funds to people in New York that neither need them, want them, don't get them anyway, and who now you find aren't even "missing"? [QUOTING William Thomas. Posted Nov. 24, 2001:]

"WHAT NOW?"

"Nothing would be what it is. Because everything would be what it isn't. And contrary-wise—what it is, it wouldn't be. And what it wouldn't be, it would. You see?"—ALICE IN WONDERLAND

Rightly or wrongly, Alice had it right. Or, as the great Yogi named Berra once said, "When you

come to a fork in the road, take it."

Confuse people long enough (about 25 seconds for the average TV viewer)—and they will click channels, tune into less arduous distractions, and reset their brains to "snooze" control.

It's a time-tested formula. Everyone who runs a scam for personal, political or financial gain relies on their audience's fractured attention to get away with story lines that seem absolutely compelling in the heat of some manufactured moment—but collapse into complete nonsense if we run the tape back and view it frame-by-frame.

Take the events Sept. 11, 2001. Absolutely riveting video, relentlessly repeated. Authoritative news anchors looking dazed and grim, throwing out official statements like lifelines to millions of dazed and desperate viewers drowning in shock and disorientation.

It couldn't be real, but it had to be true.

* * *

So we bought it. Or most of it anyway. We didn't ask why intelligence agencies who couldn't catch a whiff of the most complex "hit" since the invasion of Normandy knew the names of 19 cremated hijackers and their renal-challenged ringleader within 48 hours of attacks that killed 50,000, no 25,000, no 6,000, no 2,500 people...

We didn't ask how frightened young men who couldn't fly Cessnas could navigate and steer heavy jets into their targets. Or why none of their names turned up on the passenger lists of the four airplanes they were supposed to have commandeered. (Total number of Middle Easterners among 257 passengers and crew: zero.)

We didn't ask what the United and American pilots (three of them military veterans) were doing while men armed with quarter-inch knives demanded death to fellow Americans, why their cockpit conversations were never released, why eight indestructible "black boxes" holding the keys to so many questions were rendered "inoperable" while hijackers' paper passports escaped explosions and fire unscathed.

We didn't ask why a plane supposedly driven by its passengers into two separate crash sites in the Pennsylvania countryside came apart in mid-air. Or why the president of the United States remained more interested in the story of a pet goat than reports of airliners crashing into Manhattan skyscrapers and the Pentagon.

We didn't ask why U.S. forces were poised to attack across the Tajikistan border into Afghanistan in an assault announced last June—but could not scramble a single fighter to defend the U.S. capitol before three airliners hit their targets on a sunny morning in September.

We didn't ask why the CIA met with an ailing Osama bin Laden in an American hospital as the biggest British battle fleet since the Falklands sailed for waters off Afghanistan, why Washington gave the Taliban \$43 million in May, or why the FBI and Attorney General of the United States repeatedly ignored warnings and blocked investigations into the impending attacks.

We didn't ask what the CIA was doing in the upper echelons of Big Banks that profited hugely from "short-selling" United and American Airlines stocks in the final days before someone dialed 911.

We didn't ask why the head of the WTC arson investigation told reporters there were "explosive devices" in the building, why so few survivors were burned, or why New York firefighters were dragged away from

Ground Zero just when the site was becoming safe and they were getting close to the bottom of things.

* * *

We did not ask because we were not told what had really happened.

Like good Germans in Hitler's heyday, we looked the other way when more than 1,100 not-quite-White people bearing Middle Eastern names were thrown into U.S. prisons, interrogated, abused, held without notifying their families or lawyers—without ever being charged with terrorists acts. We were sure it couldn't happen to us.

[H: AND NOW THE WORST IS HAPPENING EVERY DAY IN EVERY WAY.]

Anthrax held us enthralled. Instead of asking what a weaponized strain of decades of "Made In The U.S.A." bacteria was doing in silent letter bombs, we clamored for risky antidotes and even more dangerous vaccines without stopping to consider that anthrax is not contagious, easily treated, rarely fatal—and that bee stings pose a far more serious threat to most Americans.

Like frightened Germans after the Reichstag fire, we demanded that authorities "do something". They did. A *Constitution* generations of American had given their lives to defend was SUSPENDED. Laws were quickly passed permitting surveillance, assets seizure, arrest and detention of suspect"—without formal charges or judicial oversight. EVIDENCE WILL BE SEALED. SPECIAL TRIBUNALS WILL HEAR THESE CASES. FORCIBLE QUARANTINE AND INOCULATIONS ARE NEXT.

A president who told Americans the day he "took" office—"If this were a dictatorship, it'd be a heck of a lot easier, just so long as I'm the dictator"—appointed himself supreme ruler of his new Supreme National Security Council. A hundred thousand troops were called for "internal security". And we said thank God, not realizing that soon perhaps we could be busted for that, too.

* * *

Everyone knows the score by now.

FEMA is only for hurricanes; the Florida recount can wait; Timothy McVeigh blew up a big building with the same sort of fertilizer used to cover up his cohorts; Osama bin Laden is an ungrateful madman just like Saddam and Noriega and all the other thugs we've hugged; Bush's "War on Drugs" is just as urgent and honest as his "War on Terrorism", and kids as precious as our own being blown up in Afghanistan should just get out of the way.

We have to have bin Laden. Or maybe we don't. It's no longer clear. For sure, we have to topple the Taliban. What they're doing to women must be stopped. But now our leaders say maybe it would be okay if they rule the southern half of the country and let us take the rest where the pipelines have to go through.

Pakistan is our ally. They are sending troops to fight on the side of the Taliban. They have nukes. The general who heads their intelligence service sent \$100,000 to a fanatic the FBI says led the suicide planes against Manhattan. But it's okay now. The FBI complained and the general has resigned.

* * *

Already the vultures are gathering.

On Oct. 10, 2001 U.S. Ambassador Wendy Chamberlain called on the Pakistani oil minister to get moving on the stalled Unocal pipeline from Turkmenistan across Afghanistan to a Pakistani port. Selling oil and gas to China is back on the table, she says, "in view of recent geopolitical developments". In the context of

appeasing China, Chamberlain is an interesting name.

In the context of Sept. 11, China's ascension to the WTO before the WTC's dust had settled seemed almost scripted. Like some kind of quid pro quo—you give us oil and a seat on the organization divvying up the world, we do something for you.

What could that be? At least 15,000 Chinese Muslim troops have been sent to the defense of the Taliban.

Some people think President Bush II's second modern "crusade" is a contest between Allah and Christ. They are dangerously wrong. This war is being fought to reinstate their real "god"—gold, oil and drugs.

You and I can switch to bicycles or electric cars. But militaries cannot convert to battery-operated battleships or B-52s. The hi-tech allied armed forces needed to keep a lid on people being ripped off—which includes just about everyone on the planet—is running out of oil. The world's biggest remaining oil reserves are in the Caspian basin. A 20-billion barrel transfusion must begin flowing through 48-inch arteries just as soon as they can be laid across Afghanistan—and injected into the industrialized veins of increasingly desperate oil addicts.

Forget global warming, catastrophic climate change, the oppressed women of Afghanistan, the starving millions shivering with the onset of winter, and millions more moderate Muslims who are beginning to believe that Osama bin Laden is right. We're talking serious money here: \$3 to \$5 trillion worth of oil and natural gas, and hundreds of billions more in that other addiction supplied by a country called Afghanistan.

An American administration intimately linked to the international drug trade and the banks that launder its dirty money has been beside itself since the Taliban declared poppy-growing against Shariah Islamic law. Maybe the mullahs were miffed at the CIA for cranking up Afghanistan's heroin production to finance Osama bin Laden.

Their decree was issued 19 months ago. The fields are fallow; 60% of U.S. heroin imports have been shut off. Junkies are having withdrawals almost as bad as the White House, where some \$250 billion a year in illicit drug money waters Wall Street, Big Banks and various Bushes.

On the scale of national budgets, the only usable amounts of cash are in the drug trade. Everything else is a digital dream. If the drugs stop flowing, the world economy will instantly collapse.

The "shadow" administration hopes Colombia can pick up the slack. But a few dedicated drug enforcement officials haven't gotten the word. They keep cracking down on drug lords like Lehder linked to people with names like Cheney and Bush.

All the details are in the lawsuits brought by a former Green Beret, a Contra drugs-for-arms dealer and smuggler gone straight.

But the media ignores the news.

* * *

It's imperative that the Taliban get turfed and fresh poppies planted. Don't get confused. These aren't the poppies for Remembrance Day. Though in a way they are. Americans have been conned into wars for oil and drug profits beyond their knowledge or imagination since the U.S. Navy tracked the Japanese carriers steaming toward Pearl Harbor, the *Maddox* fought phantom Viet Cong gunboats in the Gulf of Tonkin, and Iraqi soldiers ignored the incubator babies in Kuwait.

The documentation is voluminous. Much of it comes from government sources. Does anyone really believe the puppeteers behind the Sept. 11

attackers do not have a similar agenda?

You decide. Search the Internet. Check the references in the back of this book. Cultivate independent news sources. Evaluate. Read the evidence presented in *All Fall Down: The Politics of Terror and Mass Persuasion*. See if it resonates.

Ask yourself: "Who benefits? Who gains?"

"Well," says David Icke, "The Illuminati want a world government and army, a world currency and centralized global financial dictatorship and control. They want micro-chipped people and a society based on constant surveillance of all kinds at all times. And they want a frightened, docile, subservient, people who give their power away to the authority who can save them from what they have been manipulated to fear."

That pretty well nails it down.

* * *

Ted Rall has traveled extensively through Central Asia. His cartoons are savagely astute. So is his analysis. Rall writes that the Sept. 11 attacks have provided Washington with "the perfect excuse to do what the U.S. has wanted all along—invade Afghanistan and install a puppet pipeline regime in Kabul."

Amend that to: "puppet poppy pipeline regime".

Michael Ruppert is a former LAPD investigator. He has submitted written testimony to the Senate Committee on Intelligence. After investigating the events leading up to Sept. 11, Ruppert responds, "I absolutely believe, at this moment, that the United States government had foreknowledge of the attacks and allowed them to occur."

Dr. Len Horowitz, the man who wrote the books on Washington's complicity in a cull (?), says of Sept. 11: "There is a conspiracy within our own government—a conspiracy of silence at minimum."

After two solid months on this case, after evaluating gigabytes of corroborative information, after presenting the patterns formed by that evidence in this book, *All Fall Down*—I fully concur. There can be zero doubt that the attacks of Sept. 11 were foreseen and facilitated by agents and agencies within the United States government.

I did not say, "initiated". I have no evidence of that yet.

But in any courtroom worthy of that name, accomplices to mass murder are no different from those who pull the triggers. Or fly the suicide planes.

* * *

So what to do?

In a media-branded "terrorist" camp in the British Columbia interior, where armed native warriors were preparing to defend their Shaman and their land against heavily armed tactical police, their leader pointed to the campfire we were sitting around and told me that he welcomed a massacre that would spark an uprising across Canada.

Wolverine knew that provoking a heavy-handed response to one small band occupying ancestral lands would "scatter the embers" among the far-flung, dry tinder of long-held resentments and rage.

Osama bin Laden knows this too. The Iraqis, Jordanians and Saudis I met during the Gulf War were businessmen troubled by American terror from the skies. They come from a tribal people with long memories. Even the more moderate *Koran* they follow teaches the absolute obligation of the faithful to avenge the killing of innocents—unless acceptable reparations and apologies are made.

Killing one million Iraqis—mostly children under the

age of 15—through bombings, radiations and epidemic-sparking actions... then bombing people with the lowest per-person calorie intake in the world and killing millions more in the coming cold of a mountain winter... is not a wise policy for anyone this side of such a vast gulf in history and perception.

Not if we want to keep opening letters, boarding airliners and working in tall buildings.

“We certainly want to reduce the level of terror, certainly not escalate it,” Noam Chomsky told a San Francisco peace rally. “There is one easy way to do that and therefore it is never discussed. Namely stop participating in it. That would automatically reduce the level of terror enormously.”

What else?

“Beyond that, we should rethink the kinds of policies, and Afghanistan is not the only one, in which we organize and train terrorist armies. Rethink the policies that are creating a reservoir of support,” Chomsky adds.

Want to stop terrorism dead in its tracks? Stop looking the other way. Stop arming people with heavy grievances and their own agendas. Take out the training camps from Fort Benning, Georgia to the Beka Valley. Give angry, hungry kids in refugee camps a home, a homeland, an education, a stake in a worthwhile future.

Listen to what they are saying. Before they feel driven to say it with 30-pounds of explosives strapped to their chests. The biggest thing I learned before bullets started flying at Gustafsen Lake is that people with grievances just want to be heard.

* * *

Bill Moyers has another startling suggestion. Reduce our need for oil. In a keynote address to the Environmental Grantmakers Association on October 16, 2001 Moyers asked, “Do we want to send the terrorists a message? Go for conservation. Go for clean, home-grown energy.”

He said, “As for national security, well, it’s time to expose the energy plan before Congress for the dinosaur it is. Everyone knows America needs to reduce our reliance on fossil fuel. But this energy plan is more of the same: more subsidies for the rich, more pollution, more waste, more inefficiency... America’s unchecked consumption of oil has become our Achilles heel. It leaves our economy dangerously vulnerable to price shocks. It invites environmental degradation, ecological disasters, and potentially catastrophic climate change.”

He’s right.

* * *

Feeling used, enslaved, scammed, betrayed, lied to, burned out, bummed out, manipulated, screwed over, mind controlled, emotionally blackmailed, tantalized, toyed with, jerked around, ripped off, threatened, harassed, patronized, exhorted, extorted, attacked by powerful interests who keep telling you it’s all “for your own good” and that there is no such thing as conspiracy at high and hidden levels?

Take heart! In September 2001 more than 50 million North Americans accessed the Internet from their homes. An online community of real flesh and blood brothers and sisters is coming together very quickly now. Nothing is hidden, all is being rapidly revealed. Despite the complacent complicity of media mesmerizers, the first mass-marketed war to come up against the Worldwide Web is being undone by hundreds of millions of people bypassing its contradictions and coercions to share solidarity and insights on the net.

From North, South, East and West people of all persuasions are coming together in a rapidly

coalescing consciousness. Each new participant brings another vital piece of personal perspective that is already forming a new world view that is inclusive, respectful, compassionate and celebratory of its differences and diversity.

We can turn this around.

We’ve all been traumatized. We’re all mad as New Yorkers. And we’re smarter and more generous than our fear and anger.

People know what’s going on. Even those who don’t have access to alternative information sense that there is much more to motives and events than they are being told. By the grace of a wired world and the spirit within us all, we are joining together to pull the plug on cynical profiteers whose only god is the payout they receive in Gold, Oil and Drugs.

Here are some things we can do:

Remember the children.

Unplug all brainwashing broadcast machines within eye and earshot.

If someone tries to sell you a box of bombs and flags, just say “forget it. I’m not buying until I read the label.”

Buy only necessities.

Do not sign up, do not subscribe, do not join the party line. It leads to a gulag. Then over a cliff.

Do not look down.

Try spreading your wings instead.

Move always toward the light.

Call home immediately. Remember that prayer is more powerful than any weapon or ill intention ever devised.

Listen to each other’s stories. There is more wisdom in personal experience than any agenda-serving “analysis”.

Hug someone you care about right now.

Whenever you feel love and gratitude, express it out loud. Do this often. The whole universe hears you. Every creature who benefits will bless you for your gift.

Resist much. Obey little. Think for yourself.

When they come with retina scans, wear contacts inscribed with your favorite slogan.

THINK LIKE SOMEONE IN A COUNTRY OCCUPIED BY A FOREIGN POWER. ACT LIKE SOMEONE SHARING A PLANET OCCUPIED BY A FOREIGN POWER. REMEMBER THAT YOU HAVE THE POWER.

We must depart the script prepared for us. Reality is consensual. We can all change our minds. If we stand together in the face of tyranny, if we laugh at fear and choose to walk away from this scam—it all falls down.

Then we can build a saner, more compassionate, more joyful world together.—William Thomas.

ALL FALL DOWN: The Politics of Terror and Mass Persuasion by William Thomas. 225 Pgs. \$30 inc. shipping, free electronic updates, please include an e-mail address: Will Thomas, Heron Rocks 1-9, Hornby Is., BC, Canada VOR1ZO
[END OF QUOTING]

Readers, the reason nothing seems “real” is because nothing IS real. You live in total non-reality and die in that same non-reality.

Another thing I note about our relationship is that you would really like us on that Internet. I am caused to ask “WHY”? There is enough on that Internet without more confusion. We need to specifically communicate with our “team” of players which reaches beyond the “information” circles. WE HAVE

A MAJOR JOB TO GET ACCOMPLISHED AND THEN WE HAVE MEANS BY WHICH TO ASSIST IN THE INSURANCE PROGRAM WHICH ALLOWS MORE AND MORE INFORMATION WHILE THOSE WHO WRITE TRUTH CAN HAVE AUDIENCE.

People have to spend too much time attacking us and writing such garbage about us as to be distracting in its own right. We have enough going on to TEST every one—and each thing we attempt that we protect and shelter—both being and thing.

We stand solidly on Truth; we have moved through the classes and are not wanting—unless we wanted something for nothing—like the free lunch, communal nonsense and no responsibility as in not even identifying selves in taking responsibility for even SELF. How many will even notice? Most! **But frankly, friends, we don’t want “most” botching up the program, project, or waters, by flapping around in ego-waves of hyper-expertism.**

It is good that some have turned “against” our people. If that sounds odd, think again. If ego can’t stand in tiny things, how can you possibly find dependability in large things? You can’t! Therefore, we leave the little games to the micro-minds with macro-egos and GET OUR JOB DONE! In the very processing of the responses required, truth outs and the guilty betray themselves when they can no longer run, hide, or have their lies accepted. We certainly need no more blood-sucking parasites. I shall be most unappreciative if you who have found selves ridded of same, take them all back again.

Remember that when you waste, you waste that which is GOD’S. A parasite which takes itself away from you is best because you did nothing except feed same. The worst that can happen to you is the remaining itch of irritation and annoyance. But, to invite the enemy back within selves, is foolish indeed.


So, do I invite you to FIGHT something? No, not even the “government”, for they can WIN any fight with you NOW. You must build together in wisdom and grow up through the thistles and poison. To accomplish that takes a lot of various things, from KNOWLEDGE, INSIGHT, TRUTH TO FUNDS TO DO THE JOB AND UPON WHICH YOU CAN BASE ECONOMIES. We are working as hard as we can against those so-called “all odds” including from the parasites who fed off us prior to now. As our blood rebuilds, so too do the odds in our favor. It is the universal law of cause and effect.

Out of the reality and back into the insanity came an event just now which might well be shared to put things into perspective: There came the rat-a-tat-tat of drums and a bit of laughter from the street below which is one of the more famous in the Philippines: Ayala Avenue.

So, up from the keyboard and rush to the window, for parades here are often too short to catch them—and there in all the frazzle of the Philippines, honest, was the “Christmas Parade” of four flatbed trucks with something on each, followed by Mr. and Mrs. Santa Claus arriving from undoubtedly the North Pole to Glorietta mall of some semi-nature or other. The temperature is in the 90s but the Sun is shining rather than the usual monsoon rain on every parade.

Merry Christmas, chelas, and by all means a—er—some kind of expectant New Year—or some sort or perhaps another....

May sanity strike enough of you to change this mess. Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn, indeed, from another planet.

dharmā 

Rampant, Organized: Cult Worship Of Satan

(PART 11)

SATAN'S DRUMMERS

THE SECRET BEAT OF EVIL—
"SATAN IS ALIVE AND WELL"

BY SANANDA

"dharma"

PHOENIX JOURNAL #9 (1989)

CHAPTER 22

REC. #1 SANANDA

THU., NOV. 16, 1989 7:00 A.M. YEAR 3, DAY 092

Good morning, Sananda present.

I am asked to remind you to watch the news most closely. You will take special note of the severe tornados in the Southeast. Your weather pattern is in gross upheaval. This will further burden your government's disaster fundings. I urge you to pay most close attention as things unfold.

Further, note the new edict to the CIA to get Mr. Noriega at all costs "short of assassination". Do not believe that, dear ones, it is get Mr. Noriega and get Panama under control at ALL costs. The government has now set aside over \$3 million to do the job. That would replace many tornado-damaged homes! The machinery of evil is rolling.

I would also comment here, about abductions—I mean Earth human abductions of children and adults. There is much going on at this time regarding, for instance, the young boy in Minnesota. This brings forth other parents still searching for their children after years of agony, in some cases.

Let us consider the Minnesota case where the boy was with a brother and a friend and taken at gunpoint. Do not be surprised at that which comes to your attention—the children should be questioned more closely. The fact that the abduction took place at Halloween does not bode well for the abducted child. Further, it is often that a pedophile will mimic Satanic worshippers and the opposite, to cover and misdirect attention. You ones must pay attention to the signs, circumstances and timings involved. It can happen anywhere. As we move further along, the abductions will be blamed more and more frequently on space visitors. Keep your rational "reasoning" ability honed—do not fall prey to such nonsense. These things are happening right on your place, in the physical dimension and by human beings of your planet. So be it. Let us move on with our *Journal* that we might finish it.

Commanders Hatonn and Ashtar request input as to desirability of update material on the economy and other topics already journalized. Please give feedback. Your economy is ready to begin its sinking and turnover. We need information as to how you want to receive and structure updated information and instruction.

Further, there are some activities of a personal nature in upheaval. There are ones claiming "to be within our tight little circle of this location"; this is not truth, be careful. There are ones still utilizing the

production of others as their apparent "right". It is not only not right, it will be ceased or these ones will be set to the side, for ones will not act in negative manner and then proclaim participation in a capacity of leadership.

Many come forth in claims of having equipment or information which will "be fed" back into this place. Naught needs be "fed" back into this place—we know where the portions are placed and, until ones proclaiming all this nonsense withdraw from such foolishness, they will be but a burden unto these ones. As a homing device for drawing various personages, this is NOT THE PLACE. For instance, I request that no one set forth to take an unrequested portion in the basic motion picture of *Sipapu Odyssey*. All is already set in motion and if you are to participate, you will be petitioned. There will be no liberties taken regarding the music, etc. I would further suggest that the Galactic All Stars are presenting a most unpleasant gathering of attention and stating it to be in our behalf; this is most incorrect and I urge caution one more time; cease this behavior and activity—assume responsibility and withdraw from your public sensationalism in our name. I advocate none of that which you are presenting. Ones come forth to assist you and you treat them in a shabby manner which is most distasteful. I request that you reconsider your path.

Let us continue now, Dharma.

* * *

LOOK FOR CLUES IN EVERYTHING!

At this point I wish to allude to something which has relationship with the above message. You must be aware of things which are coming forth from most accepted public writers and personages. Ones will claim to have answers for another and then when they are closely scrutinized you will find evil has crept therein. I will hereby give you an example, perhaps by simply quoting from another source; whether intentionally, evil comes through.

You have one in your New Age circles who writes a great abundance of material. The name is Erica Jong. I have no exception with this entity as a human—I only wish to point out a specific item.

Erica Jong wrote *FEAR OF FLYING* and a book called *WITCHES* (which you will probably not be able to locate, for it is "hopefully" out of print at present). One of the things you will find in the index under "flying", is a recipe for flying ointment: "Witches fly; they really do, but you have to understand their language. They fly depending upon the kind of drug they are using." As you read through the recipe for flying ointment you will find an ingredient called belladonna which is combined with various other "stuff" and cooked down to a proper consistency. "When you are finished cooking this down, you mix it with the oil of your choice. You can use butter or safflower oil OR YOU CAN USE BABY FAT!" Now, where are you dear ones who wish to fly going to get baby fat?

The major question in all the Satanic investigations is where are the bodies? Well, almost all of the children involved will tell of sacrificing in these dark places with robed people. They also will tell of cooking of babies.

Several parents with children in different preschools in the South Bay area of Los Angeles told of the children celebrating Halloween in May. They felt at first that it was most creative and entertaining for the children. If you research carefully enough, you will find that in your Eighth Century the Catholic Council of Toledo took All Saints and

All Souls day in May and moved it to the day after Halloween. The original day of celebration was May 13th. They did that because of the Druid practice of human sacrifice during All Hallows Eve. The Church did that to block the spiritual power that was brought up and pushed out into the world by the Druids. Remember, you are dealing with Eighth-Century (8th) minds here. That left a free day in May and what better day to bastardize than a once-holy day? So you have children practicing Halloween in May. Further, you can do almost anything to, or in front of, these children and the parents will simply believe the children were shown a "fright house" with pretend "things". You will further find that in the investigation of the South Bay incidents that involved suspects were all absent from their homes and regular places of business on the evening of May 13th.

The children will relate most bizarre stories which are most often thought to be psychotic in origin, especially if a family is going through a traumatic emotional time. These current times find families in almost constant pain and turmoil.

Children DO NOT tell bizarre stories about sexual incidents relating to self without there being problems present. They have nothing to relate to such incidents unless by personal experience. Toddlers and young children have no relationships to form regarding such adult activities.

Often children are indoctrinated by play-acting; the pretending to stab dolls or pretend games. The child then is not actually aware when the actual event is taking place, for they are pre-programmed. Further, children, even more than adults, retreat into a "safe" place when under attack and the child will actually produce another personality to protect itself. Many early experiences of horrendous nature will not come forth into consciousness for many, many years.

If a child begins to speak to you about bizarre things—LISTEN! YOU MUST BELIEVE YOUR CHILDREN.

Alright, Dharma, another quote from *Cults that Kill*, please: [QUOTING:]

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE

February 10, 1985

FROM: BETH DICKINSON, SERGEANT
SEXUALLY EXPLOITED CHILDREN TASK FORCE
TO: AGENT KEN LANNING, FBI ACADEMY
BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES UNIT
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA

SUBJECT: LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S
DEPARTMENT PRESCHOOL INVESTIGATION

In August 1983, the Manhattan Beach Police Department began an investigation regarding allegations of sexual abuse occurring at the McMartin Preschool. A 2 ½-year-old male was the first victim to disclose being sodomized by a male teacher at that location. Subsequent investigation revealed numerous additional victims. Altogether, approximately 400 children were evaluated by therapists at Children's Institute International. All interviews were videotaped and 350 children disclosed sexual behavior; 49 did not.

In all, the victims named seven teachers (six women and one male) at the preschool as having molested them. These individuals are currently charged with 209 counts of child molestation. Also named are about 30 other individuals still uncharged as well as numerous unidentified "strangers".

McMartin victims allege sexual abuse occurred on school grounds as well as at a local market, churches, a mortuary, various homes, a farm, a doctor's office, other preschools and other unknown locations. They also talk about being taken on airplane rides to unknown locations.

The forms of alleged crimes are rape, sodomy, oral copulations and insertion of foreign objects. Most children

state they were photographed in the nude. They talk about various games being played that involved being naked (both children and suspects) and involved some type of fondling. Some of the games mentioned by the children are the Naked Movie Star Game, Alligator Game, Cowboys and Indians Game, Horsy Game, Doctor Game and the Tickle Game. They mention drinking a red or pink liquid that made them sleepy. They talk about the Circus Horse where the people dressed up in animal costumes. Other costumes mentioned were clowns, witches, and devil-like costumes. Children disclose animal sacrificing (bunnies, ponies, turtles, etc.) and say some of this occurred in churches. Victims describe sticks put in their vaginas and rectums and also being “pooped” and “peed” on. Children say that the adults sometimes dressed in black robes, formed a circle around them and chanted.

In May 1984 another preschool investigation began in the same policing jurisdiction stemming from a McMartin victim who identified the Manhattan Ranch Preschool as a place where he was taken and molested. Then a student currently attending the Manhattan Ranch disclosed being abused sexually by a 17-year-old male aide. Since then, additional children have begun disclosing sexual abuse (approximately 60) and they have named six or more additional suspects, mainly women teachers at the preschool. These victims talk of strangers coming to the school and molesting them, being taken off campus and molested, being photographed nude and some talk of animals being abused. The children talk of being hit with sticks and of being “peed” and “pooped” on.

Initially, this case was investigated by the Manhattan Beach Police Department who turned over the McMartin case to the District Attorney’s office. After charges were filed on the original seven suspects it became clear that the resources of the police department and the District Attorney’s office were not sufficient in order to follow up on the multitude of uncharged suspects in both preschools. Therefore, the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department was requested to come in and take over the investigation for the purpose of investigating the uncharged suspects in both the McMartin and Manhattan Ranch cases. The Task Force became operational on November 5, 1984. It should be noted that the Task Force has two other preschools under investigation for alleged sexual abuse in addition to McMartin and Manhattan Ranch. One, the Learning Game Preschool, is clearly linked to McMartin. The other, Children’s Path Preschool, is not. Currently the Task Force is made up of nineteen investigators, two sergeants and one lieutenant. In addition to the District Attorneys who are currently prosecuting the charged suspects, there will be two District Attorneys assigned full time to the Task Force in the near future to provide legal assistance and to assist in filing additional criminal charges.

* * *

WHAT TO LISTEN FOR

Children might well be speaking of some “game” and tell you they played such and such “but I really hated the sticks!” And perhaps you can ask a child to draw a picture of the “games” and you may get a child of very young age who will, as in one documented instance, draw some most elaborate things. For instance this child of about 8 or 9 years, drew a man who was sacrificed on a cross. She drew the heart in the mid-line, somewhat slightly to the left of the chest. She placed the ribcage in proper perspective and then she placed two blue lungs under the rib cage. (Your physiologists describe the color of adult lungs ranging from blue to slate/grey. The older the person, the darker the color.) She showed the blood pouring out and the man crying—because that is exactly what she saw!!!

Now, let me quote from a five-year-old boy who claimed to have been abused in one of the above-mentioned preschools. Medical reports showed sexual abuse—the questions and answers have been turned into a narrative.

Excerpt of testimony to District Attorney:

“There were five of us, two boys and three girls. They made us take off our clothes, because we were going to play a game. They called it the circle game. We all made a circle and the two women stood in the middle. They had black robes and sticks. They touched each of us with the sticks on our backsides. They put the sticks inside and it hurt. They also put it in the girls’ fronts. While they did that, they sang a song I didn’t understand. It sounded like praying from the church.

“One of the women took a hamster from her pocket and gave it to me to hold. Then she took it back quickly and put it on the floor on a plate. She said some more strange words and cut the hamster’s head with a knife. She said that if anybody told what we did, she would do what she did to the hamster to our mummies and daddies. I started to cry. So did the girl next to me. I didn’t look at the other kids.

“Another time we played the circle game, they lit candles in the middle. They were black. There was a star in the circle. (The boy drew a pentagram.) They sang a song with words I didn’t understand, and made one of the girls suck her titties and her front. They made all of us watch. Sometimes when we played the circle game, the two women told us to touch each other’s private parts. I didn’t like any of this.

“One time they made me drink water that made me sleepy. All I remember is a man touching my private parts, and then I went to sleep.

“I finally told my mommy that I didn’t want to go anymore. She said, ‘Why, don’t you like it?’—and I told her about the circle game. She didn’t believe me and brought me to the doctor. After that she said she was sorry and that she believed me.”

* * *

HOW ABOUT AN ADULT SURVIVOR?

J.C., fifty, 26 years a high school teacher, Tucson, Arizona. Currently undergoing therapy, for she finally became dysfunctional.

“I have been in and out of therapy ever since my marriage fell apart twenty-two years ago. Even before I was married, I had several brief encounters with counseling. I couldn’t seem to function well in my personal life. I’ve never had any problems functioning on a professional level, just my personal life was messed up.

“Last April or May, 1986, memories started being triggered in therapy—memories about being abused ritualistically by men in black robes. Ever since then, one memory after another has come. It’s been extremely painful to relive these experiences, but I am pulling through it all.

“I have one brother eight years older than me. I have memories of him being involved but he won’t discuss it. I have a sister who is fourteen years older than me. I have memories of her being involved but she won’t speak of it, either. Neither of them have ever been in therapy.

“I was in a Satanic cult from birth until I was twenty-one years old. My whole family was in the cult. It was generational, as my grandfather on my mother’s side also participated. My experience deals with ritualistic rape, ritualistic sacrificing of children and dogs, mainly German shepherds, ritualistic eating of flesh, feces, vomit and urine, and ritualistic drinking of animal or human blood.

“In the cult I grew up in, the men usually wore black robes with black hoods, similar to the Ku Klux Klan—and it was the men who usually took charge of the ceremonies. We had a high priest who was the father of us all. It was a family cult—made up entirely of families. At one time, the high priest was an ordained Baptist minister of a prominent church in Denver, Colorado. The cult didn’t have a name, as far as I know.

“Some of the ceremonies were for sexual purification in which a child was raped by all the men. They would form a circle and chant phrases to Satan then gang rape

the child until the child either vomited or showed so much terror that he or she would lose control over his/her bodily functions. Then the vomit, urine and feces would be used for the rest of the ceremony by ingestion.

“Some of the ceremonies would be performed to gain Satan’s power through the terror of the child. The child would be starved, tortured and raped in order to gain that power. Some of the ceremonies were strictly for sacrificial killings for Satan. The child would be killed with a knife through the heart while a cult member was raping the child. The point of all this was to have the sexual climax at the point of death of the child. The terror of the child and the sexual climax seemed to give the ultimate in control and power—and this power was supposed to come from Satan.

“Some of the killings were put on, staged, not real. I was supposed to have killed my brother at one point, but it was really another child made up to look like my brother. Other killings, however, were real and the intended person.

“The bodies were always burned. Some of the bones were kept as implements for the ceremonies. I have one memory of a place where we lived in Denver where there were certain places in the backyard where I was not permitted to play. I vaguely have a memory that some bones were buried there.

“Most of the meetings took place in basements with dirt floors. Some of the meetings were in barns with dirt floors. These were on farms near my grandfather’s farm. There were pits in the dirt floors where they would burn the bodies. I remember that these meetings took place every Friday and Saturday night.

“I was at the threshold many times believing that I was going to die. Other experiences included dismembering bodies and burning them. I was buried in a coffin with the dismembered remains of a child.

“I left home with the clothes on my back when I was twenty-one. I had an aunt who helped me. She and her husband would only let me stay at their house one night because they were afraid. She found another place for me to stay, took two weeks’ rent on it and said, “You’re on your own.”

“These things do upset me so much when I talk about them, but people need to know and understand that these things really did happen and are still happening. I am working with a good therapist, two of them in fact, so I am being helped.”

AND FROM E. M.:

“My mother’s father abused all his children, the boys and girls. I was born because my grandfather raped my mother.

“My grandfather took care of me often. He built a loving sexual relationship with me. We had oral sex and sodomy. I didn’t think anything was wrong in it.

“When I was about six, I was brought to a morgue and placed on a stainless steel table. My grandfather was a mortician. There were other men there. They were dressed like priests. I had no clothes on. My hands were tied so that my vagina was out and exposed.

“There was a huge bowl next to me and in the bowl was a baby. The men began chanting and bathing the baby in the water. The baby was screaming. It had black hair. They took a skinny knife and slit the infant. There was a lot of blood. They held the baby over me and the blood went all over me. The people were all covered with blood, too, and they began eating the baby’s guts. I was crying. I kept going in and out of consciousness.

“I heard my grandfather pray: ‘Oh holy one of the world, we offer this child as a living sacrifice for your power and glory. We dedicate this virgin of God, make her holy by fornication, molestation, incest, cannibalism and torture. With this we offer her up for the purpose of High Priestess of the holy order of Satan to be used at will by all members of the priesthood, especially our holy one.’

“The last thing I remember was my grandfather taking the baby’s testicles and shoving them in my mouth. After that, I blacked out.

“When I woke up, I heard people moving around me. A big silver door, like a freezer door, was opened and a big tray pulled out. The smell in the room was awful, and it burned my nose and eyes like alcohol or smoke does. The men shut the big door and turned some knobs. Then they began cleaning the room.

“I blacked out again and woke up in my grandfather’s house. My vagina was bleeding and my grandmother helped me stop the bleeding by using a sanitary napkin. I stayed several days until the bleeding stopped.

“A week later, my grandfather approached me again. When I refused to be his lover, he put a pillow over my head and tried to suffocate me. I made believe I was dead by lying real quietly. I didn’t breathe until he left the room. When my mother came home, I ran to her and began crying. My grandfather died several months later.

“I don’t think she knew what her father had been doing to me all those years, but over the next few years my mother began beating me. My father wasn’t aware that my mother was beating me—and I’m sure that he didn’t know about grandfather and me. Father had always been very good to me and loved me very much.

“At fourteen, I had an abortion and a nervous breakdown. The abortion reminded me of that incident in the morgue, with my grandfather.

“I found Jesus at the hospital, and through him I am getting better. That was ten years ago.”

[END OF QUOTING]

MORE AND MORE

More and more often you will be awakening to the fact that these tales are prevalent. The mental health physicians are deluged with them as people begin to open up. What might this mean? For one thing it means **there is more organized worship of Satan than your world society can even imagine.**

Further, you must not get completely centered on organized Satanic cults—THIS JOURNAL IS ABOUT EVIL! THE SINGLE PERSON WHO IS DEDICATED TO “EVIL” IS AS DANGEROUS, OR MORE SO, THAN EVEN THE ORGANIZED.

Ones such as Richard Ramirez are even more heinous in that he revels in the publicity. I will not give him the pleasure of recognizing him further in this *Journal*. Nor will I give Charles Manson further recognition.

MATAMOROS, MEXICO

I shall discuss the Matamoros cult killings, for it represents a most good example of what can be prevalent before your noses and still be overlooked.

These involved members do not claim to be of a Satanic church as such. They practiced what is called Santeria—mostly self-styled to suit the heinous needs of the group. While Santeria is generally considered to be amoral, even by the santeros, its amorality is an idea that becomes most shaky under close scrutiny. For there is a most emphatic rule to not displease the gods, which in itself implies moral judgment. One major way to displease the gods would be to violate the eleven commandments of Obatala, father of the Yoruban gods. You will find the commandments sound a lot like the biblical *Ten Commandments* and they certainly contain value:

1. You will not steal.
2. You will not kill, except in self-defense and for your sustenance.

3. You will not eat human flesh.
4. You will live in peace among yourselves.
5. You will not covet your neighbor’s properties.
6. You will not curse my name.
7. You will honor your father and your mother.
8. You will not ask more than I can give you, and you will be content with your faith.
9. You will neither fear death nor take your own life.
10. You will teach my commandments to your children.
11. You will respect and obey my laws.

The point lies in that the attitude is much as a more familiar “New Age” concept that there is neither good nor bad. The concept of good or bad resides pretty much within the individual practitioners: It is not a function of the “religion”. You have a situation whereby good or bad is determined mostly by peer decision and a good, healthy democratic vote about what is to be considered good vs. evil. Further, in Santeria you can do anything and then go cleanse yourself. The officiator, in turn, is blameless, for he is only working in behalf of his client. In other words, you just place curses and voodoo and other various activities not greatly unlike the new concepts in behavior running rampant in your society today.

In the group of cultists in Matamoros they called themselves members of Palo Mayombe, a secret religion. If you wish to read more about these heinous adventures read *ACROSS THE BORDER* by Gary Provost. I will utilize a bit of information from within that document.

Several of the cultists made statements openly before being hushed by lawyers. They were sufficiently “brainwashed” by the cult to feel quite safe. I shall have Dharma simply copy from here and there within the book as I guide her.

Keep in mind the leaders of this particular group were Adolfo Constanzo and Sara Aldrete, Maria del Rocio Cuevas Guerra, Alvaro de Leon Valdez, El Duby, Omar Francisco Orea Ochoa and Maria de Lourdes Bueno Lopez. This is for reference as names are mentioned.

JOSE DE JESUS GONZALEZ-ROLONO:

“Gonzalez, age unknown, was a Mexico City native who owned FM y Asociados. The company was ostensibly a manufacturer of fire extinguishers but allegedly was a front for a cocaine-processing laboratory.

“On May 1, 1987, Mexican investigators, following up on a missing persons report, went to FM y Asociados. There they found chicken eggs, garlic, cigars and bottles of cane liquor. While such items were common in homes, they struck the detectives as odd in a factory. One detective recognized them as items that are used in a black mass.

“The black mass noted here—and the one in which a transvestite, Claudia, was murdered—lends credence to the idea that Constanzo was involved in what might legitimately be called Satanism. The black mass is a perversion of the Catholic mass, created by satanists to express their hostility toward Christianity. Some scholars believe it dates back to the Fourteenth Century. Others say it is a ‘literary creation’ going back no further than the Eighteenth Century.

“In his book *SATAN WANTS YOU* (Mysterious Press, 1988), Arthur Lyons describes the black mass:

‘In the more publicized variety of Black Mass, the Catholic missal is read backward in Latin, or more commonly, with parts excluded, and with word substitution, such as “Satan” for “God” and “evil” for “good”. The altar is either a naked woman or a coffin, and all the religious artifacts, including the ritual chamber, are black. ‘The priests wear black robes, usually with

cowls. Frequently there are substitutions for the consecrated wine... sometimes human urine. The host is usually a holy sacrament that has been stolen from a church—but sometimes it is made of some obnoxious substance, such as dried feces, which is either eaten or smeared on the face. The significance of these sacramental materials lies in the fact that they are bodily products, as opposed to spiritual, and as such, are pleasing to Satan, who is a carnal deity.’

“The factory, on Calle Barcelona, had allegedly received a satanic blessing. As detectives probed deeper into the mystery, they found that the supposed white chemical in the fire extinguisher was cocaine, and the viscous substance they found on the floor was human blood.

“On May 6, police found the bodies of Gonzalez and Celia Campos de Klein in the Gran Canal, a major channel from which sewage flows from the north of Mexico City. Cement weights had been tied to the bodies but had failed to keep them submerged. The man and the woman had been blindfolded and their hands had been tied behind their backs. Both of them had been shot in the head and circular cuts were found on their torsos. During the next few days, four more bodies were found in the Gran Canal and the Zumpango River, some of them in pieces. All of them were apparently sacrificed in some sort of a black mass at the fire extinguisher company.

“Once, when the man who was to be sacrificed at a black mass caused himself to be shot, it was necessary to obtain another living person for sacrifice.

“A fourteen-year-old, Jose’ Luis Garcia de Luna, was abducted while out searching for his pet goat. A gunnysack was thrown over Jose’s head and he was brought back to the shack where the ceremony was underway. ‘Elio’ sacrificed the boy by chopping his head off, without even removing the sack. The cultists then took out the boy’s lungs and his brain, which were cooked in the nganga (pot). It was not until they sacrificially buried the remains that ‘Elio’ recognized the boy’s shirt and recognized him to be his own cousin.”

THE NIGHTMARE

While investigations were under way in Mexico, the remains of a ritual site were being investigated in a picturesque New England town, which might appear on your annual Christmas card, by a John Westcott.

What Mr. Westcott found in the woods: (reported by his son’s casual statement that, “They’re doing it over by the post road.”) “How do you know?” asked the father. “I heard about it,” the son said. “One of the people who lives out there saw six kids go into the woods at night. They were wearing sheets, you know, like the Ku Klux Klan or something. The guy saw candles burning and he heard chanting. The police went in there the next day and they found cats that had been murdered and cut open. They took pictures and everything.”

“Why haven’t I heard about this?” Westcott asked.

“They’re keeping it secret,” the son said. “They don’t want people to know there are Satanists in this town.”

Mr. Westcott thought it was just some kids playing until the Matamoros murders. Now, he is most concerned.

You must brace yourselves for what is being uncovered. There is nothing new about children being smitten with the idea of secret ceremonies, magic symbols, and personal code words that created for them a world not violated by adults. And long before the term “heavy metal” was coined, there were those who said that rock and roll was the music of Satan

and that Elvis Presley was the devil incarnate.

But in the 1980s the media spotlight has been aimed more often and more intensely at anything to which the adjective “satanic” could be attached. You have all heard many reports of pets and livestock being mutilated, children abused at day-care centers, and various felonies—especially drug dealing—being committed, all by Satanists or satanic cults.

You must be aware that regardless of the label placed on a specific group cult—it is all satanic in origin. It is known as ritual crime. It all feeds on the same mentality; it takes the most violent and grotesque forms; it involves random murder, mutilations of bodies and great secrecy.

Oh yes, brace yourselves because there is a great Satanic conspiracy out there and you will reap the harvest of a great crop of Satanism. Further, crimes of heinous nature will be committed and laid to the Satanists as a catch-all for a range of dark actions. You are not going to be given published numbers from which to work and research. There will be no careless evidence left lying about.

WHERE FROM HERE?

This has been a most difficult *Journal* to pen and to read. I have left it intentionally as vile as it is—and yet we have not even touched upon the subject matter.

You ones have crime and cover-up in your governments, in your economic arenas and evil scattering throughout your world. It is most discouraging for you as parents and leaders to find solutions and alternatives to these massive problems.

It was said that Satan would rule the world and before the final transition he would have reign. So be it. You must realize that these things are coming into focus and the “signs” are now before you.

You want to believe that there is no good nor evil—oh yes, there are both! You can vote changes in if you don’t care for the Laws of God or Creation—it does nothing but place you in further jeopardy.

Again, I am reminding you. It is not necessarily that I have answers for the reversal of these horrendous things; I do have the answers but man does not wish to utilize them. Therefore, I can only continue

to remind you that God is a God of justice and there shall be a most personal judgment confrontation whereby *each* will know ALL. The life-stream tale shall be laid bare before each entity and ye shall be given to know!

It is not too late to pull within protection and be aware so that you can tend of your children and guide them in the direction of the path to God, lest they be lured to the lies of evil. It is your choice—always it is your choice.

NEVER ENDING TALE


How I desire to close this document in good overcoming evil and all live happily and peacefully ever after. Nay, but I can give you hope and joy in that armed with truth and knowledge you can reclaim your own. I offer you the shelter of the Father’s wings that you might know your way. I come again as the wayshower and I offer my hand and my co-workers unto you and in your service. I can do no more! So be it.—I AM SANANDA

EPILOG

I am Hatonn of the Galactic Federation fleet, present in your service. I pen a note to this document that you might know of your “author”.

Some will say: “My Master Jesus would not pen of such vile material—for he is soft, gentle and would only speak softly.” If you believe that, you are in dire need of attitude adjustment. Do you really believe the energy that would come to stand against an anti-Christian world would not tell you EXACTLY how it is? I tell you this, The King of Evil, the Satanic representative of Mr. Lucifer, knows the one who has put this *Journal* to paper. Make no mistakes, my friends. You are receiving from the very strength of the universes. May the blessings be accepted in the love given.

This document has been offered at great risk to the penman. We give honor and appreciation to these



who dare in the dangerous times to bring these words of truth open to the public, for it is dangerous indeed. So be it, for we stand as a shield and buffer. The darkness shall need pass through the light to do its damage and darkness cannot exist in light.

We wish to acknowledge ones who have dared to pen the truth before us. For your ability to further check into truth, we will give appreciation to the following—whereby Dharma, as well as others of you, the readers, can find confirmation in a most physical manner:

The *Los Angeles Times*, *Time* magazine, *U.S. News and World Report*, *The New Federalist*, *The Wisconsin Report*, *A Higher Form of Killing*, *Cults that Kill*, *Bad Blood*, *Across the Border*, *Satan is Alive and Well on Planet Earth*, *Beyond Good and Evil*. (I list these here simply for your interest.) **We give these sources in protection of our scribe, for our intent is always to give forth information in format here that has been made public somewhere at some time prior.** We do not ever jeopardize her safety for sensationalism and fortune-telling. Sometimes we do give unknown information but ’tis for

the enemy to research which is which—for this computer and all about this place is under electronic surveillance by your governmental organizations.

These *Journals* are all available through the publisher in a location distant to the scripting. Please have any requests or communications directed to the America West Publishers and they will be forwarded immediately to the proper persons. We solicit and welcome your comments and inquiries, for we must come into the working as team members. Our job is great and each participant must come to recognize his purpose.

This is a time of instruction and truthful explanations. Some of the answers to your queries will be most different from that which you believe. I only urge you to look carefully around you—at your world, and then use reason and open hearts, for we have come into communication to give you the facts and not the fantasy of the ages.

You have great choices in your hands now from several very valid sources—what you choose to do with this information is your decision. I do, however, caution you to use your God-given minds—for how, when, where and all those other pertinent items are not as you expected through the orthodox teachings. God has given you reason with which to discern; I request that you use it most diligently.


“Jesus” of your *Bible* was labelled by Paul in the Greek tradition, it means The Anointed One. It was not the name given unto the babe two thousand years ago. They called his name Immanuel (Immanuel) and Esu—often pronounced Esa, Iisa, Iesa, Isus, etc. Do not make an error in not believing this *Journal* to be at his giving forth. He has come to bring again the truth unto a hungry land in transition. I can only suggest that you study all of the prior information brought forth.

Sananda is the honorable term given unto a Christed One who has attained his perfection. It is the “new name” referred to in your Holy Books of whichever doctrine. **Sananda is in command of this Earth transition** and is the one who shall stand forth in your behalf at that which you refer to as Armageddon.

He has seen to the preparation of a placement for all energies and we of the commands have carried forth our orders in perfection—there is a place for each within the silver craft and into mother ships and on into your higher destinations. We are ready, most willing and quite able—are you?

Please place an image of Sananda Immanuel adjacent to this writing. [The image on this page is from an actual photograph taken on June 1st, 1961, in Chichen Itza, Yucatan, by one of thirty archaeologists working in the area at the time. Sananda appeared in visible, tangible body and permitted His photograph to be taken.] Man must come to recognize his caller and teacher. Thank you for your kind attention. You who tend of these words, I salute you.—Commander Georgos Ceres Hatonn

[END OF PART 11 AND JOURNAL]

For Part 1 of this Phoenix Journal #9 (SATAN’S DRUMMERS) please see the 7/26/00 CONTACT (page 9); Part 2 (3/14/01, page 11); Part 3 (4/4/01, page 7); Part 4 (4/11/01, page 9); Part 5 (5/9/01, page 8); Part 6 (5/23/01, page 10); Part 7 (6/6/01, page 18); Part 8 (10/17/01, page 11); Part 9 (11/7/01, page 10); Part 10 (11/21/01, page 12)—Call (800) 800-5565 for ordering information. 

Researching Silver's Therapeutic Value

11/30/01—#1 (15-106)

RE: COLLOIDAL SILVER: CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL BASIS

BACK TO BASICS ON COLLOIDS
(IONIZED CHARGED PARTICLES IN SUSPENSION)

Hatonn—I must be ever more and more specific about what “I” mean when I offer any writings or even my own comments.

As we refer to any metallic colloid WE ARE REFERRING TO THE ABOVE DEFINITION, FOR THE FACTS ARE THAT THE ONLY COLLOIDS OF METALLIC NATURE I WOULD EVER RECOMMEND ARE ELECTRICALLY CHARGED IONIZATION.

Yes, there ARE other methods of getting valuable “antibiotic” good from things such as metallic salts—BUT I DO NOT SUGGEST THEM UNLESS THAT IS ALL YOU HAVE ON A DESERT ISLAND AND YOU ARE COMING DOWN WITH DELINQUENT SANS-DINGY AFROMERSON. Heaven help us all that anyone should turn blue, lavender, or purple.

It is insisted that you turn ashen-white (dead) rather than undergo any FDA-nonblessed silver fall-out.

Besides, it is the IONIZATION CHARGED LIQUID THAT IS SO IMPORTANT. Otherwise the particulate just “lays there” doing a very slow and creeping security circle. And yes, I am also quite bored with the topic but I also watch as millions die for lack of assistance as microbes grow ever more evasive and the governments keep you from having what you need.

You will also find both E.J. and Doris getting more and more short of patience as they must do the work of GAIA while at the same time offering “how-to” and production of my requested sharing of the products which were simply taken for granted originally at offering.

I do not suggest everyone to just go to radio shack and quick-fix something to use. The production is easy and available to all—BUT people don't have uniform water, metal, power supply, etc., etc. For instance, just getting pure wire for electrodes in the Philippines is all but IMPOSSIBLE. Batteries and other hook-up stuff is available but unless you want alloy-particulate you have a problem which should very well be stopped in its tracks—and keep our name OFF such incidental product put into production.

We have those again wanting to handle and “manufacture” Gaiandriana. No, for with indiscretion we find pure nothing being presented, mislabeled and frankly mishandled. For instance, you can “incubate” drias in aloe vera and it thrives on a “BIT” of aloe—but it takes weeks and even months or years to finally clean up its own environment from “overuse”.

There is an ABSENCE of food-use Aloe Vera in the Philippines. So, we have to ask for a bit to be sent from the U.S.

Dharma sits and regularly cries as it is as if we are starting over from zero with all odds against us. No, it is NOT that way but, I have to agree, quite similar.

I would hope that all people, especially our own friends, can look around and see the problems in the world and realize that it is difficult to even stay alive if you serve God or any form of causing the people to wake up

and realize they are precious gifts in equal status.

The samples of silver out and circulating are bringing in such wondrous stories as to warm your hearts but until the case against Diane is put to rest, we remain somehow, even if only through former association, under the eyeglass and at the whim of the “informers”. We have nothing with which to merit informing but the annoyance is always remarkably irritating.

The hours are long here and the pressures great while mankind, or frankly, the local individuals, seems to center on selves, their gain or loss and how to manipulate further or just another and get pictures in the paper. People have taken centuries to arrive at the mess in which you find selves and yet you do not want the inconvenience of a phone call to speak to those who displease you in some way, shape or form. And YES, that IS a trait of “human”. You demand limitation and then complain endlessly when you get it. We witness the hatred offered among you when it only increases the misery and hardship almost infinitely. You claim “love and light” as your password but it is empty and spiteful. It is, however, your individual right to be whatever you choose but it certainly can be a very, very lonely journey when you sever *all* attachments. **[MM: How about only severing the undesirable, parasitic ones?]**

We will need to keep this topic flowing as to colloids and health because it becomes imperative that you have something which “WORKS” available when that last drug house antibiotic makes no impact on the man-genetically-altered microbes. Will you be able to have it in, say, the U.S.A.? Not if the FDA can in any way prevent it.

John Ray has forwarded the following to our attention and it is a good reference document for us to offer all of you readers.

[QUOTING John Marshall Dudley; *Therapeutic Value of Colloidal Forms of Silver*; (The Chemical and Physical Basis of the Therapeutic Value of Colloidal Forms of Silver.):]

SILVER RESEARCH

Recently, through the work of Dr. Bob Beck and other researchers, it has become popular, both alone, as well as part of a four-part protocol advocated by Dr. Bob Beck claiming cures for an almost astounding number of ailments from the cold and flu to lupus, aids and cancer. However, a search of literature has revealed that there is no consensus on how it works, or even what it does. Most discussions appear to be pure theories or speculation with little or no supporting scientific evidence. Silver colloids are analyzed from a nuclear, chemical, catalytic, and physics perspective to determine what the expected action is on various pathogens as well as its effect, if any, on so-called good bacteria. The result is that what is being generally reported by many people and researchers is exactly what is to be expected. This is important, since winning the approval of medical professionals, and even that of many patients, requires that they understand just what a new therapy does and does not do, and how it works. **Silver has been reported to have therapeutic value throughout the ages.** It has been reported that one reason the upper class did not succumb to many of the plagues which almost wiped out many villages was because they ate with silver utensils, off silver plates and drank from silver goblets. Churches did, and still do, use cups made of silver for communion, where

one goblet is passed from person to person. Earlier this century people put silver dollars in their milk and [water] wells to ward off spoilage and illness (4).

The knowledge of the miraculous healing powers of silver were so well known that legends of werewolves required silver to kill the lycanthropy, and supposedly, evil witches cowered at the power of silver to eliminate evil. Even more recently, many researchers such as Bob Beck have reported that silver in a colloidal state eliminates virtually all known pathogens, viruses, fungi, bacteria, protozoa, and yeasts. Yet, it appears that there is no effect on the good bacteria in the intestinal tract, either because it does not attack good bacteria, or it is absorbed into the blood stream before reaching the intestinal tract. Silver is referred to as a transition metal in chemical literature, along with copper and gold. They are metals that are heavier than the life-giving light metals, arsenic and mercury. Gold and silver are considered noble metals because they tend to not react easily to form compounds. The transition metals are known for their catalytic properties.

Ionic silver (such as any silver compound dissolved in water) has very little if any catalytic effect, and macroscopic silver has little effect either. However, very finely powdered silver becomes a very good oxidizing catalyst. Starting with atomic or ionic silver, the catalytic effect increases with particle size until it reaches a peak at some value, then drops off to a much lower level when the particles approach the wavelength of light. This is easy to explain by examining how a catalyst works. Each positively charged silver atom will attract one negatively charged atom or molecule. Once they touch, the charge is neutralized. If you have more than one positively charged atom of silver in a particle, then each can attract a negatively charged atom or particle. If a clump of silver atoms binds with two negatively charged particles, such as oxygen and something else, these two particles will no longer electrostatically repel each other, but will be brought together and will react, oxidizing the particle. The larger the particle, the more positively charged silver atoms can attract oxygen and other negatively charged particles to the surface. However, if particle size becomes too large, then the amount of silver hidden in the center of the particle means that the increase in mass, which goes up with the cube of the diameter, is increasing faster than the active surface areas, which goes up at the square of the diameter. The catalytic effect thus peaks at some particle size and decreases with respect to the amount of silver if the size is increased further. Bacteria come in two forms—anaerobic and aerobic. Earlier this century it was discovered by Dr. Gram that he could stain bacteria with a specially prepared Gram stain, and that in general good bacteria stained, but pathogenic bacteria did not stain. It was later discovered that the pathogenic bacteria are negatively charged.

Pathogenic bacteria are anaerobic, and if oxidized will die. Thus, to prevent oxidization, they carry an enzyme to specifically repel negatively charged oxygen. If this enzyme is disabled so that they lose this negative charge, or if oxygen is supplied in a form such that it is reactive to negatively charged pathogens, such as ozone water or hydrogen peroxide, the bacteria will be oxidized and the reaction is ultimately lethal to the pathogen. It thus follows that negatively charged bacteria, and negatively charged oxygen will both be attracted to and will bind with silver particles. Since the negative charge is neutralized through an electron transfer with the particle of silver, each can now easily combine with the other, and will do so, oxidizing the pathogen and destroying it. Specifically it has been determined that with anaerobic bacteria and viruses, oxygen reacts with the sulfhydryl (-S-H) groups surrounding the surface and removes the hydrogen (converting it to water) so that the sulfur atoms form an -R-S-S-R bond. This interferes with the organism's transport to membrane proteins and deactivates them (5). Not only will it result in the catalytic oxidation of the bacteria or other pathogens,

but since almost all pathogens are negatively charged and the silver is positively charged, the silver and pathogen are attracted to each other via a static attraction causing interactions much faster and at much larger distances than would be expected by pure chance of collision. However, "aerobic" bacteria, those that breath oxygen, do not carry a negative charge. This enables the good bacteria to attract oxygen which they require to breathe. One would expect that aerobic bacteria would not be killed by the silver.

Testing done at the University of Tennessee under our directions had shown that colloidal silver is also quite effective in killing aerobic bacteria. The method by which the aerobic bacteria are killed is still under investigation. It has been previously thought that the reason that colloidal silver does not affect the good bacteria in the intestines was because it did not kill good bacteria. We have proven this to be false. Further investigation indicates that colloidal silver is unable to move around and interact with bacteria when in a gel or solid matrix. This in conjunction with the fact that most if not all of the silver, when particle size is correct, will get absorbed through the stomach lining and into the blood stream, most likely accounts for the lack of killing the good bacteria in the intestine. It should be clear now why silver colloids are extremely effective at destroying pathogenic bacteria, yet do not affect good bacteria in the intestines of mammal cells. Yet the reports on the effectiveness of silver colloids, when compared with normal antibiotics, still seem to call into question why silver is so much more effective, often effecting a cure in hours, when powerful antibiotics may take days or weeks.

[H: Oh my, REASON AND LOGIC are the call of the day, readers. IT IS THE "FREQUENCY" OF THE CELLS THEMSELVES, BE IT MICROBE OR PART OF THE BODY. YOU WILL HAVE A "FREQUENCY", AND THAT WHICH LIVES "WITH YOU" WILL HAVE TO HAVE THE SAME FREQUENCY, GENERALLY, OR YOU HAVE MUTATION, DAMAGE OR WHATEVER ELSE AILS YOU. NOTE THAT SILVER DAMAGES "NOTHING", SO GUESS WHAT, SCIENTISTS: IT IS AN ELECTRICAL SOLUTION.]

EFFECTIVENESS VS. NORMAL ANTIBIOTICS

There are a number of reasons why silver seems to have much more effectiveness than normal antibiotics. Here are a number of them:

1. Colloidal silver is positively charged; most antibiotics do not carry a strong positive charge. This causes silver to virtually seek out and destroy pathogens, instead of simply having to move around until they happen to bump into each other. This effect is quite appropriately referred to as the "Silver Bullet" effect by Dr. Beck.

2. Silver kills immediately by oxidizing the pathogen. Antibiotics do not affect viruses at all, and for bacteria it will only kill the bacteria when it tries to divide (penicillin-type antibiotics) or will prevent the pathogen from dividing (tetracycline-type antibiotics). In the first case, it may take the bacteria several days before it attempts to divide; and in the second case the bacteria is not killed at all, but just prevented from replicating. In both cases, the immune system must take care of most or all of the pathogens. With silver, they are killed outright, immediately.

3. Silver is a catalyst. Thus, as soon as a particle of silver has oxidized a pathogen, the pathogen loses its negative charge and floats away, and the silver is free to attack another pathogen. Antibiotics usually bind with the pathogen and for each pathogen destroyed, one particle or molecule of the antibiotic is used up. The result is that silver will usually give a much faster kill than an antibiotic. The down side of this is that the high and rapid kill rate can result in Herxheimer's reaction or

healing crisis. (1). The body simply does not have time to eliminate the huge amount of toxins and dead pathogens that can result from silver water. Other therapies which work along similar lines such as ozonated water are reported to cause the same problem. It is highly recommended to never initially give therapeutic dosages of colloidal silver to a severely ill person, but to give small amounts initially and work up to therapeutic dosages in a couple of days. This allows the kill rate to be maintained where the body can eliminate the dead pathogens and toxins without undue stress. Of course, drinking large amounts of liquids can help flush the toxins and should be encouraged. Other possible negative effects, as most people know, silver is used as the photo-sensitive ingredient in almost all photographic processes. Silver compounds, when exposed to light, will often result in the silver being reduced to atomic or metallic silver.

Then in the presence of a developer, any silver compounds that contact the silver particles will also undergo a reduction reaction, enlarging the silver particle. While this process is essential to photography, it is undesirable in the skin of a person. It is thought by many that the reason that the royalty long ago were called blue bloods is because the silver from the goblets and wares would react with acids in their drinks and foods, then precipitate out in their skin giving them a bluish color. It is known that consumption of silver compounds, such as silver nitrate, followed by exposure to sunlight can result in a graying or bluing of the skin, a medical condition called argyria (2). As it turns out, a number of chemicals that can appear in the blood make quite effective developers. Caffeine and tannin are just two of them (3). Fortunately, colloidal silver, when made by the electrolytic process in pure distilled water without any salts being added, produces no silver compounds. Thus, silver plating out of colloidal silver is not possible, the silver particles are already reduced to pure silver, and are mutually repulsed, because of their positive charges. However, if the colloidal silver is made from silver salts by reduction chemistry, (as the high ppm level products are) traces of silver salts can remain.

[H: I won't further interrupt here but I have several points to consider at the end of this article.]

SILVER SALTS

Although silver metal is non-toxic to mammals, silver salts are poisonous because of the associated cations, and can result in argyria (2). Also, when colloidal silver is made by the electrolytic process and salt or sea salt is added, silver salts will be produced as well. Although, in an emergency, one would be wise to make one's own silver water using techniques previously given by Dr. Beck, for long-term use all exposure to silver salts should be avoided. The use of table salt (sodium chloride) will produce some silver chloride. This is undesirable and although the amount of silver chloride is limited by its solubility in cold water to 89 PPM (6), this is still a significant amount of silver compound compared with the amount in the colloid itself (5 to 10 PPM). The use of sea salt which many people recommend is especially disturbing. Sea salt contains many compounds, including various nitrates and fluoride. Many of the compounds can combine with silver to produce silver compounds. Specifically silver can combine with nitrates forming a highly soluble and toxic silver nitrate salt and with fluoride producing highly soluble and toxic silver fluoride. Nitrates in sea salt can run as high as 20 ppm, and fluorides are typically 40 ppm (7). Therefore colloidal silver should be only made with pure distilled water to prevent the formation of any toxic silver compounds. If one must use an accelerating agent, then adding a small amount of previously produced colloidal silver is recommended over adding any type of salt.

EFFECTIVENESS VERSUS PARTICLE SIZE

Several publications indicate that for absorption through the stomach wall, particles must be .015 micron (15 nm) or smaller. Traditionally particle size has been determined by electron microscopy. This technique is quite slow and tedious, resulting in a procedure which is both slow and inaccurate. The absorption band of silver colloids increases in wavelength as the size of the particles increase. This allows a qualitative measurement on the particle sizes in a colloid by use of a scanning photospectrometer. Ionic silver has an absorption band in the UV, and thus is virtually clear. As more atoms aggregate into a particle, the absorption bank moves from the UV into the violet, blue, green, yellow, orange and red. Since the color of a substance is the complement of the color absorbed, colloidal silver will go from clear to very light yellow, gold, orange, red, blue and green. (CC) p 65. Colloids that contain a broad range of sizes can absorb wavelengths across the spectrum resulting in brown and black. It is generally accepted that only clear to light-gold silver colloids have particle sizes small enough to be effective, and to be able to reach the blood stream.

References:

1. FUNGUS. The species specific understanding of the difference between bacterial phase and fungal phase developments in blood pictures. Michael Coyle. *Explore!* 1997.
 2. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics* 76 Edition 1995-1996, CRC Press. David R. Lide, Editor in Chief. P 4-27.
 3. A Use for that last Cup of Coffee: Film and Paper Development. Dr. Scott Williams. <<http://www.rit.edu/~andpph/text-coffee.html>>
 4. *Health Consciousness Magazine*, Vol. 15, No 4.
 5. *The Development and Functions of Silver in Water Purification and Disease Control*. The Silver Institute. Richard Davis & Samual.
 6. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 52th Edition 1971-1972, page B-135.
 7. *ibid.* F-165.
- [END OF QUOTING]
Internet ref: ftp://ftp.win.net/winnet/tetra/pub/Colloidal_Silver_Research.txt
Date: Fri, 16 Apr. 1999

* * *

Now, let us go back and speak on these topics which are always offered with "ifs", "ands", "buts" and "MAYBE". I don't mean to wear out my welcome but my goodness, common "horse sense" tells you the answer and it is quite observable in scientific awareness as well. You are dealing with "frequency" and it is measured by "color hue".

To have a "gold" color from silver electrodes—you MUST HAVE A FREQUENCY WHICH POSITIVELY IONIZES THE WATER WITH ITS PARTICULATE. THAT is what does the work itself, much like the IMMUNE SYSTEM. Wow!!!

Now think: When you have centrifugally separated "serum" from cells in blood you have the serum representing a very pale but definitive "tint of gold or yellow". That will not be "silver" but it IS the state of frequency of a normal body. Remember that even the Biblical tales of Jesus on the cross where his side was pierced and fluid ran forth—not blood. It was a golden clear SERUM. This is typical of "hanging" where the blood separates.

Ok, now, why do the "Angel" shows always show the actors appearing in a golden light? Because that is the color of the frequency at which they present LIFE.

Many of you who sat with us the day I made a point by turning Dharma into a semi-glowing “golden” light to the point everyone checked the location of reflecting sunlight will know exactly what I mean. Dharma only “felt” heat and eye discomfort from the intensity of the light from the BACK of her eyes. Yes, it WAS remarkable because there needed to be a POINT OF REALIZATION made in that room on that day.

A further point was made, however. The very one I most wanted to check out DID NOT SEE ANYTHING. And yes, this certainly IS a good sorting tool if you can get it. The frequency of higher energies on up the ladder of growth will range on up the scale from golden through pure white with intense reflective qualities. It is all light and electrical frequency flow, travelers.

Now in reference to the subject of “start slowly” and build. I don’t move with that suggestion. Hit an infection or infestation HARD, for you can always survive the “kill off” but can’t always survive the “killing off”.

This is why we would want to some day have available, for all, the drias. Drias are DNA cells or molecules which act as patterns for rebuilding but also serve as substitute “carriers” of things from oxygen, medications, and you name it, to morticians who carry away the dead and dying invaders.

At this point, you will simply get that wondrous gift taken away from you, so we will walk with great care through the tulip fields. These things, by the way, will test ONLY as water after their maturing process. This, frankly, includes colloids and the “checkers” have no idea how to deal with this phenomenon—so BAN IT or call it, if it works, “DRUG” when it is nothing of the sort or definition. I now, frankly, have Dharma feeding our pet drias a variety of colloidal drops in their supplements so that the cells themselves know to pick up and carry colloids when presented to compromised body sites.

Drias are perfectly balanced cells awaiting DNA imprinting—just like a nice clean computer chip. However, they are totally balanced because they have their fluid base which offers, ionically, everything needed for perfect life and cellular RE-production or duplication. Man has now proven that he is certainly not ready for such tools—and you further prove it every day with your silly cloning and tampering. You develop and present your own KILLERS.

The messengers are sent among you, friends, and immediately the “takers” or “grabbers” move to kill them in one way or another. It seems to be the evil downfall of human ego. The day-to-day happenings on your TV tell you the sad tale—for you have no reality against which to vent your insanity, so you perpetrate the vision into reality while deadening your senses to every violent and despicable act you can name. YOU ARE NOW MORE VIOLENT AND EVIL, AS A WHOLE, THAN HUMAN HAS EVER BEEN SINCE INHABITING EARTH ITSELF.

Who will stand against the genocide in Afghanistan? Don’t kid yourselves, no you won’t. You have become the predators, yea even to one to the other in your daily life experience and that means that YOU ARE THE TERRORISTS. The major point usually returned to me is: “I didn’t think about that...” whatever “that” might be. Why have you no ability to think about things, actions and consequences?

And yes indeed, the true “miracle” is that we will make it through this time in the history of the progression of mankind. **But people, if you don’t think beyond your “ego”—you are in for a very rough time of it.**

We will try to get back to your *U.S. Constitution* but remember, we are GLOBAL citizens and only those who use your *U.S. Constitution* as a pattern even give a hoot about it, and most don’t have any idea what it contains, for people like Senator Lugar will make sure it is not given focus.

Thank you for staying the course.—Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

The News Desk

By John & Jean Ray

PENTAGON UNLEASHES HI-TECH ARMOURY TO FIND BIN LADEN

By Richard Norton-Taylor, *The Guardian*—UK, 11/23/01

The Pentagon is unleashing its latest technology to enhance its search for Osama bin Laden and its campaign to finish off the remnants of Taliban rule in southern Afghanistan.

Equipment which detects magnetic fields and vibrations is being deployed to “see” through rock. The sensors can pierce darkness, bad weather and as much as 100 ft. of solid rock, homing in on heat, magnetic fields, vibrations and other faint movements.

The sensors can be placed on aircraft, towed behind vehicles or carried by soldiers. According to the *New York Times*, they can sense slight traces of heat on a cold mountainside, the hum of a buried generator and magnetic signals from electrical wires.

The devices will work more efficiently as the weather worsens. “As it gets colder the caves are going to stay warm,” a U.S. government scientist said. “Openings that release that air are going to be seen as a hot spot.”

Some heat-sensing devices used on U.S. warplanes, spy planes and scouting vehicles are said to be able to detect variations in temperature as far as 30 miles away, at a high enough resolution to reveal a parked vehicle in darkness.

The use of such state-of-the-art wizardry could have some bearing on the use of British Special Forces. Jack Straw, the foreign secretary, confirmed yesterday that British troops had joined the hunt for Osama bin Laden and Taliban and al-Qaida fighters.

“There is no argument about the U.S.-UK troops who are in Afghanistan to hunt down bin Laden and his associates and to break up the Taliban and the al-Qaida organisation,” he told BBC radio during a visit to Iran. It is the first time a minister has referred to the presence of British troops in the south, though he maintained the convention that the presence of the SAS in military operations is never officially acknowledged.

On Wednesday, Donald Rumsfeld, the U.S. Defence Secretary, raised the possibility for the first time of commandos from countries other than the U.S. joining in the hunt. Some 200 Royal Marine commandos have been deployed on *HMS Fearless* in the Arabian Sea for the best part of a month waiting for the call.

Attacks on the south have been heavy. The Afghan press agency, *AIP*, reported yesterday that U.S. planes bombed targets near Kandahar.

The anti-Taliban leader Hamid Karzai told the *Reuters* news agency by phone, reportedly from central Afghanistan, that the militia was on the defensive even around Kandahar: “They have suffered heavily in the past few days from U.S. bombing and also ambushes by common people.”

The firepower now being deployed in the south includes U.S. Special Forces on horseback and unmanned Predator surveillance drones armed with hellfire missiles.

John Pike, the director of GlobalSecurity.org, which monitors the latest developments in military technology, told the *New York Times*: “The popular conception seems to be that bin Laden and his 40 thieves are in the bottom of some cavern and if we can just find the secret cavern, then the war will be over.

“But these guys are undoubtedly scattered all over the place—some in town, some up in the hills, some in houses, others in tunnels.”

[Ann-SA: So why didn’t they deploy the same sensitive equipment/weaponry to find bodies, etc at the WTC? Surely IF 6000 people were in the WTC at the time of the strikes, at least some of those on the lower floors would have survived to be found by this extremely sensitive means? Suggest someone poses this question in the U.S. and British mainline media. There is no doubt in my mind that the whole WTC-bin Laden-Afghani was/is/and shall go down in the annals of history as a ghastly pre-planned farce set up to bring in the New World Order. Which, IF prophecy is correct, will only last 1000days, or 3 years, with thousands, if not millions of lives lost. WAKE UP, HUMANITY!]

SECRET CIA UNITS PLAYING A CENTRAL COMBAT ROLE

By Bob Woodward, *Washington Post*, 11/18/01

The CIA is mounting a hidden war in Afghanistan with secret paramilitary units on the ground and Predator surveillance drones in the sky that last week provided key intelligence for concentrated U.S. airstrikes on al-Qaida leaders, according to well-placed sources.

The CIA units, whose existence has not been previously disclosed, are operating in what amounts to a central combat role in America’s unconventional war in Afghanistan. On Sept. 27, one of these units was the first U.S. force to enter the country in the current terrorism war, paving the way for U.S. Special Operations forces. The units also have been providing the rebel Northern Alliance movement with intelligence on opposing Taliban and al-Qaida troop concentrations, the sources said.

The units are part of a highly secret CIA capability, benignly named the Special Activities Division, that consists of teams of about half a dozen men who do not wear military uniforms. The division has about 150 fighters, pilots and specialists, and is made up mostly of hardened veterans who have retired from the U.S. military.

The division’s arsenal includes helicopters and airplanes and the unmanned aerial Predator drones equipped with high-resolution cameras and Hellfire antitank missiles. Last week, a CIA-run Predator provided intelligence resulting in three days of strikes that killed key al-Qaida leaders. But it was unclear what role CIA information played in the successful attack on Muhammad Atef, the senior operations lieutenant for Osama bin Laden whose death was confirmed yesterday by the Taliban.

Defense Secretary Donald H. Rumsfeld has given almost daily briefings summarizing the course and accomplishments of the U.S. military action in Afghanistan, which began six weeks ago. Absent from those briefings are any details or sense of the CIA’s covert role in the battles, a secret war that has until now remained largely under wraps.

The role of the CIA’s paramilitary units has been particularly important in Afghanistan, several sources say, because much of the war has turned on intelligence and targeting information. The CIA warriors also bring an experienced knowledge of the territory and Northern Alliance factions.

In addition to its paramilitary units, the CIA’s Special Activities Division has inserted into Afghanistan specialized CIA case officers from the agency’s Near East Division who know the local languages and had previous covert relationships with the Northern Alliance going back years.

For the last 18 months, the CIA has been working with

tribes and warlords in southern Afghanistan, and the division's units have helped create a significant new network in the region of the Taliban's greatest strength.

One source said that the Special Activities Division units have directly or indirectly helped with hundreds of successful military strikes since Oct. 7, when the U.S. military bombing campaign began. The handling of intelligence for airstrikes and the use of the Predator has led to some turf friction and complaints about sharing between the U.S. Air Force and the CIA, but both military and nonmilitary sources say the relationship is working and has provided obvious benefits. The CIA's global response center monitors critical intelligence and video and is in direct communication with the U.S. Central Command, which runs the war from its headquarters in Tampa.

In addition to their war-fighting role, the CIA's covert units designate locations where the massive U.S. humanitarian assistance in Afghanistan is most needed.

All of this covert CIA work is a key part of President Bush's strategy, which one source described as an attempt to "deny the sanctuary of Afghanistan to bin Laden and his al-Qaida network." Bush in September signed an intelligence order, called a finding, ordering the CIA to use all necessary means to destroy bin Laden and al-Qaida. About \$1 billion in new funds have been provided the CIA, most of which is for covert action.

The CIA work with the Northern Alliance and tribes in the south is central to that strategy. Operationally, it means that once the CIA locates opposition groups in Afghanistan that have the will and capacity to hunt and kill Taliban and al-Qaida members, those groups will receive covert or overt U.S. support in the form of weapons, ammunition, food and money.

A unit of the Special Activities Division was the first to enter Afghanistan after Bush declared his war on terrorism. The unit established a bridgehead on Sept. 27 for the regular U.S. Special Forces that followed.

These CIA paramilitary units have moved in and out of Afghanistan periodically, and some have established permanent bases. The special units work "hand in glove with the Special Forces and notably have provided a crucial eyes-on-the-ground capability," a well-placed source said. The Special Activities Division reports to the deputy director for operations, the clandestine arm of the CIA.

Before last year, the division was called the Military Support Program, or MSP, which had existed in the agency for decades.

Senior administration officials attribute a significant portion of the speed and effectiveness of recent Northern Alliance advances in Afghanistan to the assistance of the CIA units.

Key has been the precision bombing of Taliban logistics. The sources said coordination on targeting among the CIA special units, traditional satellite and signals intelligence and the U.S. military has improved significantly over the course of the short war, accounting, in part, for the rapid collapse of Taliban forces. "They can't get food and ammunition," a source said. "The Taliban communications have been largely severed."

Because the CIA has focused on bin Laden and al-Qaida for years and gained a strong foothold among the Northern Alliance opposition, several sources said the Afghan phase of the war on terrorism may turn out to be easier than coming phases directed at terrorists in other countries where there is less of a CIA presence.

In some respects, the war on terrorism in Afghanistan appears, at least so far, to provide some ideal circumstances.

First, the special units have been going in and out of Afghanistan since 1997, and have gained immense operational experience and important contacts, particularly with the Northern Alliance.

Second, the CIA gained experience during the 1980s covert war in Afghanistan, when the agency provided massive support and funding to the mujahedeen rebels,

who eventually drove the Soviet army out. The Near East Division has 10 to 20 case officers with Afghan experience, knowledge of the terrain and languages, and contacts with anti-Taliban groups and tribes. Some of these case officers have been inserted into Afghanistan with the help of the CIA's paramilitary units as liaison and support for the Northern Alliance.

Third, in the mid-1980s, the CIA set up a counter-terrorism center to coordinate intelligence and operations within the U.S. government. Personnel are assigned from the CIA, the FBI, other U.S. intelligence agencies, even the Federal Aviation Administration. Nearly 300 worked in the center before Sept. 11, and that number has swollen to 900 since the terrorist attacks that killed more than 4,300.

Nine days after the terrorist strikes at the World Trade Center and the Pentagon, Bush outlined the plan for the war on terrorism in a nationally televised speech to a joint session of Congress. He said the war might include "covert operations, secret even in success."

[JR: This war against Afghanistan has been long in the making, patriots. The CIA has been working for 18 months with the various factions in Southern Afghanistan. We have had special units in and out of the country since 1997 and we have helped supply and arm the mujahedeen rebels since 1980. Now add all the facts and realize that the terrorist acts against the WTC and Pentagon had to happen so we'd have an excuse to invade Afghanistan. It never has been about the suffering of the people or the women under the repressive Taliban. It is again largely about oil! Sir Bush used the Gulf War to invade Iraq and now President Dubya Bush uses the WTC/Pentagon to invade Afghanistan. Americans have repressed memories (programming) when it comes to the facts and the truth. We like being lied to and treated like dumb sheeple so the rich can use us to further their gains. When are we going to catch on to these "wizemen" of the East (NY/DC)?]

FACTIONS BATTLE IN TALIBAN'S WAKE CLASHES PRESENT PITFALL TO PEACE

By Paul Salopek, *Tribune*, 11/23/01

MAYDAN SHAR, Afghanistan—On its terrible surface, not much distinguished the battle that unfolded here Thursday morning from other recent bloodlettings in Afghanistan.

Tanks rolled across parched fields, firing on a nearby mud village, the rounds raising smears of white dust. Northern Alliance troops joined the fray in the backs of dented pickup trucks. As always, there was a quiet, businesslike approach to the fighting. And, as always, men died. At least two alliance soldiers were killed in the attack.

But as unremarkable as it seemed, the obscure battle of Maydan Shar marked a troubling new phase of the war in Afghanistan: None of the combatants was Taliban.

Instead, Northern Alliance rebels were assaulting a maverick warlord who only days earlier had declared himself independent of both the alliance and the Taliban. And as the battle dragged on inconclusively, it hinted at the bog of internecine fighting that lies ahead in Afghanistan even as U.S.-backed rebels consolidate their victory over the Taliban.

"He is a liar who can't be trusted," alliance commander Haji Shir Alam growled of his opponent, a Pashtun chieftain named Gulam Hammad who has carved out an armed fiefdom only 20 miles from Kabul. "We are going to clear him out."

As envoys from Afghanistan's many competing political factions head to Bonn next week to sketch out a new interim government, the battle at Maydan Shar is a sobering reminder of how wild-card commanders—the scourge of Afghanistan's recent history—can derail even the best-intentioned diplomacy.

Province by province, and even town by town, local opportunists have been rushing forward in recent days to fill a gaping power vacuum left by the retreating Taliban.

Some are leaders of murky tribal militias. Others are old warlords who have switched sides countless times in Afghanistan's long history of fighting. And, in a development that chills many long-suffering Afghans, many appear to be the same corrupt and violent men who brutalized the country with their power struggles after the Soviet Union pulled out in 1989.

Unconcerned by the larger questions of Afghanistan's political future—for example, whether the nation's next leader will be the alliance's Burhanuddin Rabbani or the exiled King Mohammed Zahir Shah—their interests often are parochial: the domination of a tribe or a valley.

"These guys will never be satisfied with a Cabinet post in some new government," said Abdul Raheem Yaseer, a political analyst with the Center for Afghan studies at the University of Nebraska. "They all want to be kings."

"Ordinary people are sick and tired of them," Yaseer added. "Most of them have terrible human-rights histories."

The showdown at Maydan Shar is a depressing case in point, and it foreshadows the pitfalls to peace in Afghanistan.

Hammad, the warlord who controls the surrounding region, is a veteran strongman who has changed his political stripes at least three times over the past decade, sources here say.

Starting as a mujahedeen, or one of the U.S.-backed holy warriors who opposed the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan, he adroitly joined the Taliban when it came to power in 1996. His older brother Mohammed Musa, also a former mujahedeen, even rose to become a deputy minister for planning under the Taliban.

But as the United States has mortally wounded the Taliban regime with air strikes in retaliation for providing support and a haven to accused terrorist Osama bin Laden, the brothers' loyalty faded again. Ten days ago, they declared themselves independent of the Taliban, yet kept control of a 35-mile-long valley dotted with apple orchards and villages.

Their 600 ex-Taliban soldiers remain armed and defiant. And this challenge has deeply embarrassed the Northern Alliance, which holds the nearby Afghan capital of Kabul.

"These men don't have politics, they just want power," alliance commander Alam said bitterly. "There is no use talking to them anymore."

In a scene likely to be repeated many times in Afghanistan in the months ahead, the alliance has laid siege to Hammad and Musa's autonomous stronghold. Negotiations for the surrender of weapons have gone nowhere. After days of angry military posturing, the alliance launched an attack Thursday with a fierce tank barrage against the warlord's entrenched positions. It was the first significant battle between non-Taliban forces since the United States began its air war here six weeks ago.

And so, a new front line has sprung up in Afghanistan, running across rocky hills and severely drought-stricken fields around the dilapidated town of Maydan Shar...

Further evidence of the fragmentation of Afghanistan surfaced during this week's visit by the United Nations peace envoy, Francisc Vendrell. The diplomat was obliged to travel outside Kabul to meet all the anti-Taliban factions; ethnic Pashtun groups from four southern provinces refused to enter the alliance-controlled capital...

One of the peculiarities of war in Afghanistan is that civilians move freely through combat zones, seemingly oblivious to the fighting.

On Thursday, truckloads of refugees hardly blinked at the heavily armed Northern Alliance troops massing for battle at Maydan Shar. None stopped to ask why the war thundered on near Kabul, despite the absence of Taliban in the region.

Looking wearily down from trucks loaded with their ragged furniture and bedding, it seemed they had seen it all before.

[JR: The invasion by the U.S. and Britain has not brought

unity among the rival factions who are battling each other over the control of Afghanistan. This will play into the hands of the U.S. and Britain who will insist on the need to occupy Afghanistan to maintain peace and order. The Afghan people will be in the middle of the chaos as they were under the Soviet and Taliban occupation. Nothing changes for them but their degree of misery.]

AFGHANISTAN—KOSOVO ALL OVER AGAIN?

By Toby Westerman, *WorldNetDaily.com*, 11/21/01

Even as bombs still fall in Central Asia, various governments, factions and the UN are feverishly seeking a generally accepted formula for the establishment of a new Afghan government before a single faction—the Northern Alliance—establishes de facto control over the entire nation.

Recent events in another area of international intervention—Kosovo—indicates that reorganizing Afghanistan will be a lengthy, frustrating and possibly dangerous project.

According to a recent report in the Italian news daily *Corriere della Sera*, while the Northern Alliance is beginning to function as a central government, local Afghan warlords are dividing strips of the countryside into personal fiefdoms.

The call for a “Loya Jirga”—grand council of elders and religious leaders—is still heard, but the prospects for the gathering is fading. If held, the grand council would use the former king of Afghanistan, Zahir Shah, as a rallying point for all tribes and factions.

During his reign from 1933 to 1973, Zahir Shah, who was born in 1914, developed a reputation for being progressive and pro-Western.

According to the report, both Russia and Iran are racing each other to open embassies in Kabul, the Afghan capital, thereby recognizing, in effect, the Northern Alliance as the country’s legitimate government.

The Northern Alliance is led by the former president of Afghanistan, Burhanuddin Rabbani, who was overthrown by Taliban forces in 1996. The Northern Alliance has received Russian backing for years, and one of its chief commanders, Gen. Rashid Dostum, at one time fought for the Soviet-backed Afghan communist government.

In addition to sending an ambassador to the Northern Alliance in Kabul, Iran is also cooperating with Ismail Khan in his capture of Herat, a city where he once ruled as governor.

Corriere della Sera reports what may be a sign of trouble for all of Afghanistan—as soon as Herat fell, shooting erupted between factions in Khan’s army.

Russia, according to the report, opposes the use in Afghanistan of an international force that would police any international agreement on how that nation is to be governed.

A similar arrangement regarding the use of international peacekeepers is currently being employed in Kosovo.

The United Nations Mission in Kosovo, or UNMIK, recently achieved one of its stated goals during its rule of Kosovo, a region which is nominally part of the Federation of Yugoslavia. UNMIK was able to hold elections in a relatively calm atmosphere in the strife-torn region.

A significant problem for UNMIK has arisen because of the election, however. The vote clearly demonstrates the desire of the ethnic Albanian majority in Kosovo to declare their independence from Yugoslavia. The wishes of the “Kosovars,” however, run counter to UN resolution 1244; the European Union unanimously opposes the idea; and the Yugoslav government would consider Kosovo’s declaration of independence to be an act of rebellion.

Even so, the Albanian majority are quite determined.

According to a report from Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, “All of the parties representing the 90 percent ethnic Albanian majority want independence.” It cited a popular news daily in Prishtina in Kosovo

that ran a headline after the election proclaiming, “Now, toward statehood for Kosovo!”

The winner of the race for president of Kosovo, with some 50 percent of the vote, was Ibrahim Rugova, usually identified in the West as a “moderate.” Rugova’s moderation, however, is meant for the West, not for those voting for him.

Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty cited an interview with an unnamed European Union diplomat who declared that “Rugova has two languages—one for local consumption and the other for the international community,” while Rugova’s press outlet, *Bota Sot*, issues statements that are “more rabid than the radicals”.

“We will have to make clear to Rugova that he has to make sure the first thing parliament does is not to declare independence,” Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty’s source observed.

An independent Kosovo will also be a local military power according to Gen. Agim Ceku, leader of Kosovo’s military force and former member of the rebel UCK that fought against the Yugoslav government and for Kosovo independence.

Ceku is quoted in a *Corriere della Sera* article as declaring: “It is not enough for Kosovo to be independent; it must have its own army.”

The kind of army an independent Kosovo would possess would be a matter of great interest to other nations in the region, especially in view of recent indications that Osama bin Laden’s terrorist network was involved in the rebel movements in the Balkans—including Kosovo.

[JR: Russia and Iran are hurriedly establishing their embassies in Kabul seemingly to validate their support of a central government run by the Northern Alliance. This is a signal to the UN planners that an international “peacekeeping” force would not be acceptable in solving the problems of the region but add to them. If Afghanistan was set up like the rebellious ruling faction in Kosovo it would stir up more unrest in the region. The Kosovars in defiance of the UN resolution want to declare separation from Yugoslavia. This was inevitable since the UN partitioned Yugoslavia into six separate regions, none of which are at peace with one another. How is it that bin Laden’s terrorist network got involved in Kosovo that has been under UN/U.S. control? Kosovars do have an army trained and armed covertly by the CIA and who tried to start up a war in Macedonia earlier this year. Remember, or have there been too many wars for us to keep track of?]

U.S. TROOPS CAUGHT IN ATTACK, 35 DEAD

By *Independent Media Center*, 11/24/01

(AFP)—At least 35 U.S. Special Forces soldiers were killed and many injured in a firefight this week with pro-Taliban troops in southern Afghanistan, a Pakistani newspaper reported.

There was no confirmation of the report in the daily newspaper, *The News*.

U.S. officials here were not immediately available for comment.

The News quoted unnamed sources as saying the Americans took heavy casualties in an attack launched on Thursday on the Taliban militia and troops of the al-Qaida network of terror suspect Osama bin Laden.

The U.S. troops were backed by helicopter gunships in the attack “but were caught by surprise and had to withdraw quickly,” the daily said.

“At least 35 personnel of the U.S. Special Forces were killed and many injured in the battle,” *The News* said. It gave no other details of the fighting.

The newspaper said 35 bodies and an unspecified number of injured were flown by helicopter to Jacobabad Airbase in Pakistan.

Ten C-130 transport planes were ready to fly the

bodies back to the United States, it said.

“The Pentagon and the Centcom (U.S. Central Command) are very much perturbed over this development and there are reports of immediate measures being contemplated to counter the situation,” *The News* said. It did not elaborate.

[JR: Why were there no reports of this in our local papers or on our TV news? How is it that the only reported deaths of American troops is only from accidents and helicopter crashes and never killed by our so-called enemy? Could it be that our government doesn’t want the American people to know our husbands, sons, daughters, sisters, brothers and fathers are being killed for fear that public opinion might turn against this contrived war over oil?]

ALLIANCE’S RISE CATCHES PAKISTAN OFF-GUARD

By Susan B. Glasser and Kamran Khan,
Washington Post, 11/21/01

ISLAMABAD, Pakistan, Nov. 20—More than a week after the Taliban retreat from the Afghan capital of Kabul and other cities, Pakistan is reeling from the rout of the strict Islamic militia it helped create.

Pakistan remains the only country in the world that still recognizes the Taliban and is unwilling to sever those ties. Pakistan also is not on speaking terms with the Northern Alliance, which now controls most of Afghanistan.

According to high-ranking political, military and diplomatic officials, Pakistan has seen its influence in Afghanistan evaporate as the future of the country is being plotted by the United Nations and the Northern Alliance, among others. Unable to wield power in Afghan affairs, Pakistan fears that it is now sandwiched between two hostile countries: India to the east and Afghanistan to the west. Pakistan’s military is on high alert, fearing trouble and instability along the 1,500-mile border it shares with Afghanistan.

Although Pakistan enlisted as a key ally in the U.S.-led coalition to oust the Taliban after the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, even top officials in President Pervez Musharraf’s government have expressed alarm in recent days at the outcome of the coalition’s efforts. Television screens here are flashing pictures of angry Afghans shouting “Death to Pakistan!” and Pakistan’s regional rivals are advising the new rulers of Kabul. One senior Pakistani Foreign Ministry official pronounced his country’s policy “a strategic debacle”.

A top military official called the situation a “quagmire” for Pakistan, while several other senior government figures spoke bitterly in interviews of what they called a U.S. promise to keep the Northern Alliance out of Kabul—a promise that was not kept.

The Northern Alliance, made up of Tajik, Uzbek and other ethnic groups in the northern part of Afghanistan, grew out of the mujahedeen who fought the Soviet occupation in the 1980s. After the Soviet pullout, the guerrillas fell into a civil war. Pakistan nurtured and supported the Taliban, whose members are mostly from the large Pashtun ethnic group in the south, in the mid-1990s. Pakistani officials saw the Taliban as a counterweight to the fractious, chaotic rule from 1992 to 1996 of the Kabul government, whose members are now largely back in control of the country.

Despite the enmity, Pakistan has signaled a willingness to open backdoor communications with the Northern Alliance through Turkey and Iran, both of which supported the alliance during its five-year battle to oust the Taliban. The U.S. ambassador to Pakistan, Wendy Chamberlin, said in an interview that “yes, they are reaching out to the Northern Alliance.”

Pakistani sources said top Foreign Ministry officials have also told Chamberlin they would welcome any U.S. efforts to help bridge the gap. Musharraf had talks with

Iranian officials in Tehran earlier this month on his way to the United Nations, and then met with President Mohammad Khatami in New York. An Iranian official visited Musharraf in Islamabad last week. Musharraf also met with Turkish Prime Minister Bulent Ecevit in Istanbul last week.

Officially, Pakistan supports the creation of a "broad-based, multi-ethnic government" for Afghanistan and says the Northern Alliance occupation of Kabul should be replaced by an international peacekeeping force.

But Pakistan's diplomatic contortions in recent days suggest how tentative and confused the government's policy has become.

On Monday, Foreign Minister Abdus Sattar told reporters that Pakistan would allow the Taliban's embassy here to remain open. But he also offered this confusing formula: Pakistan has not decided on the "de-recognition of the Taliban government," but that "does not mean that we continue to recognize it." Today, the Foreign Ministry spokesman announced the closure of two remaining Taliban consulates in the cities of Peshawar and Quetta, while insisting there have been no direct contacts between Islamabad and the Northern Alliance.

On Monday, Musharraf proclaimed vindication for his decision to join the U.S.-led anti-terrorism coalition. Pakistan is now on the world's "center stage," he told local leaders. "Our policy will prove useful for the country, and everyone will benefit from it," he said.

But Musharraf's government was unprepared for the consequences of ousting the Taliban, according to several senior government officials and political analysts here. A week after the Taliban fled major cities in Afghanistan, a new policy toward Afghanistan has yet to emerge.

"Pakistan has an important role to play in shaping the future of Afghanistan, but we are losing that role by virtue of indecision," said Mushahid Hussain, a member of former prime minister Nawaz Sharif's cabinet, now a commentator here. "We should recognize the new realities in the region, but instead our policy is reactive. Decisions are being made on the battlefield and not around the conference table."

A senior Foreign Ministry official said: "The sheer pace of events jolted each one of us here last week. It seemed that Taliban settled scores with Pakistan by offering Kabul to the Northern Alliance on a silver platter. The Taliban knew how much Islamabad would hate to see Northern Alliance leaders taking full control of the Afghan capital."

"The very fact that people such as Abdullah and General Fahim have assumed power in Kabul is enough to push Pakistan into a strategic quagmire," added a senior Pakistani military official, referring to the Northern Alliance's foreign minister and top military leader. "To our displeasure, the Northern Alliance will now remain a grim reality in Kabul."

Several top officials in Musharraf's government privately questioned whether the Bush administration had tried hard enough to prevent the Northern Alliance from taking Kabul.

"The U.S. has rewarded its most formidable ally by allowing its sworn enemies to capture the seat of power in Afghanistan," said another senior military officer, who added pointedly, "What actually happened in Kabul was opposite to what President Bush had promised to General Musharraf."

Nonetheless, critics also point to the failure of Pakistan's own Afghanistan policy, which for years backed the Taliban militia.

"The Americans never promised us a rose garden. We helped the Americans to oust the Taliban, so we shouldn't be surprised when the Taliban's principal opponents—that is, the Northern Alliance—are in a better position," Hussain said. "We should stop carping about it. We should recognize that the government in Afghanistan has changed, and we helped change the status quo."...

While the political situation remains muddled, the Taliban's collapse has also reshaped Pakistan's military strategy, according to interviews with several top officials.

The current crisis along the Pakistan-Afghanistan border is "most unique in nature", as one official put it, because never before has the security situation—not even during the Soviet occupation from 1979 to 1989—warranted extensive deployment of army regulars, heavy artillery and tanks near the Afghan border.

But now, officials said, about 40,000 regular troops of the Pakistani army, 65,000 paramilitary troops and 35,000 frontier police and conscripts have been put on the highest alert to confront any emergency near the border.

"Before yesterday, Pakistan's military strategy and most doctrines almost exclusively focused on an Indian threat to Pakistan's security," a senior official said. "The normal security situation on the western borders allowed us to allocate most of our military resources to the eastern borders."

[JR: The Pakistan government seems to have gotten lost in their own labyrinth of diplomacy while attempting to be in the center stage of world politics. President Musharraf is now trying to obtain agreements with Turkey and Iran to mend fences so as not to be seen as a pariah in the Muslim world. Yes, there is reason to assume that the U.S. and Pres. Bush did not fulfill their promise to keep the Northern Alliance out of Kabul. The question is, why couldn't we or why didn't we? Will the U.S. stand by if the Northern Alliance makes incursions along the Pakistan's border. The U.S. has become the master in the game of pseudo politics. Our government has succeeded, in less than three days to brainwash the world to join in the war against terrorism. Are we a bigger success at magic than Harry Potter?]

SAUDI-US RELATIONS STRAINED OVER AFGHANISTAN

By Roger Hardy, *BBC Middle East analyst*, 11/15/01

The crisis over Afghanistan and the continuing violence in the Middle East have caused serious strains in the relationship between the United States and Saudi Arabia.

The two countries have had a close strategic partnership since the Second World War.

But for several months the relationship has been marked by mistrust and misunderstanding.

Look at the Saudi and American press and you would scarcely believe the two countries are close allies.

Saudi commentators are describing the bombing of Afghanistan as an act of aggression by a bullying superpower.

U.S. journalists are openly questioning Saudi Arabia's loyalty to Washington—and accusing the Saudi government of fuelling the Islamic extremism which produced the attacks against New York and Washington on 11 September.

Roula Khalaf, of the *Financial Times*, has just returned from trips to both Riyadh and Washington:

"I think there is a crisis in the U.S.-Saudi relationship, and I think the crisis didn't start on 11 September.

"I think there are a lot of frustrations that have been accumulating over several years—but mainly since the Bush administration took office," she said.

In August, those frustrations led Saudi Arabia's Crown Prince Abdullah to write an extraordinary letter to President George Bush.

It was extraordinary because it warned the American president that unless he actively intervened to resolve the Palestinian issue, the kingdom would review its relations with Washington.

Some thought the letter implied that the Saudis wanted the Americans to remove their troops from Saudi soil.

Gregory Gause, a leading American specialist on the Gulf, said:

"I think that the presence of the American forces in Saudi Arabia—the 5,000 troops at Prince Sultan air base—is an issue the Saudis would like to see resolved.

"But I think in some ways [the events of] 11 September would make the Saudis more reluctant to push on that issue immediately.

"Why? Because if the United States were to evacuate the Prince Sultan air base now, it would be seen by many people in Saudi Arabia and the Middle East as a sign the Saudi-American relationship was crumbling."

That would be a propaganda gift to Osama bin Laden—the Saudi-born Islamic militant accused of being behind the 11 September attacks—since he has long called for an end to the U.S. military presence in the Arabian Peninsula.

While the issue of the troops remains unresolved, a virtual war of words has erupted between the Saudi and the U.S. media. Othman al-Rawwaf is a member of the 'majlis al-shura'—the unelected body which advises the Saudi government on policy.

He sees a distinction between people-to-people relations and the government-to-government relationship.

"Both sides understand the delicate position of the other concerning this crisis.

"Saudi Arabia understands that the U.S. must act—and the U.S. understands that the position Saudi Arabia is taking is in response to its delicate position.

"It will be difficult. There will be a lot of challenges in the times ahead.

"But I think, in the end, the foundations of the relationship—which was set forth by King Abdel-Aziz and President Roosevelt—will prevail."

It was that meeting between President Roosevelt and Saudi Arabia's first king—during the Second World War—which sealed the new relationship between the two countries.

The relationship has survived because of a mutual need: the Americans need oil, and the Saudis need security.

So can it survive this current crisis?

Roula Khalaf of the *Financial Times* thinks it is in the balance:

"I think all depends on what happens over the next few months—whether there is movement on the Arab-Israeli conflict on the part of the Americans.

"It also depends on how long this whole military campaign (against Afghanistan) takes—but also on whether the Americans then move on to a next phase and—as some hawks in the administration are suggesting—bomb Iraq.

"That would be totally unacceptable to the Saudis."

[JR: The Saudis really have few options left to them in opposing our policies in the Middle East should we again go to war against Iraq. They are basically an occupied country with a U.S. base on Saudi sands manned by 5000 American troops. There should be no doubts as to whether or not the U.S. would take inappropriate measures to insure our hold in that region for their oil and for Israel. Anyone objecting to our policies would be targeted and pay the price with the mother of all air raids.]

SPAIN INSISTING 8 MEN BE TRIED IN CIVILIAN COURT OFFICIALS FROWN ON U.S. PLANS FOR MILITARY TRIBUNALS

By Sam Dillon and Donald G. McNeil Jr.,
New York Times, 11/25/01

MADRID—Spain will not extradite the eight men it has charged with complicity in the Sept. 11 attacks unless the United States agrees that they would be tried by a civilian court and not by the military tribunals envisioned by President Bush, Spanish officials said.

The officials said Friday that Washington was informed last week of the Spanish stance, and several experts predicted that other countries in the 15-nation European Union would balk at handing prisoners over to the Americans without similar guarantees.

Bush's announcement that the U.S. might use

emergency military courts in terrorism cases has stirred criticism in Europe, and the arrests this month in Spain of eight Islamic extremists could provide an early test of foreign cooperation with the administration.

"Military trials would be the worst of all possible worlds if you wanted to get people back from Europe," said Geoffrey Robertson, a British human-rights lawyer.

A senior European Union official who asked not to be identified said he doubted that any of the 15 nations—all of which have renounced the death penalty and signed the European Convention on Human Rights—would agree to extradition that involved the possibility of a military trial.

In Washington, a senior law-enforcement official described the development Friday as a "setback". But the official said investigators were hopeful that Spanish investigators would share with the Americans any information about the eight Islamic extremists' dealings with the suspected leader of the Sept. 11 hijackings, Mohamed Atta.

Government officials and legal scholars interviewed Friday in London, Berlin, Paris and other European capitals cited objections to the American tribunals, including the secret nature of the hearings contemplated under the president's Nov. 13 order, suspects' inability to choose their defense lawyers and the potential bias of the U.S. officers who would sit as judges.

The use of hearsay evidence, which would be allowed in the tribunals under Bush's order, would not trouble some European nations but would raise hurdles in the United Kingdom and Ireland, where it is forbidden, the senior European Union official said.

"In Europe, we're very suspicious of special courts," said Marie Elisabeth Cartier, a professor of criminal justice at the University of Paris. "Everybody stands behind the U.S., and we understand that these events are exceptional. But we'd rather have normal procedure, one that is not military."

The U.S. has not yet lodged an extradition request. But a Spanish investigative judge, Baltasar Garzon, formally accused the eight Muslim defendants last week of helping prepare the attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon.

If United States law-enforcement officials determine that the evidence backs Garzon's accusations, the defendants would be subject to prosecution under American law.

In a meeting in Madrid on Wednesday between senior Spanish prosecutors and American diplomats, including a representative of the FBI, the prosecutors warned that any American extradition request would run into trouble because a military tribunal would not meet European standards for judicial proceedings, one of the prosecutors said Friday in an interview.

"No country in Europe could extradite detainees to the United States if there were any chance they would be put before these military tribunals," said the prosecutor, who is helping coordinate the criminal procedures against the eight men, who prosecutors believe are associates of Osama bin Laden.

A spokesman for Spain's Foreign Ministry confirmed the prosecutor's account Friday, saying Spain could extradite detainees only to countries that offer defendants the legal guarantees provided by Spanish courts.

"And if we're talking about a tribunal in the United States with summary procedures and military judges, then these are not the same conditions that would characterize a trial in Spain or France or England or anywhere else in Europe," the Foreign Ministry spokesman said. "Extradition would be impossible."

The law-enforcement official in Washington said Atta was believed to have made two visits to Spain this year and that Washington was eager to know more about those trips.

"All we could get from the Spanish authorities is he rented a car each time and put [600 miles] on the car each time," the official said. "So we'd like to get a better idea of what he was doing in Spain and who he met with."

The debate about the U.S. tribunals comes as anti-terrorism cooperation between United States and the European authorities, especially in Spain and Germany, is accelerating. Three times this year, Spain has rounded up Arabs accused of preparing terrorist acts, and arrests have been made in Belgium, France, Britain and Germany. U.S. law-enforcement and intelligence officials have been working closely with their European counterparts.

[JR: Now how do you suppose we can persuade Spain and influence Europe to suspend or ignore their own laws to extradite these eight suspects to the U.S. to be imprisoned indefinitely and face trial by a military court? Are we to suppose that American laws against terrorism as decreed through Executive Orders by President Bush will now become the International Rule of Laws for the World Court? Is the U.S. now the model for the NWO?]

ARAB GROUPS WARY OF INTERVIEW PLAN

By Naftali Bendavid and Monica Davey,
Tribune, 11/18/01

WASHINGTON—Largely lost amid the furor over military tribunals last week was another dramatic legal initiative: A Justice Department plan to question more than 5,000 individuals, mostly Middle Easterners, who have entered the country in the last two years.

Although the interviewing is to be completed within a month, it remains unclear exactly how the questioning will proceed. What is evident is that this will be among the most sweeping law-enforcement actions in recent memory, involving dozens of police departments and hundreds of officers.

"Extreme crises require extreme responses," said Robert Castelli, who teaches at the John Jay College of Criminal Justice. "This is a measured but far-reaching response to identify individuals who may pose a potential threat to the health and safety of Americans and American institutions."

But many in the Arab community are concerned that it is little more than a way for federal authorities to assemble a database on young Arab men.

Those to be questioned are males age 18 to 33 who have entered the United States since Jan. 1, 2000, carrying passports from certain countries that the Justice Department will not name.

Department officials insist that not all of the countries are Arab, but Hussein Ibish, spokesman for the Arab-American Anti-Discrimination Committee, has little doubt that most of them are.

"They are giving the impression that young Arab men are potentially dangerous and are, if not terrorists, acquaintances of terrorists," Ibish said. "There is no reason to think that. It is ludicrous on its face."

FBI agents already have interviewed thousands of people and detained hundreds of others as they pursue an investigation that is now less about finding hijacking suspects than stopping future attacks. But the latest sweep marks a shift, as authorities focus on an entire population group.

In a memo to the nation's 94 U.S. attorneys, Atty. Gen. John Ashcroft said last week that the interviews will be voluntary. They will not include U.S. citizens but those who have entered the country on visas to work, study or visit.

"We expect that these visitors can contribute significantly to our effort to protect the United States from further terrorism," Ashcroft wrote. Investigators are apparently trying to disrupt potential terrorist plots and send a signal to would-be attackers.

The sessions are being conducted in an extremely methodical way. The Justice Department has sent lists of men to be interviewed to U.S. prosecutors, who will assign them to federal agents and local police and then gather the post-interview reports.

In his memo, Ashcroft appeared to anticipate criticism that the sweep was unduly harsh. He emphasized that the 5,000 are not suspects.

"Absent any other indication that they are criminals, they should not be treated as such," Ashcroft told prosecutors. "Instead, they should be treated with courtesy and respect, as potential witnesses and as sources of information."

No one should be taken to a police station or FBI office for questioning unless he requests it, the attorney general wrote, adding, "You should also be careful not to inquire into an individual's religious beliefs and practices."

Some critics warned that eloquent memos do not guarantee good behavior. Harvey Grossman, legal director of the American Civil Liberties Union of Illinois, noted that during the 1991 Persian Gulf War, FBI agents also fanned out across the U.S. to interview members of the Arab and Muslim communities.

"Contrary to the supposed protocol, the FBI would conduct ambush interviews, arriving late at night or at their places of work unannounced," Grossman said. "They inquired about people's political beliefs and asked about the political beliefs of others."

Critics allege a pattern of civil liberties violations by the Bush administration since Sept. 11. Those include, they say, curtailing attorney-client privileges for certain inmates, detaining more than 1,000 people without providing their names and preparing to establish the secret military tribunals.

The 5,000 interviews now join that list, these critics said.

"It just looks like a whole segment of the community, identified by age, gender and national origin, are now suspect," Ibish said. "Hopefully one of them knows something, but I think what is more likely is that the Justice Department will just compile dossiers on them. They are fishing wildly in a way that helps stigmatize an entire identity group."

Some activists said the interviews themselves are not necessarily a problem. What matters, they said, is how the interviews will be conducted: Can the subjects refuse to answer? Can they have lawyers? Will they be treated politely?

Ashcroft's memo answers yes to those questions, but not everyone is convinced...

"Most Americans who are concerned about our safety will understand," said Jack Martin, special projects director for the Federation of Americans for Immigration Reform. "It is a form of profiling, but I don't think it's unfair."

Ashcroft, for his part, has always called himself an opponent of racial profiling. In his memo, he went to some lengths to declare that he is not engaging in it now.

"These individuals were not selected in order to single out a particular ethnic or religious group, or to suggest that one ethnic or religious group is

more prone to terrorism than another," he wrote. "I emphatically reject that proposition, and I want all to understand that there is no place for ethnic or religious stereotyping in this plan."

[JR: I just don't believe Ashcroft has a conscience about profiling Arab groups and compiling dossiers on them. He is implementing the plans as ordered by the masters of the New World Order. We Americans are giving our (unrequired) consent to such acts because we are told it will protect us and help us feel secure. The chains don't feel heavy now but they will soon enough, especially when you demand your rights as free citizens.]

PUTIN SAYS MOSCOW NOT IN NATO BID

By Megan Twohey, *The Moscow Times*, 11/26/01

President Vladimir Putin praised NATO Secretary-General George Robertson for the "dynamic" tempo of discussions aimed at drastically changing the relationship between Russia and the Western alliance, but he said Moscow was not seeking to join NATO or win the right to veto its activities.

"I am sure that your visit will be a positive development for security in Europe and throughout the world," Putin told Robertson during Kremlin talks Friday. "The dialogue between NATO and Russia is developing dynamically."

Putin added: "On the one hand, Russia is not standing in line to join NATO, but on the other hand, it is ready to develop relations as far as the alliance is prepared."

Robertson said at a news conference that NATO and Russia would consider with "some urgency" a proposal by British Prime Minister Tony Blair to set up a new Russia-North Atlantic Council.

Under the proposal, NATO's North Atlantic Council, the main policy-making body, would merge with a Russian security and political team to form the Russia-North Atlantic Council.

The council, which would meet once every two weeks in Brussels, would allow Russia the same status as NATO's 19 member states in voting on some security issues.

The North Atlantic Council would continue to meet once a week as an alliance-only forum, but the Russia-North Atlantic Council would take on an increasingly influential role when it comes to decisions on key issues such as counterterrorism, peacekeeping operations and other security matters.

There are many ways that both NATO and Russia could win should this new framework take shape, analysts said.

NATO members, especially the United States, will be able to receive more help from Russia in the fight against their new common enemy—terrorism.

"We have a common threat," Robertson said, drawing parallels between Russia and the West's battle against Nazi Germany six decades ago and the battle today against international terrorism.

Through closer ties, NATO members, namely the United States, hope to continue to receive from Russia the support that Moscow is now offering, such as access to intelligence and a green light to use air bases in Central Asia.

And the closer Russia gets to the West, the less incentive it will have to do business with Iraq and Iran, analysts said.

Among other things, a closer relationship may help

open up more markets for the sale of weapons from Russia's struggling industrial-arms complex, said Sergei Markov, a political adviser with close ties to the Kremlin.

If it gets help selling arms to other countries, "Russia will stop protecting Iraq," he said.

But agreement in some arenas in the Blair proposal, such as peacekeeping, could prove difficult.

Just last week, for example, as France, Germany and Britain were sending or preparing to send troops into Afghanistan, Defense Minister Sergei Ivanov voiced concern about the presence of peacekeeping troops there.

"The question of sending peacekeeping troops to Afghanistan has to be approached with extreme caution," Ivanov said. "This is not Kosovo."

Russia and NATO currently communicate through the Permanent Joint Council, which was created in 1997 to give Russia a voice in the alliance but not a vote.

This arrangement has suited NATO members who feared that, should Russia have veto power, it would cripple the alliance's decision-making capabilities. NATO and Russia are often at odds over issues like NATO enlargement and the presence of peacekeeping forces in Kosovo.

In his remarks in Moscow, Robertson signaled that those fears have not disappeared and that for Russia to gain more authority in NATO it would have to agree to be cooperative.

"A new attitude is going to be required on both sides if this is going to work," he said Thursday.

On Friday, he said he had won assurances from Putin that Russia did not want to throw a wrench into NATO's activities.

Robertson's visit, which began with a tribute in Volgograd to the huge Soviet losses there in World War II and included a stop at a Moscow elementary school Friday, capped a series of recent steps to thaw long-frosty feelings between NATO and Russia.

The flurry of activity started earlier this month when U.S. President George W. Bush and Putin released a joint statement during their U.S. summit saying that the United States and Russia will work together with NATO and other NATO members to develop new, effective mechanisms for consultation, cooperation, joint decision making and joint action between NATO and Russia.

Then on Nov. 9, just as the summit ended, Blair sent the four-page proposal to Putin, Bush, Robertson and the heads of government of NATO members calling for the new joint policy-making body. The proposal was sent with Bush's blessing. The next day, Putin called Blair to discuss his plan.

The Robertson visit was announced as Putin headed off for Washington for his summit with Bush.

[JR: NATO, through Pres. Putin, is moving Russia away from centuries of isolation into the body of Europe and away from her Central Asian persona. She is now "recognized" as a major game player in the world's decision-making process and is no longer considered an adversary. The Muslim countries have now filled that void. With this agreement Russia will gain access to the world markets for her sale of weapons and will no longer need to do business with "rogue states" such as Iran and Iraq. By allowing the U.S. to use bases in Central Asia, Russia can indirectly assist us in reducing the threat of Muslim fundamentalism in the region. The U.S. in turn gets cooperation from Russia to fight terrorism through the sharing of intelligence information and NATO gets accolades for uniting Russia with

Europe. What a wonderful world for the NWO! The big picture now is that you have the so-called civilized nations of the West aligned against the Muslim countries of Central Asia and the Middle East to protect us from what... faith? The big question now is: What about China?]

RENAISSANCE OF JEWISH LIFE IN RUSSIA

By John Daniszewski, *Los Angeles Times*, 11/23/01

MOSCOW—Anti-Semitism in Russia is on the wane, and synagogues, Hebrew schools and Jewish community centers are sprouting up again in scores of small towns and villages, said officials at the largest congress of Jewish communities in the country since before the 1917 Revolution.

The Congress of Jewish Communities of Russia, which ended this week, was a chance to showcase what organizers called a remarkable renaissance of Jewish life. Berl Lazar, the chief rabbi of Russia, said local Jewish organizations finally are taking root and flourishing after having been suppressed during the 74 years of Communist rule that ended in 1991.

"It is impossible to count all the miracles that are happening," Lazar said. "Today we see Jewish schools, Jewish kindergartens, charity canteens, various cultural programs and lots of music and artistic groups in towns where Jewish life had not existed at all."

Avraham Berkowitz, executive director of the Federation of Jewish Communities of the Commonwealth of Independent States—a reference to a post-Communist grouping of most former Soviet republics—said delegates from about 145 Jewish communities attended the congress. The number of officially registered communities serving the country's estimated 1 million Jews has doubled in just the last two years, he said.

In addition to the 400 or so delegates at the congress held in the recently opened Moscow Jewish Community Center, Russian Culture Minister Mikhail Shvydkoi and an envoy of President Vladimir Putin attended the meeting, along with representatives of the Muslim community and the Russian Orthodox Church.

In his opening speech, Lazar pointed to Putin's positive attitude toward Jewish life as one key factor helping to increase religious tolerance in Russia. Putin has undertaken symbolic acts to show his backing for the Jewish community, such as lighting a national menorah last Hanukkah.

The improved atmosphere means that "thousands and thousands of Jews who didn't want to admit that they were Jews and who even made a secret of the fact now are proud to say, 'Yes, we are a part of the Jewish community and we want to participate,'" Lazar said.

Lazar noted that unlike in the past, when Russian Jews who wished to practice their faith sought visas to move to the United States, Israel or Germany, many Jews now believe they can remain comfortably in Russia. "Jews want to stay here and live like a community in any other country," he said.

Berkowitz said the number of Jews emigrating from the countries of the former Soviet Union had peaked in 1990 and is about 1,000 a month now.

Lev Leviev, chairman of the Federation of Jewish Communities, said it was a success for Russian Jews that Putin during his state visit to the United States this month went out of his way to make contact with U.S. Jewish organizations.

Despite the improvements in the state's attitude toward Jewish life, there are still periodic hate crimes recorded, such as the desecration of Jewish cemeteries

and places of worship. Officials at the congress also expressed concern about a spate of recent attacks by neo-Nazi skinheads against members of other minorities, particularly African students and immigrants from the Caucasus and Central Asian regions.

[JR: Things couldn't look better for the Khazars and Ashkenazis returning to their homeland in Russia. They can now open shops, run businesses and banks and be a part of the new Russia. The opportunities haven't been as bright for the Russian Christians since the Jewish-planned Bolshevik (Russian??) Revolution in 1917. Is President Putin's leaning more towards the Jewish religion than the Orthodox Christian faith as professed by his mother?]

POLICE QUELL CLASHES
AT OPPOSITION MARCH

Tribune news services, 11/23/01

CARACAS, Venezuela—Venezuelan police and National Guardsmen fired hundreds of shots into the air and sprayed tear gas to stop hundreds of supporters of President Hugo Chavez from storming an opposition march Thursday.

Clashes broke out in downtown Caracas during the march, organized by the centrist Democratic Action Party to protest a package of laws Chavez passed recently under special powers allowing him to avoid parliamentary debate.

Riot police tried to separate the groups—about 6,000 people—and trained a water cannon on Chavez backers to keep them from storming the march.

City Mayor Freddy Bernal condemned the violence.

No arrests were made, city Police Commissioner Henry Vivas said, though several people were felled by tear gas.

[JR: There are very few, if any places in this world where the people have any voice in their government. Pres. Chavez, like our own Pres. Bush passed laws under "special powers" to suspend parliamentary debate. This gives him absolute power and control of the affairs of his country and his people. This is the model for democracy or socialism whereby the few dictate and rule over the many.]

RISING FEARS THAT WHAT WE DO KNOW
CAN HURT US

By Eric Lichtblau, L.A. Times, 11/18/01

WASHINGTON—The document seemed innocuous enough: a survey of government data on reservoirs and dams on CD-ROM. But then came last month's federal directive to U.S. libraries: "Destroy the report."

So a Syracuse University library clerk broke the disc into pieces, saving a single shard to prove that the deed was done.

The unusual order from the Government Printing Office reflects one of the hidden casualties of the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks: the public's shrinking access to information that many once took for granted.

Want to find out whether there are any hazardous waste sites near the local day-care center? What safety controls are in place at nuclear power plants? Or how many people are incarcerated in terrorist-related probes?

Since Sept. 11, it has become much harder to get such information from the federal government, a growing number of states and public libraries as heightened concern about national security has often trumped the public's "right to know":

* At least 15 federal agencies have yanked potentially sensitive information off the Internet, or removed Web sites altogether, for fear that terrorists could exploit the government data. The excised material ranges from information on chemical reactors and risk-management programs to airport data and mapping of oil pipelines.

* Several states have followed the federal government's lead. California, for example, has removed information on dams and aqueducts, state officials said.

* Members of the public who want to use reading rooms at federal agencies such as the Internal Revenue Service must now make an appointment and be escorted by an employee to ensure that information is not misused.

* The Government Printing Office has begun ordering about 1,300 libraries nationwide that serve as federal depositories to destroy government records that federal agencies say could be too sensitive for public consumption.

* Federal agencies are imposing a stricter standard in reviewing hundreds of thousands of Freedom of Information Act requests from the public each year; officials no longer have to show that disclosure would cause "substantial harm" before rejecting a request. Watchdog groups say they have already started to see rejections of requests that likely would have been granted before.

The trend reverses a decades-long shift toward greater public access to information, even highly sensitive documents such as the Pentagon Papers or unconventional manifestos such as "The Anarchist's Cookbook", a compilation of recipes for making bombs. The popularity of the Internet has made sensitive information even easier to come by in recent years, but the events of Sept. 11 are now fueling a new debate in Washington: How much do Americans need to know?

The swinging of the pendulum away from open records, supporters of the trend say, is a necessary safeguard against terrorists who could use sensitive public information to attack airports, water treatment plants, nuclear reactors and more.

In an Oct. 12 memo announcing the new Freedom of Information Act policies, Atty. Gen. John Ashcroft said that, while "a well-informed citizenry" is essential to government accountability, national security should be a priority....

But academicians, public interest groups, media representatives and others warn of an overreaction.

"Do you pull all the Rand McNally atlases from the libraries? I mean, how far do you go?" asked Julia Wallace, head of the government publications library at the University of Minnesota....

Officials acknowledge that there are very few examples of terrorists actually using public records to glean sensitive information, but they say that the terrorist attacks prove the need for extraordinary caution.

The first directive by the Government Printing Office, made last month at the request of the U.S. Geological Survey, ordered libraries to destroy a water resources guide. While documents have been pulled before because they contained mistakes or were outdated, this was the first time in memory that documents were destroyed because of security concerns, said Francis Buckley, superintendent of documents for the printing office....

The debate about sensitive information is not a new one. A quarter of a century ago, Princeton University undergraduate John Phillips pointed out the dangers of nuclear weapons when he was able to use publicly available sources to design a crude but functional nuclear bomb.

Phillips, who now heads a political consulting firm in Washington, said in a recent interview that cutting off the flow of information after Sept. 11 is merely a "cosmetic" change when what is really needed are better means of securing access to nuclear and chemical facilities and supplies....

The group recently pulled 200 pages from its Website with information on nuclear storage facilities and other government sites. For a group known for

promoting open information, it was "an awkward decision", concedes Steven Aftergood, director of the federation's government secrecy project....

"Everyone is now groping toward a new equilibrium," Aftergood said. "There are obviously competing pressures that cannot easily be reconciled. The critics of disclosure are saying that we are exposing our vulnerabilities to terrorists. The proponents of disclosure say that it's only by identifying our vulnerabilities that we have any hope of correcting them. I suspect that both things are true."

[JR: Our U.S. Constitution is now dead and buried but you won't hear about it because it's a matter of National Security. Since we are at war(?) and under military Martial Law the government has the right to suppress us and all information that was once accessible. More than National Security is at stake here. It feels as though an "iron curtain" has dropped down on our country. These access restrictions to government information is to keep us uninformed and unaware as to what our government is really up to. This is not good for those of us who think as individuals and ask questions. A government who hides behind the veil of secrecy is one who no longer feels the need to be accountable to its people. We haven't been told the truth since WWI (i.e. unlawful Federal Reserve Act), or come to think of it... go as far back as the American Civil War that was planned for us in Europe. We have been scammed and dumbed down by the false rulers for centuries and it's time they fear us more than we fear them.]

FUTURE OF CLINTON PROBE UNCERTAIN

By Tom Hays, Newsday, 11/23/01

NEW YORK—U.S. Atty. Mary Jo White's caseload is like no other, with secret investigations of top political figures, the nation's largest police department and most-wanted terrorists.

But White announced last week that by year's end she would leave the job of top federal prosecutor in Manhattan. Her departure raises questions about how and when those cases will conclude, including a probe into President Bill Clinton's last-minute pardons.

Senior Democrats have seized on the announcement to suggest federal authorities close investigations targeting Clinton, Sen. Hillary Rodham Clinton (D-N.Y.) and Sen. Robert Torricelli (D-N.J.).

But other observers insist the probes can go on without White—and could still result in indictments.

Known as a no-nonsense, apolitical supervisor, White "may want some resolution before her term ends," said Matthew Fishbein, White's former chief deputy. Federal officials had no comment on who would replace her.

"But this is an office where U.S. attorneys come and go and the work continues," Fishbein added.

Atty. Gen. John Ashcroft decided in March that White would stay on as a rare Democratic holdover in the Bush administration, citing the importance of her ongoing investigations.

White has been the nation's top prosecutor of terrorism cases in the past decade, winning convictions in the 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center, a plot to blow up New York landmarks in 1995, and the attacks on U.S. Embassies in Africa. More prosecutions were expected.

She also convened a grand jury to hear new evidence in Osama bin Laden's alleged role in the Sept. 11 attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon.

But White's role was marginalized when Ashcroft put his assistant in charge of the investigation. The New York grand jury in the Sept. 11 terrorism case has publicly filed one indictment, charging a student from Jordan with lying to the FBI about his relationship with a suicide hijacker.

In her announcement, White said she expected "an orderly transition of pending matters."

Those include the criminal investigation of Bill Clinton's

pardon of fugitive financier Marc Rich, the ex-husband of a Democratic fundraiser, and his commutation of the sentences of four swindlers from a Hasidic community that overwhelmingly backed Hillary Clinton's Senate bid.

The Clintons, who say they are innocent, have not commented on White's departure. But Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle (D-S.D.) argued that it should end the scrutiny of his Senate colleagues, including Torricelli, who is suspected of taking illegal gifts and cash from a donor.

"It's time to bring it to a close, and perhaps this might serve as a catalyst to do just that," Daschle said.

White also began investigating allegations of racial profiling by the New York Police Department in 1999 following the fatal shooting of Amadou Diallo, an unarmed black man.

The separate grand jury inquiries of Bill Clinton and Torricelli were reportedly winding down before Sept. 11, when the attacks shifted attention away from the probes—until White announced her departure.

But Fishbein cautioned against thinking his former boss will go quietly. With secret proceedings, "You can't assume anything," he said.

[JR: Let's hope the Democrats don't get their wish to bury the cases against Bill and Sen. Hillary after U.S. Atty. White of New York leaves office. That would not serve the public's interest—but in politics, what does? With Ms. White's record of successes, why did Atty. Gen. Ashcroft put his assistant in charge of the NYC Grand Jury Sept. 11 terrorism hearings? The individual appointed by Ashcroft has as yet to file an indictment that directly connects the terrorists to the WTC/Pentagon explosions.]

COMPETING VISIONS OF DEFLATION

By Bruce Bartlett, *Washington Times*, 11/21/01

For years, a number of economists have been warning that deflation was the economy's most serious problem. Deflation is negative inflation, or falling rather than rising prices. Of course, some prices are always falling, even during periods of inflation. The term deflation, therefore, refers to a simultaneous fall in a broad range of prices for many goods and services.

The economists warning about deflation argued that certain prices tend to lead the general price level up or down. These include gold, the exchange value of the dollar, and prices of long-term Treasury securities, among others. The reason is that speculators, who sense that inflationary or deflationary conditions may be arising, will attempt to profit from this knowledge by buying commodities or assets that tend to rise especially fast during inflations and selling them short during deflations. Someone who sells "short" benefits from falling prices because he sells first and then buys later, presumably at a lower price.

When one sees a sustained fall in sensitive commodity prices—those that lead changes in the general price level—one can predict that eventually this trend will work its way through the economy as a whole. The lag, however, can be long because prices for most things that people buy change only very slowly.

Thus our measures of general price changes, such as the Consumer Price Index, are really backward-looking.

Now we are starting to see deflation showing up in price indexes. The CPI fell 0.3 percent in October and the Producer Price Index dropped a huge 1.6 percent. Economists and journalists who had previously pooh-poohed the idea of deflation suddenly took notice. For example, on Nov. 2, Floyd Norris of the *New York Times* wrote an article titled, "For the first time since Ike, a whiff of U.S. deflation." A Nov. 12 *Wall Street Journal* report was headlined, "Falling wholesale prices give whiff of deflation."

This has led to a counterattack by some economists who maintain that inflation is the true economic problem.

Milton Friedman has warned that increases in the money supply that have already occurred may lead to inflation next year. Craig Thomas of *Dismal.com* says he would strike the word "deflation" from the dictionary if he could. Lew Rockwell of the Ludwig von Mises Institute said that even if there is deflation, which he doubts, it is a good thing. Lower prices just mean he can buy the things he wants for less.

To be sure, small, isolated episodes of deflation are nothing to be concerned with. Indeed, they are an unavoidable consequence of achieving price stability. It is impossible to have zero inflation month after month even under the most stable price regime. But prices will tend to fluctuate around zero over time, with brief periods of inflation offset by equal and opposite deflations. That may be all we are experiencing.

However, those who have been warning about deflation for some time, such as Jude Wanniski of *Polyconomics.com*, say that, because sensitive prices have yet to turn up, the recent declines in the CPI and PPI will continue for some time as past deflationary forces work their way through the economy. These forces are fundamentally monetary in nature and thus the result of a tight Federal Reserve policy.

Therefore, it is still possible that the worst of the deflation is yet to come. If this is the case, the economy may fall further before it rebounds. That is because deflation raises real interest rates. Since the real rate equals the market rate minus the change in prices, deflation adds to the market rate. Thus, if prices are falling 5 percent per year and the nominal interest rate is a modest 3 percent, then the real interest rate is actually a high 8 percent.

Sustained deflations also raise real wages for the same reason. Higher real wages force layoffs as employers try to economize on labor costs. And deflation rewards creditors and those with cash at the expense of debtors and those with illiquid assets. For these reasons, most economists believe a sustained deflation is far more damaging to the economy than an equivalent inflation. Indeed, a recent study from the Milken Institute argues that economic growth is maximized at an inflation rate of between 1.6 percent and 3 percent per year.

The outcome of this debate is important not just for economic forecasting, but because the Federal Reserve is very much influenced by the conventional wisdom among economists.

When the Fed meets again on Dec. 11, the topic of deflation will undoubtedly be on the agenda for discussion. **[JR: Add to the above musings the fact that business profits have been steadily dropping for four straight quarters and are now down by 19%. One has to ask why the stock market has gone up eight out of nine weeks since September 11. Some speculate it is because investors are gambling six months into the future that when the wizards in Washington let loose with a flood of money the economy will improve. We have a country now basically run with fiat money, a fiat economy, fiat stock market, fiat banking system and last but not least a fiat government. Too many unscrupulous people are in charge of our country and our future. We definitely have serious problems, people!]**

U.S. TO AID PHILIPPINES' TERRORISM WAR

By Steven Mufson, *Washington Post*, 11/21/01

The Bush administration told Philippine President Gloria Macapagal Arroyo yesterday that the United States would give her government \$92.3 million in military equipment to bolster its ability to combat terrorists and insurgents.

In addition, U.S. officials told Arroyo that President Bush would revise his recent executive order freezing the assets of terrorist groups to add the New Peoples Army, a communist group that is the largest insurgency in the Philippines. Bush might also

add some Muslim groups that have splintered from the Abu Sayyaf organization that is also battling Philippine government forces. Abu Sayyaf is already on the U.S. terrorist list.

The new military equipment will include a C-130 transport plane, eight Huey helicopters, a naval patrol boat and 30,000 M-16 rifles plus ammunition, Philippine government sources said. Arroyo said in an interview yesterday that her forces also needed equipment for fighting at night.

The assistance is part of a broad program of military cooperation between the United States and the Philippines, whose leaders yesterday marked the 50th anniversary of a mutual defense treaty. The United States already provides military advisers to assist the Philippine military in anti-terrorist training and strategy, and the Philippines has offered its bases, airspace and law enforcement abilities to help the U.S. war on terrorism.

In separate meetings yesterday with Bush, Defense Secretary Donald H. Rumsfeld and Secretary of State Colin L. Powell, Arroyo said her country needs continued assistance in the form of military equipment, U.S. military advisers and additional U.S. measures to disrupt the financial networks of terrorist groups operating in the Philippines.

Bush indicated he was ready to help. "I have asked her point-blank, what help does she need," Bush said during an Oval Office photo session with Arroyo. "She's confident that her military can deal with Abu Sayyaf... and we want to help her military deal with them."

Arroyo also asked for economic benefits that would help win the "hearts and minds" of Filipinos. She wants the United States to further open U.S. markets to Filipino canned tuna and tropical fruit exports—including bananas, mangoes and pineapples—and to make the textile quota more flexible to better suit the Filipino textile industry's products.

"While evil is the cause of terrorism, not poverty, evil can spread its message where people are poor," Arroyo said in the interview with *Washington Post* editors and reporters. She said U.S. military assistance could help free Philippine government resources for economic development that would ultimately aid the fight against terrorism.

"There are a number of kinds of assistance that would be very helpful in making our fight more effective," she said. "When you're fighting terrorism, you're drawing resources away from fighting poverty."

Arroyo attended an investment forum last night. The United States, she noted, is "our number one trading partner [and] cumulatively our number one source of investment."

Arroyo, who became president after her predecessor was swept from office in a bribery scandal, was in Washington to mark the anniversary of the mutual defense pact that her father, former president Diosdado Macapagal, signed. But in the aftermath of the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, her visit was dominated by the war on terrorism.

The Philippine government faces several violent groups.

Arroyo said that Abu Sayyaf guerrillas had suffered heavy casualties in stepped-up fighting with government troops since Sept. 11, and she estimated that their numbers had dwindled to a few hundred.

Abu Sayyaf is the smallest and most radical of the Islamic separatist groups operating in the southern Philippines. Its late leader, killed by Philippine police in 1998, was a veteran of earlier wars in Afghanistan. The group's name means "bearer of the sword".

The group has been linked in the past to al-Qaida, and the Philippines provided evidence in the trial of suspects in the 1993 World Trade Center bombing. But Arroyo said that, since 1995, Philippine authorities had not uncovered any further al-Qaida activities.

Separate fighting flared Monday as hundreds of former rebels of the Moro National Liberation Front launched an armed uprising on a southern Philippine island, reneging on a 1996 peace deal.

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *PHOENIX JOURNALS* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. SINGLE JOURNALS ARE NOW ON SALE FOR \$3.00 (REG. \$6.00). (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V (OUT OF STOCK)
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER (OUT OF STOCK)
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.
P.O. Box 27353
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126
1-800-800-5565
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)

UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

ALASKA & HAWAII

Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

CANADA & MEXICO

Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

FOREIGN

Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

CONTACT Staff

Margie Berndt
Mark Moore
John & Jean Ray
Rex Smith
Princeton Winton

PLEASE NOTE:
CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are **NOT** the same! Checks sent for JOURNALS or book orders should **NOT** be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.

CONTACT: THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126
Phone: (800) 800-5565

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565.

Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.

Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Quantity	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CANMEX	FOREIGN	Quantity	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders

Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges


WORDS OF WISDOM FROM HATONN

CONTACT OR SPECTRUM?

I want to briefly respond to a most unusual question from a totally "out of the blue" person: "It appears *Spectrum* will fail, possibly close, so will you go back to writing for *CONTACT* or what?"

I have never stopped writing for *CONTACT*—but I have **NOT** written, nor have my compatriots written for *Spectrum*. Tails wag a lot of dogs, my friends. Therefore, "or what" has no meaning.

January 6, 2001



Editorial Policy

Opinions of *CONTACT* contributors (including ads) are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.