


# CONTACT

THE PHOENIX PROJECT JOURNAL

GOD'S NEW MILLENNIUM

KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,  
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION



VOLUME 37, NUMBER 6

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

AUGUST 28, 2002

# U.S. Dollar: Painted Into A Coffin-Corner

The Dollar is *NOT* a real currency: It is *NOT* redeemable in anything tangible.

8/19/02—#1 (16-3)

RE: "DOLLAR" CURRENCY LIES AND  
REMEDIES

I can only ask that, as you study this presentation, you pass it on, if received by e-mail, to Mr. Hugo Salinas Price, *La Plata es el Camino*: <http://www.plata.com.mx/plata/plata/comHSP37a.htm>. Moreover, please keep handy for your own reference—the game is heating up, participants! [The e-mail address seems to be plata@plata.com.mx.]

GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION (GAIA, or, GLOBAL ALLIANCE) has the ready, willing and able solution to the monetary confusions and a resolution to the massive problems. This is also available to you through the very miscreants who "did-you-in" in the first place by moving the world to an empty-dollar fiasco.

I am also asking that EJ write an addendum

to this writing to be affixed so that another explanation is available as to FACT of presentation. Also, please, affix a copy of the letter, EJ to Sakiasi Genewa, Papua New Guinea of August 17, 2002 (day before yesterday).

We have a representative going tomorrow, for instance, to Papua New Guinea to present solutions to the NEW parliament and he MUST have this in hand, please. You CAN, if you wish, see resurrection of people and nations. It remains, however, UP TO YOU.

We push nothing onto anyone nor do we ask for any "leap of faith". We offer fact reality, and recognition of that holding which is presented through us is equally fact reality and is now recognized through the controllers' own efforts to deny, destroy, and disallow any participation outside their own tight circle of "the Elite".

We are grateful to George Bush Sr. and to his colleagues who made this all possible, inclusive of Mr. Lloyd

Bentsen who was U.S. Treasurer at the time of the perfecting of the entity itself which came into our hands quite intentionally accidentally.

We hearken back to the message sent through Lt. Col. Al Martin (RET) who was a financial manipulator FOR the Bush people, inclusive of sons, during the Iran-Contra MESS and cover-up. He said that Mr. Bush at first contact, as President, was not "ready to deal at this time". Then later a "few dollars more" were "offered" through third parties to "go away". It was said that Mr. Bush was well aware of the "Ekkers" but they were nothing more than an annoyance. We certainly hope that concept NEVER changes. It was suggested that we SHOULD be old enough to retire and go get a fishing boat and move to some nice comfortable island somewhere to finish out our days.

Were Ekkers so all-fired eager to continue in the fray for the "heck of it"? No, they did not realize that they had CONTROL of anything. Moreover, those

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT  
P.O. Box 27800  
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL  
U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
BAKERSFIELD, CA  
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

## ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

The Peso Is A "Derivative" Of The Dollar.....	page 2
PHOENIX JOURNAL SPECIAL SALE.....	page 3
Global Alliance Investment Association Letter To Sakiasi Genewa.....	page 4
Global Alliance Program Meets Relevant Criteria.....	page 5
Super-Hologram: Past, Present, Future All Exist Simultaneously.....	page 9
The News Desk, by John & Jean Ray.....	page 13
Lemurians Arrived Here Some 900,000 Years Ago.....	page 23

gamesmen don't ever play fair. You might think you have a nice nest egg of a million or two \$\$\$ only to find a bullet or crashed plane and then "you" certainly go to other dimensions for your retirement. Now, as a matter of fact, George Bush can look like the world savior and we wouldn't wish to deprive him of that pleasure; would we?

George did NOT "birth" the program—he simply "delivered" it and transformed it into a monster bigger than the one he already had developed. We, however, do NOT look gift monsters in the mouth or count their dragon-teeth. George failed to base his program on ANYTHING, except to see how much loot and gold he COULD GARNER in the game. Well, all that gold HAS GOT TO COME BACK HOME! That actually should hurt NO ONE except the corrupt deviants.

Nothing they did was considered "illegal" but was, rather, totally immoral and criminal in intent. It does work down to a simplistic observation, however, that the kids of the game should never have hit on either Russell Herman OR Ferdinand Marcos. Are our people in fear? No, even though they are occasionally in "terror" as in "war on terror" where the innocent persons are the victims. The real "terrorists" rest on the ivory thrones.

So, does "knowing truth" set you free? NO, YOU HAVE TO TAKE ACTION WITH THAT WHICH IS GIVEN IN TRUTH—EVERY TIME!

I will not comment further at this point although I shall probably call your attention to points along the text.

[QUOTING <http://www.plata.com.mx/plata/plata/comHSP37a.htm>:]

## THE PESO IS A "DERIVATIVE" OF THE DOLLAR

By Hugo Salinas Price,  
*La Plata es el Camino*, 8/13/02

All the currencies of the world, are simply "derivatives" of the U.S. dollar. Perhaps I should qualify this statement with an exception: Possibly, the euro of the European Union is not a dollar-derivative, for the European Central Bank that issues the euro, does maintain a—very modest—reserve of gold.

**[H: Please note in addition, however, that even the Euro is evaluated and "measured" against that bogus "dollar".]**

What is a "derivative"? A "derivative"—a word much in use today in financial circles—is a financial instrument that *derives* its value from another financial instrument, to which it is related by a contract.

The Mexican peso, the Argentinean peso, the Brazilian real, the Venezuelan Bolivar, the New Zealand dollar, the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar, etc., ALL these currencies are NOT real currencies that belong to the countries where they are issued and circulate. They are simply derivatives of the U.S. dollar. The Mexican peso, for example, just like all the other currencies I have mentioned, and those of the rest of the world, with the *possible* exception of the euro, have no existence of their own; they derive their value from the American dollar, through the dollar reserves owned by the Bank

of Mexico, Mexico's central bank. Mexican pesos are substitutes for dollars.

**[H: Surely you can name your own country, e.g., Papua New Guinea "kina", Philippines "peso" and right on through the nations. Remember, however, that even those countries not "measured" BY the dollar ARE MEASURED AGAINST THE DOLLAR. By the way, London may "fix" the gold price—but it is measured then in dollars. And no, to your question about GAIA and "isn't that also the way of the measuring of assets?" GAIA is based ON GOLD—period—and THAT holds for anywhere on the globe in any currency.]**

The proof is that the value of the Mexican peso, depends on the reserves of the Bank of Mexico. As long as the contractual relationship and the prospects of redeemability of the peso with regard to the dollar seem to be stable, we have stability in the peso/dollar parity.

**[H: Realize right here that all those banks mentioned as "National" are now either taken or in the process of privatization so that they are actually now owned, operated and structured under the IMF/FEDERAL RESERVE (better put, the printers of the dollar).]**

What lends credibility to the peso, is confidence in its present and future redeemability in U.S. dollars. The peso is worth something, not in itself, but due to the possibility of redeeming it in dollars at a rate that is not expected to change. Thus it is clear that the peso is nothing more than a *derivative of the dollar*, and this is a situation which prevails with regard to all Latin American currencies.

In other words, Latin America specifically only has one currency, THE DOLLAR. What we know as the currencies of Latin America are only more or less trustworthy substitutes for the dollar. All of Latin America is deprived of a currency of its own that is worth something on its own.

We must discard all notions of "national sovereignty". We absolutely can have no national sovereignty when we use the currency of another country, as our own. It is especially serious that we are in fact using the dollar as our currency, as I am stating, when the dollar itself is not a real currency, but only an artificial unit, lacking any intrinsic worth. The dollar is nothing more than a number. It is not redeemable in anything tangible.

### THE DOLLAR: FORM WITHOUT SUBSTANCE

Aristotle stated that everything that exists incorporates matter and form. The dollar, since it became irredeemable for gold at \$35 dollars an ounce in August of 1971, is an abstraction that only maintains form, without matter. Therefore, it is nothing.

The whole financial edifice of all Latin America is constructed upon these units of nothing, dollars. Our Mexican pesos are derived from... nothing.

Can we possibly believe that we can look forward hopefully to an economic future built upon pesos which are derived from dollars, which in turn are nothing? If, someday in the future the history of the Twentieth

Century is written truthfully, it will have to record as one of its most important characteristics the progressive elimination of the substance" factor from money all over the world.

As long as money incorporated matter, or substance; as long as money was gold or silver, and bills were redeemable in silver or gold coin in strictly fixed amounts, the world was anchored to economic reality by means of a money that also incorporated a reality. The reality of the money of the Nineteenth Century was its substance, gold or silver, together with a strict obligation on the part of those who issued bills, to redeem them in such substance.

When the First World War broke out in 1914, some observers thought that it could not go on for very long, because the reserves of gold would soon run out and it would be impossible to carry on the war for a lack of funds. Little did these people imagine that governments would keep right on warring, without gold, just printing money—counterfeiting money—in the amounts required by the war.

So, 1914 was the first decisive step in the monetary degeneration the world has suffered since then.

**[H: Well, the actual decisive moment came at the establishment out of the blue of the Federal Reserve System on (I believe) December 23, 1913. LOOK IT UP, FOR IT WAS ALL DOWN FROM THAT MINUTE ONWARD.]**

Up to August 14, 1971, there was still a very tenuous connection with economic reality: the U.S. was under the obligation to redeem dollars, in the hands OF OTHER COUNTRIES, at \$35 U.S. dollars per ounce. But on August 15, the U.S. stopped redeeming dollars, and for the first time in history, there was not a single real money in the whole world. [EJ: Mr. Price has forgotten that the Swiss franc remained linked to gold until April 1999.]

**[H: The Americans will well remember that in 1933-34 the U.S. was declared bankrupt. In addition to that little problem it was decided to pull in all gold and thus it came to pass that all gold was turned in or confiscated. Sadly, it was even worse once the assistance to the New Israel came into being because the demands for even assistance could be claimed in gold and then following on: in cash. This allowed for reinvestment instantly which accrued interest as well as guaranteed principle. Not a bad game if you can get it! BY THE WAY: THE IMF BUYS, STILL, ALL OR ANY, OF ITS GOLD AT ABOUT \$35/OZ. This is certainly even nicer if you can do it—and they can do it.]**

As of that date, the history of the world has been the history of abuse of the creation of the artificial money we all use. The U.S. is no longer bound by any limit to the creation of dollars.

### THE U.S. EXPORTS INFLATION

The abuse of money creation by the U.S. is not something that produces bad consequences only in the U.S. Since the dollar is our money, because our currency is nothing more than a derivative of the dollar, the abuses in money and credit creation in the U.S. produce serious shocks in Latin America. We have mentioned before, on these pages of the Internet

([www.plata.com.mx](http://www.plata.com.mx)), how credit expansion (debt expansion) in the U.S. produces the export of monetary inflation to our countries, and forces the devaluation of our currency-derivatives, the destruction of internal savings denominated in such derivatives, the destruction of financial systems with the high interest rates that come about as a consequence of devaluations and the collapse of our productive systems.

An article on these pages—[www.plata.com.mx](http://www.plata.com.mx)—(a translation of “The Significance and Sanity of Silver as Money” by David Morgan mentions that the dollar is created by debt. When either an individual or a company takes out a loan at a bank, the bank creates money. Runaway creation of money corresponds to runaway creation of credit, and credit increases at a mad pace when debt increases at a runaway rate.

Debts in the U.S. have shown a spectacular growth, not by coincidence since August 1971, and especially in recent years. Lately, growth is uncontrolled. There will come a moment when debt cannot be increased further. At that moment, there will be an implosion and all debt, in the hands of creditors, will want to be liquidated—converted into cash balances. Only a small portion of the debt will actually be liquidated, that is to say, turned into cash. Most of it will be unpayable, or will be paid only partially.

#### THE IMF MEDICINE: MORE DOLLAR DEBT

Those of us who live in Latin America feel that we have not known how to manage our affairs correctly, and so we have suffered so many crises. The Argentineans are the ones who have recently suffered most.

The fact is that it has been a disaster to base our economies on currencies that are not more than derivatives of the dollar, momentary substitutes for the dollar, currencies which have no value of their own, currencies that derive their value from another currency which, in turn, is nothing, and issued by a country, the U.S., which is now undergoing extremely severe problems as a result of abusing the creation of its own currency. To lay the foundations of our economies upon such a base guarantees the failure of every hope for a better form of life. It could not be otherwise.

The IMF lost any purpose, if it ever filled one at all, with the bankruptcy of the U.S. in August of 1971. Bankruptcy, because not to pay a debt is bankruptcy.

**[H: Oh yes, to you who are in denial: IT IS TRUE!]**

The IMF, as it operates today, is totally obsolete and does nothing but further damage upon past damage, with its only medicine: prescriptions of more debt to the patients. On August 8<sup>th</sup> it announced a credit—new debt—for Brazil, for the amount of \$30 billion.

**[H: Ah, but what was different in that transaction? That's right: THE U.S. TREASURER (who is paid by the IMF) had to go forth and “do the deals”. Moreover it required pulling in the Treasury of the U.S., the IMF and in that particular case the Inter-American Development Bank. It certainly IS**

**“serious” time. Moreover, that amount does not even cover the outstanding INTEREST PAYMENTS—which it is all about anyway.]**

**The IMF serves no purpose.** We must think things over, we have to admit the truth: our currencies are nothing more than junk derived from other junk, the dollar.

It is not possible to build prosperity upon junk currency, just as it is not possible to build a skyscraper with mud brick.

#### THE IMPERATIVE

We have pointed out that it is imperative to introduce real money into circulation, gradually, in

## PHOENIX JOURNAL SPECIAL SALE

These priceless *Journals* are presently on sale for the incredibly low price of \$3.00 each plus Shipping/Handling.

It wasn't too long ago when these *Journals* were priced at a reasonable \$10.00 each.

This once-in-a-lifetime sale will be ending on September 30th, so there will *NEVER* be a more appropriate time to purchase an entire set or complete your existing collection!

Please call 1-800-800-5565 for ordering information and check the back page of this paper for a listing of the currently available *Journals* while supplies last!

parallel with the junk money, so that in time we can reconstruct our economies upon durable foundations. We have not received a favorable response from those responsible for the welfare of the country. The greatest problem is that those in charge do not understand what is going on, and not understanding leads to fear of making any changes. Of course, we have the enormous interests that want things to remain as they are, until we go over the cliff. The political influence of the U.S. is enormous, and the empire wishes to impose its currency upon us, to its advantage. Thus Larry Summers, who was Treasury Secretary and is now

President of Harvard, stated in 1992:

*“In the long term, finding ways of bribing people to dollarize, or at least give back the extra currency that is earned when dollarization takes place, ought to be an international priority. For the world as a whole, the advantage of dollarization seems clear to me...”* (Note 1)

A Chinese proverb states, “It is better to light one candle, than to curse the darkness.”

We insist on the imperative need to have a real currency. We intend to enlighten consciences, one by one. We recall Jose Orgega y Gasset who said: “Men do not think, really think, until they feel they are done for.” Little by little the ideas we are expressing will filter through, until one fine day, one of those men who can decide matters will say “ENOUGH!” We're not wasting one more day! We're going to silver. This will have to happen, because the present course of events is not sustainable and the system is coming down.

**[H: Start and end with GOLD, chelas, for silver is the fill-in.]**

Note 1: (1992 “Rules, Real Exchange Rates, and Monetary Discipline. In Nissan Liviatan, editor *Proceedings of a Conference on Currency Boards and Currency Substitution*, pp. 32-3.) This suggestion by Larry Summers was quoted at the beginning of a report titled “Encouraging Official Dollarization in Emerging Markets” and prepared by Kurt Schuler, senior economist for the office of Senator Connie Mack, in April 1999. Schuler was in charge of preparing the report, with the title: “Joint Economic Committee Staff Report, Office of the Chairman, Senator Connie Mack.”

[END OF QUOTING]

God ALWAYS, when petitioned, sends “the way”, “the light” AND “the messengers”. It is so sad that mostly man misses all three. Destruction as you are going is in your “present” perception. What will the future of your child be like?

Every house of cards, no matter how sturdy the card, WILL FALL when the wind blows hard enough and it will be found that there was no foundation upon which it was built in the first place. You have lost your way, for you have listened to the false piper and have been led down the path of primroses into the garden of thistles and snares.

Your calls unto God have, as is compelled, been given response. What will YOU do with the gift within the “answer”?

May the Light be given to shine around and about you that you can find your way out of the darkness of your illusions intentionally foisted off upon your beings.

Salu, Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

**dharma**

[EJ: I am asked, “What happens to GAIA if (when) the dollar swoons?” Only more prosperity and abundance for those using it. The GAIA asset is U.S. Treasury debt, guaranteed by the FED, and PAYABLE IN GOLD. As the dollar falls in value, gold will rise (in terms of dollars) because gold has an intrinsic value. It may not be so comfortable for those not using it.]

## GLOBAL ALLIANCE INVESTMENT ASSOCIATION

EXECUTIVE OFFICE:

6749 AYALA AVE. MAKATI CITY, RP

PHONE: 632 843-1698

FAX: 632 843-1707

CORPORATE OFFICE:

LAS VEGAS, NV USA 702 870-5351

AUGUST 17, 2002

MR. SAKIASI GENEWA  
PAPUA NEW GUINEA

Dear Mr. Genewa:

Thank you for sharing the document titled "What the new gov't should do" from Viewpoint. We find it very interesting in that it reflects so much of the wrong teachings of the IMF/World Bank that we cannot help commenting upon it. To make this document more readable, we will copy pertinent parts of it, trying, of course, to keep it somewhat in context.

"The economy is in what is commonly known as a depression. This is when there is no growth in the economy, very little new investment, growing unemployment and a falling exchange rate."

What PNG is now experiencing is a "recession" deliberately planned and implemented by the IMF/WB, the Rothschilds, and others of the International Banker's Cartel (IBC), a virtual duplicate of the chaos being caused by the same people in South America, Africa, and Eastern Europe. Their primary objective is the "Privatization" and control of your utilities and natural resources, which will ultimately reduce your people to abject slavery.

A "depression" is much more severe than is being experienced now. You still do not have long "soup lines" of people queued up in the hope of getting something to eat. The propaganda with which you are being bombarded is all directed toward making you believe that growth in the economy, unemployment and a falling exchange rate are a result of "very little new investment", which, of course, must come from investors "somewhere offshore". That whole concept is wrong and a brief review of the American

experience will quickly prove the point.

In the late 1700s the English were practicing their usual colonial-style management in what they called their new American colonies. Some of those Americans had been part of the colonizing of other countries and understood the technique of keeping the colonies poor, and thus dependent, via confiscatory taxation and other means. The most successful growth period experienced by the U.S.A. was from its founding after the revolution to the establishment of the Federal Reserve System, which effectively reestablished the Colonial Control of England over the economy of the U.S. During that nearly 150 years the U.S. exported practically nothing while at the same time having low customs duties, very low corporate tax, and no individual income tax. The only time they had to borrow offshore was to buy munitions for the Civil War in the late 1800s, which has ultimately proved disastrous. The FED has loaned money to the U.S. Treasury, charging interest thereon, and now the UST owes the FED trillions of dollars that it will never be able to pay.

To relate the American experience to Papua New Guinea may seem to some to be a bit simplistic, since "times have changed" and we now live in a "global" economy. However, basic truth has not changed and currencies based upon nothing will sooner or later be worth nothing, including the U.S. dollar.

Let us imagine looking at PNG "after" installing the GAIA program. We have sent a document to Mr. Damien to show how to very quickly inject the necessary money into your system to provide a gold base for your currency. That would solve all of the above-mentioned problems—and far more, as you know.

Just the knowledge that your money becomes instantly acceptable as foreign exchange (because it is redeemable for gold) removes virtually all of the need for any loans or investment from foreigners. What becomes important is the amount of gold held in your National Treasury and you can add to that simply by, first, contracting to buy all of the gold produced in PNG, and second, if you want more, you can buy it

offshore with your new gold-based Kina.

To retain the credibility of your gold-based currency all you need to remember is that you cannot issue more currency than 2.5 times the value of the gold you hold or have legitimate contracts to purchase in the short term. Budget deficits become a thing of the past, as do such measures as Gross Domestic Product, balance of payments. As soon as some of your neighbors also base their currencies on gold, there will be to further fluctuations in the value of the Kina. Gold does not "inflate" so inflation is gone and interest rates for project loans can come down to 5% or less, for housing and other longer-term needs, to 2-4%.

Probably the most startling concept to assimilate is the truth that you can spend all of your money, time, energy, manpower, and natural resources within PNG. You do not need to sell anything to anybody. You can have the most abundant wealth-producing economy on Planet Earth and never pay another entity another Kina worth of interest. You will not care if tourists come or not, and you will have no concern to "compete" with your neighbor nations for loans, investment money, or projects.

One of the statements made in the *Viewpoint* article is, "No economy will grow without investment from within the country and from overseas." As long as your people believe the "overseas" part of that statement they will be misled and will continue to be under the control of foreigners. Only by taking control of the sovereignty of your nation in a way that has to be acknowledged by the G7-type nations, which means a gold-based currency like another small (7.5 million population) country, Switzerland, can you gain and maintain your independence.

You can show your people how to do it; we will support you and your new government with our DEEDs and soon there will be other nations joining and strengthening the Alliance. We wish you Godspeed.

Sincerely yours,



EJ Ekker, President

# Global Alliance Program Meets Relevant Criteria

8/17/02—#1 (16-1)

RE: ANNUAL STATE OF THE PHOENIX REPORT. IT IS CERTAINLY BETTER THAN YOUR HUMAN EYES CAN DISCERN. (THAT SHOULD BE A RELIEF IN ITSELF!)

GOD "IS"; YOU "ARE"; I "AM"!

Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn present and in accounting within the Light of Truth.

As we move into our 16<sup>th</sup> year of journal accounting (Captain's LOG), I find the team both dejected and eager to simply "move on". This "moving on", however, does not match the "need to move on" of those who came, shared, took and then ran away.

Is this right off the top a way to complain, blame, or suggest negative appraisal of anyone or anything? No, IT IS as it "is", no more—no less.

I could close now because I have just clearly and succinctly stated the "state of the Universe" and it didn't even take five seconds to have it typed right into the record.

THAT, HOWEVER, IS NOT WHAT YOU WANT OR FOR WHAT YOU ASK.

Starting right at the top of the question list: No, we are NOT off track and yes, it did require more than 16 years to lay this foundation—we only allotted, however, 15 years of patience to "change the world" and perfect it into peace, justice, and enlightened balance with harmony within and among all things—starting, of course, with YOU according to your "druthers". (Meaning: Never mind anything or anyone else.)

## SHOCKING INFORMATION

The "deed" (accomplishment) was finished the moment you decided your goal. The only thing remaining to experience is the completion through execution of "the plan" for achievement. MIND (yours/ours) sets into motion the "thought/idea" and presents it with its myriad of choices and alternatives to the brain (singularly or collectively). This in turn flows through the brain (yours/ours) to be acted upon in individual participation in a mortal expression. YOU HAVE FREE WILL. Therefore, whatever your choice of action (or non-action, which is impossible to achieve, for "non-action" is very definitely a CHOICE requiring "action" to recognize), we move on—you in your way, we in ours. At this point "mine" has little to do with it. In using the term "mine" it is not synonymous with "mind"; it only separates your mind from mine.

Some of you have actually GIVEN ME a deadline ultimatum of today, August 17, 2002 to achieve the task of world evolvment into

perfection or you will dump me/us. That is your prerogative but it is rather interesting that you who would judge so severely can have no perception of the miracle brought forth, for there is neither time nor space delineation available—only sequence of events. Moreover, you who give such ultimatums have done the very least to allow for perfection in presentation. Some have even done everything in their power to destroy the realization of such efforts toward change.

Some go further and pronounce loudly and very clearly: "See, I knew 'they' wouldn't get it done!" Get what done? Your perception or "theirs"? Oh, I see: "Ekkers couldn't get it done—because of dark energies..." and whatever else you accept as foisted off onto your realization pages of disinformation.

Most of you have not ever laid eyes on "Ekkers", so how do you know they even exist? I thought not; you don't KNOW. Are they angels, monsters, evil, lighted energies, new, old, what do they think, what are they doing, and how do they do it? Well, their perceptions have to be the same about YOU—unless, of course, you KNOW them personally. And no, you cannot excuse self for your "negative" discernment by casting stones at me, Hatonn. WE ARE SIMPLY GOING ABOUT OUR "FATHER'S" BUSINESS—FULL OUT!

## WALKING THE LINE

Every day brings one decision upon another, one choice upon another, discernments about persons, things, presentations; yea even down to pieces of metal and pieces of paper. This is only relative to what takes place HERE and not "generally speaking".

Example—which is exclusive to "here" as "in the moment": Today a man with whom we work and has a name of "Marcos" (big "label" over here in Philippines) is bringing someone to meet with E.J. So far so good? Well, beyond the assumption of "a" meeting, what else is in the line of clues as to purpose? Well, YOU don't have any idea but if I tell you a few things, you can have some interest peaked or turned off entirely. I suggest you present your most open but questioning mind to the matter. Ekkers, for instance, must face the opportunity/sting/misinformation/good information, as every day, with all the intelligence and wisdom gained by working in this fantasyland of "let's pretend" for over four years without R and/or R.

Presentation: "I have 'this person' who has some funds in the form of \$100 U.S. 'dollars' per bill. Will you look at them and see if 1) they are real, and 2) if we can use them in conjunction with 'our' program?"

E.J.: Well, I ordinarily say that you must not even present such garbage to us or even bring it into this building. We do NOT deal in anything suspicious or secret.

"Oh, it isn't secret or hidden; it came as an exchange between Marcos and Reagan (you know, that President Reagan). By 'it' refers to a LEGAL MONEY PRINTING PRESS given in exchange of billions of dollars worth of gold."

E.J.: Now that sounds interesting and just "what" do these bills look like?

After looking at a new \$100 paper note AND an "old" one the man "thinks" they look more like the "new" one.

The fact that weekly arrests are being made here for counterfeiting and fake paper AND gold, one considers FIRST the possibility of scam and even STING TRIALS.

So the nicest thing to do for this person with wide-open eyes of a doe at rest, E.J. agrees to entertain the person and LOOK at his paper. But, he tells our own "Marcos" friend and colleague that it is highly doubtful that there is reality or legality to anything the person offers or is handling.

## MY INPUT?

E.J. takes with him (IF THE MAN SHOWS AT ALL): TWEEZERS, A MAGNIFYING GLASS, and DOES NOT TOUCH THE PAPER ITSELF—FOR ANY REASON. Keep your fingerprints OFF of such contraband whether it be real or phony. Be gracious but MAKE IT CLEAR: WE DO NOT DEAL IN ANYTHING OUTSIDE THE LIMITS AND AGREEMENTS OF OUR PROGRAM AND "DOLLAR" BILLS ARE AMONG THE NO-NO'S OF OUR PROGRAM EXCEPT AS AN EXCHANGE RATE MONITOR. If these people aren't caught for outright fraud, they most certainly will be nailed for "money laundering".

IF the dollars are good, at most you could utilize a "few" but any great amount even for collateral use will be unaccepted and at the least "frozen".

We have neither need nor wish to judge criminal intent nor actions in this, or any, nation anywhere. We have no need to "turn in anyone" but we HAVE OBLIGATION to participate in NOTHING that jeopardizes our integrity or gives any appearance of clandestine or illicit games.

Annoying? Every time in every way and this is but one more attempt to scam, con and/or sting.

Now, this is a daily occurrence here and thus far just about every scam or con or fraud has been attempted on "Ekkers". They, moreover, believed the presentations and presenters until PROVEN otherwise false. It is painful "toad kissing" which presents as blisters on the heart. Moreover, not one centavo has come forth from four years of this "turn through hell". BUT IT SHALL—FOR THE PROGRAM HAS MET ALL CRITERIA FOR VALIDITY, WORKABILITY, AND FRANKLY, OUTRIGHT INTEGRITY.

Now the BIG BOYS will try for "terrorist" funding intent. NO THANK YOU! If agreements are BROKEN, it shall not be through US. AND, we stay OUT of politics—period—BUT WILL MEET WITH ANY POLITICIAN WHO WISHES TO CALL.

I am not going to give information in specifics which allow our "watcher enemies" to give more

grief than already dumped onto our heads. However, you must realize that an incredible accomplishment has been set into place and is rolling along in making its way into what you perceive as “reality”. Patience and structure have been developed so that “existence” of product and project program is EXPLICIT AND ESTABLISHED.

### MALAYSIA

I have to again remind “Ekker” that security rests in remaining “put”! The guarantee of security in travel CANNOT be achieved and remember that the people presenting their program MUST understand that they must do the “accomplishment”, for it is in the very agreements themselves. The “holders” down South desire your support BUT it is tedious and you must not be foolish in causing focus which can be misconstrued by any party wishing to either damage or discredit you OR THE PROGRAM.

It continues that *you must be in the Philippines*. THAT is not a suggestion; it is where the program can be accomplished—and that because of the manipulation up to and through NOW is most obvious.

The gold has been squandered or better put, thieved. The central bank is privately owned and controls the government and all other banks. BUT, THERE IS IN COURT ORDERS JUST COME INTO OUR HANDS THAT THERE IS “ACCOUNTED” DUE-AND-OWING OF “THOUSANDS OF TONS” OF GOLD “SUPPOSED TO BE” in that Central Bank! It has been ORDERED, over and over, by the courts of the land to be accounted and turned over to the Royal Family as represented by the COURT-APPOINTED ADMINISTRATOR to the Foundation of the Tallano ESTATE.

So, what holds up “carry through” of these ORDERS?

The banks have been stripped; the alternative courts have offered an injunction to hold on the order because of all the thefts of both land and substance. I suggest that charges be brought directly against the judges who issued that injunction along with the people in the subversion of authority and funds included. While the President is demanding a clearing out of “hoodlums in robes”, let’s give her something real and really BIG to consider in reference to the U.S. Ambassador’s claim of corruption and graft destroying the Philippines—and that especially in the JUDICIAL SYSTEM.

When everyone gets through dividing a pie that isn’t even baked you will move ahead. Any lawyer worth his salt would grab this case in a heartbeat IF HE/SHE really wishes to make a “difference”—or even gain abundantly. NO to high fees, for what you need here in such a great value is “contingency” just as Victor A. was offering.

It is no longer “daring” but rather, MANDATORY, to present within the law, under the law, and THROUGH THE LAW.

Outside the loop of contracted players is neither wise nor impressive. Global made an agreement with Prince Tallano as Administrator for the Royal Family

and therein is the full basis of the association. BE CAREFUL IN YOUR INTERPRETATION OF VARIOUS ENDEAVORS LEST YOU BE GIVING SUPPORT TO PROGRAMS SIMPLY STOPPING CONCLUSION OF ONGOING NEGOTIATIONS.

Pay for services is justified and appropriate but gravy-train parasites or hangers-on are nothing but distractors who end up botching the agreements and turning OFF the very people who could make a program work.

### CRAB MENTALITY

It is accepted recognition in the Philippines that they are called, cutely, “Crab people”. No, they ARE “people”. This is a perception and speaks to traditional “habits”.

Concept in point: If a person (crab) is in with others in a basket going to market and one makes it to the rim of the basket and about to escape, all the others in the basket grab him and pull him back down. They do nothing to help or to form a chain and offer escape (or solutions) for everyone—but rather, the ones making the way FOR all the other crabs to find freedom are reduced to shredded attack while the others simply use the body for trying for their own gain.

### YOU CANNOT BORROW YOUR WAY OUT OF DEBT

This is KNOWN, chelas, and yet it has become the way of national transactions without any mode of “payback” in the systems and only the further draining of resources while “someone else” makes the “interest” payments. Then, when you can’t gain more out of the game, YOU QUIT THE GAME—giving all assets away to the slave-master who just inclusively BOUGHT YOUR SOUL as well as your physical beings.

Do we not do the same thing under the guise of “participation”? NO, we ask participation and assistance TO ACCOMPLISH THE MOST NOTABLE PROGRAM OF ABUNDANCE ON THE FACE OF THE EARTH.

Now, some readers will burst into laughter and roll in the isles—except they have already helped usurp the very things of the projects and programs which, in fact, offered the RETURNS necessary while keeping everything rolling along nicely. This, of course, was prior to the treason of the very ones reaping the rewards of the labors and products. NO, IT IS NOT “OK”.

Now, what exactly do our adversaries OFFER THEIR READERS, etc.? I wonder as well as do you. “The word...?” Oh really? Whose WORD might that be, readers? What is YOUR end expectation of return? GOD never even asks anything without full expectation of return of input up to and including ten times. AND, moreover, in this program there is sufficient return for MORE. This assumes, however, that the lead crab is allowed to get free of the basket while hopefully, pulling his brethren with him.

Is this something unexpected? Certainly not, for GOD has learned over and over again that this is the reaction of MAN.

### EXPECTATIONS

I will further shock you: YOU MUST EXPECT RETURN. I don’t even want you to “give” without expectation of “return”. And no, perhaps this is not offered in your same intent of realization. Even a loan should expect RETURN or it is not a “loan”. Furthermore, a gift is appreciated but a return must be considered by the one receiving the gift or his balance is warped. Therefore, the outcome must be a PROJECT of some kind that does several things in the world of manifest realization: There must be a NEED; your “product” must fill that need to grow and thrive. It must, in addition, be something that offers reward enough to repay all of the “gifts”, “loans” or sharing to achieve the goal of “production results”. WE HAVE MET ALL OF THOSE CONDITIONS.

Please name even one other which offers as much? Be careful, friends, in your response and make sure you are making conclusions on YOUR KNOWLEDGE and not on some presentation of the very “bottom crab”.

IF YOU THROW AWAY THE “PRODUCTS” OFFERED FOR YOUR SURVIVAL, THEN YOU WILL DESERVE THE FALL YOU TAKE. IT IS SIMPLE DEDUCTION AND REASONING.

For instance, THERE IS NOT ONE PRODUCT, named or confiscated, that is unlawful, even to supplements, from New Gaia.

HEAR ME AGAIN: THERE IS NO PRODUCT OFFERED THROUGH NEW GAIA WHICH IS UNLAWFUL OR UNWORTHY—EVEN BY FDA STANDARDS.

### THEN WHY?

**BECAUSE I HAD ASKED TO FREEZE THE DWINDLING BANK ACCOUNTS WHICH WERE FEEDING OUR VERY ENEMIES WHO HAD TAKEN AND USURPED OUR PAPER, OUR PRODUCTS, AND THE ACTUAL INCOME OF SAME.**

**THEY WANTED TO “GET HATONN”; THEY WANTED TO “GET EKKERS”, AND BY ALL MEANS THE “PEERS” WANTED TO “GET DIANE” WHO HAD CALLED THEIR LYING, CHEATING BLUFF.**

The entire “cause of action” of ANY KIND was an accusation of “false advertising” in a brochure-list of products and description, created by other parties than Diane. After the debacle (as stated by the FDA agents) and from investigations where NOTHING amiss was found except some wordings in the brochures themselves, ONLY “Diane” was personally charged—BECAUSE THERE WAS NOTHING FOUND OTHERWISE.

I find it unconscionable that products such as Gaiandriana have been removed from your ability to obtain same because of false testimony in interviews with our known ENEMIES.

FRANKLY, there is, especially now that Diane pled guilty to “mailing” the literature which somehow was reduced to a fine and third-class misdemeanor TO CLOSE THE CASE AND STILL HAVE HER “GUILTY”, there is no reason NOT to resume business—just making sure all regulations are met.

There is actually NO REASON, except fear of reprisal, that Diane couldn't take over the entire operation and expand.

Her ORDERS are to not ever again deal in "new unapproved drugs" as established by the FDA and/or advertise anything that even smacks of "cure" or "treatment" in any literature while everything else, including silver colloid, should be labeled as "Food Supplement" and "with no proven value". I do NOT even list those things here specifically, for YOU MUST ATTEND THOSE THINGS, not me and not Ekkers.

Will we give again the formulas? Of course, but we need now to have start-up funding—AGAIN—because of loss of both business and product and once again ALL REQUIREMENTS MUST BE MET AS TO LABELS AND ADVERTISING.

Facts are that nothing was actually ADVERTISED. We gave INFORMATION and that information stands today as it did when offered.

### SO WHY DID DIANE GET HANGED?

Well, the attorney was terrified of being named himself for unethical practices and he convinced Diane that she would be better off to "take a plea". She objected and did in fact hold out until they moved the charge from a felony to a misdemeanor. But, once the dealings had started the only way to go was to avoid a trial in which they would have slaughtered her by lack of allowance for counsel.

This did, however, in just the questioning phase, allow for a demand to see the charges and there it came as the incredibly stupid and false testimony which also named the accusers. This one act presents players and renewal of the limitation statutes. It will also allow for full recourse of civil litigation by Diane against the lying witnesses whom gave that testimony, TO FEDERAL AGENTS no less.

These may well be the times that "try men's souls" but it does not mean that the souls are lost because of the trials. Strengthen your souls in TRUTH and KNOWLEDGE and the lights turn on immediately.

If that requires four months in a "halfway house/pay hotel" arrangement for the convicted victim, then so be it—for reclamation can be attained LATER and much more abundantly.

It has, however, stopped dead in the tracks any consideration of business continuity while unknown factors were being hidden from "everyone" regarding the charges and who would be charged. There was a threat being advertised by these same miscreants that there was somehow a FEDERAL GRAND JURY working to indict Ekkers (both) and "warrants out for their arrest".

THE INVESTIGATORS FOUND NOTHING but stupidly NAMED both Ekkers in an "Addendum" statement to threaten Diane into signing off on her position. Fine, it now sets up the culprits so that the named parties in that Addendum CAN DO WHATEVER THEY CHOOSE IN CONJUNCTION WITH OR IN ADDITION TO, DIANE HERSELF.

The culprits were not too smart in any event because Diane is listed as Diane Eckert-Kunick

WHICH IS QUITE TRUE in spelling and everything. Diane's first husband's name was ECKERT (that is NOT Ekker) and that is, in itself, cause of another action from false statements regarding her identification. How do you KNOW it is through false assumptions? BECAUSE V.K. DURHAM, WITH NO INPUT AT ALL, PRONOUNCES IT EVEN IF MISSPELLED—ON INTERNATIONAL INTERNET NO LESS.

You HAVE TO bring the rats out of their holes, my friends, and if it requires four months to do so, then so be it, for the product attained is worth the "investment" time required.

### BUT BACK TO PRODUCT

There is NO REASON, legal or otherwise, to not offer New Gaia's products AS ARE—even until new labels can be printed. But yes indeed, funds have to be available to make the changeover, to have shipping facilities which requires space in Nevada and, for goodness sakes, get your businesses back on line.

Adzuki beans (the most wholesome "Asian" bean on the market) are stored and waiting for marketing but now need new labels and, at upstart—AGAIN—volunteer hands to put them into bags. Then there sits the grain (Spelta) by the ton, waiting for SOMEONE to attend it—yea even to rental costs for storage. NOTHING is done, except the need for funds FROM HERE, frankly, to attend those needs. NO, NO AND NO.

There is Melloram and red lentils (which the shippers marked as "seed" quality) and the FDA (the only thing they found questionable from the California investigation) simply said it needed to be cleared for sale as a food product. Actually, at best or worst, the lentils might be pre-washed which should be done ANYWAY, before eating or sprouting. OR GO PLANT THEM, FOR GOODNESS SAKES!

### BUT WHERE ARE "WE"?

Getting our jobs done just as rapidly as is humanly possible in an IMPOSSIBLE world.

**And that is where we are today, day one, year 16.**

**BUT WHAT OF THE PAPER AND JOURNALS?**

Can't say, readers, we have produced enough writings, along with doing our daily job on the Global project, to have at LEAST 50 *Journals* (full) in just the time in the Philippines—all residing and waiting layout for publication.

We have contributed abundantly (too much so in most instances) for the continuation, especially in conjunction with Rays, of a weekly paper produced and mailed EVERY WEEK right on schedule. In the Philippines, it is the most sought after publication of the day and is passed around like the precious thing it is.

Ah but, we can't "charge" for these papers over here because we do no business over here as corporate guidelines indicate. Most of the readers here cannot afford to take the paper through subscription—and it remains our only "SALES" tool of any kind. IT IS CALLED "TRUTH".

### WHAT IS PLANNED?

When we "make it" here and there are funds flowing back we shall take up all of the pending items in proper sequence. At the upstart in the sequencing we will return the funds which have kept us going and settle the larger "returns" ASAP.

In the meanwhile we will get STAFF from a professional pool and then set up proper facilities right under the watchful eyes of the regulators so there can be no further opportunity to be "turned in" by our own people in their revenge mode of operations.

We will then advertise WIDELY our products, our journals, and yes indeed, OUR PAPER. Moreover, we will support those who contribute, i.e., Mullins, Coleman and the myriad of other authors who have, even if unknowingly so, supported our ability to send the "truth" a bit further into the public.

### WHAT OF OUR ENEMIES?

We have NO ENEMIES, only those who claim same for selves. There are, by definition I suppose, adversaries—but they are what gives us cause to both succeed and correct errors—even if it be in relationships. We only have TEACHERS, chelas, and when you understand as much you will stop feeling so distressed over the incidents that take place in your world.

THIS TEACHER for instance, presents problems in order to see what will be YOUR SOLUTIONS and who will even seek to solve the problems, other than to complain, shake in fear, become immobile, and/or simply fade away while complaining that somehow WE FAILED YOU.

Right now, as I write, there is decision-making going on to close the warehouse in Las Vegas which assumes the simply moving of products, operation and all the books to "somewhere" else to save the cost of rental.

Is that going in the right direction? Would it not be wiser to SELL the products, sell the books and MOVE OUR PRODUCTS INSTEAD OF THE OPERATIONS? So be it, for it rests entirely WITH YOU, for you will simply have to REPRINT it all anyway.

There are an additional 110,000 books which the court ordered be returned and shipped to THAT warehouse by George Green, along with a settlement agreement for Green to pay \$25,000 in addition to the book transfer. NOTHING HAS HAPPENED! The attorney has not even, through prodding, done more than complain about arrears in his pay expectations.

Green is still in business doing seminars and making the SAME CLAIMS he always made about his wondrous personal holdings and opportunities to have him manage your assets. Fine, isn't it therefore time for him to at the least pay his "judgments" as agreed?

Oh, I see: "When Ekkers get home...?" So be it.

Ekkers went to court and battled for ten years (AND WON) TO PROTECT THE INSTITUTE HOLDINGS—but through the process lost everything because of inability UNDER THE LAW to function. Well, we are working to correct that "loss" column into "gain", repayment and "shut the

thing down” to keep it away from any further assault. However, it is now right where it is most valuable and precious—IN DEBT TO THE GAZOO! The enemies want it? Fine, it now comes with yea, millions of dollars of PURE UNADULTERATED DEBT. Ekkers were NEVER more than serving officers and/or directors. Even the corporate records were STOLEN right out of their office. That was an original LAUGHLIN corporation and the original books were even lost in the confusion of Nevada Corporate Headquarters. So, how is YOUR day?

But yes indeed, our people will stand behind full restitution to participants because it is the HONORABLE thing to do—not the mandate of any court or person. I’m confident that Mr. Ence will be very sorry for some of his sticky-finger dealings (at that time with Father Ed Cleary). However, go with Green, for he managed to take things out of the files which indicated his participation—but you can’t fool all the people all the time. You can’t fool GOD “any” of the time!

I told you 15 years ago to the day that our project would be massive and world-impacting. I told you that it would require funding of such a size that you would be stunned. I told you that we could and would accomplish our goal—AND SO WE SHALL.

Yes indeed, Ekkers said they would give up everything necessary to get this job accomplished—and by golly, they had to—so, testing is not always pleasant, for GOD in his whole and infinite wisdom tests, that a better way CAN be brought forth. IT IS CALLED “THE PHOENIX”. And that, readers, also indicates that you start from the ASHES or, perhaps better said, the basic “starting line”. That means that it requires through the Satan-hold on everything right through the fire and perceived LOSS in order to regain, retrieve and rebuild.

It is an interesting realization that you give astrological recognition to dates, times, signs, etc. Can we dare “whim” that Dharma, after all, is a WATER sign! That is an interesting concept in her position—for water quenches “fire” which is a volatile sign but through the Phoenix realization—that fire has to be extinguished—AND HERE WE ARE RIGHT ON THE FIRE-LINE WITH THE HOSES.

This MEANS nothing, for it takes the WATER IN THE BUCKETS OR HOSES to get the job accomplished—and that is often called the “BUCKET BRIGADE”.

Now, consider what happens in a bucket brigade (line) when the players not only withdraw but take the buckets with them when they go! I think you get the picture?

Therefore, “when you get it right” you will “get it” (that which you want) in this or any perception or reality.

When we accomplish the foundation for funding, then New Gaia becomes a phenomenal opportunity. “Somebody” can enter a PROJECT and BUILD a wondrous operation facility.

The IMF and other “agreement” parties in/from the U.S. have broken ALL of their agreements with Global Alliance and therefore, through keeping our side

of the agreements, but they null and voiding theirs, gives us full opportunity to FUND FROM here to there without it being considered “domestic” business.

We do, however, have to get this going and structured—DON’T WE? THAT takes “time” no matter how you interpret “time”. However, I see NO ONE even working toward other than waiting for the manna to fall on you.

People, we MUST HAVE ability to “joint venture” or we can’t “do business”—period and end of discussion.

Contribution MUST come “before the fact” lest there be no “fact” as in project.

No, Ekkers can’t give even cursory time to attending all the “wishes” and “dreams” of anyone, even their own—but when operational there will be professionals who CAN handle it. However, if you have given NOTHING to your proposal, including thought, how can you expect any kind or response? Even to GOD you must make a “call”.

“But HE knows my intent and wishes!”

NO, if YOU don’t know your wishes and intents, then why dump it onto GOD?

It will be, however, that especially at upstart following funding, there is need to settle accounts that already exist and then, yes indeed, the projects submitted by OUR PEOPLE will be honored FIRST. In fact we can ONLY in the beginning honor OUR PEOPLE lest we get into trouble with the authorities who enslave you. We need a REASON to be exchanging funds of any kind.

Every transaction must be in the LIGHT OF DAY—without exception. That means that passing money around like chewing gum is UNACCEPTABLE to us as well as to the “authorities” of your Homeland Defense squads. We must be able to function under the most sophisticated microscope they can turn onto our activities.

THIS means, readers, that EVERY project will be handled exactly as it is laid forth here. This, however, only deals with project funding where a JOINT VENTURE is entered. This has NOTHING to do with the due-and-owing “return” waiting.

We will expect the project as proposed to have its required percentages where “Global” can invest equal funds into GOLD for the securing of banks, project and SELF. We will never ever enter into something without that value-based arrangement which will then be secured separately and apart from, actually, the project itself.

This means that project proposals must be rendered in some reasonable form of “request” AND the “joint” venture ends with the meeting of all requirements of the Alliance itself. THAT means that the project “authorized” personnel will have to be responsible for selves—succeed or fail. We don’t even require “success” but we do require responsibility for selves and stop blaming or waiting on US TO DO IT FOR YOU. We are quite tired of being crucified for the sins of others and we do not come as a “Christos” willing to die for your misadventures. There never was such a thing anyway!

“But won’t the rip-off artists come forth?” Oh indeed, but WE will not be held responsible for their transgressions.

Is it alright for some to retire after they claim their due-and-owing “pension” income? INDEED, WHATEVER YOU CHOOSE. But, you’ll be bored out of your minds in all probability. Regardless of the offenses against you/us you KNOW that you have been ALIVE as an individual and experiencing in THE PLAY of all TIME and lesser is going to be a real “drag” for you participants.

Regrets and “oh how I wish I had...” is the phrase of the day, every day, of those who made the wrong choices regarding their relationships with US.

I am urged to offer a thought as ones ponder the “acts of God” as declared by “man”. It is wondered why, for instance, God would send floods and wash away people’s houses and swamp their buildings?

Answer: Rains come and have for eons. Man builds his sticks and stone in the flood-lands and the waters rise and overcome the structures. So, is it GOD or MAN who is foolish?

By the way, truth is truth no matter who presents it. Lies are lies no matter who presents them. So, readers, it behooves YOU to find your own responsible way and come to discern for self. Who, by the way, is Hatonn? What difference does it make or whether or not I claim light or shadow? I present; you must decide; but I have NOT failed you thus far IN THIS PROGRAM. “Hatonn” as such can be up for grabs the same as “Jones”, “Smith” or “Brownstein”, but, Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn as registered in Kern County, California—IS NOT UP FOR GRABS AND USE THEREOF IS UNLAWFUL—PERIOD.

Do I share with OTHERS? Of course, but I do not destroy our program in the process and you had best come to know the difference, my friends. Perhaps these four years have proven nothing other than this FACT. Our accusers are the ones left wanting or haven’t you noticed? Even though they prance, give loud voice to the lies, and generally project their “ignorance”, they have merited nothing save to give credence to what we have said and presented all along this way. Never mind Hatonn prevailing—GOD PREVAILS IN TRUTH! And no, you don’t need to confuse with names of any kind nor do you have to sort “religions”.

God has sent “the way”. God has sent “the messengers”. It is up to YOU what you do with it, my friends. God sends blessings, love and goodness—every time—if you but accept it. He does, however, leave it to you to choose, direct and decide your course through this wondrous experience called “life”.

We have created miracles of which are unimagined and you simply cannot see this magnificent forest for the tree in your pathway nor can you conceive of the wonders of this incredible experience—please don’t let it be left to waste.

I would like to leave this now lest I get sloppy in my own desire to share and shelter, for I cherish ye ones beyond any imaginings.

Salu, Hatonn

dharmia 



# Super-Hologram: Past, Present, Future All Exist Simultaneously

8/15/02—#1 (15-364)

RE: HOLOGRAMS WITH SOULS; IT IS CALLED “HUMAN”. ALL ELSE IS A HOLOGRAM CONTAINING THE WHOLE OF MYRIADS OF OTHER HOLOGRAMS; IT IS CALLED “THE UNIVERSE”. “THOUGHT” IS WHAT CREATES ALL ELSE

**Hatonn**—SO QUICKLY YOU FORGET AND BASE YOUR EXPERIENCE ON “REALITY” WHEN IT IS ACTUALLY BUT AN ILLUSION PRESENTING IN VARIOUS DENSITIES. REMOVE THE BREATH OF “LIFE” AND THE “VISION” RETURNS TO ENERGY AND PARTICULATE MATTER, ALL CHANGING AT ITS OWN RATE OF DENSITY AND CIRCUMSTANCE.

With the above statements made I could simply close the writing and walk away while life would go on regardless of my input or withdrawal. YOU ARE WHAT YOU BELIEVE WHILE MOST OF YOU HAVE NOT THE VAGUEST IDEA WHAT YOU BELIEVE.

Moreover, it is what you KNOW that makes the difference, for KNOWING is the ultimate recognition of TRUTH through THINKING. “Belief” is but the “assumption” of what is presented to your attention.

I wrote about this so many years ago that I find any new reference to such a concept of reality stalls out your perceptions. And no, we don’t want to rewrite anything as to repeating classes in gathering where we spent hours upon hours together in the “learning” process while the outcome is a blaming of our people for everything MISPERCEIVED. However, anything we have already written we welcome repeating. We will, however, be happy to share any newly circulating presentations when we have space and time (your problem, not mine) available.

The lesson is far more important than who is going to bomb what but Truth moves ever more swiftly out and beyond what you “think” your mind can hold or with which it can deal. Most won’t bother to read about it anyway and that simply is the way it IS and therefore we must continue to present what best allows us to function in “changing” the perceptions and “reality” of the play in progress. Thinking about anything will not DO anything “about” it. Action is required to “energize” the thought itself.

This does not address genetic structure, please, for that is entirely separate in the “structure” of “holographic” perfection or mutation. Damaged DNA can certainly change outcome of thought realization as can variations in RNA and mitochondria. However, genetic structure deals with chromosomes and that is NOT, as such, the DNA original structure at issue, ALTHOUGH, it begins to allow realization of the processing which MANIFESTS and what form is the outcome of the manifestation. Moreover,

the perfection must be from that which “IS” before the conception of the end product.

For an exaggerated example let us consider a building of any kind you choose. You must have all the component parts illustrated prior to the physical construction. If you don’t, for instance, have the inclusion of toilets—you are going to have a very big error shadowing your outcome of the building itself. Let us relate that to a missing gene or damaged gene of some kind.

Before the building is completed the error can be caught and changes in the architectural concept can be corrected. Once again, however, a bunch of bathrooms require more than a few feet of space, for there is a whole plumbing system to consider (i.e. blood, lymph—you name it).

This is one reason I am totally amused by any such presentation of “AquaGaia” being some kind of a “new drug” which “consumes” (as the FDA claimed Diane claimed). The facts ARE that AquaGaia is but a water-based mitochondria incubation solution which allows mitochondria to present as (look at the word) “drias” which do indeed convert fuel to energy—as well as feasting on the lipid-based “plaques” which form in blood vessels. THAT is the particular dria “fuel”.

Now, I am going to blow your minds a bit larger so you can grow a bit, please. The drias by whatever name you choose ARE THE BASIC CELLS OF “LIVING”. THEY GO “WITH” THE VERY CONCEPT OF “LIFE” ITSELF.

Do I need to shove that down your throats? No indeed for what IS—IS! If the FDA deprives you of having such nice and basic “waters of life”, so be it. The facts ARE that the FDA did not deprive YOU of said products—the adversary who told the lies and turned in their own former associates (where they had done the deeds themselves), used funds for their own causes, and “created” the debacle itself.

Now readers, since Gaiandriana never came under question, then it should not have been taken away from you, or even from New Gaia. However, perhaps it is time for Mark to relocate the information regarding these products and begin rerunning them in CONTACT, for there is no reason whatsoever that you cannot get Gaiandriana from ANYONE. New Gaia is included in that statement but the facts are that Diane was dumped on and she must have nothing whatsoever to do with anything associated with New Gaia or ANYTHING CLASSIFIED AS BEING POSSIBLY A “NEW DRUG”, which seems to include anything that might have been advertised as “healing” anything.

These things are taken away from you because they WORK, chelas.

The facts are that in all the things taken by the FDA from New GAIA, most were simply classified in most ridiculous manners.

For instance, they said that there was insufficient amount of copper in the gold colloid.

Is this not like asking when was the War of 1812 while calling it the Second World War? Why in your most outrageous imaginings might there be ANY copper in a gold colloid “pure” solution?

The test on “gold colloid” also stated that there was not sufficient measurable amounts of gold to even match the on-market products for public use. Oh? And just how does the FDA measure such particulate? Well, not well with ANYTHING, for if you have a “purple” solution and can’t find enough colloidal particulate—you have just found THE PERFECT colloidal suspension.

Dangerous and deadly drugs are unleashed on you every day that destroy parts of your “working” systems while those things which actually give you possibility of perfection of living processes are taken BY LAW from you and that comes from ones who have NO NOTION of what they are doing. So be it, for nobody needs get into any trouble or annoyance from ANYTHING we offer.

It is, yes, sad, for just with the replacement of the “mushroom” membrane for making Kargosic tea, you could save all the sharks of the ocean from being ground up as to fins for your joint problems. It doesn’t work that way ANYWAY. The “membrane” grown has a structure which can actually replace joint cartilage while “dead” shark fin is simply one more “dead” product once the shark LIFE-FORM is destroyed. You have killed the shark and the product is no longer a living organism unless treated very specifically in a growth medium, which, of course, it is NOT.

So, what of “AquaGaia”? Never mind, for a perfect and totally different product can be presented as mitochondria with a “trade-secret” water base.

## NOW FOR THE FACTS OF THE MATTER OF NEW GAIA PRODUCTS

NO product came under question—PERIOD. The problem was that there was advertising in a brochure for distributed products which mentioned possible healing and cure capability of some kind. AquaGaia fell under no qualification other than it was to somehow help clear arteries of plaque and therefore “presented as a ‘cure’” of some kind.

The agents took a whole barrel of the stuff which was set aside for putting on plants, for it was past its stage of product distribution. The facts are, however, they couldn’t find “anything” in the solution, right or wrong.

They did find a formation of “membrane” of “some kind” that they couldn’t analyze and it was that wondrous pure form of that old bug-a-boo (what’s it all about Alfie?) MITOCHONDRIA which “could” literally restructure the insulin-producing part of the pancreas—AND “eat” plaque and actually convert it to ENERGY and which is talked about but only in terms of “future shock”. And no, I did NOT say “would”, I said “could”.

You can have Willard Water but can’t have Gaiandriana? NO, you can also have Gaiandriana—JUST DON’T CALL DIANE.

You can’t longer have Dr. Clark’s solution for parasite eradication unless you make it yourself, which is easy enough but they locked her into prison for saying it cured parasitic infestation. The FACT that

ground black walnut hull, especially in the “green” stage and even tincture of clove KILL parasites of the digestive system, and works every time, did not save Dr. Clark from having to move to Mexico if she would continue her work. Perhaps she discontinued her work. It was, however, that the poor lady used the word “cure” and cancer or parasites—in the same paragraph.

There is a stranger phenomenon, however, if you study ads for health “cures” and things in that one person offers “heart drops” and has a booming business and never once tells you what’s in the product. One whiff gives away the garlic but he can get away with that while others trying to meet regulations and requirements—end up in FDA prison with careers wrecked for the remainder of their lives. Why? Usually because of jealous former friends due to whatever egotistical and/or selfish needs present to SELF.

IT IS UP TO “YOU” WHAT IS AVAILABLE TO YOU AND KNOW THAT UNLESS YOU CLEAN UP YOUR OWN PLAYMATES YOU ARE GOING TO ALWAYS BE “WITHOUT”—FOR NO ONE WANTS TO HAVE PROBLEMS JUST FOR THE PRIVILEGE OF DOING SOMETHING “FOR” ANOTHER?

WHAT YOU BELIEVE IS NOT ACTUALLY WHAT YOU GET—BECAUSE YOU BELIEVE WHATEVER IS PRESENTED FOR YOUR TRAINING.

How long do you think it took for the theft of the wheel “patent” to take place? Now, try the drias and see what would be desirable! Ah but, we still control those elusive little drias, for nobody else even recognizes them save a couple of other scientists.

How long do you think it will take to totally bewilder you of the masses when the dollar collapses and the sky falls down (or up as the case actually IS? Chicken Little could probably tell you right off the top of her Fairy Tale rendition of said story by that name.)

It is quite amusing to note that it is finally recognized that Albert Einstein was WRONG, chelas, in his projections of the universe, space and matter. But his concept and presentation of nuclear bombs certainly changed the world!

WHY can’t anyone get it RIGHT? BECAUSE THE PERCEPTION AND CONCEPT ARE WRONG—NO MORE; NO LESS!

To understand the concept of the WHOLE as in “hologram” is to understand the CONCEPT of manifestation itself, which basically is a reflection of “CREATION” through the thought projection of CREATOR. God may well have “created” you through his own thought projection—but then by logical reason—you create your dream (vision) and perception of your experiencing “reality”, real or false.

Closer to home for example: Did we “create” Bonus 3392-181? No indeed, it was created a very long time ago in the late 1800s and was structured to increase in value based on gold from the time of its “creation”.

It pretty much died of old age and manipulation, so in Mr. George H.W. Bush’s time it was brought forth and revalidated, re-legalized and REVALUED to recognize the “bonus” character of the instrument itself of, after ten years of non-redemption, that it

would create more value at an amazing rate of interest of 44 percent (%) compounded annually. It became Mr. Bush’s SUPERFUND.

Then it was reconfirmed, reconformed and turned into a CONTRACT (by jurat) and placed into a corporation for safe-keeping.

There was no “creating” left to be accomplished, for its security had been established long before this team gained the “asset” itself as well as the corporation holding it—THE ONLY CLAIM TO THE INSTRUMENT.

All the current need to accomplish is how to best utilize the instruments in the best possible way for the benefit of MANKIND instead of the rip-off destructive games of the Elite adversaries.

Constructing the “way” for use has been a CREATIVE exercise based on the REAL basis of the contract itself. This requires thought and creative manifestation THROUGH ACTION—if anything is going to result from the “exercise”. The “thought” is “creative” in intent but the manifestation into a holographic “reality” is a RESULT and not a CAUSE as established by “cause and effect” per se. However, “Because I think so, then can I create and manifest.”

Simply put: “Because God (Creator) IS, I am and you ARE. And any time there is presentation in what you consider “manifest” form or density—it is but a holographic (whole) of the thought of Creator, or “you” as the case may well be. Moreover, you can LIE about someone or some thing—but it changes not one iota of the “truth” of it. A thousand lies cannot even a partial truth make. Moreover, to you who are weary and heavy-laden while having to bear the load of lies cast upon you—YOU SHALL PREVAIL, FOR TRUTH ULTIMATELY PREVAILS. Facts are, in the New Gaia, Diane, the lies have been presented by the liars themselves—now just waiting their turn in the floodlights of realization IN PRESENTATION.

People in this particular “play” presented their lies to the Federal Agents, no less, who wrote them down for the whole of eternity to witness. In most instances the lies are but more lies built on already false presentations. Each new lie presented, however, restarts the statutes of limitation which remains very, very good for the “defendant”.

“But if everything is but a hologram of sorts, what difference does anything make,” you ask?

All the difference in the world because the manifestation is the result of the thought put into action by whoever did/does the false presentation. The HOLOGRAM simply IS and reflects the THOUGHT of the “creator”. If it is not TRUE in concept or presentation, it cannot prevail—for only truth can ultimately prevail.

The technicality of a hologram itself answers the questions and solves the puzzles regarding “illusion”—of which there can be no argument as to the function of all physical senses to the “reality” of anything. If a thing exists—IT IS and all the quarreling over its existence is moot. Invisibility or “ignorance” of presence makes no difference in the REALITY of existence. Moreover, once in existence—always in existence but not so in “manifestation”. A thought is a perfect example, my friends. Physical laws pertain to “actions”. God’s Laws evaluate “thought” (intent).

## SO, WHAT IS A HOLOGRAM?

I ask to share a recently shared paper on the topic of “Holograms”.

[QUOTING <http://twm.co.nz/hologram.html>:]

### **THE UNIVERSE AS A HOLOGRAM Author unknown**

#### **DOES OBJECTIVE REALITY EXIST, OR IS THE UNIVERSE A PHANTASM?**

In 1982 a remarkable event took place. At the University of Paris a research team led by Physicist Alain Aspect performed what may turn out to be one of the most important experiments of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century. You did not hear about it on the evening news. In fact, unless you are in the habit of reading scientific journals you probably have never even heard Aspect’s name, though there are some who believe his discovery may change the face of science.

Aspect and his team discovered that under certain circumstances subatomic particles such as electrons are able to instantaneously communicate with each other regardless of the distance separating them. It doesn’t matter whether they are 10 feet or 10 billion miles apart. Somehow each particle always seems to know what the other is doing. The problem with this feat is that it violates Einstein’s long-held tenet that no communication can travel faster than the speed of light. Since traveling faster than the speed of light is tantamount to breaking the time barrier, this daunting prospect has caused some physicists to try to come up with elaborate ways to explain away Aspect’s findings. But it has inspired others to offer even more radical explanations.

University of London physicist David Bohm, for example, believes Aspect’s findings imply that objective reality does not exist, that despite its apparent solidity the universe is at heart a phantasm, **a gigantic and splendidly detailed hologram.**

To understand why Bohm makes this startling assertion, one must first understand a little about holograms. A hologram is a three-dimensional photograph made with the aid of a laser. To make a hologram, the object to be photographed is first bathed in the light of a laser beam. Then a second laser beam is bounced off the reflected light of the first and the resulting interference pattern (the area where the two laser beams commingle) is captured on film. When the film is developed, it looks like a meaningless swirl of light and dark lines. But as soon as the developed film is illuminated by another laser beam, a three-dimensional image of the original object appears.

The three-dimensionality of such images is not the only remarkable characteristic of holograms. If a hologram of a rose is cut in half and then illuminated by a laser, each half will still be found to contain the entire image of the rose. Indeed, even if the halves are divided again, each snippet of film will always be found to contain a smaller but intact version of the original image. Unlike normal photographs, every part of a hologram contains all the information possessed by the whole.

The “whole in every part” nature of a hologram provides us with an entirely new way of understanding organization and order. For most of its history,

Western science has labored under the bias that the best way to understand a physical phenomenon, whether a frog or an atom, is to dissect it and study its respective parts. A hologram teaches us that some things in the universe may not lend themselves to this approach. If we try to take apart something constructed holographically, we will not get the pieces of which it is made, we will only get smaller wholes.

This insight suggested to Bohm another way of understanding Aspect's discovery. Bohm believes the reason subatomic particles are able to remain in contact with one another regardless of the distance separating them is not because they are sending some sort of mysterious signal back and forth, but because *their separateness is an illusion*. He argues that at some deeper level of reality such particles are not individual entities, but are actually extensions of the same fundamental something.

To enable people to better visualize what he means, Bohm offers the following illustration. Imagine an aquarium containing a fish. Imagine also that you are unable to see the aquarium directly and your knowledge about it and what it contains comes from two television cameras, one directed at the aquarium's front and the other directed at its side. As you stare at the two television monitors, you might assume that the fish on each of the screens are separate entities.

After all, because the cameras are set at different angles, each of the images will be slightly different. But as you continue to watch the two fish, you will eventually become aware that there is a certain relationship between them. When one turns, the other also makes a slightly different but corresponding turn; when one faces the front, the other always faces toward the side. If you remain unaware of the full scope of the situation, you might even conclude that the fish must be instantaneously communicating with one another, but this is clearly not the case.

This, says Bohm, is precisely what is going on between the subatomic particles in Aspect's experiment. According to Bohm, the apparent faster-than-light connection between subatomic particles is really telling us that there is a deeper level of reality we are not privy to, a more complex dimension beyond our own that is analogous to the aquarium. And, he adds, we view objects such as subatomic particles as separate from one another because we are seeing only a portion of their reality. Such particles are not separate "parts", but facets of a deeper and more underlying unity that is ultimately as holographic and indivisible as the previously mentioned rose. And since everything in physical reality is comprised of these "eidolons", the universe is itself a projection, a hologram.

In addition to its phantomlike nature, such a universe would possess other rather startling features. If the apparent separateness of subatomic particles is illusory, it means that at a deeper level of reality all things in the universe are infinitely interconnected. The electrons in a carbon atom in the human brain are connected to the subatomic particles that comprise every salmon that swims, every heart that beats, and every star that shimmers in the sky. Everything interpenetrates everything, and although human nature may seek to categorize and pigeonhole and subdivide, the various phenomena of the universe, all apportionments are of necessity artificial and all of

### Nature is ultimately a seamless web.

In a holographic universe, **even time and space could no longer be viewed as fundamentals**. Because concepts such as location break down in a universe in which nothing is truly separate from anything else—time and three-dimensional space, like the images of the fish on the TV monitors, would also have to be viewed as projections of this deeper order. **At its deeper level reality is a sort of super-hologram in which the past, present, and future all exist simultaneously.** This suggests that given the proper tools it might even be possible to someday reach into the super-holographic level of reality and pluck out scenes from the long-forgotten past.

What else the super-hologram contains is an open-ended question. Allowing, for the sake of argument, that the super-hologram is the matrix that has given birth to everything in our universe, at the very least it contains every subatomic particle that has been or will be—every configuration of matter and energy that is possible, from snowflakes to quasars, from blue whales to gamma rays. It must be seen as a sort of cosmic storehouse of "All That Is".

Although Bohm concedes that we have no way of knowing what else might lie hidden in the super-hologram, he does venture to say that we have no reason to assume it does not contain more. Or as he puts it, *perhaps the super-holographic level of reality is a "mere state" beyond which lies "an infinity of further development"*.

Bohm is not the only researcher who has found evidence that the universe is a hologram. Working independently in the field of brain research, **Stanford neuro-physiologist Karl Pribram** has also become persuaded of the holographic nature of reality. Pribram was drawn to the holographic model by the puzzle of how and where memories are stored in the brain. For decades numerous studies have shown that rather than being confined to a specific location, memories are dispersed throughout the brain.

In a series of landmark experiments in the 1920s, brain scientist Karl Lashly found that no matter what portion of a rat's brain he removed he was unable to eradicate its memory of how to perform complex tasks it had learned prior to surgery. The only problem was that no one was able to come up with a mechanism that might explain this curious "whole in every part" nature of memory storage.

Then in the 1960s Pribram encountered the concept of holography and realized he had found the explanation brain scientists had been looking for. Pribram believes memories are encoded not in neurons, or small groupings of neurons, but in patterns of nerve impulses that crisscross the entire brain in the same way that patterns of laser light interference crisscross the entire area of a piece of film containing a holographic image. In other words, Pribram believes ***the brain itself is a hologram***.

Pribram's theory also explains how the human brain can store so many memories in so little space. It has been estimated that the human brain has the capacity to memorize something on the order of 10 billion bits of information during the average human lifetime (or roughly the same amount of information contained in five sets of the *Encyclopaedia Britannica*).

Similarly, it has been discovered that in addition to

their other capabilities, ***holograms possess an astounding capacity for information storage***—simply by changing the angle at which the two lasers strike a piece of photographic film, it is possible to record many different images on the same surface. It has been demonstrated that one cubic centimeter of film can hold as many as 10 billion bits of information.

Our uncanny ability to quickly retrieve whatever information we need from the enormous store of our memories becomes more understandable if the brain functions according to holographic principles. If a friend asks you to tell him what comes to mind when he says the word "zebra", you do not have to clumsily sort back through some gigantic and cerebral alphabetic file to arrive at an answer. Instead, associations like "striped", "horse-like", and "animal native to Africa" all pop into your head instantly. Indeed, one of the most amazing things about the human thinking process is that every piece of information seems instantly cross-correlated with every other piece of information—another feature intrinsic to the hologram. Because every portion of a hologram is infinitely interconnected with every other portion, it is perhaps Nature's supreme example of a cross-correlated system.

The storage of memory is not the only neurophysiological puzzle that becomes more tractable in light of Pribram's holographic model of the brain. Another is how the brain is able to translate the avalanche of frequencies it receives via the senses (light frequencies, sound frequencies, and so on) into the concrete world of our perceptions.

Encoding and decoding frequencies is precisely what a hologram does best. Just as a hologram functions as a sort of lens, a translating device able to convert an apparently meaningless blur of frequencies into a coherent image, Pribram believes the brain also comprises a lens and uses holographic principles to mathematically convert the frequencies it receives through the senses into the inner world of our perceptions.

An impressive body of evidence suggests that the brain uses holographic principles to perform its operations. Pribram's theory, in fact, has gained increasing support among neurophysiologists.

Argentinean-Italian researcher Hugo Zucarelli recently extended the holographic model into the world of acoustic phenomena. Puzzled by the fact that humans can locate the source of sounds without moving their heads, even if they only possess hearing in one ear, Zucarelli discovered that holographic principles can explain this ability. Zucarelli has also developed the technology of holophonic sound, a recording technique able to reproduce acoustic situations with an almost uncanny realism.

Pribram's belief that our brains mathematically construct "hard" reality by relying on input from a frequency domain has also received a good deal of experimental support. It has been found that each of our senses is sensitive to a much broader range of frequencies than was previously suspected. Researchers have discovered, for instance, that our visual systems are sensitive to sound frequencies, that our sense of smell is in part dependent on what are now called "osmic frequencies", and that even the cells in our bodies are sensitive to a broad range of frequencies. Such findings

suggest that it is only in the holographic domain of consciousness that such frequencies are sorted out and divided up into conventional perceptions.

But the most mind-boggling aspect of Pribram's holographic model of the brain is what happens when it is put together with Bohm's theory. For if the concreteness of the world is but a secondary reality and what is "there" is actually a holographic blur of frequencies, and if the brain is also a hologram and only selects some of the frequencies out of this blur and mathematically transforms them into sensory perceptions, what becomes an objective reality? Put quite simply, it ceases to exist. As the religions of the East have long upheld, the material world is Maya, an illusion, and although we may think we are physical beings moving through a physical world, this too is an illusion.

We are really "receivers" floating through a kaleidoscopic sea of frequency, and what we extract from this sea and transmogrify into physical reality is but one channel from many extracted out of the super-hologram.

This striking new picture of reality, the synthesis of Bohm and Pribram's views, has come to be called the holographic paradigm, and although many scientists have greeted it with skepticism, it has galvanized others. A small but growing group of researchers believe it may be the most accurate model of reality science has arrived at thus far. More than that, some believe it may solve some mysteries that have never before been explainable by science and even establish the paranormal as a part of Nature. Numerous researchers, including Bohm and Pribram, have noted that many para-psychological phenomena become much more understandable in terms of the holographic paradigm.

In a universe in which individual brains are actually indivisible portions of the greater hologram and everything is infinitely interconnected, telepathy may merely be the accessing of the holographic level.

It is obviously much easier to understand how information can travel from the mind of individual 'A' to that of individual 'B' at a far distant point and helps to understand a number of unsolved puzzles in psychology.

In particular, Stanislov Grof feels the holographic paradigm offers a model for understanding many of the baffling phenomena experienced by individuals during altered states of consciousness. In the 1950s, while conducting research into the beliefs of LSD as a psychotherapeutic tool, Grof had one female patient who suddenly became convinced she had assumed the identity of a female of a species of prehistoric reptile. During the course of her hallucination, she not only gave a richly detailed description of what it felt like to be encapsulated in such a form, but noted that a portion of the male of the species' anatomy was a patch of colored scales on the side of its head. What was startling to Grof was that although the woman had no prior knowledge about such things, a conversation with a zoologist later confirmed that in certain species of reptiles, colored areas on the head do indeed play an important role as triggers of sexual arousal. The woman's experience was not unique. During the course of his research, Grof encountered examples of patients regressing and identifying with virtually every species on the evolutionary tree (research findings

which helped influence the man-into-ape scene in the movie *Altered States*). Moreover, he found that such experiences frequently contained obscure zoological details which turned out to be accurate.

Regressions into the animal kingdom were not the only puzzling psychological phenomena Grof encountered. He also had patients who appeared to tap into some sort of collective or racial unconscious. Individuals with little or no education suddenly gave detailed descriptions of Zoroastrian funerary practices and scenes from Hindu mythology. In other categories of experience, individuals gave persuasive accounts of out-of-body journeys, of precognitive glimpses of the future, or regressions into apparent past-life incarnations.

In later research, Grof found the same range of phenomena manifested in therapy sessions which did not involve the use of drugs. Because the common element in such experiences appeared to be the transcending of an individual's consciousness beyond the usual boundaries of ego and/or limitations of space and time, Grof called such manifestations "transpersonal experiences", and in the late '60s he helped found a branch of psychology called "transpersonal psychology" devoted entirely to their study.

Although Grof's newly founded Association of Transpersonal Psychology garnered a rapidly growing group of like-minded professionals and has become a respected branch of psychology, for years neither Grof or any of his colleagues were able to offer a mechanism for explaining the bizarre psychological phenomena they were witnessing. But that has changed with the advent of the holographic paradigm.

As Grof recently noted, *if the mind is actually part of a continuum, a labyrinth that is connected not only to every other mind that exists or has existed, but to every atom, organism, and region in the vastness of space and time itself, the fact that it is able to occasionally make forays into the labyrinth and have transpersonal experiences no longer seems so strange*.

The holographic paradigm also has implications for so-called hard sciences like biology. Keith Floyd, a psychologist at Virginia Interment College, has pointed out that if the concreteness of reality is but a holographic illusion, it would no longer be true to say the brain produces consciousness. Rather, it is consciousness that creates the appearance of the brain—as well as the body and everything else around us we interpret as physical.

Such a turnabout in the way we view biological structures has caused researchers to point out that medicine and our understanding of the healing process could also be transformed by the holographic paradigm. If the apparent physical structure of the body is but a holographic projection of consciousness, it becomes clear that each of us is much more responsible for our health than current medical wisdom allows. What we now view as miraculous remissions of disease may actually be due to changes in consciousness which in turn effect changes in the hologram of the body.

Similarly, controversial new healing techniques such as visualization may work so well because, in the holographic domain of thought, images are ultimately as real as "reality".

Even visions and experiences involving "non-

ordinary" reality become explainable under the holographic paradigm. In his book *Gifts of Unknown Things*, biologist Lyall Watson describes his encounter with an Indonesian shaman woman who, by performing a ritual dance, was able to make an entire grove of trees instantly vanish into thin air. Watson relates that as he and another astonished onlooker continued to watch the woman, she caused the trees to reappear, than "click" off again and on again several times in succession.

Although current scientific understanding is incapable of explaining such events, experiences like this become more tenable if "hard" reality is only a holographic projection. Perhaps we agree on what is "there" or "not there" because what we call *consensus reality* is formulated and ratified at the level of the human unconscious at which all minds are infinitely interconnected. If this is true, it is the most profound implication of the holographic paradigm of all, for it means that experiences such as Watson's are not commonplace only because we have not programmed our minds with the beliefs that would make them so. **In a holographic universe there are no limits to the extent to which we can alter the fabric of reality.**

What we perceive as reality is only a canvas waiting for us to draw upon it any picture we want. Anything is possible, from bending spoons with the power of the mind to the phantasmagoric events experienced by Castaneda during his encounters with the yaqui brujo don Juan, for magic is our birthright, no more or less miraculous than our ability to compute the reality we want when we are in our dreams.

Indeed, even our most fundamental notions about reality become suspect, for in a holographic universe, as Pribram has pointed out, even random events would have to be seen as based on holographic principles and therefore determined. Synchronicities or meaningful coincidences suddenly make sense, and everything in reality would have to be seen as a metaphor, for even the most haphazard events would express some underlying symmetry.

Whether Bohm and Pribram's holographic paradigm becomes accepted in science or dies an ignoble death remains to be seen, but it is safe to say that it has already had an influence on the thinking of many scientists. And even if it is found that the holographic model does not provide the best explanation for the instantaneous communications that seem to be passing back and forth between subatomic particles, at the very least, as noted by Basil Hiley, a physicist at Birbeck College in London, Aspect's findings "indicate that we must be prepared to consider radically new views of reality".

[END OF QUOTING]

I hope all of you will consider this carefully enough to realize that there have to be greater answers to the universal ORDER than you have yet identified.

There is truly no consideration necessary for allowance of "time" and/or "space", for they are simply measuring devices of manifestation.

In this concept you can also consider GRACE as God's most abundant gift to we of the lesser in realization of HIS "creations" gifted with "thought" that we might "create".

Salu, GCH

dharmā 

# The News Desk

By John & Jean Ray

## RUMSFELD: ISRAEL HAS RIGHT TO HOLD WAR GAINS

By Howard Witt, *Tribune*, 08/07/02

WASHINGTON—Laying bare the sharp behind-the-scenes disagreements that have shaped the Bush administration's Middle East policy, Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld sided strongly with Israel on Tuesday and the right that the Jewish state asserts to continue holding captured Arab lands.

Rumsfeld referred to the lands Israel seized in the 1967 Middle East War as "so-called occupied territories" and questioned whether Israel was obligated to cease building settlements on them.

"My feelings about the so-called occupied territories are that there was a war... and [Egypt, Jordan and Syria] lost a lot of real estate to Israel because Israel prevailed in that conflict," Rumsfeld told Pentagon employees during a question-and-answer session.

"In the intervening period, they've made some settlements in various parts of the so-called occupied area, which was the result of a war, which they won," he said.

Rumsfeld's comments directly contradict long-standing U.S. policy, which regards the West Bank and Gaza Strip as occupied territories, as well as the goals laid out by President Bush in his June 24 Middle East speech.

Bush said at the time that "permanent occupation threatens Israel's identity and democracy," and that "Israeli settlement activity in the occupied territories must stop."

The outspoken defense secretary has long been known as an ardent supporter of the hard-line policies of Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon. But there was no indication that Rumsfeld was speaking for anyone other than himself, however, or that he was signaling a shift in the Bush administration's Mideast policy.

Instead, his comments served to illuminate the ongoing struggle within the White House over the proper balance the United States ought to demonstrate in trying to broker a Middle East peace agreement.

Rumsfeld and Vice President Dick Cheney have argued that Israel's need for security against Palestinian terrorist attacks is paramount, and that the White House should not press Sharon to make any political or territorial concessions until all Palestinian violence is curbed.

Adopting Sharon's line, they also have argued that Palestinian leader Yasser Arafat was too compromised by alleged ties to suicide bombers to continue as a peace partner—an assertion Rumsfeld repeated on Tuesday.

But Secretary of State Colin Powell, while agreeing with Israel's need for security, had urged an approach that recognizes Palestinian aspirations for a state of their own.

In the end, Bush was swayed by Rumsfeld and Cheney, and he demanded that Palestinians replace Arafat as their leader, and reform their security and political institutions, before the United States would help them achieve a state.

But Bush did not go so far as to legitimize Israel's capture of the West Bank and Gaza, as Rumsfeld did Tuesday. ...

**[JR: If Rumsfeld and "Shadowman" V.P. Chaney were only expressing their own opinions and not that of the Bush Administration, then why did the talks end (Aug. 7th) so suddenly between Sharon and the PLA? Sharon added some changes in the**

**ongoing negotiations that he knew the Palestinians would find unacceptable and assure their walking out. Cheney and Rumsfeld are making their own U.S. foreign policy along with refuting the UN Resolutions 242 (1967) and 338 (1973) in which Israel agreed to enter into negotiations for a peace in exchange for lands. The polls taken by the Peace Now group inside Israel indicate that many settlers would move back inside Israel's pre-1967 borders if they were paid compensations with funding from the U.S. or from other international groups. Israelis realize that unless the Palestinians have their own state there will never be a peace. Not so with the Zionists in the U.S. and in Israel who want it all no matter what the cost to the Palestinians or the Israeli people.]**

## POWELL ASSURES PALESTINIANS OF GREATER HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE

By Paul Basken, *Bloomberg News*, 08/08/02

Washington—Secretary of State Colin Powell promised Palestinian leaders expanded U.S. and United Nations efforts to help civilians suffering malnutrition and health problems attributed to Israeli security restrictions.

Powell and National Security Adviser Condoleezza Rice met separately today with a group of Palestinian officials in the highest-level contact between the U.S. and Palestinian leaders since Bush called for replacing Arafat's administration in June. ...

The meetings followed reports this week by the U.S. Agency for International Development that the Palestinian people are suffering from some of the world's highest malnutrition rates.

The Bush administration, which once demanded an easing in Israeli-Palestinian violence before it engaged in peace efforts, is now working simultaneously on calming violence, promoting peace talks and providing humanitarian help, Powell said. ...

As part of the effort, Powell said he spoke today with UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan and agreed that the director of the UN's World Food Program, Catherine Bertini, would visit the region this weekend to examine the humanitarian situation.

The studies funded by U.S. AID found that 9.3 percent of the people living in the Palestinian territories are suffering from moderate to severe malnutrition, a rate "considered an emergency by most humanitarians and public health officials".

It attributed the problem to a lack of money and Israeli military curfews that have kept families confined to their homes and restricted commerce and transportation.

Earlier today, Rice met for an hour with the three Palestinian officials, Arafat aide Saeb Erekat, Palestinian Interior Minister General Abdel Razaq al-Yahiya and Economy Minister Maher al-Masri.

"We reiterated our commitment to moving the agenda forward," spokesman Sean McCormack told reporters at the White House. Asked whether Arafat's name was brought up at the hour-long meeting, McCormack said, "it was not a point of discussion. I don't recall that (Arafat) was an issue that came up."

Erekat is seeking help to hold elections scheduled in January, saying Israel has undermined the Palestinian Authority's ability to function.

In Jerusalem, Israel and the Palestinians ended talks on an Israeli plan to withdraw from parts of the Gaza Strip and West Bank without reaching an agreement, the Israeli newspapers *Ha'aretz* and the *Jerusalem Post* reported.

McCormack said those talks are evidence of "some positive developments on the ground". He said the Palestinians' meeting with Rice was a "good discussion" in which Rice "reiterated our commitment to moving the agenda forward". ...

**[JR: Is this meeting in Washington a contrived show of compassion for the plight of the Palestinians who have had to live under the harshest of conditions imposed upon them by the state of Israel? Despite this obvious fact and contradiction, Israel remains our ally as well as our controller in all our plots and schemes. Why would the U.S. at this crucial point in time give aid and comfort to Sharon's deadliest enemy? I would suppose that the Zionists feel that we goyim should bear the cost of having to take on the responsibility of caring for the Palestinians as their Zionist Protocols do not allow for such concerns. It could also be a psychological ploy on our part to keep our foreign policy critics off balance and use this act of kindness as a cover-up so we can forge ahead with our plans for the conquest of the Middle East.]**

## 'A TREMENDOUS MISTAKE'

By Roy Gutman, *MSNBC/NEWSWEEK*, 08/02/02

For much of official Washington, it is now a foregone conclusion that President George W. Bush will resort to force to topple Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein. The only question is when and how. But this week brought a harsh reality check from a normally soft-spoken visitor, King Abdullah of Jordan.

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee had just begun its first hearings on U.S. policy toward Iraq, and the media was full of speculation on war plans when Abdullah flew into town. In a few blunt remarks, he pointed out a devastating political fact: Bush has completely failed to build a coalition to join him in war against Iraq.

Fresh from talks with British Prime Minister Tony Blair and French President Jacques Chirac, Abdullah said it would be "a tremendous mistake" for the United States to go to war against Baghdad before it had found a way to tamp down the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. He said nearly every major player in world affairs agreed with him. "In the light of the failure to move the Israeli-Palestinian process forward, military action against Iraq would really open a Pandora's box," he told the *London Times* earlier this week.

Blair, the only world leader outside Israel who had publicly defended Bush's saber rattling on Iraq, has "tremendous concerns about how this would unravel," Abdullah said in a separate interview with the *Washington Post* on Wednesday. "Ask our friends in China, in Moscow, in England, in Paris: everybody will tell you that we have concerns about military actions against Iraq. The international community is united on this," he told the London daily. (British officials declined to comment on Blair's position, but State Department officials acknowledged that Britain has very serious questions about Bush's plans.)

The question is whether Abdullah's brutally frank language will have a lasting effect in political

Washington. Bush himself tried to signal he was unmoved, telling reporters at the start of the Oval Office talks yesterday that Abdullah “knows how I feel... He’ll find out I hadn’t changed my mind.”

But in failing to issue a statement or brief the news media after the discussions, the president indicated he was taken aback by the talks. In fact, Washington has rarely seen so scathing a rebuke from the leader of a close ally.

Abdullah even ventured into a realm almost no foreign leader dares go in public, giving a glowing endorsement to Secretary of State Colin Powell in his battle against the more militant stances taken by Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld and Vice President Dick Cheney. Powell, he told the *London Times*, “is the one man that gets it and understands what needs to be done in the Middle East.” He said Powell, and Bush, as well, grasp the big picture. “But others in Washington are fixated on Iraq, and... you can talk till you’re blue in the face and they’re not going to get it.” If such voices grow stronger, “that would really destabilize American interests” in the Middle East, he added. Then the king crossed a line that has not been crossed in years—if not decades—by warning of the “tremendous loss” if Powell left the administration. Powell has repeatedly stated that he has no intention of quitting.

Yet the Jordanian monarch was pointing accurately at Powell’s genuine loss of influence with Bush. The strongest evidence was Bush’s June 24 speech, in which he announced a cutoff of U.S. contacts with Palestinian leader Yasir Arafat and effectively demanded his replacement. “It is unfortunate to target any leadership in any part of the world,” Abdullah said of Bush in an interview with the British paper. He predicted that Palestinians will vote for Arafat to show that “this is what we think of what you said”.

Bush may feel tempted to discount Abdullah’s critique for a variety of reasons. Jordan is heavily dependent on U.S. aid, amounting to \$315 million this year, or \$75 per capita. And as an immediate neighbor of Iraq, which supplies oil at a discount, Jordan is hardly expected to be an active participant in any assault on Saddam Hussein’s regime. Indeed Abdullah’s father, the late King Hussein, stayed neutral in the Persian Gulf War in 1991, leading Congress to cut off aid. (In that war, the senior George Bush led a coalition of 31 countries to force Iraq to abandon its conquest of Kuwait.)

Finally, the public reservations of most Arab leaders are usually dismissed because privately they say that they can weather a public storm provided the military action is carried out swiftly and efficiently. But Abdullah went out of his way before his arrival to say he was not paying lip-service to domestic public opinion. “The Arab people and the American people share a long and important tradition, a tradition of speaking truth to their leaders,” he said in a speech in Aspen, Colo., on the eve of his trip to Washington. “And this year, what people are saying, loud and clear, is that trust is the coin of the realm.” He noted the “essential role” of the United States in bringing about a peaceful resolution of the Arab-Israeli conflict, but added: “Arab trust of U.S. influence remains rather low.”

The only thing Abdullah didn’t allude to explicitly was the impact a U.S. intervention in Iraq would have on Jordan and, more specifically on his throne and on the continued rule of other moderate Arab regimes. He didn’t need to. The experts, whose advice is now being spurned, know the destabilizing effect of such an action all too well. And the others who, as he put it, “are fixated” on Iraq? They, apparently, do not care.

**[JR: I regret to say that a war against Iraq seems inevitable. As Rumsfeld stated the weekend of 8/11 “an attack is sooner than we think”. King Abdullah’s remarks and comments will not sway or deter anyone in the Bush administration, including, the official most Arabs trust and respect... Sir Colin Powell. Our U.S. military will be used to make an example of Iraq to show other Arab nations just what will happen if they do not make the necessary reforms and changes to take their place in the “international world of order”. The plan for Iraq and the Middle East is in the next article. It is written by one of the most high in the Elite circles... Sir Henry Kissinger.]**

IRAQ IS BECOMING BUSH’S  
MOST DIFFICULT CHALLENGE

By Henry A. Kissinger, *Tribune*, 08/11/02

**[JR: THIS IS HENRY’S PLAN... NO COMMENT... SELF EXPLANATORY!]**

... At the same time, the administration’s position is that no decision to resort to force has yet been taken. Ambiguity often can help create awareness without encumbering the discussion with the need for decision. But when ambiguity reaches the point of inviting leaks concerning military planning, congressional debate and allied pressures, the time has come to define a comprehensive policy for America and the rest of the world.

The new approach is revolutionary. Regime change as a goal for military intervention challenges the international system established by the 1648 Treaty of Westphalia, which, after the carnage of the religious wars, established the principle of non-intervention in the domestic affairs of other states. And the notion of justified pre-emption runs counter to international law, which sanctions the use of force in self-defense only against actual, not potential, threats.

Therefore, U.S. intervention in Iraq will be supported only grudgingly, if at all, by most European allies. The Middle East will be split into an inarticulate group, which will be weighing relief from radical pressures authored by Baghdad against the rising dangers from the local Arab street, and radical Islamists, already enraged by the American presence in the region. ...

But the terrorist threat transcends the nation-state; it derives in large part from transnational groups that, if they acquire weapons of mass destruction, could inflict catastrophic, even irretrievable, damage. That threat is compounded when these weapons are being built in direct violation of UN resolutions by a ruthless autocrat who sought to annex one of his country’s neighbors and attacked another, with a demonstrated record of hostility toward America and the existing international system. The case is all the stronger because Saddam expelled UN inspectors installed as part of the settlement of the Gulf War and has used these weapons against his own population and against a foreign adversary.

This is why policies that deterred the Soviet Union for 50 years are unlikely to work against Iraq’s capacity to cooperate with terrorist groups. Suicide bombing has shown that the calculations of jihad fighters are not those of the Cold War principals. And the terrorists have no national base to protect. Therefore, the concern that war with Iraq could unleash Iraqi weapons of mass destruction on Israel and Saudi Arabia is a demonstration of self-deterrence. If the danger exists, waiting will only magnify possibilities for blackmail. ...

While long-range American strategy must try to overcome legitimate causes of those resentments, immediate policy must demonstrate that a terrorist challenge or a systemic attack on the international order produces catastrophic consequences for the perpetrators as well as their supporters, tacit or explicit. ...

The overthrow of the Iraq regime and, at a minimum, the eradication of its weapons of mass destruction, would have potentially beneficial political consequences as well: The so-called Arab street may conclude that the negative consequences of jihad outweigh any potential benefits. It could encourage a new approach in Syria; strengthen moderate forces in Saudi Arabia; multiply pressures for a democratic evolution in Iran; demonstrate to the Palestinian Authority that America is serious about overcoming corrupt tyrannies; and bring about a better balance in oil policy within OPEC.

Even when, on issues of ultimate national security such as Iraq, America acts alone, it is in our national interest to couple it with a program of postwar reconstruction conveying to the rest of the world that our first pre-emptive war has been imposed by necessity and that we seek the world’s interests, not exclusively our own.

For this reason, the objective of regime change should be subordinated in American declaratory policy to the need to eliminate weapons of mass destruction from Iraq as required by the UN resolutions. The restoration of the inspection system existing before its expulsion by Saddam is clearly inadequate. It is necessary to propose a stringent inspection system that achieves substantial transparency of Iraqi institutions. Since the consequences of simply letting the diplomacy run into the ground are so serious, a time limit should be set. The case for military intervention will then have been made in the context of seeking a common approach.

At that point, too, America’s allies will be obliged to face the choice they have thus far evaded: between their domestic opposition or estrangement from the U.S. Dissociation from U.S. actions will not save the allies from the consequences of abdication in a world of terrorism and weapons of mass destruction and distancing themselves from an ally of half a century.

Special attention must be paid to the political and psychological framework vis-a-vis the Arab world. An explanation is needed of why Iraqi weapons of mass destruction impede the solution of all matters of concern in the area—not in Western categories of security but in terms relevant to upheavals in the region. This is why it is so important to couple military pressures with a program of economic and social reconstruction in which allies and moderate Arab regimes should be invited to participate.

At the same time, the administration should reject the siren song that an Iraqi intervention should be preceded by a solution of the Palestine issue. It is not true that the road to Baghdad leads through Jerusalem. Much more likely, the road to Jerusalem will lead through Baghdad. In all probability, Iraq is much weaker and America orders of magnitude stronger than in the Gulf War. But planning should be based on the visible availability of an overwhelming force capable of dealing with all contingencies and not on the expectation of a quick Iraqi collapse. Principal reliance on air power and local indigenous opposition forces is too dangerous, for it leaves no margin for error or miscalculation. And it may place these local forces in a predominant political position, foreclosing other political options.

A conspicuous American deployment in the region is therefore necessary to support the diplomacy to

destroy weapons of mass destruction and to provide a margin for quick victory if military action proves the only recourse. It may also serve to motivate Iraqi leaders considering the overthrow of Saddam Hussein.

In the end, however, Iraq policy will be judged by the way the aftermath of military operations is handled politically. Precisely because of the precedent-setting nature of this war, its outcome will determine the way American actions will be viewed internationally far more than the way we entered it. And we may find many more nations willing to cooperate in reconstruction than in warfare if only because no country wants to see an exclusive position for America in a region so central to energy supplies and international stability. This could be the way to relate unilateral American action to an international system.

Military intervention will confront the U.S. with how to preserve the unity and ensure the territorial integrity of a country that is an essential component of any Gulf equilibrium. The conventional answer of a federal solution to enable the Shiite, Sunni and Kurdish ethnic groups of Iraq to live together without domination by one of them is appropriate.

But any serious planning would have to consider the means to prevent autonomy from turning to independence, which, in the case of the Kurds, would risk Turkish support for the military phase. And all this will have to take place in the context of a government with participants capable of resisting pressures from the remnants of the old regime or from neighboring countries determined to destabilize the emerging system.

Military intervention should be attempted only if we are willing to sustain such an effort for however long it is needed. For, in the end, the task is to translate intervention in Iraq into terms of general applicability for an international system. The imminence of proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, the huge dangers it involves, the rejection of a viable inspection system and the demonstrated hostility of Saddam Hussein all combine to produce an imperative for pre-emptive action. ...

But it is not in the American national interest to establish pre-emption as a universal principle available to every nation. And we are only at the beginning of the threat of global proliferation. Whatever the views regarding Iraq, the nations of the world must face the impossibility of letting such a process run unchecked.

The United States would contribute much to a new international order if it invited the rest of the world, and especially the major nuclear powers, to cooperate in creating a system to deal with this challenge to humanity on a more institutional basis.

#### IRAQI OPPOSITION CLAIMS SUPPORT AT U.S. TALKS

By Elaine Monaghan, *Daily News*, 08/09/02

WASHINGTON (*Reuters*)—Iraqi opposition chiefs, once belittled by a U.S. general as “silk-suited, Rolex-wearing guys in London,” said they had won encouragement from the Bush administration when they brought their case for ousting President Saddam Hussein to Washington on Friday.

“Our shared goal is that the Iraqi people should be free,” a senior U.S. official quoted Secretary of State Colin Powell as telling the opposition figures as he dropped in on their talks with senior U.S. officials.

“He (Powell) wished us well and encouraged us,” commented Ahmed Chalabi, visiting head of the U.S.-backed Iraqi National Congress umbrella group that has had troubled ties with Washington

due to alleged mismanagement of its funds.

They will also speak with Vice President Dick Cheney, currently on vacation at his home in Wyoming, by video link-up on Saturday. The session with Cheney is a measure of the importance Washington is attaching to the opposition after years of dismissing it as divided and ineffective.

Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld said he would also like to meet the visitors, if he could find time, but that he had not yet decided whether to take part in the link-up.

The Iraqis left after two hours of talks at the State Department saying their hosts, Undersecretary of State Marc Grossman and Undersecretary of Defense Douglas Feith, seemed more intent on overthrowing the chief criminal in Bush silk-suited, Rolex-wearing guy’s “axis of evil”.

“We sense more seriousness and (the) commitment from U.S. government to overthrow (the) Saddam regime and to work with the opposition,” said Hamid al-Bayati, a senior member of the Iran-based Supreme Counsel of Islamic Revolution, who spoke to reporters on behalf of the six groups at the talks.

Bayati said the groups represented, based in London and Tehran, would get a more complete opposition line-up together to hold a conference in Europe to build on their unity. ...

The opposition’s agreed goal was to end Saddam’s dictatorship and set up a **democratic government based on a federal structure(??)**, Bayati said.

Two rival Kurdish groups who have run northern Iraq since they took control of the Kurdish enclave after the 1991 Gulf War were also represented at the talks, commanding most of an estimated 40,000-member anti-Saddam force between them.

The United States sees developing relationships with the Iraqi opposition as an opportunity to create something for “the day after” the end of Saddam’s rule, rather than to actually facilitate an attack, U.S. officials say.

**Some analysts say it could take up to a quarter of a million American troops to overthrow the Iraqi leader.**

A White House official said on Friday that Bush has no timetable for deciding whether to take military action against Iraq and he may not make any decision this year.

The opposition wants Washington to bring about a democratic revolution rather than engineer a coup against Saddam [**JR: Say what!**—a message that seems to have got through to Washington.

**“Our vision is for a democratic Iraq with a government that respects the rights of its citizens and the rule of law, no longer threatens its neighbors, renounces the development and possession of weapons of mass destruction and maintains the territorial integrity of the country,”** Reeker said. [**JR: This brings tears to my eyes.**]

A decade ago Chalabi was among critics of President Bush’s father for failing to promote democratic reform in Iraq after his forces stood by while Saddam crushed widespread rebellions, killing thousands of his opponents.

Now Bush says he is determined to bring about “regime change” in Baghdad by whatever means he deems fit.

House Majority Leader Dick Armey, however, says it might be best to let Saddam “rant and rave” within his own borders.

“My own counsel to the president is we Americans do not make unprovoked attacks against other nations,” Armey, a Texas Republican, told a news conference on Thursday.

Retired Gen. Anthony Zinni, the outspoken special envoy to the Middle East, was quoted before he left the military as saying that helping the INC, which he described as “silk-suited, Rolex-wearing guys in London,” to “gin up an expedition” would be a disaster.

Comparing it to a CIA-backed Cuban-exile invasion that Fidel Castro crushed at the Bay of Pigs in 1961, he said such an attempt in Iraq would cause “A Bay of Goats, most likely.”

But an INC spokesman said on Thursday Bush had an easy task if he did attack, predicting no one would fight for Saddam.

Bush should hit Saddam’s power structure and cause minimal collateral damage to let Iraqis “rise up against Saddam Hussein,” the spokesman said.

The other invitees were the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan, the Kurdish Democratic Party, the Iranian-based Shiite Muslim opposition and Iraqi National Accord.

The Tehran-based Supreme Council for the Islamic Revolution in Iraq also has 3,000 fighters near Iraq’s border with Iran.

The London-based Iraqi National Accord, a group of former members of the ruling Baath party, also joined the talks.

**[JR: Emphases added: These “snake oil salesmen” really know the right words to make the Bushwackers sit up and take notice. Only us “senior citizens” can remember when these high ideals espoused by these silk-suited, Rolex-wearing guys applied to the U.S., especially the “rule of law”. There is no way that this will ever apply in Iraq. This reminds me of the U.S. backing of Castro in his drive to overthrow the terrible dictator Batista in Cuba. We certainly do know how to pick the right ones to support.]**

#### IRAQI OPPOSITION LEADER DENIES RECEIVING SUMS FROM CIA

*Arab News, Iraq, Politics*, 8/9/2002

Al-Sharif Ali Bin al-Hussein, who advocates the return of Monarchy to Iraq, and the spokesman for the Iraqi National Congress said on Thursday in Washington that the “congress” did not get sums from the American intelligence (CIA).

He said that an U.S. military attack against Iraq will most likely not face strong Iraqi resistance. He said that “the whole of Iraq opposes (the Iraqi President) Saddam Hussein”, referring specifically to the Iraqi army.

In a press conference he held at the Press Club in Washington, al-Sharif Bin Ali indicated that the “role of the Iraqi opposition is embodied in toppling Saddam Hussein and liberating Iraq.” He stressed he supports the foundation of a constitutional government in Baghdad under a royal rule. Al-Sharif Ali said that the congress will ask the U.S. administration in “one voice” in the meeting to be held with high ranking officials at the U.S. departments of State and Defense, to change the regime in Baghdad.

**[JR: This is unbelievable! They may sell this line to Washington and the sheeple but not to us CONTACT readers in the know. These are power hungry players in the greed game of monopoly and their word certainly isn’t their bond. Returning a Monarchy to Iraq or a so-called “constitutional government” in Baghdad under a “royal rule” will never benefit the Iraqi people. Claiming not to have received funding from the CIA is also ludicrous, as it is common knowledge the CIA funds**

**many covert insurrections in unstable countries or against those regimes the U.S. dislikes. Then to compound their lies they want us to believe a U.S. military attack against Iraq would be a cake walk, which would set us up for a lot of casualties. Should I repeat the obvious... TRUST NO ONE!**

UN REPORT ALLEGES  
U.S. MILITARY 'COVER UP'

*Toronto Star, 07/29/02*

KABUL (AP)—The UN office in Kabul, reviewing the killing of a large number of Afghan villagers by American warplanes earlier this month, has called for protection of civilian lives to “become a primary concern” of the U.S. operation here.

The U.S. command is conducting a joint investigation with Afghan authorities of the July 1 air attack in Uruzgan province. The UN statement stressed that an “in-depth” investigation is a “paramount necessity”.

David Singh, a UN spokesman, pointed out that the United Nations itself is not conducting an investigation. “It’s not our mandate,” he said.

Instead, he said, UN officials had gone on a “fact-finding mission” to the remote villages with local authorities, and later produced a preliminary report, which has not been released and will be the basis for a more comprehensive final report. He said he didn’t know when the final report would be released.

In an article today, the *Times* of London, which obtained a copy of the draft report, said it alleges that U.S. troops arriving at the scene after the air strikes “cleaned the area”, removing evidence of “shrapnel, bullets and traces of blood”. It quoted an unnamed UN source suggesting this indicated “an American cover-up.”

The UN statement, issued late today in response to the *Times* report, said the draft UN report contained “judgments that were not sufficiently substantiated.”...

Afghan officials said 48 civilians were killed and 117 wounded when a U.S. air force A-130 gunship, one of the most heavily armed of warplanes, raked a group of villages in the central Afghan province with devastating fire on July 1. Twenty-five of those killed were celebrants in a wedding party, the Afghans said, and most victims were women and children.

American defence officials later said aircrew members believed they were under anti-aircraft fire from the area. But no anti-aircraft weapons were reported found and no other evidence has emerged thus far to support that contention.

A joint air-and-ground mission of U.S. and allied forces was hunting for Taliban or al-Qaida holdouts in the rugged, mountainous area when it carried out the attack.

Col. Roger King, a U.S. command spokesman in Afghanistan, said the draft report description of what happened in the area “sounds remarkably like what the (U.S.) fact-finding team did”—that is, collect blood specks and ammunition samples as evidence upon first arriving at the scene after the attack.

The final UN report will offer a “more detailed and accurate picture of the circumstances and consequences of the bombing,” the UN statement said.

“At any rate,” the statement went on to say, the preliminary findings “bear out the paramount necessity that such incidents do not recur, both from a humanitarian and political perspective; that an in-depth investigation be carried out to ensure that such tragedies are not repeated; and that the protection of civilian lives becomes a primary concern

in the fight against terrorism in Afghanistan.”

The Pentagon has not disclosed the identities or the unit of the military personnel and aircraft involved in the attack.

King said the investigating team had completed their interviews and site visit and are preparing their report. “I would expect some kind of answer in the next two weeks,” he said.

Asked whether that report could lead to a criminal investigation under the military code, King said, “This could be the end of it or it could not be.” **[JR: Wars today require that we now have fact-finding teams to go into war zones to collect evidence to prove or disprove what actually happened. You would think that bodies and civilian casualties along with eyewitness testimony would be proof enough. Guess that is not relevant when you have a military snafu to own up to or explain away. The new rules of engagement allow for anything unless of course you get caught. We haven’t as yet developed a technology to distinguish civilians from a Taliban or al-Qaida as they all seem to look alike to a fighter pilot flying over the targeted area. When you only have a birds-eye view over the killing zones anything moving is the enemy. In this case it was a wedding party.]**

SPECIAL FORCES  
TAKE OVER HUNT FOR AL-QAIDA

By Peter Beaumont, *The Observer*—UK, 08/04/02

U.S. Defence Secretary Donald Rumsfeld has ordered the head of U.S. Special Forces to take over the hunt for senior al-Qaida leaders following frustration in the Bush administration that the war on terror has run out of steam.

Sources say that Rumsfeld has issued a classified memo to General Charles Holland, head of U.S. Special Operations command, ordering his troops to ‘capture or kill’ the top figures in al-Qaida.

The move comes amid growing concern among senior figures around President Bush over the way in which the Pentagon’s Central Command, led by General Tommy Franks, has been prosecuting the war on terrorism, not least the failure to seize key members of the al-Qaida leadership who have fled and gone to ground.

Disclosure of the confidential memo came as Rumsfeld met Holland on Friday to discuss strategies to ‘re-energise’ the war, now in its tenth month.

At the meeting, Holland is understood to have made the case for deploying Navy SEALs, Army Green Berets and the U.S. Army’s secretive Delta Force, to fight alongside the armed forces of countries where the al-Qaida leadership is suspected to have taken refuge.

The Rumsfeld memo includes not only Osama bin Laden but another half dozen or so key figures who are believed to pose the greatest threat of co-ordinating and ordering new terrorist attacks on the United States.

It will give Special Operations forces the ability to take advantage of the law that allows them to engage in counter-terrorism or ‘direct action’ missions to kill enemy forces.

During the meeting Holland is understood to have sought approval for a dramatic expansion of the U.S. Navy’s stop and search efforts against suspect shipping as well approval for Special Operations troops to accompany foreign forces on combat missions.

Administration sources—quoted in the *Washington Post*—added, however, that the thrust of the new efforts would be in missions that were ‘aggressive, unilateral and behind the scenes’

which would include assassination strikes.

Rumsfeld is reported to be impatient with the pace of planning for operations against al-Qaida, saying it had become rigid and stale.

**[JR: The U.S. is definitely going full steam ahead into the business of WAR! See below.]**

U.S. TO ADOPT TOUGHER TACTICS

By Liz Sly, *Tribune*, 08/11/02

BAGRAM, Afghanistan—U.S. forces are preparing to adopt a more aggressive strategy in the war on terrorism in Afghanistan in an effort to flush out al-Qaida forces still believed to be hiding out along the Afghan-Pakistani border, according to the commander of U.S. forces in Afghanistan.

Lt. Gen. Dan McNeill said U.S. troops will intensify their presence in areas where al-Qaida members are thought to be active in a deliberate attempt to draw them into battle.

“We expect to fight this fall... and we expect it to be frequent, with smaller groups spread over a bigger geographical area and contained in the eastern provinces,” McNeill said at Bagram air base, the headquarters of the 10,000 strong coalition force in Afghanistan.

McNeill said the new tactics result from the recognition that since Operation Anaconda in March, when U.S. ground forces attacked hundreds of al-Qaida fighters holed up in eastern Afghanistan’s Shah-e-Kot valley, al-Qaida had essentially become a reactive force of small, scattered groups responding to U.S. moves.

The goal will be to provoke al-Qaida fighters into situations that will enable U.S. forces to engage and destroy them, he said.

“Many suggest the enemy we face has been adaptive, but in truth reactive is a better term,” he said, adding that allied forces will “present situations faster than the enemy can react” to them.

“We will be out there in greater numbers. We will do things to deny this enemy a chance to get set, a chance to have a safe, comfortable moment, and when we encounter the enemy, we will engage the enemy in ways that will settle the issue,” he said.

The approach could result in more U.S. casualties, he warned.

“It would not be my goal to get more casualties, but I don’t delude myself that this is a dangerous place,” he said. “If the enemy does indeed present himself in certain ways and we do have contact, there is a probability of casualties.”

Three clashes in the past week involving U.S. forces in eastern Afghanistan indicate the likely pattern of the war in the weeks ahead, he said. U.S. soldiers killed six Afghans suspected of affiliation with al-Qaida who had opened fire on them in two encounters in Kunar province, and a U.S. soldier was wounded by a sniper while on patrol in Paktika province.

“The events of the last week, the smaller contacts, are definitive of what we will see in this war,” McNeill said.

“We are doing some different things,” he added. “I can’t say what.”

The strategy shift comes after months of stalemate in the war in Afghanistan. Since March, U.S. forces have conducted frequent search operations at suspected al-Qaida hide-outs but have uncovered little evidence of al-Qaida fighters.

Because there have been no significant encounters with al-Qaida forces since Operation Anaconda, the U.S.



approach has increasingly been called into question. Many Afghan and U.S. critics wonder what the U.S. military is doing in Afghanistan and why it is doing it.

The July 1 bombing of a wedding party in a village in the southern Afghan province of Uruzgan in which 46 Afghan civilians were reported killed focused attention on the fact that Afghan civilians still are dying in the U.S. war to hunt down al-Qaida, and that has prompted calls from Afghan government officials for change. ...

McNeill said there was no question that al-Qaida forces are in eastern Afghanistan, even though most of the senior leaders hunted in connection with the Sept. 11 attacks are believed to have fled to Pakistan. Among them is, perhaps, Osama bin Laden, who is rumored to be hiding in Pakistan's tribal areas. ...

Although Pakistan has pledged its full cooperation in the war against terrorism, the tribal areas bordering Afghanistan remain largely off-limits to the Pakistani army. Also, the Pakistani government has not given the U.S. permission to pursue al-Qaida across the border, one of the biggest sources of frustration for the U.S. military.

**[JR: As Scarlet O'Hara would lament... war, war, war. The U.S. military is feverishly engaged in planning assaults on several fronts in the war against terrorism. These operations planned for Afghanistan are ready to go forward this fall and may be one of several, which might include Iraq. I suspect this to be the plan of the war hawks in the DOD whose idea of a fireworks display to commemorate the first year anniversary of 911 is to engage in a war on several fronts. It also gives them the perfect opportunity to further their ongoing plans to promote the Bush Administration Zionist war policies. The wars will also act as a wake up call for all the red, white and blue patriots after their summer of fun and forgetfulness. Many Americans support a U.S. invasion of other countries and having THEIR cities blown into rubble because their misfortunes lull us into a false sense of security. This really pleases Washington because so many haven't as yet seen through the lies. The regrouping of the al-Qaida means that we are going to engage the enemy face to face in battles we anticipate to be fierce and may result in a number of U.S. casualties. While the enemy is in our gun sights many of our troops will be in the gun sights of our enemies. The propagandist in the media did make mention that bin Laden was spotted "planning operations". They didn't go into the details as to where he was seen and by whom, so we have to assume that such information has to remain classified.]**

#### O'NEILL HAS CHANGE OF HEART ON ARGENTINA AID

By Simon Gardner and Anna Willard,  
*Daily News*, 08/08/02

BUENOS AIRES (*Reuters*)—U.S. Treasury Secretary Paul O'Neill, in a sudden burst of optimism Wednesday about Argentina, offered to try to smooth over differences between the struggling South American nation and the International Monetary Fund.

His overture came as protesters took to the streets to blame him for their country's economic mess, and it marked a departure from recent comments when he suggested aid for the region could end up in Swiss bank accounts.

Argentina, seeking a deal to avoid default on IMF loans, was the final stop on O'Neill's four-day tour of Latin American financial hotspots. Uruguay and Brazil were visited during his trip, which ended with him returning to Washington on Wednesday evening.

"We had a very good discussion this morning and there was an emphasis on the resolution by the government to move forward quickly in the discussions with the IMF," O'Neill told reporters. "And I indicated to [Argentine Economy Minister Roberto Lavagna] our understanding of the desire to move quickly and our support for moving as quickly as possible."

The IMF, in which Washington has the biggest

vote, cut off aid to Argentina last December and months of tortuous talks have failed to restart it.

Argentina has requested a delay in debt repayments—of about \$7 billion—owed to the fund and other multilateral banks this year. Default to any of them would make borrowing more difficult, and analysts fear the government will be forced to choose between using falling foreign currency reserves to pay debt over backing the currency.

O'Neill said there is plenty of time to make a decision before a large debt repayment to the fund comes due in September. He said Lavagna is thinking about finishing the draft agreement with the IMF by Monday.

"Then there's six weeks to work out the details with the IMF and, if they need it, with our intervention, so a decision can be reached," he said.

On Tuesday night, O'Neill said he saw no reason for Argentina to default on the IMF loans. The next day, he cautioned it was still too early to tell if a deal could be worked out.

While O'Neill spoke, a crowd waved protest banners. His arrival on Tuesday was met by protests of thousands of unemployed and leftists who blame Washington and the IMF for helping to sink the economy through ill-advised policies.

They burned a U.S. flag and an effigy of O'Neill, whose visit to a Ford auto plant was called off for what a company spokesman called "security and schedule reasons" after workers threatened to walk out in protest.

On the Roman Catholic saint's day of San Cayetano, patron saint of the unemployed, thousands of people lined up across town at a church dedicated to him to pray for jobs and bread.

More than half the population is now in poverty in a wheat- and cattle-rich country that was one of the world's wealthiest in the early 20th Century.

Cut off from aid and crushed by a worsening recession, Argentina was forced to cease payments on part of its debt, which now stands at \$104 billion, in January. It floated its peso, which has fallen 70 percent against the dollar, slashing the value of savings.

Prices have risen 34.7 percent this year and a fifth of Argentines are now unemployed.

O'Neill wanted to meet some of the victims of the recession in person and visited a small World Bank-funded school in the poor Buenos Aires suburb of Merlo, where 59 percent of inhabitants live in poverty and joblessness is more than 40 percent.

At a stop, he lifted a pair of 2-year-old girls, both dressed in shabby clothes, and sat them on his lap. A crowd formed around the building to peer in, but tight security did not allow much contact with the public, and his 20-car motorcade earlier sped past buildings sprayed with slogans like "O'Neill Stay Away".

**[JR: O'Neill, the grim reaper of the poor is making his ill will tour to the countries that the raiders from the IMF/WB have succeeded in looting and destroying. The greedy people who sold out their countries have already secured their loot. This bailout is going to prop up the sagging banks like and J.P. Morgan Chase that hold the loans of these countries on the verge of default. O'Neill is trying to save the Fed from its own wrecking ball.]**

#### BLASTS RATTLE COLOMBIAN INAUGURATION DAY

By T. Christian Miller and Mauricio Hoyos,  
*Los Angeles Times*, 08/08/02

BOGOTA, Colombia—In an attack blamed on leftist rebels, mortars rained down on Colombia's presidential palace and downtown neighborhoods Wednesday just moments before Alvaro Uribe, who has vowed to crush the guerrillas, was sworn in as president nearby.

Uribe swore before the nation's Congress to restore order to the war-torn nation, apparently unaware that several blasts had just rocked historic downtown Bogota, killing at least 14 and wounding 69.

Neither Uribe nor any of the dozens of heads of state and diplomats attending the ceremony were injured. The U.S. delegation was headed by Trade Representative Robert Zoellick and Ambassador Anne Patterson.

The attacks were a terrifying demonstration of how Colombia's internal conflict, which pits leftist guerrillas against the army and right-wing paramilitaries, is escalating. The explosions were a grim indication of the guerrillas' power, and a signal of the dark days ahead for Colombia, a nation racked by deepening anarchy, war and drug trafficking. ...

The hard-line president urged an end to the country's 40-year-old internal conflict even as ambulances and army soldiers rushed to scenes of destruction only a few blocks away. ...

After the inauguration, Uribe left Congress and was immediately met on the steps by the nation's top generals. As the nation watched on television, he calmly received news of the attacks in his first emergency security council meeting.

Only hours later, he delivered a proposed referendum to Congress, seeking greater powers for the executive branch to deal with the country's escalating conflict.

Authorities blamed the blasts on urban militias of the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia, or FARC, the country's largest guerrilla group. Guerrillas have recently launched an all-out war against the symbols of South America's oldest democracy, threatening mayors, congressmen and now the president.

The United States has become increasingly involved in the conflict, with Congress recently voting to allow military equipment previously devoted to combating drugs to be used in the battle against the rebels. Bush administration officials have promised that no ground troops will be sent to the embattled country, though military trainers are already in place. ...

The mortar attack—apparently launched from a home in a poor neighborhood less than half a mile west of the palace—took place despite strict security measures. More than 200,000 police and soldiers patrolled the nation's streets, including 12,000 police officers in Bogota alone. About 25 square blocks around the presidential palace were closed off, all air traffic was shut down and a U.S. spy plane watched from the skies above. ...

Uribe, who survived a previous assassination attempt while campaigning in April, was elected in May largely on his promise to crack down on the leftist rebels, who have terrorized the country. Uribe's father was killed by guerrillas in 1983.

**[JR: After forty years the Colombian government has not been able to stem the violence that has plagued their country. The problem lies in the fact that their "leaders" still evolve from the same ruling classes who have managed to hold on to their power for centuries. It helps that they are being shielded with assistance from countries such as the U.S. The autocratic policies of these privileged few have added to the discontent that has turned into violence, and evolved into acts of covert insurrection. The U.S. is only too happy to offer its military arms assistance not to fight drugs but to preserve and protect the status quo. The terrorists have sent an ominous message to Pres. Uribe and that is that despite your army of 200,000 troops and police you were not able to prevent us from sending you our message of terror. Since Uribe's inauguration he has been given sweeping powers to impose new taxes to pay for more soldiers and police, restrictions on citizens' movements, detentions without warrants and wiretaps without court approval. He has all the power any dictator needs to maintain control.]**

FED CHIEF GREENSPAN KNIGHTED*Daily News, 08/06/02*

WASHINGTON (AP)—He won't get to call himself "Sir Alan" but Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan—often known as the second most powerful man in the United States—will get another title: knight.

Queen Elizabeth II has approved an honorary knighthood for Greenspan's "outstanding contribution to global economic stability", the British Treasury announced Tuesday. A copy of the release was distributed in Washington.

Greenspan will receive the award when he next visits the United Kingdom. A Federal Reserve official would not say when that would be.

"I am most privileged to accept this honor," Greenspan said in a statement. "I have valued my close relationships with the Bank of England and with many Chancellors of the Exchequer, going back nearly 30 years," he said.

The award also recognizes "the benefit that the UK has received from the wisdom and skill" with which Greenspan has led the Federal Reserve Board, the British Treasury said.

Greenspan will mark his 15th year as chairman of the Fed on Aug. 11.

American citizens admitted to British Orders of Chivalry do not get the right to be called "Sir," but Greenspan will be entitled to place the letters KBE—for Knight Commander of the British Empire—after his name, the British Treasury said.

Others Americans who have received honorary knighthoods include former New York City Mayor Rudolph Giuliani, former presidents Bush and Reagan, and entertainer Bob Hope.

**[JR: Knighting of Greenspan perhaps confirms that he and the Federal Reserve are tied into the English political structure, primarily to the Committee of 300. You know... the crowd that operates out of the "City" the center of London where the Rothschilds *et al.*, have their power center for world financial controls. Now, why is Greenspan being Knighted? Well, could it be that some massive worldwide financial rigging is about to be performed, and it may be that he has set it into motion. Stand by, for some strange financial events are about to happen.]**

EU ADAPTS UN'S "NGO STRATEGY"*The New American, 07/15/02*

In recent years, many conservative and pro-family groups in the U.S. and elsewhere have created UN-accredited non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in order to participate in UN conferences and summits. Such activists reason that there is value in having a seat at the table to network with like-minded people from other nations to restrain the more outrageous elements of the UN's agenda, particularly with respect to social issues such as abortion and homosexuality.

The problem with this approach is that it actually enhances the UN's power, prestige, and credibility, and forces traditionalists who choose the NGO route to support the "purposes and principles" of the UN as a condition for accreditation. And in any case, the UN always arranges to control what it calls "international civil society" by funding an ever-expanding chorus of radical NGOs, thereby ensuring that genuinely independent voices are shouted down.

In a critique of the European Union's constitutional convention published in the June 8th issue of *The Spectator* of London, Daniel Hannan, a Conservative Member of the European Parliament (MEP), describes how this charade functions in the EU. To the Eurocrats, writes Hannan, "the goal of a united Europe is a self-evident good, and anyone who opposes it is either foolish or wicked. If ordinary voters are insufficiently enthusiastic, they must be better educated. If they persist in voting the wrong way,

they must be made to change their minds." Delegates to the "Future of Europe Convention" do not reflect the sentiments of their constituents at home; instead, they are drawn from among "those with a particular interest in European integration—often the chairmen of their respective [nations'] European committees."

"Thus despite the fact that Ireland voted against the Nice Treaty last year, not one of its Convention delegates opposes it," continues Hannan. "The only time that France was allowed a direct vote on closer integration was the Maastricht referendum of 1992, when half the country voted 'no'; but not one French MP on the Convention is anti-federalist. Opinion polls across the EU as a whole have consistently shown that between 35 and 40 percent of the people would like to scrap the euro; yet only some 10 percent of delegates to the Convention could be classed as euroskeptics."

Additionally, the architects of what Mikhail Gorbachev called the "new European soviet" have taken a page from the UN's playbook by creating a forum for NGOs representing "international civil society". This would be dominated by NGOs such as "the European Movement, the Union of European Federalists, the European Women's Lobby, the European Council of Artists, the European Humanist Federation, [and] the European Social Action Network. These are not simply NGOs that happen to be pro-EU. They are, in many cases, creatures of the European Commission, dependent on Brussels for their funding."

**[JR: So what all this says is that the only NGOs that promote the UN's ideals for social structuring will be allowed to have a voice and a place in the megalithic structure of the World Government. This will leave out many that are still guided by conscience and are able to think as individuals and are not easily swayed by the protocols that govern the politically correct. They are motivated by an inherent desire to work for the good of the many and not just for the few. Their knowledge, awareness and independence will help guide them through this world of censure and control. You are not alone in this maze, CONTACT readers.]**

GEORGIA'S U.S. STAND RILES RUSSIA*Daily News, 08/08/02*

TBILISI, Georgia (AP)—This former Soviet state would consider allowing U.S. troops to join in anti-terrorist operations in Georgian territory, its top security official said Wednesday, although he conceded Washington has not inquired about such a move.

Still, the statement is a further rebuke to Moscow, which is angry that Georgia will not permit Russian troops to cross into the lawless Pankisi Gorge to seek out separatist rebels from the neighboring region of Chechnya.

U.S. military instructors arrived in May to train Georgian troops, but both sides insisted Americans would not be involved in military operations or even travel to Pankisi. The gorge has been pointed to as a possible new arena in the U.S.-led campaign against international terrorism because extremists with al-Qaida links may be holed up in the area.

Tedo Dzhaparidze, secretary of Georgia's Security Council, said Washington had not offered to deploy U.S. troops for operations. But, he added, "When we get it, we will be ready to consider it."

The remark is likely to rile the Kremlin, where some top officials are upset over the presence of U.S. military trainers in the former Soviet republic.

Long-standing tensions have been aggravated this week by the Georgian government's rejection of a request that it hand over Chechen rebels who crossed into Georgia late last week.

The country's chief prosecutor, Nugzar Gabrichidze, said Tuesday that he wanted proof of

criminal activities before considering extradition.

On Wednesday, Russian Foreign Minister Igor Ivanov expressed "bewilderment and concern" over the stance by Georgian authorities.

"Once again, the words of the Georgian leadership differ from deeds. This inconsistent position of Tbilisi causes bewilderment and concern. Again, the question arises: Does Georgia really intend to seriously fight terrorism?" Ivanov said in a statement.

Russian President Vladimir Putin warned Monday that the Kremlin would judge Georgia's readiness to rein in Chechen rebels on its territory by how swiftly it turned over the rebels.

**[JR: Here is another front in Central Asia that our U.S. military has become involved in. It will take but a phone call from Washington, probably from the hawk himself, Rumsfeld, to engage us in another action. The Georgian bureaucrats were all paid handsomely to agree to our presence in a territory that was once a part of Russia. The U.S.'s goal is not to chase the few terrorists hiding out in the Pankisi Gorge but to bait Russia. If Georgia had asked, Moscow would have been only too happy to send Russian troops to help protect and to train Georgian troops. The Russians might have also thrown in a few rubles in the spirit of cooperation along with enough vodka to make everyone happy. Now Georgia risks Moscow's intervention in her own fight against Chechen terrorists.]**

FED. TREASURY STATEMENTS ONLY EVADE QUESTIONS ABOUT U.S. GOLD POLICY

By James Turk, Editor,

*The Freemarket Gold & Money Report, 08/04/02*

There has been a flurry of letters from the Federal Reserve and the Treasury Department responding to various inquiries about the federal government's activity in the gold market. Not surprisingly, these letters make the same contention as other letters I have seen in the past—specifically, they contend that the federal government has not been intervening in the gold market.

The Fed and Treasury letters were written in response to inquiries by various supporters of the Gold Anti-Trust Action Committee Inc. (www.GATA.org) who had asked their congressional representatives to investigate the evidence presented by GATA that the gold price is being managed by the federal government, working in concert with a few large bullion banks. Because these Fed and Treasury letters mention me and some of my work, I am compelled to respond.

The letter from the Federal Reserve to U.S. Sen. Christopher J. Dodd is the easiest to deal with. Written by Donald Winn, assistant to the board, it states: "Contrary to Mr. Turk's assertions, the Federal Reserve has not engaged in a gold swap with the Bundesbank."

Interestingly, I have never asserted that the Fed has been engaged in gold swaps. I have always contended that the gold swaps are being made by the U.S. Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF). So this statement by Winn is curious. It makes clear that Winn has not read my work, or alternatively, he is attempting to disinform those who read his letter.

Please keep an open mind about this last point. Before you conclude that the Fed would not deliberately attempt to disinform members of Congress or the public, consider the word game being played by Winn with the following statement: "As Chairman Greenspan has previously stated, the Federal Reserve owns no gold and therefore could not sell or lease gold to influence its price."

This statement is accurate. The Fed owns gold certificates that give it a claim to the U.S. gold reserve; the Fed does not own bullion itself. But what's important about this statement is what is left unsaid. We all know that

the Fed does not own gold, but does it OWE gold?

If the Fed borrowed gold, it would not own that gold. But that physical bullion it borrowed could be sold or leased to influence the gold price. Is the Fed doing that? Winn isn't saying.

The Treasury Department letters are written by Assistant Secretary John Duncan. The ones I've seen are identical, and I'll use his letter to Sen. Mitch McConnell for reference.

The letter starts well enough: "The article ['Accounting for the ESF's Gold Swaps'] makes several assertions. I will respond to each of them in turn."

Unfortunately, as we'll soon see, Duncan doesn't. But there is a bigger problem with his letter.

Duncan implies that he has authority to speak on behalf of the Exchange Stabilization Fund. But he doesn't. Only the treasury secretary and the president have that authority. And neither of them will respond in writing to the evidence that the ESF is indeed engaging in gold swaps.

So this letter from the hapless Duncan is pure disinformation because he does not have any authority to speak for the ESF. But let's take a look at it anyway because we'll see from it that the disinformation campaign continues.

Here is what Duncan says I am asserting: "The Consolidated Financial Statement (CFS) indicates that the Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF) has liabilities in the form of Special Drawing Rights (SDR) Certificates and of SDR allocations from the International Monetary Fund. Information on this has not previously been published."...

Duncan more accurately states the next assertion he says I make. "The ESF exchanges SDR Certificates with the German Bundesbank in return for gold to put on the market through off-balance sheet loans. This exchange is why the SDR Certificates disappeared from the ESF's books."

That is my point precisely, and here is Duncan's response.

"By law, the secretary of the treasury is authorized to issue SDR Certificates only to the Federal Reserve System. The SDR certificates went off the ESF's books because they were redeemed by the Treasury Department with the secretary's authorization. The visible result in the ESF's balance sheet was a decline in the liability item for SDR certificates and a corresponding decline in the asset item for the ESF's holdings of U.S. government securities at the Bureau of Public Debt. The ESF redeemed the U.S. government securities in return for dollars to use in redeeming the SDR certificates from the Federal Reserve System."...

Duncan is being evasive. Because of Duncan's long-winded technical explanation, the casual reader may think that Duncan answered the question, and will then read on to the next point. But by not denying my assertion, Duncan leaves my assertion unchallenged. So I take his reply as a "yes"—that the ESF is exchanging redeemed SDR certificates with the German Bundesbank in return for gold.

The third and final statement by Duncan to explain my assertions is: "The CFS [Consolidated Financial Statement of the U.S. government] and the Treasury Bulletin report different amounts of foreign exchange reserves because the CFS presentation nets out foreign exchange liabilities."

Mr. Duncan's response here is interesting. "The difference reflects the fact that the Treasury Bulletin reports total U.S. reserve assets and that this total includes the foreign currency assets of the Federal Reserve System, which is not a U.S. Government agency and therefore is not covered in the CFS. The CFS covers only the reserve assets held by the Treasury Department."

Some readers may not be aware that the Federal Reserve is not part of the U.S. government. The Fed is privately owned, so Duncan is saying that it is not consolidated into the financial statements of the federal government.

But that leaves unanswered the question as to why the Fed's assets are reported as U.S. reserve assets in the *Treasury Bulletin*. Is it to make the U.S. reserve assets look bigger than they would otherwise be if the Fed's assets were excluded from the report appearing in the monthly *Treasury Bulletin*?

So again, we are left with more questions than answers.

It need not be that way. All we need is for the treasury secretary or the president—who are the only two people with authority to speak for the ESF—to simply explain the evidence presented, compelling evidence that the ESF is engaging in gold transactions.

Explain the evidence. If it is not related to gold transactions, then explain what it is.

Just by explaining the evidence, the treasury secretary or the president could put an end to this so-called speculation that the ESF is engaging in gold transactions. ...

But there is nary a word from either the treasury secretary or the president denying that the ESF is engaging in gold transactions. I wonder why.

#### FEDS WITHHOLD CRUCIAL WTC EVIDENCE

By Christopher Bollyn, *American Free Press*, 08/08/02

Evidence debunking the official explanation for the collapse of the World Trade Center is being kept secret by the U.S. Dept. of Justice on a flimsy pretext.

The U.S. Dept of Justice has ordered secrecy measures to keep the contents of a 'lost tape' of firefighters' voices at the World Trade Center from being made public. The reason for the secrecy surrounding the 78-minute audiotape is because it evidently debunks the accepted explanation that intense jet fuel fires melted the towers steel beams and caused the collapses.

The *New York Times* recently revealed the existence of the tape of radio transmissions between firefighters of the New York Fire Department (NYFD), which proves that at least two men had reached the 78th floor Sky Lobby of the South Tower. The firefighters had reported about the fires and casualties they encountered and begun evacuating the survivors.

The article said that firefighters reached the crash zone on the 78th floor, where they went to the aid of grievously injured people trapped in a sprawl of destruction. While the *Times* article raises as many questions as it answers, it points to a reason for the secrecy: "Once they got there," the article says, "they had a coherent plan for putting out the fires they could see and helping victims who survived."

The report names two of the firefighters who were at the crash site: Battalion Chief Orio J. Palmer, who was organizing the evacuation of injured people, and Fire Marshal Ronald P. Bucca. Both men died in the collapse. 343 NYFD firefighters perished on 9/11.

#### **"TWO POCKETS OF FIRE"**

The voices of the firefighters "showed no panic, no sense that events were racing beyond their control," the *Times* wrote. "At that point, the building would be standing for just a few more minutes, as the fire was weakening the structure on the floors above him. Even so, Chief Palmer could see only two pockets of fire, and called for a pair of engine companies to fight them."

"I didn't hear fear, I didn't hear panic," Palmer's widow said. "When the tape is made public to the world, people will hear that they all went about their jobs without fear, and selflessly."

The fact that veteran firefighters had 'a coherent plan for putting out' the 'two pockets of fire' indicates they judged the blazes to be manageable. These reports from the scene of the crash provide crucial evidence debunking the government's unfounded claim that a raging steel-melting inferno led to the tower's collapse. As the FEMA 'Building Performance Assessment'

report says, "Temperatures may have been as high as 900 - 1,100 C. (1,700 - 2,000 F.) in some areas."

"If FEMA's temperature estimates are correct, the interiors of the towers were furnaces capable of casting aluminum and glazing pottery," Eric Hufschmid, author of the book *Time for Painful Questions* writes. Yet the voices on the tape prove that several firefighters were able to work 'without fear' for an extended period at the point of the crash, and that the fires they encountered there were neither intense nor large.

Incredibly, the South Tower literally disintegrated in less than an hour after being hit by a plane, which impacted between its 78th and 84th floors. "Fire has never caused a steel building to collapse," Hufschmid writes, "so, how did a 56-minute fire bring down a steel building as strong as the South Tower?"

Hufschmid's forthcoming book presents compelling evidence that explosives caused the towers to collapse.

Pointing to the Meridian Plaza fire in Philadelphia in 1991, Hufschmid writes: "The Meridian Plaza fire was extreme, but it did not cause the building to collapse. The fire in the South Tower seems insignificant by comparison to both the Meridian Plaza fire and the fire in the North Tower. How could the tiny fire in the South Tower cause the entire structure to shatter into dust after 56 minutes while much more extreme fires did not cause the Meridian Plaza building to even crack into two pieces?"

The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PA), the bi-state authority and owner of the World Trade Center, retrieved the 'lost tape'. A spokesman for the authority, Greg Trevor, told *AFP* that the tape was found in PA police offices at 5 WTC, 'two or three weeks' after 9/11. The PA police monitored radio transmissions from the WTC.

Because of an unexplained delay in producing the tape it was believed 'for months' that firefighters had gone no higher than about the 50th floor in each tower. The delay, Trevor said, was due to the time required to transfer the voice data to 'encrypted CDs'.

In January or February, the PA offered a copy of the tape to NYFD officials, who reportedly declined the offer because they did not want to sign the confidentiality agreement as demanded by the PA. The *Independent* (UK) added that the PA 'held back from sharing it with police and only relinquished it on condition that a confidentiality agreement was signed.'

"That's not correct," Trevor told *AFP* regarding the allegation that the PA had withheld the tape from the police. The PA had only handled the tape "under the instruction of the U.S. Attorney's office," he said.

A spokesman for the NYPD expressed surprise when *AFP* asked if the police had conducted a criminal investigation into the events at the WTC. Spokesman Bernard Gifford said NYPD had not pursued a criminal investigation of 9/11 having 'turned it over' to the FBI. Gifford wouldn't say when this occurred, although Joe Valiquette of the New York office of the FBI told *AFP* that the federal bureau had run the investigation 'from the moment it happened'.

On August 2, the relatives of the 16 firefighters whose voices were identified on the tape were allowed to hear their last words in a New York City hotel. The families were first required to sign a statement prepared by lawyers they would not disclose what was said on the tape.

#### **BECOMING PUBLIC?**

Despite the fact that the contents of the tape are being kept secret, the *Times* article says, "Only now, nearly a year after the attacks, are the efforts of Chief Palmer, Mr. Bucca and others becoming public. City fire officials simply delayed listening to a 78-minute tape that is the only known recording of firefighters inside the towers."

While Fire Commissioner Nicholas Scoppetta said he had not known the tape existed until 'very recently', both the *Times* and *CNN* err in claiming that the NYFD is the

agency behind the extreme secrecy. “The Fire Department has forbidden anyone to discuss the contents publicly on the ground that the tape might be evidence in the trial of Zacarias Moussaoui, the man accused of plotting with the hijackers,” the *Times* said.

When *AFP* asked the NYFD why the only conversations between firefighters engaged at the scene of the crash had to be kept secret because of Zacarias Moussaoui, who was in prison in Minnesota at the time, the spokesman replied, “Take it up with the Dept. of Justice.”

#### WHAT EXPLOSIONS?

Asked about the numerous reports by eyewitnesses, including firefighters, of explosions inside the towers before they collapsed, Mike Logrin, spokesman for the NYFD, said, “We’re pretty sure there weren’t bombs in the building.” Logrin said there was “no evidence of explosions, and for scientific evidence” about the collapse recommended viewing a television program. “Didn’t you see the *NOVA* [PBS] special on the collapse?” he asked.

On September 11 the British Broadcasting Corp. (*BBC*) interviewed one of its New York-based reporters, Steve Evans, who was in the second tower when it was hit.

Evans reported: “I was at the base of the 2nd tower, the second tower that was hit. There was an explosion—I didn’t think it was an explosion—but the base of the building shook. I felt it shake—then when we were outside, the second explosion happened and then there was a series of explosions. We can only wonder at the kind of damage—the kind of human damage—which was caused by those explosions—those series of explosions,” he said.

Evans is a professional journalist and although his observations of explosions in the second tower should be taken into account, they are not. Numerous eyewitnesses reported seeing or hearing explosions, but these reports have been avoided by the agencies supposedly leading the investigation.

Valiquette of the FBI told *AFP* that he had not “heard anything” about reports of explosions in the building and that he had “never heard any discussion of it” in the FBI’s New York office.

#### COMMUNISM, ZIONISM & FEMINISM SHARE NEW WORLD ORDER PEDIGREE

##### **Mankind Is Entering A New Dark Age**

By Henry Makow, Ph.D. (henrym@mts.net), *rense.com*, 8/14/02

George Orwell’s warning in *1984* of the enslavement of humanity in a **global police state** was not an idle exercise. It was coming true in 1949 when the novel was published. The attack on the World Trade Center Sept. 11, staged by NWO controllers with Hollywood flair, heralded the next phase in this centuries-old plot.

Veteran journalist Douglas Reed, writing in 1951, was also aware: “We might logically assume that a primary object of any third war, though cloaked at the start, would be the expansion of the Zionist State, and the imposition of a ‘world federation’ and a new ‘management’ of mankind. In the aftermath of the Second War such aims, earlier concealed, were much more openly admitted by leading politicians.” (*Far and Wide*, p.291)

In 1913, the shareholders of the Federal Reserve took a major step toward the enslavement of humanity when they finagled the right to create money out of thin air—based on the credit of the American people. This monopoly which rightly is the responsibility of Congress, is worth more than \$360 billion annually in interest alone. They are doing everything to protect this monopoly, including the establishment of a global police state.

I discovered this mind-boggling intrigue last December when I probed for the reason why the “establishment” actively promotes the socially destructive Marxist lesbian ideology of “feminism”.

By “feminism” I am NOT referring to the right of women to fair and equal treatment in the workplace. That is a façade for a far more pernicious agenda, which I discuss elsewhere. (<http://www.savethemales.ca/031001.html>).

#### **This week I became aware that Communism and Zionism are also part of the same pattern.**

If you wanted to enslave humanity, would you announce your intentions?

Rather, you would bait your hook with promises of public ownership, sharing and universal brotherhood. This appeals to the public’s naivete, idealism, and self-interest.

At its heart, Communism is a system of social control and oppression dedicated to smashing the pillars of Western civilization: nationhood, democracy, Christianity, free enterprise and the nuclear family. It cares for no other principle than raw power and exercises it ruthlessly.

Members of the Comintern referred derisively to people who bought the party line as “innocents”. This is the Communist “modus operandi”. There is a publicly stated goal (like equal rights) that appeals to everyone. Only the inner circle knows that the true agenda is to subvert social institutions and gain arbitrary power.

The Soviet Union was the bankers’ original version of the “New World Order” (the Communists used that term). In *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, which is on line, Antony Sutton describes how the bankers and their allies engineered the Russian Revolution and bankrolled the Soviet Union. ([http://reformed-theology.org/html/books/bolshevik\\_revolution/\\_/](http://reformed-theology.org/html/books/bolshevik_revolution/_/))

Because the same financiers control our media and education system, this was news to me. Likewise, it was surprising to learn that **Jews dominated Communist Russia from its inception.** (<http://www.hoffman-info.com/communist.html>.)

If you want to undermine the social order, you empower minorities that are ostracized by society. In the Jewish people, the bankers, who were often Jewish themselves, found an ideal instrument for their NWO agenda. In *The Last days of the Romanovs* (1920), Robert Wilton writes, “Taken according to the number of population, the Jews represented one in ten; among the commissars that rule Bolshevik Russia they are nine in ten, if anything the proportion of Jews is still higher.” (391)

Marxism appealed to a sublimated religious urge on the part of largely atheistic Jews to “build a better world” on a secular humanistic basis. When their usefulness was over, many of these Jews were imprisoned or liquidated. Arthur Koestler is an example of many Communist Jewish writers who recorded his disillusionment (see *The God that Failed*, *The Invisible Writing*, *Darkness at Noon*). Ayn Rand, a Russian Jewess, also denounced Communism and extolled individualism and freedom (see *Fountainhead*, *Atlas Shrugged*).

Nevertheless we don’t hear much about atrocities that Jewish Communists perpetrated in the USSR. (<http://www.jewwatch.com/jew-occupiedgovernments-USSR.html#anchor1218070>.) They attempted to eradicate all vestiges of the traditional Czarist social structure. In the collectivization of the Ukrainian farmers (kulaks), an estimated 10 million people were starved or shot. Millions more died in mass executions in the Baltic, massacres of Cossacks and Volga Germans, in the genocide of three million Muslims, and in Gulag slave labor camps.

As a Canadian Jew, and the son of Holocaust survivors, I feel an obligation to educate myself about Jewish responsibility in this era in Russian history.

Like Communism, I’m afraid Zionism may be another example of how the bankers manipulate the Jewish people for their own ends. Most Israelis would be happy to live in peace within the 1967 borders. They are not aware of a possible hidden agenda: Israel may have been funded for the purpose of imposing New World Order hegemony on the Middle East, or even the world.

Israel doesn’t make a lot of sense in any other

terms. The Israeli founding elite mostly originated in Russia where after 1917 Jews apparently had a privileged position. One of Stalin’s first acts was to make anti-Semitism “punishable by death”. **The Zionist elite was atheist so the biblical injunction to return to the Holy Land was for propaganda purposes only.**

In his book *Fallen Pillars: U.S. Policy Towards Palestine Since 1945* (1995), Donald Neff tells how in 1919 Hugh Gibson, the newly installed ambassador to Poland, was chastised by Col. Edward Mandell House for reporting that Zionists were exaggerating Anti-Semitism in Poland for their own purposes. House threatened to block Gibson’s confirmation in the Senate. Also present: American Zionist chiefs Louis Brandeis and Felix Frankfurter.

Who was Col. House? You won’t hear about him in establishment histories.

He was Rothschild’s agent and President Woodrow Wilson’s handler. He later controlled FDR with the help of Bernard Baruch, Louis Howe and Harry Hopkins.

Gibson was young enough (36) to be incensed. He wrote a 21-page report for his colleagues in which he voiced his suspicion that the Zionists had “a conscienceless cold-blooded plan to make the condition of the Jews in Poland so bad that they must turn to Zionism for relief”. (<http://www.jewwatch.com/jew-worldconspiracies-zionism-fallenpillars.html>)

One wonders if Jewish immigration to the U.S. and elsewhere from Nazi-occupied Europe was curtailed for the same reason.

Modern feminism is another NWO Communist front inspired and led by Marxist Jews. Most of the leaders of the “second wave” were “red-diaper babies”, so called because their parents were Communists. They are characterized by a bizarre conviction that Western Civilization is evil because it was created by men, and therefore needs to be torn down. It has turned the university humanities curricula to mush and psychologically sterilized two generations of women.

In the view of Kenneth Minogue, Professor Emeritus of Political Science, London School of Economics, “the radical feminist revolution is nothing less than a destruction of our civilization. It has all happened in such a way that people have not yet realized what has happened.” (see *How Civilizations Fall*)

**Communists, Zionists or Feminists Jewish or not— are simply not aware that they are being used by the International Bankers [IBC] to create an Orwellian New World Order. If they strip away the rhetoric, they will discover that the NWO is a long-term plot to consolidate global wealth and power in a few hands. It is a scheme to degrade, enslave and even exterminate people—and to divorce humanity from God and Nature.**

Mankind is entering a new Dark Age.

#### STRIKE PLANS AGAINST IRAQ MOVE AHEAD

By Anthony Shadid and Robert Schlesinger,  
*Boston Globe* Staff, 8/18/02

WASHINGTON—Despite internal divisions and the president’s insistence that he has made no decision on military action against Iraq, the Bush administration has stepped up its military planning for an invasion, the rhetoric to justify it, and a diplomatic campaign to prepare for the potentially messy aftermath, administration officials and analysts say.

Speculation about the timing of a military operation remains rife among diplomats, the Iraqi opposition, and within the administration itself. But even without a date—and U.S. officials insist that the decision to attack Iraq has not been made—the pieces are gradually being put into place for an invasion.

“This kind of planning and looking at assessments in case we should do it is absolutely going on, and I see no change in

that whatsoever," said Phebe Marr, a specialist on Iraqi affairs who testified in Senate hearings this month and who advised the first Bush administration during the Gulf War.

"The more you plan," Marr added, "the more things fall into place, the more you look at difficulties, and the more prepared you are to say, 'OK, it's the third of December or whatever.'"

Some analysts suggest that the planning represents a "slippery slope", making it more difficult to turn back after each incremental step toward war.

That sense appears to have alarmed opponents of military action, even within Republican circles. Echoing concerns of key members of Congress, Brent Scowcroft, national security adviser under President George H.W. Bush, warned in an opinion article Thursday in the *Wall Street Journal* that an attack might jeopardize and perhaps even destroy the administration's antiterrorism campaign, as well as unleash a wider war between Israel and Arab states.

"I think we're very much sliding into confrontation," said Laith Kubba, an Iraq expert at the National Endowment for Democracy in Washington. "We're all sliding into it. The clock is ticking very quickly toward a confrontation."

Despite leaks of possible war plans, the Pentagon has insisted that movement of troops and equipment in the region is routine. But commercial satellite imagery has shown that between January and June, the U.S. military quietly expanded Al-Udeid Air Base in the Persian Gulf country of Qatar, complete with a 13,000-foot runway to handle heavy bombers.

The photographs also appear to show hardened aircraft shelters, a sophisticated command and control center, and a tent city to house thousands of troops in the sun-scorched terrain.

Analysts point out that the base could be an alternative to facilities in Saudi Arabia, which has said it would not allow its territory to be used to launch a strike against Iraq.

General Tommy Franks, who heads U.S. Central Command, has said the base is being developed for "times of crisis".

John Pike, head of GlobalSecurity.org, a military policy organization, said the United States also has positioned equipment for two divisions in the Mediterranean, Persian Gulf, and South Pacific regions that can be moved with relatively little notice to Kuwait, which neighbors Iraq.

The tiny Indian Ocean island of Diego Garcia, where the U.S. military keeps supplies and a listening post, has enough equipment for an Army brigade and a Marine brigade, with three brigades forming a division.

The marines also have equipment for one brigade on ships in the Mediterranean and for another on ships anchored at Saipan in the Pacific, Pike added. The Army also has equipment for a brigade in Kuwait and for another in Qatar, he said.

If President Bush gave the order to attack on Nov. 6, the day after this year's election, "you could have all of the hardware and all of the troops for a four-division assault force ready to go in Kuwait by Thanksgiving, and they could be in Baghdad by Dec. 7," he said.

The U.S. military confirmed last week, one day after denying it, that it had contracted for a pair of commercial cargo ships to move helicopters and other military equipment from its European Command area to the Central Command area. That region stretches from Egypt to Afghanistan, an area that includes Iraq. The military described the movement through the Red Sea as routine.

*Al-Hayat*, a leading Arabic newspaper based in London, reported this month that U.S. forces were overseeing the renovation of an air strip in Kurdish-controlled northern Iraq. The work began two months ago, the newspaper said, quoting witnesses and truck drivers supplying the facility, and included the installation of radar and electronic equipment. The CIA refused to comment, and the Pentagon denied that such work was under way.

Much of the preparation could be interpreted as a way of giving weight to the administration's

threats, rather than preparation for war.

Iraqi opposition officials have acknowledged that anything they hear from the administration on military action is probably disinformation, designed to keep Saddam Hussein, Iraq's dictator, off balance. And few dispute that Iraq, in recent weeks, seems to be taking seriously the administration's preparations.

Senior U.S. officials have insisted that while no decision has been made to attack Iraq, Hussein should be overthrown. That case was most forcefully made by Condoleezza Rice, the national security adviser, in an interview with the *BBC* last week in which she called Hussein "an evil man" and insisted that "there is a very powerful moral case for regime change".

"We certainly do not have the luxury of doing nothing," she said.

Less noticed are statements on what shape a post-Hussein Iraq will take. The Iraqi opposition groups, with U.S. support, signaled their backing for a federal structure, a way to assure Kurdish autonomy in northern Iraq without fracturing the country.

The State Department has begun laying out its vision as well. Explicitly rejecting the idea of Hussein being succeeded by another leader from the military or ruling Baath Party, even one subservient to U.S. interests, it has said it will seek a democratic Iraq, one that "no longer threatens its neighbors, renounces the development and possession of weapons of mass destruction, and maintains the territorial integrity of the country".

The most public sign of the administration's preparation is its courting of the long-fractured Iraqi opposition.

In past months, work was hampered by divisions among the CIA, Pentagon, and State Department over a strategy of engaging the opposition. Splits were apparent as recently as June.

But in meetings last week, both sides appeared to have set aside many of their differences. The administration pledged support for an opposition conference of 50 to 70 people that the groups say they aim to convene next month in Europe, possibly in the Netherlands.

From that, opposition officials say, they hope to set up a coordination committee that could form the basis of a transitional administration.

"Whereas previous conferences were to unite the opposition and its activities, this has to be regarded as a first step into Iraq in terms of administering a post-Saddam Iraq," said an opposition official based in London.

The State Department has undertaken its own effort in planning for what would follow the fall of Hussein.

The topics addressed so far include questions of justice, amnesty, and war crimes with members of Hussein's government, as well as postwar economic and budget planning. By next month, groups of five to 15 people will begin looking at issues of public health, humanitarian work, agriculture, water, the environment, and democratic principles. The initial project, at a cost of \$1.5 million, will wrap up work by late October or early November, officials say.

The plans that ensue can be put into place almost immediately after Hussein's fall, officials say. While deep anxiety persists over the prospect of an invasion from senior levels of the State Department on down, there is a consensus that the effort can make the aftermath more manageable, a lesson Washington learned from experiences in Afghanistan, Kosovo, East Timor, and elsewhere.

"Anybody who knows the region knows that nothing's guaranteed," a U.S. official said. "There's no sure thing. We can make every preparation, have the most dedicated and dynamic people, have the whole international community behind it, and it's still unpredictable.

"I think the more we prepare, the better effort we make, and the more support we get around the world, the better the chances are to make something good come out of this," the official said. "But who knows?"

## THE WASHINGTON AGREEMENT AND WHAT IT REALLY MEANS

By Julian D.W. Phillips, *gold-eagle.com* (Editorial), 8/22/02

### We—at "Gold-Authentic Money"—believe we are on the brink of a massive gold bull market.

However, the market is constantly aware of the dominating force of the Central Banks in the gold price—as has been the case for the last quarter of a century. Before one gauges the future levels of its price—a close look at their attitude to the metal is warranted. We believe that they are instrumental in the restructuring of the gold bullion market. Why? And how can we be so sure?

In this article on the Washington Agreement—we examine their attitude towards the metal and hopefully—adjust the view that Central Banks, in themselves—are anti-Gold plus—anti a high price for Gold and that they will dump this "Barbarous relic" the moment they can. We believe they are happy to have gold functioning in the Monetary system and at a significantly higher price.

The final part will show that the Central Banks will be repeating history—by looking at a time when Gold was used effectively to take the world through extremely difficult days and into tremendous subsequent growth.

#### Part 1

#### The Washington Agreement What it really means!

In September of 1999, 15 main Central Banks—including the European Central Bank and with the tacit support of the IMF and U.S. Federal Reserve [through their continuing absence from the Gold market]—in what is now called the "Washington Agreement"—made certain critical agreements:

\*Gold will remain an important element of Global Monetary Reserves.

\*The gold sales already decided will be achieved through a concerted programme of sales over the next five years. Annual Sales will not exceed approximately 400 tonnes and total sales over this period will not exceed 2,000 tonnes.

\*The signatories to this agreement have agreed not to expand their gold leasing and their use of gold futures and options over this period.

\*This agreement will be reviewed after five years.

Clearly, these agreements were intended to remove the threat of unexpected gold sales by them and provide a clear picture of what they would be doing for the next five years—at which time they would meet again to either renew, remove or adjust the agreement.

The immediate impact on the market was that the price of gold moved up quickly to around \$330 an ounce before slipping back again to below \$300. Calm settled into the market and a steady consolidation of the price has been taking place until recently—when the price moved back up over the \$300 mark where we see it today.

Why—after such a campaign against gold—would the key players remove their threat to this tarnished commodity? Let's take a deeper look at this event and gain a proper perspective.

Did the Monetary Authorities ever, really turn against Gold?

\*The U.S. termination of the convertibility of gold in the early seventies and its termination of the sales of its own gold reserves was the first factor, to say "No!"

\*34, 000 tonnes of gold still sitting in the vaults of the Central Banks says "No!"

\*The relatively small amount of gold, sold by the

IMF after which Members refused to sanction more sales, says "No!"

\*The low level of gold sales by other Central Banks in general, says "No!"

\*The E. C. B.'s policy of holding 15% of its reserves in gold, says "No!"

\*The sale by IMF debtors of gold to them, despite the protestations that this was a one off event, says "No!"

\*The use by India of gold in its debt settlements, says "No!"

\*The purchase of Gold, to be used as a Reserve Asset by Central Banks over the last four Years including by Germany, Italy, France, says "No!"

\*The Washington Agreement, itself, was—in fact—the loudest shout of "No!"

Gold was never shut out of the world's monetary system—simply sidelined—whilst the U.S. dollar ascended to its present position.

Let's review what has happened over the last thirty and more years: a colonisation of the world by the U.S. Dollar. Dollar Imperialism!

The U.S. dollar is used in 85% of all transactions worldwide. It forms 76% of the world's Reserves. The control over these by the Fed is not simply absolute [through their ability to freeze deposits] but fundamental. What happens to the U.S. dollar happens to reserves. Countries outside the territorial control of the U.S. are within their financial control [al-Qaida is the classic example]. No more the need for Gunboats—no more the danger of bad sovereign debts—the U.S. dollar is controlled by the Fed.

By far the most extensive and effective system of world control has been established since the last World War—particularly since the late sixties. It was vital that this process be insidiously established within the context of a strong U.S. dollar.

Since the seventies and the 'Floating' of the Dollar and Sterling, the Dollar has gained ground in terms, not only of worldwide use—but acceptance. Gold was the only obstacle in the way of the conquering U.S. dollar. So successful has this conquest been—that it is now wears the mantle worn formerly by gold—as the measuring line of value, despite its profligate, proliferation. Indeed if the price of gold were to rise to where it was before, to \$850 an ounce, it would not be seen as a Dollar devaluation anymore—but a simple price rise of yet another commodity. The Dollar would now be the measure of Gold. At no time would the world say the Dollar has been devalued in terms of gold, as would have been the case in 1970! This was and is, the only—sought-after victory—campaign against gold.

But what should now be done with the silent but forcefully present, mountain of gold, sitting in the shadows? The Washington Agreement has given us clearly defined direction on this.

The first and second points of agreement made in the W.A. certainly seems to us, as—not a simple reduction of sales—but indicative of a decision to sell no more, with the exception of sales already decided upon. It would therefore be reasonable to assume that this was a termination of decisions to sell over and above sales already agreed. The emphasis being on the termination factor!

As if to clarify this, we noted that Italy, France and Germany bought between 400 and 500 tonnes, each—in 1998—just prior to the Agreement.

The W.A. is best seen as a reaffirmation of gold's continuing monetary role and a halting of the attack in actions and posture on gold.

Such a move had to precede gold's re-acceptance. The structure of the gold market in 1999 simply would not have borne the pressures bought to bear on it, had the change been made silently.

More at a later time!—Julian D.W. Phillips;

www.authenticmoney.com



## NEVADA CORPORATIONS:

# Fortress-Like Shelter Or House Of Cards?

## Budget's "Tip of the Week" #7:

### Checklist for Adherence to Corporate Formalities

**Proper operation** of a corporation can make the difference between having a fortress-like shelter or a precariously balanced "house of cards". If you are operating a Nevada corporation, you might want to review this checklist to determine for yourself which you are building:

- Read and understand the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws and act in conformance with them.
- Read the governing law for the corporation: *Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS), Chapter 78.*
- Include reports of officers and committees in the corporate records.
- Record all major decisions of Directors in corporate resolutions or meeting minutes.
- Document contracts, even (perhaps especially) verbal ones, within the corporate records.
- Correctly handle and document corporate loans, making interest payments as required.
- Properly maintain the corporation's books and accounting records.
- Whenever signing on behalf of the corporation, indicate title; do not sign personally or you may forego the inherent liability protection normally afforded by Nevada's statutes.

### Additionally, if stock is issued:

- Hold annual meetings of stockholders.
- All actions of stockholders must be done by vote and duly recorded.
- Give proper notice or use appropriate waiver of notice (usually specified in the Bylaws) for stockholders' meetings.
- Elect directors as necessary and conduct other business in appropriate meetings, duly recorded.
- Hold regular meetings of the Board of Directors, at least annually.
- Give proper notice or use appropriate waiver of notice (usually specified in the Bylaws) for directors' meetings.
- Elect officers and conduct other business in the manner specified in the Articles of Incorporation and the corporation's Bylaws.
- Record proper minutes of such meetings.
- Maintain the stock ledger properly.

## CORPORATION SETUP AND MAINTENANCE FEES

<b>Budget Corporation</b> —includes:	<b>Nominee Service</b>	<b>\$200</b>
• First-year resident agent fee	<b>Obtain EIN</b>	<b>\$ 75</b>
• Corporate Charter	<b>Bank Account Setup</b>	<b>\$100</b>
• Articles of Incorporation	<b>Expedite (24-hr. setup)</b>	<b>\$150</b>
• Corporate Bylaws		
• Corporate Resolutions	<b>Annual Resident Agent Fee</b>	<b>\$ 85</b>
• Budget corporate record book	<b>Budget Mail Forwarding (18 per yr)</b>	<b>\$ 50</b>
• 3.5" floppy disk of resources	<b>Full Mail Forwarding (240 pcs/yr)</b>	<b>\$150</b>
TOTAL		<b>\$410</b>

For more information:

## **"THE NEVADA CORPORATION MANUAL"**

Priced at just \$45, including shipping and handling

# Budget

*"Nevada corporations  
at Budget prices"*

## Corporate Renewals

# (702) 870-5351

P.O. Box 27103

Las Vegas, NV 89126

E-Mail: [BCR@BudgetCorporateRenewals.com](mailto:BCR@BudgetCorporateRenewals.com)

# Lemurians Arrived Here Some 900,000 Years Ago

**Update by Sheldan Nidle for the Spiritual Hierarchy and the Galactic Federation  
August 13, 2002 (3 Ahau, 18 Pop, 11 Ik)**

Greetings! We return, dear Hearts, with more to tell you. Soon, a new reality will unfold, introducing us officially into your lives. In past messages, we have briefly described ourselves to you. Now, let us tell you some more about who we are. Like you, our initial landing personnel and global broadcast liaisons are human. We all descend from the aquatic primates that Heaven first brought to full sentience on a watery world in the Vega system, in the Constellation of Lyra, some 7.3 million solar years ago. Since then, we humans have spread across the galaxy, reaching your solar system slightly more than two million years ago. However, a catastrophic and prolonged galactic war caused the deaths of those human colonists over a million years ago. A second group, whom you know as **Lemurians, arrived on this beautiful blue orb some 900,000 years ago.** In the spirit of these wondrous Beings, and, with the blessings of Heaven, we have returned again to your world in great numbers.

Our first contact with you will be a grand and glorious reunion. It will welcome you back to your true home—the Galactic Federation of Light. This organization includes over 200,000 star-nations, star leagues and reciprocal alliances. To celebrate the bestowal of full sentience upon us humans, our allies have graciously established the Galactic Federation Headquarters in the Vega system. The Galactic Federation is subdivided into 24 regional councils. The regional council in which you will participate is located in the Sirius star system, on the fourth planet of the Sirius B system. Sirius B is the home of the Great Blue Lodge of Creation. Its human inhabitants are considered to be some of the Light's major adherents in this galaxy. The nature of Sirius B society is legendary. Its priestesses and priests have performed their sacred rituals in many different parts of this galaxy. Such requests are common and increase each day. Thus, we have arrived here under their divine direction. Our purpose is to reunite all the peoples of Mother Earth and to restore you to your fully conscious selves.

In previous updates, we have described our ships and told you of their marvelous sentient nature. These craft are representative of our various cultures. Many of us have reveled in ancient methods of farming. To us, agriculture is a joy that we have replicated on our ships and in immense halls on our home-worlds. There, we have duplicated the agricultural realms in which we once resided. The huge Motherships from the constellations of Pegasus, Ares and Taurus contain

such holy places where ceremony is performed and the ancient feasts of our ancestors are recreated. Other Motherships originate in more scientific cultures, where great halls emulate their grand temples and ancient historic sites. There, ritual is practiced that celebrates the many wonders of Creation and the intuitive logic that informs the inner workings of physicality. To us, physicality—this sacred grand illusion—is a wonderful playground. We have arrived to give rise to a multitude of cultures that can be woven into a unified whole.

All of you, dear Ones, are Souls who have spent lifetimes in the thousands of cultures that humanity has strewn across the galaxy. Within you are memories of those times. To that knowledge are added lifespans on this world. And, although this wisdom has served you well, a large part of it remains garbled by the miasma that still engulfs you. Our task, and that of Heaven, is to disentangle this unholy fog and fully restore your tremendous memory. At this very moment, many of you recall the purple shrubbery of Sirius B or the Pleiades' purplish skies. You envelop these images in uncertainties that remain with you like a bad dream. From time to time, we have encouraged you to allow these memories to return and let what appears unlikely, in this reality, to become real. In this way, you will open yourselves to what is real and to the wisdom that you have long suppressed. Thus, you will grasp our benevolence and come to understand why the time for our reunion, at long last, has arrived.

Our first contact is more than our reunion with you. It brings to an end your long journey into darkness and awakens your remembrance of who you really are. You, dear Hearts, are galactic Beings. Your home is the stars and the endless galaxies that comprise the entirety of physical Creation. You have lingered far too long in the realm of the dark ones. You have limited yourselves and forgotten your many space families. Now, reach into your thoughts and embrace your celestial Loved One. Remember the skies of Sirius B; thrill to the many sentient star-nations that emanate from the constellation Andromeda; and feel the wonders of the many star-nations in Pegasus. These places are as much your home as is Mother Earth, and the galaxy is your true neighborhood. The time has arrived for you to enlarge your concept of home. We welcome you, and know that what you are about to discover will change us all for the better.

Your destiny is thoroughly entwined with ours. We know the great difficulties that you have endured for almost 13 millennia. As part of this process, you have survived a period during which you were subjugated by the Anunnaki. Now, even those who

chose to limit you have joined the Light. Their transformation is a sign that your new reality is near. The final step is for you to believe in your own magnificence, and to trust in your powers and in your vast and glorious connection to the Creator. That connection leads to us and, especially, to all the wonder that is Heaven. For too long, your media has created an image of us that created fear and misgiving in you. Now, dear Hearts, release all of that and prepare to meet your Joy! Joy is the highest expression of Love. In it is found the principal connection to Life and to the divine essence that is the Creator.

For most of the past galactic year, your global society has been in the throes of great chaos. That chaos will form the bridge to a new realm. Consider us merely the celestial catalysts for the events that lie ahead. We have every intention of fully implementing the formal agreements of our earthly allies and their opponents. You teeter on a precipice of change that is hurtling you toward a galactic society. That society is open, creative, sovereign and filled with the Divine Will of the Creator. It is ready to expand its heart to encompass all the Love that physicality needs to bring about its divine unification with Heaven. You, dear Ones, are Heaven's chosen instrument. We rejoice in your destiny and remain in readiness to do whatever will help you to create it. This future is a paradise that each of you abundantly deserves!

The Divine Plan has brought us to a crucial point. We have given you many glimpses into who we are and why we are here. Our major purpose is to extend to you the compassionate and loving hand of your galactic family. Use this knowledge and your own inner power to complete the final tasks that lie ahead of you. Surrounded by the heavenly Legions of Light, we stand poised to ensure your success and the fulfillment of your wondrous destiny. First contact draws ever nearer. We exult in the ability to achieve our divine objectives. Soon, dear Hearts, the day will arrive for a jubilant celebration. As this golden moment approaches, pause to remember your galactic origins and to relish our proximity to our final success! Remember that together, we shall be victorious!

Today, we have discussed our shared mission and given you a better understanding of who we are. We are your benevolent and celestial family. We have come to assist you in your transformation to fully conscious Beings of Light—physical Angels. Be always aware of this, and of your part in this amazing metamorphosis. We now take our leave. Blessings. Know in your Heart of Hearts that the endless Prosperity and Abundance of Heaven is truly yours! Amen. Selamat Gajun! Selamat Ja! (Sirian for Be One! Be in Joy!)

**Planetary Activation Organization**  
**Mailing Address: P. O. Box 880151,**  
**Pukalani, Maui, HI 96788-0151 U.S.A.**  
**Voicemail: (808) 243-0728**  
**Fax: (808) 573-2867**  
**E-mail: pao@hawaii.rr.com**  
**Website address: www.paoweb.com**

# PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *PHOENIX JOURNALS* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE JOURNALS** ARE NOW ON SALE FOR \$3.00 (REG. \$6.00). (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V (OUT OF STOCK)
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT

73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER (OUT OF STOCK)  
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

**PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.**  
 P.O. Box 27353  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89126  
 1-800-800-5565  
 (Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

## Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

**USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)**  
 UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
 Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
 Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

**ALASKA & HAWAII**  
 Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
 Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
 UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

**CANADA & MEXICO**  
 Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l  
 Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l


**FOREIGN**  
 Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l  
 Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

## CONTACT Staff

**Mark Moore**  
**John & Jean Ray**  
**Princeton Winton**

**PLEASE NOTE:**  
 CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are **NOT** the same! Checks sent for **JOURNALS** or book orders should **NOT** be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.



### Editorial Policy

Opinions of *CONTACT* contributors (including ads) are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

**CONTACT:**  
**THE PHOENIX JOURNAL**  
 is published by  
**CONTACT, Inc.**  
 P.O. Box 27800  
 Las Vegas, NV 89126  
 Phone: (800) 800-5565

### SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565.  
 Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.  
 Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Quantity	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CAN/MEX	FOREIGN	Quantity	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

### BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy  
 Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders  
 Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges

## WORDS OF WISDOM FROM HATONN

### CONTACT OR SPECTRUM?

I want to briefly respond to a most unusual question from a totally "out of the blue" person: "It appears *Spectrum* will fail, possibly close, so will you go back to writing for *CONTACT* or what?"

I have never stopped writing for *CONTACT*—but I have **NOT** written, nor have my compatriots written for *Spectrum*. Tails wag a lot of dogs, my friends. Therefore, "or what" has no meaning.

January 6, 2001