


CONTACT

THE PHOENIX PROJECT JOURNAL

GOD'S NEW MILLENNIUM

KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION



VOLUME 37, NUMBER 10

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

SEPTEMBER 25, 2002

You Are Lead Actor In Your OWN Play

The play continues into infinity—NEVER ENDING!

9/7/02—#1 (16-22)

RE: CHECK ON REALITY AND EVALUATE
PRIORITIES

WHICH DO YOU PREFER?

1. This is G-Yeorgos Ceres Hatonn in the total Light of Creator to you my scribe and I bring you tidings of "go within" and decide your fate. And, furthermore, I will repeat it three times and you are to believe me yea even to stealing, lying and cheating if your preferences are to have something of "another". Stick around long enough, chelas, and your wishes for abundance and control of universes shall be YOURS! Take whatever you deem worthwhile from even, yea, your brother—for your wishes should be his command.

OR

2. This is GCH (G-Yeorgos Ceres Hatonn) and my identification is presented right up top as "GCH" with "D" as my "receiver" (secretary for this job at hand) and that identification is "Dharma". Dharma is "pen" name of Doris who is fully registered and legally recognized.

I tell you, further, that you must get all the information you can gather so that you can make valid determinations regarding your most personal and innermost decisions. It is WRONG to steal, lie or cheat. It is, further, that our "job" at hand is very physically oriented and just meditating within is worthless unless you follow those thoughts (meditations) with ACTION—therefore, base all thought on truth and stop the wishful magical thinking. Make your dreams come true based on wisdom and REALITY and then, MAKE MIRACLES THE OUTCOME OF YOUR EXPERIENCE THROUGH MANIFEST PHYSICAL EXPRESSION.

CONFUSION

There should be no confusion, friends, about either one or two. Eat mush every day and your teeth will fall out and your brain will move swiftly to atrophy. Wait for abundance to fall on you and for your "pick-up" by millions of space ships just waiting to rescue you and you have another FALSE RAPTURE—FALSE PROMISE.

You are MANIFEST in a PHYSICAL world of recognition and therefore you MUST have a

PHYSICAL PRESENTATION as to solutions for problems in a physical world! There CAN BE NO other "way". THOUGHT is within the limitations of MIND and therefore is invisible "frequency waves". ACTION is a physical response/reaction to those thoughts from that invisible apparatus. Mind is the YOU of "you". GOD MIND is the "youze" and "meeze" of REALITY of existence itself.

There is a bit of bad news, however, at this point of this speech: ONLY GOD CREATOR CAN CREATE DIRECTLY FROM MIND-THOUGHT INTO PHYSICAL FORM—BUT HE TOO MUST WORK THROUGH THE "IMAGE" HOLOGRAPHICALLY PROJECTED INTO MANIFEST REALITY.

May we put that into a perhaps better perspective? If you are sitting across the table from spouse X, is X less or more "real" than if you are speaking with that same "entity" on a telephone? Be careful lest you forget PHYSICS as a science and bear disinformation regarding communications AND visibility. COMMUNICATION is basically only a MIND-to-MIND "REALITY". METHODS OF COMMUNICATION ARE THE ONLY VARIANCE.

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

PHOENIX JOURNAL SPECIAL SALE.....	page 2
God-Directed Great Venture Advances Steadily To Fruition.....	page 4
Doris' Corner, by Doris & E.J. Ekker.....	page 6
"Citizen, Can I See Your ID?", by Al Martin.....	page 6
New Seismic Data Refutes Official Explanation, by C. Bollyn.....	page 9
All Will Receive According To Their Level Of Understanding.....	page 10
The News Desk, by John & Jean Ray.....	page 16

Now, if radio signals are obsolete methods of communications with one to another, then what has happened? Ah indeed, you have learned to wirelessly communicate in many ways OTHER than wired or even wireless technology. No big deal, readers, so why do you continue the silly fantasies? And, for goodness sakes, about what is all the “ascension” baggage? MIND IS ALREADY ASCENDED—ALL THE TIME.

Moreover, you ARE exactly WHAT YOU THINK! YOU WILL REFLECT EXACTLY WHAT YOU THINK! However, the body physical must also respond to the blueprint provided by MIND.

If you are building a bridge but end up with a house, is it your fault because you used the wrong blueprints? Or, is it God’s fault in presentation? Keep it simple and keep it separated, for each “thought fragment” has a remarkable and “separate” purpose. Just as a red blood cell must reproduce as a red blood cell lest you die of anemia—so too must you put INTO the apparatus that which produces the desired product at the outcome end of the conveyor belt.

Better example?

WARS AND RUMORS OF WARS

Bombs and bombastics are both valid “things” but one blows up physical things while the other simply makes loud noises presented in words, print or both.

Now, is the “war against Saddam” (Iraq), bombs or bombastics? Well, sleepy chelas, over 100 U.S. and British aircraft bombed parts of Iraq yesterday. That was NOT bombastic rhetoric—it was an ATTACK on a nation and her people no matter what might have been the target.

If 100 Iraqi planes bombed downtown Minneapolis, U.S.A., would that be war worse or better than the World Trade Center debacle?

UNTIL YOU HAVE TERMS DEFINED FOR “EVERYONE”, YOU WILL HAVE TO CONSIDER THAT “WAR” MEANS EXACTLY THAT: WAR. If you blow up and destroy something of MINE, I consider it WAR, my friends, for I have no other English term to use for such acts of attacking aggression.

Moreover, if someone STEALS my property or that of my projects—I consider it criminal theft—both proprietarily and literally. Furthermore, if someone tries to literally STEAL “my identity”—I call it criminal theft. Is this actually “confusion” as you like to claim in your New Age Being, which seems to lack all semblance of either wisdom or reality? Now consider this: If you disagree with this presentation, then what is it you perhaps do not understand? And no, I am NOT being insulting, for some things are quite “black and/or white” and confusion is only an excuse to try and salvage WRONG thinking or

expression. If someone steals your car are you “confused” or are you just wanting your property restored? Furthermore, there may well be “extenuating” circumstances regarding the “borrowing” of a vehicle but that can be worked out “later” when “tried” as a “case” or the vehicle is returned and an explanation given. However, the act of taking without permission of the owner/holder is certainly WRONG in the very first thought or action of the perpetrator.

Continue the thought to observers (witnesses) of the act itself. Are the witnesses who watched and could actually identify the thieves because they “know” the culprits, CONFUSED as to who did the deed? Theirs is not to JUDGE the taker, only the observation is required

Then the takeover of our property was excused and put onto international Internet in all its glorious lies and we are to consider your “confusion”?

Now, students, for your further evaluation: What “excuse” was given to the thefts of our property? Oh indeed, “Doris had a dark-tinged energy showing up somehow, somewhere around—‘or something’ equally as terrible... Oh well...”.

A what? REPEAT: A WHAT? Come on, AGAIN: A WHAT?

Facts are: “Doris/Dharma” had/has a legally REGISTERED and identified Yeorgos Ceres Hatonn squarely and legally behind her while, at the least, the “takers” took possession of same claiming it to

be their own. Perhaps now you might be better able to SEE the facts in play here and why we structured it this way a decade ago. SO THAT THERE WOULD NOT BE ANY “CONFUSION”.

Can you begin to see what you DO TO YOURSELVES?

Now we find that pouring back are the ones who aided and abetted the very criminals while again claiming “confusion”! Why would that be? Surely not to reap rewards for OUR HAVING STAYED THE COURSE AND ACCOMPLISHED THE TASKS AT HAND! Moreover, we haven’t even concluded anything YET. But, YOU know now that we shall, don’t you?

You aid and abet the warriors while destroying the peacemakers and yet God is supposed to be happy with you? Let it be known that I make no pretenses as to my position but I can certainly assure you: GOD IS NOT CONFUSED!

STRATEGIES

In considerations of “strategic” planning you must know what it is you wish to accomplish.

Let us consider wondrous Jerusalem in the Holy Land. (Well, perhaps not too “holy” but a good example nonetheless.) You have factions vying for control, yea, even to destroying a Mosque in order to put up another’s religious “temple”. Is this good, bad,

indifferent or just plain insane? Will the “PLACE” make man better, worse, indifferent or sane? Of course not, for goodness or wrong is not measured by religious doctrine. But religions only offer a demand for ignorant, blind order-following sheeple who tag along behind some self-proclaimed guru.

I remind you that a leader who will lead all his “followers” off the cliff to their deaths in the name of “ascension” is surely a worthy producer of outcome as promised for all who hit the bottom of the ravine WILL ASCEND—very rapidly if the drop be great enough. I doubt, however, that this would be YOUR desire of accomplishment under physical and

PHOENIX JOURNAL SPECIAL SALE

These priceless *Journals* are presently on sale for the incredibly low price of \$3.00 each plus Shipping/Handling.

It wasn’t too long ago when these *Journals* were priced at a reasonable \$10.00 each.

This once-in-a-lifetime sale will be ending on September 30th, so there will *NEVER* be a more appropriate time to purchase an entire set—or at least complete your existing collection!

Please call 1-800-800-5565 for ordering information—and check the back page of this paper for a listing of the currently available *Journals*—while supplies last!

of WHO did the taking. If X took the car and Y, Z, and W watched—WHAT IS THE CONFUSION?

Let’s take it even further for the exercise of a good mathematical problem: It is so that Y, Z and W held open the car door, handed X the keys and hid the evidence. Now, what do you have? For one thing, you have added “conspiracy” at the very LEAST to the crime in point. So, next ALL of the ones referred to above jump in the car and PROCLAIM IT TO BE THEIR CAR. Does that make it so?

Friends, all of the above has happened to a far greater extent, but inclusive of an actual “car” as well, AGAINST US.

reasonable circumstances. You can accomplish the same with Dr. Jones' cyanide Kool-Aid.

I have little patience with any "teacher" who will simply teach you to contemplate your belly-button calling it "going within" for your salvation.

There is no problem with a demand to separate Church and State or keeping religions out of State affairs. **HOWEVER, WHEN YOU TAKE GOD OUT OF STATE—YOU HAVE EVIL FLOURISHING, MY FRIENDS, FOR STATE BECOMES THE VERY THRONE OF SATAN.**

GOD does not meddle in affairs of State, readers, and it is time you learn as much. State is a conjuring of MAN and has very little to do with GOD in any sense whatsoever. Moreover, GOD waits to be "asked". One of the only "states" I actually recognize is "A State of Grace" as pertains TO GOD. I am continually appalled, however, at the numbers of people who CLAIM to be in a State of Grace when they have absolutely no concept of what it means.

I am also quite astonished when I see you lolly-gagging over some statement such as would be made by, say, a President Bush who would present that "Our allies are with us..." in this or that war party. No, at best only the politicians CAN be "with him". A nation is NOTHING—for it is made up of INDIVIDUALS. "It" is artificial and at best can have speakers, nothing more.

Perhaps as you consider these bits of input you will ALSO better understand the basic workings and fundamental meaning of INCORPORATION. A nation's laws are set forth in the guidelines of "articles of incorporation" AND "bylaws". As a citizen one becomes a sovereign owner of a portion or a "shareholder", whichever you prefer. The problem is, however, that PEOPLE lose their "shares" or rights of voice by the corrupted enforcers who gain CONTROL through very devious methods.

The basic problem with big corporations and States is that they trade "shares" as if, for goodness sakes, they somehow owned something because they bought an election for some puppets of bigger corporation-States.

This very fact, however, is WHY control has to be maintained in EVERY honest encounter to prevent the overrun by attackers and claimants to that which is NOT theirs to hold or to claim. Therefore, the holders of that which is provided for restoration and reclamation of goodly things—must be carefully given consideration, weighed and measured against the LAWS of right intent AND action. Can you measure-up to the qualifications? Of course, for "can" is not in question, is it? The point: will you?

It is much as with the overweight person wishing to lose poundage. The point is not "can you", it is purely and simply put: WILL YOU? When you want to do so greatly enough—you will do so.

It is the same with your "emotional" self. When you want to balance self enough—you will do so. Failure to do so is not because "you can't" but because you won't. With weight loss it is purely a difference in your choices of "what you want" vs. "what you won't". But for goodness sakes: **CONSIDER THE IMPORTANCE OF YOUR FOCUS.**

ARE YOU MISSING "LIFE"?

Most of you are very definitely "missing out on life", for you want that which is outside your own immediate perceptions. While you watch the "play" unfolding it is usually as in watching other actors while forgetting that YOU are the play and if you refuse to participate, you fail your own journey and purpose.

In a play you seem to demand that you have parts that are good or evil. There is no such PLAY conjured that

doesn't toss the suspense to the decisions of the audience so that you can evaluate the outcome.

In the processing of such perspectives you often miss the very beauty of LIFE ITSELF.

The beauty of life is registered within in its being somehow satisfying and complete. The beauty is not in finding out what is missing, but in savoring the way it IS. It is not a reality that is dependent on providing that everything else is unreal.

Here is where "within" and "going within" is infinitely important. Within, you can actually feel the endless moment—out of nowhere into somewhere and then out again into nowhere, one breath at a time. Moreover, after you try this exercise a few times in moments of chaos, you will find and regain balance for the next action. And yes, chelas, breathing is a CHOICE. It is a very demanding choice—but nonetheless, a choice of each individual if not impacted by outside forces.

You ARE the play, the dream—THE THOUGHT. You must be the director, critic, audience, and actor. As the director, direct with certainty. Change what is changeable and work with your "cast", but most importantly, remember that you are directing. As a critic, criticize what should be criticized, but do not forget praise when it is due—ESPECIALLY TO SELF. Remember that YOU ARE the director as well.

You, my friend, are also your own audience and YOU will be your own worst or best critic. Be still and watch because you do not want to miss any part of your play. As an actor, project your role with ease and dignity because you have a very important audience, I repeat: YOU.

You must come to understand the representation of EACH actor and of yourself. It is not a frivolous play just to fill in time. Every ingredient has been brought together to make it the most satisfying play for you. Remember that we are speaking of "LIFE"—YOURS.

Don't make this play so repetitious that is a boring experience. Do not make it so fast that you, the audience, do not capture its essence as in "smell those roses". Allow the play to be "real". It does not need to be a comedy or a tragedy, for those are but expressions of perceptions at best. So, laugh at the fun parts and learn what you can from the sad parts. Find delight in the changes and allow yourself to change along with its flow. Every part is written for you to transform, by you, so that with it you too can transform.

Look with your own eyes open, but also with your eyes closed to the distractions in that you are not pulled from the point within your "life's play". YOUR play is taking place with-out as well as within, for from within comes the ability to act then, outside self as in interchange in experience and expression.

At some point in "living", friend, you must find belief in SELF and yes indeed, in this play in which you express all the component parts on the stage. You can "act" as another might have instructed or presented—BUT YOU ARE NOT NOR SHALL YOU EVER BE—THAT OTHER. EACH MUST REMAIN UNIQUE AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS MUST COME FOR SELF AND BY SELF.

After a play closes for the night or the season, the conclusion must be considered. As a "cast" and participants you will move along together—perhaps to the closing party or the opening party—depending on your time of production. Where will you go in your own play conclusion, for it is but an extension of the play just performed?

Will you move on to bigger productions for self or will you just try to hitch a ride on another's wagon? Yes, it IS important. That wagon-owner in point may not want to visit "your" star or, frankly, may well not be around to continue "YOUR" journey.

LIFE IS A MAGNIFICENT ILLUSION—A WONDROUS PLAY IN PROGRESS. **The play, moreover, continues into infinity—NEVER ENDING.** When the curtain closes on one act it is but opening in another even if the concept is one of simply sleeping or being in a void, for that too is an experience—another act—in another expression.

Can I express truth in Universal definition? Indeed: YOU and more specifically in two words: YOU ARE.

* * *

HOW DARE I SPEAK ON SUCH MATTERS?

First let me assure you that nothing forces you to read this, hear this or attend this. However, the emotional facts of life—as in "spirit"—cannot be long separated from the physical aspects of "living".

This means, friends, that you need to KNOW what you ARE and find your way to perfect that which you consider your experience in the play of choice. That means that in this particular play you must consider the alternatives offered for your experience and you must make it "physically" work or there is NO PLAY.

This means that as some kind of a "director" of MY OWN PLAY (which includes you who are in "my" play), I must provide information (script) that has an outcome as established as, at the very least, MY CHOSEN CONCLUSION.

So, this indicates that to recover from disastrous misuse of non-value-based currency (as a purely obvious example) we must consider getting value-based resolution of the problem. We can't do that if it is not even scripted into your play—BUT YOUR ADVERSARY HAS CERTAINLY BUILT IT INTO HIS.


If someone in claim of great wisdom would tell you "God wouldn't do this or that or the other...". BEWARE. GOD IS ALL THINGS and some self-styled guru who expounds on what God would or would not do—is full of BS (brain scramble). At best such projection can ONLY be opinion or conjecture of the self-styled projector/interpreter.

I know the way, friend, but you are incorrect in any assumption that I will DRAG you anywhere. If you ask a push or pull when bogged or are too weary to move on then, if you maintain your goal, I will certainly help. I will walk WITH you but not instead of you. However, in such cases of "carry" you or "go with you", we must, after all be going in the same direction on the same path. I will not HELP you to destroy yourself, for your adversarial "friends" and colleagues will give you that assistance. YOURS IS TO LEARN AND KNOW THE DIFFERENCE.

If you want to SAVE YOURSELF—then please do so, for no one other than self will do it FOR YOU. I'll help every way I can if you allow me but salvation is not MAGIC, it is Grace in something often referred to as "MIRACLE"—but is in fact, WHAT "IS". Most of you need, at most, an attitude adjustment, nothing more. You can only be as intelligent as the instructors you have chosen or are foisted off onto your unsuspecting selves through the generations of ignorant or cunning "professors". "Professor" is simply "one who professes". Embarrassing isn't it? Most professors would be far more acceptable if they confessed their ignorance rather than profess a continuing lie. I can well confess my own consternation and ignorance of HOW and WHY you became so confused about life itself.

God never leaves you alone, my friends, although I suspect most of you wish He would from time to time when you are being foolish.

May the Light always shine before you that you find your way.—GCH

dharmā 

God-Directed Great Venture Advances Steadily To Fruition

9/15/02—#1 (16-30)

RE: IRAQ, SADDAM, BUSH BRIGADE, GOLD, BONUS 3392-181 AND WHAT'S IT ALL ABOUT, ALFIE?

NAME CALLING

Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn presenting for duty. I often have to identify as GCH and will put (Yeorgos...) as "definition". This is because of pronunciation difficulties that arise in various language presentations. I "could" write "Jorgos" and in the Philippines and Spanish-speaking groups it would come out "Yourjos". In Greek it would be an entirely different use of alphabet just as "Janni or Jannis is John". Thank you for noting discrepancies and stopping a backwash of trivial argument.

Example of such a statement as perhaps would reflect on a totally separate topic is the prayer which asks God "WHY" HE (usually used word: "Causes") allows good people to experience "BAD" things.

Immediately the response would be: "What is defined as 'bad', 'good' or for whom might the terms apply?"

So, what you are REALLY doing when you form your opinions is to be asking FOR AN ARGUMENT in the matter in point. Even in your own little narrow realizations that which appears most terrible is that which works out to have been the most great.

When you ask "ME", it simply has no meaning—for, like you, I have a purpose and ARGUING over "bad" or "good" is not among my daily tasks or attention demands.

If you WANT something really badly or sincerely, in misuse of language, consider what YOU do to accomplish that desire OTHER THAN DUMP IT ONTO ME OR SOME OTHER HIGHER SPIRITUAL ENTITY.

Do I have example? Indeed. To you who want to change worlds and offer "CURES" and assistance to your fellow men—what do YOU do to accomplish the "hardware" of such wishes? This is not being unkind to anyone; it is recognition that many of you wish for something that you are not prepared to produce IF you had that for which you pray constantly. Therefore, the recognition of inability to accomplish your druthers without further study, effort or realization of aptitude or possibility—save your petition to "me"—is somewhat negative in concept from the beginning of the petition itself.

Then, moreover, you expect the answer from "this" keyboard and hear not the direct message regarding your petition. This indicates a startup of a desire for some new "religious message" or entity or at the least a true "disbelief" in self OR THAT OTHER ENTITY.

More of an immediate example right here in the Philippines is the person who has done the most work on deuterium production. That means ONLY that he has milled about in the study of same, located that which is already located and wants to work with his worst

enemies to accomplish making use of the product itself. NOW, SHOULD GOD BLESS THIS MAN WHO WOULD SELL HIS SOUL, AND YOURS, TO SATAN? MOREOVER, WOULD MY OWN TEAM HELP HIM? YES INDEED—JUST AS QUICKLY AS THERE ARE AVAILABLE FUNDS. NO THANK YOU.

However, to better clarify for those who might be affected by such a statement I must remind them that the "enemy" of which I refer already is taking claim to not only the deuterium but the entire AREA containing the asset in order to maintain "global" control over the corridors wherein the substance is contained in physical presence. It is only one of many, many examples. So, "good" or "bad" has no meaning whatsoever. It purely depends on YOUR DRUTHERS AS AN INDIVIDUAL IN ORDER TO HAVE OPINION AT ALL—AND MUCH THE MORE, WHAT YOU HAVE "BEEN TOLD" ABOUT THE CIRCUMSTANCE.

MOLTEN STEEL

By the way, I ask that Mark please share the *AFP* article on "Seismic Data Refutes Official Explanation" which addresses "Molten Steel" in the World Trade Center building(S) (both) and therefore, no accident!

Why? Because it is EASY to melt structural-quality steel through electromagnetic processing at the proper frequency and sufficient heat is produced through nuclear methods. This processing is now used to produce "superior" ammunition (bullets), so don't gasp in surprise, please. The addition of "deadly" mercury makes it even more easily accomplished. Remember that mercury is already in a fluid state in its pure form.

BRAIN SCRAMBLE (BS) OVER IRAQ, SADDAM AND U.S. INTERCHANGES

There is no great secret over the animosity of "Bushes" and Saddam. It is all based on gold, theft, command assumption, things gone wrong—and get this one: BONUS SUPERFUND and no way to make up the money owed or any way to get the loot back under Bush control.

There is a lot of gold still stashed in Turkey as well as reservoirs of SWEET CRUDE. The headquarters of "Management" of same was to have been out of Bahrain and lots of STUFF was stored in Kuwait awaiting shifting (looting) by that narrow little group of the New World Order.

To this moment the hunt for "weapons of mass destruction" has nothing more to do with anything than regaining the DOCUMENTS now in holding by Saddam in Iraq AND MASSIVE AMOUNTS OF GOLD—ACTUALLY MUCH OF IT REMOVED IN THE KUWAIT "INVASION".

How can you KNOW this is true? Well, follow the dots.

WHY DO YOU THINK BUSH WANTED RUSSELL HERMAN'S SIGNATURE ON DOCUMENTS "**FOR 35 TRILLION DOLLARS**" as is well known, and reported by even Russell and V.K. (Herman-Durham)?

You also overlook the KNOWN "joint bank accounts" held in BCCI by no less than George H.W.B. and Saddam H. to the tune of something like 250 BILLION big ones. Why do you think the BCCI mess hit the fan?

Now, let's go back to gold and holdings and the breakup of friendships so close as to have joint bank accounts and a steady flow of money into Iraq through the U.S. Agriculture Department.

Gold was shipped out and massive amounts of it was stored first in Kuwait to be moved for storage elsewhere. ONLY A PORTION was to have gone to Israel but some certainly would as payoff for the INTELLIGENCE involvement in the incidents of global significance (i.e. Philippines, Marcos, etc.).

When Bush couldn't get the \$35 Trillion assignment from Herman to cover the gold stashed and being moved into Iraq because he couldn't get the banks to absorb such a tremendous loss when he had ALREADY bled them quite dry and into bankruptcy (and the Bonus Superfund was registered and secured UNDER THE LAW), THE GAME WENT TO HELL.

British Petroleum was involved and therefore slant-drill pumping was taking place from Kuwait. Through Kuwait the oil was being pumped out from UNDER Iraq. This was going to stop! Remember that Kuwait was actually in reality PART OF IRAQ.

The documentation of all the gold, etc., transactions (even Savings and Loan papers were gotten out of the U.S.) that incriminate, to the gills, the Bush FAMILY and COLLEAGUES (again now in power in the U.S.) were in Kuwait. It WAS a pretty good job if you could get it—and they could—so what else is new in Earth-Land?

You know what came next as Iraq "invaded" Kuwait and went directly FIRST to the holding facilities and hauled out tons of gold, batches of documents and, oh my, the battle was joined.

There has been no real searching for "weapons" in Iraq. The whole intent was in sweeping the nation—WITH METAL DETECTORS—to locate that gold. Remember the demand to search living facilities, etc.? Well, nobody develops mass destruction weapons under their own bedroom (if they have any brains at all and Saddam has a fine-working brain if nothing else). Neither do you scatter the very biologic and chemical warheads under your palace halls. BUT, IT IS A FINE PLACE, EVEN UNDER THE WATER POOLS, TO STORE THAT WONDROUS YELLOW STUFF!

Push Mr. Hussein and I would guess it won't be too long before that wondrous dollar adventure is a collapsed pile of rubble from one point on the globe back again.

Does Dubya Bush work for Satan? PEOPLE, IT IS NOT MINE TO JUDGE LITTLE OR BIG BUSHES.

I can look at events and discern likely bases and outcomes. Some things are SIMPLY AND PURELY façade (World Trade Centers) to distract and save assets of BIG MONEY PLAYERS. All the flags Wal-Mart can sell will not change an iota of that fact. Moreover, it gives Bush a real leg-up on the money managers. It might even be called "blackmail" except for politically correct lack of taste. But, it also serves as a distraction for all the Bush alliance corporations in deep yogurt at the same time. Is this a "Mexican Standoff"? Nope, purely IBC, greed and corruption.

Now, what happens to “us” when the dollar implodes which is suggested as being dead ahead? Nothing except “good” news as opposed to “bad” (for “us”). Everything we do is based ON THE PRICE OF GOLD—PER OUNCE. That can read Euro, Peso, Kina, Eagles, Phoenix, Juan, Yen—you pick a name. YES, WE DID PLAN IT THAT WAY!

So, on a personal level of “what happens to you who have and do help us” in this endeavor underway? We base your input on GOLD and preferably we will keep as much as possible OUT of the U.S. where it would be, AGAIN, seized or lost to greedy partners who came under the guise of love and accomplishment.

I might note, however, that some people just volunteer and volunteer and then don’t actually do anything. This happens all the time every day in our immediate circle, especially here in Manila. It goes something like: “When you get the money to do it for me, then be sure and call me immediately.” Here it is worse in that the people give you the “pay my taxi fare and I will come give you a gold story” or perhaps let you know that “I am a distant cousin to the Sultan of Sulu.” NO THANK YOU.

We have a job to do and a big return to consider. When I say that “You, XXX, volunteered and I accept,” that is the end of it. You either take responsibility or don’t; it is up to you and I will NOT “call you back”.

God is fair and JUST—and expecting YOU to hold up your bargain is BOTH FAIR “AND” JUST. You certainly do want to be in the “return” bunch—don’t you?

Some have actually damaged and hurt us, called us false names, claimed our property as their own—and still expect their problems to be solved and abundance dropped from the sky on their heads.

If YOU invested in someone who “went South”, is that somehow OUR responsibility? We have gone nowhere, other than half a world away from home at great loss and damage, to get THIS JOB ACCOMPLISHED under the worst of hurtful circumstances and constant attack and assault.

If you can’t see that this is the GREATEST one venture yet on the face of your Earth, then you are surely not apprised of TRUTH or FACT. This is SO GREAT that it could not have been advanced to “here” without God’s intervention and guidance. What YOU think is not my business. You have allowed building on sand while GOD shall build his house on solid foundation. And no, we will not change that directive to suit you, you or your druthers. And NO, we will not fail—delays are NOT denials.

Dharma didn’t want to write these things because “things are hard enough already” she cries. But no, this does not bring more danger in actuality—it brings security at a much higher level than is yet understood. “I” negotiate (never compromise) at a very, very high level of “controllers” and manipulators. They can’t find anything wrong with our program except that it will WORK so well as to negate further corruption and graft plundering. But, meanwhile, EVERYTHING in the system is OUT OF CONTROL.

I will no longer jeopardize my lambs to YOUR preferential “wolves” or chickens to the foxes placed within the very house of same.

We are in what a gamester might call “checkmate”, friends, and therefore this particular game in play is all but finished but with yet a million-plus games to follow.

Those who have tried for years to bring conclusion to your own programs, yea, using our asset even, can vouch for the lack of ability to easily and simply conclude programs or projects. I note that things do not even get accomplished within the very working unit back at home base, especially “timely” as demanded by observers.

Now, for a direct to Mr. Ekker, please. It is time to begin to discourage the group in Sabah from the ongoing assumption that somehow you are going to drop everything and meet some people that may or may not ever come to bear fruit. The fact is that if you, under current circumstances, leave the Philippines, you will not be allowed to easily return since the upheavals in the Philippines are directly caused by the influence of Intelligence personnel working for/through the auspices of the U.S. You are not in danger as is, but it would be, at present, an entirely too expensive risk to take. STAY RIGHT WHERE YOU ARE; WATCH EVERY CALLER AND YOUR BACKSIDE. YOU “WILL” STAY NEUTRAL AND OUT OF POLITICS. YOU CAN OBSERVE BUT YOU MUST NOT DENY ACCESS TO PROGRAM OR PEOPLE UNLESS THEY HAVE NOT KEPT THEIR APPOINTMENTS. YOU DO NOT HAVE TO SERVE THE TIME SILLINESS REGARDING APPOINTMENTS, PAY FOR TRANSPORTATION COSTS OF “DROP-INS” OR EVEN GIVE THE TIME OF A VISIT TO THOSE WHO ABUSE AND WASTE TIME AND FUNDS.

We have ONE PROGRAM and it is well laid forth and any deviation, especially while under surveillance constantly (waiting for you to pay one centavo to the wrong party for Jeepney fare) is going to be costly in one way or another—time used and/or money necessary for defense. Even the “Sultans of Sulu” will eventually “getcha” for some perception of “favorable treatment”. YOU DO NOT HAVE TO EVEN CONSIDER WHO IS ACTUALLY “SULTAN” SO DON’T GET INVOLVED IN THE INNER-QUARREL. YOU HAVE GUIDELINES—STICK TO THEM, PLEASE, AND EVERYONE WILL BE SUFFICIENTLY COVERED. NEITHER MAY YOU LONGER FALL FOR THE AUNTIE, UNCLE, MOM, DAD OR GUARDIAN GIFT OF LABELS. IT IS A “TRAP”.

We make AGREEMENTS AND CONTRACTS and those participating must KNOW they have to do THEIR JOB or it will not get done with their involvement. This holds for “gold holders” (as proclaimed) and/or any other presentation of POSITION.

Trust me, you NOW have the reins and although it may seem to not be so, there is enough going on to prevent burial of the program. It is especially that you not further bite on the solving of the circumstances regarding PNG because lots has taken place which prevented things moving forward before now and which were simply grabs for position and commission if I might offer a pun. That transition should have already HAPPENED except for the dancing around for position. It can be LOST for the same stupid causes.

We have a PROGRAM which is laid out PERFECTLY and easily understood by any grade-school child. Stay to the guidelines and everything will turn out PERFECTLY WELL—even to the interchange with the U.S. in their demands, which are yet to come.

As you have new ones come promising that they have assets in commodity to cover what they wish to acquire—hold up on massive documents until you get PROOF. The games just never stop and I for one, am weary of it. It is worse than the attempts to sell the

Brooklyn Bridge and not as effective as selling the World Trade Center just one month before its “DEMOLITION” (right on target, exactly as planned well in advance). Blame anyone you choose, for it doesn’t change a thing as to fact in the matter. Planes did NOT bring down those buildings—staged explosives DID. That, friends, is MURDER in its most pitiful form of using circumstances to accomplish goals of the manipulators WITH YOUR HELP.

HOME DEFENSE DEPARTMENTS TO DO EVERYTHING

This is truly the most absurd of all the games and especially put upon a Mr. Ridge of the U.S. Come on citizens, do you REALLY think the power-holders are going to relinquish their power-positions to a novelty newcomer? It is more of the foolishness under the guise of “look how hard we try”. Executive orders brought EVERYTHING you consider a “freedom” under total control decades ago!

Should you “fight them”? WHO and WHOM? Whom do “youm” fight? You can search for your enemy and like Pogo you will find it to be yourself—too late smart.

Why didn’t “I” tell you? I DID, OVER AND OVER AGAIN UNTIL WE WERE BURNED, BLISTERED, BATTERED AND TOTALLY BEWILDERED AND TURNED IN TO THE FEDS BY OUR OWN PROCLAIMED PARTNERS AND ATTACHED PARASITES WHO CLAIMED EVEN MY OWN SELF AND ALL OF OUR WORK AND PROJECTS THUS FAR ACCOMPLISHED—OR ARE IN THE IMMEDIATE EFFORT TO DESTROY US AT ALL COSTS BECAUSE THEY **ARE CAUGHT IN THEIR OWN TRAPS**. Oh yes indeed, they WILL/SHALL (there is a difference) turn on one another in an effort to hide selves. It is already well under way, good readers. There is great trouble in the “rainbow” paradise. It must be interesting however, to claim “me” while watching us succeed at what THEY said could not work. But, as is usual, most “blind ones” will never know, will they? What arrogance is Man.

This is exactly what is taking place from Enron to downtown palace, Manila. The Fat Cats are having an alleyway harangue just prior to the castrating phase of the Cock-of-the-Walk death brawl. Please don’t expect God to take sides but never, either, expect God to leave either side. God never leaves or turns away—that is a trait of MAN. God goes where YOU DRAG HIM.

By the way, for you who are asking constantly, now, about Mr. Nidle’s contribution to our paper, I do not EDIT what you receive nor do I pronounce it either reliable or “reality”. There is good information within the projections or “receptions” but I’m sorry, when you begin to rely on massive “scoopup” of some kind—you err in your acceptance of POSSIBILITIES. When it becomes as one-sided as a “Rapture” of some kind, someone is missing the factual mark. Yes, there most certainly IS a Galactic Federation and a Cosmic Council but EARTH IS A MANIFEST PHYSICAL PLACE WHERE MAN IS MANIFEST AS WELL AND HAS FREE WILL TO CHOOSE. Moreover, the hierarchy is prevented by agreement to stay OUT of interfering.

I mention this because I have focused on this in prior years of sharing—you need identification to have valid acceptance. Each “individual” in ANY dimension, cosmic or otherwise, has identification

just as do you who are “receivers” or participants in ANYTHING. When the only identification is something such as: The Galactic Federation, The Cosmic Council, The O’rions or The Syrians, not to even mention, The Pleiadians—you have confusion in “River City” worse than missing Trombones.

This is certainly not a slap at ANYONE; it is simple fact for, just as there are say, a hundred Senators in the U.S. Senate, you have hundreds of entities (separate) on the council, Galactic or otherwise. There are billions of Pleiadians, so just who is giving out instructions and TO WHOM?

By the way, NOBODY, but nobody, says you have to believe a word I say or present nor do you need to or “have to” entertain my secretary, Dharma, and those are just the facts, “Ma’am”. I would suggest, however, that if someone feeds you pure poppycock, that you consider the consequences before you leap headlong into the sea. God does not puppet you along, friends. God gives that which SHOWS YOU THE WAY, what to do and how to get there. God provides—YOU DECIDE. For heroic problems you must have both heroic persons and ways as well as “means”, for you are MANIFEST IN PHYSICAL FORMAT. But know that “faith without works” is empty in both production and meaning. The wise old elders told you that much and handed it down through the eons for your consideration.

It would appear, therefore, that in discerning possibilities you might well wish to choose something that DOES OFFER OUTCOME rather than wishful thinking while swinging on a star in fantasyland. If you are to recover from something which is destroying you in the present realization, then KNOW, for goodness sakes, that you will have to have a measure of ‘same kind’ of substance to recover. If your money system has gone to hell, then I suggest you consider some recourse that shores up the holes in your bucket. THAT is the way God works, my friends. Reality is ever so much more logical than fantasy. Moreover, God is totally UNLIMITED BUT YOU HAVE TO DO YOUR SHARE—AND THAT IS IN THE “DOING”! Yes indeed, we have fully described in detail every step of our journey right up to today. You can get every bit of backup information and CHECK IT OUT. We will NOT repeat because you thought the “other side” was the “real” thing. So, you got “had” and we can be sorry as all get-out about that fact, but it is your fact and not our command to “fix it” for you. In other words, your wish is not our command.


This is not very spiritual, you complain? Well, it IS totally SPIRITUAL. What you want is to hear exactly what you WANT TO HEAR and receive exactly what you WANT in the way you have decided to WANT IT. That is BS, dear ones, and YOU KNOW IT.

I would close this now with, again, my full identification so that you do not misunderstand. My secretary is Doris/Dharma of well over 15 years with this company and I AM:

Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn (pronounced any way you like it).

You who have eyes to see and ears that hear—SHALL. So be it.

“Ah, but,” you say, “I’m not brave enough, smart enough (you-name-it “enough”) to do the work.” Oh, neither are the ones, so they say, who are DOING the job. If not YOU—WHO? If you can’t find a way to help, then you are NOT looking very hard. So be it.

dharmia 

Doris’ Corner

9/11/02—#1 (16-26)

CHECK POINTS, U.S.A.

By Doris & E.J. Ekker

RE: REFLECTIONS ON 9-11, BUILDINGS, NATIONS AND GOD HELP US ALL! HOWEVER, LIVING AS AN “ALIEN” IN A FOREIGN NATION BUT AS A PATRIOT OF THE U.S.—WE ARE LEFT TO WONDER, AREN’T WE ALL?

MEMORIES

A year ago (neither here nor there about anything important as to me or to this place) I turned the channel on TV from *Discovery Channel* to *CNN* to have the news station set for the next morning—just as the number one plane had hit the north WTC tower. I would usually not have bothered to preset the TV but thought it would be good to know if there was any “Headline” from a new morning on the East Coast of the U.S. Manila time is exactly 12 hours different so that 9:00 p.m. our day is 9:00 a.m. (the day before) in New York. Time confusion is something we have never outgrown here.

When the realization struck me I called E.J. from his computer work and said, “You had better see this, it isn’t good...” He arrived in the room to watch the second plane plough through the second tower. So, in this world of insanity, we watched an event in New York City (12,000 miles distant) AS IT UNFOLDED—IN MANILA. It truly is difficult to NOT question our sanity, reality and/or LIFE ITSELF.

Are we better off today, here or there, than a year ago? It is almost as if time has stood still while we head deeper into the prospect of war while watching the collapse of this nation along with the economic blow-out of our own home nation, the U.S.

I have left the heading reading 9/11 because I had started this yesterday morning and now it is the 12th here and yet nothing is different as the TV, of course, now bears your whole 9/11 repeats and tear-pulling heartaches. And yes, indeed, I WANT TO BE HOME—in the U.S.A.

V.K. Durham and her buddies like to tell the world and Interpol that we are somehow in hate of the U.S. and of all Races, Creeds and Colors. No, we are NOT in hate, and love America and our home enough to want to be home living in freedom and hugging the grandbabies.

We have now experienced from the “Catbird” seat: life! We have watched, yea, even George W. Bush making speeches, promising war and generally doing a very good job of presenting himself as sincere and well intended. Is he? I don’t know but I have this much to state, without hesitation, George W. Bush has more reason to be in dispute with us than probably any other one person around, other than perhaps his father—and as nearly as we can discern he is gracious and at the least, non-obtrusive toward us, personally or otherwise—in spite of V.K. Durham’s attempts otherwise.

In fact, and my heart hurts to recognize this fact; our so-called friends such as Rick Martin, Ed Young, Charles Neil and V.K. Durham, not the least, are our deadly enemies—NOT THE POLITICIANS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

I asked Mark if he could make room to please run the last writing of Al Martin on inner-U.S. “check points”, ID cards and thus and so. It seems absurd and offensive to have such terrible things happening in America in the Land of the Free??? I suppose we have been away too long and see it just a bit differently. I would guess that Rick might well pay more attention to security since he experienced a “signature” (his) bomb supposedly on his aircraft when he and Charles left for home from Manila in Feb. of 1999.

“CITIZEN, CAN I SEE YOUR ID?”

By Al Martin, almartinraw.com, 8/25/02

What has not been explained to the American people is the reason why 35,000 Army Reservists and 65,000 National Guard have been called up. It is to maintain internal checkpoints. It has nothing to do with the external “War on Terrorism”. All of these people are being trained at the U.S. Army School of Urban Control at Fort Campbell, Kentucky. *CNN* actually showed an urban training mock-up, what they’re training on, and what the new Internal Security checkpoint is going to look like. It was mighty sinister looking.

There was a barrier that went across the road. To the right was an elevated shed like structure, elevated perhaps fifteen feet in the air. It had a small second story that was open. On it was a sign that read “Homeland Security Internal Checkpoint”. There were sandbags and the wooden arm that crossed the road read “100% ID Checked”. Then there was a small shed to the right with a small barbed-wire area behind that. On this structure was a sign, which read, “All citizens not having proper identification will be detained. All foreign nationals will be detained. All citizens who are deemed to be acting in a suspicious manner will be detained.” At each of these posts there will be six armed Army or National Guard reservists with M-16s with full field kit. On top of the structure to the rear, the open structure on top, there’s a man with a machine gun emplacement.

They showed the actual mockup used for training purposes. They had new uniforms. They weren’t in their regular uniforms. It’s a new gray uniform with a gray helmet and a visor so you can’t see their eyes. The only thing you can see is from their lips down because they said that’s “to prevent any retribution” from people who don’t like this new idea.

This uniform looked exactly like the Imperial Storm Troopers from *Star Wars* except instead of white, it was gray. All the helmets have little transceivers so they can communicate with each other. There will be six guards at each internal security checkpoint. And there’s another warning on the inside of the barbed-wire enclosure, “Any detainees attempting to escape will be shot”. It was a yellow and red sign inside the detainment area.

The only person who actually spoke on camera during this story was a sergeant, an Army Reservist sergeant. You could tell that he completely disagreed with what was going on. You couldn’t hear the question being asked, but he was looking at the camera and he said, “We’re here to protect the people.” Then he put his head down and shook his head, and you could tell he didn’t believe a word of what he was saying—like it was some big frigging joke.

Then they showed the procedure they were using to train these guys. An average American car, like a Ford or a Chevy, drives up and there's supposed to be a husband and wife in the front seat and a couple of kiddies in the back. So they drive up to the checkpoint, and the corporal comes up to the car and says, "May I see your identification, citizen."

They call everyone "citizen". I swear to God, I'm not making this up. Then the guy asks for his driver's license, then something else and something else. Then he says, "Very good, citizen."

There's a spot on the gate that goes across the road that they had x-ed out. But you could tell what it said because the sergeant alluded to it. It said, "All citizens are required to present their National Identification Cards." But they left it blank as a black spray-painted-out spot because the legislation for that hasn't happened yet.

The big sign on the side of the one-and-half-story shed with the machine gun nest on top said "Homeland Security Internal Checkpoint". And now we're all supposed to say, "Hail the Republic." That's the new mantra. They showed a bunch of guys being trained at Fort Campbell, Kentucky, probably enlisted and reservists and such. And they kept raising up their right arm saying, "Hail the Republic."

The sergeant even said that they are duplicating the ancient Roman Legions salute to Caesar, using the right arm upraised with the fist. Instead of "Hail Caesar" though, they say, "Hail the Republic."

This is what's coming. People don't believe it or people don't understand it but 80% of the people support whatever "security" measures are necessary.

What does it all mean? We all better start worrying when [either] George Bush starts to play the fiddle.

Congress is supposed to be recessed for the rest of the year, but they will be giving the Administration extraordinary wartime authority—pursuant to all remaining legislation. In other words, they will simply allow the Administration to act under pending statutes. They are simply going to transfer to the Administration emergency wartime power to act under bills, which are still pending, even though they haven't been passed.

The implication is that we will be under a defacto state of martial law soon. There are 100,000 military being trained for these internal security checkpoints.

When they were showing the lines of enlisted and reserve people being trained in this camp, with M-16s in their hands, I can tell you I don't think any of them would hesitate to shoot at American citizens. I think they're being indoctrinated. The indoctrination they're going through is obvious. The enlisted people are being told by the drill sergeant that they are being given extraordinary authority that "your job is to protect the security of the State at all costs".

There is a direct parallel between the old Soviet Union and the East Bloc and what we are doing. We are establishing internal travel restrictions on the American people. We are essentially following the Soviet textbook. In the Soviet Communist Bloc, for example, there were checkpoints in every city. You had to have what's called an "internal travel visa". You had to have that visa stamped at every checkpoint in every city. Then they checked you out at every entrance to every city. Then if you checked out, they would affix a visa stamp and charge you ten marks for it. It was a real racket.

What will be interesting to see is what kind of a racket is going to go along with these internal security checkpoints. In other words, how much of a "toll" are they going to charge? They're going to have to do something to pay for all of this and one of the obvious ways to pay for it would be to charge everyone a one- or two-dollar "toll".

And this is what we should be looking forward to—toll booths around the nation. They're not saying this yet, but obviously in an effort to pay for this, there's going to be some sort of a "security tax". Since this system is incredibly cumbersome (having to stop every single vehicle and check identification)—and we've been taught to be suspicious of driver's licenses because it's so easy to obtain false driver's licenses—the implication is that national security cards are the only thing that will eventually be accepted as identification. The further implication is that in order to accommodate traffic (this will create traffic jams miles and miles long), there would be a separate line for those carrying pre-approved internal visas whose allegiance to the government has already been checked.

The sergeant on the news report said that all the people involved (100,000 military people) are being forced to swear new loyalty oaths to the United States. He just mentioned the government's overall policy, which Bush talked about last week, that all federal civilian employees are going to have to take new oaths of allegiance to the "Republic". And that extends to some members of the military who will be involved in internal security.

Surprisingly enough all these border checks, you would think, would be handled directly by the military—or under the auspices of the military. They're not. They're under the auspices of "Homeland Security". What it means is that you have 100,000 troops (reservists and National Guard people) based in the United States, which will be seconded to the Office of Homeland Security. Their ultimate jurisdiction is being transferred from the Department of Defense to the Office of Homeland Security.

In other words, the Office of Homeland Security is gaining a militarized division of 100,000 troops.

It's finally getting some of the liberals nervous. But it's coming. Day after day, they're showing polls that seventy to eighty percent of the American people are prepared to approve whatever security measures are "necessary" to "fight terrorism". **[MM: Those who would sacrifice their freedom for security deserve neither!]**

MILITARY FRAUD DEPT.: According to the Friendly Colonel, the Redstone Arsenal base commander was chortling over the fact that the accuracy of the missile strikes in Afghanistan thus far was 37%. He was actually chortling on how "high" the accuracy rate has been. The general's exact words were that "the defense contractors will get paid as long as the things go off and hit the right country."

Also, the Friendly Colonel realizes the reason the FBI didn't stop all those weapons shipments from Huntsville Alabama (See previous story <http://www.almartinraw.com/column22.html>).

What they were doing was pre-positioning materiel in Pakistan. That leads one to the conclusion which he had already made earlier—that somebody knew this war was going to happen, possibly as long as six months ago (May 2001). In other words, they didn't know specifically the target, but somebody thought it likely that a "terrorist event" would happen that would precipitate a response by the U.S. in Afghanistan.

And Where Did the "Mushrooms" Go? (See previous column <http://www.almartinraw.com/column32.html>) They were intended for use by U.S. armed forces. In other words, they were pre-staging supplies. That's where the "mushrooms" (anti-personnel land mines) went. He got an explanation how these "mushrooms" are used by Special Forces when they are clearing an area. When an area is being swept, they drop this

weapons system behind them—to protect their rear and also to prevent anyone else from re-infiltrating an area which has already been cleared. The specific use of these mushrooms is in a sweep operation. When forces sweep an area and they don't want the area re-infiltrated they leave this passive weapons system behind. These weapons are principally used in an urban warfare environment.

The only correlation that can be made is that there would be an attack against the United States of sufficient size which would warrant a response, hence the predisposition of these weapons systems, like these mushrooms which are under intense international criticism by an anti-land mine group in London.

The general also said that they're "re-ordering missiles like crazy—the Cruise and Tomahawk missiles". They are being reordered and Rockwell is building them as fast as they can. Cruise Missiles are about \$1.6 million each, and the Tomahawks, which are larger, longer range, more advanced with a heavier payload, are about \$3.5 million each.

He estimated that about 300 missiles have been used so far. It's not big money, but these missile systems are extremely profitable to build. They have a simple guidance system, a simple conventional explosive, and the microprocessors necessary for the look-forward view capacity are pretty simple. All they have to do is not hit the side of a mountain on the way to their target...

MEDIA DEPT.: According to a reliable inside source, all the mainstream media outlets have received a confidential memorandum from the White House asking that they change the monikers they're using from "Homeland Security" to "Home Front Security". Apparently they believe that "Home Front Security" sounds more patriotic and less sinister than "Home Land Security".

They have also asked the media not to show any more footage of the urban training and internal security checkpoints and to minimize the coverage of any "future" troop movements within the United States. The implication is that when these internal security checkpoints get set up, there will be a lot of movement of troops, helicopters, etc. So as not to disturb the domestic tranquility of the people by telling the people the truth, the government is asking the media to limit coverage of any domestic troop movements.

All the media will comply because they're all dying to jump on the government line. *MSNBC* has in fact changed their moniker from "Homeland Security" to "Home Front Security". "Home Front" is more homey sounding and much more patriotic. It strikes a chord with a lot of people—especially older people who remember this being so extensively used for security measures put in place during the Second World War.

EDUCATION/INDOCTRINATION DEPT.: A warning of note—Mothers of America beware. Last week during National Patriotism Day, sixty million American schoolchildren were supposed to stand up and say the Pledge of Allegiance together.

There was a little known and briefly shown incident on TV about a teacher in New Jersey. He was a fifth grade teacher who changed the words of the Pledge of Allegiance from "I pledge allegiance to the flag" to "I pledge allegiance to the Office of Homeland Security". Subsequently it was noted that in his opinion children are never too young to be taught obedience to the State. It should also be noted that the teacher in question who professed to be a loyal Vietnam veteran with a flat-top hairdo, a Marine Corps tattoo on his arm and a Timex watch, and replete with a polyester tie. He rather looked like some sort of reject for the

corner stool at the local VFW, when he explained that “he never saw a commie he didn’t want to bomb”.

HISTORY OF FBI ANTI-TERRORISM SUCCESS DEPT.: In 1995, pursuant to the first round of “anti-terrorism” legislation aka HR1701, the FBI was given a special \$300 million grant to track down terrorist assets worldwide.

After a five-year search and an expenditure of \$300 million of American taxpayers’ money, they managed to find one bank account belonging to the Hamas terrorist group. It was in a savings bank in New Jersey, and it had \$17,000 in it.

The Treasury Department’s current pronouncements that the terrorist assets they’re freezing every day are just so much nonsense. They’re not giving us any details about who owns these accounts or how they know they’re connected to terrorist groups. One of the accounts they seized was in California? Upon further investigation, it turned out that the account with \$346 in it was in fact the coffee and donut fund for the local Arab American Chamber of Commerce.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH DEPT.: Having gone out to the \$8.99 All-You-Can-Eat Chinese Buffet with a bunch of cohorts, we found out that unbeknownst to us, there was an FBI agent sitting in the booth nearby. He was there not in an official capacity, but just having dinner with his wife. Anyway, we were talking about the new Office of Homeland Security and what the internal checkpoints were going to look like and what the new parameters of our new National Identity Cards will be and, of course, referencing George Bush as George “Never Saw a Document He Didn’t Want to Shred” Bush. And we talked about the number of civil rights that the American people will be giving up in this new campaign against terrorism.

When we got up to leave, the FBI agent said to me, “Hey pal, best flap your gums while you can because a year from now I’ll have the power to arrest you for such seditious talk.”

He was wearing his FBI badge on the inside to ensure that he would get his 15% discount at the restaurant.

My pals are all older and they’re afraid that they’ll get their Social Security checks taken away from them for hanging out with me. Then I told the FBI agent that, “Hey, we’re just speaking the truth.” And he said, “Like I said, seditious talk.”

So remember—the new government mantra is “Speaking the truth about government misdeeds and abuses of power equals sedition.”

AS SEEN ON TV DEPT.: The new checkpoints have been established and they look just like the mockups that were shown on TV. There’s a large red and yellow placard that says “Homeland Security Internal Checkpoint”. You have to show your driver’s license to go through and you’re told that soon even that will not be sufficient. There was a company of National Guard troops setting up an ancillary facility. They had their new gray helmets with the visors on, so you couldn’t see their eyes. And it is true. We went through the checkpoint.

And yes, they really do address you as “Citizen”.

* * * * *

Frankly, I am among the ones who do NOT object to some means of keeping tabs on people who like to kidnap, murder, blow away and up or even, yea, STEAL our property. And no, I do not object to the same treatment nor do I object, frankly, to an ID card. I want the observers to know who we are and to come looking for us if we are missing. We have NOTHING to hide and everything to gain from being right out front with everything.

We have now learned to be a bit angry if we find a security officer not doing his job well, for we have been, now, in the immediate area of bombings—literally.

We do not have to show our passports but always do carry a copy so that we have ID without concern over loss by theft or mugging. This place is noted for BOTH. We also expect to be “patted” and our bags, purse, pockets etc., searched or scanned and frankly, right on cue, we feel ever so much safer to see backpacks being opened and checked before being allowed entry into the mall.

The airports are extremely tedious but, frankly, I DO NOT WANT MY CARRIER TO BE BLOWN AWAY. Furthermore, I can think of no reason to carry guns, daggers or even box cutters on board a passenger flight and I don’t want thugs to do so either.

Now, if in America, people visiting there have objections to the checkout of documents or persons, then I’m sorry but it seems quite appropriate when there ARE terrorists and criminals running loose around and about everywhere.

BUT I MISS THE POINT?

Sorry, but no I don’t miss the point and I can give you a good blunt story that happened THIS WEEK involving the President of the Senate here in the Philippines.

You have to appreciate the next “joke” to get the full whammy of this tale: “Do you know the difference in a criminal in the U.S. vs. the Philippines?”

“Criminals in the U.S. go to jail. Criminals in the Philippines go immediately to the U.S.”

This is not far-fetched. Everyone who has raked off an illicit dollar holds property of the expensive kind in the U.S. just as they do from Israel or you name it.

So, Senator Drillon took one of his questionable jaunts to the U.S. and when he got back to the airport to return to Manila, he was pulled aside and asked for his travel documents and, insult no end: to pull off his shoes.

No. 1) He had a wrong passport in his effort to be “important” in that he was on a “diplomatic” passport while NOT a diplomat of any kind.

Then, No. 2) He had a breakdown because they actually asked him to pull off his shoes for a check-through—even after he shouted that he was the Philippine Senate President. More insulting to him it seems was that he was checked out by a “Filipino-American” and boy: “They should know who I am,” he shouted. Moreover, “anyone could tell that he was important because he was wearing XXX brand shoes...” (the most expensive shoes made or known to man). And surely enough, he was wearing those expensive shoes here in a nation where 80% of the population is at or below the poverty level and where crimes of kidnapping and theft are the national industries, the whole nation is blacklisted as to money laundering and drug trade and you name it! You are absolutely RIGHT; I would demand that everyone be checked carefully before they are allowed to get on a public carrier. That makes me neither in favor of loss of freedom nor pushing for government control.

But remember readers, WE LOST OUR HOME AND OUR PROPERTY ALONG WITH THAT OF YOURS, TO OUR PROCLAIMED FRIENDS AND CLOSEST PARTNERS. HOW IS THAT FOR BRINGING “TRUTH” TO THE AUDIENCE OF BLIND LAMBS WHO CONSIDERED THAT SOMEHOW DARK ENERGIES FLIT ACROSS MY SHOULDERS? I NOTE DARK ENERGIES ARE “EVERYWHERE” AND ISN’T THAT THE POINT OF THIS JOURNEY?

Oh yes indeed, we have now met Satan in several encounters and found it to be that they most certainly were our closest associates and proclaimed “friends”. Surprises just never cease, it seems.

I don’t know the answers to this terror that has come upon us nor do I plan to stop writing on negative aspects of ongoing life, but unless we can find a balance in our lives we are not going to bring much peace and freedom among our hateful selves.

What do I think of Saddam Hussein? Well, he can’t be such a “nice” person if he was in bed with the games of Mr. Bush Sr. and he most certainly was to the tune of billions of dollars and criminal international games. I mean, after all, those weapons of mass destruction and those biological/chemical weapons came DIRECTLY FROM THE U.S.A.

Everyone seems to forget that so too was bin Laden—a U.S.-trained asset and the bin Laden family were partners with enterprises OF the Bush Family.

I find it unfortunate that these things have come to pass but my “hating” it will change nothing other than myself. Therefore, we will continue doing whatever we can to CHANGE THINGS in any positive way where we can do so. It appears that can happen in the Philippines while we were certainly told in no uncertain terms that we could not do so in the U.S.

What IS cannot be changed nor can it even be denied and therefore, we have tended things as best we can while sheltering that which is intended for a better way in the U.S. and securing it. And yes, we will keep right on keeping on straight ahead right here where we ARE.

I don’t know WHO rammed into the Trade Center buildings but I do know one thing—the things that happened are NOT as presented. Therefore, is it somehow patriotic or even unpatriotic to ask why we as citizens are not told truth?

People, there were pools of MOLTEN STEEL at the base of those towers (both of them) found weeks after the incident. What could be hot enough to melt steel in an oxygen-deprived environment, so hot it remained molten for WEEKS? **[MM: If the steel remained molten for weeks, then the melting process could NOT have been the result of extreme heat (metal solidification would occur at ambient temperature) but possibly could have been a molecular transmutational, COLD liquefaction phenomenon from a frequency-specific-type bombardment.]** We are talking, at the least, about structural steel in the tallest buildings anywhere around. Surely the steel structure should have stood long enough to let the people—on their way down the stairs—out of the building.

Let us look at “earthquaking” in addition to that melted steel. Just before the fall of the towers in both instances just prior to the collapse there were EXPLOSIONS that registered on the quake scales at above a three-point shaker. This is like that of nuclear underground explosions and these puddles and shakers were some 70 feet under the structures—BOTH of them. So, readers, SOMETHING is wrong and please believe me, as we experience over here in “foreign land”—WE ARE LAUGHED AT IN OUR FOOLISHNESS.

I think we shall never again experience freedom as we once “thought” we had it. Perhaps we never had real freedom but got sold a bunch of hogwash to allow the blindfolds to remain in place behind the waving flags—and yet, ME TOO; I love my flag and as imperfect as we are as Americans—those were MY TOWERS as well as yours and now, my friends, we have paid dearly for them AGAIN.

The corporate “losers” are being paid at least three times over for the inconvenience of “owning” them from July to September 11th. Not bad profit if they can do it and certainly they can do it, for the insurance companies never again have to offer “terrorist” insurance or pay off what is insured in the first place.

So, where do we go from here? We keep right on down that pathway doing what is right to the very best of our ability and let God handle the rest of it.

I have a couple of things to share regarding what is taking place as it relates to us (as you and we) and the approaching storm gathering in the Philippines over Malaysia and North Borneo (Sabah) and the “Royal Family”, *et al.*

I also want to share the information right from the writer (investigators) at *American Free Press* about the molten steel at the base of the WTC structures and Mark or John will get it in. [MM: To subscribe to *American Free Press* call 1-888-699 NEWS (6397) toll free—Visa/MasterCard accepted.] I can't discern WHO did what but certainly we can AND MUST JUDGE what has happened or we are sitting ducks for the next round of games played at our expense.

NEW SEISMIC DATA REFUTES OFFICIAL EXPLANATION

By Christopher Bollyn,

Exclusive to *American Free Press*, 9/03/02

SUPPRESSED CLUES MAY OFFER ALTERNATIVE TO GOVERNMENT 9-11 CLAIMS

Two unexplained “spikes” in the seismic record from Sept. 11 indicate huge bursts of energy shook the ground beneath the World Trade Center’s twin towers immediately prior to the collapse.

American Free Press has learned of pools of “molten steel” found at the base of the collapsed twin towers weeks after the collapse. Although the energy source for these incredibly hot areas has yet to be explained, New York seismometers recorded huge bursts of energy, which caused unexplained seismic “spikes” at the beginning of each collapse.

These spikes suggest that massive underground explosions may have literally knocked the towers off their foundations, causing them to collapse.

In the basements of the collapsed towers, where the 47 central support columns connected with the bedrock, hot spots of “literally molten steel” were discovered more than a month after the collapse. Such persistent and intense residual heat, 70 feet below the surface, in an oxygen-starved environment, could explain how these crucial structural supports failed.

Peter Tully, president of Tully Construction of Flushing, N.Y., told *AFP* that he saw pools of “literally molten steel” at the World Trade Center.

Tully was contracted after the Sept. 11 tragedy to remove the debris from the site.

Tully called Mark Loizeaux, president of Controlled Demolition, Inc. (CDI) of Phoenix, Md., for consultation about removing the debris. CDI calls itself “the innovator and global leader in the controlled demolition and implosion of structures.”

Loizeaux, who cleaned up the bombed Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, arrived at the WTC site two days later and wrote the clean-up plan for the entire operation.

AFP asked Loizeaux about the report of molten steel on the site.

“Yes,” he said, “hot spots of molten steel in the basements.”

These incredibly hot areas were found “at the bottoms of the elevator shafts of the main towers, down seven [basement] levels,” Loizeaux said.

The molten steel was found “three, four, and five weeks later, when the rubble was being removed,” Loizeaux said. He said molten steel was also found at 7 WTC, which collapsed mysteriously in the late afternoon.

Construction steel has an extremely high melting point of about 2,800 degrees Fahrenheit.

Asked what could have caused such extreme heat, Tully said, “Think of the jet fuel.”

Loizeaux told *AFP* that the steel-melting fires were fueled by “paper, carpet and other combustibles packed down the elevator shafts by the tower floors as they ‘pancaked’ into the basement”.

However, some independent investigators dispute this claim, saying kerosene-based jet fuel, paper, or the other combustibles normally found in the towers, cannot generate the heat required to melt steel [MM: and keep it molten “three, four, and five weeks later”????!!!], especially in an oxygen-poor environment like a deep basement.

Eric Hufschmid, author of a book about the WTC collapse, **Painful Questions*, told *AFP* that due to the lack of oxygen, paper and other combustibles packed down at the bottom of elevator shafts would probably be “a smoky smoldering pile”.

Experts disagree that jet-fuel or paper could generate such heat.

This is impossible, they say, because the maximum temperature that can be reached by hydrocarbons like jet-fuel burning in air is 1,520 degrees F. Because the WTC fires were fuel rich, as evidenced by the thick black smoke, it is argued that they did not reach this upper limit.

The hottest spots at the surface of the rubble, where abundant oxygen was available, were much cooler than the molten steel found in the basements.

Five days after the collapse, on Sept. 16, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) used an Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer (AVIRIS) to locate and measure the site’s hot spots.

Dozens of hot spots were mapped, the hottest being in the east corner of the South Tower where a temperature of 1,377 degrees F was recorded.

This is, however, less than half as hot at the molten steel in the basement.

The foundations of the twin towers were 70 feet deep. At that level, 47 huge box columns, connected to the bedrock, supported the entire gravity load of the structures. The steel walls of these lower box columns were four inches thick.

Videos of the North Tower collapse show its communication mast falling first, indicating that the central support columns must have failed at the very beginning of the collapse. Loizeaux told *AFP*, “Everything went simultaneously.”

“At 10:29 the entire top section of the North Tower had been severed from the base and began falling down,” Hufschmid writes. “If the first event was the falling of a floor, how did that progress to the severing of hundreds of columns?”

Asked if the vertical support columns gave way before the connections between the floors and the columns, Ron Hamburger, a structural engineer with the FEMA assessment team said, “That’s the \$64,000 question.”

Loizeaux said, “If I were to bring the towers down, I would put explosives in the basement to get the weight of the building to help collapse the structure.”

SEISMIC ‘SPIKES’

Seismographs at Columbia University’s Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory in Palisades, N.Y., 21 miles north of the WTC, recorded strange seismic activity on Sept. 11 that has still not been explained.

While the aircraft crashes caused minimal earth shaking, significant earthquakes with unusual spikes occurred at the beginning of each collapse.

The Palisades seismic data recorded a 2.1 magnitude earthquake during the 10-second collapse of the South Tower at 9:59:04 and a 2.3 quake during the 8-second collapse of the North Tower at 10:28:31.

However, the Palisades seismic record shows that—as the collapses began—a huge seismic “spike” marked the moment the greatest energy went into the ground. The strongest jolts were all registered at the beginning of the collapses, well before the falling debris struck the Earth.

These unexplained “spikes” in the seismic data lend credence to the theory that massive explosions at the base of the towers caused the collapses.

A “sharp spike of short duration” is how seismologist Thorne Lay of University of California at Santa Cruz told *AFP* an underground nuclear explosion appears on a seismograph.

The two unexplained spikes are more than 20 times the amplitude of the other seismic waves associated with the collapses and occurred in the East-West seismic recording as the buildings began to fall.

Experts cannot explain why the seismic waves peaked before the towers actually hit the ground.

Asked about these spikes, seismologist Arthur Lerner-Lam, director of Columbia University’s Center for Hazards and Risk Research told *AFP*, “This is an element of current research and discussion. It is still being investigated.”

Lerner-Lam told *AFP* that a 10-fold increase in wave amplitude indicates a 100-fold increase in energy released. These “short-period surface waves,” reflect “the interaction between the ground and the building foundation,” according to a report from Columbia Earth Institute.

“The seismic effects of the collapses are comparable to the explosions at a gasoline tank farm near Newark on Jan. 7, 1983,” the Palisades Seismology Group reported on Sept. 14, 2001.

One of the seismologists, Won-Young Kim, told *AFP* that the Palisades seismographs register daily underground explosions from a quarry 20 miles away.

These blasts are caused by 80,000 pounds of ammonium nitrate and cause local earthquakes between Magnitude 1 and 2. Kim said the 1993 truck-bomb at the WTC did not register on the seismographs because it was “not coupled” to the ground.

“Only a small fraction of the energy from the collapsing towers was converted into ground motion,” Lerner-Lam said. “The ground shaking that resulted from the collapse of the towers was extremely small.”

Last November, Lerner-Lam said: “During the collapse, most of the energy of the falling debris was absorbed by the towers and the neighboring structures, converting them into rubble and dust or causing other damage—but not causing significant ground shaking.”

Evidently, the energy source that shook the ground beneath the towers was many times more powerful than the total potential energy released by the falling mass of the towers. The question is: What was that energy source?

While steel is often tested for evidence of

explosions, despite numerous eyewitness reports of explosions in the towers, the engineers involved in the FEMA-sponsored building assessment did no such tests.

Dr. W. Gene Corley, who investigated for the government the cause of the fire at the Branch Davidian compound in Waco and the Oklahoma City bombing, headed the FEMA-sponsored engineering assessment of the WTC collapse.

Corley told *AFP* that while some tests had been done on the 80 pieces of steel saved from the site, he said he did not know about tests that show if an explosion had affected the steel.

“I am not a metallurgist,” Corley said.

Much of the structural steel from the WTC was sold to Alan D. Ratner of Metal Management of Newark, N.J., and the New York-based company Hugo Neu Schnitzer East.

Ratner, who heads the New Jersey branch of the Chicago-based company, sold the WTC steel to overseas companies, reportedly selling more than 50,000 tons of steel to a Shanghai steel company known as Baosteel for \$120 per ton. Ratner paid about \$70 per ton for the steel.

Other shipments of steel from the WTC went to India and other Asian ports.

Ratner came to Metal Management after spending years with a metal trading firm known as SimsMetal based out of Sydney, Australia.—http://www.americanfreepress.net/09_03_02/NEW_SEISMIC/new_seismic.html

* *Painful Questions* (Item #1051, \$20, 160 pages, softcover) is available from First Amendment Books, 645 Pennsylvania Avenue SE, Suite 100, Washington D.C. 20003. Call 1-888-699-6397 to order by Visa or MasterCard.


We are constantly aware and grateful for the higher wisdom that/who directs our journey and it is so wonderful to know that we can reach out our hand and find YOURS reaching out to us. We may be only a few but WE CAN DO THIS JOB and we find each day offers new and more potentially helpful allies. Yes, it has taken every minute of these four-plus years and sometimes the head swims at the realizations but if YOU keep plugging along, then so too shall we.

The big talk here is about evacuations being necessary and will that impact us? Perhaps, but nobody seems eager to have us leave and certainly we have nothing to which to return until we get this job finished. **And again we must realize why our job must be accomplished here in the Philippines instead of the other nations in this area.**

However, I find that on these especially hurtful days I just want to be home, hug my friends and loved ones and toss this impossible game and yet, with God ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE. Therefore we must watch for the detours and consider all alternatives, hold to that which is within reality and get this manifest.

I need to go now and see if I can share some thoughts as information flows through that tube which bounces pictures off a satellite and marvel at modern technology that allows “waves” to present as colored pictures—which is “impossible”, you know. Moreover, the screen doesn’t have to be more than 1/8th inch thick any longer. More than that, I can watch something happening as it happens over half a world away.

Therefore, to think we can’t do THIS job must really be an absurd concept to our teachers. We just have to find that key that fits this lock—no more or less.

God bless and keep you each so that we keep our target in the scope and the goal in the crosshairs with our finger always on the trigger so that we fear not from fear along the way.—DJE 

All Will Receive According To Their Level Of Understanding

(PART 2)

**RAPE, RAVAGE, PILLAGE AND
PLUNDER OF THE PHOENIX**

BY GYEORGOS CERES HATONN

“dharma”

PHOENIX JOURNAL #14 (1990)

CHAPTER 3

REC #1 DHARMA/ATON

THU., APRIL 12, **1990** 9:30 A.M. YEAR 3 DAY 239

Father, this is Dharma to inquire of you. I sit in light, for I have cleared of my space and yet I almost long for a dark fragment that I can attack, for my shield is perfection this day—in my consciousness. Can it be that man must have conflict? Can it be that the only real journey is to produce the consequences and experience of the ultimate prophecy as already written and only the methods of experience vary? Could it be that the ending scenario was put in place so that we would accomplish that end and naught other? Could it be that the projection of the “lie” came in inception by those of us who create? I think that my head will split with the truth of it—for I see! And yet, as the consciousness efforts to express it—where does it go? How is it that the higher consciousness knows exactly that which IS and the conscious brain and tongue tangle themselves into knots and scrambles of disorder? Why must each of us cling to “another’s” scenario even unto the man-printed *Bible*? Why can we not live and act within the Laws of God and Creation and find peace and comfort? If man can create the lies of such magnitude as has been proven unto us in our own generations where we are witness to the facts of it—such as the Conspiracy, World Domination, the Antarctic bases, the “space” lies, etc.—why do we assume there is no lie in our beginnings and that we would need only change of our perception?

I KNOW THAT THERE ARE, AND WERE AND, IN THE PHYSICAL PLANE WILL FOREVER BE, ONLY PERCEPTIONS. SHIRLEY IS CORRECT IN ASSUMPTION—IT IS ALL IN THE PLAYING—BUT SHE HAS MISSED THE “WHOLE” OF IT!

Man creator gives life unto these perceptions, even unto something as sinister as AIDS, and the need for reduction of overpopulation of a planet. But there must have been a reason for the lesson of the overpopulation in the first place. We build and build in thought form until we have created that which we claim we want least of all! There only IS and yet why have I not heard you when you have said as much? Why do I feel as if I shall leave of this dimension when I accept as much? How is it that I have given up judgment when in my consciousness I find I WANT to judge for the very experience of the encounter?

Knowing this is the way it is, why must I continue to receive such tid-bits of happenings? Can man not accept the truth in a lump? Father, I ask for input, please, for I am not alone in my quest. I recognize that which you bring unto my hands as truth and yet, it is never enough to simply know of the lies or even to know the solutions and take action, it is far, far greater in intent that man should grow. Why has “Christianity” never worked in total, or Buddha, or the Great Spirit in total concept when in truth they all ARE? I see that that which has been created must be “finished” and yet I have a desire only to write of it—not to DO of it. I know that I deliberately keep the shadow of blindness about me, for within I KNOW THAT I KNOW, and I further know that we discuss it constantly whereby I make the decision to act and participate from the cloak of unknowingness. Why does this seem so foolish to me? Is it because I know that I am not allowed to tell it in my own manner? Would not these truths fall more gently on the ears of mankind from more accepted sources? Why has this word projector become my discipline—for I know that I can traverse the stars were it not for this task? I desire not to control of man’s destiny although I understand, now, that I accept mankind even unto the most unacceptable and heinous—and I can love and accept him with compassion beyond that which I thought myself capable. I look into your Light and touch your very presence and yet I long to see an image with my human eyes—rarely do I perceive an image any more unless it comes with a point and message. I experience, however, that which is beyond any comprehension and I know that I am one within the very Oneness. Why do I continue to long for a human image in God? I know that whatever the final truth is, it will not be as man anticipates—no matter what it is man anticipates—NOTHING which man has in his consciousness. I know that there is no “telling” of truth, for truth simply IS, and is the same as experience. I know that I desire to pull away from that which is human, for I find being human is most deceiving and I am weakened greatly in spirit by the presence of all save a few. I am all but helpless to function in a crowd and I must struggle to maintain balance—why? I was a social creature and yet I long for the solitude—the beauty of quiet communion and yet I know that man must be given truth that he might know of his own creation and ability, for creation for the mass thought form shall be that which completes the experience. I also know that to stop my pronouncements is exactly that which our enemy desires to happen and strives relentlessly to accomplish. I further believe that it is my own confirmation of the truth of that which we bring forth herein in that anyone would take notice and try so diligently to stop its flow. I repeat what Peter said 2000 years ago—“we are the first to KNOW!” HOW IS IT THAT WE WOULD BE THE FIRST TO KNOW AND SEE AND YET NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO IMMEDIATELY TRANSFORM THAT THOUGHT UNTO OUR BROTHER FRAGMENTS?

I see that man has a right to experience that

journey for which he came upon this place, be it perceived painful, heinous, beautiful, Godly or evil. Is it that we must express truth that man might see the lie? For if he sees the magnitude of the lies—he shall undoubtedly see the truth!

Father, I know that these are questions which are being asked, for they flood unto my attention daily and yet myself, and my brothers and sisters do not even understand the very questions which we are projecting, for we know not, in our consciousness, even that which to ask. We only understand to ask for “things” or states of beingness—we know not that which to ask!

I know that ones do not anger with our writings because they are truth or utilized, in perception, from their own interpretation—for that would greatly please of anyone to have to have his work validated by another, therefore, it is more, isn't it? It is that we all know the truth lies far beyond that which we project at any given time and when we think we have individually grasped it in finite form—it elusively slips away as an essence only to be taken farther by someone else's knowledge? I perceive this day, that the moment the masses perceive the unbalance in fullness—the pendulum shall rebalance, for all who have fragmented to experience given roles shall be returned unto and into the “ONE” and this game shall be ended. Then the next scenario shall begin in a burst of glory—that which we will do from this point onward. For the higher experiences are so much grander and more wondrous—this place is but dense conflict and contradiction and blindness—for we can only learn from error. We could learn from “example”, and have to some extent, but we have drawn away from the pure and Godly example!

I shall quiet now, for my conscious being has tended to overstep my own limits—but I command in behalf of myself and my asking brothers, for response, in clarity, that we might understand that which is our mission. Millions of us, as fragments of higher source, are seeking our purpose and understanding of our mission that we might get about our intended work, for we now know that it will only be through action—“creation through actions”—which shall prevail. Please open our minds, for our eyes and ears are open and yet the fog is great in our waking hours which of course, are our “sleeping” hours. How can it be that we have so missed the point of the opposites of perception? Little Crow has said it to me over and over—all that is within must come without—ALL. For life in consciousness is only a contradiction of experience. Is it a time, perhaps, of coming back into knowledge WITH WISDOM and putting aside the sleepy “knowledge” WITH FOOLISHNESS? We are pawns—but I perceive we are not pawns of the scenario or of the perceived Gods—but only of self-expression? I stand aside and await your wisdom of higher insight. I require that you give me truth, for I know that the call compels the answer and I await—for I am but one with my brothers. In greatest reverence and respect I bow unto thine greatness, for I am humbled by the truth of it, that ye would experience through such a humble servant. Amen, Father—I ask only that I serve well, for as I am given to see a glimpse of the whole of it—I can only petition to do my portion well. I know that ye shall give unto me the strength and energy that I need, just as ye will give unto each and all of us that which we need and require to fulfill our missions—I am most humble in that wisdom of truth. May the beauty of thy countenance be given to shine upon us in this journey, for it is wondrous indeed. Little Crow said unto me that I would be given that which I needed and the energy

required as will we all, who petition and allow the unfolding—I did not understand. I thank you for sending that elusive teacher unto me, for he touches me through every other manner than as the human brother and I weep to be “told” and reassured in my humanness, but I understand, now, why it would not be wise, for I must KNOW my own truth for the bringing forth. I ask permission to send this portion unto Sister, for I know that she awaits it. It has been far more difficult for these precious ones than for me, to watch me stumble over the rocks thrown in my path, but I now know that I have prevailed and that which I bring forth is from truth and only truth in the magnitude of the whole of it. The loss of a label or a date is but garbage and chaff to be cast away and there shall be no further quibbling on such matters. The readers of these words will simply have to move within and decide, for proof shall not be given from tricks and debates. How can it take so many journeys to see the truth of it? My own journeys flood my mind as I write and the magnitude of it breaks as a sunburst. I bow before the greatness and the reflection of it. I am Doris of human—but oh, Father, I soar with the unseen eagles of the universe and I want the missing fragments of my own wholeness to find their wings also, those which are all my relations—which is All. Please allow me to finish of my work. Thank you, Father, for I know that it shall be so. Might this Easter time be the resurrection of mankind, for we have been dead so long. Amen.

* * * * *

ATON to respond, chela, for you have summoned me. I AM THE LIGHT and I have awaited your command. I feel as if a Socratilian lecturer has bended mine ear. Some of the response will be beyond some ability to understand. Know that always, the being receiving will only receive to his level of capability and pronounce the writing “too confusing” or full of banal nonsense. THAT IS NOT YOUR PROBLEM! You deal with self—and others will find their level of comprehension. There has been great care and discernment as to the order of bringing forth these *Journals* and the pattern shall not fit all. It is enough for you to know that they touch all and even if cast aside, they have jarred mental processes far beyond that which is proclaimed. Yours is to write, not attend the readers, for it is none of your business what they think! **All will receive according to their level of understanding.** Those who write and inquire or call to clarify, are blessed indeed but that, too, is between them and me—not you, unless they are in the asking of your human opinion—which would be most unwise on their part, for you might actually be tempted to give it.

You ones who experience in the density of human format are very much given to the need of conflict. If there is not conflict that requires thought and thoughtful action, there would be nothing beyond the mindless child-like handicapped being or the animal/plant/mineral kingdom as you perceive it—for those things have no notion of else but being—in whatever situation they find themselves. They may struggle and fight in fear or for other reasons, but they soon cease to struggle at all—almost where you ones have come, my friends. **Only through thoughtful action can you change a whit of your experience, otherwise, it is not your experience, for you have then become a pawn in another's experience.**

Ah, beloved, you have found a major clue of your perceived experience—you all have accepted that the ending will be as the projections have come forth—and so it shall probably be. Why? Because

man perceives it to be his direction as laid forth by someone and some things at some time. Man will tell you that you cannot make a white-skinned person to be Black—an albino proves that to be incorrect. If you remove all the colored pigments and change of them—you can create any colored being that you desire! Besides, a Black man is not black and hence the incorrect label of “black”, they are beautiful gifts of bronze and earth color at its most beautiful and glorious—the wondrous color of earth manifested in “man”. Further, the “White” are not “white”, for white is the pure blending of all colors and you have never witnessed pure “white”, for in the perfection of “white” you have only “light” and your eyes cannot discern it from the colors which filter through.

If you walked into the halls of the Earth wherein you could be seen and heard in every quarter—by all ones, and you spake unto them and said, “All we have to do is change our minds—there has to be no Armageddon, no AIDS and thus and so”—who would come to your speech? Moreso—who would listen and conceive, with you, the truth of it? Nay, the masses would clutch their various “books” of rules and “history” and slay you for your blasphemy! The facts are, chela, man has no desire what-so-ever to change of the prophecies, for man wrote the book in the first place. Man desires to experience exactly that which he is experiencing regardless of perceived consequences. Yes, man must have the perception of good and bad, positive and negative and all the myriad of perceptions in the middle, lest he be unable to perceive anything, for man can rarely indeed, perceive simple IS-NESS.

A child in a death camp was asked how she and her sister endured the taking of scrapings of bone from their shins every week without anesthesia, etc. She answered, “I didn't know it could be any other way—I thought that was what being a child was!” A babe born into Ethiopian squalor and famine knows nothing other than hunger and flies in his eyes—he is in the depths of misery and yet knows nothing more or less—he can cry but what else? Until he knows of something else he has nothing upon which to discern his own plight. And further, **if you can turn masses into the unknowingness—they become easy slaves to the ones who DO know a difference.**

If you have only experienced welfare for survival, you EXPECT the welfare and how could you feel it unworthy of a healthy being to live in those circumstances? You ones cannot discern that which is true illusion from that which is experienced illusion. Even pain can be a total illusion.

Further, when the mind “accepts” what IS, he can then grow above the limits and into his further knowing that he can do something about the IS-NESS. When he/she/you/it stops fighting—“FIGHTING”—then you can settle into the solution of the perceived problems and the problems will then take whatever growth state you choose. If it is AIDS, for example, when you stop “fighting” AIDS, the solution will come, for the masses have now the expectation of losing a given number of bodies to AIDS. When you move from the perception of “death” regarding physical inflictions, and move into the perception of “life”—wondrous miracles occur—even positive and actively infected AIDS sufferers actually can become negative even to the blood testing. You may well find that AIDS “virus” is not a “virus” at all—whatever that might be at any rate, for a virus is only a crystalline substance—you may well find, my friends, that it is no problem at all but a figment of your own projections and attitudes. When the

actions and behaviors of the groups suffering are changed into cleansing and God-ly behavior—you will find the “thing” is no longer existent. Oh, you may find a “cure” in apparatus and beams and vibration frequencies—but it will be the attitude and mind that will reap the cure. The mind can override every known piece of apparatus you can invent.

These are the reasons we project unto you ones the scenario as laid forth and then hit you squarely with “probabilities” and “changes by possibilities”, etc. It is not predestined that man has to move into holocaust—it is simply that it is so written and therefore, it will PROBABLY come to pass exactly in the manner laid forth—give or take a week or two. Just as the mind that believes the card reader who says, “Next Wednesday you will fall in front of a truck and be killed.” If you believe that, my friend, you will go out of your way to get in front of a truck—further, if she tells you it will be a Ford truck—you will find the Ford. You change the predictions by changing the mind!

It is the same with changing the scenario of the ending times—first you have to change your mind about the story and enough of you have to change it to bring forth alternatives. You see, the Conspiracy has come up with alternatives, which, if you do not act—may very well change the ending—for them, but not for YOU! Is understanding seeping through at all?

Further, it is why you MUST KNOW THE TRUTH OF HOW THINGS ARE IN ORDER TO CHANGE THEM AT ALL. How can you change an unknown into another unknown and make it work for you? That is what you have been doing—accepting the lies and changing exactly as you are told to change. When enough perceive the truth of it—it must automatically change, for you will have drawn from the source of all power—you-the-people will have changed your minds about how the play goes. Further, you do not have to depopulate your planet by killing—change your perception and you depopulate by creating other planets upon which to experience—it is only that human only recognizes his limits and not his God “unlimited”.

You have created your perceived “mess” through commission or omission throughout the eons—you will now change of it or it will come to its own predicted demise so that you can progress on to other things. You can change a whole nation’s political expression by demanding a change in voting and leadership—and you are convinced you can do nothing. Therefore, if you do nothing, you have created the circumstance. It is that man desires someone else to do it—not himself—i.e., “Dharma, you do it because you believe and I am not sure.” Nay, Dharma can only change her own perception of circumstance and we made incredible headway this very day. She will write that which we give forth—you who receive will do whatever ye choose to do with it.

It is as with the one who has been supplied with sound and recording equipment to read the *Journals* to have available for the unsighted and handicapped (or for simple preference in receiving method), and he doesn’t get started even, for he can’t decide whether or not he agrees and his friends (whom all of you can name) are telling him it is not all truth. So be it. No one asked him to believe anything, disagree, agree or fly kites—he has asked to do a job for which he fully expects payment. Therefore, go forth and retrieve the apparatus and the material, for it is not his business to agree or disagree—he either

does the job or he does not—or he arranges to find out truth on his own balance and makes that a portion of his agreement—“I will only do it if I totally believe it and it is alright with my advisors who have obviously made my life perfection unto this day.”

IF YOU HAVE OFFERED THE PEARLS AND THEY HAVE BEEN CAST ASIDE AND REQUIRED THE JEWELERS TESTING OF THE GIFT, WOULD YOU LEAVE THEM TO BE SMASHED AND TRAMPLED UNDER THE FEET OF THE SWINE? IS IT NOT TIME TO CEASE THE ALLOWING OF THE WORD OF GOD TO BE TRAMPLED INTO THE MUD UNDER THE FEET OF THE SWINE? THESE ONES HAVE TOTAL ACCESS TO THE WORDS, THE *JOURNALS* AND ANYTHING ELSE THEY DESIRE TO OBTAIN THEMSELVES—YOU GET BACK THAT WHICH IS YOURS AND GOD’S, FOR IF YOU ALLOW THEM TO DESECRATE THE WORD, WHICH I AM, THEN YOU HAVE DESECRATED OF IT! WE OFFERED THE OPPORTUNITY AS A GIFT IN THE JOY OF HELPING A FRIEND—WE NEED NOT THESE ONES FOR THE PROJECTS, FOR THERE ARE THOUSANDS AWAITING AND PLEADING FOR THE GIFT OF PARTICIPATION. This is a good example of the time of choosing—you are given the selection from which to choose—but it is now time; you will be in the choosing or, for the lack of actively choosing, ye will have made your choice.

It is as Little Crow said unto Dharma, “You will have exactly who you need for the motion picture and they will be perfection. Do not expect it to be those whom YOU perceive are necessary!” Think upon this wisdom. MY CHILDREN, HEAR ME WELL—YOU SHALL NOT “SELL” GOD OR TRUTH. In many, many cases, man’s own ego and ignorance will cause him to be passed over—so be it! It is not your business!

The Japanese have created a computer which can dissolve an item and reconstruct it at another location—do you not think perhaps we can find someone to build Mr. Tesla’s apparatus? DO NOT LIMIT POSSIBILITIES OF PARTICIPATION BY EGO TRIPS, MY FRIENDS—YOU NEED GODNESS, HE NEEDS NOT YOU FOR HIS PERFECTION. FOR AS GOD CREATES THUSLY CAN HE UN-CREATE. THINK ON THIS MOST CAREFULLY.

You cling to projections such as the *Bible*, for in those books lie also the secret of truth—the standards by which you maintain your Godness and balance—therefore you lose your ability to discern that which is man’s and that which was from God. Within you KNOW! However, since all of your perceived physical experience is based on the projections of some “other”, you are allowing the helplessness of the victimization thrust upon you by those “others” who know the difference and use it against you. Do not think for one moment that the Global Plan 2000 conspirators do not believe they can pull off their plan! Further, if one plan is scrubbed—alternative plans are thrust forth and instituted—while you of the masses sleep on.

You are going to have to face the facts that the Holocaust of the German/Jews wasn’t as projected, the “space program” isn’t, and Guyana was a cover-up for other activities in the area—hard to grasp—of course!! But if you grasp it and face it, you can change the destiny of your world. And brothers, face it—the enemy of “you the people”, the puppet-masters, know it! This is the very reason they have tried every electronic device in their arsenal to wipe out this

scribe—integrated Truth shall set you free and destroy them and their evil plan. Strange thing, every time they supposedly succeed—Dharma comes to us and returns with ever so much more insight, so it really doesn’t pay to “kill” her. She has moved from the terror of the fox from the hounds into a more “what an interesting experience”. And none of the ones within the close group can even grasp the possibilities—until they let their own guard fall and wham! They get it squarely broadside. We always allow the lessons to be fully learned and then you learn to ask for and keep the protection available to all of you.

I warn you—the only defense you have against the beam systems in function upon your placement is through the plasmic energy shield of the light—which we can afford you—but you must ask therefor. You all have convinced yourselves that you can be no other than as you perceive things to be.

Christianity could not endure in the principle laid forth by man, for it laid the “responsibility” on “other”. The same with all religious doctrines. The New Age doctrines cannot work for the same reason and being without action—faith without works—does naught. Chanting mantras to “empty the mind” only causes the mind to race faster and faster and therefore methods of the masters of the Far East cannot hold the answers—FOR YOU. It only means that they have found “their way” and offer what assistance they have unto you. The ultimate and the ALL is right there within. Sorry about that for you who like to tip-toe over the world and spread your great wisdom or search for those “higher truths”—right there within, no fancy trips, no fancy foods or drugs, no peyote root or leaf, no pot smoke—those are to deaden you from receiving the truth of it. The sweat lodge might help because it gives you the proverbial “hot foot” and “hot seat” and you stop lying to yourself. But that, too, is only if done with proper purpose, for to go sweat in an Indian-type hovel to find magic will simply make you sweat and lie to yourself a bit longer.

Besides, to find all truth and knowledge would explode the being, for when ALL knowledge is given—you are no longer of the physical—the physical cannot survive the frequency. This is why there are ones of you back for the purpose of leading and measuring the frequency growth—for a remnant must survive and it requires great insight and acceptance. This is because, when you KNOW, you no longer have need for the game! Moreso, if you move into the, let us call it, Astral beingness, and you encounter old friends and family, etc., you will limit yourself if you remain in the comfort of their level of growth. If ones come and bid you move on—move on. YOU, the spiritual YOU can become mired in every dimension and it helps to know that before you move on, for perception is very dulled when the consciousness is lost. Consciousness is one of the major gifts of the physical dimension.

The Masters who have walked your way have all spoken the truth but man has never been able to perceive the meaning. It is intended that man perceive the meaning in these closing cycles. You know not that which went before—in your consciousness—for it is all hearsay. You cannot even trust your physical experience much less judge what is fact from fiction in that which is “history”.

You, Dharma, become the lie if you project that you ARE THE GOD OR THE CREATION. You are a fragment making up the whole, but THE GOD, WHICH

I AM, IS THE COMPLETION OF ALL OF THE PARTS OF YE ONES AND THE CREATION IS THE ALL—THE WHOLE—OF ALL. WITHOUT YOU THE WHOLE IS NOT COMPLETE—FOR THE CREATION IS COMPOSED OF ALL FRAGMENTS AND FAR EXCEEDS THE “SUM” OF ALL THE PARTS. Therefore, you—any one of you—can be and experience anything and anyone ye choose—but ye must be in the completion of the experience or make a decision to change or stop the experience and take action to so do. In the physical dimension, you have forgotten how to do these things and some who can’t remember will try to accomplish the change through suicide only to find that that is not the way, that only complicates the experience to a greater extent. The only real solution is to open your minds and remember, for it is the time of remembering—you have spent enough time experiencing in the forgetting.

Dharma, this is far too lengthy a visit without break. Might we leave it for a while and allow rest, please. I am pleased that you have asked and I honor all of you who have been asking, for I have heard. But the receivers must be tuned, my children, lest the vibrations overload the system. I do not wish that you would go forth and prepare for the guests which have come. Make arrangements that all can go elsewhere, for I need you in quiet—our work these days is far, far too important to allow you to be lost in the trivia. I do not wish to cause you discomfort but I must have you in balance. Do you understand? This is not a community house—this is a place for most serious work, for unless you do of your task the rest cannot do of theirs. Yours must be to spend more time with me in absence of physical confusion and distractions. So be it.

Go now, and we will continue at a later sitting. Blessings upon you precious children, for I cherish you. I AM ATON—YE ARE MYSELF.

CHAPTER 4

REC #1 DHARMA/ATON

FRI., APRIL 13, 1990 9:00 A.M. YEAR 3 DAY 240

ATON present to commune. I AM and I command all brothers to remain in receiving, for My message is also a statement unto the troubled souls. Allow for the receiving but total clearance from Mine chelas’ spaces. None of darkness shall be allowed entry within the Light until such time as evil is discarded. Ye shall be given to hear so that all shall have opportunity to regain the presence of Godness. Peace and blessings be thine.

In the peaceful order of the universal cosmos was your planet birthed. This time of remembered resurrection shall also bring many hearts into the longing for the presence of God and the healing of a Mother Planet. Amidst the beauty of the lilies shall come the breath of truth in the winds of change and renewal. Oh, would you but hear My voice that the leafing of the fig would only mean the bearing of its fruit. It could be so, if ye would but hear My call. Ye were given free-will that you would grow in wholeness and maturity of that which is sorted from the darkness. The Light can yet sweep thine lands and change of the ending if you will but see and hear and follow Me.

The Wayshowers have been sent unto you but YOU must listen and hear that voice which is God’s within thine bosoms—the song within thy mind. SEE the beauty of Mine lilies and smell their fragrance, for

they are the sign of life in a new season of glory. Look into the perfection within the heart of the blossom and bring it within your heart. Behold the lily, for it has become a symbol of your resurrection—not the flower of the grave. Behold the lily, for it contains all within self to sustain from rebirthing unto the withering—then does it reproduce its offspring. Can you not be as the lily? Can you not store of that which ye need, within, that you might blossom and bear fruit from thy beingness? Note that the lily will lie dormant if the life support is not available. Mine people lie dormant, for the life is held within and ye have but to bring it without that it might blossom in the light. A flower always blossoms in the light even though it may “open” in the night—for The Creation is balance in perfection. Ye are perfection if ye would move away from the desecration of your wondrous beings. Look unto the lily this day and bend your knee unto its perfection, for the story of life rests in her beauty. Not just within that which you label Easter Lily—for some of the most tiny creatures of the deserts are lilies. Often from the very gift of the root, bulb, tuber, comes life for other creatures from the food and waters stored within and gladly shared. Thine relation invites you to look within and take the beauty unto thineself. Oberli, bring a lily into this dwelling, for it will lend you strength for the days ahead. All of you—bring within thine dwellings a lily, for they are abundant in the markets and allow its beauty to enfold you—get two or three and behold their sameness and their unique difference—LOOK at the lily and not at the “backdrop decoration” for a religious spectacular. Look at the sunrise for the enduring gift of life it proves unto you—not just on Easter morning among the trappings of the decorators. Sit on thine own hillside and breathe with the Mother and pray for her healing, for if each nurtures and heals, she shall be recovered into wholeness. Ye forget Mine “other” promises—ye shall be delivered up! But, there is work to be done in the “vineyards”. The vineyards must be tended and nurtured lest the vines bear no fruit.

The wild lilies of the field I shall tend—you must tend of the vineyards. Think upon these things. Once YOU have taken Mine children unto your dominion then you have also accepted the responsibility of their survival, for you have created a false environment whereby a thing cannot survive without your tending. To see an orchard die is painful indeed, for the tree failed you not—you have failed the tree, for it cannot remove itself to another placement. It can only pray its seed falls in fertile soil elsewhere that its existence can be remembered. Blessed are ye who tend of Mine creations and it all must begin and end within each—naught can be left unto “another”. If ye cannot do of the task alone in thine physicalness—ye must gather together and become as one to act together. But it always requires only one to begin.

The resurrection must be from your land, your continent, for the hand of oppression has darkened the blessed garden of beginning. Ye have all but waited too long, but if ye will SEE and HEAR, the resurrection and the life can be reborn. However, ye cannot “sit” and ye can “wait” no longer—it requires ALL. Just as the Master Teacher cleared of the temple, you must clear of the temple and the halls of government. If ye stand together and offer them not the life-support which they have stolen and drained from your very beings—you shall prevail, for they have naught but you to depend upon for survival. Ye of Light have the universe—evil has

only evil man upon which to draw his life existence. Remove the life source from evil and refuse it transfusion and it shall wither, for the conspirators and evil slave-masters are only functional in the physical. They cannot survive save YOU allow of it. Furthermore, once you remove the life-support system from the ignorant who “play” and perceive reward from participation with evil, shall come to see and will join with you—first of necessity of survival and then from the Light which shall be rebirthed within by the very association with God instead of Satan.

Oberli, blessed son, see to it that the lesson on AIDS regarding “behavior” is enclosed within this document *Journal*. It has not gone forth unto the public in great measure but it must be added within, for now we have documentation of behavior which has appalled the readers who say it cannot be so! Do you see that most who claim to be homosexual lovers do not even believe that such behavior can actually “be”. I have told you ones to love your fellow-man that you may become as God—I denounce behavior which destroys deliberately, My creations.

Dharma, I would have you display the writing regarding the homosexual art exhibit just as it is written in the document presented unto you. These are the hidden things which YOUR tax money is spent upon. And then, chela, we will speak of that which is Israel who claim to be Mine people—they are servants of Satan himself. See that the portion regarding the amount of funds requested and required for Israel be compared against your United States children who must forfeit the only meal (that of the school lunch) of their day because the government has completely depleted the milk and cheese supply and funding has been cut to send to political places elsewhere—ye have forsaken your own babies to play the evil game of politics and global control. If I must shock you into action, so be it.

Ye of the Phoenix Remnant must survive. Amen.

* * * * *

[QUOTING:]

Examples of how the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) uses your tax dollars:

* Honored Andres Serrano with \$15,000 in tax dollars in a competition sponsored by the NEA. Serrano’s work of art was a photo of Christ submerged in Serrano’s urine. Serrano named the “work of art” Pi—Christ.

* The NEA spent \$30,000 to fund the homosexual photography of Robert Mapplethorpe whose taxpayer-funded exhibit included these photos: Honey, a little girl about four years old with a sad, scarred face, but the focus of the camera is on the girl’s genitals below her uplifted dress; a man crouched over, his penis on a block, named Mr. 10 and a Half; a man dressed in a three-piece suit, entitled Man in Polyester Suit, which focuses on his exposed sexual organ; a nude, standing man with an erection; one man urinating in another man’s mouth (homosexuals call it “golden showers”); and one man with his fist and forearm up another man’s rectum (homosexuals call this “fisting”).

* *Time* magazine said that had this not been supported by the NEA the exhibitor probably would have been charged with distribution of pornography.

* MARS Artspace of Phoenix, Arizona was given \$20,000 by the NEA to help fund an exhibit including Cactus Jack’s Pi—Helms, a photo of Sen. Jesse Helms (R-N.C.) in a large jar of urine.

* With NEA help, Political Action Documentation Distribution and Carnival Knowledge

cosponsored entertainment including a number called Tapping and Talking Dirty, in which two feminists casually chatted about fellatio and swallowing sperm.

* The NEA provided \$40,000 to Gay *Sunshine Press* to publish sexually explicit homosexual stories and \$25,000 to the *Panjandum Press* for the same purpose. In New York, NEA funding went toward an exhibit which included one female homosexual inserting a dildo into another, one female homosexual inserting her foot into another, a photo album of group sex, a collection of drawings which included one entitled Jesus Sucks depicting a mammoth woman breast-feeding an infant. Another photo was of a man asking, "Is it a sin to f—a priest?"

* The organizers of the 1990 San Francisco Lesbian and Gay Film Festival expect to receive \$20,000 from the NEA. The arts endowment provided \$10,000 in tax funds for an exhibit in which angry homosexuals denounced Catholic John Cardinal O'Connor, calling him "a fat cannibal" and a "creep in black skirts", while St. Patrick's Cathedral was depicted as the "house of walking swastikas on Fifth Avenue".

* An exhibit entitled "Annie Sprinkle: Post-Porn Modernist", received \$25,000 in taxpayer's money from the NEA. (Miss Sprinkle has appeared in more than 150 X-rated pornographic movies.)

* The art exhibit included Miss Sprinkle's live performances at the Kitchen Theatre in New York City, consisting of, among other things, the "artist" spreading her legs and inviting the audience to inspect her vagina and cervix with the aid of a flashlight, masturbating to orgasm and performing with several dildos. As part of the federally-funded art exhibit Annie did the following:

* Invoked the spirits of "ancient sacred temple prostitutes" into the theater. During the performance, Miss Sprinkle said her sexual obsessions are "new age" spirituality and likened her act to ancient sacred prostitutes and Taoist masters who could lengthen their orgasms. She also prayed with the audience to invoke the spirits of past sex goddesses. "I like to evoke spirits," she told the crowd, "They love having sex."

* In the "art exhibit," Annie Sprinkle mounted an altar of candles and lit them to honor what she said were former friends and lovers who had died from AIDS. She then prayed to their spirits, lit incense and, with the audience chanting along and applauding, induced herself to orgasm with a vibrator.

* Miss Sprinkle performed oral sex on dildos and invited the audience to massage her breasts and to photograph her scantily clad body.

* Then, amid a background chorus of loud voices of angry men shouting curses and insults at her, Miss Sprinkle began vigorous oral sex with various dildos which were supposed to represent what she termed abusive sexual partners.

* To conclude her performance, Miss Sprinkle opened her vaginal canal with a gynecological tool known as a speculum, and invited the audience to the stage to inspect her cervix with a flashlight.

* After the show, some 50 men and women paid \$5 each to have pictures taken with Miss Sprinkle's large breasts resting atop their heads.

* At one point during Annie Sprinkle's "art exhibit"—after inducing the first orgasm with her favorite "sex toys"—she smiled and said to the audience, "Usually I get paid a lot of money for this but tonight IT'S GOVERNMENT FUNDED."

[END QUOTING]

And what of your President? He has also entered the fray of considered "control" of funding

by announcing he would consider attempts to control NEA funding as CENSORSHIP. But Bush also said "I am deeply offended by some material presented here as extremely offensive."

* * * * *

Oh, my children, can you not wake up and see that which has been pulled down upon you? I weep for ye are so blind.

Now, what of the school lunches? A fact sheet released by the Agriculture Committee stated that the scope of the hunger and poverty is severe. An estimation of above 12.4 million American children—one in five—live in total poverty.

Of the 18 million Americans receiving food stamps, 83% of the food stamp assistance goes to families with children. Of the 15 million Americans who get emergency bags of groceries each month from the TEFAP program (Temporary Emergency Food Assistance Program,) an estimated 48% of TEFAP benefits go to families with children. This program gives out "four-day" bags of groceries per person per month (surplus flour, cornmeal, butter, beans, peanut butter, canned meat, raisins, egg mix, or other items).

During the 1980s—the decade of the "Recovery", hunger has spread across your nation. In New York City alone, there are over 600 soup kitchens where in 1980 there were 30.

The program called WIC (Women, Infants and Children) food supplement program, is serving a wider group every year, but due to funding limits, only 60% of the eligible pregnant women, infants and children are enrolled for nutrition and the amount of food is marginally survival quantity at best.

The infant mortality rate in the U.S. ranks 19th out of the 20 most industrialized nations. Each year 40,000 infants die before their first birthday—DOES THIS NOT SHOCK YOU?

The food relief pinch has now turned into a full-blown crisis in your country. In August of 1989, the USDA officially cancelled distributing federal "surplus" cheese and nonfat dried milk powder to the federal school lunch programs, the WIC, and other supplemental food aid projects. Hundreds of food banks and soup kitchens have been forced to shut down and hundreds of lunch programs have closed or turned to cheap, low-grade snacks.

As a most disastrous correlation to the lessening of nutrition, the children have dramatic upturns in damage from childhood disease that ordinarily should not be fatal or particularly harmful.

Yet, in the face of this knowledge, the Bush administration's 1990 Farm Bill—and current actions of the USDA—makes a total mockery of those suffering.

In January, Agriculture Secretary Clayton Yeutter cut the floor price for dairy farmers by 50 cents a hundred pounds (of raw, farm milk), saying he didn't want to encourage milk production. At present, there are no stocks in sight of milk powder or cheese to relieve hunger. You have already been told by Hatonn, that your grain silos for storage of surplus and reserve grain are emptied and the supplies shipped overseas.

In February, the new Bush Farm Bill called for stiffer procedures to allow fewer school children to qualify for free or subsidized lunches. ANOTHER MEASURE CALLS FOR DIMINUTION OR ELIMINATION OF FOOD RELIEF TO A SINGLE PARENT HOUSEHOLD WITH CHILDREN, WHERE THE RELEVANT PARENT WAS DELINQUENT IN CHILD SUPPORT! (sic, sic)—further punish and destroy the child!

NO SCHOOL LUNCHES

The leading item of the testimony to the Senate was a great sleight-of-hand trick used by the administration on the issue of cutting school lunch support. The administration's 1990 Farm Bill actually calls for an additional \$100 million in financial aid authorization, BUT BECAUSE OF THE CHANGES IN CALCULATING HOW MANY CHILDREN IN ANY DISTRICT QUALIFY FOR FEDERAL LUNCH SUBSIDIES, THERE WILL ACTUALLY BE \$300 MILLION LESS IN FEDERAL OVERALL SUPPORT!

In addition, there is projected to be less and less federal "surplus" bulk food commodities for the schools. The testimony from local food program officials around the nation gives a grave and terrible picture indeed.

The administration is proposing changes to the child nutrition programs that would reduce federal support by \$516 million, with \$235.4 million coming from the School Lunch Program. This reduction in federal funds would be on top of the \$300 million loss in bonus commodities you have experienced over the last several years. In addition, the administration's school lunch proposal would create a fourth income category that would require school administrators to document and verify the household income of the vast majority of all U.S. households with children in school.

P.S. In Japan, 98% of all children in elementary school receive a school lunch. In the U.S., you will serve 2 million children A DAY less than you did before the 1981 budget cuts.

BACK ON GOLGOTHA

Over and above the \$3 BILLION a year Israel is guaranteed under the terms of your Camp David Peace accord PLUS the \$742 MILLION in special appropriations Israel received on top of that in 1989 alone, there is now an almost guaranteed gift of \$400 MILLION additional loan guarantees to finance the construction of housing for Soviet Jewish immigrants and/or - - - !

So, a few changes in the program's bylaws must first be effected. For one thing, there is a \$100 million cap on the entire program as laid forth by law. For another, there is a \$25 million annual limit on the dollar amount of any guarantees that can be provided to any one country.

But Congress is bending the rules for Israel. They will waive the management fees normally charged to foreign governments benefiting from the program. The standard fee is 1 percent of the total amount of the loan, plus 0.5 percent charged annually. This will save Israel \$4 million off the top and an additional \$2 million annually. The charges to other countries, however, will be increased to offset the outlay.

Israel already has a per-capita income greater than all other countries receiving assistance. But more importantly, however, is that Israel will be exempt from accountability on how it spends the money. Normally the right to monitor and veto any proposed construction projects is mandatory—not with this and not with Israel. This would do two things: allow the funds to be diverted to the higher-income brackets of people and disallow any interference in the building on the West Bank which has been claimed as unacceptable by the Bush administration. Seventy-three of your 100 Senators requested that no sum be cut from aid to Israel—only 27 of your representatives didn't sign the letter of petition to Bush. A list will be supplied to any requesting same.

YOUR CONGRESS HAS BEEN PURCHASED,
YOUR COUNTRY SOLD!

Dharma, please just copy the article, "Foreigners Buy Congress" from the 4/16/90 *SPOTLIGHT*.

[QUOTING:]

James P. Tucker Jr.: The Establishment media, in a glaring example of biased news "management", was utterly silent about the release of a major new study by a Middle East institute that shows that Congress has been purchased, literally, by a foreign government.

These grim charges, with convincing documentation, were presented to a roomful of reporters at the National Press Club in Washington on March 26.

Reverting to its 40-year tradition prior to the Palestinian revolt against the Israeli occupiers—the Establishment press took detailed notes, asked innocuous questions—and ignored the shocking story.

The indictment of the Israel lobby by Richard Curtiss and his American Education Trust had been officially defined as a bona fide news event by the National Press Club, under the category "morning newsmaker".

Such status is second only to the famous luncheons at which all U.S. presidents and many world leaders speak. It is not a "press conference" where the rooms must be rented and other costs incurred by those with something to say to the media. Despite this distinction, however, the story was buried.

Curtiss and Andrew Kilgore, a retired career foreign service officer, went beyond simply identifying the scores of congressmen who have been bought by the Israel lobby; they also carefully explained the manner in which U.S. laws have been circumvented.

While each political action committee (PAC) is limited to a \$5,000 donation to individual candidates, "if you have 26 PACs with the same agenda you can give \$130,000," Curtiss said.

There are a total of 85 Israel PACs which collected \$12 million and gave \$5 million to congressmen in the 1988 campaign, Curtiss said.

The Israel PACs "without exception" resort to bland, deceptive names, such as the "Hudson Valley PAC" and the "Desert Caucus PAC" to disguise themselves, Curtiss said.

The Israeli lobby uses its immense funds both as a carrot and a stick, he said.

"A candidate may not need the money, but fear that his opponent may get it if he votes wrong, keeps him in line," Curtiss pointed out.

The American-Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC), the official Israel lobby, controls the 85 PACs which have funnelled \$5 million to congressmen, they charged. AIPAC is an agent of a foreign government but avoids registering as such on the "technicality" that all the funds are raised in the United States, Curtiss said. AIPAC is not itself a political action committee (PAC), but critics charge that it directs the money spent by PACs to candidates it approves, thus coordinating campaign donations and circumventing the law.

VIRTUAL VETO

The Israel lobby has a virtual veto on congressional legislation, as well as the power to force through any legislation that advances the interests of Israel, Curtiss said.

For example, any arms sales to Arab countries must first be cleared with the Israel lobby before being approved by Congress, he said. The Israel lobby uses its money-generated influence in Congress and the State Department to make sure America is unable to develop favorable relationships with any Arab states, he said.

Curtiss contrasted Congress's preoccupation with smoking—banning cigarettes on domestic flights, for example, because "they kill"—and its apparent unconcern over the fact that the "policies of the pro-Israel PACs got a lot of Americans killed".

He was referring to the 270 Marines killed while they were stationed in Lebanon after Israel's brutal invasion in 1982.

While denouncing terrorism, Curtiss said it has "become the last resort of desperate people" outraged over America's pro-Israel policies, which have resulted in the deaths of American servicemen, businessmen, diplomats and tourists.

The immense impact of the Israel lobby's money is demonstrated, he said, by the fact that the \$5.4 million provided by 78 of its PACs to congressional candidates in 1988 was countered by only \$38,000 contributed by three pro-Arab PACs—meaning they were outspent by a 141-to-one ratio.

Why the overkill?

For every dollar spent by its lobbies, Israel received \$1,105 in direct American aid, Curtiss said. Israel has, for decades, been the largest recipient of American tax dollars and currently receives \$3.5 billion in direct aid. Frequently Israeli "debts" incurred by "arms purchases" from the United States are "forgiven" in legislation unnoticed by the public.

Documents given the press show that 366 of 435 incumbent House members and 42 of 50 incumbent senators have taken money from the Israel lobby.

From 1978 to 1989 the biggest Senate recipients were Paul Simon (D-Ill.) \$422,490; Howard Metzenbaum (D-Ohio) \$327,460; Carl Levin (D-Mich.) \$295,038; Tom Daschle (D-S.D.) \$287,130; Frank Lautenberg (D-N.J.) \$284,750; Alan Cranston (D-Calif.) \$254,532; Dave Durenberger (R-Minn.) \$277,500; Tom Harkin (D-Iowa) \$222,930; Harry Reid (D-Nevada) \$208,540; and Rudy Boschwitz (R-Minn.) \$207,755. *(You might also just suppose these ones' names are on the petition to not cut Israel's funding.)*

Biggest House recipients were Richard Durbin (D-Ill.) \$147,166; Lawrence Smith (D-Fla.) \$140,968; Howard Wolpe (D-Mich.) \$123,150; Peter Kostmayer (D-Pa.) \$119,900; Sam Gejdenson (D-Conn.) \$115,604; Dante Fascell (D-Fla.) \$111,250; John Miller (R-Wash.) \$96,128; Ed Feighan (D-Ohio) \$93,200; Matthew Martinez (D-Calif.) \$76,000; and David Obey (D-Wis.) \$74,400.

Oberli, I believe we shall not wait for ones to write and request the list of senators referred to above. Let us just photocopy the list and add to this portion. **YOU ONES SHOULD SEE WHO SELLS YOUR COUNTRY WHILE YOU AREN'T LOOKING—AND YES, YOU DID GIVE THEM PERMISSION—YOU VOTED THEM INTO THEIR OFFICES TO LEAD YOU AND ACT IN YOUR BEHALF AND YOU PAY THEM GRANDLY TO DO THAT SERVICE FOR YOU. THESE LITTLE GIFTS ARE IN ADDITION TO THE GREAT SALARIES AND OTHER PERKS OF OFFICE!** So be it, for if ye lock the foxes in the hen house, expect the chicks to be eaten.

THE LIST OF SENATORS

The following is the list of senators who signed a letter to President George Bush asking him not to reduce foreign aid to Israel.

Brock Adams (D-Wash.)
Max Baucus (D-Montana)
Lloyd Bentsen (D-Texas)
Joseph R. Biden Jr. (D-Del.)
Jeff Bingaman (D-N.M.)
Christopher Bond (R-Montana)
David L. Boren (D-Okla.)
Rudy Boschwitz (R-Minn.)
Bill Bradley (D-N.J.)
John B. Breaux (D-La.)
Richard H. Bryan (D-Nevada)
Quentin N. Burdick (D-N.D.)
Conrad Burns (R-Montana)
Dan Coats (R-Ind.)
Thad Cochran (R-Miss.)
William S. Cohen (R-Maine)
Kent Conrad (D-N.D.)
Alan Cranston (D-Calif.)
Alfonse M. D'Amato (R-N.Y.)
John C. Danforth (R-Mo.)
Thomas A. Daschle (D-S.D.)
Dennis DeConcini (D-Ariz.)
Alan J. Dixon (D-Ill.)
Christopher J. Dodd (D-Conn.)
David Durenberger (R-Minn.)
J. James Exon (D-Neb.)
Wendell H. Ford (D-Ky.)
Wyche Fowler Jr. (D-Ga.)
John Glenn (D-Ohio)
Albert Gore Jr. (D-Tenn.)
Slade Gorton (R-Wash.)
Bob Graham (D-Fla.)
Phil Gramm (R-Texas)
Herbert H. Kohl (D-Wis.)
Patrick J. Leahy (D-Vt.)
Richard G. Lugar (R-Ind.)
James A. McClure (R-Idaho)
Frank H. Murkowski (R-Alaska)
Sam Nunn (D-Ga.)
David Pryor (D-Ark.)
William V. Roth Jr. (R-Del.)
Warren Rudman (R-N.H.)
Terry Sanford (D-N.C.)
Alan K. Simpson (R-Wyo.)
Ted Stevens (R-Alaska)
Steven D. Symms (R-Idaho)
Strom Thurmond (R-S.C.)
Malcolm Wallop (R-Wyo.)
Harry Reid (D-Nevada)
Donald W. Riegle (D-Mich.)
Charles S. Robb (D-Va.)
John D. Rockefeller IV (D-W.Va.)
Paul S. Sarbanes (D-Md.)
Jim Sasser (D-Tenn.)
Richard C. Shulby (D-Ala.)
Paul Simon (D-Ill.)
Arlen Specter (R-Pa.)
John W. Warner (R-Va.)
Pete Wilson (R-Calif.)
Timothy E. Wirth (D-Colo.)

The following members did not sign the letter opposing cuts in foreign aid.

William L. Armstrong (R-Colo.)
 Dale Bumpers (D-Ark.)
 Robert C. Byrd (D-W.Va.)
 John H. Chafee (R-R.I.)
 Robert Dole (R-Kan.)
 Pete V. Domenici (R-N.M.)
 Jake Garn (R-Utah)
 Mark O. Hatfield (R-Oregon)
 Jesse Helms (R-N.C.)
 Ernest F. Hollings (D-S.C.)
 James M. Jeffords (R-Vt.)
 Nancy Kassebaum (R-Kan.)
 Charles E. Grassley (R-Iowa)
 Tom Harkin (D-Iowa)
 Orrin G. Hatch (R-Utah)
 Howell Heflin (D-Ala.)
 John Heinz (R-Pa.)
 Gordon J. Humphrey (R-N.H.)
 Daniel K. Inouye (D-Hawaii)
 J. Bennett Johnston (D-La.)
 Robert W. Kasten Jr. (R-Wis.)
 Edward M. Kennedy (D-Mass.)
 Bob Kerrey (D-Neb.)
 John F. Kerry (D-Mass.)
 Frank R. Lautenberg (D-N.J.)
 Carl Levin (D-Mich.)
 Joe Lieberman (D-Conn.)
 Trent Lott (R-Miss.)
 Connie Mack (R-Fla.)
 Spark M. Matsunaga (D-Hawaii)
 John McCain (R-Ariz.)
 Mitch McConnell (R-Ky.)
 Howard M. Metzenbaum (D-Ohio)
 Barbara A. Mikulski (D-Md.)
 George J. Mitchell (D-Maine)
 Daniel Patrick Moynihan (D-N.Y.)
 Don Nickles (R-Okla.)
 Bob Packwood (R-Oregon)
 Claiborne Pell (D-R.I.)
 Larry Pressler (R-S.D.)

* * * * *

Ah yes, chela, it is time for introspection this Eastertide, pagan or christian, jew or gentile—for it is the self-chosen Elite who are determining your demise. It is up to you as to that which ye shall do about it.

Hopeless? Nay, nay! All you need do is see and hear that which is about you and you will know that which you must do—and do it! It will require that all of you get off your backsides, turn off the vidiot boxes and READ BETWEEN THE LINES AND HEAR THAT WHICH IS NOT SAID AND YE SHALL KNOW THAT WHICH YE MUST DO! ASK ME—YE NEED NOT GO THROUGH DHARMA OR ANOTHER SPOKESMAN—ASK ME AND THEN LISTEN AND I SHALL TELL YOU!

Dharma, it has been too long a sitting without a break to continue with your queries, but these things, too, must be brought into your attention, for if you know not the facts and truth, you are destined to perishment. Truth and knowledge will surely set you free! So be it.

Allow us a break please.

I AM ATON—I AM THE LIGHT, COME WALK WITH ME.

[END OF PART 2]

Part 1 of this Journal can be found in the 9/11/02 issue of CONTACT on page 5. This Phoenix Journal (#14) is out of print. All other Journals are on sale for \$3.00 until September 30, 2002. Please see the back page for ordering information. ☸

The News Desk

By John & Jean Ray

SUPREME GENERALISSIMO: DID PRESIDENT BUSH FORGET TO TELL U.S. ABOUT HIS NEW TITLE?

By Steve Chapman, *Tribune*, 09/01/02

If and when President Bush decides to launch an invasion of Iraq, he will rain untold destruction on Saddam Hussein and his military forces. Unnoticed is the collateral damage he may do at home—to an important part of the *U.S. Constitution* that is already battered.

The president is supposed to have tight limits on his power to make war. But today, those limits are about as binding as the remaining statutes against sodomy. And if Bush has his way, they will become even more of a dead letter.

“The history of the presidency has been one of increasing strength,” noted the *Oxford Companion to American History*. That was in 1966, during Lyndon Johnson’s term. But Johnson, the embodiment of the imperial presidency, would not have dared to assert prerogatives that now are claimed by Bush, who pretends to champion strict construction of the *Constitution*.

Bush’s lawyers have assured him he may start dropping bombs on Baghdad anytime the urge strikes, without the bother of getting approval from those pipsqueaks at the other end of Pennsylvania Avenue. Though some of his aides think he ought to seek some sort of congressional involvement, the president evidently prefers not to ask anybody’s permission.

“We don’t want to be in the legal position of asking Congress to authorize the use of force when the president already has that full authority,” huffed one unidentified official to the *Washington Post*. The prevailing attitude in the White House is that on matters of war, Congress is a potted plant. Press secretary Ari Fleischer, almost suppressing his natural condescension, graciously recognized that it “has an important role to play” and promised that his boss “will consider a variety of legal, policy and historical issues if this becomes a relevant matter”.

If the founding fathers were to hear all this, they would wonder how their cherished republic fell back under the rule of the King of England. They took care not to give the executive a free hand to initiate armed hostilities. Though he is commander in chief of the armed forces, it’s Congress that has the sole power to “declare war”. Stanford University historian Jack Rakove, author of the Pulitzer Prize-winning book on the intent of the framers, *Original Meanings*, notes that most other national security powers, like raising an army, also were given to the legislative branch.

Advocates of the New, Improved, All-Powerful Presidency stress that the authors originally considered giving Congress the authority “to make war” but then settled on the narrower wording. This, they say, proves that the president has sway over everything but the prissy matter of formally declaring war.

But as James Madison explained, the change was meant only so the president could take action if foreign troops were to steam up the Potomac when Congress was not in session. In the present case, there is no such emergency. Bush has more than enough time to ask Congress to declare war on Iraq, or at least pass a measure authorizing him to attack.

Rakove writes, “Nothing in the *Constitution* or the history of its adoption suggests that a president can carry the nation into war when Congress has time for deliberation.” And isn’t it conservatives who are always talking about respecting the intentions of the framers?

But administration lawyers have come up with an alternate theory in case anyone notices the holes in their constitutional brief. They say Bush wouldn’t be starting a new war with Iraq because the old one never ended. In this view, the 1990 United Nations resolutions demanding Iraq’s withdrawal from Kuwait, and the congressional resolution granting his father the right to go after Saddam Hussein, are still in force. Therefore, Bush can resume the fight at a time of his choosing.

This is an ingenious argument. Grownups understand that the 1991 war is over and that it accomplished its stated mission—to evict Iraq from Kuwait. To say the Gulf War resolutions give Bush the right to invade Iraq 11 years later, for the entirely separate purpose of removing a sovereign government, is to enter fantasyland.

But not everyone likes the notion of the president as Supreme Generalissimo. In the end, even if the White House says it doesn’t need permission, Congress will probably debate a resolution empowering the president to use force to remove Saddam Hussein.

The interesting question is what Bush would do if Congress rejects that idea. Would he retreat from his grandiose conception of the presidency, or would he risk a constitutional crisis by going to war anyway? There’s only one way to find out.

WHEN CHENEY COZIED UP TO HUSSEIN

By Molly Ivins, *Creators Syndicate*, 09/05/02

AUSTIN, Texas—Excuse me: I don’t want to be tacky or anything, but hasn’t it occurred to anyone in Washington that sending Vice President Dick Cheney out to champion an invasion of Iraq on the grounds that Saddam Hussein is a “murderous dictator” is somewhere between bad taste and flaming hypocrisy?

When Dick Cheney was CEO of the oilfield supply firm Halliburton, the company did \$23.8 million in business with Saddam Hussein, the evildoer “prepared to share his weapons of mass destruction with terrorists.”

So if Hussein is “the world’s worst leader,” how come Cheney sold him the equipment to get his dilapidated oil fields up and running so he could afford to build weapons of mass destruction?

In 1998, the United Nations passed a resolution allowing Iraq to buy spare parts for its oilfields, but other sanctions remained in place, and the United States has consistently pressured the UN to stop exports of medicine and other needed supplies on the grounds they could have “dual use”. As the former secretary of defense under Bush the Elder, Cheney was in a particularly vulnerable position on the hypocrisy of doing business with Iraq. **(Although in 1991, after the Gulf War, Cheney told a group of oil industry executives he was emphatically against trying to topple Hussein.)**

Using two subsidiaries, Dresser-Rand and Ingersoll-Dresser, Halliburton helped rebuild Hussein’s war-damaged oil fields. The combined value of these contracts for parts and equipment was greater than that of any other American company doing business with Iraq—firms including Schlumberger, Flowserve, Fisher-Rosemount, General Electric. They acted through foreign subsidiaries or associated companies in France, Belgium, Germany, India, Switzerland, Bahrain, Egypt and the Netherlands.

In several cases, it is clear the European companies did no more than loan their names to American firms for the

purpose of dealing with Hussein. Iraq then became America's second-largest Middle Eastern oil supplier.

This story was initially reported by the *Financial Times* of London more than two years ago and has since been more extensively reported in the European press. But as we have seen with the case of Harken Energy and many other stories, there is a difference between a story having been reported and having attention being paid to it (a distinction many journalists have trouble with). Thus the administration was able to dismiss the new information on shady dealings at Harken as "old news" because not much attention was paid when the old news was new.

When Cheney left Halliburton, he received a \$34 million severance package despite the fact that the biggest deal of his five-year career there, the acquisition of Dresser Industries, turned out to be a huge blunder since the company came saddled with asbestos liability. (On the campaign trail, Cheney often claimed he had been "out in the private sector creating jobs". The first thing he did after the Dresser merger was lay off 10,000 people.)

Halliburton, America's No. 1 oil-services company, is the nation's fifth-largest military contractor and the biggest non-union employer in the United States. It employs more than 100,000 workers worldwide and does more than \$15 billion a year. Halliburton under Cheney dealt with several brutal dictatorships, including the despicable government of Burma (Myanmar). The company also played questionable roles in Algeria, Angola, Bosnia, Croatia, Haiti, Somalia and Indonesia.

Halliburton also had dealings with Iran and Libya, both on the State Department's list of terrorist states. Halliburton's subsidiary Brown & Root, the old Texas construction firm that does much business with the U.S. military, was fined \$3.8 million for re-exporting goods to Libya in violation of U.S. sanctions.

If you want to know why the Democrats didn't jump all over this story and make a big deal out of it, it's because—as usual—Democrats are involved in similar dealings. Former CIA director John Deutch is on the board of Schlumberger, the second largest oil services firm after Halliburton, which also is doing business with Iraq through subsidiaries.

Americans have long been aware that corporate money has consistently corrupted domestic policy in favor of corporate interests, and that both parties are in thrall to huge corporate campaign donors. We are less accustomed to connecting the dots when it comes to foreign policy. But there is no more evidence that corporations pay attention to anything other than profits in their foreign dealings than they do in their domestic deals.

Enron, as usual, provides some textbook examples of just how indifferent to human rights American companies can be. Halliburton's dealings in Nigeria, in partnership with Shell and Chevron, provide another example, including gross violations of human rights and environmental abuses.

No one is ever going to argue that Hussein is a good guy, but Dick Cheney is not the right man to make the case against him. I have never understood why the Washington press corps cannot remember anything for longer than 10 minutes, but hearing Cheney denounce Saddam Hussein is truly "Give-us-a-break" time.

[JR: Like all past administrations, the Bush Administration is laden with liars and hypocrites and Cheney is typical of those who "succeed in business" and go on to serve in high government offices. He wasn't chosen to serve in two Bush administrations because he is/was a man of honesty and integrity or because he possessed high scruples which would bring honor to the office he served and also to the United States. Look at the business deals he made as chief of Halliburton with countries the U.S. designated as "rogue states". What this all proves is that government is business and business is government. Cheney is a player and a schemer and could probably match wits with the devil himself. Well, maybe he did!]

**PENTAGON TO TEST NEW BOMB ON IRAQ
E-BOMB HAS NOT BEEN COMPLETED,
BUT THE U.S. IS EAGER TO TEST IT**

Pravda—ru, 08/27/02

The Pentagon is going to test a new bomb in Iraq. This is a radiological bomb, the so-called E-bomb. The new weapon will disable electronic systems and power supply systems. The E-bomb can be used to strike chemical and biological objects, avoiding human victims. Needless to mention anything about the Americans "art" of striking certain objects.

The radiological bomb was developed at a secret base in the southwest of England. The bomb creates high-frequency radio waves, which work like an electromagnetic impulse of an A-bomb blast. This wave is capable of penetrating underground bunkers, where Saddam is allegedly hiding his chemical and biological weapons. The radio impulse will easily penetrate ventilation and cable systems. The bomb puts such systems out of operation, and it will not be possible to efficiently use weapons that are stored in these bunkers.

Washington continues to push in this direction. The development of the new bomb has not been completed yet, but the U.S. Defense Department intends to purchase and use it already, and to test it, of course.

The *Daily Telegraph* wrote that the civil population will be safe and sound. The bomb can have a very limited range. It can be delivered on a missile, a "smart bomb," or on unmanned planes. When a bomb approaches its target, its condensers receive discharges, sending a powerful wave of energy. It will be possible to disable an entire infrastructure, disturbing television and radio broadcasts. ... **[JR: This is new? We used an E-type bomb in Yugoslavia, when British and U.S. bombers were flying their hundred-plus sorties daily over Belgrade. We knocked out and destroyed all power systems, and all TV, radio and communication systems, most of which are still not fully operational even today. This "new bomb" has been modified to burrow down into underground bunkers and will avoid risking human lives. Now isn't this a more humane and clever way to sell aggressive wars to rest of the world?]**

**SAUDI BOYCOTT
CAUSES 30 PERCENT DROP IN U.S. EXPORTS**

MIDDLE EAST NEWSLINE, 08/25/02

ABU DHABI—Saudi Arabia's unofficial boycott on American products has significantly affected exports from the United States.

U.S. government data report that U.S. exports to the kingdom have reached their lowest level since 1990. Saudi sources cite the boycott that began in April 2002 for the reduced exports. The boycott was encouraged by prominent Saudis and advertised in newspapers, mosques, schools and over the Internet.

The U.S. Census Bureau said that during the first half of this year U.S. exports to Saudi Arabia amounted to \$2.2 billion. This was a 30.5 percent decrease from the same period in 2001. ...

The United States has exported a range of goods and services to Riyadh, much of which included weapons. For its part, Saudi Arabia exported mostly crude oil to the United States.

The greatest decrease in U.S. exports was comprised of beverages, tobacco and machinery.

The U.S. government statistics also cited a sharp drop in Saudi exports, mostly crude oil, to the United States. ...

In all, Saudi Arabia exported \$13.3 billion to the United States last year, the foreign trade division of the U.S. Census Bureau reported. Of that figure \$12.6 billion, or 95 percent were oil and natural gas.

**BANDAR CONTINUES HIS CLIMB TO KING OF ARABIA
EVEN AS U.S./SAUDI RELATIONS UNRAVEL**

Mid-East Realities, 08/27/02

Washington—Prince Ambassador Bandar bin Sultan is racing to the Western White House ranch today to see just what he can do with Bush II about the U.S./Saudi future; and indeed about his own.

Though Bandar super cautiously, for a change, never discusses this subject in public, he actually has his eye on the big prize, becoming King of Arabia himself after the current elderly Crown Prince, Abdullah, is gone from the scene one way or another.

One route to that grand prize is to somehow get his father, the Defense Minister, into position to first claim the throne himself, one way or another.

Another route is to position himself, as he has, as the U.S. choice—as was the case when Abdullah II was pushed into the Hashemite palace in Amman rather than former King Hussein's brother who had been Crown Prince awaiting the job for more than 30 years—and then wait for all of the older generation to die off before a grab for the throne with all the might the world's only superpower can bring to bear.

Meanwhile, the whole fabric of Saudi/U.S. relations is unraveling. Saudi money is departing; Saudi purchases are being made elsewhere, Saudi students are being told to go elsewhere, and the Saudi elite has been told to line up at the Embassy for interviews if they want new visas.

These are the visible manifestations of the real problems that are now so entangled as to be potentially unmanageable—politics, culture and religion.

The Israelis of course are both laughing and cheering; having pushed for just such developments for a long time now; and knowing that they and their policies are really in charge in the American capital.

For the Saudi Royals and their Kingdom the real problems began not so much on 9-11 but when the Saudis did three subsequent things.

First they told the Americans that the giant multi-billion dollar military bases build in recent years could not be used for the new American regional wars, essentially for building and consolidating the 'new world order' being primarily manufactured by the U.S. and Israel.

Second they irritated the Israelis greatly by 'interfering' with their so-called 'Saudi Peace Plan', overall a regurgitation of what for Israel are very unacceptable UN resolutions and prior Arab League positions.

And thirdly they held a huge public TV telethon to raise large sums of money for the Palestinians—another major no-no so far as Israel is concerned.

Now the battles for the future of Arabia, of the Middle East region, of the once Holy Land, and also of concubine-born Saudi Prince Bandar bin Sultan, are all more fully engaged than ever.

[JR: Prince Abdullah of Jordan is also a possible candidate to rule over Iraq and perhaps even Saudi Arabia, unless Israel is "chosen" as the ruler of the entire Middle East after the U.S. triggers Armageddon by attacking Iraq. This is definitely in Israel's plans through its influence over the U.S. war policy.]

**ANTI-SHEVARDNADZE STANCE
IS BACKFIRING ON KREMLIN**

By Simon Saradzhyan, *The St. Petersburg Times*, 08/30/02

MOSCOW—Moscow and Tbilisi ratcheted up their angry rhetoric over the crime-infested Pankisi Gorge on Wednesday and Thursday, but the lawless border area is just a tactical battlefield along a much broader front where national interests collide.

In essence, Russia and Georgia are at loggerheads over

a Cold War-style dilemma: Which world power will hold sway in the unstable but strategically important Caspian region—Russia or the United States?

While Moscow is intent on retaining its grip on an area that boasts large energy resources and is emerging as a key transit route from Central Asia to Europe, Tbilisi has pinned its hopes of economic development and security on the West.

Moscow has repeatedly called on Tbilisi to allow Russian troops into Pankisi Gorge to flush out Chechen rebels and foreign fighters believed to be holed up there. Such a deployment, which would have boosted Russia's military presence in the republic, has been flatly rejected by Georgian President Eduard Shevardnadze. Instead, this spring, Shevardnadze managed to bring in dozens of U.S. military instructors to help the ragtag Georgian forces hone their anti-terrorism skills.

Last week, Shevardnadze ordered Interior Ministry troops into the gorge. But the operation has so far yielded no practical results, prompting President Vladimir Putin to accuse Georgia of putting on a show instead of making real efforts to combat Chechen militants. ...

The Kremlin focuses its rhetoric on the gorge as part of its general effort to portray Shevardnadze as incapable of controlling the country, Pavel Bayev, a senior researcher at Norway's International Peace Research Institute, said by telephone from Oslo. The Kremlin is trying to undermine Shevardnadze, hoping he will either leave or be ousted, Bayev said Tuesday.

The Russian leadership believes that whoever succeeds Shevardnadze, who won acclaim in the West during his stint as Soviet foreign minister in the late 1980s, would have less weight in both domestic and international politics and, thus, would be "easier to deal with," according to Bayev, who recently published a working paper on Pankisi.

But Russia's radical anti-Shevardnadze stance has backfired, allowing the Georgian leader to boost his popularity. Public opinion has been largely in favor of the U.S. presence and Georgians have supported a firm stand against its bully of a neighbor. Earlier this week, parliament called on Shevardnadze to close down Russian military bases on Georgian soil immediately and to pull out of the Moscow-dominated Commonwealth of Independent States.

However, experts argue that Georgia cannot afford to alienate Russia, which continues to be the most powerful player in the region.

"Both sides remain short-sighted by failing to implement a long-term pragmatic approach," which would foster trade and much-needed stability in the region, said Levan Ramishvili, head of the Tbilisi-based Institute of Freedom.

In trading accusations over the gorge, Shevardnadze and Putin have stuck to a zero-sum approach, Ramishvili said in a phone interview Wednesday.

But Georgia stands to lose more, as Moscow has a far greater number of levers to compel Tbilisi to respect its interests—retaining a military presence in the south Caucasus, ensuring stability in the region and gaining a share in the extraction and transportation of oil and gas.

Among these levers is tacit support for Georgia's breakaway provinces of Abkhazia and South Ossetia, and Georgia's reliance on fossil fuel supplies coming from or via Russia. Also, Russia's sizeable Georgian diaspora transfers up to \$1 billion a year to relatives in Georgia, according to Pikayev's estimates, while the country's reported GDP for 2000 was less than \$3.5 billion. **[JR: Both Russia and the U.S. have Georgia in the middle of a power vise that is leaving President Shevardnadze little room to move. The small area that makes up the Pankisi Gorge is an issue that is being exploited by both sides. Moscow wants Georgia to know that its "independence" does not necessarily allow for it to make unilateral decisions should Moscow decide that the situation in Georgia poses a potential threat to its national security. Question is, what will Washington do should President**

Putin decide to send troops into Georgia because of presumed terrorist activity? Russia is still a powerful influence in the region, so would the invincible authority in the U.S. dare to challenge that power?]

HAITIANS STRUGGLE AS POLITICS RULE

By Melissa Harris, *Tribune*, 08/18/02

The streets in Port-au-Prince, Haiti, are dirty, narrow and congested. The stench of human excrement wafts from open sewers. Most traffic lights don't work because the country cannot always afford electricity.

The seemingly endless traffic jams allow drivers plenty of time to look at billboards, many of them covered with the face of Jean Dominique, a popular, outspoken radio broadcaster and owner.

"The assassins are still in the city," the billboards read. Dominique was gunned down April 3, 2000, in the parking lot of *Radio Haiti*, the station he owned. Three suspects were arrested, but efforts to prosecute them have been stalled.

"This wasn't a random or spur-of-the-moment killing," says Patrick Elie, a founder of the Jean Dominique Foundation. "Whoever did it was powerful enough to hamper the progress of the inquiry."

Sixteen thousand people attended Dominique's funeral in the capital's soccer stadium.

His killing is just one example of how little life has changed in the six years since American troops left this tiny Caribbean island, concluding a mission that cost taxpayers an estimated \$2 billion.

The goal at the time: Save Haiti from itself by crushing a military coup and restoring a democratically elected president back to power.

The Haiti of 2002, though, is not much different than the Haiti of 1996. Political upheaval continues, hungry mobs loot grocery stores and thousands of Haitians sail for Florida's shores to escape their country's misery. Accusations of election fraud, political repression and treason have President Jean-Bertrand Aristide's Lavalas Family Party and his opposition at a stalemate.

The result: The U.S. has suspended \$500 million in aid. ...

Gang and mob violence, often funded by close associates of Aristide, is rampant; two outspoken journalists have been assassinated in the past two years; and treatable diseases such as tuberculosis and diarrhea continue to take a deadly toll.

Many people say Aristide is to blame—that he's a micromanager, condoning the violence and encouraging the chaos. Many Haitians, however, say the situation is out of Aristide's control. ...

In some eyes, Haiti's poverty is the fault of everyone but Aristide. ...

Others say "racist" American foreign policy is to blame. "Whether economic and social conditions can improve has yet to be seen, but he has encountered extreme hostility from the United States," says Elie, a grass-roots free-speech activist. "It's too easy to brush aside the damage that has been done by the aid embargo."

And many more blame his opposition. ...

A once-impoverished priest, Aristide is recognized as someone who tries his best to fight beside the poor, who tries to bridge the wide canyon between the wealthy and the desolate, who fights against the ex-members of the oppressive and corrupt Duvalier regimes, and who dissolved the long-hated army, a bastion of authoritarian rule.

But he also spent \$1.5 million in government money to buy a residence for his prime minister and another \$1.5 million to buy a residence for the country's former president, Rene Preval. ...

Aristide still brings confidence when Haitians had none. He is a symbol of hope, a word that is rarely

associated with Haiti, but one that nevertheless describes the country's beginnings. ...

Although the overthrow of the Duvalier regime demonstrated a rejection of despotism, it also reinforced the idea that violence was and still is the only effective route for change in this chronically underdeveloped country.

It's a legacy that Aristide has been unable, and perhaps unwilling, to change. ...

The honeymoon didn't last long. He was deposed less than a year after he was inaugurated, and the coup dealt a severe blow to his faith in his own judgments. It was masterminded by Raoul Cedras, a man Aristide had promoted to head of the Haitian army on the advice of the U.S. Embassy.

The coup changed Aristide's image.

Once described as a European-style social democrat, he increasingly came to be seen as a finely tuned, neo-liberal politician while he lived in exile in Washington and negotiated with the Clinton administration for his return. In exchange for U.S. support, he was forced to make many concessions, such as granting amnesty to coup leaders and forming a broader-based government that included his opponents. ...

President Aristide met with his opposition, Convergence, for the first time in two years on June 15 to resolve an electoral crisis, which American officials say has stalled the \$500 million in aid.

Convergence charged that legislative elections in May 2000 were tabulated unfairly to favor Aristide's Lavalas Party. The opposition also charged that Lavalas did not hold seven legally required runoff elections for Senate seats because the Lavalas candidate did not receive a majority. The seven Lavalas senators have resigned, but the opposition and the United States say that is not enough.

The overall effect of the violence and squabbling has been devastating. As elite Haitians flee the poverty and chaos, the masses are left with even fewer resources and leadership.

"There is hope for individual Haitians," Freeman says. "But as a country, I just don't see hope."

[JR: What exactly did the U.S. accomplish when Clinton sent in our troops to invade Haiti and spent \$2 billion of our tax money doing it? The answer to that is zero—nothing as far as the Haitian people are concerned. The misery, the poverty and disease are still running rampant, along with the use of mob violence by those in power. Our special-ops forces went to Haiti to help train the military and the police, so why is there no law and order in the streets of Haiti? Fingers point in many directions and there are many varied opinions as to the problems. Why is Aristide unable to reign in the corruption, the mobs and curb the violence employed by those close to him? Aristide isn't his own man and it begs the question: What did he agree to while in Washington to get back into power? Is the U.S. the real power in Haiti? We have to assume that the U.S. invasion of Haiti was all about drugs and keeping them flowing into the U.S. Everyone is getting richer but not poor Haiti.]

"WE ARE NOT THE ENEMY!"— THE BATTLE OF PORTLAND

By William Rivers Pitt, *truthout.org*, 08/24/02

The image is chilling. A middle-aged woman, plainly dressed, with a puff of auburn hair, is clutched in a hammerlock by a Portland police officer dressed in full riot gear. His riot baton is jammed high under her chin. Around her, three more armor-clad police officers swarm in, facemasks down. The woman's face is contorted in terror. In her hand is a sign protesting George W. Bush.

This was the scene on the streets of Portland, OR, on the evening of August 22nd as captured by a

photographer for the *Associated Press*. Thousands of peaceful protesters had descended upon the Hilton Hotel where Mr. Bush was attending a political fundraiser for Senator Gordon Smith. They held signs reading, "Drop Bush, not Bombs", and other similar slogans. Among the protesters were pregnant women, parents with infants and small children, elderly citizens, and citizens in wheelchairs.

According to a report by *CBS News*, the protest became unruly when some of the fundraiser attendees were "jostled" as they moved through the crowd towards the entrance to the hotel. At that point, the riot police swarmed in, swinging clubs and dousing the crowd with pepper spray. Rubber bullets were also fired into the crowd, and snipers were seen on the roofs surrounding the scene. The protesters responded by hammering on the hoods of police cars and screaming, "We are not the enemy!"

A man named Randy, who attended the protest, reports the sequence of events as follows:

"I was between 5th and 6th on the sidewalk. Maybe the ones in front were warned to move, but I didn't hear any warning. It had been a peaceful protest. Suddenly the police came forward spraying pepper spray. A man nearby with an infant in a backpack got hit real good. The baby's face was so red I thought it had quit breathing. From the other direction came cop cars through the crowd and rubber bullets were fired at those closest to the cars. I kept retreating but the cops kept spraying. Lots of people were sprayed, including the cameraman from Channel 2 KATU."

Other eyewitness accounts from the streets of Portland similarly describe what appears to have been a terrifyingly violent response from the police to a peaceful protest by assembled American citizens.

This is a profoundly disturbing turn of events. Mr. Bush is protested wherever he goes these days and the crowds, which attend them, are growing. These are not black-clad anarchists kicking in windows, however. The woman who was attacked by the police looked as ordinary as any small-town librarian, and anarchists are smart enough to leave their children at home if there is a riot in the offing. The streets of Portland were filled on August 22nd by average American citizens seeking to inform the President of their disfavor regarding the manner in which he is governing their country. They were rewarded with the business end of a billy-club, a face-full of pepper spray, and the jarring impact of a rubber bullet.

If America needed one more example of the cancer that has been chewing through the guts of our most basic freedoms since Mr. Bush assumed office, they can look to Portland. The right to freely assemble and petition the government for a redress of grievances has been rescinded at the point of a gun. ...

The media, which spent much of the evening reporting that only a few hundred protesters were in attendance, must be browbeaten into reporting the facts from both sides—from the police, who reportedly detained people like the woman in the picture "for their own safety", and from the protesters who took a savage beating for daring to stand against Mr. Bush. If the battle of Portland is allowed to cast even more fear into the hearts and minds of Americans, we have lost yet another swath of freedoms. Stand and be counted if you can.

The whole world is watching.

[JR: This is just one of the many wake-up calls of how far the government will go to suppress even a peaceful demonstration. These demonstrators gathered together in an act of conscience and were met with violence by the Portland police. They thought they still had that right to voice their displeasure against the Bush Administration's actions and policies. What the people of Portland were shown was the extent to which this government will go to hide their plans to hand over this country to its enemies. It is heartening to know that more people are becoming aware and are getting the message.]

URIBE AIMS TO ARM, TRAIN 20,000 PEASANTS

Tribune, 08/23/02

BOGOTA, COLOMBIA—President Alvaro Uribe's government plans to arm 20,000 peasants to support the armed forces in the fight against outlawed rebel and paramilitary groups, Colombia's defense minister said Thursday.

The recruits will receive military training and a small salary paid for by a new 1.2 percent war tax being imposed on higher-income businesses and people, Defense Minister Martha Lucia Ramirez said. The recruitment is expected to be completed by the end of the year.

The government is obtaining cost estimates for assault rifles, machine guns, mortars and grenade launchers from U.S. and European manufacturers, news reports say. Uniforms and boots also are being made for the mainly poor farmers, who will live in their homes and protect their own neighborhoods.

Analysts and human-rights monitors say the plan risks turning civilians into targets of the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia, known as the FARC, or the paramilitaries.

In theory, government forces are to back the peasant-soldiers in the event of an attack. But there are no government forces in 186 of the 1,000 counties, and few in 227 others.

Uribe took office in Aug. 7 after a landslide election victory on a pledge to get tough with the rebels and the paramilitaries.

[JR: President Uribe's plan to arm the peasants will put them right in the middle of an extended war zone. They will be the targets of the rebels and the paramilitary, which will turn their towns and villages into killing fields. The U.S. is always so helpful in providing the arms and weapons that will further the chaos in Colombia. This is how one part of the Henry Kissinger Andes Plan works that sustains the problems and the political unrest in Latin American.]

COLOMBIA GOVERNMENT TO PAY FARMERS TO SWITCH FROM COCA TO TREES

Daily News, 08/29/02

BOGOTA, COLOMBIA—Colombia will spend \$300 million over the next four years to persuade peasant farmers growing raw materials for cocaine and heroin to switch to forestry instead, a senior official said Wednesday.

The project will replace Plan Colombia, implemented under then-President Andres Pastrana, which had mixed results in encouraging peasants to swap coca leaves and opium poppies for legal crops.

President Alvaro Uribe, who took office this month, hopes 50,000 peasant families, mainly in the southern jungles, will tend trees and stop supplying Colombia's cocaine industry, the world's largest, and heroin smugglers, said Agriculture Minister Carlos Gustavo Cano.

The United States, which has provided Colombia with more than \$1.5 billion in mainly military aid to fight drugs in recent years, had grumbled about the lack of success of Pastrana's earlier alternative crop program.

Many peasants took subsidy money and then went back to planting coca. The government hopes that forestry will be a more attractive option in isolated jungle regions.

[JR: President Uribe's plan to pay peasants to plant trees instead of coca doesn't seem too logical. These people live in villages surrounded by tropical jungles so why would they want to plant more trees? Even if the peasants were to use the money to cultivate farms are there adequate roads for them to get about? Seems like Uribe is bankrupt for ideas as to how best to utilize our tax \$\$ in implementing a program that won't stop the growing of the coca. Washington is using the drug issue as a cover to prop up the Colombian government and to provide the army with more U.S. weapons.]

POLES' SURLY MOOD THREATENS REJECTION OF EU REFERENDUM

By Tom Hundley, *Tribune*, 08/23/02

WARSAW—Andrzej Lepper, the populist pig farmer and leader of the loudest opposition party in Poland's parliament, has been busy these last few months trying to stir up a full-scale peasant rebellion. ...

"Poland is facing an explosion of social disorder, and the government, which is responsible for such a state of affairs, doesn't do anything," he told supporters. It's too early to tell how many will heed this call for "passive civil disobedience". ...

A poll published this week that sampled voter opinions in several candidate countries showed that only 49 percent of Poles favored EU admission, compared with 66 percent in neighboring Hungary.

On the other hand, a survey earlier this month by OBOP, a leading Warsaw polling service, indicated that 63 percent of those polled supported joining the EU while 24 percent opposed Poland's entry.

Poland, by far the largest and most important of the 10 candidate nations, is considered the *raison d'être* for EU expansion, and for most of Poland's political establishment, a no vote is unthinkable. But Polish voters, with a demonstrated contrarian streak and a willingness to use the ballot box to send messages to their leaders, are unhappy with the country's sluggish economy and an unemployment rate close to 20 percent.

Lepper's constituency is the sizable group of Poles, mostly from villages and rural areas, who feel they have been left behind in the country's transition from communism to a market economy. A skilled rabble-rouser, he blames Poland's woes on corrupt politicians who he says have taken advantage of the transition to make themselves and their friends rich.

His No. 2 target is the EU, which he says treats Poland like a second-class citizen.

Lepper has powerful allies in Poland's far-right, hyper-nationalist "Catholic" parties. ...

Not so long ago, almost everyone in Poland wanted to be in the EU. What happened?

For one, the EU—with its bloated and self-important bureaucracy, its messy internal squabbles and its failure to come even close to the U.S. as a global player politically—no longer looks as alluring as it once did.

Joining is going to cost Poland a lot in the short term, and Brussels is not offering particularly attractive terms. Poland's huge agricultural sector will get only a fraction of the subsidies that French, German and Dutch farmers take as their due, and Poles will be barred from working in neighboring Germany for several years.

Poles fear their wealthier German neighbors will use cheap property prices in Poland to buy up the country, a fear relentlessly stoked by Lepper. Poland also faces the loss of cross-border trade with its poor cousins, Ukraine and Belarus, if it had to take up the onerous new job as border guard of the EU's eastern frontier.

In the first years after the collapse of communism, Poland desperately wanted to prove its "Europeanness" by joining all the European clubs. Being invited into NATO in 1999 gave the country a huge psychological lift. But as its national self-confidence grows, Poland no longer feels it needs the EU to prove it is part of Europe.

Still, most experts are convinced that when the moment comes, Poles will vote to join

[JR: The polls highlighted by the media here in the U.S. as well as in Europe are all being manipulated. All governments are using the polls to re-adjust our thinking and to influence us on all major issues. The Polish people know that their expectations as individuals will not be met if Poland becomes a member

of the EU. As always the politicians and the privileged elite think otherwise. People the world over know that all governments, be it a democracy, socialism, or globalism means one thing for us all... CONTROL!]

**HARD LEFT DOMINATES CAMPUSES:
TIME TO FIGHT BACK?**

Wes Vernon, *NewsMax.com*, 09/05/02

WASHINGTON—As young people head back to the nation's college and university campuses, a legal scholar has raised the prospect that Middle Americans may no longer have to sit back and accept hard left indoctrination of their children.

Writing for the American Enterprise Institute (AEI), Kenneth Lee, who chairs The Federalist Society's civil rights practice group, posits the following scenario:

"Imagine opening your newspaper one morning and reading a Supreme Court opinion that puts a startling new twist on an old civil rights tactic. The Court declares that some prominent university has violated equal opportunity laws by 'engaging in a pattern of employment discrimination... against conservative Republicans and Christian conservatives.

"Of the university's 1,828 professors, there are only eight Republicans and five Christian conservatives. Such statistical evidence of gross political and ideological imbalance has been taken as a telltale sign of purposeful discrimination in many previous civil rights cases. In this case, as well it provides prima facie evidence that individual rights are being systematically violated on arbitrary grounds. Justice demands compensatory action to protect the rights of these groups..."

In the same edition of American Enterprise magazine, the editors cite chapter and verse:

Under the heading, "Political party enrollments of professors as listed in local registration records," the lopsided leftist campus tilt is overwhelming in such key departments as Economics, History, English, Political Science, Sociology, Psychology, and Anthropology. Examples:

Brown University—54 on the left to 3 on the right.

Cornell University—166 to 6

Harvard University—50 to 2

Stanford University— 151 to 17

University of California at San Diego—99 to 6

Syracuse University—50 to 2

At this point, one gets the drift. AEI documents similar lopsided leftist imbalance at Penn State University, University of Maryland, Denver College, Pomona College, San Diego State University, University of Colorado at Boulder, State University of New York at Binghamton, and others including (of course) University of California at Berkeley.

The University of Maryland apparently is out to indoctrinate freshmen almost before they go to their first classes.

University officials have been distributing 10,000 copies of the "Laramie Project", described as a play based on the death of homosexual college student Matthew Shepherd. As quoted in the *Washington Times*, this document, distributed to all incoming freshman, is defended by the university as an effort "to encourage the exploration of ideas".

A balanced effort to stir "the exploration of ideas" in this issue, would include a recounting of another case that took place shortly after the Matthew Shepherd killing.

As reported by *NewsMax.com* ("Hate Crimes' a One Way Street"—March 6, 2001), in Arkansas, two homosexuals were charged with sodomizing and killing 13-year old Jesse Dirkeheising. The boy died from suffocation after being bound, gagged with underwear in his mouth, blindfolded, and taped to the bed.

The Shepherd case was big national news. For months, the Dirkeheising case did not get out to the media beyond the borders of Arkansas. It appears academia gives the same "balance" to these two cases that the media did.

There is, of course, plenty of anecdotal evidence of the "Shame of America's One Party Campuses," as AEI describes it. Space constraints make it impossible to do more than cite some of the many examples compiled by Accuracy in Academia (AIA):

*As the nation mourned the thousands who died on the morning of September 11, 2001, University of New Mexico Professor Richard Berthold bluntly proclaimed to his students, "Anyone who can blow up the Pentagon would get my vote."

*Tenured professor Kenneth Hearlson of Orange Coast College was suspended without a hearing for claiming in class that Muslims who condemn terrorism in the U.S. but not in Israel were inconsistent. So much for freedom of inquiry in "the marketplace of ideas".

*A Luntz poll of Ivy League professors revealed startling political bias. In the 2000 elections, 84% of professors surveyed voted for Al Gore, as opposed to a mere 9% for George W. Bush, a bias confirmed by the more recent AEI survey. This prompted David Horowitz, who commissioned the Luntz poll, to remark, "For all of the Ivy League's talk of diversity, it is painfully evident from this survey that there is no real diversity when it comes to political attitudes and social attitudes of Ivy league professors."

Which brings us back to New York attorney Kenneth Lee's hypothetical Supreme Court case.

He acknowledges that the 1964 Civil Rights Act does not outline political affiliation as a protected status. However, interestingly, some states and localities have in fact extended civil rights protection to party membership. Washington, D.C., for example, bars discrimination on the basis of race, gender, sexual orientation, OR political affiliation. ...

Conservative students organize or try to organize on campus to tell "the other side", but they are all too often suppressed by the dominant culture there. The late Hearst columnist Westbrook Pegler once observed that many non-leftist college kids "dare not sass back the professor lest he flunk them."

Meanwhile, in his forthcoming book, *Why the Left Hates America*, (and the author makes a clear distinction between "liberal" and hard left) AIA's executive director Dan Flynn says, "Large numbers of young Americans are taught to hate their country. The impressionable minds that are fed a distorted picture of America will be our next generation of teachers, journalists, clergy, and government officials. Unless the prevailing negativism is countered, the kind of history, tradition, and government that is passed on to future generations will be adversely affected."

[JR: This discrimination and control of our colleges and universities fulfills Protocol #16 of the Zionists' plan to restructure our educational system and its curriculum. This master plan has been in place and has become a lethal tool in controlling how we non-Jews [goyim] think about the decisions made for us and our futures. It also adds to and insures the successes of our controllers. Many of the people in this world have become passive followers to the dictates of their leaders. The masters of deceit and deception have regulated our social behavior, lied to us in defining our issues and policies, and have in effect made us submissive to the negative changes in this world. They have in essence shifted our consciousness into the dead zone. It is time to wake up and notice the tensions and the turmoil that has gripped our world.]

WHEN IT COMES TIME FOR THE GDP, WHAT COUNTS?

By Robert Costanza, U of Vt. Institute for Ecological Economics, *Tribune*, 08/25/02

Enron, WorldCom, and other corporations have violated basic good accounting principles. They have hidden costs and inflated profits in order to make their operations look better than they were. But these scandals

are small potatoes compared to the analogous accounting errors inherent in the U.S. government's National Income Accounts, which paint a dangerously misleading picture of how well the economy as a whole is doing.

The most commonly used measure of national economic performance, Gross Domestic Product, does not adequately distinguish costs from benefits, inflates profits, and therefore makes the operation of the economy look better than it actually is. Fraudulent corporate accounting cost shareholders billions and shook the markets. The GDP counts over a trillion dollars in costs as revenues.

To understand how this can be, one needs to understand what the GDP is measuring, why it is no longer an appropriate measure of economic performance, and what some of the alternatives are.

GDP measures marketed economic activity or gross income. It was never intended as a measure of economic welfare, and it functions very poorly as a welfare measure. Yet it is inappropriately used as a national welfare measure in far too many circumstances. Just check the headlines: "GDP up = good; GDP down = bad" is the unambiguous message.

What are the problems with the GDP as an economic-welfare measure?

First, it counts everything as a positive. It does not separate desirable, welfare-enhancing activity from undesirable welfare-reducing activity. For example, an oil spill increases GDP because someone has to clean it up, but it obviously detracts from welfare.

From the perspective of GDP, more crime, more sickness, more war, more pollution, more fires, storms and pestilence are all potentially good things, because they can increase marketed activity in the economy.

Second, GDP leaves out many things that do enhance welfare but are outside the market. For example, the unpaid work of mothers caring for their own children at home doesn't show up, but if these same mothers decide to work outside the home to pay for child care, GDP suddenly increases.

The non-marketed work of Nature in providing clean air and water, food, natural resources, and other ecosystem services don't adequately show up in GDP, but if those services are damaged and we have to pay to fix or replace them, then GDP suddenly increases.

Third, GDP takes no account of the distribution of income among individuals. But it is well-known that an additional \$1 worth of income produces more welfare if one is poor rather than rich. Doubling Bill Gates' income would do far less toward enhancing his welfare than doubling the income of the countless people worldwide currently working for a dollar a day would do for theirs, and at lower cost.

These problems are not invisible, and several researchers have proposed alternatives that try to separate the positive from the negative components of marketed economic activity, add in non-marketed goods and services, and adjust for income-distribution effects. ...

For example, the Gross Progress Indicator shows that while GDP has steadily increased since 1950, with the occasional recession, GPI peaked in about 1975 and has been decreasing ever since. Thus, from the perspective of economic welfare, rather than mere activity, the U.S. economy has been in recession since 1975. ...

Ultimately, getting out of the 25-year welfare recession we are currently in will require that people first recognize how bad the current system is at tracking welfare.

We must not allow deceptive accounting practices (analogous to those that caused the Enron and WorldCom debacles) to paint an inaccurate and ultimately destructive picture of how well the economy is doing. ...

Ultimately, if we want the things that really matter to our welfare to count, we have to learn how to count them.

GENERAL ASHCROFT'S DETENTION CAMPS

By Nat Hentoff, *The Village Voice*, 09/04/02

Jonathan Turley is a professor of constitutional and public-interest law at George Washington University Law School in D.C. He is also a defense attorney in national security cases and other matters, writes for a number of publications, and is often on television. He and I occasionally exchange leads on civil liberties stories, but I learn much more from him than he does from me.

For example, a Jonathan Turley column in the national edition of the August 14 *Los Angeles Times* ("Camps for Citizens: Ashcroft's Hellish Vision") begins:

"Attorney General John Ashcroft's announced desire for camps for U.S. citizens he deems to be 'enemy combatants' has moved him from merely being a political embarrassment to being a constitutional menace." Actually, ever since General Ashcroft pushed the U.S. Patriot Act through an overwhelmingly supine Congress soon after September 11, he has subverted more elements of the *Bill of Rights* than any attorney general in American history.

Under the Justice Department's new definition of "enemy combatant"—which won the enthusiastic approval of the president and Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld—anyone defined as an "enemy combatant", very much including American citizens, can be held indefinitely by the government, without charges, a hearing, or a lawyer. In short, incommunicado.

Two American citizens—Yaser Esam Hamdi and Jose Padilla—are currently locked up in military brigades as "enemy combatants". (Hamdi is in solitary in a windowless room.) As Harvard Law Professor Lawrence Tribe said on *ABC's Nightline* (August 12):

"It bothers me that the executive branch is taking the amazing position that just on the president's say-so, any American citizen can be picked up, not just in Afghanistan, but at O'Hare Airport or on the streets of any city in this country, and locked up without access to a lawyer or court just because the government says he's connected somehow with the Taliban or al-Qaida. That's not the American way. It's not the constitutional way. ... And no court can even figure out whether we've got the wrong guy."

In Hamdi's case, the government claims it can hold him for interrogation in a floating Navy brig off Norfolk, Virginia, as long as it needs to. When Federal District Judge Robert Doumar asked the man from the Justice Department how long Hamdi is going to be locked up without charges, the government lawyer said he couldn't answer that question. The Bush administration claims the judiciary has no right to even interfere.

Now more Americans are also going to be dispossessed of every fundamental legal right in our system of justice and put into camps. Jonathan Turley reports that Justice Department aides to General Ashcroft "have indicated that a 'high-level committee' will recommend which citizens are to be stripped of their constitutional rights and sent to Ashcroft's new camps."...

Again, in his *Los Angeles Times* column, Turley tries to be fair: "Of course Ashcroft is not considering camps on the order of the internment camps used to incarcerate Japanese American citizens in World War II. But he can be credited only with thinking smaller; *we have learned from painful experience that unchecked authority, once tasted, easily becomes insatiable.*" (Emphasis added.) ...

On August 8, *The Wall Street Journal*, which much admires Ashcroft on its editorial pages, reported that "the Goose Creek, South Carolina, facility that houses [Jose] Padilla—mostly empty since it was designated in January to hold foreigners captured in the U.S. and facing military

tribunals—now has a special wing that could be used to jail about 20 U.S. citizens if the government were to deem them enemy combatants, a senior administration official said." The Justice Department has told Turley that it has not denied this story. And space can be found in military installations for more "enemy combatants".

But once the camps are operating, can General Ashcroft be restrained from detaining—not in these special camps, but in regular lockups—any American investigated under suspicion of domestic terrorism under the new, elastic FBI guidelines for criminal investigations? From page three of these Ashcroft terrorism FBI guidelines:

"The nature of the conduct engaged in by a [terrorist] enterprise will justify an inference that the standard [for opening a criminal justice investigation] is satisfied, *even if there are no known statements by participants that advocate or indicate planning for violence or other prohibited acts.*" (Emphasis added.) That conduct can be simply "intimidating" the government, according to the USA Patriot Act.

The new Steven Spielberg-Tom Cruise movie, *Minority Report*, shows the government, some years hence, imprisoning "pre-criminals" before they engage in, or even think of, terrorism. That may not be just fiction, folks. ... **[JR: The handwriting is on the wall for Americans to pause and read and come to terms with what is and not with what isn't. Our enemy is not those whom the government targets, but the ones who sit in the seats of power in D.C. making the laws that bind us.]**

ESTIMATE: \$3.3 TRILLION
MISSING FROM U.S. TREASURY

By Buddy Grizzard, *authenticpress.net*, August 2002

While Americans worry about the disastrous effects on our economy of the accounting scandals at Enron, WorldCom and elsewhere, an even larger accounting scandal has somehow escaped the public consciousness. According to estimates, the Department of Defense and the Department of Housing and Urban Development cannot account for over \$3 trillion allotted to them by Congress, amounting to thousands of dollars of missing money for every man, woman and child in the country.

This story hasn't gone completely unreported. In a Jan. 29 article titled "The War on Waste," *CBS News* quoted Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld as saying, "according to some estimates we cannot track \$2.3 trillion in transactions." The article went on to quote retired Vice Admiral Jack Shanahan, former commander of the Navy's 2nd Fleet, as saying that while President George W. Bush's 2003 budget proposal calls for \$48 billion in new Pentagon spending, "with good financial oversight we could find \$48 billion in loose change in that building, without having to hit the taxpayers."

One of the most knowledgeable and effective critics of this epidemic of fiscal mismanagement by our government is Catherine Austin Fitts, former managing director of Wall Street investment bank Dillon, Read and former HUD assistant secretary in the first Bush administration. ...

"In June 2001 the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee, under the leadership of Senator Fred Thompson (R-Tenn.), published its study, "Government at the Brink". The study describes the failure of federal government agencies to maintain reliable financial systems and/or to publish required independent annual audited financial statements. The President's initial 2002 budget (before increases for 9/11) proposed that approximately 85% of all federal appropriations be awarded to the very same agencies the Thompson study states either (1) fail to maintain reliable

financial systems, (2) fail to publish trustworthy or, in some cases, any, independent certified financial statements (as required by law), or both." (Source: Solari.com)

Later in that same article, Fitts' estimates of total financial adjustments by DOD to date make the story even worse. "Total undocumented accounting adjustments for reported periods for the Department of Defense [and HUD for fiscal 1998-2000] amount to a whopping \$3.3 trillion, or \$11,700 for every American. The Department of Defense has failed to produce independent audited financial statements since the requirement went into effect in 1995. HUD's Inspector General refused to certify HUD's fiscal 1999 financial statements." Fitts added in an interview by e-mail that the HUD inspector also cited \$59 billion missing from HUD for fiscal 1999, but said that this is only the tip of the iceberg of mismanagement at HUD. "That report also indicated \$17 billion missing from the opening balance; so that would be for fiscal 1998," said Fitts. "My understanding was that the \$59 billion only referred to undocumented adjustments on the liabilities side of the balance sheet, and in fact the problems were greater on the asset side. HUD refused to disclose the undocumented adjustments for fiscal 2000."

Investigative reporter Kelly Patricia O'Meara wrote about the scandal in the Aug. 10, 2001 issue of *Insight Magazine*. She quoted DOD Deputy Inspector General Robert Lieberman making the following remark before Congress: "The extensive DOD efforts to compile and audit the FY 2000 financial statements for the department as a whole and for the 10 subsidiary reporting entities like the Army, Navy and Air Force General Funds, could not overcome the impediments caused by poor systems and unreliable documentation of transactions and assets" (Source: *InsightMag.com*)...

An additional problem with making the Pentagon fiscally accountable was exposed in a July 22, 1999 article in the *New York Times* by Tim Weiner, titled "Pentagon Defied Laws and Misused Funds, Panel Reports." Wrote Weiner: "Congress says in a new report that the Pentagon defied the law and the *Constitution* by spending hundreds of millions of dollars on military projects that lawmakers never approved." Weiner quoted Rep. Jerry Lewis (R-Cal.) as saying that the Pentagon apparently believes "that it can even move money to a program Congress has closed down, maybe presuming, 'Oh, well, nobody will know.'"

"The *Constitution* is pretty clear on this," continued Lewis later in the article. "It says: 'No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of appropriations made by law.'" Added Weiner: "The law and Pentagon procedures allow military officials to shift funds from one account to another, but not without telling Congress. They cannot finance programs Congress never approved, or use money for a purpose that lawmakers never intended. But they have done so for years, the committee's report and its staff members said." So what is being done to improve the accounting practices in the Pentagon? ...

Fitts' writings on the subject indicate she has little confidence in our government's ability to correct itself, without a complete overhaul of the way funds are collected and appropriated in this country. "I believe that the only way to ensure fiscal accountability is to create legal and/or trust or escrow mechanisms at the state and local level to condition payment of tax monies on compliance with the law," said Fitts. "The federal government is breaking the law as a matter of intentional ongoing policy. At this point, there needs to be a way to place sufficient control on the cash payments to require compliance with the law."

As Americans watch their 401-K programs evaporate in the current economy, and wonder if Social Security will be there for them when they retire, it seems that another cause for grave concern has arisen due to our government's lack of financial transparency and responsibility.

SOME BARE FACTS TO CONSIDER

By Mr. Joseph Clifford, *Media Monitors Network (MMN)*

Isn't that strange...

The Russians got into their Vietnam right after the U.S. got out of theirs.

The U.S. supported bin Laden and the Taliban for years, and viewed them as freedom fighters against the Russians.

As late as 1998 the U.S. was paying the salary of every single Taliban official in Afghanistan?

Isn't that strange...

There is more oil and gas in the Caspian Sea area than in Saudi Arabia, but you need a pipeline through Afghanistan to get the oil out.

UNOCAL, a giant American Oil conglomerate, wanted to build a 1000-mile-long pipeline from the Caspian Sea through Afghanistan to the Arabian Sea.

UNOCAL spent \$ Billion (+/-) on geological surveys for pipeline construction, and very nicely courted the Taliban for their support in allowing the construction to begin.

All of the leading Taliban officials were in Texas negotiating with UNOCAL in 1998.

1998-1999 the Taliban changed its mind and threw UNOCAL out of the country and awarded the pipeline project to a company from Argentina.

John Maresca, VP of UNOCAL, testified before Congress and said no pipeline until the Taliban was gone and a more friendly government was established.

1999-2000 The Taliban became the most evil people in the world.

Isn't that strange...

Niaz Naik, a former Pakistani Foreign Secretary, was told by senior American officials in mid-July that military action against Afghanistan would go ahead by the middle of October.

After the 9/11 WTC disaster, Bush goes to war against Afghanistan even though none of the hijackers came from Afghanistan.

Bush blamed bin Laden but has never offered any proof, saying it's a "secret".

Taliban offered to negotiate to turn over bin Laden if the U.S. showed them some proof. They refused; they bombed.

We have a new government in Afghanistan. The leader of that government formerly worked for UNOCAL.

Isn't that strange...

Bush appoints a special envoy to represent the U.S. to deal with that new government, who formerly was the "chief consultant to UNOCAL".

The Bush family acquired their wealth through oil.

Bush's Secretary of Interior was the President of an oil company before going to Washington.

George Bush Sr. now works with the "Carlyle Group" specializing in huge oil investments around the world.

Condoleezza Rice worked for Chevron before going to Washington.

Chevron named one of its newest "supertankers" after Condoleezza.

Dick Cheney worked for the giant oil conglomerate Haliburton before becoming VP.

Haliburton gave Cheney \$34,000,000 as a farewell gift when he left Haliburton.

Haliburton is in the supplying of oil equipment and pipeline construction business.

Isn't that strange...

There is \$6 Trillion worth of oil in the Caspian Sea area.

The U.S. government quietly announces Jan 31, 2002 we will support the construction of the Trans-Afghanistan pipeline.

President Musharref (Pakistan), and Karrzai, (Afghanistan—Unocal) announce agreement to build proposed gas pipeline from Central Asia to Pakistan via Afghanistan. (*Irish Times* 02/10/02)

"It's the Oil, Stupid!"

THE PROPAGANDA WAR: COMMON MYTHS
HELD BY THE AMERICAN PUBLIC

By Raff Ellis, *YellowTimes.org*—U.S., 9/13/02

Nearly every Internet user has received a mailing describing an "Only in America" outrageous litigation and award. One such is the man who won \$74,000 after his hand was run over while he was stealing hubcaps from his neighbor's car. These false tales take on a life of their own that is incredible and are commonly known as urban legends. That thousands believe such tales is somewhat surprising but illustrates how gullible the general public is and how susceptible to disinformation they are.

No area is more rife with false information than the Middle East. Our government has certainly been guilty of such practices and is cranking up the big lie machine in its drive to dignify war with Iraq. The staunch supporters of the state of Israel in the media and government assault the public stories that don't stand the light of day. But once out there, they also take on a life of their own and the "man in the street" repeats them without fail. Let's look at some of the most common of these myths.

1. Israel has been attacked time and again by its enemies and is only defending itself.

In actuality, the vast majority of the time, Israel has been the attacker. Certainly they claim provocation for these attacks but they have lied and spread disinformation in many cases. In 1956, Israel attacked Egypt, capturing the Sinai Peninsula and Gaza Strip and was forced to withdraw by President Eisenhower.

In 1967 Israel launched its Six-day War against Egypt, Syria, and Jordan, announcing it had been attacked. It used Egypt's military maneuvers in the Sinai and the intent to blockade the Strait of Tiran as its cover for the incursion and only after the war was over did it admit it had launched a preemptive strike. If it were in the right, why did it feel it had to lie? If Egypt was indeed attacking Israel, no one bothered to ask why Egypt's entire air force was destroyed on the ground. It has been documented (see former NSA operative James Bamford's *Body of Secrets*, pp 139-239), that Israel had planned this war for a long time.

When Egypt went to war in the Sinai in 1973, attempting to regain the territory taken from it in 1967, it was in essence attacking its own land, not Israel's.

In 1978 Israel invaded and occupied South Lebanon, which it held for 22 years. In 1982, they drove all the way to Beirut creating some 30,000 casualties in the process.

So, independent of all the "drive them into the sea" and "death to the Arabs" rhetoric, who is the principal aggressor here? **Israel has been the attacker in almost all Middle East wars;** at times has bombed Iraq, Lebanon, Jordan, Syria, Egypt, Tunisia and even the United States via its attack on the *USS Liberty* in June 1967. They tried to sink the *Liberty* with all hands because they feared that the spy ship had monitored communications wherein they lured Jordan and Syria into the 1967 war, and seen the war crimes they were committing in the Sinai. None of the above countries has bombed Israel, save several Scud missiles launched from Iraq during the Gulf War for which there were virtually no casualties.

2. Israel wants peace with the Palestinians and its generous offers have been rebuffed at every turn.

It is the Israelis who have successfully scuttled every so-called "peace accord". The original Camp David Accords, under the Carter administration, which returned the Sinai to Egypt, was supposed to halt settlement activity in the West Bank. The Israelis have added thousands more settlers since then.

The so-called "generous offer" by Ehud Barak two years ago has taken on a life of its own as standard by which Palestinian folly is to be judged. The truth of this generous offer, which was never set

forth in writing, can be summarized as follows:

a. It denied Palestinians control over their own borders, airspace and water resources while legitimizing and expanding the illegal Israeli colonies.

b. It re-packaged the military occupation by keeping military outposts for an "indefinite period" to protect their settlements.

c. It required the annexation of nearly 9 percent of the Occupied Territories in exchange for only 1 percent of Israel's current territory and an additional 10 percent of the Occupied Territories in the form of a "long-term lease".

d. It divided Palestine into four separate, surrounded cantons: the Northern West Bank, the Central West Bank, the Southern West Bank and Gaza subjecting movement of people and goods within their own country to Israeli control.

This was Israel's generous offer, which no Palestinian leader of sound mind could accept.

3. The Palestinians don't recognize Israel's right to exist.

Palestinians recognized Israel's right to exist in 1988 and re-iterated this recognition on several occasions including Madrid in 1991 and Oslo in 1993. Israel has yet to recognize Palestine's right to exist.

4. It is unreasonable for the Palestinians to insist on the right of return because this would endanger Israel's security.

It is interesting that Jews from all over the world have inherited "the right of return" to a place to which they have no geographical or ancestral lien and yet, Palestinians, whose forbears may have lived there for a thousand years or more, have no such rights. The Palestinian refugees were never seriously discussed at the last Camp David gathering because Barak declared that Israel bore no responsibility for the refugee problem or its solution, international law and UN Resolutions notwithstanding.

5. The Palestinians really don't want peace with Israel.

The Palestinians are on record as willing to accept a settlement based on UN Resolutions 242 and 338. Israel will not.

6. The Israeli government wants peace.

The Likud Party, of which Prime Minister Ariel Sharon is the leader, stands on its platform: There will be no Palestinian state; they will strengthen settlements in the Occupied Territories; the eastern border of Israel will be the Jordan River; Israel will maintain control of the water resources in the West Bank; and Jerusalem will be the undivided capital of Israel. That doesn't leave a whole lot for the Palestinians does it?

7. The Palestinians are just a bunch of terrorists.

The Palestinians certainly didn't introduce terrorism to the Middle East. In Menachem Begin's writings, he tells how successful the newly founded Israeli state was in driving out the Palestinians by terrorizing them with barrel bombs (which he claims to have invented), slaughtering a whole village (Deir Yassin was publicized to encourage flight), allowing Israel to grab over 40 percent more land than they were given in the UN mandate.

Israel would have us believe that state-sponsored activities such as assassinations, home demolitions, confiscation of property, mass deportations and myriad other humiliating human-rights violations are legal and justified as self-defense. Resistance to these crimes is, of course, terrorism.

8. The characterization that Jews control the media is but another manifestation of anti-Semitism.

It seems that certain members of the Jewish community are trying to perpetuate the media myth. Last year, media giant CanWest Global Communications Corp., owned by Israel (Izzy) Asper and family, announced that beginning Dec. 12, 2001, not one but eventually three editorials a week would be written at corporate headquarters in Winnipeg and imposed on 14 dailies, which include the *Vancouver Sun* and *Province*, the *Calgary Herald* and the *Montreal Gazette*. CanWest also owns 50 percent

of the nationally-distributed *National Post*, which will be subject to the new directives as well.

Furthermore, in addition to the imposed editorials themselves, all locally-produced editorial column pieces will be forced to reflect the viewpoints of the CanWest Global Corporation. CanWest last year became Canada's dominant newspaper chain when it purchased Southam News Inc. resulting in ownership of the 14 metropolitan dailies and 128 local newspapers across the country.

The story came to light on the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation's *As It Happens* radio program. Bill Marsden, an investigative reporter with the *Montreal Gazette*, contended his editor had said CanWest was "very sensitive" to editorial content. Marsden explained, paraphrasing the directives, "That is to say they do not want to see any criticism of Israel. We do not run in our newspaper Op-Ed pieces that express criticism of Israel and what it is doing in the Middle East. We do not have the free-wheeling debate there should be about these issues."


Add to this Ariel Sharon's declaration in a heated Knesset debate over American concerns: "**We own the banks and the media. Without us they are a stupid people.**" It seems this stereotype might have some strong Jewish sponsors.

9. The responsibility for the Palestinians rests with their Arab brothers.

This is a popular theme of the Israeli "hard-liners", intending to foist the problem they created on their neighbors. The "Arabs" and the Palestinians are not one entity, as these right-wingers like to argue. Common language or religion does not define ethnic or national groups. It is but another attempt to portray the Israelis as the underdog, a beleaguered people under threat of being overrun by the Muslim Arab hordes. When Israel took over in 1948, it was estimated that up to 40 percent of the Palestinian population were Christian. Most have left or been driven out.

Even now, if the polls are to be believed, Israelis approve the "transfer" (a euphemism for ethnic cleansing) of Palestinians out of the Occupied Territories. The lust for territory is at fever pitch among the Likud Party and its followers as the current offensive against the indigenous population can attest. Why else would the Israelis bulldoze homes, uproot orchards, shoot and terrorize innocent civilians, deprive the sick and wounded of medical services, destroy the Palestinian Authority's infrastructure, roll their tanks over every automobile and produce stand in sight, fire on and destroy ambulances, if not to force the people into leaving their land?

10. Israel is the only democracy in the Middle East. Israeli apologists love to trumpet the notion that Israel is awash in a sea of repressive regimes while it is a pristine example of democracy in action. The true test of a democracy rests on the treatment of all its citizens equally. In fact, a million Israeli-Palestinian citizens constitute a vast underclass of second-class citizenry in this *supposed* democracy. They are not treated equally with their Jewish counterparts in many ways; denial of construction permits; special identification papers and license plates; discrimination in employment and travel, etc. Free elections do not make a democracy, for if that were the measure, Lebanon and Turkey also qualify as democracies. At best, Israel is a theocracy, a country based on religion that insists on maintaining its "Jewishness", a notion that flies in the face of democratic principles.

This is the case against the Israeli propagandists and their unsuspecting cohorts. It is neither complicated nor complex. Israel has painted a canvas of fear and hatred in the Middle East while picturing itself as the victim and the Palestinians as terrorists instead of a dispossessed people. From the newspapers and TV op-ed accounts, which parrot variations of the above, one can see that the big lie is alive and well in the United States of America. 

NEVADA CORPORATIONS:

Keeping Shields Up In A Foreign Jurisdiction

Budget's "Tip of the Week" #11:

Maintaining a Nevada Corporation's Liability Protection in a Foreign Jurisdiction

When using your Nevada corporation in a foreign jurisdiction, what can you do to maintain the strong liability protection afforded under Nevada's statutes?

So-called "**long arm**" laws have been used to claim jurisdiction over "foreign" corporations, stripping away the benefits that would otherwise accrue to them based on the statutes prevailing in their home jurisdiction. These "long arm" laws have been used to great effect in California, for example, ostensibly to protect that state's citizens from encroachments and predatory practices by "outsiders". **Nevada corporations are not immune from this potentially devastating application of the law that would deny them the liability protection inherent in Nevada's Revised Statutes—BUT IT WOULD APPEAR THAT THEY CAN BE.**

Article I, section 10, clause 1 of the *U.S. Constitution* prohibits the states from passing any "law impairing the obligation of contracts". The test for determining a violation of this "contract clause" in the *Constitution* was stated in *Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus*, 438 U.S. 234 (1978):

"The first inquiry must be whether the state law has, in fact, operated as a substantial impairment of a contractual relationship. The severity of the impairment measures the height of the hurdle the state legislation must clear. Minimal alteration of contractual obligations may end the inquiry at its first stage. Severe impairment, on the other hand, will push the inquiry to a careful examination of the nature and purpose of the state legislation."

The solution to retaining the superior liability protection made inherent to Nevada corporations in the *Nevada Revised Statutes* thus appears to be fairly simple:

AS A PART OF ANY CONTRACT (and remember that a contract does not have to be in writing) WITH ANY ENTITY OR ENTITIES IN A FOREIGN JURISDICTION, ENSURE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CONTRACT IS TRANSACTED IN NEVADA, AND THAT ANY DISPUTE SHALL BE RESOLVED IN NEVADA.

You might also want to consider liberal use of the suffix, "a Nevada corporation", which can be appended to your corporation's name to add a measure of protection. In legal terms, there is a "rebuttable presumption" that Nevada law applies to any contract between a Nevada "person" (yes, a corporation IS a "person", by legal definition) and any other entity, so long as the second party is aware of the fact that they are dealing with a Nevada corporation. For this reason alone it can be wise to ensure that the Nevada corporation is identified as such while operating within a foreign jurisdiction.

CORPORATION SETUP AND MAINTENANCE FEES

Budget Corporation —includes:	Nominee Service	\$200
• First-year resident agent fee	Obtain EIN	\$ 75
• Corporate Charter	Bank Account Setup	\$100
• Articles of Incorporation	Expedite (24-hr. setup)	\$150
• Corporate Bylaws		
• Corporate Resolutions	Annual Resident Agent Fee	\$ 85
• Budget corporate record book	Budget Mail Forwarding (18 per yr)	\$ 50
• 3.5" floppy disk of resources	Full Mail Forwarding (240 pcs/yr)	\$150
TOTAL	\$410	

For more information:

"THE NEVADA CORPORATION MANUAL"

Priced at just \$45, including shipping and handling

Budget
Corporate Renewals

"Nevada corporations at Budget prices"

(702) 870-5351

P.O. Box 27103
Las Vegas, NV 89126
E-Mail: BCR@BudgetCorporateRenewals.com

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *PHOENIX JOURNALS* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE JOURNALS** ARE NOW ON SALE FOR \$3.00 (REG. \$6.00). (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V (OUT OF STOCK)
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE (OUT OF STOCK)
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER (OUT OF STOCK)
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.
P.O. Box 27353
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126
1-800-800-5565
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)
 UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
 Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
 Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

ALASKA & HAWAII
 Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
 Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
 UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

CANADA & MEXICO
 Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
 Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

FOREIGN
 Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
 Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate


(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

CONTACT Staff

Mark Moore
John & Jean Ray
Princeton Winton

PLEASE NOTE:

CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are NOT the same! Checks sent for JOURNALS or book orders should NOT be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.



Editorial Policy

Opinions of *CONTACT* contributors (including ads) are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

CONTACT:

THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126
Phone: (800) 800-5565

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565.
 Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.
 Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Quantity	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CAN/MEX	FOREIGN	Quantity	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy
 Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders
 Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges

WORDS OF WISDOM FROM HATONN

CONTACT OR SPECTRUM?

I want to briefly respond to a most unusual question from a totally "out of the blue" person: "It appears *Spectrum* will fail, possibly close, so will you go back to writing for *CONTACT* or what?"

I have never stopped writing for *CONTACT*—but I have NOT written, nor have my compatriots written for *Spectrum*. Tails wag a lot of dogs, my friends. Therefore, "or what" has no meaning.

January 6, 2001