


CONTACT

THE PHOENIX PROJECT JOURNAL

GOD'S NEW MILLENNIUM

*KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION*



VOLUME 40, NUMBER 3

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

MAY 7, 2003

Miracles Can Be “Forbidden Fruit”

4/24/03—#1 (16-251)

RE: COLLOIDS FROM “A” TO ZINC; TALKING SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome). CONSIDERATIONS RE: LAND TITLES AND “RIGHTS”

[CONTACT: P.O. Box 27800, Las Vegas, NV 89126. Phone: (800) 800-5565.]

PERSONAL COMMENTS
AS TO OUR OWN PRIORITIES

GCH—I trust that all of you readers understand the limitations placed upon us as we go about daily “survival” and our need to attend the myriad acute attention-grabbers. Some of these items cannot be shared because the enemy is first to garner the information in the paper—and anything bearing our “byline” is worked all the way through INTERPOL and all the Defense, Treasury, Judicial, etc., departments in

the U.S. AND now, in the Philippines and other Southeast Asian countries. This includes China and Australia just as two other focus points.

Dharma sits in a peculiar position, please understand, in that she WILL NOT write “for” me unless I am at her ear prodding for her attention—there is no Princess Rani or multiple receivers for some “Hatonn” conjured to discredit this resource.

The “powers that be” KNOW exactly who I AM and that is sufficient for OUR needs. The rest of the prattle is of no consequence. I am one of those recognized as an adversary (although I am not sure just why that consideration holds forth) to the Elite and most especially the One World Order and International Banking Cartel (IBC). “They” made the mess in their own nests—not me.

When we write and attend our business, every item is studied in every direction as you have seen from V.K. Durham/Patriotlad, *et al.*—INCORRECTLY PERCEIVED AND REBUTTED WITH TOTAL LIES AND MYSTERIOUS CONFIGURATIONS.

INCLUDE everyone, specifically our readers who are friends and quarterbacks in this kick-game of “getcha” (below the belt and by breaking laws if at all possible by the opposing team).

Examples: We just received a petition for detailed information on “colloidal silver” and measurements of particulate and other inquiries regarding things long since deliberately removed from our focus and/or desire upon which to make comments. I will, however, get back in a few minutes to that topic.

The fact is that one of the easiest-to-hit viruses around is the “carona” series—even after mutation. Moreover, you can work your way in circles from there because a colloidal particle can permeate (integrate) within other cells where these little buggers run and hide.

Yesterday morning a phone call came to this place in Manila and it was a “Prosecutor” from the Criminal Division of the Mojave, California court. All he wanted, he said, was to see if Ekkers wished to pursue a house break-in by a known (and now located) thief who had cut a window out of the Adam Dr. dwelling, broke and

We make an effort to

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

IMF/World Bank: Nation Destroyers.....	page 5
CONTACT LOG HATS Here At Last.....	page 8
The News Desk, by John & Jean Ray.....	page 8
The Nightmare After, by Uri Avnery.....	page 14

entered, and robbed money, lap-top computer and several other items as well. He also left a big footprint on the counter top. He then went to Mojave and stole a mini-van from a dealership and then there was a hot pursuit of the Mickey Mouse type and barefooted (his shoe was left in the van) the “robber” fled and was not again apprehended—although by the end of the escapade the new Ford mini-van was nearly destroyed.

He made his way to Florida where it seems everyone except the “on-top-of-everything” police knew his exact location. Now some 8 years later they call Manila, Philippines to follow up on such a lost cause.

Perhaps there is hope after all, however, of some of the other problems being addressed—or were they simply checking up on the truth factor of Ekkers’ location? It is just fine, this checks out in every way and merits good points and not black marks. Do we think this is more of a “get Ekkers” game? No, we do NOT. It serves, better, our purpose than certainly any adversary’s game because we have “truth in reporting”. It is most likely that the young man was stopped for a traffic violation of some kind and computer records located his past indiscretions.

This indicates, for you who have inquiring minds, that when our people are ready to bring charges against those who offered false affidavits with cause of serious damages against us—IT WILL ALL BE RIGHT THERE IN THOSE NICE COMPUTERS AT THE FBI, FDA, AND YOU NAME IT—EVERYWHERE, IN FACT, THE LIES HAVE FLOWN FROM THE POISON FINGERS OF A V.K. DURHAM. Any affidavits from this source CAN BE QUALIFIED AND DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE FOR SUPPORT OF ALL STATEMENTS MADE. THIS IS THE REASON SO MANY OF THE FOOLISH LIES AND GAME-DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN OFFERED AS PUBLIC NOTICE RIGHT IN THIS PAPER.

Forgiving is interesting—forgetting is stupid.

Now I am going to be a bit unkind regarding “silver colloid” without even mentioning all the other colloids of priceless enhancement to a body. I asked, prior to running the major article on the topic of silver colloid within the past couple of years or so, that our people get a resource (OR FABRICATE EQUIPMENT FOR AVAILABILITY OF SALE) for availability for “do it yourself” electrical colloidalizers. Of course that doesn’t work for other than metallic colloids because of the need for electrical flow.

THAT is still an option or a resource for purchase, even for distribution only, could be found and made available. We find little to no interest in such a project. Perhaps you can keep up your contacts with supplement providers. So be it.

Mark, I ask that you pull those articles and rerun them ASAP. [MM: October 31, 2001 CONTACT (V34, No. 2), page 8. Please call 1-800-800-5565 to order back issue.] I further ask that you not run the “how to” portion, for it can be construed as providing some sort of treatment program or equipment.

Silver colloid is still available and acceptable as a “supplement” but must have labels which indicate same with ‘no proven value’ stamped somewhere on the container. It can still be sold “over the counter” at market with proper qualifying labeling. Other colloids can likewise be obtained but are too much of a “bother” with which to deal.

Zinc colloid, for example, is far more useful and effective for “bringing comfort” to a cold-bearer when sprayed in the mouth, throat and in the nasal passages, than those rather metallic tasting lozenges. But we dare NOT advocate such a thing.

You see, chelas, the facts are that viruses have “necks” which hold together the body of the virus (a crystalline form) to its “cap” (brains). Decapitate the organism and it simply is absorbed and disposed of properly by the body immune system. Most of the simple corona viruses, even the mutants, have zinc or lesser molecules as that “neck” and can be rendered useless by neutralizing that “neck” molecule. As the mutation takes place it is harder to knock out the zinc molecule with simple zinc but any higher-frequency molecule will do the trick.

Too simple? Well, truth is—it would run the pharmaceutical houses right out of business overnight, wouldn’t it. A non-treatable organism is the prize catch-of-the-day for drug producers.

How can you tell if it works or not? Oh, chelas, it is simple deduction, Dr. Watson!

If you have symptoms starting, or even well under way, and you try several doses (ounces) of the solution, you will find one of two things after approximately 6 to eight hours. You will either be holding without much increase in symptoms, fever will be decreasing or you will have no improvement at which time you know you need “something else”. This is still very EARLY in the game so other treatment can be sought.

Now for those nasty little possibilities of “hang it in your nose” invaders. Masks are such a bother UNLESS you, say, dip them in, example, silver solution or equivalent solution so that intake or output viruses and germs are rendered afflicted with drop-over.

My people keep spray containers (any nasal-spray container is grand) and especially prior to moving around in inhabited areas—spray it up your nose—thoroughly as well as spraying the mouth and throat. If you have open wounds (blisters or pimples) spray appropriately as well.

Another thing that is excellent, especially in public eating establishments, is to generously add silver colloid to your beverage of choice and sip it throughout your meal. If you add an “abundant” supply it will catch any germs traveling on your food, especially raw foods. If you are further uncomfortable regarding your cooked food, then a “dose” of at least a spoonful (teaspoon) is sufficient in the stomach to “neutralize” any of the usual “food poison” organisms—including, hold your breath, *clostridium botulinum* (botulism), as reported in some literature and case studies unavailable at our elbow here, nor are we in any “medical” discussion.

I would say, however, that it appears that if you should wish to save your own life, then you might well have to take some personal responsibility for same. I further suggest that where there is “risk” involved it is NOT with taking some harmless, in any quantity, solution of colloid particulate but rather READ THE SIDE EFFECTS, EVEN THOSE LISTED, ON THE USERS STATEMENT OF ANY DRUG OR MEDICINE OFFERED FOR YOUR “POSSIBLE” TREATMENT.

It is also found that used in conjunction with “drias” that you have a perfect “bath” “carrier” for the integration directly to needy cells. The drias will act as immune-system enhancers and merge with affected cells thus giving easy entrance or coalescence with cells and will then repair said damaged cells. What a lovely “marriage” of symbiotics.

VITAMINS & MINERALS IN COLLOID

I would like to take a moment here to remind Dharma of a thing or two.

One of the reasons our mineral colloid is/was so exceptional is that we were able to add “rare earth”

minerals to our solution, for we had a special place for obtaining the pure form of the “earth” itself. We also added a few other things, including yucca root (for its surfactant properties) and then we could add a full range of minerals as offered in any colloidal mineral solution while adding any other missing elements. We could do the same thing with vitamins and amino acids.

We then, after grinding together the elements, could colloidalize the solution via vortexing with magnetic processing.

That is what the big stainless “extractors” (lost at the farm and costing thousands of dollars each) were purchased to enhance capability. In fact, with loss of the farm storage areas went all the equipment from the Jojoba processing labs down the proverbial tubes. Damages are great indeed, my friends, and no, you are not to allow those damages to go unnoticed or noted.

One of the advantages, especially of mineral colloid is that the particulate stays in solution and does NOT deteriorate with age. Vitamins will also hold longer for use if the particulate has not “FALLEN OUT”, which means that the particulate simply falls out of solution.

With these vitamin-mineral solutions you can then shake the bottle vigorously and use the solution. With the metallic colloids I recommend another approach. DO NOT shake the solution, for you do not want to have intake in any measurable quantity of even such tiny particulate—so I suggest other uses for any solution in which particulate has come out of solution. Precipitated silver particulate in such tiny particles are WONDROUS in TOPICAL applications. Another way to insure security of intake of particulate is to simply filter through a double layer of coffee-filter material. Or, through NEW breathing masks (or, save the questionable solution for “mask” or bandage use), or simply to use as topical spray as for the nose, sinuses, etc. This all makes for very economical protection.

This is also where some of the more saturated solutions are utilized for the best advantage. You only need ONE ionized particle per molecule for effective solution. But, to achieve that is requiring very little particulate (parts per million) and often, and worthy of note, a good producer will offer abundantly “loaded” solution to accommodate any “frequency or particulate” change as happens normally very quickly after processing.

Over-particularization in a solution is fine in all circumstances if the particulate remains in solution. HOWEVER, IT IS TOTALLY A WASTE OF MATERIAL TO OVERLOAD THE MOLECULES OF BASE SOLUTION. THE BODY WILL ONLY UTILIZE WHAT IT NEEDS AND DISCARDS THE REST. THERE MUST, HOWEVER, BE SUFFICIENT IONIZED PARTICULATE TO MEET STANDARDS for qualification. The MINIMUM required reading for effective product is actually available in a rather TRANSPARENT solution which can be checked easily by use of GOOD distilled water solution and a simple particulate instrument. You do NOT need sophisticated spectrometers although “producers” would usually use these sophisticated apparatus for “regulations” reasons. Once established, simple particulate-measuring instruments are more than sufficient.

If you know what metal you are using and know the color produced in colloid, you can simply check with looking and by the time you “see color” you have ABUNDANCE. Gold, for instance, will produce from electrical colloidalization a lavender solution. Silver produces a “golden” color more like the tone of an egg yolk than of a lemon—just in rough ocular (eye)-checking.

Storage is best, possibly, in dark containers for these colloids—but totally unnecessary. The color will, however, fade in light and the frequency or particulate count may well decrease. Unless, however, the entire particulate [quantity] has demagnetized and “dropped out” you will still have useful solution.

I have again given you, perhaps, a bit of a chemistry lesson but I want you to realize that you risk your lives at the drug counter every opportunity you find to do something hazardous to your health. Even the FDA in all its objections to, say, silver (and they just dumped all colloids into the pot) was that the silver colloid MIGHT turn you a bluish tinge. Well, even THAT is incorrect. SILVER COLLOID WILL NOT PRODUCE ANY SUCH TINGE. The old-time blue coloring came from using products which are NOT colloidalized but rather just had silver particulate. Remember that gold, silver and even mercury solutions (not colloids) were treatments of choice for the incurable diseases of olden times when there was no electricity for electrically ionizing particulate producing COLLOID. The old “blue-bloods” came from a time when the Elite used silver tableware, cups, etc., as well as silver for preserving beverages—especially where possible, WINE.

You see, wine stored in SILVER containers is, after all, medicinal in quality for the acid in the fermentation pulls off silver particulate. Booze does not have that quality although a good fermenting beer served in silver might “fizz” off some particulate. My, don’t we just learn something new every day?

If you want to play games and check some things out for self, note what adding silver colloid to milk or cream will do. Over a long period of time the liquid might well even “clabber” but will still not be SOURED. Thus shelf life in your ‘frig is extended considerably and for the children becomes a better product because germs are rendered dead on arrival at little tummies. You will note, however, that “good” bacteria (that curdles the milk properly), if it is not already killed through processing, will continue to live untouched.

Do I qualify “silver colloid” as little less than a “miracle”. Indeed. Just remember that **“miracles” are often “forbidden fruit”** as well. Silver colloid is not forbidden when properly labeled as a “supplement with no known value” but rather, only if suggestions are made of therapeutic value of some notorious kind.

It can kill almost every germ known to science—but certainly you may not tell the big secret, don’t you see?

Has anyone noticed that even “Brita” had to remove, or not advertise, silver treatment in their filter systems? That perhaps has changed again but it is doubtful. It took out any residual parasites or escaped-germs from the treatment systems.

I now suggest you boil, even your drinking water, and then filter it if you want good tasting tap source water—and/or add proper amounts of hydrogen peroxide or silver colloid OR OXY SOL to “treat” your drinking water. This is just a little “aside”.

This all is being tossed about again because of this SARS nonsense which in turn is being used, especially in Asia, to gain control of wanted territories and destroy economies while takeovers elsewhere are under way.

WHERE ARE THESE TAKEOVERS OF STRATEGIC NATURE TO THE “COALITION”?

Obviously one of them was IRAQ. Then you are going to have to take out or absorb North Korea and certainly that can be handled through invasion via South Korea.

The other most notable takeover through military means—which does not mean major war but rather, destruction of enough “terrorists” for full military oppression) and IS NOW UNDER WAY—is right in the Philippines. The current administration is put in place for accomplishment of EXACTLY THAT PURPOSE. The “coalition”, meaning the U.S. of course, must have a base of operations in the area of Southeast Asia, especially for any confrontation with CHINA. IT IS SERIOUS TIME, READERS!

The oppression is already under way and the distractions regarding Iraq, in this area, are full-bore as to “humanitarian help” for Iraq while dickering for a claim to a tiny portion of the spoils of war. Ah BUT: The biggie is the taking and bringing military control over the area because of “terrorist” claims—while the U.S. is present. The troops landed two days ago at the same time as edicts were handed down from the President to the Muslim population “down South”. They are deep into meetings as we write.

No, we have NOTHING going with any named “group” but, when the brothers are under full attack, it is grounds for decision-making among the “whole” of the impacted parties.

Moreover, it is evident as to WHY the U.S. refused any participation in an International Criminal Court (ICC) and it is also obvious why neither has the Philippines “signed” such a treaty. It is in front of this congress TODAY as to why there has been no presidential signature to cover participation in such a court. Without that world treaty the U.S. can continue all aggression chosen without recourse.

This all doesn’t, however, make for comfortable observations from this keyboard as “original” source. I will NOT hang my team out to flop in the winds of blood-letting. That would be as foolish as dressing up as Saddam and parading down main-street Baghdad today.

Do you “keep up” readers notice that the outcast Muslims in Iraq are having a long-put-down ritual pilgrimage into Iraq these days? Are you impressed? Are you truly impressed with the freedom of blood-letting, rituals, sacrifices, etc., of the masses of millions of pilgrims? These masses now claim the U.S. is more restrictive than Saddam (TODAY’S PAPER—CHECK IT OUT).

Moreover, they demand food, water, shelter and you name it—just for having marched back into Iraq and come out of the woodwork. So be it. You have loosed the box of troubles.

If you think the oil will ever go back to the simple Iraqi “people”, you are in for some extremely large surprises. Moreover, again, the U.S. has no use for or need of attention to the United Nations OR A WORLD COURT. Wow, and you really thought there were remaining choices and participation decisions? Sleep on, my friends. But DO NOT expect me to toss my people to the wolves in order to provide food for the beast. And NO, we do NOT intend to supply you with gifties of whatever you think might be provided for either your convenience or needs. We give “information” and provide, sometimes, resource references—WE DO NOT DO IT “FOR” YOU.

Please note, also, that the Israelis are again working on the oil pipeline already under construction to open more conduits for taking the oil to market. Didn’t you know they would, children of the neighborhood?

If, as example, you simply wish to play around with big gains through devious games—go, without passing us, directly to such as V.K. Durham who claims a “Holding Trust” which houses the wealth beyond all on Earth. GO FOR IT. We don’t have such nonsense—although we DO HOLD FULL TITLE TO THE CLAIMED ASSET. By “order of agreement” we do NOT dance in the U.S. This, in itself, is UNCONSTITUTIONAL FOR THESE CITIZENS OF THE U.S. They will manage, however, to fulfill those “agreements” if at all possible.

WE HAVE DONE NOTHING TO IMPACT ANY VALID CLAIMS OF ANY SUCH AS V.K. DURHAM OR OTHERWISE. WE ONLY ATTEND THAT TO WHICH WE CAN PROVE VALID CLAIM AND THEN IT IS ONLY A MINUTE PORTION OF THE OVERALL POTENTIAL. PLEASE, CHECK IT OUT!

A lot of toxic toads are kissed and still present—BUT it is far more difficult to catch the frog-prince-checkers. It is even that through the most engaging liars and drama presenters come very substantial possibilities and personages. You don’t catch SARS or AIDS from these toads if they are only infected with Toxic Fabrication Syndrome (TFS). So, sorting is a painful learning process and also a never-ending process. And yes, you will be allowed to blunder in the learning procedure, for how do you otherwise gain experience which ensures LEARNING?

We have needed the elapsing of “time” to have everything necessary for our own “venture” to coalesce properly and in turn all that happens through this “time” lapse must take place to present the PERFECT opportunity with proper catalysts present within the MIX. WE HAVE NO INTENTION OF FOMENTING WARS OR EVEN SERIOUS OPPOSITION FACTORS. IT REQUIRES PATIENCE AND YES, ENDURANCE IN REALIZATION (NOT JUST WISHING) THAT WHAT WE HAVE IS RIGHT, RIGHTEOUS AND, INDEED, AVAILABLE AND WORKABLE AS NOW EFFECTIVELY OUTLINED AND SET TO PLAN. WE DON’T EVEN WISH TO HAVE DEBATE WITH SUCH AS THE IMF/WB/IBC OR ANY OF THEIR COHORTS.

We can’t, however, work very well WITH these parties because they built their houses on shifting sand and cannot back their claims with sound or solid assets. We must “joint venture” in alliance with those who can achieve the support and substantial foundation upon which to grow. It is quite simple in both concept and accomplishment as God’s gifts usually present.

Now we will look at SARS and the World Health Organization’s picking on such places as Toronto, Canada. Why? Again, simple deduction, Dr. Watson.

SARS is the NEW EXCUSE to hit the countries available for their lack of full support of the Iraqi massacres and yes, that certainly does indicate some painful hits to Canada. The proverbial “plague” could not be as timely nor as effective.

Again I remind you that all you have to do to better understand transmission of SARS (which is NOT THE disease in point but only “symptoms” as in “syndrome”) is check out some timely series on “deadly diseases” as presented recently (although all were reruns) on *Discovery Channel*.

There was a very similar “disease” in an ICU in a hospital where it was finally PROVEN that a surgeon, who simply checked on his patients long after he had had a “cold”, infected the entire area simply from his “breathing” presence in the room near the beds of the patients.

Does this lessen the importance of the potential for a deadly outbreak? No, it simply puts it into perspective.

We would also note that there is great importance, even if selectively focused, on our land-title public notice as published last week in [4/9/03] *CONTACT*.

Much note is taken as those papers are making the rounds and not the least is a notice of people who are constantly faced with Internet surfing that just TODAY there is published a WARNING “versus fake titles” as addressed in a recent seminar conducted in Manila, Philippines by the National Real Estate Association, Inc. REGARDING FAKE LAND TITLES which are in the majority of all land-titles in the Philippines.

We will offer a copy of that Internet topic here but in addition I ask that copies of our recent work and any additional awaiting publication be gotten ASAP to the Association named. This is simply more proof and warnings of the games played by criminals in the Philippines for several generations of knowing or unwitting participants.

[QUOTING Real Estate Group warns Versus Fake Titles, Manila, Philippines, Thursday, April 24, 2003 http://www.bworld.com.ph/current/CorporateWorld/_propstory4.htm:]

REAL ESTATE GROUP WARNS VERSUS FAKE TITLES

[Bienvenido G. de Castro, vice-president, E. Ganzon, Inc.]

In a seminar recently conducted by the National Real Estate Association, Inc., pitfalls in the discernment of real titles under the Torrens system followed in the country were discussed.

Payment of real estate tax alone does not constitute valid ownership of real estate property or an adverse claim to the Torrens Certificate of Title (TCT).

On the basis of photocopies of documents (even if certified true copies), many are duped into buying properties only to find out too late that they are actually holding only worthless pieces of paper.

An adverse claim is temporary and may be cancelled on court order. On the basis of an adverse claim, one cannot claim ownership of real property; in fact, actual possession of the property is a stronger basis of ownership.

Thus, it is so important for the public to know what they are buying and whether the proper and valid documentation has been in place prior to payment of the consideration of purchase.

A photocopy of an absolute deed of sale, for example, cannot convince the Register of Deeds to simply transfer a piece of property to anyone in possession of such a document.

Showing a document such as this with claims of ownership is subject to doubt and may even result in prosecution if challenged.

For anticipation of quick money, some buyers of properties gamble on the “purchase of rights” of dubious peddlers, hoping that nothing will go wrong until the irregularity of the transaction overwhelms them.

[H: On the same basis of possession and “original title” you will find an amazing claim to the Adam Drive property in Tehachapi, California. Its title was clearly in good standing without cloud until a holder of a portion of the property decided it would be good business to foreclose the property and sell it. So, the games began.

At the time, the church owner was in full possession as were actual persons within the church

in dwelling on the premises. The property was seized unlawfully with many clouds on its title still in presence. The property then was LOOTED and thefts from the property continued unabated. One of the looters is now living in the dwelling itself, UNLAWFULLY AND FULLY WITHOUT PERMISSION OF THE TITLED OWNER. No, Ms. Miller is NOT the owner of TITLE.

Every day that the condition remains as is, there is full right of judicial action against all of the parties involved which will then reopen the time limitations of the thefts of property. It is the LAW, good readers; so play your games as you will, but recourse is available to those damaged. Just keep your corporations in good standing—always. Frankly, it is quite difficult to “dump” or abandon a corporation unless proper procedures are followed ACCORDING TO THE LAW.

Not only was the owner (title-holder) not notified nor informed of the Adam Drive debacle but there was abandonment of any considerations of the corporation itself as by responsible parties at the time. Ownership is then reverting back to rightful responsible parties and thus it has again come full cycle for holding for a more convenient time to process legal procedures. Therefore any “squatters” on the property itself are subject to full recourse of the LAW.

This is exactly the same circumstance most land-holders (not owners) face in the Philippines whose laws are identical to those of the U.S. Therefore, know that no experience is wasted in value if you simply pay attention.]

Meanwhile, it was learned that local treasurers insist that transaction tax should be paid by developers on the transfer of the land to condominium corporations against the **Condominium Act (RA 4726)**.

Under the condominium form of ownership, the Condominium Certificates of Title covering units in a condominium building specifically carry the restriction that ownership of an individual unit includes undivided and common ownership with other unit owners of so-called common areas, such as land, corridors, roofs, exterior walls, and so forth.

The common areas are to be managed by the condominium association, which is composed of unit owners. The definition of a “condominium” includes the management of the land and all other areas in the premises defined as “common” for the benefit of the unit owners.

Land cannot be separated from the condominium units, without which improvements on it would not materialize in the first place.

Hence, being inseparable from the individual condominium units, it is logical to say that when ownership of the units is transferred, so is ownership of the common areas, including land on which the condominium structure inseparably stands, also transferred simultaneously to the association.

In effect, there ought to be no transaction tax levied on the conveyance of the land to the association since “management” of common areas cannot be the subject of any “transaction tax”. Taxation volumes cite repeated opinions on this favoring developers.

[END QUOTING]

In the example of a dwelling in which “squatters” are living, the procedure for recovery is to bring cause and have the parties pay full-value rental fees as well as damages which have accrued to the property in loss of landscaping, failure to make proper repairs and general

losses of depreciation on the property itself.

A holder of a clouded title but who seizes the property is subject to damages and has no right to “rent” said property—but is duly obligated to be responsible for taxes, upkeep and general security as well as insurance coverage for all possible contingencies of damages against that property. This can be as small as wear and tear on carpets, etc., just to name a few, along with wear on built-in appliances, water heaters, etc. This also covers interior and exterior painting, repairs, driveway upkeep, plant protection and care, etc. I would say that after five years the Adam Drive property will need quite a few attentions. So be it. Perhaps life might not seem so dismal as it could have this morning. The longer away, the greater the claims adding and multiplying. There will be, as example, need for a full, new irrigation system along with rodent eradication and retaining-wall repairs.

These damages can really add up when you realize that you can also claim losses of all furnishings, pets, use of property, etc., at the time of unlawful proceedings due to unlawfully-forced EVICTION. A can of worms so to speak? Yes, but aren’t we about tired of worms in our woodwork?

Again it will be found that good corporate documentation will be the foundation upon which TRUTH can be built and holdings RECOVERED.

As for losses from leased property, the same “idea” applies, for inability for removal of heavy property was NOT ALLOWED and recovery is STILL NOT ALLOWED. The holder then is responsible for damages and loss. The “farm” has more potential for recovery of damages than even the dwelling property. The whole of the leased property was done under fraudulent assumptions and misrepresentations by the landowner. The responsible lessees were NEVER legally or lawfully informed or served. Relevant? Yes because the landowner KNEW THE FACTS so there was no error in procedures of accidental nature.

Claims against such as V.K. Durham for damages are WITHOUT LIMITS. This is both for individual and corporate damages.


As far as these considerations go, as example of a personal nature to the Cross S Cattle Co., the damages have now mounted to incredible numbers, for that was a good corporation in the State of Utah without any question at all as to ownership. All the games have been legal-lawyer manipulations. There is, I repeat, NO QUESTION AS TO OWNERSHIP OR CORPORATE LEGAL MANAGEMENT.

There is no QUESTION as to the number of horses, as for instance, in that holding. Since horses require permits for range, there should be as many horses as are permits at the time of accounting. This is simple enough to understand. This is likewise true of vehicles and other necessary items for a WORKING ranch, inclusive of livestock other than horses.

These are little notations which can serve the many but we do not have time nor inclination to do separate writings on these bits of personal impacting circumstances.

It is much as with the “default” considerations. The LAW is the LAW. If a response is to be into the court by a given deadline—then anything later is IN DEFAULT. “You are either pregnant or not” as the only consideration is “how long” and not “how MUCH”.

So be it and may you keep your mind always receptive and flexible so as to be able to receive and process information and ideas.—GCH

dharmā 

IMF/World Bank: Nation-Destroyers

4/25/03—#1 (16-252)

RE: PART 3, *CONSPIRACIES & CONTROVERSIES*,
CHAPTER 3 "ASIA TO ASHES" by ERICK SAN JUAN

[CONTACT: P.O. Box 27800, Las Vegas, NV
89126. Phone: (800) 800-5565.]

HOLD ONTO YOUR SENSE OF HUMOR
OR YOU ARE LOST!

GCH—This is not a "joke heading" of some kind. If you don't size up the confrontations and the people who present such imbecilic projections regarding every topic known to man and angels, as to fact or fancy, you have real problems beyond the real ones you face.

I am going to repeat something which may save your sanity regarding SARS. THIS IS A ***SYNDROME***.

Does it matter what you call it? Not usually, but here, yes indeed. I will remind you of just HOW rumors are taken and turned into grist for the liar's game and how, when out of control, can immobilize the world and accomplish every dastardly trick the adversary has in his manifest (protocol).

The first announcements regarding this "SARS" stated that there was this elusive disease for which, apparently, there was no immediately effective treatment. It was claimed to have presented in a hospital in China. Ok, so far so good. The prattling heads on TV then described the "disease" or "illness" as starting with symptoms similar to FLU and then followed (if the patient failed to improve) "by a typical pneumonia...".

After that was repeated a few times, incorrectly translated, improperly reported and overall hype was added, you came up with a "SARS" virus along with "atypical" pneumonia. Therefore from fact to fantasy in one easy misinformation packet. The virus is "some sort" of carona virus, it appears, which is but a mutated cross of other microbes and/or viruses. It seems to mutate according to host—just like the HIV virus is noted for doing in that "AID" "S"yndrome. This is no big deal, friends, except political and "chemical/drug-house business basics". Moreover, it presents with TYPICAL "pneumonia" symptoms and nobody seems to even question as to how or when it becomes "atypical".

This is much more dramatic and effective while everyone runs around looking like bandits and/or in "designer" masks. How silly do YOU feel? Well, if you catch the bug, you will certainly wish you had paid better attention.

Would you like the up-to-the-minute (this morning's) treatment regimen? Ah, indeed, 500 mg Vitamin C, 4 times daily. This is being circulated as the "only" treatment. The airlines here, in fact, pass out face masks and Vitamin C to travelers. The more ridiculous demand, however, is that whole towns are isolated as in quarantined—with only one problem—nobody can afford to keep the quarantine and so they just go on to work. This especially includes the "tricycle" drivers whose livelihood is in passenger carriage. Even better, most of

the other citizens are house-help so that they mix liberally throughout the communities and have to huff-and-puff more dramatically and onto any nearby "victims".

This can be ultimately more devastating economically than anything George Soros thought up or did to blight Southeast Asia's economies in about 1997-98.

With that and considering the myriad other tasks at hand this morning I ask that we simply address the topic of Erick San Juan's book in Part 3 so that we don't get quite so far behind that everyone forgets from one presentation to the next. These things are extremely important as background to be integrated into the current concerns. Things are seriously BAD here in the Philippines and it really DOES reflect mightily, recognized or not, on you right there in downtown or uptown U.S.A. or South Africa.

* * *

[QUOTING PART 3, CHAPTER 3, *CONSPIRACIES & CONTROVERSIES*, "ASIA TO ASHES", by Erick San Juan, Manila, Philippines. Put to copy this 25-26th day of April, 2003. Copyright 2002. Pub.: Room 1402, 1st E-Bank Tower, Paseo de Roxas, Makati City, Philippines. ISBN 871-92049-1-5. Used with full permission from the author:]

ASIA TO ASHES

[CONTINUING DIRECT COPY WITHOUT SUMMARY OF PRIOR TWO PARTS. COMMENTS WILL BE IN BOLD PRINT WITHIN BRACKETS.]

THEY DID WHAT THEY'RE TOLD AND DIED!

The 1998 Asian currency crisis created by the globalist minion George Soros will go down in history as the countdown to the economic Armageddon that will engulf the whole world. "The truly sad part is that Thailand, and the rest of the Asian tigers, got into this mess by doing exactly what the IMF and the World Bank have been telling them to do," laments economist Gail Billington of the LaRouche Movement.

Everything started with a "floated" 1993 World Bank "East Asian Miracle" report, which sold the idea that even a heavily-populated country like Indonesia (200 million) could achieve the economic and financial growth rates of city-states like Singapore and Hong Kong.

In that report, however, the term "Asian tigers" was carelessly applied, including even the region's "blowfish". But there are three axiomatically distinct species of East Asian economies: (1) the post-axiomatically distinct species of East Asian economies of Japan, South Korea and Taiwan, which are considered as the real models of development, (2) Singapore and Hong Kong, the classic Venice-like vampires of the Orient, whose prosperity, in large degree, are by-products of the flow of opium from the Golden Triangle; and (3) the presently imperiled, superficial ebullience of Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia and the Philippines.

"The Philippines used to enjoy a significant machine-tool potential center upon the U.S. Naval Base at Subic Bay," says LaRouche economists, but "that potential began to be destroyed by the IMF during the Volcker years." As a consequence, "Much of the Philippines' economic potential was simply packed up by the U.S. government and shipped out, leaving only the emptied hulks of the looted buildings to haunt the victimized Filipino people," they added.

No less than nationalist economist Alejandro "Ding" Lichauro of the Center for Independent Research, highlighted the need for a solid industrial base. In his analysis of Philippine economics during the post-Marcos years, Lichauro reminded the country's economic managers of an important lesson from the past: "In spite of the relatively impressive growth performance of the economy under Marcos during the '70s... Marcos himself knew and admitted that it was inadequate... because the country has no industrial base to speak of, and therefore, the economic growth cannot be sustained, and that the country will be left behind by its neighbors in the race for survival and development."

Very few Filipinos know that Marcos' effort to implement a heavy industrial program "was opposed at every turn by his technocrats, by globalists within the ranks of the country's leading politico-religious center, and the Philippines most respected business organizations," all of whom echoed the position of the IMF-World Bank opposing the 11 major industrial projects.

The conspiracy to destroy the nation-states is out in the open for every Asian to see. Yet, they still insist in imagining the "good times" they once had. In a radio interview with *EIR Talks* (August 1998), Lyndon LaRouche pinpointed three important points in understanding the Thailand Debacle which triggered the Asian currency crisis: (1) "the Asian tigers are finished... they're only Cheshire cats, and the smile is fading"; (2) "the process by which the Thai financial system collapsed was very much helped by a bunch of hyenas... The chief hyena was named George Soros... a British operative, who runs around the world in billion-dollar denominations, looting countries"; (3) "governments wanted to pretend they had solved the problem, including the IMF... So, the IMF came up with a bail-out package allowing the hyena, as a cannibal, to eat a certain amount of the Thai people every week."

EFFECTS OF GLOBALIZATION IN ASIA

Globalization is now recognized for what it is: a recipe for recolonization of which the governments of Southeast Asia are currently paying the political and social penalties, while the London-centered financial elites use their loot to shore themselves up against the ultimate economic holocaust prior to the introduction of their one-world currency: the world banking system collapse.

Asians are confronted with collapsing export markets, declining productivity of the workforce, continuing underdeveloped physical and social infrastructures, rapidly growing foreign debts (particularly short-term debt), and the ballooning of financial and real estate bubbles.

The widening gap in income separating the lowest percentile of income earners from the top is proof of where the economic Achilles' heel in these countries is to be found. There is no "middle ground" in these Asian economies, no intermediary sector of medium-

sized entrepreneurs functioning as a transmission belt for the transformation of a largely agriculture-based population into an industrial workforce.

A brief sketch of the economic history of Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia and the Philippines from the late 1970s to the present shows a common pattern, beginning with serious initial attempts at national industrial development, to the IMF take-over and its local technocratic, monetarist assets in the mid-1980s, to the explosion of the “financial syphilis” in the 1990s. The globalists just do not want them to imitate the Hong Kong-Singapore model.

The Southeast Asian “tigers” were fed with the same bait as Mexico fell for earlier. Before December 1994, leading Western bankers and financial officials praised the “Mexican miracle” to high heavens as the model for developing-sector nations. Deregulation, privatization, financial “liberalization”, and cheap-labor, low-technology export industries were the secrets of Mexico’s “magic”. When the bubble exploded in December 1994, the same people howled for Washington to bail them out, to the tune of \$50 billion, while entire sectors of the Mexican economy lay mortally wounded, and the population suffered mass unemployment, food shortages, political destabilization and narco-terrorism.

“London is selling a killer tiger tonic to Southeast Asia,” warned Gail Billington, which is now afflicting the Philippines. Before our economists could think clearly, the IMF quickly moved in, dismantled the nationalists’ dirigible institutions, and implemented the “free trade” policies as conditions for badly-needed credit.

Since 1983, the IMF-World Bank has been making a terrible example of the Philippines as the “Third World’s model of a reinvigorated economy through IMF-WB prescriptions.” As Dr. Henry Kissinger triumphantly announced, the bankers have triggered “the worst economic depression in the country since world War II”.

A depression from which we may never be able to recover.

[H: Oh indeed, yes you can recover and ever so much more effectively.]

OF MOVERS AND SHAKERS

In one of his daily columns, veteran journalist Manong Max Soliven, eminent publisher of *The Philippine Star*, states: “It looks like there’s really a plot to humiliate Asia’s currencies: Who’s behind it?... Soros appears part of a broad coalition of speculators... For many years, we heard of a shadowy group of movers and shakers, called “The Illuminati” whose concerted moves could destroy governments and topple nations... Their hand was seen in the P2 plot which infiltrated every sector in Italy and almost became an invisible government before it was unmasked and neutralized.”

Italy, indeed, almost became P2 (Propaganda Due) territory. On September 15, 1996, Lorenzo Necci, the head honcho of Italy’s national railway company, was arrested by Italian authorities for corruption. He was the architect of a 36,000 billion lira scheme for high-speed railway construction. Necci is connected with two key figures: banker Francesco ‘Chichi’ Sacini Battaglia; and a U.S. citizen named Enzo de Chiara.

Battaglia was already identified in 1992 as the man who managed a system of bribes through his Swiss Bank, Karfinco. He “inherited” this system built by British agent Eugenio Cefis in 1963, after Enrico Mattei,

founder of Italy’s national oil company ENI, was assassinated. Cefis reversed Mattei’s policy of national independence and Third World development and started to build a network of Swiss subsidiaries of ENI, in partnership with the Union Bank of Switzerland (UBS), in order to operate out of the control of Italian authorities. Cefis left in 1975, but after his retirement, the front line was taken over by Floro Fiorini, who was ENI’s financial director until 1980, and later by Battaglia.

The system of political corruption was officially elaborated by P2 [Masonic] lodge head Licio Gelli, in his book *Plan for a Democratic Renewal* which was found in the possession of his daughter on July 14, 1981. In January of that year, probers had seized a partial list of 900 members of P2, which included all the heads of the Armed Forces, police and secret services, as well as top bankers, businessmen, and politicians from all the “anti-communist” parties. Gelli’s plan describes corruption as a way of steering political parties, trade unions, and the mass media, from the outside. Complementing the plan is a *Memorandum on the Italian Political Situation*, which bluntly states: “It is good to add, in conclusion, that if, to reach our aims, it were necessary to insert oneself... in the current DC membership system, to buy up the party, it would be necessary to do it without hesitating with cold Machiavellianism.”

The P2 was officially a “pro-American” organization, but in reality, it obeyed all the orders of the Grand Mother Lodge in London. Two parliamentary investigating bodies established a central role of the P2 organization in all major events of terrorism and destabilization in Italy’s recent history. For instance, Aldo Moro’s assassination was made possible because the P2 controlled all police and secret service structures, as well as the leadership of the terrorist Red brigades.

Members of the P2, like former Secret Service Deputy Chief Gianadelo Maletti, have been found perpetrating a cover-up of the 1980 terrorist bombing in the Bologna train station. Today, Maletti is reportedly residing in South Africa, dealing with weapons traffic.

Incidentally, South Africa is the base of “Operation Longreach” which was used to assassinate Swedish Prime Minister Olaf Palme in 1986. According to reports, one of the operation’s leaders, Craig Williamson, was an employee of Giovanni Mario Ricci, a businessman with P2 connections and a partner of Francesco Pazienza, another P2 member well-introduced into the Bush administration. The P2 involvement in the Palme assassination had emerged from a phone call between P2 boss Licio Gelli and Philip Guarino, a top member of the U.S. Republican Party. A few days before the murder, Guarino reportedly told Gelli: “The Swedish tree will be felled, tell our good friend Bush.” (Palme is referred to as ‘Palm tree’.)

Guarino, Gelli and Pazienza were all seen at the inaugural affair of the Reagan-Bush tandem in 1981. The P2 had played a major role in the election campaign, engineering the “Billygate” scandal against Jimmy Carter. Eventually, the P2 network was used as a channel for the arms delivery in Iran, after the Paris negotiations between U.S. National Security Adviser Robert McFarlane and the Ayatollahs.

The P2 was officially disbanded in 1981 but, according to investigators, it recycled itself through other lodges and has continued its operations until today. Reports say that the head of the new P2 (Raggruppamento) is Enzo de Chiara, a U.S. citizen and friend of top U.S. leaders such as former

President George Bush, Sen. Al D’Amato (Republican, New York), and Bob Dole. Many believe that some of the P2 leaders infiltrated the Opus Dei, a conservative Catholic fraternity.

[H: Now aren’t you glad you stayed with us a bit longer. I don’t think that V.K. even has an “idea” about these major players in anything, especially her infamous “Holding Trust” of remarkable nonsense.]

Along these lines of information it should be noted that the infamous NESARA was to have been announced and working on April 15th. And how is your day? Even from Australia we are told there were/are two NESARA programs. Well, V.K. announced another one and told us all about it in Internet gibberish called NESR(A). She has always had great difficulty in spelling anything. We will offer that another time because we want her to have credit where and when due.]

“MURDER” OF THE ASIAN ECONOMY

Today, our nation stands in great danger of being crushed by conspiracy forces of the world revolution. We are inundated with revolutionary political issues which are primarily designed to demolish once and for all our constitutional order.

Contrary to the U.S. State Department’s claim, democracy is not becoming stronger in this “technotronic” world. Most nations have been subject to a one-world dictatorship by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and other related institutions, as the international financial and monetary system has been eaten up since the end of the Bretton Woods system in 1971.

“Under the rule of these institutions, virtually all nations and their governments have been subjected to increasingly savage austerity measures,” says eminent economist Lyndon LaRouche. “By the standards of practice of the insurance actuary, during the past quarter-century, the IMF and associated institutions have caused far more deaths than the Adolf Hitler regime did,” he adds. “The pattern has been, increasingly, that governments which balk at imposing such murderous policies on their nation’s citizens, are overthrown by coups conducted on behalf of the IMF.”

No nation, therefore, whose government adopts IMF-World Bank ‘conditionalities’, can be described as ‘democratic’ in any meaningful sense of the term.

Nations have fallen under the IMF machete, from Indonesia to Russia, to most of Latin America. In the United States, Congress says the country can no longer afford infrastructure, education and quality health care. According to a public testimony of Dr. Emerita Gueson, a Fil-Am gynecologist in Philadelphia, and also a member of the medical staff of Holy Redeemer and Nazareth hospitals, “U.S. health maintenance organizations (HMOs) have killed more than the whole Vietnam War.” In Japan, the political elite is committing *seppuku*—the opposite of *hara kiri*—and savages one of the world’s most powerful industrial economies in order to prop up a moribund banking system.

The IMF’s “murderous regime” is ably carried out under the banner of “free trade”. LaRouche points out, however, that the concepts behind these IMF policies such as “freedom” and “democracy” first appeared in Athens, circa 400 B.C., when the Democrats of that day “democratically” murdered Socrates for the “crime” of

questioning the beliefs of the ruling oligarchy.

The same scenario seems to be repeating itself nowadays. In his July 24, 2000 State of the Nation Address (SONA) which was undoubtedly prepared by a globalist mole working inside his administration, former President Estrada correctly warned of another "Asian currency contagion", and likewise called for a "radical structuring of the economy to put the country largely squarely on the path of the information superhighway" and other free-market "reforms". Erap also made his very same statement when we were in Japan.

This ambiguous declaration elicited hostile reactions from the *Manila Times*. The *Times* reminded Erap that former President Diosdado Macapagal's "acquiescence to the IMF's structural program in 1962" started the problem. **[H: Don't miss this. This is the FATHER of the current President (through unconstitutional means), Gloria (GMA). Therefore, your questions regarding the state of current national affairs are right there before you as to IMF-WB-FED-U.S. lap-dog status.]** Since then, every President has significantly increased the country's foreign debt, which the *Times* describes as "the single most loathsome legacy from all past Presidents and continued by Estrada, on the Filipino people."

Manila Times insists, "No future national leader can escape this debilitating burden, unless one comes along ready to take it by the horns and wrestle it down with currency, capital and import controls." It also laments with the entire nation when it said: "We have yet to see any Filipino leader come up to the standard of Mahathir."

In his address to the delegates of the 27th Foreign Ministers' Meeting of the Organization of Islamic Conference (OIC) in Kuala Lumpur on June 27, 2000, Malaysian Prime Minister Dr. Mahathir bin Mohamad said:

"The financial system of the globalized world almost bankrupted us... almost placed us under the direction of foreign powers whose agenda is not the same as ours. Mergers and acquisitions, part of the current speculative boom, have made companies big, that sovereign states are comparable only to a department of these giant corporations... In the end, we will become like the banana republics where the managers of the plantations are more powerful than the Presidents of these countries."

Unlike many of our country's political leaders, Mahathir is not afraid to be "democratically murdered" like Socrates. He is very much aware of the globalist destabilization plot against him. On July 11, 2000, the Malaysian Prime Minister talked about the recent theft of 90-100 weapons from two Malaysian military facilities by the Al-Ma'unah cult, which reportedly tortured two Special Branch officers, one Christian and one Hindu.

Five days later, as Mahathir marked his 20th anniversary in office, predictable fulminations sparked from Western or "globalist" wire services: The *Far Eastern Economic Review* leaked a report in its July 13, 2000 issue that on June 22, 2000 the CIA convened a conference focused on "Prospects for a Post-Mahathir Malaysia", attended by representatives from the CIA, State and Defense departments, and former U.S. diplomats and media correspondents stationed at Kuala Lumpur.

The *Review* reported: "Malaysia could face considerable political uncertainty, including infighting in the ruling United Malays National Organization, once

Mahathir gives up the reins he has clasped tightly since 1981. The panelists predicted (take note) new economic turmoil in three to five years because of growing fiscal debt, brought on by the state's rescues of troubled companies and the minimal restructuring of the economy in the wake of the 1997 financial crisis."

According to a report in Malaysia's *New Straits Times* dated July 12, 2000, Dr. Mahathir responded to the CIA's criticism of his decision to "intervene into the financial crisis in 1997, rather than knuckle under to IMF reforms". In 2004, Mahathir plans to retire at the end of his term. As things stand, he may have to increase his vigilance to achieve this.

At a time when the global financial crisis is intensifying, and a general financial crash is becoming more imminent, the oligarchs of international finance have launched new terrorist offensives around the globe, in desperate hope of destroying potentials for regional economic and trade development. The main theaters for this strategy of tension and chaos are Southeast Asia, Central Asia, and Europe, especially France, Spain and Russia.

Here are the reasons why these areas have been marked for destabilization: Since the May 6 Chiang Mai meeting, terrorism hit Southeast Asian countries such as the Philippines, Indonesia and Malaysia. "Islamist" separatist movements attacked these countries in retaliation to the globalists' perception of a growing rebellion of Asian leaders who declared that "the days of the Washington Consensus are over". The term "Washington Consensus" referred originally to: globalization, privatization and market liberalization imposed by the IMF and U.S. Treasury on Latin America after its 1990 debt crisis. Later, the U.S. and IMF insisted that this strategy must also be applied to transition economies such as Russia and Eastern Europe, claiming that, with the fall of the Berlin Wall in 1989, such "free market fundamentalism" had been proven superior to all other economic theories. The IMF immediately attempted to impose this on Asia during 1997-1999.

Government officials and advisers in South Korea, Japan, China and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN Plus 3) were irate at the July 21, 2000 Okinawa Summit of the Group of Seven Statement on the World Economy, which says that "strengthening of the international financial architecture" simply means "strengthening the IMF: to make it play more and more of a 'central role' as world economic dictator. This is followed by Section 8 of the Communiqué calling for the "strengthening of IMF surveillance" and the like. As a consequence, Asian financial officials scrambled to broaden the Chiang Mai initiative through the creation of a new U.S. \$30-50 billion "Asian Arrangement to Borrow", set up the AM, and even create a new Asian currency unit of account to enable trade and production to continue.

On April 1, 2000 a meeting of 55 "eminent persons" from the ten-member nations of ASEAN met in Manila and released a blueprint for the political, economic and social integration of ASEAN to be presented to the legislatures of these respective states.

According to the April 1, 2000 issue of *The Nation*, a Thai daily, the proposal includes the following: A "United ASEAN" political center would be set up on the Indonesian island of Singtep: a central bank in Jakarta and a common currency would be created. Yangon, Myanmar would serve as the headquarters for

the Army; Hanoi, Vietnam, the Air Force; Manila, Philippines, the Navy; and Phnom Penh, Cambodia, the police. Malaysia would be the center for communications and information technologies; Bangkok, Thailand, the transportation hub; Jakarta, foreign affairs, which is partly due to its role in the Nonaligned Movement; and other similar divisions of labor.

English is to be the common language, while the three top candidates for "head of state" are expected to come from Thailand, Indonesia and the Philippines.

The dumping of the so-called "Washington Consensus" by Asians, which was also recommended by former World Bank chief economist Joseph Stiglitz, does not sit well with what Lyndon LaRouche describes as "the filthy financier-oligarchical five", because the drive for "globalization" is nothing but an intended revival of the pagan Roman Empire. This time, it is shown as a one-world dictatorship by a London-centered international financier oligarchy. The intended dictator of this new world government is a group of five English-speaking nations: United Kingdom, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. The fifth nation is led by U.S. components of the British-American Canadian (BAC) set.

[H: Is this possibly what V.K. was harping about as in "ASEAWN" (as somehow "Doris" is supposed to pronounce it?) No one can determine what, if anything, about which V.K. might be talking. However, no! This has NO THING to do with anything we advocate other than possibly some unification of the nations involved.]

What has come to be known as "new terrorism" emerged during the 1980s from more traditional forms of political conflict in the Middle East. Gradually, along with pro-Palestinian acts of political violence, new strands of strident Muslim terrorism began to appear that were unrelated either to the Palestinian or any other definite political cause.

In Egypt, President Anwar Sadat was assassinated by religious extremists, and Hezbollah suicide bombers in Lebanon "targeted symbols of American military power". By mid-1990s, religious-based terrorism exploded throughout the world, and ultimately, it sowed fears to the general population as well as to symbols of government power. Activists from virtually every religious tradition were involved: not only Islamic suicide bombers in the Middle East but also Christian militants in the United States, Jewish assassins in Israel, a terrorist Buddhist sect in Japan, and radical Sikhs and Hindus in India.

In 1998 U.S. Secretary of State Madeleine Albright announced a list of 30 of the world's most dangerous groups, and it includes the Abu Sayyaf. What she did not say, however, is that **all of these groups are either London-based or are known to be receiving funds from London sources.**

As we now see today, the Philippines, like most Hispanic countries, is "melting like ice cream in the Sun", and reeling from multi-headed globalist hydra sent by the "Money Power" to blow our nation-state to kingdom come. Worse, President GMA's cluster of advisers do not seem to be physically and mentally equipped to confront the deadly enemy.

The globalist destabilization process, also known as the "London-Wall Street" operation, hit two birds (the Philippines and Malaysia) with one stone when a group of tourists were abducted by elements of the Abu Sayyaf from a Malaysian beach resort and were later

brought to Sulu. To protect their boys from whatever military operation the Manila government may have in mind, the real handlers of the Abu Sayyaf commissioned a group of Pentecostals led by evangelist Wilde Almeda to act as a human shield against Army howitzers while negotiations for the release of hostages are held.

All pagan empires used state-regulated religious belief as the principal means to create governmental mind-control over their subject populations. Each of the fallen Mesopotamian empires practiced this. The Cult of Apollo, originally the Gaea-Python cult at Delphi, was the principal enemy of civilization inside ancient Greece. The Roman and Byzantine empires used state-regulated pantheons as their principle methods to control their subject people.

When it became a world empire, the British monarchy imitated with precision these practices of its Roman and Byzantine predecessors. Henry VIII's declaration of himself as the *Pontifex Maximus* (Supreme Pontiff) of England was used as an established precedent for the Church of England's adopted role of regulating the sundry varieties of religious beliefs among the British Empire's subjects. Also, they used religious channels to continue efforts in attempting to reconquer the United States from within.

As the old pagan religions did, the most successful forms of these attempted subversion are the charismatic cults. While the advantage of a purely arbitrary doctrine, by its nature, is that it can conceal its true purpose from the light of reason. Thus, London-Wall Street's control over key sections of our population is now the single greatest security threat to the continued existence of our republic.

The British Empire, through its World Council of Churches (WCC), invented Pentecostalism. According to Pentecostal lore, the movement began in 1901 when a woman spoke in tongue at the church of Charles Fox Parham in Topeka, Kansas. Reverend Parham spread the method until it blossomed and was revived in 1906 in the famous Azusa Street, Los Angeles. From there, disciples brought it to different parts of the world until it reached the Philippines.

Aside from Almeda's "prayer warriors", two other intelligence operatives were commissioned to use the Mindanao hostage crisis as a cover for their secret mission: to establish a site for the set-up of a sophisticated communications system, and later to be used by separatists in reporting country developments to their Anglo-Saxon masters.

Retired Gen. Manuel Pepino revealed this information via ABS-CBN television recently: "Yung pag-baliktad ng dalawang French journalists at 'yung magulo at iba-ibang testimonya ng mga taga-Jesus Miracle Crusade ay part and parcel of the script concocted by the hidden hands to destabilize not only the national economy but the body politic as well."

Over and above this "Punch and Judy Show", the separatists headed by the Moro Islamic Liberation Front want nothing short of independence. No less than Hashim Salamat confirmed this by calling the Muslims in Mindanao: "Like what was done in East Timor... (with) a real result... conducted by a third party. This early, we can predict that what happened to East Timor would happen to Mindanao if it becomes successful in separating from the Philippines. Its economy will be dollarized and oil exploration will be undertaken by Shell."

Meanwhile, the ongoing destruction of the AFP

by globalist termites, who are also advisers of President GMA, continues unabated. The armed forces of each nation has a historic, important and unique role to play: in foreign affairs, defense of the sovereignty, independence, and integrity of the national patrimony; and domestically, intervention as a last resort, when all other police capabilities of the state have failed to maintain peace and order.

Thus, the armed forces is the armed branch of the Fatherland, and its existence coincides with that of the Fatherland. Before independence is sought by a nation or if it decides to seek its own path, it forms national militias to fight for independence whenever there is an imposition of foreign will. This is the history of all the so-called "democratic" countries. What has happened in recent years is an attack on our national economy through processes such as privatization, and also, the evident take-over of international greed to control Mindanao's GOD: gas, gold, oil, deuterium and other mineral resources.

These neo-liberals have no scruples. They say and do exactly what they want whenever they want it. Because of this, we have to defend ourselves, and to defend ourselves we need a strong armed forces with deterrent capability. Any adversary, therefore, who seeks to impose its will upon our people should have to think twice before undertaking such an adventure, out of fear of the consequence. This is why the AFP has been made the main target for destruction, to make it known to everyone that whenever there is a strong and powerful armed forces, no one can impose its will which is damaging to our national interests.

We must strengthen the AFP, to guarantee our independence, sovereignty, and the integrity of our national patrimony which are now being threatened by separatist mercenaries, narco-terrorists and dissidents.

And look at what's happening today. The AFP is being undermined primarily through a shrinking budget in order to deter it from playing a major role in the national scene. Violence is being deliberately fomented in the countryside to destroy agriculture, another vital component of the national patrimony. Also, there is no security provided for the selling of agri-products. With agriculture destroyed, the enemy will be able to control, through hunger, a legion of miserable people, which will kneel and do anything for a plate of food. In the end, they will control everything: from genetics to chemical supplies, to seed production. So that those who continue to farm will always be on their knees, not praying to God, but to those with money.

All over the world, terrorism experts, public officials and even some members of the media—particularly television—are rewarding terrorist acts with disproportionate coverage and are playing right into terrorists' hands. Brigitte Nacos, associate professor of Political Science at Columbia University, hit the proverbial nail on the head when she surmised, "If terrorism is seen as a political theater performed for audiences... clearly the mass media plays a crucial role. Without massive news coverage the terrorist act would resemble the proverbial tree falling into the forest."

What, if I may ask, do you think is local media's role in Mindanao: accomplice or witness?

In the Indian-controlled part of Kashmir numerous bloody assaults were launched at a time when the Indian government was intensifying its "Eurasian cooperation" with Russia and China. In Moscow on August 8, 2000, exactly one year after the attacks in Dagestan had

re-ignited the Chechnya conflict, a bomb exploded in Tverskaya street subway station. Eight people died and 93 were wounded in this incident.

Central Asian countries such as Tajikistan, Kyrgyztan and Uzbekistan have come under pressure from self-styled "Islamist" guerillas which were linked to the Talibans of Afghanistan. An expert said, "We are seeing a counter-offensive of radical Islamic forces extending into the Indian subcontinent and Central Asia."

On July 17-19, 2000 Russian President Vladimir Putin visited China for a continuation of the "Shanghai Five Summit" held in Dushanbe, Tajikistan on July 4-5, 2000. This meeting of the heads of state of China, Kazakhstan, Russia, Tajikistan and Kyrgyztan has created the potential to become the first multi-lateral forum to promote Eurasia's broad security and economic interests.

The nations of Central Asia, as well as China, realized that they urgently need a stable situation if they are to have a chance to develop economically. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, London-Wall Street had rushed into the region, pushing into the oil sector and promoting the expansion of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) in vast areas from Azerbaijan to Kazakhstan, all the way to the western borders of China. However, the Anglo-Americans have not delivered, either economically or in promoting security. The expansion of the Shanghai Five is one of them, and this infuriated the globalists.

In Europe, France and Spain are under the guns of the globalists' terrorism offensive, and its declared aim is separatism: to destroy the integrity of the nation-state. From the standpoint of London-Wall Street, France represents the last bastion of the defense of the nation-state. Moreover, the French government signed a treaty of defense and cooperation with Germany, which the British consider as "act of war". Lately, the French government's investigation into the activities of Echelon, the Anglo-American satellite intelligence operation has infuriated London even more.

In Germany, a shrapnel bomb exploded in late July 2000 at a metro station in Dusseldorf, and the terrorists' excuse was that Germany is becoming a "Fourth Reich". The bombing, of course, is nothing compared to the crash of France's Concorde supersonic jet in Paris.

In Spain, ETA, the Basque separatist organization has already committed 18 terrorist acts after it officially announced in December 1999 that it was ending the cease-fire which had begun in September 1998.

The local activists have identified a symptom of the imminent crash of the global financial system because of this growing number of terrorist offensives. Anyone who considers all these events as a whole, will recognize their common denominator. Evidently, the financial oligarchy, which has long lost control over its own system, is bent on weakening the growing resistance of emerging regional blocs, such as the ASEAN Plus 3, against global speculation and the messianic arrogance of the international financial oligarchy. What is scary is France's assertion of a degree of independence from Anglo-American policy, and joint Franco-German efforts in the financial-economic sphere (including military domain). Speculations indicate that this could trigger World War III.

Should this nuclear holocaust occur, it is certain that Russia, China and the Central Asian republics, along with India, Iraq and Iran will definitely be against the Anglo-American bloc.

Eventually, President GMA will have to decide, once and for all, whether she should stick to the London-Wall Street policy of free trade and globalization, or join nations such as Malaysia and fight against the one-world dictatorship. She cannot remain a political fence-sitter for a long time. Even chameleons like Cuba's cigar-chomping dictator chose to abandon his Soviet sponsors when the Bolshevik empire was about to collapse. In the meantime, Filipinos will have to patiently bite the bullet—or the dust—while waiting for Malacañang to make its “liberty or death” decision.

[END OF QUOTING PART 3]


It might well be noted that interesting things have recently happened around the globe that came after this book was put to press. We try to keep you caught up just a bit with the flow of “Analysis” reports but it is surely not adequate for total “keep-up”.

I believe it does as well, however, point out our reason for simply putting to press what we can and doing “Journals” sharing information and updates along with our own program which can be integrated. This is our purpose: to make available good, substantial and ACCURATE information. Our program is based totally on such integrated purpose and stability. This is, however, why it requires so much “TIME” WHILE WE ARE ALL SO IMPATIENT. IT IS SIMPLY THE WAY IT IS; NO MORE, NO LESS.



The most responsive conscious reward we receive, however, is the large number of you who are, as we, humble and grateful just be allowed participation in this the grandest game of all historical revelations.

I would like to offer a respite, please. There is so much other to demand attention from ranches in Utah to spelt lost in eviction. We must make every effort possible to attend it all but perhaps you who are personally involved WITH US, please be patient with our lack of ability to get “right back” to you.

Please keep a supply of silver available, you friends. You are needed and loved, so let us not play games of such as Russian Roulette with any biologic-wiggler.

Thank you for sharing your time and interest.—GCH dharmma 

THEY'RE HERE AT LAST!

Help support *CONTACT* by buying these beautiful caps with the *CONTACT* logo  on the front. 6-panel, low-profile brushed cotton twill cap with matching-color padded sweatband; 4 rows of stitching; matching adjustable fabric strap closure; brass flip buckle and tuck-in grommet, hidden buckle rivet; pre-curved bill; sewn eyelets. Space black cap with *CONTACT* logo  beautifully embroidered in silver, green, blue and gold. Buy several: They make great gifts and for a limited time we'll even pay the shipping!—\$17.00

Call now 1-800-800-5565



The News Desk

By John & Jean Ray

IT'S NOT THE BERLIN WALL

By Gideon Levy, *Haaretz*, 04/13/03

There's no denying it: The United States has impressive military and technological capabilities. It defeated Iraq within a short time and at a low price. There have been relatively few Iraqi civilian casualties and the scale of destruction has not been massive.

From this point of view, the doomsayers were wrong. But does that mean those who opposed the war were mistaken? Does the rapid victory make the war more just? Will the Iraqis henceforth be more free than they were, and will the world be a better place?

On the weekend, the *London Sun* ran a drawing of a target with the faces of the “traitors” on it—Jacques Chirac, Gerhard Schroeder, Kofi Annan and the other war opponents. Members of the public were invited to fire their slings and arrows at them. But that's not what they deserve.

In the immediate aftermath of the war, a wave of euphoria is sweeping its fomenters and supporters. Commentators have rushed to acclaim George W. Bush as one of America's greatest presidents. But history is filled with examples of wars that ended with easy, quick victories that blinded the victors and left protracted disasters in their wake. After the 1967 Six-Day War, for example, a wave of victory celebrations swept Israel; but 36 years later, the blood of both the winners and the losers continues to be shed.

The United States went to war because of the danger Saddam Hussein's regime posed to the security of the world. So far, nothing to back up that claim has been found in the form of nonconventional weapons. They may yet be discovered and maybe the UN weapons inspectors would have found them without a war. But, in any event, it is impossible to ignore the fact that Iraq did not use such weapons in the war, not even when the leadership's back was against the wall, as many had predicted.

The second declared goal of the war, the “liberation of Iraq,” has also not yet been achieved. A despotic regime was toppled, but no one knows whether its successor will also be a tyranny, perhaps even a worse one. Saddam was not Hitler or even Stalin, contrary to the opinion of U.S. Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld. Saddam was a brutal dictator, though there are many like him elsewhere. Having been released from Saddam's oppression, Iraq is liable to find itself under a fundamentalist Shiite regime that is even darker and will constitute a greater threat to the world's peace. A country that was not a center of international terrorist activity is liable to become one.

From the point of view of the Iraqi people, too, the cries of joy are premature. It is easy to understand the celebrators, but a liberation that begins with looting and anarchy does not bode well.

Those who likened the smashing of the statues of Saddam to the toppling of the Berlin Wall should consider the differences between the two events. The East Germans knocked down the wall that imprisoned them with their own hands and then went westward to freedom. The statues of Saddam were destroyed by foreign tanks and the liberated people went to loot his palaces. The Iraqi people could soon find themselves caught up in a vicious civil war. The fact that both dramatic events, in Berlin and Baghdad, were broadcast live on television does not necessarily make them similar. In contrast to the toppling of the wall, if the smashing of the statues does not herald a deeper change, it will become no more than a footnote in the history books.

The promulgators of freedom the United States is so far bringing to Iraq—dubious figures such as Ahmed Chalabi, who was sentenced in absentia in Jordan to 22 years in prison for embezzlement, and his 60 mercenaries, are

no cause for joy as far as the Iraqis are concerned.

It is also wishful thinking to believe a new dawn is about to break in the Middle East. It is still not clear whether democracy will be established in Iraq and it is certainly impossible to predict at this time that democracies will be set up in other Arab countries. Moreover, with or without democratic Arab countries, the Israeli-Palestinian conflict remains one of the bloodiest and most prolonged conflicts in the world, and continues to pose a serious threat to global order because it is a breeding ground for international terrorism. That conflict will be resolved only if the Israeli occupation comes to an end.

If the American victory in Iraq generates a deep change in U.S. policy toward Israel and the administration dares to take the chance, for the first time in its history, of putting heavy and unrelenting pressure on Israel to make it leave the occupied territories, and if peace is achieved, it will then be possible to talk about a “new Middle East”.

From this point of view, as from every other, the victory celebrations are coming too soon. It's possible that something good will come of a bad situation and that an unjust war will develop into a truly positive change.

At the moment, though, those who are basking in the easy victory that produced the conquest of Iraq and the smashing of the statues are far from being able to promise that change.

[JR: This article in the Israeli press shows that there is quite a difference between peace-loving Jews and the war-mongering Zionists in the Pentagon that pushed for this war on Iraq. The facts are presented and not the spin and false stories the Bushites are putting out. It is encouraging to know there are still Jews that look at facts and not just believe the blatant lies coming out of Washington and Israel. Lets see how these revelers feel a year from now while Americans are still being terrorized and our soldiers killed by suicide bombers and snipers over our continued presence in Iraq. Their euphoria may be short lived.]

“BEFORE YOU CHEER”

By Firas Al-Atraqchi, *YellowTimes.org*, 04/14/03

North American media, specifically those represented by CNN, MSNBC, and FOX, are jubilant, nearly giddy in their reporting of this incredible U.S. victory over the evil dictatorship of Iraq. ...

U.S. media is touting this as the most effective military campaign in history, as the most humanitarian, with the least civilian deaths and damage to infrastructure ever planned and conducted.

U.S. General Thomas Franks is a hero, a genius in bringing victory at such a rapid pace.

Hold on. Before you cheer, consider the facts:

Since 1990, Iraq has been a state torn apart by the most severe and punitive economic sanctions ever slapped on a sovereign country. Absolutely nothing could enter or leave the country without approval of a U.S.-led committee overseeing the sanctions regime. In 1990, Iraq's air force, mechanized brigades, artillery pieces, mortars, heavy rifles and other military hardware were already in need of repair. Imagine the wear and tear after 12 years of sanctions that prevented Iraq's military from upgrading, updating or enhancing anything.

Remember the images of January 16, 1991—the way the night “sky over Baghdad lit up like a Christmas tree”? Compare that with March 19, 2003. There was clearly a significant drop in anti-aircraft fire in the night sky—there were barely a few traces of which even to make note. Iraq had no weaponry worth mentioning.

While the U.S. polished its military might in the past 12 years, Iraq saw its army drop in numbers from one million commissioned men to barely 300,000. While the U.S. increased its airpower to near perfection, Iraq's air force dropped from 770 warplanes to nearly 280, with only a handful still able to fly, let alone conduct combat missions. Spare parts for Iraq's ailing military were strictly forbidden under the new sanctions regime.

Development in Iraq virtually ground to a halt, locked in a 12-year time warp. The telecommunications sector crumbled; the Internet was only allowed into Iraq in late 2000, and that after heavy lobbying at the UN.

Disease and malnutrition swept the land as the World Health Organization released data showing the return of epidemics such as cholera, typhoid, and other deadly diseases. The UN said that more than 500,000 children died because of acute shortages in the health care system. Water mixed with sewage and, due to lack of spare parts to fix the crumbling water filtration plants (illegally bombed during the 1991 Gulf War), families were forced to drain potable water from suspicious sources.

The educational system was not spared decimation in the past 12 years. In 1995, the Iraqi government launched a massive worldwide appeal to Iraqis living abroad to ship medical books to Jordan and then into Iraq. Doctors in Iraq complained that they had not been able to attend conferences or medical institutions abroad; consequently, they could not bring themselves up to par with medical advancement elsewhere. ...

The Iraqi army, once the vanguard of the Middle East, had been reduced to a rabble force of hungry, desolate, and extremely poor fighters. The only force equipped, trained, paid and fed to sustain any kind of military conflict was the Republican Guard. However, their gear had all become antiquated by 1992.

Iraq was hardly the formidable foe the war hawks made it out to be. It was definitely not a victory worth mentioning. In fact, it was hardly a victory at all. And definitely not a war fought in only 21 days. Try 12 years. For 12 years, Operation Northern Watch and Southern Watch (U.S. and U.K. monitoring of the no-fly zones) saw Iraq's radar, air force, and anti-aircraft weapons pummeled systematically and routinely. A weak and defeated Iraq since 1991 was being made weaker by the day for 12 years.

Moreover, this quick victory will only strengthen the arguments posed by the anti-war camps: that Iraq was and is not a threat.

Now take China, for example. There's a fight for the history books!

[JR: The U.S. took 12 long years to weaken, soften and demoralize Iraq and its people, with our sanctions and our almost daily bombings of their military defense systems and sites. The Iraqis had no well-equipped army or an airforce to defend themselves against our attack helicopters and stealth bombers and missiles, or adequate artillery to resist our 30,000 air strikes and they evidently had no weapons of mass destruction to deter us. According to our warped Zionist standards the U.S. has succeeded brilliantly in bringing down a dying Iraq. Iraq is now under the subjugation and control of the U.S. and no doubt Israel as well. It will be very difficult for the U.S. to convince the Iraqi people that Operation Iraqi Freedom was not a war against them but against Saddam. All the Iraqi people have seen and experienced from us the horrors, the miseries and the grief that Gulf War I & II has brought them. This is a very cheap victory for the U.S. and a very hollow one as well.]

BAGHDAD WALTZ

PROVES RELIGIOUS FANATICS RIGHT BIN LADEN'S CASE FOR JIHAD BOLSTERED

By Thomas Walkom, *Toronto Star*, 04/10/03

Beware of perverse results. United States President George W. Bush said he was waging war on Iraq to protect America and the West from future terror attacks. The very success of Bush's war could produce the opposite.

The reasons have to do with motivation and tactics.

The invasion has not only angered Muslims around the world, it has shown the impossibility of defeating the U.S. through conventional military methods.

And in that sense it has bolstered the case of those, such as Osama bin Laden, who argue that the only effective way to stop the U.S. military machine is by bringing the battle home to North America in the form of bloody terrorist attacks.

"You defeat the security forces of Iraq and what do you prove?" asks Andre Gerolymatos, a Simon Fraser University terrorism expert. "You prove that the religious fanatics were right."

Bin Laden himself has made the same point. In a tape recording purportedly made by bin Laden, the terror chieftain warned that the U.S. will soon set its sights on other Islamic countries.

He called for suicide attacks as the best method for Muslims to defend their homelands against American aggression.

"The jihad is the only way," the tape says. "America is a cowardly country. If you start suicide attacks, you will see the fear of Americans all over the world."

In fact, bin Laden is probably gloating over what is happening in Iraq. The elimination of Saddam's regime represents a singular defeat for the fierce secular nationalism that Islamists despise and that has dominated so much of the Arab world since World War II.

Sometimes called Nasserism, after former Egyptian leader Gamal Abdel Nasser, it emphasized modernism, Arab chauvinism and the ruthless use of state power to achieve those ends.

Religion was tolerated, even officially supported. But in Egypt, Syria and Iraq, Islamic radicals were seen as ideological competitors and were jailed, killed, tortured and suppressed.

Even before this war, secularism was on the run in the Middle East. It has been overthrown in Iran, overshadowed by Islamic radicals in Palestine and put under attack in Egypt.

But Iraq represents its greatest defeat. As Gerolymatos puts it, the relative ease with which the U.S. and its allies are taking Iraq demonstrates the greatest weakness of the Arab secular state—its inability to inspire.

"It can't create the kind of loyalty you need for terrorism or guerrilla warfare," he says.

Islamic radicalism, however, can. Its appeal, says author and foreign policy expert Paul Berman, lies in the coherence of its ideas. "Some of these ideas may be pathological, which is an old story in modern politics," Berman wrote recently in the *New York Times*. "Yet even so, the ideas are powerful..."

As Marxism did for past generations, radical Islam provides both a critique of this alienation of the human being from himself and a solution.

The solution is Islam—submission of the individual to the laws of God that will allow the reintegration of the two sides of man. It appeals to the downtrodden and dispossessed and to those, often from the well-to-do middle classes, who feel spiritually bereft. ...

For the adherents of bin Ladenism, the defeat of Saddam merely confirms what their mentor has been saying: The old ways no longer work; America can never be held off by force of arms; it can be defeated only by breaking the will of its people—and that is best accomplished through terror.

For regimes hostile to Bush's new, muscular foreign policy, there is a similar lesson to be learned.

Saddam's mistake, they may well conclude, was that he failed to do what Bush accused him of: He did not have a nuclear bomb; he did not use chemical and biological weapons; he did not ally himself with the new bin Laden terrorists. These regimes, in countries such as Iran, Syria and North Korea, may not make the same errors.

[JR: Calling for unholy Jihads and staging bloody acts of terrorism will not win the hearts and minds of all the Arab/Muslim people. It will not change the programmed perceptions and attitudes that the Americans and Israelis have come to believe about the Middle East and its people.

The Arab leaders have far too long sustained a stoic and conciliatory attitude towards U.S. and Israeli intentions. Our strong military presence in the Middle East has succeeded in weakening Arab influences and strengthening ours. The U.S./Israeli plan is to continue to provoke the violence, to ratchet up the tensions, and to fuel the dissent. This old Hegelian principle of divide and conquer fits very well into this plan. The Middle East has certainly proven to be fertile ground for this concept. America's one-sided war against Iraq has got to be a wake-up call for the leaders in the Middle East. The Arab leaders must face this developing crisis and realistically address the issues and the concerns of their people and all the rival factions. The leadership in the Middle East has to acknowledge the problems that the U.S. and Israel present to them and their future and to create long-term permanent solutions. They need to call a summit meeting to build a strong and an effective coalition with one another. The U.S. and Israel are counting on the fact that they will not. May the present leaders of the Middle East be blessed with the Wisdom of the Ages that their ancestors and their culture brought to this world. There is still time!]

AMERICA TARGETED 14,000 SITES: SO WHERE ARE THE

"WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION"?

By Andrew Gumbel, *Independent*—UK, 04/13/03

They were the reason the United States and Britain were in such a hurry to go to war, the threat the rank-and-file troops feared most.

And yet, after three weeks of war, after the capture of Baghdad and the collapse of the Iraqi government, Saddam Hussein's weapons of mass destruction—those weapons that President Bush, on the eve of hostilities, said were a direct threat to the people of the United States—have still to be identified.

Many influential people—disarmament experts, present and former United Nations arms inspectors, our own Robin Cook—have begun to wonder aloud if the weapons exist at all.

The public surrender of a senior Iraqi scientist could yet backfire against the U.S. and Britain. Lieutenant-General Amer Hammoudi al-Saadi, who handed himself over to U.S. forces, continued to proclaim that Iraq no longer holds any chemical or biological weapons. He should know: the British-educated chemical expert headed the Iraqi delegation at weapons talks with the United Nations.

The few "discoveries" trumpeted in the media—the odd barrel here, a few dozen shells there—have not been on a scale that could reasonably justify the unprovoked military invasion of a sovereign country, and in most cases have been proven to be no more than rumour, or propaganda, or a mixture of the two.

... But if the *casus belli* pleaded by George Bush and Tony Blair turns out to be entirely hollow—and it should be stressed that we can't yet know that—what does it say about their motivations for going to war in the first place? How much deception was involved in talking up the Iraqi threat, and how much self-deception?

As Susan Wright, a disarmament expert at the University of Michigan, said last week: "This could be the first war in history that was justified largely by an illusion." Even *The Wall Street Journal*, one of the administration's biggest cheerleaders, has warned of the "widespread scepticism" the White House can expect if it does not make significant, and undisputed, discoveries of forbidden weapons.

Before the war, American intelligence officials said that they had a list of 14,000 sites where, they suspected, chemical or biological agents had been harboured, as well as the delivery systems to deploy them. A substantial number of those sites have been inspected by the invading troops. Evidence to date of a "grave and gathering" threat: precisely zero.

Much of what has been unearthed points to something we knew about all along like the weapons

programmes that Iraq ran before the 1991 Gulf War, before sanctions, before regular U.S. and British bombing raids in the no-fly zones and before the UN weapons inspection regime that ran from 1991 to 1998.

U.S. troops have discovered a few suspect barrels here, a sample bottle of nerve agent there, stacks of chemical suits and some drugs typically used to counteract the effects of a chemical attack, such as atropine and 2-pam chloride. **According to many military experts, these finds suggest the vestiges of a weapons programme that has been dismantled, not one that is up and running.** The U.S. government argues that the weapons have been deliberately dispersed and hidden—a claim that would have more merit if there were any evidence of where the materials might have gone.

In his State of the Union address in early February, President Bush was quite specific about the materials he believed Saddam was hiding: 25,000 litres of anthrax, 38,000 litres of botulinum toxin and 500 tons of sarin, mustard and nerve gas. **[JR: Is this because the U.S. retained the sales receipts from prior to Gulf War I?] These days, he does not mention weapons of mass destruction at all, focusing instead on the liberation of the Iraqi people—as if liberation, not disarmament, had been the project all along.**

The administration has shown its embarrassment in other ways. On day two of the war, Donald Rumsfeld, the Secretary of Defence, said finding and destroying weapons of mass destruction was the invading force's number two priority after toppling Saddam Hussein—itsself a reversal of the argument presented at the UN Security Council.

A week later, Victoria Clarke, the Pentagon spokeswoman, pushed the issue further down the list, behind capturing and evicting “terrorists sheltered in Iraq” and collecting intelligence on “terrorist networks”. Now we are told that hunting for weapons is something we can expect once the fighting is over, and that it might go on for months before yielding significant results. “It’s hard work,” a plaintive Ms. Clarke said last week.

Nonsense, say the disarmament experts. “It’s clear there wasn’t much,” said Professor Wright, “otherwise they would have run into something by now. After all, they’ve taken Baghdad.” Hans Blix, the chief UN weapons inspector who spent four months badgering the United States and Britain in vain for reliable intelligence information about the whereabouts of lethal weapons, now says he believes the war was planned on entirely different criteria, well before his inspection teams went back into Iraq in December.

“I think the Americans started the war thinking there were some [weapons]. I think they now believe less in that possibility,” he told the Spanish daily *El Pais*. “You ask yourself a lot of questions when you see the things they did to try to show that the Iraqis had nuclear weapons, like the fake contract with Niger.”

Anxious to find a “smoking gun”, a team of U.S. disarmament experts has been set up to question Iraqis involved in weapons programmes, while others comb sites and analyze samples in the field using mobile labs.

The move has alarmed the weapons inspectors at the UN, where Kofi Annan, the UN secretary general, pointedly said last week: “I think they are the ones with the mandate to disarm Iraq, and when the situation permits they should go back to resume their work.”

The U.S. team has attempted to lure some of the inspectors, who are recognised as the sole legitimate international authority on Iraq’s weapons programmes.

The latest theory being touted in Washington by the usual unnamed government sources is that the Iraqis have moved their weapons out of the country, very possibly into Syria. **This claim appears to have originated with Israeli intelligence—which has every motivation for stirring up trouble for its hostile Arab neighbours—and has been bolstered by reports of fighting between Iraqi Special Republican Guard units and U.S. special forces near the Syrian border.**

Disarmament experts do not give the claim much credence. After all, any suspicious convoy or mobile laboratory would almost certainly be spotted

by U.S. planes or spy satellites and bombed long before it reached Syria. ...

If the Bush administration should ever seek to turn its military wrath on Damascus, the weapons of mass destruction it is failing to find in Iraq might just provide the excuse once again.

[JR: Soon after Dubya Bush acquired the presidency and appointed his Zionist war-hawks to the Pentagon, they began with their propaganda, lies, repetitive trigger phrases and sound-bites touting Iraq’s “weapons of mass destruction (WMD)” and its “chemical and biological weapons”. Their campaign to target the Saddam regime was so vigorous the world was convinced that Saddam and his WMD was a threat to the world and both must be immediately removed from Iraq. The crisis was so urgent that they could not even wait for the UN Weapons Inspectors to complete their job. Although many of us were skeptical and could not accept the lies coming out of Washington we were powerless to slow down the Bushites’ drive towards an invasion of Iraq. Now it seems our skepticism was justified and the world is also asking: “WHERE ARE THE WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION?” They called this invasion a “war with Iraq” but I didn’t see Congress issue any “Declaration of War”—DID YOU? It has been implied that we who have questioned the honesty of these dire threats coming out of Washington are not “patriots”. Well I feel Americans would be unpatriotic if they did not question and expose misinformation and lies. Too many sheeple today just accept blindly any information put out by government and don’t bother to do their own research and seek out the truth. How could a government truly represent the people if it is never challenged when they openly lie to their constituents? When a government has to resort to lies to further its agenda, then it cares more for itself than it does for its people and that makes it time for a change.]

WAR, USUAL WARINESS DAMPEN HOLIDAY IN SMALL JEWISH COLONY

By Larry Kaplow, *Cox News Service*, 04/17/03

BAGHDAD—There is no Star of David on their synagogue. That was banned long ago.

The synagogue is behind a 12-foot wall and appears closed Wednesday, despite the start of the Passover feast holiday.

The building, hidden and sparse, is symbolic of the life of Baghdad’s few dozen Jews, the remnants of a Jewish community in Iraq that 60 years ago numbered more than 100,000 and was a thriving part of the country’s merchant class. ...

Like Jewish minorities in Afghanistan or Iran, they have learned to survive by cloaking their practices and carefully crafting their public posture.

They say deposed President Saddam Hussein, whom they have mentioned in their prayers for years, treated them well. But they survive on a meager stipend from the synagogue and might have left Iraq long ago had they been able. ...

The Jews came to Iraq when King Nebuchadnezzar sacked Jerusalem 2,500 years ago and forced them into captivity in Mesopotamia. They went on to build a thriving and prosperous community and largely melded into Arabic culture and identity.

But Baghdad’s Jews were targeted by mob violence in 1941 in a wave of Nazism and Arab nationalism that killed 179 of them. Much of their property was destroyed or confiscated. Still, through the 1940s they were among the leaders of commerce in the capital.

In 1948, Iraq’s rulers took revenge for the founding of the state of Israel with a wave of official persecution of the country’s Jews.

A 1952 law allowed them to leave with their assets if they renounced their citizenship, prompting a huge migration to Israel and other parts of the world. About 130,000 Iraqi Jews were flown to Israel and by the end of that year,

only about 6,000 Jews remained in Iraq. ...

The Saleh sisters stayed, they said, because they did not have close family ties outside Iraq or enough money to make the trip. They have worked on and off but live now on the \$20 a month they each get from the synagogue. The amount is more than the average government worker earns in Iraq.

Despite their circumstances, they are not gloomy. ...

They learned Judaism as children in the synagogue. Kalida Saleh recites the first few words of one of the most common Jewish prayers but says she doesn’t know any more. They say they used to observe the Jewish Sabbath more closely than they do now and emphasize how much they are like other Iraqis.

“We have lived with the Muslims and we are used to behaving like them,” Kalida Saleh said.

They say they have never faced anti-Jewish sentiments from other Iraqis.

“Saddam Hussein never talked badly about the Jews,” Kalida Saleh said. “He was protecting us.”

Members of Iraq’s small Christian minority also have said that Hussein’s regime was effective in making sure they were not harassed by mobs or subject to mistreatment.

[JR: If Saddam’s regime was so cruel and so merciless, how is it that these few Jews and Christians were able to survive all those years in Iraq? The zealots of the Christian Right in America need to see the evil in themselves and in the Zionists they support, and not just in the Arab people. Their religion thrives on the prophecies of gloom, doom, hell and damnation as being the fate of others. It would do well for them to remember the song they use to sing in Bible school called... This little light of mine, I’m going to let it shine. That light is being hidden from them; by the false preachers they so willingly follow.]

THE GENERALS’ REVOLT

By Michael T. Klare, *The Nation*, 04/02/03

At no point in modern American history has the civilian leadership of the nation’s military establishment come under as much criticism from serving military officers as is the case now regarding the war in Iraq. The now famous comment by Lieut. Gen. William Wallace that “the enemy we’re fighting is different from the one we’d war-gamed against”—implying heavier-than-expected resistance—is but the tip of the iceberg of widespread discontent among senior officers over the design and implementation of the Administration’s invasion plan.

The generals’ deep disquiet over the Administration’s war plans originally surfaced early last summer, when it first became known to senior military personnel that the President had made the decision to invade Iraq with or without international support, and that the Administration’s favored war plan emphasized unconventional tactics—heavy reliance on precision-guided missile strikes, mass “uprisings” of antigovernment Iraqi forces engineered by U.S. Green Berets, and a lightning assault by modest-sized U.S. ground forces in the south and north—rather than conventional, “decisive force” tactics of the sort employed by the United States during the 1991 Gulf War. A radical plan of this sort was viable, the proponents of war argued, because Saddam Hussein enjoyed negligible support at home and because the Iraqi army would surrender en masse at the first sign of American combat troops.

Upon learning of these decisions, senior commanders raised two sorts of objections. Some generals argued that a war against Iraq was unnecessary because the existing strategy of “containment”, comprising the no-fly zones over northern and southern Iraq plus the naval blockade in the Persian Gulf, had effectively eliminated Saddam Hussein as a significant threat to U.S. security. Others accepted the need to attack Iraq but objected to the overly risky nature of the Administration’s attack plan, claiming that Iraqi defenses were likely to prove more robust than was suggested by the advocates of war.

Needless to say, active-duty officers did not express

their doubts in public for fear of being branded as disloyal by Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, a hawkish zealot with little tolerance of dissent. But many retired officers, including the senior leaders of Operation Desert Storm, were less intimidated. "It's not going to be an easy battle," Gen. Norman Schwarzkopf observed in August. "I think we will prevail, but I think it would be much more effective if we didn't have to do it alone."

Any hope of dissuading the President from abandoning containment in favor of an invasion war was quickly seen as futile, when it became apparent that the White House was under the thrall of a militant prowar cabal led by Rumsfeld, Vice President Dick Cheney, Deputy Defense Secretary Paul Wolfowitz and Richard Perle of the Defense Policy Board—none of whom, it might be noted, had ever served on active combat duty. But senior commanders did voice opposition to the Administration's unconventional war plan. Of particular concern to these officers was the fact that the original plan called for an American invasion force of approximately 75,000-100,000 ground troops—about one-fifth the size of the force arrayed against Saddam Hussein in 1991. This number was considered dangerously inadequate by officers who had studied the Iraqi military and concluded that the Hussein regime was capable of mounting a stiff defense of Baghdad.

Although unsuccessful in their efforts to persuade Bush to call off the war, critics of the Administration plan did manage to convince the President to bolster the planned invasion force by an additional 100,000 or so ground troops—less than the amount sought by top commanders, but enough to delay the planned onset of war by several months. The revised plan, dubbed "Gulf War Lite" by some, was approved by the White House in early September 2002, shortly before Bush went to the United Nations to call for fresh inspections of Iraq. (The decision to add more troops to the invasion force pushed the theoretical start date for the war from December 2002 to March 2003, thus allowing Bush the luxury of appearing to favor UN action while knowing that U.S. forces would not be in a position to attack for another six months.)

The subsequent failure by the Administration to obtain approval from Turkey for the use of that country as a staging area for an assault from the north was seen by some U.S. officers as a further threat to the success of the chosen war plan—but these concerns, too, were dismissed by Secretary Rumsfeld and his associates. The Pentagon simply went ahead with the compromise plan adopted in September, minus the northern front.

Thus, when the attack commenced on March 19, senior commanders had already expressed many doubts about the Administration plan and had repeatedly been forced to swallow their concerns. It is hardly surprising, then, that fresh criticism of the plan surfaced as soon as serious problems arose on the battlefield. From the perspective of senior combat officers, these problems are not simply minor glitches in an otherwise adequate plan, but rather symptoms of a deeply flawed blueprint.

As loyal military professionals, the dissident generals will not express their deep concerns in public and will only speak openly of their unease with certain technical aspects of the plan. But it is possible to read between the lines to see the profound disquiet that animates these objections. To say that the enemy in Iraq is not the one "we'd war-gamed against" is to say that the war games themselves were not an accurate representation of the situation in Iraq, and that the games' designers—the senior leaders at the Pentagon—were blinded by their prowar zealotry from appreciating the vigor of Iraqi defenses. Put another way, this means that the civilian leadership was prepared to risk the lives of American fighting men and women in pursuit of an extremist ideology. By questioning the logic of the Administration plan, therefore, these officers are fulfilling their highest responsibility to the troops under their command and so deserve the support of the American people; the architects of that plan, however, deserve nothing but revulsion.

[JR: The Generals are the most experienced in the tactics of warfare and yet these appointed Pentagon hawks are

willing to risk additional American lives for them to be able to claim their plan won the war using only a fraction of what was used in the first Gulf War. This means that the limited military personnel on the ground in this Iraq invasion are expected to carry three or more times the responsibility and the risk than their predecessors in Gulf War I, which were never involved in urban-warfare. There is no doubt the U.S. will win, but will the lives lost be worth it? What is their acceptable level of loss in American lives? What is the acceptable ratio of loss of the lives of coalition forces over Iraqi civilian lives? Of course no one is counting the thousands of Iraqi enemy killed, as that is expected in war, even though this war was considered by most of the world as unnecessary. This administration will take the glory of defeating this "Axis of Evil", but the unnecessary and excessive casualties will be blamed on Saddam and the Iraqi people because THEY didn't overthrow their suppressive government. What does that say for the American people who are also coming under a suppressive government?]

SYRIA WARNED—
PERLE SEES MORE 'PREEMPTION' IN FUTURE

By Barry James, *International Herald Tribune*, 04/12/03

PARIS—Richard Perle, one of the chief U.S. ideologists behind the war to oust Saddam Hussein, warned Friday that the United States would be compelled to act if it discovered that Iraqi weapons of mass destruction have been concealed in Syria.

Perle said that if the Bush administration were to learn that Syria had taken possession of such Iraqi weapons, "I'm quite sure that we would have to respond to that."

"It would be an act of such foolishness on Syria's part," he continued, "that it would raise the question of whether Syria could be reasoned with. But I suppose our first approach would be to demand that the Syrians terminate that threat by turning over anything they have come to possess, and failing that I don't think anyone would rule out the use of any of our full range of capabilities."

In an interview with editors of the *International Herald Tribune*, Perle said that the threat posed by terrorists he described as "feverishly" looking for weapons to kill as many Americans as possible obliged the United States to follow a strategy of preemptive war in its own defense.

Asked if this meant it would go after other countries after Iraq, he replied: "If next means who will next experience the 3d Army Division or the 82d Airborne, that's the wrong question. If the question is who poses a threat that the United States deal with, then that list is well known. It's Iran. It's North Korea. It's Syria. It's Libya, and I could go on."

Perle, a Pentagon adviser as a member of the Defense Policy Board, said the point about Afghanistan and now Iraq was that the United States had been put in a position of having to use force to deal with a threat that could not be managed in any other way.

The message to other countries on the list is "give us another way to manage the threat," he said, adding, "Obviously, our strong preference is always going to be to manage threats by peaceful means, and every one of the countries on the 'who's next?' list is in a position to end the threat by peaceful means."

"So the message to Syria, to Iran, to North Korea, to Libya should be clear. If we have no alternative, we are prepared to do what is necessary to defend Americans and others. But that doesn't mean that we are readying the troops for a next military engagement. We are not."

The former official in Republican administrations said the United States also has "a serious problem" with Saudi Arabia, where he said both private individuals and the government had poured money into extremist organizations.

"This poses such an obvious threat to the United States that it is intolerable that they continue to do this," he warned.

He said he had no doubt that Iraq possessed weapons of mass destruction. ...

Asked if the United States was doomed to follow a policy of preemption alone, Perle replied that it is necessary to restructure the United Nations to take account of security threats that arise within borders rather than are directed across borders.

"There is no doubt that if some of the organizations that are determined to destroy this country could lay their hands on a nuclear weapon they would detonate it, and they would detonate in the most densely populated cities in this country, with a view to killing as many Americans as possible," he said. Yet there was nothing in the UN charter authorizing collective preemption to avoid such threats.

"I think the charter could say that the terrorist threat is a threat to all mankind," Perle said. ...

Perle said he had no doubt the world is safer than it was a month ago. "The idea that liberating Iraq would spawn terrorists all over the Muslim world I think will be proven to be wrong, and it will be proven to be wrong by the Iraqis themselves. We are about to learn what life has been like under Saddam Hussein. Even in the tough world we are living in, people are going to be shocked about the depravity and sadism of the Saddam regime."

Perle said there were good reasons to support the Middle East peace process, but not in a way that suggests the United States has caused damage by the war in Iraq. ... **[JR: Oh I'm sure there will be many stories coming out about the atrocities of the Saddam regime and most will probably be fabricated like the whopper in the first Iraq war where Iraqi soldiers supposedly threw babies out of their incubators in Kuwait. The "alliance" will need the propaganda mills churning out these stories to validate its justification for the invasion. Perle is so focused on the U.S. restructuring of the Middle East—as he and Israel envision—he is willing to sacrifice many more Americans to fulfill that Zionist dream. Every country under suspicion by the U.S. of having WMD or shielding terrorists must either submit to unrestricted searches and seizure by agents of the U.S. or they can expect to be subject to "our full range of capabilities" as Iraq was. The WORLD ALLOWED THE INVASION OF IRAQ TO HAPPEN and Perle's arrogance shows he is confident the world will not dare defy the determination or the power of the U.S. The world has been put on notice that the U.S. will act unilaterally to fulfill its perceived goals. The threat is there and fear now rules. You either comply or be destroyed. It's the old game of coercion used by bullies and extortionists. The only equalizing power may be a collective of nations.]**

"PHASE TWO OF OPERATION
RESHAPE THE MIDDLE EAST
NOW UNDERWAY"

By Ash Pulcifer, *YellowTimes.org*, 04/16/03

Phase two of the Bush administration's long publicized plan of reshaping the Middle East is now underway. After removing Saddam Hussein from power and gaining a major foothold in the center of the Middle East, the administration has now redirected its verbal threats to Syria.

Syria has long been a thorn in the side of the major U.S. ally in the region: Israel. Moreover, Damascus also annoyed Washington in its outspoken refusal to support a U.S. invasion in Iraq.

Now, in a matter of weeks, the accusations against Syria by the hawkish members of the Bush administration have reached recent historical highs. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld has warned that Syria has been taking "hostile acts" against Washington, alluding to the claim that recently Syria allegedly supplied night vision goggles to Iraq. Furthermore, Rumsfeld stated, "Syria's been on the terrorist list for years."

Rumsfeld's even more hawkish deputy, Paul Wolfowitz, told Congress that if the Syrians continue to behave "badly", the United States will "need to think about what our policy is with respect to a country that harbors terrorists or harbors war criminals, or was in recent times shipping things to Iraq."

Some members of Congress are already falling in line, with Representative Eliot Engel of New York saying, "Now that Saddam Hussein's regime is defeated, it is time for America to get serious about Syria."...

But the motives for an invasion of Syria are not as clear, which is why it is unlikely that the Bush administration will try to take military action against Damascus. They, themselves, have admitted that they would use political and economic pressure before a military attack. It is generally known that the Syrian government is not a threat to outside states other than Israel due to Jerusalem's occupation of the Syrian Golan Heights. Because of this, Syria has said to be supporting the organization Hezbollah (and other radical Palestinian groups), which is classified as a terrorist organization by Washington.

If the Syrian government were to be removed, or made quiescent due to the threat of a hostile United States in the region, it would certainly serve Israel's interest. Indeed, Aluf Benn, of Israel's *Ha'aretz* newspaper, said on April 14 that "Israel will suggest that the United States also take care of Iran and Syria because of their support for terror and pursuit of weapons of mass destruction. Israel will point out the support of Syria and Iran for Hezbollah, which the U.S. considers an important target in the war against international terrorism."

Israel would also love to restart an important oil pipeline that used to transfer oil from the northern Iraqi city of Mosul down to Israel's northern port of Haifa. This would greatly reduce Israel's cost of importing oil, as currently the Israelis import their oil needs from Russia. This pipeline went offline in 1948 with the creation of the Israeli state. Israel would need the consent of Iraq (which is now possible due to the removal of Saddam Hussein's regime) and Syria, since the pipeline will run through it into Israel. Without Syria, Israel would have to redirect the pipeline through Jordan, which is more costly but is currently being discussed due to the lack of friendship with Israel's northern neighbor.

In addition to Israel's interest, the Bush administration would enjoy having a new pro-U.S. government in Syria, and, due to their quick military success in Iraq, they may think such an idea is a tangible possibility. This is why in recent days we have seen the administration testing the waters, so to say, to monitor the global and national political opinion towards a harsher U.S. foreign policy in regards to Syria and other states Washington considers undesirable.

Therefore, watch and see what phase three will bring. Whether the Bush administration takes economic or military measures against Syria depends on whether the hard-line elements of the administration, mainly situated in the Pentagon, win over the more diplomatic members of the State Department. With the Pentagon able to point to their successful unilateralist venture in Iraq, phase three just may go into effect.

[JR: Are they kidding?! There are no diplomatic members in the State Department. The Zionist warlords in the Pentagon certainly are testing the waters for a proposed invasion of Syria at the behest of Israel. The propaganda against Syria was already in the works even before the U.S. entered Baghdad. They will keep up their anti-Syria rhetoric until their polls show that enough American sheeple have bought their lies. It doesn't really matter that Russia, France, the EU, the UN and many others have warned the U.S. over their Syria threat. The U.S. has shown the world that they will continue to unilaterally go it alone no matter what others may say. The U.S. feels that other nations may publicly challenge their actions but will never go beyond the verbal barrage as they did with Iraq. None want to actually test the resolve of the U.S. so they will go through the motion of objections and warning, which mean absolutely nothing. The U.S. will continue to redefine the Middle East until it fits the vision of Israel and then possibly expand their nation-building into other regions. TALK ABOUT TERRORISM... THE WORLD IS NOW LIVING IN FEAR... WONDERING WHO WILL BE NEXT!]

LACK OF TRUST IN BUSINESS LINGERS INVESTORS LEERY, FINANCE EXPERTS SAY

By Stevenson Swanson, *Tribune*, 04/16/03

NEW YORK—Despite tougher accountability standards enacted in the wake of a wave of corporate scandals, American business has yet to regain the public's trust, a conference of financial and government officials concluded Tuesday.

Recent opinion polls indicate that chief executive officers at large corporations rank near the bottom in trustworthiness, beating out only car dealers, economist Gail Fosler told about 160 business leaders at the Plaza Hotel. And only 3 percent of Americans polled found financial statements and auditing reports credible.

"The damage that's been done can't be overstated," said New York state Comptroller Alan Hevesi. "Many thousands of people lost their life savings. They don't trust yet."

Since the downturn in the stock market began three years ago, disclosures of widespread accounting abuses and corporate avarice have led to the implosion of such high-flying companies as Enron and WorldCom. And last year, Chicago-based accounting giant Arthur Andersen effectively went out of business after the company was indicted on charges of obstructing the federal government's investigation of Enron, an Andersen client.

Among the speakers at the daylong conference was former President Bill Clinton, who said that while he was in office he believed that regulations on corporate conduct were weak. ...

After Clinton left office, revelations of corporate abuses led to reforms. Last year, Congress passed a law requiring CEOs to certify the truthfulness of their companies' financial statements, and the New York Stock Exchange enacted tougher financial reporting requirements for the roughly 2,700 corporations listed on the Big Board.

But public trust won't be restored until people believe that corporate executives face the same consequences as other lawbreakers, said stock exchange president Richard Grasso.

"If you rob a bank in this country, you go to jail," Grasso said. "If you rob shareholders, the same should happen."

Panelists at the conference, organized by the New York-based business research group The Conference Board, disagreed on whether more regulatory reforms, such as requiring companies to change auditors periodically, are necessary.

New York Atty. Gen. Eliot Spitzer pointed to executive compensation as an unresolved problem. In 1980, the average CEO earned 42 times the salary of the average American worker. By 2001, the average chief executive's salary was 411 times that of the average worker. ...

Participants also disagreed on the impact of recent reforms. Delaware Atty. Gen. M. Jane Brady said the greater emphasis on holding a company's board of directors accountable for the company's business practices is making it difficult to recruit board members.

Melvyn Weiss, a lawyer who has specialized in class-action lawsuits brought by shareholders seeking compensation for lost holdings, said a federal law that requires chief executives to certify the accuracy of financial statements is little more than a sham.

"There's no new rules," Weiss said. "Corporate executives weren't allowed to lie before [the federal law]." **[JR: Every year more laws are passed to "protect" Americans and investors, however nothing actually changes because unless the sleeping and controlled media brings an issue out and gets the public riled up, nothing is ever investigated. Do you really think that the financial media didn't know about Enron, Global Crossing and Andersen long before their scheme was exposed worldwide? It's the same with the Washington press corps. They know all the inside secrets in Washington but they wouldn't dare expose their connections or those providing information. It's the games the media plays. Top executives and the board of directors of many of these international conglomerates may be the only part of the corporation actually still in the U.S. while everything else has been move across borders and oceans. The more these executives reduce costs and use creative accounting, the higher their perks. It is their skills at manipulating the**

system and taking the corporation to the cutting (legal) edge that is in demand and warrants those seven- and eight-figure annual salaries plus "benefit" packages. The only time they are concerned about consumers or their investors is when they are looking to be retained and need their proxy votes. The institutional investors may quickly forget because they only lost their customers' life savings, but the individual investors will be very slow in stepping back into the fire after receiving nearly fatal burns. And only if they have any money left to invest.]

REJECTION BY EUROPE MAY SOON HIT HOME

By Bill Barnhart, *Tribune*, 04/17/03

It's fun to talk about boycotting French fries and German beer to knock the countries that opposed U.S. military action in Iraq. But a more serious snub may be under way: a European boycott of U.S. stocks.

The dollar on Wednesday dropped to a two-week low against the euro, a trend that analysts said reflects sales of U.S. securities by overseas investors during the war.

The most recent official data comes from the Federal Reserve, which reported that foreign investors sold a net \$3.5 billion of U.S. stocks in January. It was just the fifth month in more than five years that non-U.S. investors have been net sellers of U.S. stocks.

Analysts at Stone & McCarthy Research Associates in New Jersey estimate that the sell-off continued at the same rate in February—a rare double dip. More recently, investors outside the U.S. pulled a net \$1.22 billion out of U.S. stocks in the last two weeks, according to *Bloomberg News*.

It's highly irregular to see international investors persistently withdraw funds from U.S. securities. European investors are the biggest sellers.

Weak economic outlooks in much of Europe provide little incentive to repatriate funds into European markets. But the dollar has eroded steadily since President Bush took office.

European investors face not only the risk of the bear market but also the risk that their U.S. investments will lose value in terms of their currency.

Meanwhile, Canada, another steadfast opponent of the Iraq invasion, has seen its currency climb 8 percent against the greenback so far this year. The Toronto Stock Exchange's index of 100 large companies has returned 7.5 percent this year, in terms of U.S. dollars, compared with 0.6 percent for the Standard & Poor's 500 index.

The Bank of Canada, the counterpart to the U.S. Federal Reserve, boosted interest rates this week for the second time in two months, to 3.25 percent, compared to a 1.25 percent rate in the U.S. for overnight money.

The central bank for the biggest U.S. trading partner cited inflation worries, as well as strong consumer demand and employment gains. "The level of economic activity in Canada remains near full-production capacity," the Ottawa-based bank said.

With record account deficits with the rest of the world, the U.S. can ill-afford a boycott by non-U.S. investors. ...

[JR: It has been common knowledge in the "gold-trading circles" that the Federal Reserve—along with several large investment firms—has been propping up the U.S. dollar as well as suppressing the value of gold. Can you imagine what gold would be if it wasn't being suppressed? The EURO went from \$.92 to \$1.09 in just a short time, so you can calculate the earnings increase for International investors that have profited from converting billions of U.S. dollars into euros. Saddam not only converted his nation's central bank reserves from U.S. dollars to euros, he also converted his oil-for-food contracts to the euro. This conversion earned Iraq billions of additional dollars but also caused the time frame of the U.S. invasion of Iraq to become crucial. The Iraq conversion back to the dollar will be the first priority of the U.S. reconstruction plans for Iraq. The U.S. will threaten any country that even thinks about switching their central bank reserves away from the U.S. dollar. The Bank of Canada's interest rate is 3.25 percent, compared to a 1.25 percent rate in the U.S. for overnight money. Now be realistic—if you were an International investor, where would you put your money?]

MEASURES TO KEEP FOOD SUPPLY SAFE
ARE ON THE TABLE
EXPERTS WARN HOLES STILL EXIST

By V. Dion Haynes, *LA Times*, 04/06/03

LOS ANGELES—The war in Iraq and the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks have sparked a widespread movement by federal agencies, farmers and grocers to upgrade security “from the stable to the table” to reduce the prospect of sabotage of the U.S. food supply. ...

Many farmers are installing cameras on their property and seeking tougher laws to discourage trespassers. Food processing plants are conducting background checks on employees. Transportation businesses are installing seals on trucks to better protect their cargoes. And some grocery stores are hiring more security guards to monitor salad bars and ventilation systems.

Nevertheless, concerns that al-Qaida and Iraq might be stashing biological agents, including the toxin that causes botulism, illustrate how vulnerable the food supply remains.

Health officials in California are preparing an anti-toxin for botulism, reacting to fears by some federal officials that the substance might be used by terrorists to poison food. Only a few grams of botulinum toxin, readily found in soil, could kill a million people.

While meat inspectors routinely check for biological and chemical agents, experts say there are enough holes in the food chain for something to slip through. For instance, government inspectors at the border conducted 27,500 visual examinations on the 4.9 million shipments of imported meat last year. ...

Some experts question whether these voluntary measures should be made mandatory, as is the case with the highly regulated food safety field that addresses sanitary standards aimed at preventing the spread of disease.

The government “has no authority to enforce the guidelines, that’s the problem,” said Maria Cristina Gobin, assistant director of the General Accounting Office’s natural resources and environment issues division. ...

Officials from the agencies and the food industry say they think most businesses are complying with the voluntary guidelines and that it would be difficult to design regulations to fit the many types of processing operations. ...

The “stable to table” security system involves farms and ranches, food processing operations, food manufacturing companies and grocery stores.

With an increase in theft of agricultural chemicals by methamphetamine dealers, farmers and ranchers already were beefing up security with cameras and extra lighting. Now, fearing that a terrorist might try to introduce an infectious disease into their livestock and crops, farmers are forming neighborhood watches and seeking tougher penalties against trespassers.

Farmers, citing increased food imports, are calling for the nation to shift more to locally grown and raised goods. Even though more than 600 new federal meat inspectors were hired after Sept. 11, government officials acknowledge that only a handful of imported and domestic goods are checked.

“It’s impossible to verify [imported food] as being safe, and it is vulnerable to terrorist activity,” said John Bunting, a dairy farmer in Delaware County, N.Y.

Last June, President Bush signed the Bioterrorism Act, which among other provisions requires the 400,000 domestic and foreign food processing operations supplying products to the U.S. to register with the government. The aim is to establish a network that would enable authorities to strengthen links susceptible to terrorist infiltration.

[JR: It is the amount of food we import that poses a danger to our food supply, plus the woeful shortage of food inspectors. Bush signed the Bio-terrorism Act but has provided little or no funding to increase the number of inspectors or inspections to insure the safety of our food. How many of those 400,000 foreign and domestic food processors and suppliers have been registered or stored in our databases? We have only 600 food inspectors who basically don’t inspect any of 4.9 million shipments of meat coming into the U.S. I’ll bet our leaders/dealers in Babbleon D.C., Texas and New York dine on only the very

best and never have to wonder what imports they are eating. If we insist on living like Zionists and exist in a permanently guarded state, let’s call a moratorium on all food imports—or does NAFTA and the WTO trade agreements forbid us from taking such an option? Hey, if we are the world rulers, then we—like Israel—can ignore or make our own laws to protect ourselves from our enemies. The Bush boy doesn’t care a whit about Americans or their safety. After all, there is a flood of foreigners pouring into the U.S. who will gladly work for less, and best of all...vote for Bush.]

THE NIGHTMARE AFTER

By Uri Avnery, Israeli Journalist
(<http://www.gush-shalom.org>), 04/09/03

Some thoughts about the war:

The next war. It is now fashionable to talk about “the day after”. Let’s talk about the nightmare after.

After the end of hostilities in Iraq, the world will be faced with two decisive facts:

First, the immense superiority of American arms can beat any people in the world, valiant as it may be.

Second, the small group that initiated this war—an alliance of Christian fundamentalists and Jewish neo-conservatives—has won big, and from now on it will control Washington almost without limits.

The combination of these two facts constitutes a danger to the world, and especially to the Middle East, the Arab peoples and the future of Israel. Because this alliance is the enemy of peaceful solutions, the enemy of the Arab governments, the enemy of the Palestinian people and especially the enemy of the Israeli peace camp.

It does not dream only about an American empire, in the style of the Roman one, but also of an Israeli mini-empire, under the control of the extreme right and the settlers. It wants to change the regimes in all Arab countries. It will cause permanent chaos in the region, the consequences of which it is impossible to foresee.

Its mental world consists of a mixture of ideological fervor and crass material interests, an exaggerated American patriotism and right-wing Zionism.

That is a dangerous mixture. There is in it something of the spirit of Ariel Sharon, a man who has always had grandiose plans for changing the region, consisting of a mixture of creative imagination, unbridled chauvinism and a primitive faith in brute force.

Who are the winners? They are the so-called neo-cons, or neo-conservatives. A compact group, almost all of whose members are Jewish. They hold the key positions in the Bush administration, as well as in the think-tanks that play an important role in formulating American policy and the ed-op pages of the influential newspapers. ...

Their big moment arrived with the collapse of the Twin Towers. The American public and politicians were in a state of shock, completely disoriented, unable to understand a world that had changed overnight. The neo-cons were the only group with a ready explanation and a solution. Only nine days after the outrage, William Kristol (the son of the group’s founder, Irving Kristol) published an Open Letter to President Bush, asserting that it was not enough to annihilate the network of Osama bin Laden, but that it was also imperative to “remove Saddam Hussein from power” and to “retaliate” against Syria and Iran for supporting Hizbullah.

Following is a short list of the main characters. (If it bores you, skip to the next section).

The Open Letter was published in the *Weekly Standard*, founded by Kristol with the money of ultra-right press mogul Rupert Murdoch, who donated \$10 million to the cause. It was signed by 41 leading neo-cons, including Norman Podhoretz, a Jewish former leftist who has become an extreme right-wing icon, editor of the prestigious *Encounter* magazine, and his wife, Midge Decter, also a writer, Frank Gaffney

of the Center for Security Studies, Robert Kagan, also of the *Weekly Standard*, Charles Krauthammer of the *Washington Post*, and, of course, Richard Perle.

Perle is a central character in this play. Until recently he was the chairman of the Defense Policy Board of the Defense Department, which also includes Eliot Cohen and Devon Cross. Perle is a director of the *Jerusalem Post*, now owned by extreme right-wing Zionists. In the past he was an aide to Senator Henry Jackson, who led the fight against the Soviet Union on behalf of the Jews who wanted to leave. He is a leading member of the influential right-wing American Enterprise Institute. Lately he was obliged to resign from his Defense Department position, when it became known that a private corporation had promised to pay him almost a million dollars for the benefit of his influence in the administration. **[JR: Perle only resigned as “chairman” of the DPB—however, he is still a strong and influential member of that Pentagon advisory board.]**

That Open Letter was, in effect, the beginning of the Iraq war. It was eagerly received by the Bush administration, with members of the group already firmly established in some of its leading positions. Paul Wolfowitz, the father of the war, is No. 2 in the Defense Department, where another friend of Perle’s, Douglas Feith, heads the Pentagon Planning Board. John Bolton is State Department Undersecretary. Eliot Abrams, responsible for the Middle East in the National Security Council, was connected with the Iran-Contra-Israel scandal. The main hero of the scandal, Oliver North, sits in the Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs, together with Michael Ledeen, another hero of the scandal. He advocates total war not only against Iraq, but also against Israel’s other enemies, Iran, Syria, Saudi Arabia and the Palestinian Authority. Dov Zakheim is comptroller for the Defense Department.

Most of these people, together with Vice-President Dick Cheney and Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, are associated with the “Project for the New American Century”, which published a White Paper in 2002, with the aim “to preserve and enhance this ‘American peace’”—meaning American control of the world.

Meyrav Wurmser (Meyrav is a chic new Israeli first name) is Director of the Center for Middle East Policy at the Hudson Institute. She also writes for the *Jerusalem Post* and is co-founder of the Middle East Media Research Institute that is, according to the London *Guardian*, connected with Israeli Army Intelligence. MEMRI feeds the media and politicians with highly selective quotations from extreme Arab publications. Meyrav’s husband, Davis Wurmser, is at Perle’s American Enterprise Institute, heading Middle East Studies. Mention should also be made of the Washington Institute for Near East Policy of our old acquaintance, Dennis Ross, who for years was in charge of the “peace process” in the Middle East.

In all the important papers there are people close to the group, such as William Safire, a man hypnotized by Sharon, in the *New York Times* and Charles Krauthammer in the *Washington Post*. Another Perle friend, Robert Bartley, is the editor of the *Wall Street Journal*.

If the speeches of Bush and Cheney often sound as if they came from the lips of Sharon, one of the reasons may be that their speechwriters, Joseph Shattan, Mathew Scully and John McConnell, are neo-cons, as is Cheney’s Chief-of-Staff, Lewis Libby.

The immense influence of this largely Jewish group stems from its close alliance with the extreme right-wing Christian fundamentalists, who nowadays control Bush’s Republican party. The founding fathers were Jerry Falwell of the Moral Majority, who once got a jet plane as a present from Menachem Begin, and Pat Robertson of the Christian Coalition and the Christian Broadcasting Network, which help to finance the Christian Embassy in Jerusalem of J.W. van der Hoeven, an outfit that supports the settlers and their right-wing allies.

Common to both groups is their adherence to the fanatical ideology of the extreme right in Israel. They see the Iraq war as a struggle between the Children of Light (America and Israel) and the Children of Darkness (the Arabs and Muslims).

By the way, none of these facts are secret. They have been published lately in dozens of articles, both in American and world media. The members of the group are proud of them.

The Zionist general. The man who symbolizes this victory is General Jay Garner, who has just been appointed chief of the civilian administration in Iraq.

He is no anonymous general who has been picked accidentally. Garner is the ideological partner of Paul Wolfowitz and the neo-cons.

Two years ago he signed, together with 26 other officers, a petition organized by the Jewish Institute for National Security Affairs, laud the Israeli Army for "remarkable restraint in the face of lethal violence orchestrated by the leadership of the Palestinian Authority," which is certainly news to the Israeli peace forces. He also stated that "a strong Israel is an asset that American military planners and political leaders can rely on."

In the first Gulf War he praised the performance of the Patriot missiles, which had failed miserably. After leaving the army in 1997, he became, not surprisingly, a defense contractor specializing in missiles. It was alleged that he landed non-competitive Pentagon contracts. This year he obtained a defense contract for \$ 1.5 billion, as well as a contract for building Patriot systems in Israel.

Therefore, there can be no better candidate for the job of chief of the civilian administration in Iraq, especially at a time when contracts for billions of dollars for reconstruction have to be handed out, to be paid for by Iraqi oil.

A new Balfour Declaration. The ideology of this group, that calls for an American world-empire as well as for a Greater Israel, reminds one of bygone days.

The *Balfour Declaration* of 1917, that promised the Jews a homeland in Palestine, had two parents. The mother was Christian Zionism (among whose adherents were illustrious statesmen like Lord Palmerston and Lord Shaftesbury, long before the foundation of the Zionist movement), the father was British imperialism. The Zionist idea allowed the British to crowd out their French competitors and take possession of Palestine, which was needed to safeguard the Suez Canal and the shorter sea route to India.

Now the same thing is happening again. Last year Richard Perle organized a briefing in which a speaker proposed war not only on Iraq, but on Saudi Arabia and Egypt as well, in order to secure the world's oil heartland. Iraq, he asserted, was only the pivot. One of the justifications for this design is the need to defend Israel.

To bet on our life? Seemingly, all this is good for Israel. America controls the world, we control America. Never before have Jews exerted such an immense influence on the center of world power.

But this tendency troubles me. We are like a gambler, who bets all his money and his future on one horse. A good horse, a horse with no current competitor, but still *one* horse.

The neo-cons will cause a long period of chaos in the Arab and Muslim world. The Iraqi war has already shown that their understanding of Arab realities is shaky. Their political assumptions did not stand the test, only brute force saved their undertaking.

Some day the Americans will go home, but we shall remain here. We have to live with the Arab peoples. Chaos in the Arab world endangers our future.

Wolfowitz and Co. may dream about a democratic, liberal, Zionist and America-loving Middle East, but the result of their adventures may well turn out to be a fanatical and fundamentalist region that will threaten our very existence.

The partnership of the neo-cons and the Christian fundamentalists may engender counter-forces in Washington. And if Bush is defeated in the next election, like his father after his victory in the first Gulf War, this whole gang will be thrown out. ...

[JR: As an Israeli journalist, Uri Avnery is very outspoken and a realist. He can see the future consequence of this "war with Iraq". The last paragraph is the only positive note for our future. As we have often emphasized, "nothing is by chance and most events are orchestrated". The arrogance and overconfidence of these Zionists in their moves for world dominance and control just may awaken the world enough to cause that proverbial pendulum of time to swing away from Zionist control as it has done numerous times in the past. It might be good to keep this article handy for quick reference as to the real players at this point in time. Let us pray that time will not only heal the global wounds but also change the players.]

NEVADA CORPORATIONS:

Maintaining Privacy Of Corporate Records

Budget's "Tip of the Week" #2:

NRS 78.257—Right of stockholders to inspect and audit financial records; exceptions

Last week we discussed *NRS 78.105* and how it appears to have been written specifically to provide access to the corporation's records by the corporation's *stockholders*. What about a case where the stockholder's interest in accessing the records has nothing to do with the stockholder's interest in the corporation? And what about other parties who might want to access the corporation's private records? *NRS 78.257* holds the answer to those questions, though the answers are, no doubt, NOT what such parties might wish to hear!

After defining who is entitled to inspect the corporate records and the notice that must be given for doing so in paragraph 1, then specifying that the inspecting party bears the costs of extracting such in paragraph 2, *NRS 78.257*, paragraph 3, states (emphasis added):

3. The rights authorized by subsection 1 may be denied to any stockholder upon his refusal to furnish the corporation an affidavit that such inspection, extracts or audit is not desired for any purpose not related to his interest in the corporation as a stockholder. **Any stockholder or other person, exercising rights under subsection 1, who uses or attempts to use information, documents, records or other data obtained from the corporation, for any purpose not related to the stockholder's interest in the corporation as a stockholder, is guilty of a gross misdemeanor. ...**

A gross misdemeanor conviction is punishable by incarceration for up to one year in the county jail and a \$2,000 fine. Note that this statute spells out rights of the *stockholders* to inspect corporate records—the *stockholders*, not "just anyone". **There is no mandate of any kind for non-stockholders to ever inspect any corporate records. It is a gross misdemeanor for any non-stockholder to even attempt to use information from the corporate records in any way contrary to the interests of the stockholders.**

Next week, we'll look at a method of owning a corporation without owning its stock—and yes, the answer to that riddle can also be found built right into the *Nevada Revised Statutes!*

CORPORATION SETUP AND MAINTENANCE FEES

Budget Corporation —includes:	Nominee Service	\$200
• First-year resident agent fee	Obtain EIN	\$ 75
• Corporate Charter	Bank Account Setup	\$100
• Articles of Incorporation	Expedite (24-hr. setup)	\$150
• Corporate Bylaws	Annual Resident Agent Fee	\$ 85
• Corporate Resolutions	Budget Mail Forwarding (18 per yr)	\$ 50
• Budget corporate record book	Full Mail Forwarding (240 pcs/yr)	\$150
• 3.5" floppy disk of resources		
TOTAL	\$410	

For more information:

"THE NEVADA CORPORATION MANUAL"

Priced at just \$45, including shipping and handling

Budget

Corporate Renewals

"Nevada corporations
at Budget prices"

(702) 870-5351

P.O. Box 27103

Las Vegas, NV 89126

E-Mail: BCR@BudgetCorporateRenewals.com

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *PHOENIX JOURNALS* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. SINGLE JOURNALS LISTED BELOW ARE NOW AVAILABLE FOR \$11.95. (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V (OUT OF STOCK)
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE (OUT OF STOCK)
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER (OUT OF STOCK)
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.

P.O. Box 27353

Las Vegas, Nevada 89126

1-800-800-5565

(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

**Phoenix Source
Distributors
SHIPPING
CHARGES:**

USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)

UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

ALASKA & HAWAII

Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

CANADA & MEXICO

Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

FOREIGN

Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

CONTACT Staff


Mark Moore

John & Jean Ray

Princeton Winton

PLEASE NOTE:

CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are **NOT** the same! Checks sent for *JOURNALS* or book orders should **NOT** be made out to *CONTACT*—and vice versa.



Editorial Policy

Opinions of *CONTACT* contributors (including ads) are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

CONTACT: THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

is published by

CONTACT, Inc.

P.O. Box 27800

Las Vegas, NV 89126

Phone: (800) 800-5565

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565.

Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.

Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS

QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS

Quantity	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CAN/MEX	FOREIGN	Quantity	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders

Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges

WORDS OF WISDOM

FROM HATONN

CONTACT OR SPECTRUM?

I want to briefly respond to a most unusual question from a totally "out of the blue" person: "It appears *Spectrum* will fail, possibly close, so will you go back to writing for *CONTACT* or what?"

I have never stopped writing for *CONTACT*—but I have **NOT** written, nor have my compatriots written for *Spectrum*. Tails wag a lot of dogs, my friends. Therefore, "or what" has no meaning.

January 6, 2001