


CONTACT

THE PHOENIX PROJECT JOURNAL

GOD'S NEW MILLENNIUM

KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION



VOLUME 42, NUMBER 3

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

NOVEMBER 5, 2003

Courage To Do What Is Right Regardless Of Consequences

10/20/03—#1 (17-65)

MON. OCT. 20, 2003 9:26 A.M. YR 17, DAY 65

GCH—RE: RULE OF LAW, PAGUIA, THREE SEGMENTS

MANILA, PHILIPPINES

COMMENTS: Atty. Paguia continues to chip away at CONSTITUTIONAL LAW and more especially on laws that address the abuse of the Supreme Court as regards elected officials, single-handed renunciation and deposing of an elected President and ongoing abuse of power. We have to always remember that Alan Paguia has a CLIENT and that client is none other than the deposed President, Erap Estrada.

We have no input into political affairs nor interjection as to "who" is politically better or worse than another—but in a democratic form of government under a Constitution patterned virtually identical to the U.S., there is dirty manipulation continuing its smothering in this country.

HOWEVER, THE FACTS ARE THAT IT IS ONLY REFLECTIONS OF ALL OTHER DEMOCRATIZED COUNTRIES—TRY BOLIVIA "TODAY" WHERE A VICE PRESIDENT REPLACED, YESTERDAY, THE RESIGNED PRESIDENT.

As nearly as we can discern, however, the President of Bolivia clearly resigned. In the Philippines the President was neither disabled nor did he "resign", constructive or otherwise.

I do note that, in the matter of our own interests as to the new "Tallano-Acop Foundation Inc. (FDN)", we are confronted with a similar capability for the Chairman of the Board to be "constructively resigned".

There is a matching corporation in Nevada to the one just formed in the Philippines of this "FDN" registered entity. We hold all the original paperwork, registration papers and disposition of same in both instances.

Prince Tallano (we shall protect names here) was/is the Court-Appointed Administrator "of the Estate". His first orders some 25-27 years ago were to DEVELOP the Foundation in point.

Therefore, he was in contempt of court for failure to perform for those 25 years. He has personally delayed all registration efforts until the 14th day of October 2003. This was far after we constructed the counterpart in the U.S. for use WITH the entity in the Philippines.

Appointments to meet and sign the application were made and broken over and over again. Funds failed to come to establish the entity until a group, now trustees, asked more assistance of GAIA in finalizing the registration.

This was forthcoming but with full agreement that all agreements between parties will be immediately and correctly signed and delivered, and functional capability established. This was in response to Prince Tallano's demand for funds of at least a million pesos to "start" the Foundation. The answer was, of course, NO. Then came the word that "well, the letter of intent was not final as an agreement" and he "didn't have to do anything". WRONG. That, however, is neither here nor there.

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

IN THIS ISSUE

- The Return Of President Estrada, by Alan F. Paguia.....page 4
- Update: CONTACT'S Financial Standing.....page 5
- Global Parasites, A Series, Parts 5-6.....page 6
- The News Desk, by John & Jean Ray.....page 10

When Ekkers signed on temporarily as “trustees” to enable the registration—fear splattered all over the map and the needed signatures were immediately set forth. Now the problem comes that after we paid for the registration and provided all the “running” and structure expenses, we asked for the original paperwork to hold until we would be repaid and nothing could go wrong with the structure or the incorporation itself.

So, the demand from the Prince is now that unless he gets those original documents TODAY he will cancel everything and thus and so into insanity. So, first to you who suddenly have indigestion—HE CANNOT! Moreover, he will not get them, today or any day. The Foundation REPLACES the authority and protects everything exactly as the Judge intended the day he ordered it in the first place.

Tallano is “on the Board”; he is not the Secretary or the established “lawful holder” of any corporate books and administration will take place by the Board and appointed “staff” or added “officers”. IT IS THE LAW!

We could not function, however, without this finalization of “incorporation”. We are now set to be able to get final assistance for procedures from the last judge involved, and so we shall.

We will NOT, however, for you concerned individuals, offer anything more until we have AGREEMENTS final and in place to replace the dangling Letter of Intent, etc.

We will continue to support the DUE DILIGENCE underway on Land Titles because it will eventually be mandatory data to help get the Court-Ordered COMPENSATION.

That is massive in and of itself and will dangle in the winds of chance until it is pursued with LAW and JUSTICE demanded.

That does not include the gold held in the Bank, but rather the royalties due-and-owing on same. The massive amounts of gold will be used as security and collateral.

We have no interest in the Land Titles or holdings except to also assist in the compensation claims regarding Court Orders. All the rest will come “afterwards” as might relate to association matters, alliances and agreements. Our AGREEMENTS, however, already have been established for a “joint venture” use of all of the assets so that there would always be available reserves to back EVERYTHING we do.

We cannot force anyone “on the other side” to do anything—so we have to conclude that coverage must be maintained, by us, at all times.

We take our own opportunity to bring these notations to public press to cover our own position and timely date our activities. It also brings understanding to our associates holding the line in the U.S.

These appear to be tiny steps but they are gigantic miracles, friends, in a land where nothing gets accomplished decade upon decade, i.e.: a court order of 27 years ago complied with under pressure this week.

The U.S. President, by the way, was here on Saturday and there are no arresting persons yet materialized here. I will leave the circus-reporting to other parties.

I suggest, EJ, that we wait out the day and then gather the “Constructive Resignation” papers for the Prince. If otherwise agreeable—GET IT IN WRITING. We will NOT go through these antics at every meeting or every document to be signed—PERIOD!

Prince Tallano is AN HEIR and only one among many—including the whole of the Philippine population. Stop allowing the games to stop realization. He has his own “corporation” and his “shares” of return can be

The banking can hold and would certainly be better accomplished by those friends to the South or the Indigenous organizations as already in play. They will be able to get assistance in structuring from Malaysia when appropriate.

Now I simply ask that copy be provided for the Paguia legal references.

Thank you.

* * *

J'accuse Davide

RULE OF LAW by Alan F. Paguia,
Sunday, 10/12/2003

I accuse Chief Justice Hilario Davide Jr. and his associate justices of fooling the Filipino people in Estrada vs. Arroyo.

According to them, from resolution of April 13, 2001, “Finally, petitioner prays that the members of this honorable court who went to Edsa put on record who they were and consider recusing or inhibiting themselves, particularly those who had ex-parte contacts with those exerting pressure on this honorable court, as mentioned in our motion of March 9, 2001, given the need for the cold neutrality of impartial judges.”

We hold that the prayer lacks merit. There is no ground to inhibit the 12 members of the court who merely accepted the invitation of the respondent Arroyo to attend her oath-taking. As mere spectators of a historic event, said members of the court did not prejudge the legal basis of the claim of respondent Arroyo to the

presidency at the time she took her oath. Indeed, the court in its en banc resolution on Jan. 22, 2001, the first working day after respondent Arroyo took her oath as President, held in Administrative Matter No. 01-1-05 SC, to wit: A.M. No. 01-1-05-SC - In re: Request for Vice President Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo to Take Her Oath of Office as President of the Republic of the Philippines before the Chief Justice - Acting on the urgent request of Vice President Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo to be sworn in as President of the Republic of the Philippines, addressed to the Chief Justice and confirmed by a letter to the court, dated Jan. 20, 2001, which request was treated as an administrative matter, the court resolved unanimously to confirm the authority given by the 12 members of the court then present to the Chief Justice on Jan. 20, 2001 to administer the oath of office to Vice President Gloria Macapagal-Arroyo as President of the Philippines, at noon of Jan. 20, 2001.

This resolution is without prejudice to the disposition of any justiciable case that may be filed by a proper party.

WAREHOUSE LIQUIDATION **PHOENIX JOURNAL SALE**

All JOURNALS are NOW on sale (AT COST) for \$2.00 each plus S/H. Call for substantial additional discounts (BELOW COST) on volume purchases.

We intend to sell ALL JOURNALS presently in the warehouse—all reasonable offers will be accepted!!! Whatever help you can give at this critical time is sincerely appreciated!

For ordering information and all other questions, please call Valerie at Phoenix Source Distributors, Inc. toll-free at (800) 800-5565.

directed “personally” and orderly, as established, right from the Foundation so that books are not tampered and corruption will cease. It has been a quarter-century of total graft and yet has caused payment of the Court-Ordered compensation to be delayed. The sunny side is that the interest has grown and there have been additional COURT-ORDERED FINES for the Government’s failure to perform by paying the earlier royalties and penalties.

Those are good points to first demand payment, which at the moment will be denied because of “no funds”—and right there is where we involve ourselves. Make sure we have adequate percentages in our agreements for, as stated above, we have no other way of insuring the security of the assets other than to DO IT OURSELVES.

The other way for timely funds is to simply sell some of the gold held in the vault—but keep the percentages clean in that venture in order to get the gold bullion institution established and “cash-flow” for gaining staff (especially bookkeeping) as a demand to our “partners”. It will get totally unwieldy right away if not pre-planned to some extent.

The above resolution was unanimously passed by the 15 members of the court. It should be clear from the resolution that the court did not treat the letter of respondent Arroyo to be administered the oath by Davide, as a case but as an administrative matter. If it were considered as a case, then petitioner has reason to fear that the court has predetermined the legitimacy of the claim of respondent Arroyo to the presidency. To dispel the erroneous notion, the court precisely treated the letter as an administrative matter and emphasized that it was "without prejudice to the disposition of any justiciable case that may be filed by a proper party." In further clarification, the court on Feb. 20, 2001 issued another resolution to inform the parties and the public that it "...did not issue a resolution on Jan. 20, 2001 declaring the office of the President vacant and that neither did the Chief Justice issue a press statement justifying the alleged resolution." Thus, there is no reason for petitioner to request for the said 12 justices to recuse themselves. To be sure, a motion to inhibit filed by a party after losing his case is suspect and is regarded with general disfavor.

Moreover, to disqualify any of the members of the court, particularly a majority of them, is nothing short of pro tanto depriving the court itself of its jurisdiction as established by the fundamental law. Disqualification of a judge is a deprivation of his judicial power. And if that judge is the one designated by the Constitution to exercise the jurisdiction of his court, as is the case with the justices of this court, the deprivation of his or their judicial power is equivalent to the deprivation of the judicial power of the court itself. It affects the very heart of judicial independence. The proposed mass disqualification, if sanctioned and ordered, would leave the court no alternative but to abandon a duty which it cannot lawfully discharge if shorn of the participation of its entire membership of justices.

In view whereof, petitioner's motion for reconsideration in G.R. Nos. 146710-15 and his Omnibus Motion in G.R. No. 146738 are DENIED for "lack of merit".

What were the obvious effects of Chief Justice Davide's administrative proclamation of GMA as President?

There were four: (1) The formal ouster of President Estrada; (2) The substitution of GMA in place of President Estrada; (3) GMA's assumption of presidential powers; and (4) The indirect declaration of vacancy of the Office of the President. It is elementary that the Vice President cannot ascend to the presidency unless the same is vacant. Furthermore, it is obvious that the Constitution does not allow two sitting Presidents at the same time.

In other words, by proclaiming GMA as President, the justices had declared - by necessary implication - that the presidency was vacant. This must be so since it would not seem reasonable to assume that the justices proclaimed GMA as President while at the same time taking the legal position that President Estrada continued being the constitutional President as well. It would therefore appear undeniable that they had indeed prejudged that decisive issue which was raised later in Estrada vs. Arroyo. Their claim that they did not commit any prejudgment was apparently self-serving to protect their own personal interests, preserve their official position as magistrates, and camouflage the unconstitutional proclamation of GMA.

Consequently, their claim that the proclamation was "without prejudice to the disposition of any justiciable case that may be filed" by President Estrada was a brazen lie committed against the Filipino people.

President Estrada's petitions were killed even before they were filed. He never had the chance of a fair trial with the justices led by Chief Justice Davide.

Of course, they claim otherwise.

In any case, two truths shall bind us all: (1) It is impossible to fool all the people all of the time; and (2) White is white and black is black. Not even the justices of the Supreme Court sitting en banc can properly call white - black, or black - white.

SUPREME LIES

RULE OF LAW by Alan F. Paguia,
Friday, 10/17/2003

Did the Supreme Court justices lie to the Filipino people in Estrada vs. Arroyo?

Honesty basically means disclosure of the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. Therefore, it would appear that there are four kinds of dishonesty. (1) Non-disclosure of truth; (2) Disclosure of non-truth; (3) Disclosure of partial truth; and (4) Disclosure of the whole truth mixed with non-truth.

What did the magistrates tell the people insofar as the material events of Jan. 20, 2001 were concerned? According to them, the facts were: "Jan. 20 turned out to be the day of surrender. At 12:20 a.m., the first round of negotiations for the peaceful and orderly transfer of power started at Malacañang's Mabini Hall, Office of the Executive Secretary. Secretary Edgardo Angara, Senior Deputy Executive Secretary Ramon Bagatsing, Political Adviser Angelito Banayo, Assistant Secretary Boying Remulla and Atty. Macel Fernandez, head of the presidential management staff, negotiated for the petitioner. Respondent Mrs. Arroyo was represented by now Executive Secretary Renato de Villa, now Secretary of Finance Alberto Romulo, and now Secretary of Justice Hernando Perez. Outside the Palace, there was a brief encounter at Mendiola between pro- and anti-Estrada protesters which resulted in stone-throwing and caused minor injuries. The negotiations consumed all morning until the news broke out that Chief Justice Hilario Davide Jr. would administer the oath to respondent Mrs. Arroyo at high noon at the Edsa Shrine.

"At about 12:00 noon, Chief Justice Davide administered the oath to respondent Mrs. Arroyo as President of the Philippines. At 2:30 p.m., petitioner and his family hurriedly left Malacañang Palace. (pp. 482-483)

"We now come to the events of Jan. 20, 2001 contemporaneous to the oath-taking of respondent Mrs. Arroyo. We used the Angara Diary to decipher the intent to resign on the part of the petitioner. Let it be emphasized that it is not unusual for courts to distill a person's subjective intent from the evidence before them. Every day, courts ascertain intent in criminal cases, in civil law cases involving last wills and testaments, in commercial cases involving contracts and in other similar cases. As will be discussed below, the use of the Angara Diary is not prohibited by the hearsay rule. Petitioner may disagree with some of the inferences arrived at by the court from the facts narrated in the diary but that does not make the diary inadmissible as evidence.

"We did not stop with the contemporaneous events but proceeded to examine some events posterior to the oath-taking of respondent Mrs. Arroyo. Specifically, we analyzed the all important press release of the petitioner containing his final statement which was issued after the oath-taking of respondent Mrs. Arroyo as President. After analyzing its content, we ruled that petitioner's issuance of the press release and his abandonment of Malacañang Palace confirmed his resignation. These are overt acts which leave no doubt to the court that the petitioner has resigned.

"In light of this finding that petitioner has resigned before 12 noon of Jan. 20, 2001, the claim that the Office of the President was not vacant when respondent Mrs. Arroyo took her oath of office at half past noon of the same day has no leg to stand on." (pp. 124-125)

Omissions

There were certain material facts that the justices did not disclose in their narration. Where were they on the morning of Jan. 20, 2001? What were they doing? Did they maintain the cold neutrality expected of impartial magistrates? Their official narration appears completely silent on the matter. Fortunately, Justice Artemio Panganiban came out with his book *Reforming the Judiciary* to give us the answers.

"Upon waking up at 4 a.m. on Jan. 20, I immediately switched on our bedroom TV. An Edsa panel was being interviewed. The media host announced that Cardinal Sin, through Msgr. Socrates Villegas, was pleading with the Edsa rallyists not to march toward Mendiola, where the pro-Erap partisans were encamped. Fr. Robert Reyes, a panelist, unequivocally supported the Cardinal's call. But the leaders of the militant groups announced they were proceeding to Mendiola at 6 a.m., unless President Estrada resigned by then.

"I was worried that if the two groups clashed, there would be violence and bloodshed, specially if the Edsa militants made good their vow to storm the presidential residence. President Estrada could not stop them because the government machinery had broken down. The military and the police would not obey him. Neither could Mrs. Arroyo take over the reigns of government, as there was - at least, theoretically - a legally recognized president. If Mrs. Arroyo acted, she would be unconstitutionally usurping presidential prerogatives. Indeed, she would be installing a revolutionary government. On the other hand, military adventurers could mount a coup d' etat and rule by force. In either case, the Constitution would be obliterated and all constitutional offices, including the Presidency, Congress and the Supreme Court would be abolished. Surely, the fragile economy would collapse and the nation thrown into civil strife.

"I prayed and reflected upon this grim scenario. I concluded that the only way to avert violence, chaos and bloodshed and to save our democratic system from collapse was to have Mrs. Arroyo sworn in as President. After prayer and reflection, I summoned the courage to call up Chief Justice Hilario Davide Jr. about 5:30 a.m. to explain to him my apprehensions. I proposed that, to save the Constitution, he should swear in GMA by 12 noon of that day.

"In normal times, the Supreme Court and its members are passive government officials who act only when a proper petition or request is filed. I thought, however, that the scenario at that point was not normal. Far from it. In fact, the country was faced with an extraordinary situation that demanded an extraordinary solution. Only one state institution, the Supreme Court,

THE RETURN OF PRESIDENT ESTRADA

The RULE OF LAW by Alan F. Paguia,

Sunday, 10/19/2003

What could be the consequences of the return of presidential powers to President Estrada?

As to the people, first of all, the sovereign will of the Filipino people would be respected. Their overwhelming majority vote in favor of President Estrada would again be made to prevail over those of the minority. The six-year term which was unconstitutionally suspended after only two-and-a-half years of service would be continued to the extent of its balance of three-and-a-half years. The people would be spared from being misled into participating in an unconstitutional presidential election in 2004. Such an election would be unconstitutional for the simple reason that President Estrada's six-year term has not yet expired considering his unconstitutional ouster by Supreme Court Chief Justice Hilario Davide Jr. and the other magistrates.

As to the government, the Filipino people must help President Estrada run the government. They must express to him who they believe ought to be appointed to the Cabinet. These appointees ought to have three basic qualifications 1) competence, 2) honesty and 3) courage to do what is right regardless of the consequences. The same qualifications ought to be applied as well to the members of the judiciary. These should be the minimum qualifications for all who wish to serve in the government. Unless there is competence, there would be more disservice than service. Unless there is honesty, there would be more, instead of less, graft and corruption. And unless there is **courage to do what is right regardless of the consequences**, there would be more unlawful compromises instead of straight public service.

The people themselves must act as the direct enforcers of public trust. They must denounce all unlawful acts or omissions. They must help themselves to the extent that government cannot help them. One statesman said it quite accurately: We must ask not what the government can do for us. We must ask what we can do for the government or something substantially to that effect. And there we mean government as distinguished from administration. We help the government contemplated as one of the four elements of the state under our Constitution. The other elements being the people, territory and sovereignty. In this context, when we help the government we also help to really strengthen the Filipino people. This is so because in the said context, that government is of the people, by the people and for the people.

The same does not necessarily follow when we help the administration or the group of elected or appointed human beings who are currently in control of the government.

Helping the government is different from helping the administration. When we help the government, we help for the greater glory of the Filipino people. When we help the administration, we help for the greater glory not necessarily of the Filipino people but for the greater glory of whoever is the holder of political and military power. And this latter situation is what we have to be very cautious about.

Here, there is always the possibility that the self-preservation or private interest of the administration runs counter to the best interest of the people. When this happens, the people ought to be able to discern the difference between the evil of the administration from the theoretical government contemplated under the Constitution.

Stated differently, the government contemplated under the Constitution is necessarily always good because it is a mere idea with theoretical structure and functions which by its very nature cannot possibly perform any unlawful act. On the other hand, the administration by its very nature can always perform an unlawful act or omission.

Whatever acts may have been performed by the GMA administration ought to be classified into two kinds. These would be the (1) discretionary acts, and (2) ministerial acts. The first refer to those acts which involve the exercise of wisdom or freedom of choice between two or more options. The second refer to those acts which involve routine matters that ought to be done regardless of political wisdom or options. The first ought to be further classified into the reversible acts and the irreversible acts. Obviously, the irreversible acts ought to be accepted as such. On the other hand, reversible acts plainly give the option to reverse the act.

Insofar as the justices of the Supreme Court are concerned, it would appear that delicadeza ought to impel them to resign. They, of course, cannot be expected to reverse themselves in Estrada vs. Arroyo and open themselves to the possible wrath of the Filipino people.

In sum, the return of President Estrada to power would merely mean our nation's return to the Rule of Law. To those of us who look to the betterment of the next generation, there should be no serious objection. But to those of us who only look to the next elections, we forget the next generation.

[END QUOTING]

* * *


I ask that we end this with some very special attention to a few points which can be utilized in our own legal circumstances with no association to THIS particular scenario.

There ARE ways to demand and get documents and it seems that some are not presented for far too long a time to even be easily acquired—in your own personal confrontations.

I also ask that you pay special attention to security for feelings are going to heat up regarding military manipulations. There may well be loads of smiles out of APEC meetings—but that is all that can result, for the strains are about to break friendly ties as between Australia and Malaysia over the use of “Jews” as reference and Howard being called Bush's “sheriff” (by Bush himself on this trip).

Malaysia is pushing on the Gold Dinar at a very timely, for us, sequence of events. There will also be referenced the Gold Dirham (not Durham). We speak of money, readers. We are just about in a position to assist in “hard commodity” and therefore we will not play “rubber-band” much longer in the games of manipulators.

Our intent remains to “get stable”, breathe a bit, make CONTACT and heal just a tad. A lot of things of personal nature on the parts of many of us are pushing at our pressure zones. Living is obviously a major part of “getting there”.—GCH

dharmā 

had the credibility and the normal authority to avert a governmental catastrophe. And there was only one person who could steer the country from armed confrontation and upheaval: Chief Justice Davide. His outstanding performance as presiding officer of the impeachment court made him - per a scientific poll survey - the most trusted Filipino.

“To my delight, Chief Justice Davide immediately agreed to my proposal. He asked me to announce over radio and television his intention to administer the presidential oath to the then Vice President.

“When a justice heard the announcement, he telephoned the court questioning the proposal. So the Chief Justice decided to call immediately all the members of the court to an emergency session. After an animated discussion on the various constitutional aspects of the situation all 12 justices present - three were out of town - eventually backed the announced swearing in, provided GMA submitted a formal written request for it. They even went to the Edsa Shrine to witness the oath-taking ceremony.

“From this dramatic, extraordinary event of Edsa II, the rest is now legal history. On Jan. 22, 2001, Monday, the Supreme Court, by unanimous vote of all its 15 members, passed a resolution ‘to confirm the authority’ of the chief justice to swear in GMA as ‘President of the Philippines’.

“On March 2, 2001, the court promulgated its 13-0 decision in Estrada vs. Desierto and Estrada vs. Arroyo, upholding the legitimacy of the Mrs. Arroyo ascension to the presidency. Only 13 voted inasmuch as Chief Justice Davide and I inhibited ourselves from the case because of our active participation in the swearing-in ceremony. I was prepared to submit in writing my own opinion of these cases, but yielding to the request of Estrada, I instead recused myself voluntarily. At the proper time, however, I hope to be able to present my own view.

“On April 3, 2001, the court, by the same definitive vote of 13-0, denied with finality President Estrada's motion for reconsideration. Thus, it put to rest the constitutionality of the Arroyo government.”

Clearly then, all the magistrates had conspired - long before President Estrada could file his petitions before the Supreme Court - to install GMA as president with the obvious effect of ousting President Estrada from office. That effect was obvious considering the plain absurdity of having two Presidents at the same time. And because of that obvious effect, it necessarily became impossible for them to claim judicial neutrality with respect to the Estrada petitions.

They did not disclose the material facts narrated by Justice Panganiban in their collective narration in Estrada vs. Arroyo apparently because it would have made obvious: (1) their political partisanship in favor of GMA; and (2) their violation of President Estrada's right to due process of law, or right to the cold neutrality of an impartial tribunal.

In other words, they appear to have committed two acts of dishonesty: (1) non-disclosure of truth of their prejudgment and partisanship; and (2) disclosure of partial truth.

In any case, assuming arguendo that these matters were not obvious then - they ought to be very obvious now.

What then should be done? Restore the rule of law. How? By rectifying the apparent manipulation of the decision in Estrada vs. Arroyo.

By whom? A new set of truly competent and honest magistrates who will uphold the rule of law regardless of the consequences.

Update: *CONTACT*'S Financial Standing

10/23/03—#1 (17-68)

THU. OCT. 23, 2003 8:21 A.M. YR 17, DAY 68

GCH—ADDRESSING THE MERCIER MATERIAL:

I have tested the water and found it both too cold and too hot and a full reluctance to look forward into success but only at “failure”. Therefore, probable “failure” is all that can be expected!

I need the Mercier material put to synopsis print because of the things to come—not that which is gone before.

I do not ask ANYONE to either drop out of the system, fight with the system nor do I choose to run anyone’s business—PERIOD.

[MM: For our curious readers who would enjoy reading the book referenced above, *Invisible Contracts*, by George Mercier (as opposed to someone else’s opinion of same) it can be read on-line at <http://www.lawresearch-registry.org/mercier.htm> or purchased for \$50.00 (includes S/H) from *The American’s Bulletin*, P.O. Box 3096, Central Point, Oregon 97502 at (541) 779-7709. As GCH has previously stated, be

forewarned if you plan to implement the strategy espoused in this book in a potential legal battle with Big Brother. Presently, I’m not aware of any cases where individuals doing same have not universally ended up broke and/or incarcerated, including the book’s author—considered the resident expert.]

What we must have is ability to BEGIN (at a start-up place) and move forward—with instead of without. YOU TRULY CANNOT DIVE OFF INTO THE MUDDY CEMENT AND EXPECT TO SWIM TWO DAYS LATER. Neither can you expect to “change” anything if you have no direction or guidelines toward which to move and PROTECT that which we gain.

That protection comes from two major movements: incorporation (in Nevada, for personal protection and without issuing stock), and integration/generalization of “facts” put into practice.

EXAMPLE: GAIABLOSSOM issues YOU an opportunity to “get a loan” to start a magnificent business (you choose a humanitarian “acceptable” intended business). Then instead of dumping onto your pockets and that of the IRS (in any nation on the globe) you get a “line of credit” which also includes “start-up” expenses of a very generous size. You will

want, at THAT point, to make the corporation quite new and specific.

(HOW MANY REALLY STUDY OUR INSERT INTO THE CORPORATION MATERIAL AS “TIPS” IN THE WEEKLY PAPER? I HAVE MANDATED THEY BE RUN AND RE-RUN UNTIL HELL ACTUALLY FREEZES OR THE PAPER CLOSES. IF YOU DON’T KNOW

CONTACT, INC.

MEMORANDUM

DATE: October 22, 2003

FROM: E.J. & Doris J. Ekker, Directors

To solve the problem we would propose that you publish one more issue and in it the Editor-in-Chief should delineate the size of the deficit and announce that until some of our kind and supportive readers can lend *CONTACT* enough money to bring those accounts current, we will have to shut down. ...

[MM: I’ve been directed to estimate as closely as possible the total outstanding debt to our printer (including mailing costs) as of the date of this Memorandum—it’s \$9,600. Please call *CONTACT* at (800) 800-5565 for additional information.]

WHAT TO DO—WE HAVE NO TIME TO TEACH 17 YEARS OF BACK LESSONS.

The Mercier material is worthless to anyone UNLESS you adjust your own attitudes and KNOW WHAT IS AVAILABLE. I use “Mercier” for lack of other input—no more and no less. BUT, if you START a new program and manage it well—you will find not only shelter but ability to change out, at the least, your OWN programs. If you do not like to do that much, then we can only “return” loans and limit it to strict “bookkeeping” amounts to fit the regulators and interest demands of the regulatory institutions. Is everyone with me so far?

We have no time in Manila to walk you through each step by step of this journey, for we have provided you THAT INFORMATION for 17 YEARS.

WE CANNOT SPELL OUT EACH TINY STEP BECAUSE WE WILL TOLERATE NO UNDUE ATTENTION OR DIVERSION FROM “THIS” PROJECT UNDER WAY. THE ONLY WAY WE CAN PRESENT POSSIBILITIES IS TO PUBLISH SAME AND WE ONLY HAVE ONE OUTLET WHICH IS QUALIFIED OR ACCEPTABLE AS IS AT THE PRESENT TIME. *CONTACT!!!???*

So, this week we close the paper because the press cannot bear more “credit” and NO, WE CANNOT even estimate time of arrival for being awash in funds. Our people are dying on the vine and are unable to adequately even get proper support, help or supplies beyond the absolutely necessary.

HOWEVER, surprisingly enough, we have to leave that to YOU, for we cannot distract further and, if necessary, we will hold the material, Ron, and request that you certainly forward the segments to this address so that we can have it for use. We have no time for absorbing 800 pages but immediately need to begin to structure everything we do on the basis of a DIFFERENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM—STARTING WITH THE “TALA FOUNDATION”—in structuring format. We cannot do this here without input and THAT is for what we have had a paper in the first place.

We will not work outside the system but will build-in all shelters from upstart that are available UNDER THE LAW! Neither will we move to function outside the shelters of the very incorporation laws and shelters so that no individual is in jeopardy but stands responsible for ONLY “his” actions.

As for “product” information when I ask for ability of resource I am astounded that the view is so narrow as to NOT offer information, at the least, where product from our own prior resources can be obtained. We use no other methods of “advertising” because we have no wish to supply the world—at this point. We will move directly into sheltered RESEARCH facilities when

appropriate and that will be ASAP after getting funding in adequate measure flowing and transferable. This too is no more and no less.

CONTACT in principle, we are now informed, is bankrupt? Then manage it without going, for goodness sakes, into FURTHER DEBT. The printer is the crucial one focus.

You further want me to decide about books and warehouse costs and “you name it”—NO! These burdens are so debilitating to these two people in Manila as to be unacceptable.

I don’t care if you give away the books to recyclers or leave them in the warehouse and walk away if necessary—if you are in such arrears rent-wise to meet no bills at all. The largest problem is that no-one wishes to “put out selves” to attend it or make sure our businesses clearly run out of Nevada but remain conveniently in your established position—which jeopardizes, at best, our ongoing ability to function.

If and when you close down the paper please record all outstanding returns for we WILL ultimately pay EVERYTHING. Valerie, please copy and send the copies of all *CONTACT* check registers and any other data needed to bring its tax filings current to the

Corporate Agent for secure archiving. Also, make a copy of all lenders' balances and "contributions", plus all subscribers' data required to extend or refund their subscriptions. Mark, please do the same with each issue of *CONTACT* in the same format as you send to the Printer each week. Ron, as you receive this material, you will need to store it in an off-premises vault, preferably a non-bank vault with access for at least two other persons. Now you might see why I have suggested you make the effort to become acquainted with your brother nation in Las Vegas.

WE WILL KEEP THIS MISSION OPEN! THAT IS NOT UP FOR NEGOTIATION AT THIS TIME. WE MUST BE HERE AND WE MUST SURVIVE IT.

If this fails to be the "Fatherly" "I will fix it" message desired, then I'm not sure it is my attitude that needs adjusting.

We will cease sending writings until we see where we are. This is because it appears that everything touched by GCH somehow is assumed to be the RESPONSIBILITY of two very elderly and extremely busy people thousands of miles from the problems, uninformed, and unable to do anything except live in eternal stress.

These people have lost EVERYTHING they hoped to ever have, have gone into debt beyond any of YOUR ability to even conjure, while living under "THE GUN" every moment of their lives—THEY CANNOT CARRY EVERYTHING. However, since they do SEEM TO BE the focus of all things relevant—we continue to work diligently—BUT EXTREMELY CAREFULLY—at our job.

We will consider how else to get the information on "management" in "new possibilities" as well as a scatter on "product" information as to source on an individual basis.

The paper is for a PURPOSE and that purpose is NOT for keeping informed on the weather in Washington or Manila—or what is Bush doing this afternoon. That is only what qualifies as a LEGAL newspaper. We will seek other ways of working through this problem if "as is" is not longer feasible.

Dharma, I need to turn my attention, now, to the other confronting "management" matters with that Foundation for the recently delivered "Last Will and Testament" is prison bait—totally fabricated, so even "tampering" is not a consideration.

WE MUST NOT BLUNDER! Moreover, without assistance and help in management, the new Trustees will bog completely in the total ignorance and disinformation given them upon which to work. EJ may well find it necessary to go to the Judge in point to get this thing MOVING.

There will, however, be no further individual mailings put forth nor assistance in such antics by anyone in association with that Foundation. It starts absolutely CLEAN and if that is not understood, we will shelter EVERYTHING we touch through our corporation in Nevada. This is not up for consideration of any ONE wishing to be King.

If SOMEONE doesn't start using the LAW properly, then there is no hope for MANKIND! Does that sound strong enough? KNOW IT!

May we move on, please?—GCH

dharma

Global Parasites: *The International Jew* The World's Foremost Problem

[PARTS 5-6 from February 24, 1998 *CONTACT* (V20-N1), pages 23-28] [PARTS 3-4 of series are in the 10/29/03 *CONTACT*, page 9]

[Please call (800) 800-5565 to order the 4-volume set (#227-230) of *Phoenix Journals* (RISE OF ANTICHRIST) at the unheard of price of \$8.00 plus S/H.]

Editor's note: This is a continuation of material from Henry Ford Senior's The Dearborn Independent. This was a series of articles therein which spanned the time period from May 2 to October 2, 1920.

[Note from Doris: Please watch the non-news. There is no country other than Britain-Israel and the U.S.-Israel who want to touch Iraq and yet the threats go on, especially on the channel network watched in Iraq—CNN. We have come a long, long way into the Pits and please, God, if you will, give us more time and within this time we will try harder and work faster. We know more now and are working a lot smarter these days which allows for less working "harder". Perhaps one day soon the Antichrist controllers will OVERPLAY their hand and luck, and, Father, may this be the time.

Ok, I'm ready to hit the grinding wheel again.]

2/17/98—#1 HATONN

[QUOTING, PART 5, GLOBAL PARASITES:]

MODERN BUSINESS

It is only of recent years the Jew has shown any capacity for manufacturing, and most of what he now engages in has grown up as an adjunct to his merchandising plans. By manufacturing, he saves a profit. The result has not been a decrease in cost to the public, but an increase. It is characteristic of Jewish business methods that economies are for the sake of the business, not for the sake of the public. The commodities in which there have been the most inexcusable and exorbitant increases in prices to the public, and the lines of business which have been most quickly frightened into lower prices without any explanatory change in the general situation, have been those lines in which Jews exercise the widest control.

Business to the Jewish mind is money; what the successful Jew may do with his money after he gets it is another matter, but in the getting of it he never permits "idealistic slush" to interfere with the dollar. His dollar of profit is never "clipped" by any of the voluntary reforms by which a few men are trying to ameliorate the condition of the workers. **[H: What's wrong with this? Unless, of course, you are one of the workers.]**

This is not by any means due to the hardness of the Jewish heart, but to the hardness of the Jewish view of business. Business is to it a matter of goods and money, not of people. If you are in distress and suffering, the Jewish heart would have sympathy for you; but if your house were involved in the matter, you and your house would be two separate entities; the Jew would naturally find it difficult, in his theory of business, to humanize the house; he would deal with it after a manner which other people would call "hard", but he would not feel the charge to be just; he would say that it was only "business". **[H: How many of YOU have lived through the loss of property, homes, etc., and experienced this approach AT ALL COST (TO YOU OF COURSE)?]**

It is probably this way that the Jewish "sweatshops" of New York may be explained. When the susceptible people of the nation commiserated the poor Jews of the New York sweatshops, they for the most part did not know that the inventors and operators of the "sweatshop" method were THEMSELVES Jews. Indeed, while it is the boast of our country that no race or color or creed is persecuted here, but liberty is insured to all, still it is a fact which every special investigator has noted that the only heartless treatment ever accorded the Jew in the United States

came *from his own people, his overseers and masters*. And yet there is no evidence that either the "sweater" or the "sweated" ever thought of it as inhumanity or as "heartless". It was "business". The "sweated" lived in the hope of having a roomful of people sewing for him or her some day. Their endlessly vital interest in "business" and their unflagging ambition to get further up the ladder and become masters in their own sweatshop, enabled them to work without the slightest sense of oppression or injustice which, after all, is the sorest thing about poverty. The Jews never regard work as a calamity, but neither do they regard subordinate positions as permanently theirs. Thus, they spend their energies in getting up and out rather than in lamenting the inconveniences of the place where they are and trying to improve it.

All this is individually excellent but socially harmful. The result is that, until recently, the lower ranges of employment were wholly unsupervised, and the higher circles never felt the necessity of devising industrial reforms and benefits. The record of the great Jews in charity is very noble; their record in industrial reforms is nil. With commendable sympathy toward their own people they will donate a part of their profits to rectify some of the human need resulting from the method by which they made their profits, but as for reforming the method by which they get their profits in order that the resulting need might be diminished or prevented, apparently it has never occurred to them. At least, while there are many charitable names among the wealthier Jews, there are no names that stand for an actual, practical humanizing of industry, its methods and its returns.

This, of course, is unfortunate; but it is intelligible; more than that, it is explanatory of many things for which the Jew is blamed by those who do not understand his nature. The Jew will go part way in sharing the results of his prosperity; he has not gone any length, save upon outer compulsion, in sharing the processes, or sharing wealth in the making. And while the social effect is the same as if this were done out of cruel insensibility and inhumanity, still it must be said that mostly it is done not out of such feelings, but out of the Jew's ingrained conception of the game of business. Some proposals of industrial reform appear as crazy to him as would a proposal to credit one baseball batter's hit to his opponent's score, just as a matter of humanity.

The American Jew does not assimilate. This is stated, not to blame him, but merely as a fact. The Jew could merge with the people of America if he desired, but he doesn't. If there is any prejudice existing against him in America, aside from the sense of inquiry which his colossal success engenders, it is because of his aloofness. The Jew is not objectionable in his person, creed, or race. His spiritual ideals are shared by the world. **[H: As expressed.]** But still he does not assimilate; he cultivates by his exclusiveness the feeling that he does not "belong". This is his privilege, and from one point of view it may indicate excellent judgment, but he must not make it one of the grounds of his complaint against Gentiles in general, as he has a tendency to do. It is better that he should make it clear to Gentiles once and for all where true Jews stand in the matter, as when a *young Jew said*—"There is all the difference in the world between an American Jew and a Jewish American. A Jewish American is a mere amateur Gentile, doomed to be a parasite forever."

[H: RE-READ THAT PLEASE. There is more "meaning" in that one statement than perhaps in all the rest of the book assembled.]

The ghetto is not an American product but the Jews' own importation. **[H: Note in modern times you will find the ghettos made up of areas such as Brentwood, Hollywood, New York business sections and high-income suburbs, Beverly Hills and other high-rent city places—not the recognized or "thought about" dark, isolated ghettos of the poverty stricken Jew or any other minority grouping. The Jew, however, will whine and cry about his plight and those "against" him all the way to every MAJOR BANK IN THE WORLD.]** They have separated themselves into a distinct community. Speaking of this matter the *Jewish Encyclopedia* says: "The social organization of the Jews resident in America has

differed little from that in other countries...in the main, and without any compulsion, Jews preferred to live in close proximity to one another, a peculiarity which still prevails.”

To make a list of the lines of business controlled by the Jews of the United States would be to touch most of the vital industries of the country—those which are really vital, and those which cultivated habit has made to seem vital. The theatrical business, of course, as everyone knows, is exclusively Jewish. Play-producing, booking, theater operation are all in the hands of Jews. This perhaps accounts for the fact that in almost every production today can be detected propaganda, sometimes glaringly commercial advertisement, which does not originate with playwrights, but with producers.

The motion picture industry
The sugar industry
The tobacco industry
Fifty percent or more of the meat packing industry
Upward of 60 percent of the shoemaking industry
Men’s and women’s ready-made clothing
Most of the musical purveying done in the country
Jewelry
Grain
More recently, cotton
The Colorado smelting industry
Magazine authorship
News distribution
The liquor business
The loan business

These, only to name the industries with national and international sweep, are in control of the Jews of the United States, either alone or in association with Jews overseas.

The American people would be vastly surprised if they could see a line-up of some of the “American business men” who hold up our commercial prestige overseas. They are mostly Jews. They have a keen sense of the value of the American name, and when in a foreign port you stroll up to the office which bears the sign, “American Importing Company”, or “American Commercial Company”, or other similarly noncommittal names, hoping to find a countryman, an American, you usually find a Jew whose sojourn in America appears to have been all too brief. This may throw a sidelight on the regard in which “American business methods” are held in some parts of the world. When 30 or 40 different races of people can carry on business under the name “American”, and do it legally, too, it is not surprising that Americans do not recognize some of the descriptions of American methods which appear in the foreign press. The Germans long ago complained that the rest of the world was judging them by the German-speaking Jewish commercial traveler.

Instances of Jewish prosperity in the United States are commonplace, but *prosperity*, the just reward of foresight and application, is not to be confounded with *control*. The prosperity of the Jews can be had by any one who is willing to pay the price which the Jews pay for it—a very, very high price, as a rule, all things considered—but it would be impossible for any Gentile coalition under similar circumstances to attain the control which the Jews have won, for the reason that there is lacking in the Gentile a certain quality of working-togetherness, a certain conspiracy of objective, and the adhesiveness of intense raciality, which characterizes the Jew. It is nothing to a Gentile that another man is a Gentile; it is next to everything to a Jew that the man at his door is another Jew. So, if instances of Jewish *prosperity* were needed, the case of the Temple Emmanu-el, New York, might be cited, which in 1846 could scarcely raise \$1,520 for its budget, but in 1868, following the Civil War, raised \$708,755 from the rental of 231 pews. And the rise of the Jewish clothing monopoly as one of the results of the same Civil War might be cited as an instance of prosperity plus national and international *control*.

Indeed, it might be said that the Jew has succeeded in everything he has attempted in the United States, except farming. The explanation usually made in Jewish publications is that ordinary farming is far too simple to engage the Jew’s intellect and therefore he is not enough interested in it to succeed, but that in dairy and cattle farming where the “brain” is more necessary he has made a success. Numerous attempts have been made in various parts of the United States to start Jewish farming colonies, but their story is a series of failures. [H: They have, however,

over the years gained TOTAL BUSINESS CONTROL OF THE FARMING INDUSTRY.] Some have blamed the failure on the Jew’s lack of knowledge of scientific farming, others on his distaste for manual labor, others on the lack of the speculative element in agriculture. In any case, he stands higher in the non-productive employments than in this basically productive one. Some students of the question state that the Jew never was a man of the land, but always a trader, for which assertion one of the proofs offered is the Jews’ selection of Palestine as their country, that strip of land which formed a gateway between East and West and over which the overland traffic of the world passed. [H: **How many of you realized that little tidbit of geography?**—*Issue of June 5, 1920*

[END QUOTING PART 5]

My observation is that the misplaced documents with missing parts prior to this may well be a portion of this very writing, No. 5. I don’t object if you, in placing the work into a journal, correct the error. Or, leave it as is for this is how it was presented for our use.

I hope you can see from this very writing that a slam against Jewish aggressiveness is NOT the issue for there must be business aggression if there is to be a successful industrial base upon which a society can be built, upon which all can earn a just living. But the objections have come through the total usurpation of government structure and fundamental movement AWAY from industry and into a controlled hyper-society of non-producers. Civilizations must have products and must have food and other basic necessities and when the balance is off, the nation will fold for there is nothing valid upon which to support the masses. It is through this terrible lack of balance that Antichrist shows his lust for inequality and his penchant for making a dollar off anything which comes along from welfare programs to the IRS. The CONTROL stemming from this power of manipulation and gain of oversight is that which constricts the very life-flow of nations and peoples.

You will have your things such as NAFTA orchestrated by these same giants to further debilitate the industrial base of a nation while shifting to and exploiting the more poverty-stricken nations for that industrial fabrication processing. Then when there are no more earners paying the incredible increases in tax demands—all the general assistance is removed into the pockets of the Jewish kings and the citizens are left with nothing save WARS in their future—for WARS MAKE MONEY FOR THE ELITE. High level Jewish boys and girls do not get SENT TO WAR—THEY HELP MAKE THE WARS and “acceptable losses” do not include THEM. The money flows back to the Elite gatherers and the bills go to the masses who must then experience one depression following on the heels of each war encounter. You do not need a war in Iraq to hide Billy’s zipper problems—you need MONEY, America, for you are on the brink of total economic disaster!

Your *Constitution* was written by Masons and Jews and was built on the foundation of Native American *constitutions*. There was no intent of being totally honest or FAIR. The point was to structure something that sounded good, gave a foot in the industrial-age door, and then immediately THE AMENDMENTS WOULD TAKE CARE OF ANY UNDESIRABLE RESTRICTIONS ON THE RICH AND CONTROLLING GOVERNMENT. You have no “*Constitution*” left in operation for you, already, in America, function UNDER THE CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS ONE WORLD GOVERNMENT. HOW ELSE DO YOU THINK YOU COULD GO FORTH AND HAVE A UNILATERAL WAR ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD WHILE ALL YOUR COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE AGAINST THE MOVE?

And how would your Attorney General rule on this matter? With the Big Boys of the World Order, of course. She, Reno, and your Treasurer, just as are other cabinet members of your President, ARE FULLY PAID BY THE IMF (INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND) OF THE UNITED NATIONS AND INCLUSIVE OF, THE WORLD BANK.

There, LAWFULLY, only is required the President’s signature to the ORDER without any consideration of Congress AT ALL to go to war and invoke every domestic Executive Order to bring everything in America under total Government CONTROL, from your transportation to your food supply—EVERYTHING! The orders are in place and operative and the Elite controllers KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THEY ARE DOING. THEY DON’T EVEN HAVE TO PULL OFF A WAR TO GET TOTAL CONTROL OF THE NATION AND THE UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION. Why in the world do you marvel at the tactics against your President today? But, Clinton knows that if he signs over to this war, he is finished. This is a pretty nasty place for anyone, even Billy Zipper.

By the way, Americans, how are you financing this massive build-up in the Gulf? This is not exactly like a maneuver exercise off the Gulf of Mexico. This is half a world away and the cost is enormous for this little show of power. WHO IS PAYING FOR IT THAT YOU CAN STILL CONSIDER CUTTING MILITARY BUDGETS, CLOSE MILITARY BASES AND CUT DEFENSE BUDGETS TO LESS THAN MAINTENANCE LEVELS? PAY ATTENTION: WHO IS PAYING FOR THIS MASSIVE PIECE OF MANIPULATION AND DESTRUCTION GAMES? BALANCED BUDGET? COME NOW, YOU CAN’T EVEN ASSIST THE PEOPLE IN YOUR CURRENT “NATIONAL DISASTERS”.

Oh I see, well this “superfund” and thus and so? So the presses run out worthless paper notes triple-time, and that with new and doubled presses brought on line.

But you will stop this insanity? HOW ARE YOU GOING TO STOP THIS INSANITY? YOU DID NOTHING WHEN YOU COULD HAVE STOPPED IT SO WHY THINK YOU THAT YOU CAN CHANGE IT NOW?

And no: all the praying you do for God to change it—will merit you NOTHING. God only rebuilds and creates—He does not destroy and the bringing down of this government you have established is destruction. You are stuck with that which you have allowed and helped construct.

Will this mean the end of the world? As YOU know it, yes, but as the world knows it, it is the BEGINNING. NOSTRADAMUS WAS A JEW; JOHN’S REVELATION SCRIBE WAS A JEW; WOW!

The other religions of the world, EXCLUSIVE of your evangelical fundamentalist christians, DON’T EVEN CONSIDER YOUR REVELATIONS TO MATTER A WHIT—EXCEPT TO AGAIN OPEN THE POSSIBILITIES OF LIFE FLOW INTO THE MASSES OF HUMANITY—WHEN THE HEAD ANTICHRIST IS GONE. THEREFORE, AMERICA MAY GET VERY HOT AND BOTHERED PRETTY SOON NOW.

Dharma, get off this computer for that “singing” is for you! Adonai.

2/18/98—#1 HATONN

[QUOTING, PART 6, GLOBAL PARASITES:]

THE JEWISH QUESTION—FACT OR FANCY?

“The Jewish Question still exists. It would be useless to deny it. The Jewish Question exists wherever Jews live in perceptible numbers. Where it does not exist, it is carried by Jews in the course of their migrations. We naturally move to those places where we are not persecuted, and there our presence produces persecutions... The unfortunate Jews are now carrying anti-Semitism into England; they have already introduced it into America.”—Theodore Herzl, A Jewish State, p. 4

The chief difficulty in writing about the Jewish Question is the supersensitiveness of Jews and non-Jews concerning the whole matter. There is a vague feeling that even to openly use the word “Jew”, or to expose it nakedly in print, is somehow improper. Polite evasions like “Hebrew” and “Semite”, both of which are subject to the criticism of inaccuracy, are timidly essayed, and people pick their way gingerly as if the whole subject were forbidden, until some courageous Jewish thinker comes straight out with the good old word “Jew”, and then the constraint is relieved and the air cleared. The word “Jew” is not an epithet; it is a name, ancient and honorable, with significance for every period of human history, past, present and to come. [H: **And note that even Ford was writing without full research or investigation or he would know that “Jew” is NOT an “ancient” name but, rather, a very recent term coined to fit a need of the self-styled Khazarian Serpent People. As the government comes under control of the Jews and the press, media and most great business corporations, the term Jew is utilized more and more as if breaking ice, by such as speakers in the media mostly at gatherings of old comedians on various programs where they can openly talk about being Jewish and speak of “the Jews”. Larry King is an excellent example of being a Jew himself and bringing full recognition of the high status of that label on his guests.]**

There is extreme sensitiveness about the public discussion of the Jewish Question on the part of Gentiles. They would prefer to keep it in the hazy borderlands of their thought, shrouded in silence. Their heritage of tolerance has something to do with their attitude, but perhaps their instinctive sense of the difficulty

involved has more to do with it. The principal public Gentile pronouncements upon the Jewish Question are in the manner of the truckling politician or the pleasant after-dinner speaker; the great Jewish names in philosophy, medicine, literature, music and finance are named over, the energy, ability and thrift of the race are dwelt upon, and everyone goes home feeling that a difficult place has been rather neatly negotiated. But nothing is changed thereby. The Jew is not changed. The Gentile is not changed. The Jew still remains the enigma of the world.

Gentile sensitiveness on this point is best expressed by the desire for silence—"Why discuss it at all?" is the attitude. Such an attitude is itself a proof that there is a problem which we would evade if we could. "Why discuss it at all?"—the keen thinker clearly sees in the implications of such a question the existence of a problem whose discussion or suppression will not always be within the choice of easy-going minds.

Is there a Jewish Question in Russia? Unquestionably, in its most virulent form. Is it necessary to meet that Question in Russia? Undoubtedly, meet it from every angle along with light, and healing may come.

Well, the percentage of the Jewish population of Russia is just one per cent more than it is in the United States. The majority of the Jews themselves are not less well-behaved in Russia than they are here; they lived under restrictions which do not exist here; yet in Russia their genius has enabled them to attain a degree of power which has completely baffled the Russian mind. Whether you go to Rumania, Russia, Austria or Germany, or anywhere else that the Jewish Question has come to the forefront as a vital issue, you will discover that the principal cause is the outworking of the Jewish genius to achieve the power of control.

Here in the United States it is the fact of this remarkable minority—a sparse Jewish ingredient of three per cent in a nation of 110,000,000—attaining in 50 years a degree of control that would be impossible to a ten times larger group of any other race, that creates the Jewish Question here. Three per cent of any other people would scarcely occasion comment, because we could not meet with a representative of them wherever we went in high places—in the innermost secrecy of the councils of the Big Four at Versailles, in the supreme court, in the councils of the White House, in the vast dispositions of world finance—wherever there is power to get or use. Yet we meet the Jew everywhere in the upper circles, literally everywhere there is power. He has the brains, the initiative, the penetrative vision which almost automatically project him to the top, and as a consequence he is more marked than any other race.

And that is where the Jewish Question begins. It begins in very simple terms—How does the Jew so habitually and so resistlessly gravitate to the highest places? What puts him there? Why is he put there? What does he do there? What does the fact of his being there mean to the world?

That is the Jewish Question in its origin. From these points it goes on to others, and whether the trend becomes pro-Jewish or anti-Semitic depends on the amount of prejudice brought to the inquiry, and whether it becomes pro-Humanity depends on the amount of insight and intelligence.

The use of the word Humanity in connection with the word Jew usually throws a side-meaning which may not be intended. In this connection it is usually understood that the humanity ought to be shown toward the Jew. There is just as great an obligation upon the Jew to show his humanity toward the whole race. The Jew has been too long accustomed to think of himself as exclusively the claimant on the humanitarianism of society; society has a large claim against him that he cease his exclusiveness; that he cease exploiting the world; that he cease making Jewish groups the end and all of his gains, and that he begin to fulfill, in a sense his exclusiveness has never yet enabled him to fulfill, the ancient prophecy that through him all the nations of the Earth should be blessed.

The Jew cannot go on forever filling the role of suppliant for the world's humanitarianism; he must himself show that quality to a society which seriously suspects his higher and more powerful groups of exploiting it with a pitiless rapacity which in its wide-flung and long drawn-out distress may be described as an economic pogrom against a rather helpless humanity. For it is true that society is as helpless before the well-organized extortions of certain financial groups, as huddled groups of Russian Jews were helpless against the anti-Semitic mob. And as in Russia, so in America, it is the poor Jew who suffers for the delinquencies of the rich exploiter of his race. [H: Note that this is the same

type of exploitation, but inclusive of violence, that has happened to the Blacks. Those high-level Blacks who seem to "succeed" in such as Congress, etc., are mostly simply symbolic showpieces and THEY HAVE JOINED THE THRUST IN GREED, POWER WISHES AND DESIRES FOR ACHIEVING WHAT THE "WHITE" MAN HAS ACCOMPLISHED. The major difference is the pure tolerance of the "handlers" of these Blacks. The Blacks are not "accepted", they are USED, for they sell out their very own people and race in the process.]

This series of articles is already being met by an organized barrage by mail and wire and voice, every single item of which carries the wail of persecution. One would think that a heartless and horrible attack were being made on a most pitiable and helpless people—until one looks at the letterheads of the magnates who write, and at the financial ratings of those who protest, and at the membership of the organizations whose responsible heads hysterically demand retraction. And always in the background there is the threat of boycott, a threat which has practically sealed up the columns of every publication in America against even the mildest discussion of the Jewish Question.

The Jewish Question in America cannot be concealed forever by threats against publications, nor by the propagandist publication of matter extremely and invariably favorable to everything Jewish. It is here and it cannot be twisted into something else by the adroit use of propaganda, nor can it be forever silenced by threats. The Jews of the United States can best serve themselves and their fellow-Jews all over the world by letting drop their far too ready cry of "anti-Semitism", by adopting a franker tone than that which befits a helpless victim, and by seeing what the Jewish Question is and how it behooves every Jew who loves his people to help solve it.

There has been used in this series the term "International Jew". It is susceptible of two interpretations: One, the Jew wherever he may be; the other, the Jew who exercises intentional control. The real contention of the world is with the latter and his satellites, whether Jew or Gentile.

Now, this international type of Jew, this grasper after world-control, this actual possessor and wielder of world-control is a very unfortunate connection for his race to have. The most unfortunate thing about the international Jew, from the standpoint of the ordinary Jew, is that the international type is also a Jew. And the significance of this is that the type does not grow anywhere else than on a Jewish stem. There is no other racial nor national type which puts forth this kind of person. It is not merely that there are a few Jews among international financial controllers; it is that these world controllers are exclusively Jews. That is the phenomenon which creates an unfortunate situation for those Jews who are not and never shall be world-controllers, who are the plain people of the Jewish race. If world-control were mixed, like the control, say, of the biscuit business, then the occasional Jews we might find in those higher financial altitudes would not constitute the problem at all; the problem would then be limited to the existence of world-control in the hands of a few men, of whatever race or lineage they might be. But since world control is an ambition which has only been achieved by Jews, and not by any of the methods usually adopted by would-be world conquerors, it becomes inevitable that the question should center in that remarkable race.

This brings another difficulty: In discussing this group of world-controllers under the name of Jews (and they are Jews), it is not always possible to stop and distinguish the group of Jews that is meant. The candid reader can usually determine that, but the Jew who is in a state of mind to be injured is sometimes pained by reading as a charge against himself what was intended for the upper group. "Then why not discuss the upper groups as financiers and not as Jews?" may be asked. Because they ARE Jews. It is not to the point to insist that in any list of rich men there are more Gentiles than Jews; we are not talking about merely rich men who have, many of them, gained their riches by serving a System, we are talking about those who Control—and it is perfectly apparent that merely to be rich is not to control. The world-controlling Jew has riches, but he also has something much more powerful than that.

The international Jew, as already defined, rules not because he is rich, but because in a most marked degree he possesses the commercial and masterful genius of his race, and avails himself of a racial loyalty and solidarity the like of which exists in no other human group. In other words, transfer today the world-control of the international Jew to the

hands of the highest commercially talented group of Gentiles, and the whole fabric of world-control would eventually fall to pieces, because the Gentile lacks a certain quality, be it human or divine, be it natural or acquired, that the Jew possesses.

[H: It is LACK OF CHRIST VALUES. By doing lip service only to goodness and Christ's recognition of GOOD MORALS AND ETHICAL BEHAVIORS the anti-Christ can gain control of worldly manifestation. He can do that by playing to the non-Christ attitudes within every human being. He is, however, not bound by such shackles in his living expression. He prays to Lucifer as Jehovah and asks not for Godly creation in goodness but to overcome, become, and displace any above him through any means appropriate at a given time in experience. Jews simply are not bound by CHRISTIAN rules and it makes it EASY to gain control, for the usual Gentile has no desire for CONTROL but only to express. This difference is utilized constantly to bewilder and confound—and bring into control—the Gentile of any race, color or, actually, creed.]

This, of course, the modern Jew denies. There is a new position taken by the modernists among the Jews which constitutes a denial that the Jew differs from any other man except in the matter of religion. "Jew" they say is not a racial designation, but a religious designation like "Episcopalian", "Catholic", "Presbyterian". This is the argument used in newspaper offices in the Jews' protests against giving the Jewish designation to those of their people who are implicated in crime—"You don't give the religious classifications of other people who are arrested," the editor is told, "why should you do it with Jews?" The appeal to religious tolerance always wins, and is sometimes useful in diverting attention from other things.

Well, if the Jews are only religiously differentiated from the rest of the world, the phenomenon grows stranger still. For the rest of the world is interested less in the Jew's religion than in anything else that concerns him. There is really nothing in his religion to differentiate the Jew from the rest of mankind, as far as the moral content of that religion is concerned, and if there were he would have overcome that by the fact that his Jewish religion supplies the moral structure for both of the other great religions. Moreover, it is stated that there are among English speaking nations 2,000,000 Jews who acknowledge their race and not their religion, while 1,000,000 are classified as agnostic—are these any less Jews than the others? The world does not think so. The authoritative students of human differences do not think so. An Irishman who grows indifferent to the Church is still an Irishman, and it would seem to be equally true that a Jew who grows indifferent to the Synagogue is still a Jew. He at least feels that he is, and so does the non-Jew.

A still more serious challenge would arise if this contention of the modernists were true, for it would necessitate the explanation of these world-controlling Jews by their religion. We should have to say, "They excel through their religion," and then the problem would turn on the religion whose practice should bring such power and prosperity to its devotees. But another fact would intervene, namely, that these world-controlling Jews are not notably religious; and still another fact would hammer for recognition, namely, the most devout believers and most obedient followers of the Jewish religion are the poorest among the Jews. If you want Jewish orthodoxy, the bracing morality of the *Old Testament*, you will find it, not among the successful Jews, who have Unitarianized their religion to the same extent that the Unitarians have Judaized their Christianity, but among the poor in the side streets who still sacrifice the Saturday business for their Sabbath keeping. Certainly their religion has not given them world-control; instead, they have made their own sacrifices to keep it inviolate against modernism.

Of course, if the Jew differs from the rest of mankind only when he is in full accord with his religion, the question becomes very simple. Any criticism of the Jew becomes sheer religious bigotry and nothing else! And that would be intolerable. But it would be the consensus of thoughtful opinion that the Jew differs less in his religion than in anything else. There is more difference between the two great branches of Christianity, more conscious difference, than between any branch of Christianity and Judaism. [H: This is because you are not dealing with RELIGION—you are dealing with Spiritual righteousness and thus you bring down moral standards, which produces the very thing you would rather not be: ANTICHRIST.]

So that, the contention of certain modernists notwithstanding, the world will go on thinking of the Jew as a member of a race, a race whose persistence has defeated the utmost efforts made for its extermination, a race that has preserved itself in virility and power by the observance of those natural laws the violation of which has mongrelized so many nations, a race which has come up out of the past with the two great moral values which may be reckoned on monotheism and monogamy, a race which today is before us as the visible sign of an antiquity to which all our spiritual wealth harks back. Nay, the Jew will go on thinking of himself as the member of a people, a nation, a race. And all the mixture and intermixture of thought or faith or custom cannot make it otherwise. A Jew is a Jew and as long as he remains with his perfectly unassailable traditions, he will remain a Jew. And he will always have the right to feel that to be a Jew is to belong to a superior race.

These world-controlling Jews at the top of affairs, then, are there by virtue of, among other things, certain qualities which are inherent in their Jewish natures. Every Jew has these qualities even if not in the supreme sense, just as every Englishman has Shakespeare's tongue but not in Shakespeare's degree. And thus it is impracticable, if not impossible, to consider the international Jew without laying the foundations broadly upon Jewish character and psychology.

We may discount at once the too common libel that this greater form of Jewish success is built upon dishonesty. It is impossible to indict the Jewish people or any other people on a wholesale charge. No one knows better than the Jew how widespread is the notion that Jewish methods of business are all unscrupulous. There is no doubt a possibility of a great deal of unscrupulousness existing without actual legal dishonesty, but it is altogether possible that the reputation the Jewish people have long borne in this respect may have had other sources than actual and persistent dishonesty.

We may indicate one of these possible sources. The Jew at a trade is naturally quicker than most other men. They say there are other races which are as nimble at a trade as is the Jew, but the Jew does not live much among them. In this connection one may remember the famous joke about the Jew who went to Scotland.

Now, it is human nature for the slower man to believe that the quicker man is too deft by far, and to become suspicious of his deftness. Everybody suspects the "sharper" even though his sharpness be entirely honest. The slower mind is likely to conceive that the man who sees so many legitimate twists and turns to a trade, may also see and use a convenient number of illegitimate twists and turns. Moreover, there is always the ready suspicion that the one who gets "the best of the bargain" gets it by trickery which is not above board. Slow, honest, plain-spoken and straight-dealing people always have their doubts of the man who gets the better of it.

The Jews, as the records for centuries show, were a keen people in trade. They were so keen that many regarded them as crooked. And so the Jew became disliked for business reasons, not all of which were creditable to the intelligence or initiative of his enemies.

Take, for example, the persecution which Jew merchants once suffered in England. In older England the merchant class had many easy-going traditions. One tradition was that a respectable tradesman would never seek business but wait for it to come to him. Another tradition was that to decorate one's store window with lights or colors, or to display one's stock of goods attractively in the view of the public, was a contemptible and underhanded method of tempting a brother tradesman's customers away from him. Still another tradition was that it was strictly unethical and unbusinesslike to handle more than one line of goods. If one sold tea, it was the best reason in the world why he should not sell teaspoons. As for advertising, the thing would have been so brazen and bold that the public opinion would have put the advertiser out of business. The proper demeanor for a merchant was to seem reluctant to part with his goods.

One may readily imagine what happened when the Jewish merchant bustled into the midst of this jungle of traditions. He simply broke them all. In those days tradition had all the force of a divinely promulgated moral law and in consequence of his initiative the Jew was regarded as a great offender. A man who would break those trade traditions would stop at nothing! The Jew was anxious to sell. If he could not sell one article to a customer, he had another on hand to offer him. The Jews' stores became bazaars, forerunners of our modern department stores, and the old English custom of one store for one line of goods was broken up. The Jew went after trade, pursued it, persuaded it. **[H: I jest not—YOU could take lessons. Why does God**

always have to take hind post while you allow and follow along like slaves to the master's whip? What is WRONG with honorably and honestly becoming successful and then PROTECTING your acquisitions? Why do you put such a cheap and stingy price for God Creator? You can succeed in beautiful manner and live within the laws of God and within the laws of the land. You do not give up INTEGRITY or good MORALITY by succeeding—you discount God by your failure to even try to achieve.] He was the originator of "a quick turnover and small profits". He originated the installment plan. The one state of affairs he could not endure was business at a standstill, and to start it moving he would do anything. He was the first advertiser—in a day when even to announce in the public prints the location of your store was to intimate to the public that you were in financial difficulties, were about to go to the wall and were trying the last desperate expedient to which no self-respecting merchant would stoop. **[H: Perhaps you should hold in your mind the picture illustrated by the Jew WEeping all the way to the bank with YOUR MONEY.]**

It was as easy as child's play to connect this energy with dishonesty. The Jew was not playing the game, at least so the staid English merchant thought. As a matter of fact he was playing the game to get it all in his own hands—which he has practically done.

The Jew has shown that same ability ever since. His power of analyzing the money currents amounts to an instinct. His establishment in one country represented another base from which the members of his race could operate. Whether by the natural outworking of innate gifts, or the deliberate plan of race unity and loyalty, all Jewish trading communities had relations, and as these trading communities increased in wealth, prestige and power, as they formed relations with governments and great interests in the countries where they operated, they simply put more power into the central community wherever it might be located, now in Spain, now in Holland, now in England. Whether by intention or not, they became more closely allied than the branches of one business could be, because the cement of racial unity, the bond of racial brotherhood cannot in the very nature of things exist among the Gentiles as it exists among the Jews. Gentiles never think of themselves as Gentiles, and never feel that they owe anything to another Gentile as such. Thus they have been convenient agents of Jewish schemes at times and in places when it was not expedient that the Jewish controllers should be publicly known; but they have never been successful competitors of the Jew in the field of world-control.

From these separated Jewish communities went power to the central community where the master bankers and the master analysts of conditions lived. And back from the central community flowed information of an invaluable character and assistance wherever needed. It is not difficult to understand how, under such a condition, the nation that did not deal kindly with the Jews was made to suffer, and the nation that yielded to them their fullest desire was favored by them. And it is credibly stated that they have made certain nations feel the power of their displeasure.

This system, if it ever existed, exists in greater power today. It is today, however, threatened as it has never been. Fifty years ago, international banking, which was mostly in control of the Jews as the money brokers of the world, was on top of business. It exercised the supercontrol of governments and finance everywhere. Then came the new thing, Industry, which expanded to a degree unguessed by the shrewdest prophets and analysts. As Industry gathered strength and power it became a powerful money magnet, drawing the wealth of the world in its train, not, however, merely for the sake of possessing the money, but of making it work. Production and profit on production, instead of loans and interest on loans, became the master method for a time. The war came, in which the former broker-masters of the world had undoubtedly their large part. And now the two forces, Industry and Finance, are in a struggle to see whether Finance is again to become the master, or creative Industry. This is one of the elements which is bringing the Jewish Question to the bar of public opinion. **[H: Boy—and I guess you know who won!]**

To state this and prove it may be nothing more than to establish the superiority of Jewish ability. Certainly it is not a tenable position to say that the Jew is extraordinarily successful and therefore must be curbed. It would be equally aside from the truth to say that the co-ordination of Jewish activity has been, on the whole, a harmful thing for the world. It may be possible to show that up to this point it has been useful. Success cannot be attacked nor condemned. If any moral question arises at all, it must concern the use made of the success which has been

attained. The whole matter centers there, after the previous fact is established. May the Jew go on as he has gone, or does his duty to the world require another use of his success?

This inquiry obviously leads to further discussion, as well as a gathering up of the remaining threads of the present discussion, which future articles will attempt to do.—*Issue of June 12, 1920*

[END QUOTING PART 6, SERIES TO BE CONTINUED]

To fully appreciate the true definition of "JEW" you have to know background and how the very term came into being. An Irishman can be a Jew for it defines a state of activities, morality and beliefs that are not of any "religion", save perhaps Satanism or Luciferianism. Further, these people look out for one another and if a job is open, the boss will hire his buddy or his relative, good or bad. But what happens is that when you place "hookers" onto definitions such as "race", "creed", "color", "language", etc., you can't find a PLACE to stick this shrewd manager of worldly THINGS. You have just drawn the line between Spiritual Right and Physical Experience. You don't have to work in the shadows, but the tricks are so much more effective in secret—aren't they? Even if a thing be legal and grand the assumption is that if the "other" person gets it—it is somehow unlawful, unethical or immoral. However, the point is that with the One World Order—all three attributes are actually PRESENT.

I am usually asked what should go on the "Hotline" when outrageous things come past our desks. Anything and everything you find that MAY BE SO. DISINFORMATION is the name of the game, so you can't KNOW, and I am not going to be put into the position of being a guru or a fortune teller. If you hear that there will be a war with nuclear bombs in four days, my attitude is to share it and then you check out your gear and food supplies. Then, FIND OUT WHAT YOU CAN; don't depend on the Nostradamus prophecies to MAYBE give you a clue—Nostradamus was a Catholic Jew. Quit your silly games or you are going to get blown totally away.


What are you going to do differently IF THE GERMANS IN NEW MEXICO COME OUT IN FULL DOOR-TO-DOOR INSPECTIONS? SHOOT THEM? What a bunch of hogwash.

The last document that just arrived is nothing but misinformation to rally the militias and make restless the sheeple, a last-ditch effort to alert and wake up some of you sleepers waiting for war. That part is good; the explanations are not shrewd, they will get you killed.

One militia leader states: "If some foreign power came into Kansas and put a gun to our head and demanded that we hand over all the grain we have there (oops, that's right, they HAVE been doing that), YOU WOULDN'T LET THEM GET AWAY WITH IT, EVEN THOUGH SOME OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE."

What would YOU do TODAY if the above happened? Well, what makes the "visitors" think you might have grain to confiscate? What is the matter with you Gentiles? Shocked? Angry? You have even better insight if you have been anywhere near our TRUTH for these years. You do NOT become your enemy to cooperate with that enemy. Don't be silly. You act up and you become one less problem for the bad boys because they now have a reason to shoot you dead when you threaten them back. Dead martyrs or patriots are worthless. We need people to LIVE FOR FREEDOM, not keep dying in false reasoning to lessen the enemies' problems.

So, any of you around here think of pulling out your pop-guns and cocking them had best think again. You have waited too long to keep the thugs from over-running you, so you hold your fire and live to THINK of some better way to manage the circumstances. You can be GODLY in ANY setting. You have to be an idiot to be STUPID in the Antichrist movement. Those Germans will be doing exactly what they are brought here to do—whatever they are ordered to do in the absence of your own military.

This is WHY we don't have a "GROUP" of ANY KIND. You shall not place blame for your bad behavior on any of us here. We keep the law—of the land and of God. And, if the *Germans* arrive, ask them in, give them coffee and cake and share the *Journals!* And if they have come to seize the *Journals*—GIVE THEM ALL TO THEM. Remember the Teacher: "If a man takes your coat and you have two—offer him the other one as well." I have no interest in psychics, fortune tellers, spooks or kooks, remote-viewers or any other kind of mystical hocus-pocus. If this displeases you, then I am sorry FOR YOU. It is this very nonsense which brings you to the stoop of disaster constantly without any kind of ability to counter it save wring your hands, prattle about it and get out your pop-guns. Shame on you for calling yourself Christ-believers. Adonai.—GCH 

The News Desk

By John & Jean Ray

OFFICER'S VIEWS ON ISLAM STIR CONTROVERSY
GENERAL LIKENS TERROR BATTLE
TO WAR ON 'SATAN'

By Michael Kilian, *Washington Bureau*, 10/17/03

WASHINGTON—Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld refused Thursday to criticize a high-ranking Pentagon general and evangelical Christian who has made public speeches denigrating the God of the Islamic faith and asserting that the U.S. was attacked by terrorists because “we are a Christian nation”.

The officer, Lt. Gen. William Boykin, Rumsfeld's deputy undersecretary of defense for intelligence and warfighting support and a former commando, has likened the fight against Islamic radicals who hate the U.S. to a war between Judeo-Christian values and “Satan”. He also has said, “God, not the voters, chose President Bush.”

Boykin, who has frequently spoken before church groups and prayer meetings, said in one appearance that his Christian faith played a role in his battle against a Muslim warlord in Somalia. “I knew that my God was bigger than his,” Boykin said. “I knew that my God was a real God, and his was an idol.”

In a long and sometimes heated exchange with reporters Thursday during a Pentagon news briefing, Rumsfeld said Boykin had “an outstanding record” and that many in the government and military freely express their views. **[JR: Only when they are out to promote the administration's position.]**

“That's the way we live,” the defense secretary said. “We're a free people. **[JR: What ivory tower did he fall out of?]** And that's the wonderful thing about our country. And I think that for anyone to run around and think that that can be managed and controlled is probably wrong. . . . Saddam Hussein could do it pretty well, because he'd go around killing people if they said things he didn't like.”... **[JR: Yeah, well now we have laws that have killed free speech and ended our freedoms.]**

Rumsfeld emphatically pointed out, however, that Bush's position is that the U.S. is fighting a war against terrorism, not Islam, and that terrorist acts violate the tenets of Islam.

“We believe in this administration that... the war on terrorism is not a war against a religion,” Rumsfeld said. “It is not a war against a people or a country. It is a war against a group of people who have taken the subject of terrorism and tried to hijack a religion and make it look like that's part of their religion, which it is not.”...

Gen. Douglas MacArthur was relieved of command for questioning the leadership of President Harry Truman during the Korean War. Former Gen. Wesley Clark, now a Democratic presidential candidate, was forced to depart early from his post as Supreme Allied Commander in Europe for openly chafing at the refusal of President Bill Clinton and Defense Secretary William Cohen to use ground troops against the Yugoslav army in Kosovo.

More recently, Army Chief of Staff Gen. Eric Shinseki was publicly chastised by Rumsfeld for saying that 200,000 or more U.S. troops would be needed in Iraq, instead of the much smaller number Rumsfeld insisted was adequate. ... **[JR: What else can you expect from a Zionist who only gives you the rights he thinks you deserve?]**

Joining Rumsfeld at Thursday's briefing, Air Force Gen. Richard Myers, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, said Boykin's remarks fall into a “gray area” **[JR: More likely a black hole.]** and that it did not initially appear that rules had been broken.

“There is a very wide gray area of what the rules permit,” Myers said. “Generally, when you speak to groups, if you're in a private capacity, it's probably appropriate not to wear a uniform, but there are always exceptions to that.”

Television news outlets have broadcast videotape of Boykin speaking before groups in uniform. Myers said that he also had spoken in churches at prayer breakfasts. ...

One of the earliest members of the military's secret Delta Force, **[JR: Shows how well his Tavistock programming is holding up.]** Boykin was a commander of Army troops in Somalia 10 years ago at the time of the bloody “Black Hawk down” incident and was wounded by a mortar shell in Mogadishu. ...

Boykin has been outspoken in his religious beliefs. According to a Ft. Dix newspaper called *Outlook*, Boykin told a prayer breakfast there that America's enemy was religious in nature. “The enemy is not the terrorists,” he was quoted as saying at the New Jersey base. “The enemy has come against us in a spiritual realm.”

He said the U.S. was founded on Judeo-Christian principles **[JR: Since the late 1960s to be exact.]** and vowed “we will never walk away from Israel.”... **[JR: Well, Israel will walk all over us when we are no longer useful to her plans and she has taken everything we have. Count on it!]**

GENERAL APOLOGIZES FOR COMMENTS

By Matt Kelley, *Newsday*, 10/18/03

WASHINGTON (AP)—A top Pentagon general apologized Friday to those offended by his statements casting the war on terrorism in religious terms.

In a statement, Army Lt. Gen. William Boykin said he never meant to offend Muslims.

“I am not anti-Islam or any other religion,” Boykin said. “I support the free exercise of all religions. For those who have been offended by my statements, I offer a sincere apology.”

Pentagon officials released Boykin's statement late Friday after spending hours deliberating how to calm the storm of criticism surrounding his comments.

The general's statements came in speeches—some made in uniform—at evangelical Christian churches.

In several speeches, Boykin said the real enemy was not Osama bin Laden but Satan.

A decorated veteran of foreign campaigns, the three-star general had said of a 1993 battle with a Muslim militia leader in Somalia: “I knew that my God was bigger than his. I knew that my God was a real God, and his was an idol.”

After the man was captured, Boykin said he told the him, “You underestimated our God.”

Boykin's statement said that comment was misinterpreted.

“My comments to Osman Otto in Mogadishu were not referencing his worship of Allah but his worship of money and power; idolatry,” Boykin said. “He was a corrupt man, not a follower of Islam.”

Critics have said Boykin's remarks could

undermine a more than two-year Bush administration effort to promote good relations with Muslims in America, as well as play into the hands of those who have fanned anti-Americanism abroad by casting the war on terrorism as an attack on Islam.

[JR: The Army's Lt. General Boykin has been parading himself around the country like some holy Crusader preaching his sermons to the Zionist Christian congregations. Boykin's tour was a success as he helped sustain their support for the Iraq war by playing up to their fears and building their hatred towards Arabs and Muslims. After months of touring, Boykin's over-the-top speeches gained him notoriety so the Pentagon decided it was time to defuse the situation and engage in operation Damage Control. The plotters may have won the propaganda exercises in starting their planned war, but the daily casualties and escalating costs in Iraq are proving to be their biggest failure. Truth wins out in many ways and we are starting to see that daily.]

LIEBERMAN HECKLED FOR HIS SUPPORT OF ISRAEL

By Kathy Barks Hoffman, *Newsday*, 10/18/03

DETROIT (AP)—Democratic presidential candidate Sen. Joseph Lieberman was heckled Friday by several Arab-Americans angry with his support for Israel as he spoke about restoring peace and trust in the Middle East.

“What about the wall?” shouted several people attending the Arab American Institute Leadership Conference as they interrupted Lieberman's speech. The reference was to Israel's building of a barrier that juts into the West Bank.

Lieberman (D-Conn.), who is Jewish, insisted the barrier is temporary. **[JR: Then why build it and destroy all peace efforts?]**

“I regret the confiscations,” he said, referring to the Palestinian land that has been taken in the construction effort. **[JR: Then use your considerable influence to pressure Sharon to back off with his wall and settlements.]**

Israel insists the barrier is essential to prevent suicide attacks. The Palestinians call the project a flagrant grab for land before talks on the borders of a Palestinian state.

“It has nothing to do with security. It has to do with stealing from the Palestinians,” Greta Berlin shouted during a question-and-answer session after the speech. Berlin said she had just returned from the West Bank, where she was working with the International Solidarity Movement, a pro-Palestinian group. **[JR: Why no mention of a “sincere” response by Zionist Senator Lieberman to that allegation?]**

Several of the Democratic hopefuls planned to address the leadership conference during a two-day period.

Speaking first, Lieberman acknowledged his religious beliefs in trying to reach out to Arab-Americans, an important voting constituency in several key states.

He said they gathered Friday “not as Muslims and Christians and Jews, or as people of Arab descent or European descent or African or Asian descent. We are proud to be all those things. But we are more than that. We are Americans. We are children of the same God and of the same father, Abraham. We are brothers and sisters.” **[JR: You better read your Talmud again, Lieberman.]**

Lieberman blamed the Bush administration for the lack of progress toward peace between Israelis and Palestinians. **[JR: Neither you as a loyal Sayan for Israel, or your fellow, lying Democrats can ever admit to being co-conspirators in the destruction of this country.]**

He received applause for some of his comments, including his calls for tolerance and unity.

Mark Brewer, executive director of the Michigan Democratic Party, gave Lieberman credit for appearing.

“This is really a genuine attempt on his part to engage

in a dialogue about very divisive issues,” Brewer said.

[JR: Lieberman’s fielding of questions at the Arab conference was solely to deflect Israeli intentions and not at all a “genuine attempt” to build bridges with Muslim voters. He is campaigning and it’s his way of selling himself as a Jew who will make a difference. Lieberman’s chutzpah is all about how far the Zionists will go to gain supporters and votes. I would guess that many Israelis have dual citizenship to vote in the U.S. elections. The Zionists running this country will stop at nothing to make him THEIR American President of the great state of Israel/USA.]

ANTI-TRADE MESSAGE LOUD, CLEAR IN BOLIVIA

Tribune, 10/17/03

LA PAZ, Bolivia—Thousands of Indian protesters choked the streets and highways of Bolivia on Thursday, carrying a powerful message: no to the export of gas and other natural resources, no to free trade with the United States and no to globalization. **[JR: They know the “ugly American” who has bought off their “leaders” and impoverished their countries.]**

In the biggest march during a month of protests, a column of demonstrators snaked downhill from the outskirts of La Paz, exploding small dynamite sticks and chanting that the president was a “murderer”.

There were sporadic clashes between slingshot-armed protesters and tear-gas firing troops who surrounded the presidential palace.

The force of the demonstrators’ message may yet topple President Gonzalo Sanchez de Lozada, who tried to quell the unrest by offering a package of concessions—including a referendum on natural-gas exports—late Wednesday night.

The opposition rejected the proposal and vowed to continue with demonstrations meant to force the government to immediately abandon the plan to export gas to the U.S. through a port in Chile. The protests have left more than 70 people dead during the past month.

Sensing that public support for Sanchez de Lozada has all but vanished, opponents of the gas exports have pressed their advantage Thursday. ...

The protesters have lent new energy to discontent percolating in the region. Labor unions, student and civic groups, and **leaders in Venezuela, Brazil and Argentina are expressing similar doubts about market liberalization and free trade.** **[JR: Viva Viva Viva!]**

“Globalization is just another name for submission and domination,” Nicanor Apaza, 46, an unemployed miner, said at a demonstration this week. “We’ve had to live with that here for 500 years and now we want to be our own masters.”

Starting with the end of a military dictatorship two decades ago, Bolivia embraced the free-market model and was one of the first Latin American nations to open itself to the global economy. But most Bolivians have seen little benefit. Exports have declined from 25 years ago, and growth has been stagnant since 1998 in the poorest country in South America.

[JR: The workers know that their exploiters from the privileged classes still hold the power over them as they have done for the past five centuries. The people in Latin America are tired of the lies and the empty promises that have done little to change their lives. The latest news is that President de Lozada was forced to resign under intense pressure because he apparently was never a man of the people—having been raised and educated in the U.S. Evidentially this became all too obvious as to whose interests de Lozada really served. As it turned out it certainly wasn’t Bolivia and its people.]

PLATFORM TO PROMOTE GOLD DINAR

By Izatun Shari, *Asian Times*, 10/16/03

THE Organisation of Islamic Conference (OIC) Expo has become a platform to promote the usage of gold dinar as a common trade currency to unite Muslim nations.

Several exhibitors are showcasing gold dinar coins and gold bar to create public awareness on gold as an alternative to paper currency.

Visitors to the expo can view limited edition of commemorative coins and gold bar produced by Dinar & Dirham International Sdn Bhd.

Dinar & Dirham director Datuk Zulkifli Hamzah said gold dinar was the best medium of exchange for bilateral payment agreement as the value was credible and stable.

“We want to promote the use of gold to replace paper currency,” he said, adding that gold was also the most secure way of savings.

“Gold dinar can also be used for tithe collection and wedding gifts because it has real value.

“The gold dinar could bring Muslim countries together as it can solve the problem of having too many currencies in a trade settlement,” he said after the launch of coins and gold bar at the expo here yesterday.

The coins and gold bar were minted by Logam Mulia of Indonesia which is authorised by the Indonesian government. The Dinar & Dirham branch was opened in Malaysia two years ago.

The 4.25gm commemorative coin is sold at RM250 a piece and the one-ounce gold bar at RM2,000 each.

Another exhibitor, Independent Gold Dinar Sdn Bhd offers five denominations of gold dinar coins priced between RM55 and RM880.

They are minted by the Royal Mint of Malaysia and engraved with the Islamic phrase “shahadah,” which means “there is no God but Allah and Muhammad is the messenger of Allah.”

RUSSIA TO PRICE OIL IN EUROS IN SNUB TO U.S.

By Ambrose Evans-Pritchard,
Money/Telegraph—UK, 10/10/03

BRUSSELS—Russia is to start pricing its huge oil and gas exports in euros instead of dollars as part of a strategic shift to forge closer ties with the European Union.

The Russian central bank has been amassing euros since early 2002, increasing the euro share of its \$65 billion (£40 billion) foreign reserves from 10pc to more than 25pc, according to the finance ministry.

The move has set off a chain reaction in the private sector, leading to a fourfold increase in euro deposits in Russian banks this year and sending Russian citizens scrambling to change their stashes of greenbacks into euro notes.

German officials said Chancellor Gerhard Schroder secured agreement for the change-over on oil pricing from Vladimir Putin, the prime minister, while on a trip to Russia this week.

The two leaders have forged a close personal bond and are both keen to check American economic and diplomatic power.

Mr. Putin was coy about German media reports on the deal yesterday but acknowledged that Russia was exploring the idea. “We do not rule out that it is possible. That would be interesting for our European partners,” he said.

A switch to euro invoicing would not affect the long-term price of oil but it could encourage Middle Eastern exporters to follow suit and have a powerful effect on market psychology at a time when the dollar is already under intense pressure. Russia boasts the world’s biggest natural gas reserves and is the number two oil exporter after Saudi Arabia.

Yesterday the dollar recovered slightly against the yen and euro, but the IMF and the European Central Bank both warn that America’s ballooning current account deficit, now over 5pc of GDP, will lead to further decline.

Oil is seen as so central to the global power structure that the choice of currency used for pricing has acquired almost totemic significance. The switch from pounds to dollars after the Second World War has come to symbolise sterling’s demise as a world reserve currency.

If the dollar were ever displaced by the euro, it would lose the enormous freedom it now enjoys in running macro-economic policy. Washington would also forfeit the privilege of exchanging dollar notes for imports, worth an estimated 0.5pc of GDP.

Maxim Shein, from BrokerKreditService in Moscow, said the switch to euros makes sense for Russia since it supplies half of Europe’s energy needs. But the move is also part of a global realignment stemming from the Iraq war, which threw Russia, Germany and France together into a new Triple Entente.

“Abandoning the dollar is tantamount to a curtsy to the EU,” he said. For now, IMF figures show the dollar remains king, accounting for 68pc of foreign reserves worldwide compared with 13pc for the euro.

TERRORISM TOPS SUMMIT AGENDA BUSH TO BOLSTER ASIAN ALLIES IN 6-NATION TOUR

By Bob Kemper, *Tribune*, 10/16/03

RIVERSIDE, Calif.—President Bush departs Thursday for Southeast Asia, where his scheduled talks with Pacific Rim allies about the global economy and free trade are expected to be pre-empted by U.S. concerns over terrorism and security in a region wracked by terrorist attacks over the past year. ...

Bush’s stay will be limited to eight hours in the Philippines and a little more than three hours in Indonesia because of security concerns. But his aides hope that his mere presence in the volatile region will encourage Indonesian and Filipino leaders to press their fight against terrorist groups with U.S. assistance.

“Southeast Asia is an area of great concern on the terrorism front,” National Security Adviser Condoleezza Rice said. “They face real challenges, and this is an opportunity for the president to talk about that and to talk about practical ways that we might enhance cooperation.”

While in Indonesia, the world’s most populous Muslim country, Bush will meet with a group of “moderate Muslim leaders” **[JR: Are they less intractable than our Christian fundamentalists?]** to emphasize that the war he has declared on terrorism is not a war against Islam even though Islamic fundamentalists are often its target.

The 21 national leaders attending the APEC conference were expected to take up the issues of free-trade areas, currency valuations in China and U.S. agricultural subsidies. But Rice and others said terrorism would pre-empt many of those discussions. ...

Thai Prime Minister and APEC host Thaksin Shinawatra this week sounded resigned to putting aside a long economic agenda to deal with the United States’ top concerns. ... **[JR: Of course America’s concerns must be the top concern of the rest of the world, particularly the Muslim world.]**

Bush on Wednesday hailed Japan’s decision to contribute \$1.5 billion toward the reconstruction of Iraq, saying, “Japan recognizes that this effort is critical to security and peace not only in Iraq and the Middle East but also for Japan and throughout the world.”

But Bush’s aides played down expectations that other leaders would offer similar commitments over the next few

days. Despite warm relations [JR: **They mean lukewarm.**] with the Asian leaders, Bush will not even ask for their assistance in Iraq, his aides said, a sign that even staunch allies in the war on terrorism are unwilling or unable to aid Bush further in Iraq. [JR: **Bush gets it; he finally gets it!**]

Bush will arrive amid extraordinary security. A force of about 20,000 will be deployed to protect the 21 world leaders. [JR: **Wow! That comes to about 950 troops for each leader.**] Fighter jets and tanks will protect Bangkok's airport, and troops will police the city's hotels.

Rice offered measured praise for the Filipino and Indonesian governments in their fight against terrorism. ...

The U.S. crackdown on terrorist groups in the Middle East may be complicating matters for Indonesia and the Philippines, she said. ... [JR: **And that is putting it mildly.**]

"That's why these cooperative efforts that we have with the Southeast Asians are so important. It's why it's important for APEC to take up the issue."

[JR: **The U.S. needs to build up the appearance that other nations are seeing the dangers in the world as we see them, but in truth it's all an illusion because no one cares about our survival as much as we do.**]

SANCTIONS ON SYRIA WIN HOUSE APPROVAL

By Jim Abrams, *Newsday*, 10/16/03

WASHINGTON (AP)—The House voted Wednesday to impose sanctions on Syria that would tighten the economic and diplomatic squeeze on a nation the U.S. says is harboring terrorists and seeking weapons of mass destruction. [JR: **It's what Israel orders we do and not necessarily what we need to do.**]

"Diplomacy with the Syrian regime has failed miserably," said Rep. Ileana Ros-Lehtinen (R-Fla.), chairwoman of the House International Relations Middle East Subcommittee. "It is time to reinforce our words with concrete, tangible and punitive measures." [JR: **The Zionist has spoken.**]

The House bill, which passed 398-4, codifies some of the options the president already has to punish Syria, designated by the Bush administration as a rogue state and a state sponsor of terrorism.

The bill is certain to further strain relations with Syria, which the United States says has done little to stop anti-American terrorists from entering Iraq and has aided terrorist groups carrying out violence against Israel.

The measure has strong support in the Senate. [JR: **It is the engine that moves our U.S. Knesset.**]

The White House, which had regarded congressional action against Syria as unneeded and too confrontational, no longer opposes it.

Secretary of State Colin Powell said last week that he warned Syrian President Bashar Assad last May that Congress would step in if Syria didn't reverse its hostile policies. [JR: **That is before we knew who the real insurgents were.**]

Washington has been unhappy with Syria's refusal to expel leaders of Islamic Jihad and Hamas, two Palestinian groups designated by the State Department as terrorist organizations.

The bill states that Syria must end its support for terrorism, remove its 20,000 soldiers in Lebanon, stop efforts to obtain weapons of mass destruction and long-range ballistic missiles and stop illegal shipments of weapons to Iraq or oil from Iraq.

If it fails to do so, the president must impose two or more sanctions from a list of six: an export ban, a ban on U.S. businesses operating in Syria, restrictions on Syrian diplomats in the United States, exclusion of Syrian-owned aircraft from U.S. airspace, a reduction of diplomatic contacts with Syria and the freezing of Syrian assets in the United States.

Imad Mustapha, charge d'affaires at the Syrian Embassy in Washington, said last week that such legislation was a "blatant double standard" that would "damage U.S. standing in the Middle East."

[JR: **Anything that is Arab or Muslim makes the neo-cons morose and unhappy because that puts them in danger of losing their iron grip on the world. The U.S. is being led right back down that same Iraqi road with the targeting of Syria with our warnings, threats and sanctions. Our troops and their families are learning their lessons the hard way with too many of them dying in the service to their Commander-in-Chief and his scheming warlords. Israel has for a long time considered Syria a hostile neighbor and has marked her for takeover using us again as her skill. Let the terrorists running the Pentagon keep carving those notches on their guns and we'll see how long they can hold off our growing list of hostile nations.**]

U.S. SOLDIERS BULLDOZE FARMERS' CROPS

By Patrick Cockburn, *Independent*—UK, 10/12/03

DHULUAYA—U.S. soldiers driving bulldozers, with jazz blaring from loudspeakers, have uprooted ancient groves of date palms as well as orange and lemon trees in central Iraq as part of a new policy of collective punishment of farmers who do not give information about guerrillas attacking U.S. troops.

The stumps of palm trees, some 70 years old, protrude from the brown earth scoured by the bulldozers beside the road at Dhuluaya, a small town 50 miles north of Baghdad. Local women were yesterday busily bundling together the branches of the uprooted orange and lemon trees and carrying them back to their homes for firewood.

Nusayef Jassim, one of 32 farmers who saw their fruit trees destroyed, said: "They told us that the resistance fighters hide in our farms, but this is not true. They didn't capture anything. They didn't find any weapons."

Other farmers said that U.S. troops had told them, over a loudspeaker in Arabic, that the fruit groves were being bulldozed to punish the farmers for not informing on the resistance which is very active in this Sunni Muslim district.

"They made a sort of joke against us by playing jazz music while they were cutting down the trees," said one man. Ambushes of U.S. troops have taken place around Dhuluaya. But Sheikh Hussein Ali Saleh al-Jabouri, a member of a delegation that went to the nearby U.S. base to ask for compensation for the loss of the fruit trees, said American officers described what had happened as "a punishment of local people because 'you know who is in the resistance and do not tell us.'" What the Israelis had done by way of collective punishment of Palestinians was now happening in Iraq, Sheikh Hussein added.

The destruction of the fruit trees took place in the second half of last month but, like much which happens in rural Iraq, word of what occurred has only slowly filtered out. The destruction of crops took place along a kilometre-long stretch of road just after it passes over a bridge....

The children of one woman who owned some fruit trees lay down in front of a bulldozer but were dragged away, according to eyewitnesses who did not want to give their names. They said that one American soldier broke down and cried during the operation. When a reporter from the newspaper *Iraq Today* attempted to take a photograph of the bulldozers at work a soldier grabbed his camera and tried to smash it. The same paper quotes Lt. Col. Springman, a U.S. commander in the region, as saying: "We asked the farmers several times to stop the attacks, or to tell us who was responsible, but the farmers didn't tell us."

Informing U.S. troops about the identity of their attackers would be extremely dangerous in Iraqi villages, where most people are related and everyone knows each other. The farmers who lost their fruit trees all belong to

the Khazraji tribe and are unlikely to give information about fellow tribesmen if they are, in fact, attacking U.S. troops.

Asked how much his lost orchard was worth, Nusayef Jassim said in a distraught voice: "It is as if someone cut off my hands and you asked me how much my hands were worth."

[JR: **It has been over a month and the news is just now coming out of the bulldozing of an orchard by AMERICAN troops. It may seem a minor incident but our U.S. military destroyed what was once the livelihood of a village. We are using terror tactics against innocent civilians to force them into being informers against their own resistance fighters. The Israelis, as occupiers, proudly boast of such actions while we try to keep it under wraps. WHY? If we are the conquerors, why maintain the lie that our troops are there to make a difference and to improve the life of Iraqis? Our military, as aggressors, is hard-pressed with what they see and have to do. The terrorists in D.C. are busy buying and building a coalition of hard-core foreign mercenaries to help us: "to be all that we can be", in Iraq. Our policy now is to do things the Israeli way—and the rest of the world be damned.]**

U.S. WRITER SONTAG BLASTS BUSH IN GERMANY

By Melissa Eddy, *Herald Sun*, 10/11/03

FRANKFURT, Germany (AP)—New York-born author and human rights activist Susan Sontag on Saturday criticized President Bush's policies as imperialistic and a break with 50 years of U.S. foreign policy tradition.

Sontag, 70, spoke to reporters a day before receiving the German book trade's prestigious \$17,700 Peace Prize.

"I think as long as the USA has only one political party—the Republican Party, a branch of which calls itself the Democratic Party—we aren't going to see a change of the current policy," she said. [JR: **We have that in this country because it is now being run by a monarchist system of governing.**]

Sontag said Bush's policy breaks with America's tradition of consulting with allies on global matters instead of acting alone. She was referring to the Bush administration decision to go to war against Iraq without UN backing.

"It's really the end of the republic and the beginning of the empire," she said, referring to ancient Rome.

Sontag also had harsh words for California governor-elect Arnold Schwarzenegger, saying his election showed that traditional politics are disappearing. [JR: **The voters feel they have no choice, or say in the present system, so why not let the "amateurs" have a go at it. At least Arnold is a successful businessman and a self-made man. Unlike the Bushes, he wasn't born with a silver foot in his mouth.**]

"We are in a new civilization, a post-political civilization," she said.

Sontag, whose works have been translated into more than 30 languages, is a lover of European literature, especially German classics and philosophy.

She is popular in Germany, where she has lived periodically. She also spent three years in Sarajevo during the Serb siege of the Bosnian city in the early 1990s and has campaigned on behalf of jailed and persecuted authors.

The prize jury recognized Sontag for standing up for "the dignity of free thinking" and for her work to bridge the gap between the United States and Europe.

"Through her work, which has never lost sight of the European heritage, she has become the most prominent intellectual ambassador between the two continents," and has also stood up for the rights of victims of war, the prize jury's citation said.

Sontag told reporters that while she does not use her books to advance her political views, she does take advantage of her position as a writer to question and explore policies she considers wrong.

"I'm not only a writer. I'm first of all a person with a moral conscience," Sontag said. "I will never support a decision which seems to me absurd."...

Last year's prize went to Nigerian-born writer Chinua Achebe. Past winners also include Nobel Peace Prize laureates Octavio Paz and Hermann Hesse, and former Czech president and anti-communist dissident Vaclav Havel.

[JR: It is the "free thinking" people of conscience like Sontag and Doctor Mahathir of Malaysia, that give the world its sense of balance. As David Lloyd George ex-Prime Minister of England once said: "If you want to succeed in politics, you must keep your conscience well under control." He ought to know, because it was through his powers of persuasion that Britain changed its stance against the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia. George was also an ardent supporter and successful promoter of the Balfour Declaration to create the Zionist State of Israel. His success in politics came not by controlling his conscience but by selling it to the highest bidder. The same can be said of most of our so-called leaders of today.]

ISRAEL HAS NUCLEAR MISSILES ON SUBS,
OFFICIALS CONFIRM

By Douglas Frantz, *Los Angeles Times*, 10/12/03

TEL AVIV—Israel has modified American-supplied cruise missiles to carry nuclear warheads on submarines, giving the Middle East's only nuclear power the ability to launch atomic weapons from land, air and beneath the sea, according to senior Bush administration and Israeli officials.

The previously undisclosed submarine capability bolsters Israel's deterrence in the event that Iran, an avowed enemy, develops nuclear weapons. **[JR: Wouldn't heavily armed Israel therefore be an avowed enemy of Iran?]** It also complicates efforts by the United States and the United Nations to persuade Iran to abandon its suspected nuclear weapons program.

Two Bush administration officials described the missile modification and an Israeli official confirmed it. All three spoke on condition their names not be used.

The U.S. officials said they were disclosing the information to caution Israel's enemies at a time of heightened tensions in the region and concern over Iran's alleged ambitions. **[JR: Like Siamese twins joined at the head, Israel's defense becomes our defense.]**

Iran denies developing nuclear weapons and says its nuclear program is solely for generating electricity. Iranian leaders are resisting more intrusive inspections by the UN, setting the stage for a showdown in coming weeks. The UN's International Atomic Energy Agency has given Tehran an Oct. 31 deadline to accept full inspections and prove it has no nuclear arms program.

Arab diplomats and UN officials said Israel's enhancement of its secret nuclear arsenal and U.S. silence about it have increased the desire of Arab states for similar weapons.

"The presence of a nuclear program in the region that is not under international safeguards gives other countries the spur to develop weapons of mass destruction," said Nabil Fahmy, Egypt's ambassador to the United States. **[JR: In the contest for survival it is called creating equal opportunity for all.]**

Late last month, Egypt joined Saudi Arabia and Syria at the General Assembly in criticizing the U.S. and the UN for ignoring Israel's weapons while pressuring Iran.

A senior Iranian official raised the same issue at a nonproliferation conference in Moscow in September.

"Stability cannot be achieved in a region where massive imbalances in military capabilities are

maintained, particularly through the possession of nuclear weapons that allow one party to threaten its neighbors and the region," said Ali Asghar Soltanieh.

Israel will not confirm or deny that it possesses nuclear arms. **[JR: The reason they turn mute is because they have an affliction called the *Kol Nidre* in which they can either lie or deny.]** Intelligence analysts and independent experts have long known that the country has 100 to 200 sophisticated nuclear weapons.

Israel, India and Pakistan are the only countries with nuclear facilities that have not signed the nuclear non-proliferation treaty that was initiated in 1968 to stop the spread of nuclear weapons through inspections and sanctions. India and Pakistan also have nuclear bombs.

Iran and Arab states with civilian nuclear programs have signed the treaty. The Arab countries have refused to agree to tougher inspections because Israel will not sign it, UN officials said. **[JR: Can anyone disagree with this logic?]**

"A big source of contention is Israel," said a senior official trying to win acceptance of the additional inspections. "This is a magnet for other countries to develop nuclear weapons."

Israel and its U.S. backers regard its nuclear weapons as important for the country's security. The development of the arms over several decades, with tacit U.S. approval, has been rarely mentioned but is becoming a component in discussions about peace in the Middle East.

While not acknowledging the country's nuclear capability, Israeli officials have promised they would not "introduce" such weapons to the Middle East. Israeli and U.S. officials said that means Israel would not launch a first strike using the weapons. **[JR: Notice the careful wording. Israel could still use their nuclear weapons "after" the first strike, especially since their so-called enemies are not permitted to have nuclear weapons.]**

They argue that other countries have nothing to fear from Israel's nuclear arms, whereas Israel has everything to fear from its neighbors. Israel's nuclear stockpile confers military superiority that translates into a high degree of freedom of action, from bombing a suspected terrorist camp in Syria last week to the destruction of an Iraqi nuclear reactor in 1981.

[JR: Because Israel has "THE BOMB", that seems to give them free reign to randomly attack anyone THEY determine as a threat without fear of any serious threat to themselves in retaliation. The U.S. staunchly backs Israeli excuses and encourages her denials by defending her hostile stance and aggressive actions as being vital to her survival. Despite Israeli assurances, the Zionists will not hesitate to make use of her nuclear capabilities if and when it suits their plans and will only make a show of contempt to justify their actions. Israel's lists of enemies in the Middle East are now on our list as targets of interest. The U.S. will continue to support Israel's position because as we all know, and Sharon likes to remind us that, "Don't worry we control the U.S." He certainly isn't lying about that! If and when the U.S. ever thinks to cross him up, the Big Sharona can always arrange for another trauma, like say a 9/11, to keep us in line. Or worse yet, he can arrange for another loser like the inept Bush to become our president. The ancient tribes that were the Hebrew, Habiru or Israelites were wanderers that always fought and smote their way through the biblical lands to get what they wanted or coveted. The same holds true even today.]

MAN (ISRAELI CITIZEN) OF THE YEAR

By Bret Stephens, *Jerusalem Post*, 10/08/03

NO question: This was Paul Wolfowitz's year. On September 15, 2001, at a meeting in Camp David, he advised President George W. Bush to skip Kabul and train American guns on Baghdad. In March 2003, he got his wish. In the process, Wolfowitz became the most influential U.S. deputy defense secretary ever—can you so much as name anyone else who held the post? And he's on the shortlist to succeed Colin Powell as secretary of state.

Not that this alone qualifies Wolfowitz as the *Jerusalem Post's* Man of the Year. The war in Iraq had many authors: Donald Rumsfeld, Dick Cheney, Tony Blair, George Bush. Wolfowitz may have been an early and vocal advocate, but he was cheering from the second row.

What's not in dispute is that Wolfowitz is the principal author of the doctrine of preemption, which framed the war in Iraq and which, when it comes to it, will underpin U.S. action against other rogue states.

This is more remarkable than you might at first think. Following September 11, many people grasped intuitively that it was useless to contain or deter foes for whom suicide was an acceptable option. The difference with Wolfowitz is that he's been talking about this since at least 1992. (The prescience is of a piece with his warning—in 1979—that Saddam Hussein might someday invade Kuwait.)

The difference with Wolfowitz, too, is that his hawkish leanings on defense (the *Economist* once called him the administration's "velociraptor") combine with a remarkable optimism about the prospects for Mideast democracy. When President Bush says, "America will not permit the world's most dangerous regimes to threaten us with the world's most destructive weapons"—that's Wolfowitz talking. When the president calls for "a new Arab charter that champions internal reform, greater political participation, economic openness and free trade"—that's Wolfowitz's talking, too. **[JR: Yes, Wolfowitz is the White House "ventriloquist". Whenever Bush opens his mouth it's actually Wolfowitz speaking. No wonder Bush walks like he's got something up his butt.]**

But perhaps the greatest measure of Wolfowitz's influence is that Colin Powell now waxes rhapsodic about an Iraq "on the road to democratic self-government". This from the man who, after the first Gulf War, mocked: "Where's Iraq's Thomas Jefferson?"

To our ears, the sudden stress on Mideast democratization is "transformative", to use the Pentagon jargon. Israel has long waited for an administration that understands that the principal problem in the Middle East is not the unsettled status of our borders. It is the unsettling nature of Arab regimes—and of the bellicosity, fanaticism, and resentments to which they give rise. Israel has also long waited for an administration that understands that the regimes that threaten Tel Aviv also threaten New York. **[JR: There is no question Bush believes that the last time he was "born again" he became the Jews' "chosen Messiah".]**

There's a downside. Earlier in the year, the notion took hold that the president was taking the country to war at the urgings of his Jewish advisers, themselves shills for Israel. "Richard Perle, Paul Wolfowitz and Bill Kristol [are]... the clique of conservatives who are driving this war," wrote *New York Times* columnist Maureen Dowd. She may as well have written "the clique of Jews", some felt. Other critics of the war were more explicit. **"If it were not for the strong support of the Jewish community for this war in Iraq,"** said Democratic Congressman Jim Moran, "we would not be doing this."

In this year when anti-Semitism is once again a fact of life, the name “Wolfowitz” has become its lightning rod.

Surely this is one distinction he does not relish. Yet it remains a part of what makes this, uniquely, Wolfowitz’s year.

*** A word about the selection criteria of this feature, which inaugurates an annual event. **We have called it “Man of the Year,” though of course the year we are speaking of is the Jewish year.** This does not mean we have restricted our field to Jews, much less Israelis. But we are the *Jerusalem Post* and our choice is dictated by the same considerations that drive our news coverage—relevance to Israel and the Jewish world. It will therefore be likely, though by no means inevitable, that future Men or Women of the Year will be Jews—sometimes Israelis, sometimes not.

[JR: Of course the bias of the *Jerusalem Post* only proves that to the Zionists, only Jews are significant enough to warrant their recognition. Any recognition of others would only be those, like Bush, who further the Zionist cause.]

A CLEAR TITLE

A New Orleans lawyer sought an FHA loan for a client. He was told the loan would be granted if he could prove satisfactory title to a parcel of property being offered as collateral. The title to the property dated back to 1803, which took the Lawyer three months to track down. After sending the information to the FHA, he received the following reply:

(actual letter) “Upon review of your letter adjoining your client’s loan application, we note that the request is supported by an Abstract of Title. While we compliment the able manner in which you have prepared and presented the application, we must point out that you have only cleared title to the proposed collateral property back to 1803. Before final approval can be accorded, it will be necessary to clear the title back to its origin.” Annoyed, the lawyer responded as follows:

(actual letter) “Your letter regarding title in Case No. 189156 has been received. I note that you wish to have title extended further than the 194 years covered by the present application. I was unaware that any educated person in this country, particularly those working in the property area, would not know that the U. S. purchased Louisiana from France in 1803, the year of origin identified in our application. For the edification of uninformed FHA bureaucrats, the title to the land was previous obtained by France through Right of Conquest from Spain. The land came into the possession of Spain by Right of Discovery made following the year 1492 by a sea captain named Christopher Columbus, who had been granted the privilege of seeking a new route to India by the Spanish monarch, Isabella. The good queen, Isabella, being a pious woman and almost as careful about titles as the FHA, took the precaution of securing the blessing of the Pope before she sold her jewels to finance Columbus’ expedition. Now the Pope, as I’m sure you may know, is the emissary of Jesus Christ, the Son of God, and God, it is commonly accepted, created this world. Therefore, I believe it is safe to presume that God also made that part of the world called Louisiana. God, therefore, would be the owner of origin and His origins date back to before the beginning of time, the world, as we know it AND the FHA. I hope the hell you find God’s original claim to be satisfactory.

Now, may we have our damn loan?”

The loan was approved.

[JR: ONLY IN AMERICA! The bureaucrats finally came to their senses and thought it better to not challenge God’s rights to His Creation.]

MYSTERY BLOOD CLOTS FELLING U.S. TROOPS

By Mark Benjamin, *United Press International*, 10/06/03

WASHINGTON (*UPI*)—Unexplained blood clots are among the reasons a number of U.S. soldiers in Operation Iraqi Freedom have died from sudden illnesses, an investigation by *United Press International* has found.

In addition to *NBC News* Correspondent David Bloom, who died in April of a blood clot in his lung after collapsing south of Baghdad, the Pentagon has told families that blood clots caused two soldiers to collapse and die. At least eight other soldiers have also collapsed and died from what the military has described as non-combat-related causes.

A disturbing parallel has also surfaced: soldiers becoming ill or dying from similar ailments in the United States. In some cases, the soldiers, their families and civilian doctors blame vaccines given to them by the military, particularly the anthrax or smallpox shots.

Some of the soldiers who died suddenly had complained about symptoms suffered by Bloom—including pain in the legs that could indicate problems with blood clots.

“If there is a significant number of deaths of this type, it would make you wonder what was going on,” said Rose Hobby, whose brother-in-law, Army Spc. William Jeffries, died of a massive lung blood clot and swelling of his pancreas on March 31 after being evacuated from Kuwait.

“How many others are out there?”

UPI’s investigation found 17 soldiers who died of sudden illnesses. Families say they are bewildered by the deaths.

“Bill just dropped. They thought he had been shot. That is how suddenly it happened,” said Rose Hobby, the woman whose 39-year-old brother-in-law William Jeffries collapsed in Kuwait.

After being evacuated from Kuwait to Rota, Spain, he was in intensive care for a week before dying, Hobby said in a telephone interview from Evansville, Ind. A doctor in Spain said Jeffries had “the largest pulmonary embolism he had ever seen,” Hobby said. Jeffries also had a swelling of the pancreas, often caused by heavy drinking or some drugs. Jeffries was not a drinker, Hobby said.

Jeffries was back in the United States just days before his death to attend his own father’s funeral. He had a scab on his arm from his recent smallpox vaccination. Hobby said she does not know if he got anthrax shots also, like most soldiers in the region....

In other cases of apparently healthy soldiers who died suddenly in Operation Iraqi Freedom, families told *UPI* they have gotten few answers from the military. Local media reports have quoted military officials saying some of the deaths were apparent heart attacks; they have occurred from the beginning of the conflict through last week. ...

The Pentagon said side effects from the anthrax vaccine are generally mild and rare.

In one case, however, the military said the anthrax vaccine did cause a soldier’s chronic blood-clot condition.

Capt. Jason M. Nietupski says he has suffered severe reactions to three anthrax shots given to him in the Army Reserves in February 2000, when he was 29 years old. Nietupski said the vaccine caused chronic fatigue, a skin reaction and a blood clot condition called Deep Vein Thrombosis. Nietupski described intense pain in his legs caused by the clots from that condition.

Nietupski is on blood thinners for the rest of his life. His records from the military state his blood clot condition was caused by the anthrax shots.

“CPT Nietupski had multiple adverse medical problems associated with three anthrax vaccinations he received while assigned to the 8th United States Army,” read the results of a military line-of-duty inquiry report. “A condition described as Deep Vein Thrombosis,

chronic fatigue and Steven Johnson’s Syndrome all are adverse reactions that developed in this previously healthy individual from the anthrax vaccine. Evaluation by Walter Reed Physicians state (sic) that his symptoms are related to the anthrax vaccine.”

The anthrax vaccine label warns of infrequent reports of heart attacks or strokes among people who have taken that vaccine. Both heart attacks and strokes can be caused by blood clots.

With smallpox shots, top Pentagon health officials released a study in June that said 37 soldiers have had a swelling of the tissue around the heart probably caused by the vaccine and eight other “cardiac events” occurred within a fortnight of getting the vaccine, including heart attacks. The Pentagon said they had seen no deaths that might have been caused by the smallpox vaccine.

After two health care workers died of heart attacks after getting smallpox shots, in March the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommended that people with a risk of heart disease not take the vaccine.

[JR: It’s bad enough to send our loved ones off to die in these needless wars, but to die from mandatory inoculations in preparation for their unlawful wars is like being killed by “friendly fire” in combat. It makes you wonder who you can trust to protect our men and women in the military and just who is the real enemy? There has been enough evidence put forth proving there are problems with the vaccines—but the official position is always to deny and blame any side effects on anything else, as long as the military and the government aren’t held accountable. They would not get many recruits if they had to admit that THEY are killing our own troops with their lethal vaccines.]

WHY YOU FEEL LIKE YOU’RE HEADED FOR THE POORHOUSE

By Elizabeth Warren and Amelia Warren Tyagi,
Tribune, 10/17/03

Greenspan: Personal debt manageable. Fed chief says households don’t appear to be overburdened by their hefty debt loads—CNN News, Sept. 26, 2003

Dear Alan Greenspan:

Consumer debt and its evil twin—credit-card defaults—have risen yet again, according to reports released earlier this week. But like a gentle grandfather, you, Mr. Greenspan, once again reassured families that they had nothing to worry about. You smiled and said families’ “level of debt is being serviced adequately.” We all felt better.

But there is one nagging question, sir: Precisely when should we worry?

Every 15 seconds, an American files for bankruptcy. Do we worry when a bankruptcy comes every 10 seconds? Every five seconds? We’d like to be ready.

By the time they file for bankruptcy, the typical family owes more than a year’s income in credit card, medical and other short-term, high-interest debts. Moreover, on top of the record 1.6 million families who actually filed for bankruptcy, economists estimate that an additional 11 million are carrying so much debt that they should file for bankruptcy—if only they were more savvy about financial matters.

Are you particularly concerned about families with children, Mr. Greenspan? The families in the worst trouble with debt are not a random assortment of spendthrifts who don’t know when enough is enough; they are disproportionately families with children. Indeed, having a child is now the single best predictor

that a person will go bankrupt. A family with children is more likely to lose a home to foreclosure, and more likely to be behind on their credit-card bills.

This year, Mr. Greenspan, more children will live through their parents' bankruptcy than their parents' divorce.

If these families were frittering away their hard-earned salaries on designer clothing and fancy restaurants, we might be less concerned. But government data show that the average two-parent, two-child family is spending less on key consumables than they did a generation ago—21 percent less on clothing, 22 percent less on food (including eating out), 44 percent less on appliances. Meanwhile, they are spending 61 percent more on health insurance (assuming they're lucky enough to still have coverage) and nearly 100 percent more to send their kids to college.

And then there is the family home. In the past 30 years, average mortgage expenditures for couples with children have increased nearly 70 times faster than the average father's income. Once a bulwark against retirement, families "extracted" (your term) \$700 billion in equity last year, or more than 10 percent of total home equity held in the U.S. But doesn't this just mean that families are borrowing more against their homes—and their futures? Those homes once were the bulwark for retirement, but now they are loaded with more debt than families can possibly shoulder. Meanwhile, notwithstanding record low interest rates, the foreclosure rate more than tripled over the past 25 years. Was this a cause for concern? Just the opposite: You heralded all this equity extraction as "complementing the traditional effects of monetary policy."

Mr. Greenspan, you are widely credited with a long-running economic boom and a soft recession. But what has happened to middle-class families during your reign? A generation ago, the average American family lived on one income, put away 11 percent of its take-home pay in savings and carried a consumer debt load of less than 4 percent. Today, for a typical two-income family, inflation-adjusted income has risen 75 percent, but by the time they pay the mortgage, health insurance, car payments, taxes and day-care bills, they have less to spend on everything else, from food and clothes to life insurance and vacations. And they put away a scant 1 percent in savings, while shouldering a whopping 12 percent in consumer debt load—triple the load their parents carried.

Mr. Greenspan, America wants to be prepared. So we ask you, when should politicians and policymakers get serious about America's debt load? When should policies that encourage savings and discourage cashing out become a national priority? When does all that equity extraction translate into borrowing against our futures, instead of a "support to the economy"? If America stays its course, one in seven families with children will be bankrupt by 2010.

Or maybe it just doesn't matter how many families are going broke. After all, the mortgage lenders and credit-card issuers are doing better than ever, tucking away tens of billions in profits that they earned from families awash in debt. When you say Americans' debt service is "adequate" you speak of bank profits, not family stability. Maybe it isn't important that more families are losing their homes and their cars, their retirement accounts and their chances to send their children to college. If the banks are turning a profit, then debt must not be a problem.

Please, Mr. Greenspan, when will families matter? 

NEVADA CORPORATIONS:

What They Don't Say Can Really Help You

Budget's "Tip of the Week" #6:

The Value of an Aged Corporate Shell or "Shelf" Corporation

While many stock-market investors have recently discovered the meaning of the word "risk", it's too bad they never learned to invest in Nevada shell corporations, which continue to appreciate in value.

An aged corporate shell—commonly referred to as a "shelf" corporation, an indication that it has not been used, only put "on the shelf"—will generally increase substantially in value over time. This is because there are those who can benefit greatly by the ability to instantly get into business and yet have the ability to say that the corporation has been in business for some time.

Generally, the value of an aged "shell" corporation is more than the amounts paid to keep it current, although there may be a significant difference between "bid" and "ask" due to the small size of the market. If you are the holder of such an aged corporation with no real activity in its history, you just might find that it has been a fair investment. The best way to sell such an entity is often by consignment, whereby the seller consents to pay a percentage of the sale price realized to a middleman (typically, a resident agent such as BCR) with good contacts in the market place. Unfortunately, many who have held such a gem of an investment have been taken advantage of by predatory middlemen, who typically offer only a few hundred dollars for a corporation that they turn around and sell for many thousands of dollars.

Some people acquire a number of newborn corporations specifically as an investment. If this sounds like something you would like to do, here are several steps you can take to maximize the value:

- Obtain an Employer Identification Number;
- Use nominee service so that there is no link to you personally;
- Open a no-interest bank account for the corporation;
- File "zero income" (non-activity) tax returns every year;
- DO NOT TRANSACT ANY BUSINESS WITH THE CORPORATION.

A shelf corporation maintained in this manner typically carries a premium of \$1,000 to \$1,500 PER YEAR OF AGE, in addition to the initial costs of setting it up. Even after annual maintenance costs (at least, through Budget Corporate Renewals) it is possible to have an annual gain of \$500 to \$1,000, making the incubation of shelf corporations a very rewarding enterprise!

CORPORATION SETUP AND MAINTENANCE FEES

Budget Corporation —includes:	Nominee Service	\$200
• First-year resident agent fee	Obtain EIN	\$ 75
• Corporate Charter	Bank Account Setup	\$100
• Articles of Incorporation	Expedite (24-hr. setup)	\$150
• Corporate Bylaws	Annual Resident Agent Fee	\$ 85
• Corporate Resolutions	Budget Mail Forwarding (18 per yr)	\$ 50
• Budget corporate record book	Full Mail Forwarding (240 pcs/yr)	\$150
• 3.5" floppy disk of resources		
TOTAL		\$410

For more information:

"THE NEVADA CORPORATION MANUAL"

Priced at just \$45, including shipping and handling

Budget

*"Nevada corporations
at Budget prices"*

(702) 870-5351

P.O. Box 27103

Las Vegas, NV 89126

E-Mail: BCR@BudgetCorporateRenewals.com

Corporate Renewals

PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *PHOENIX JOURNALS* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE JOURNALS LISTED BELOW**—REGULARLY \$11.95 EACH—ARE NOW ON SALE FOR \$6.00. (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V (OUT OF STOCK)
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE (OUT OF STOCK)
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER (OUT OF STOCK)
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

Phoenix Source Distributors, Inc.

P.O. Box 27353
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126
1-800-800-5565
E-mail: phoenixsource@onemain.com
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

SHIPPING CHARGES:

USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)
UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

ALASKA & HAWAII
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l

CANADA & MEXICO
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l


FOREIGN
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

Mayer Amschel (Bauer) Rothschild (1743-1812), the Godfather of the Rothschild Banking Cartel of Europe: "Give me control of a nation's money and I care not who makes its laws."

PLEASE NOTE:

CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are NOT the same! Checks sent for JOURNALS or book orders should NOT be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.



Editorial Policy

Opinions of *CONTACT* contributors (including ads) are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

CONTACT:

THE PHOENIX PROJECT JOURNAL

is published by
CONTACT, Inc.
P.O. Box 27800, Las Vegas, NV 89126
Phones: (800) 800-5565; (661) 822-9655
Fax: (661) 822-9655 E-mail: gchcontact@onemain.com

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565.
Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.
Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS					QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS				
Quantity	U.S.	U.S. ENVELOPE	CAN/MEX	FOREIGN	Quantity	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy
Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders
Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges

PHOENIX JOURNAL SALE

Journals regularly priced at \$11.95 are NOW only \$6.00 plus S/H for a limited time. Additional quantity discounts offered.
Now's a great time to complete your collection while most titles are still in stock.

CONTACT LOGO HATS

Help support *CONTACT* by buying these beautiful caps with the *CONTACT* LOGO  on the front.
6-panel, low-profile brushed cotton twill cap with matching-color padded sweatband; 4 rows of stitching; matching adjustable fabric strap closure; brass flip buckle and tuck-in grommet, hidden buckle rivet; pre-curved bill; sewn eyelets.
Space black cap with *CONTACT* logo beautifully embroidered in silver, green, blue and gold. Buy several: They make great gifts and for a limited time we'll even pay the shipping!—\$17.00