


CONTACT

PHOENIX JOURNAL REVIEW

News Reviews, Previews and Alternative Views

*NOT TO OPPOSE ERROR IS TO APPROVE IT
NOT TO DEFEND TRUTH IS TO SUPPRESS IT*



VOLUME 50, NUMBER 1

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

SEPTEMBER 12, 2007

Foresight and The CONFRONTATION

9/12/07 (21-27)

Wed., September 12, 2007, Year 21, Day 27

Manila, Philippines

“Freedom is not a concept in which people can do anything they want, be anything they can be. Freedom is about authority. Freedom is about the willingness of every single human being to cede to lawful authority a great deal of discretion about what you do.”—Sir Rudy Giuliani

“There is only one natural right—the right of the superior to rule over the inferior, the master over the slave, the husband over the wife, and the wise few over the vulgar many.”—Leo Strauss, *On Tyranny*

Everyone with Internet access reading this should understand about *The Shock Doctrine (The Rise of Disaster Capitalism)*, the subject of a new book by Naomi Klein (researched by Debra Levy). Alfonso Cuarón, Director of the movie, *Children of Men*,

appreciated the book so much that he gave of his own efforts to produce a very brief (approximately 7 minutes) video outlining the concept, which can be viewed at www.ShockDoctrine.com. It’s a great way to open the eyes of those friends and family who don’t understand what HIT them as the Neocon agenda was implemented and they were induced to go along with the sentiments expressed by Sir Rudy Giuliani and the Father of the Neocons, Leo Strauss, above.

In stark, black-and-white contrast to the foregoing, former (and still at heart) Libertarian Ron Paul, now running as a presidential candidate for the Republican Party in the United States, understands the principle that “those who would sacrifice liberty for security deserve neither”. He has become “an Internet phenomenon”, now intruding into the controlled version of reality portrayed by the controlled media.

As THE PEOPLE wake up and face the truth of their somnambulism, their INFORMED CHOICES are going to prove very “interesting” and “surprising” to the USURPERS. Election of this man would virtually

RULE OUT the Armageddon script which has been manipulated into being a sustained potential for so long now. How about FREEDOM as an alternative to TOTAL WAR?

MESSAGE FROM RON PAUL

9/7/07

Has this been a hectic and encouraging time! First we got almost 17% in the Texas straw poll, an event set-up to represent the establishment, with very restrictive voting rules. That 17% of the Republican hierarchy would support our views, after a full day of pro-war propaganda, is good news. Then we won the more open Maryland Republican straw poll with 28%. In both cases, as usual, hard-working, well-organized volunteers made all the difference.

The Fox debate was a lot of fun as well. It’s true that a few of the network people are not exactly with us on foreign or domestic policy (though one famous guy whispered to me that he

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT
P.O. Box 27800
Las Vegas, NV 89126

FIRST-CLASS MAIL
U.S. POSTAGE PAID
BAKERSFIELD, CA
PERMIT NO. 758

FIRST CLASS MAIL

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE:

Foresight, Hindsight and The CONFRONTATION.....	page 4
The CONFRONTATION.....	page 9
Infamous Showdown at the Gilarmi Hotel.....	page 10
Bylaws of the Tallano-Acop Foundation.....	page 18

is a libertarian), but the audience—with lots of students from the University of New Hampshire—was definitely fair and balanced, as their enthusiastic reaction showed.

My opponents called for more war, more torture, more secret prisons, more eavesdropping, more presidential power. Some seemed to identify the government and the people as if they were one entity. But you and I know that once the government moves beyond its very limited constitutional mandate, it is an opponent of the people, a rip-off operation that takes our money and our freedom and our social peace, and gives us a mess of statist pottage in return.

The government failed miserably on 9/11 to protect us, despite spending trillions. So the answer was supposed to be the giant, socialist Department of Homeland Security, protecting you and me from taking our toothpaste on the airplane. I was ridiculed for saying that the airlines, which know best how to protect their property, should have been allowed to arm their pilots. But then, you and I really believe in the Second Amendment. It is not just a political slogan for us.

When I discussed the blowback that came from us intervening on the Arabian peninsula, Chris Wallace asked me if I wanted to follow the marching orders of al-Qaeda. I responded that I wanted to follow the marching orders of the Constitution, and not wage undeclared, aggressive wars that cause us only trouble. This is a mystifying to some, of course, but not to more and more Americans.

There was much talk of taxes, and a pledge not to raise rates. But as usual, I was not allowed to discuss my lifelong pledge to abolish the income tax. Just holding the line, when the government takes such vast sums through an illegitimate guilty-until-proven-innocent system, is hardly enough. We need to slash taxes and spending if we are to have a future of prosperity for ourselves and our families.

After the debate, many young people gathered around the stage to discuss our ideas and ask questions about them (and to have me sign their badges). My colleagues got no such response, and after a few moments, “security” ordered me off the stage. Can’t have any such demonstration of interest in liberty.

But the young are with us, and so are Americans of every stripe. Even party officials. When one of my opponents said it was OK to lose elections through supporting the Iraq war, that set party people’s teeth on edge, and rightly so. The Republican party is shrinking. We need new people. It’s either our ideas or President Hillary, and more and more people recognize it.

But the media, and everyone else, will be looking at fundraising totals at the end of this month. They’ll judge us by how we do. And we need help to wage what we hope will be a full-scale, 50-state campaign. Please help me head into the next quarter fully armed to do battle for freedom, peace and prosperity. Make your most generous contribution <https://www.ronpaul2008.com/donate/>. This Revolution is on the move, but it very much needs your support.

Sincerely,
Ron [Paul]

AGE OF KALI REVISITED

Is this man, Ron Paul, going to be some kind of a savior? No, and people have to stop looking for a savior—while recognizing that good leaders will arise when the people are ready for them. Ron Paul, for instance, has some kind of a mental block when it comes to the events of 9/11. It’s alright because under his leadership the truth could flourish.

NOT CHOOSING between alternatives IS A CHOICE to allow continuation of that which IS. It is time to stop tolerating evil and time to take a strong stand for GOODNESS and TRUTH. Failure to do so allows for the Age of Kali (Chaos) to play out toward its ultimate, very deadly conclusion.

The *Vedas* describe four ages of man: The Age of Satva, which it is said ran for 728,000 years; The Age of Treta, which reportedly lasted for 1, 296,000 years; The Age of Dvapara, which apparently came to a close after 864,000 years; and the current Age of Kali, “scheduled” to endure for 432,000 years, culminating in the all-too-familiar and too-close-to-be-comfortable, end-of-the-Age scenario described by Commander Hatonn in the Age of Kali audio file posted on the PhoenixSourceDistributors.com website. This particular audio file is one of the top files downloaded in nearly every site-activity report.

One of the downloaders of the file has “taken the ball and run with it”, using the audio track in conjunction with some outstanding video footage, composing four short videos appropriately entitled “Age of Chaos”. I’ll take this opportunity to pat “Gibson2480” on the back and to suggest that those with an Internet connection might enjoy watching [these clips](#), which can be found at YouTube.com by searching for “Age of Chaos +Hatonn”. You might also want to visit his website for more from his perspective: www.SwordOfKnowledge.com.

As always, the point is to become aware of the significance of making a conscious CHOICE toward Goodness instead of “going with the flow” of ego drives in the spiral downward into degeneracy. In every moment of this ONE MOMENT WHICH CONTAINS ALL POSSIBILITIES, you are at the intersection of ascending and descending spirals, either busily enacting your own script or uncreatively acting within someone else’s. If the New World Order idea with attendant Armageddon doesn’t bother you enough to want to change the script—you SHALL experience it. But it is not in “my” future and it does not have to be in yours, either.

51% BELIEVE 9/11 WAS SELF-AGGRESSION

La Prensa reported on September 9 in an article entitled, “September 11 Attacks: Suspected Self-aggression”: “According to Zogby polling firm, **51 percent of U.S. nationals believe Bush and his Vice President Richard Cheney orchestrated a self-aggression [9/11] to justify militarism in the last years.**” Of course, you won’t hear of that report in the mainstream *faux* news reports—yet—not until they get the idea that the more such news is suppressed, the more its eventual “outing” will lash back at the controllers.

DESPITE all efforts by the mega-billion-dollar mainstream media to brand those who would have the

temerity to merely QUESTION the official story as “conspiracy nuts”—the TRUTH is shining forth more and more brightly. It will really get exciting at some point as they are finally forced to CAPITULATE. But can’t these global controllers see that the longer they wait, the worse their problem gets? TRUTH IS STARTING TO FLOOD FORTH UNCONTROLLABLY and there is great WISDOM for these elite players to start to GO WITH THE FLOW instead of against it. And yes, there are signs that the controlling elite are about to act to SAVE THEMSELVES.

APEC MEETING WHAT’S WITH INDONESIA? OPEC/APEC, AUSTRIAN/AUSTRALIAN

BOTH President Bush of the United States and President Putin of Russia stopped off in INDONESIA on their way to the recent APEC forum held in Australia. What’s in Indonesia? Oh, only the second largest stash of gold in the World, in all likelihood—another “Marcos” stash. Let’s look at an excerpt from David Guyatt’s book, *The Secret Gold Treaty* [*caveat lector*, please, because there is a lot of incorrect information as well but the following is “interesting” and PLAUSIBLE]:

The Spanish connection to the Marcos gold story is of interest because amongst Johnston’s other bullion transactions with Imelda Marcos was one that related to a very large transaction that came from the estate of Don Franco Miguel de Avila and Dona Trinidad Asis de Villarba de Avila. It concerned gold, cash and gems “located in thirty three (33) countries and deposited in fifty seven (57) banks.” This included bullion belonging to the estate of the late Pedro Palafox Laurel—one of the former henchmen of Ferdinand Marcos who was reportedly murdered by Marcos. Laurel’s assets in the form of “gold certificates, cash and ownership certificates of various properties” were stashed in a safety deposit box at the Overseas Trust Bank, Hong Kong, and formed part of Ferdinand Marcos’ vast web of foreign holdings held by trusted nominees. Interestingly, a portion of the Laurel estate was stashed in the LIPPO bank in Jakarta.

That Marcos operated as a cat’s-paw for the Vatican—as suggested earlier by Marcelino Tagle—is confirmed in documents relating to the estate of Don de Avila that reference 47,500 metric tonnes of gold belonging to the Vatican. This metal was held in Westpac, head office, Melbourne, under the codename FLAT.

What we haven’t spoken of in any detail is the **huge quantities of gold, platinum, gemstones and priceless religious treasures that are still stashed on Indonesia.** This piece of information has been held back until last for a very telling reason. Earlier, I mentioned the 1955 meeting in the Indonesian town of Bandung, where the world’s “non-aligned” nations met for the first time as a group. Virtually unknown to contemporary history is the suggestion that Indonesia’s then President Achmed Sukarno along with a number of other Third World leaders had secretly planned to establish an international non-aligned bank, to be

headquartered in Jakarta and backed by gold reserves recovered from World War II treasure stashed in Indonesia. This represented, apparently, trillions of dollars in gold reserves—making the bank a serious force to be reckoned with and one, moreover, that undoubtedly was viewed as a grave threat to US interests.

We now know that Allen Dulles and company at the CIA began planning Sukarno's downfall at this same time. For example, during the 1955 elections in Indonesia, a "million dollars U.S. got converted to rupiahs to prop up the Moslem-oriented Masjuni party and give Sukarno competition".

By 1956, the Dulles brothers were fit to burst with Sukarno's grandiose plans, and Frank Wisner—the CIA's Deputy Director of Plans (for covert operations)—typified the prevalent feeling when he said "I think it's time we held Sukarno's feet to the fire." Holding Sukarno's feet to the fire was, apparently, a droll euphemism for assassination. Despite this, the CIA's early covert efforts against "Bungkarno" resembled the antics of a Keystone Cops re-enactment society more than anything else, but success was eventually achieved and Sukarno was deposed in 1965.

With him went the idea of an independent and alternative world bank answerable to the interests of those participating non-aligned nations that had no desire to continue to be exploited by Anglo-American interests. Today, the gold that was to be used as reserves for Sukarno's bank remains stashed in various parts of Indonesia under armed guard.

So, now you can perhaps appreciate WHY INDONESIA was on the agenda of two top World leaders at the same time. There are very definitely movements afoot to do something CONSIDERABLE about the mess that has become of the World's economic system based on fiat ("by decree", unbacked) currency. As everyone can now see (and, by the way, as presidential candidate Ron Paul advocates) and as the Divine Plan REQUIRES: it is NECESSARY to base currencies on some sort of VALUE as the erstwhile U.S. dollar is being inflated out of meaningful existence as "the world's reserve currency"—and the very concept of "world reserve currency" no longer means anything.

The recent "liquidity crisis", brought on by "fun and games" with U.S. financial "products" (both on and off the books), has marked the END OF THE PARTY FOR THE USURPERS who benefited so enormously for so long at the expense of EVERYONE ELSE. IT IS TIME TO "GET REAL".

Evidence that they really are starting to "get it" came in the form of the closing statement from that little APEC meeting. According to *RIA Novosti*, 9/9/07: "The Joint Leaders' Statement rounding off the summit highlighted the need to promote 'open, efficient, **transparent** and flexible economies' as a means of protection against '**economic volatility and disruption caused by natural disasters and other threats to sustainable growth**'."

Did President Bush REALLY make a gaffe when he substituted "OPEC" for "APEC"? DON'T JUMP TO CONCLUSIONS! In a petro-dollar economy it was all about OPEC—but in a gold-based economy,

might it not be all about APEC? Ah, yes, there are definitely some light bulbs switching on.

What about the little slip when Mr. Bush substituted "AUSTRIAN" for "AUSTRALIAN"? Was Gunther Russbacher (deceased) REALLY "all puff" or was there some sort of reason our attention was directed to Kurt Waldheim (Secretary General of the UN from 1972 to 1982, deceased June 14, 2007) and AUSTRIA—LIKE THE GOLD CONNECTION? And where has THE POPE just been on a 3-day visit—and why now the interest in Austria? These are valuable CLUES for GOOD STUDENTS to consider, please. And yes, of course, there is a great deal of information in those "old" Phoenix Journals and on those old meeting tapes posted on the website—so what do you mean when you lament the lack of updates from old Commander Hatonn but have never bothered to study that which has been presented already? There is plenty of reading material for those interested in all of the background. Just sift through the archives at www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com and you might be surprised at the GEMS you discover.

BUSH INVITES ASEAN TO TEXAS

There was a very strange—from a diplomatic perspective—invitation made by President George W. Bush to Southeast Asian leaders, as he invited seven heads of state of ASEAN countries with whom he was enjoying a luncheon to his ranch in Crawford, Texas. According to *Associated Press* (9/7/07), the seven ASEAN members of APEC invited to Texas were: Brunei, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam. The *AP* report cited the most curious response from President Gloria Arroyo of the Philippines: "He invited us to Texas," Arroyo told reporters. "**We'll have it done there at the convenience of ASEAN.**" CURIOUSLY, there is no explanation or qualification as to what "IT" is to be done in Texas. WHAT DO YOU THINK "IT" IS? Wouldn't that have been a logical question for any reporter to have asked? Hmmm...

FISHING IN SIBERIA?

Previous reports have highlighted the significance of the visit and fishing expedition between Presidents Bush and Putin at Bush's father's place in Kennebunkport, when Mr. Putin was the only one to catch a fish, which was acknowledged to belong to Captain George HW Bush. The fish was set free, apparently. Please keep that little background story in mind, particularly the part about the fish as SEAFOOD, as in COSMOS SEAFOOD.

Following his meeting with President Bush at APEC, President Putin began his press statement by saying: "First of all, I would like to say that I am very glad to have the opportunity to meet with the U.S. President within the framework of the APEC summit. And I began our meeting today with words of gratitude for the very warm welcome we received during our recent visit to Kennebunkport."

Among his other comments, President Putin stated: "We also covered our economic relations. We discussed various major economic projects whose implementation is in the interests of both countries' business communities."

President Bush began his reply with these words: "Vladimir, thanks. For a minute, I thought he brought up the Kennebunkport visit to remind me that he was the only one who caught the fish. But in fact, it was our [RK: **The Cosmos Seafood asset has been USED by the Bush family—but is it really THEIRS?**]—my family's great pleasure to welcome my friend the President of Russia to Mother and Dad's home."

President Putin wrapped things up with the comment: "We also agreed that we will go fishing not only in the United States but also in a Siberian river at some point."

In a Siberian river? Siberia—home of the Huns, Mongols and Tatars, the land of Gog and Magog? Siberia—which borders on CHINA? Perhaps I'm over-reaching and just reading far too much into these comments but it certainly does seem strange that these two, powerful men spend so much time talking about FISHING. Certainly, the Cosmos Seafood ASSET would be a simple matter to implement with the cooperation of the United States, Russia and China.

On September 10, 2007, *AFP* reported in an article captioned, "Putin tells Asia: Russia is here to stay": "**We have recently come to the greatest dawn in Russian-Chinese relations,**" Putin told Chinese President Hu Jintao at two-way talks here Saturday ... What do YOU suppose might make for "the greatest dawn in Russian-Chinese relations" other than the opportunity to be out from under the thumb of the banksters? Could we be looking at an alliance between the Romanovs, the Habsburgs and China? Things do seem to be shaping up that way—and just think of what such a coalition could mean for the entire rest of the World, IF this group would use the assets for the benefit of all mankind.

ECONOMIC PRESSURES BUILDING

It would be one thing if any one faction of those vying for economic power could agree on a course of conduct beneficial to all. Meanwhile, however, as each group struggles to gain advantage, the primary LOSER in all of this is the United States and the Habsburg clan.

According to Alexey Kovalev in his article, "Will world economies survive without USA?" posted at Pravda.Ru on August 28: "Recently, when reports coming from the USA caused much panic on stock exchanges around the world, **the amount of stabilizing investment has increased 1.5 times as compared to the volumes fixed after the 9/11 terrorist attacks.** ... A looming default makes us suppose that the Pax American epoch is coming to its end." And he reminds us that the 9/11 "liquidity priming" was extraordinary in its own right: "on the first night after the terrorist attacks in the USA bank presidents ordered bank officials to buy dollars at a rate that was twice or three times lower than the official rate."

CAN they hold it together? Surely, but each passing day only increases the advantage of countries like Russia and China, which are not participating in the debt orgy and not debasing their own currencies.

Please PRAY SINCERELY for implementation of the Divine Plan immediately.

Ronald Kirzinger ("of" Hatonn)

Foresight, Hindsight and The CONFRONTATION

9/12/07 (21-27)

Wed., September 12, 2007, Year 21, Day 27
Manila, Philippines

RE: MASSIVE AMOUNTS OF GOLD MOVED
IN 1993; FORESIGHT, HINDSIGHT AND THE
CONFRONTATION

One of the many new readers of this publication (you know who you are) sent me a link to look at Dr. Len Horowitz's new book, *LOVE the Real Da Vinci Code*. I've always felt a peculiar affinity to the "Renaissance Man", as he is known, and so I spent some time skimming through the book. THANK YOU for sending the link and I hope everyone can gain a little bit from what I will share in this article.

Before we dive off into that most interesting subject, I will share the results of some diligent research with regard to the events of 7, 13 and 14 years past. One of the first things I encountered of great interest was in an article from page 5 of the September 14, 1993 issue regarding the Philippines gold. As usual, I'm sure I read this more than once at the time—but it means ever so much more to me NOW.

[QUOTING from GCH 9/7/93:]

GOLD AND OTHER STRANGE THINGS

I am often asked why do I not comment on "gold prices" and actions of the market on Friday instead of the first working day of each week. Because the manipulations are planned and happen on weekends. YOU are the only ones not doing the most activities on the weekends.

Gold is down abruptly this morning and may go lower—THE TIME TO BUY! What happened to make it fall? Look at the world situation and challenge your library of knowledge—where there may SEEM to be no connection.

THE PHILIPPINES

There is a great "show and tell" of the "Marcos" burial in the Philippines—but what is really going on? Well, let us just say that, to get that fact accomplished, Mrs. Marcos paid off the Philippine national debt, for all practical purposes, along with other political extortion.

There is (was) a mammoth store of gold which was bargained for in the Philippines (actually now, mostly out of the islands) wherein the British Crown extorted great compensation in return for allowing the remainder stores to be utilized by the rightful owners. Much of that gold was bargained for through the central banks in London at around \$373/oz. As the "shifting" takes place great amounts of gold are changing hands and hitting the market. This is exceptionally

GOOD news to many of you and as the "cover-up" over the weekend price setting (again) it is hoped that most of you won't notice—that you are nearing the end of the mass manipulation to the heavy owners of reserves. You are going to have fluctuations continually as the big boys pull down the economies everywhere and try to latch onto all wealth—at least through "control" of it.

[END QUOTING]

How do you define "mammoth"? From meeting tape 940911-2a (downloadable from the website), we find that it was "enough to back the currency". That was still somewhat vague, so further research was in order. Listening through meeting tapes 940618-1a, 940703-1b and 940703-3a confirmed that the amount of gold involved in this shifting was **1.4 MILLION TONNES**.

1.4 million tons is a LOT OF ANYTHING. A semi-trailer can hold 20 tons, so to move, say, the 400,000 tons referenced in the reconstituted 1972 *Agana Decision with Compromise Agreement* would require 20,000 semi-trailer shipments. You just don't see American-style semi-trailer trucks here in the Philippines, so it can be deduced that the gold was not moved in that manner—if at all. Of course, it is not necessary for the physical gold to be moved anywhere, as long as it is covered by proper paperwork (warehouse receipts). But the ongoing presence of United States Armed Forces in the Philippines might make a whole lot more sense if you put "gold shifting" in the picture.

Wait just a minute, though, because it says the BRITISH CROWN was involved and there is no reference to the United States. Can you see why it might make a difference as to whether or not the United States is operating UNDER the Crown? Perhaps it makes more sense now, too, when Christopher Story writes about the United States STEALING some \$5 trillion worth of "Her Majesty's" gold. By the way, however, the Queen of England is NOT the Crown: she bows like everyone else when entering the City—home of the Crown and where the price of gold is "fixed"—whose Lord Mayor visited the Philippines last year, "by the way".

The excerpt reprinted above is a VERY IMPORTANT PIECE OF THE PUZZLE. Imelda Marcos "paid off the Philippine national debt, for all practical purposes"? "Funny thing" but the country still labors under the crushing burden of debt owed to the IMF/WB to the extent that all new loans are used simply to pay the INTEREST.

There's something else to keep in mind here: Neither Ferdinand nor Imelda Marcos had any right whatsoever to sell or even ENCUMBER the PRIVATELY OWNED gold referenced in the *Agana Decision of 1972 (Civil Case 3957-P)*—the 400,000 tons. So, the 1.4 million tons must have been separate

and apart from, not including, the 400,000 tons which was apparently LEASED to the NATIONAL Central Bank, which predates the PRIVATELY OWNED *Bangko Sentral Pilipinas (BSP)*.

Whatever agreement was consummated with the Crown was ostensibly "for allowing the remainder stores to be utilized by the rightful owners". The "remainder stores" had to have been AT LEAST the 400,000 tons, possibly the full 617,500 tons referenced in the *Agana Decision*—which was for the benefit of the PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES.

At one point, a "rumor" surfaced that there was some kind of unwritten government strategy in effect, by which all possible "rightful owners" of the assets described in the reconstituted *Agana Decision*—including both the land and the gold—would be set one against the others, effectively preventing ANYONE from using the assets as ordered. Evidence that such a strategy might be in play is provided by the fact that NO ONE has managed to "get their hands on" the gold. Who are the several claimants?

Up front there is supposed to be something called the "Japanese Mandate" in which a certain percentage of the gold goes to Japan and the rest is split between other nations in the region—as I understand things, never having seen any hard evidence of this presumed Mandate. It is believed that this Mandate could account for the "missing" 217,500 ton difference between 617,500 tons and 400,000 tons. It is also entirely likely that most if not all of this gold was used in the Reagan-Marcos *Ang Bagong Lipunan (ABL)* program, in which packages typically of 3,500 tons each were allocated and possibly shipped out to other nations. This is believable because Marcos could not use any of the gold labeled as "plunder" from World War Two—which had been frozen by the International Court of Justice through 1976, then extending into 1986, when something had to be done about the situation and Marcos was deposed.

So, let's only talk about the PRIVATELY OWNED 400,000 tons. There is NO QUESTION that this gold SHOULD exist for the benefit of the PEOPLE of the Philippines—and there is little doubt that its existence has been withheld from the people while everyone ELSE has tried to work their own angles. According to some, this gold was never part of any "fantasy" Tagean-Tallano clan but supposedly came from the Sultanate of Sulu. Even within the history as presented in the reconstituted *Agana documents*—that is PLAUSIBLE but DOESN'T CHANGE THE FACT THAT THE GOLD SHOULD EXIST FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES. According to "Prince" Julian Morden Tallano, he is the heir and it's all HIS, PERSONALLY. Of course, he's not making much headway as a result of that posture. Perhaps the Marcos and Santa Romana heirs also believe that this gold should belong to them. The Foundation would be MOST PLEASED to WORK WITH Mr. Tallano—or anyone else, for that matter—in order to ensure that the assets can benefit THE PEOPLE.

According to the "hard" documentation or *res judicata* court orders, the Tallano-Acop Foundation (DEBT&DGMFI) was formed BY JULIAN MORDEN TALLANO to recover and ADMINISTER the assets of the estate, AS ORDERED BY THE AGANA COURT. Along the way, however, Eddyjo

CIA PROPRIETARY CORPORATIONS

Looking back 14 years ago, we find a reference to what happened to Gunther Russbacher (now deceased), an agent who was said to be involved with gold transfers to AUSTRIA. From the September 14, 1993 issue, page 13, we read about Gunther Russbacher's CIA corporations and how they were TAKEN from him. Of course, in this case we are dealing with corporations having issued stock—and probably not incorporated in Nevada.

[QUOTING, GCH 9/7/93—#3:]

Oh? And now we are to suppose that these two, North and Russbacher, are to blame for the Iran-Contra affair? Could it be that there are SIGNED stock certificates wherein Gunther IS THE PROPRIETARY OWNER OF SEVERAL VERY LARGE CORPORATIONS (SILENT AND HIDDEN FROM YOU THE PUBLIC) WHICH HE SERVICED AS A MEMBER OF THE CIA-ASSOCIATED FORCES? LET US CONSIDER THIS FOR A MINUTE AND WHAT HAPPENED WITH "SOME" OF THE LEGITIMATE DOCUMENTS. ONE, JIM VASSILOS, WAS EFFORTING TO HELP GUNTHER—LEGALLY—SOME WAY. HOWEVER, WHAT HE DID WAS TO BRING, IN HIS BRIEFCASE, TO THE PRISON, DOCUMENTS (STOCK CERTIFICATES) WITH ORIGINAL SIGNATURES OF GUNTHER RUSSBACHER. THE PRISON OFFICIALS WERE WAITING FOR HIM AND CONFISCATED THEM AND STILL HOLD THEM. Did Jim know about this in advance? Who knows—it is not relevant now, after the fact—except that **something surely STINKS in the fishing industry**. Nonetheless, the Prison system has the documents and obviously now is blackmailing all parties involved. Gunther served his country well under the same circumstances any top intelligence player has served—to the limits of all reasonable laws of God and/or Man. Does that make him "guilty" of something or another? I can tell you this much—if the ones throwing these cogs in the spokes were brought to light in their own actions—guess who else would flunk "goodness" and "honor"?

Is it possible Gunther "just wants out" and is a bit misleading in hopes of swinging this through publicity? Well, he is quite capable of such actions—but this time—the truth is spelled out in the letter from NAPA—saying his trouble is over these CIA things. **If there is ONE MASSIVE, INTENTIONAL LIE—THEN MY DEARS, THE FISH ARE VERY OLD AND DESERVE TO STINK!** By the way, the letter suggests that Gunther had already skimmed "\$15 million off the top of a CIA-proprietary company". **Now who in the world can keep track of the CIA proprietary companies since they are all beyond top secret!?!?**

"Beyond top secret" or not, Global Alliance Investment Association exists for the benefit of ALL PEOPLE, just as it has PUBLICLY ADVERTIZED on its website—which MEANS that THE PEOPLE are

the owners no matter what Mr. Ekker does with the stock ledger and/or stock issuance.

One of our newer but more diligent readers sent me the following citation by email: June 18, 1994 tape 2b, after 1 minute in: "The one thing that you can know is happening is massive amounts of gold have been transferred. You're due to get out of that quite a bit. Will it happen? Yes, if we manage it properly. But there are a lot out there trying to make sure that doesn't happen. And be glad you're not in those negotiations. BELIEVE me, be glad. It's better to wait, but things are getting sorted out and I believe that Mike will attest to this. **Various ones who would have the whole hog, are really being sorted out, and they are doing it to themselves. When a man decides, number one, he is going to have it all, he will not. That's number one, not when he is playing in my game. Number two, when he decides he's going to lose it all, he will. I don't care whose game he is playing in, he will work at it until he does lose. Don't do that.**"

Grabbing all corporations associated with the Mission sets up a serious CONFLICT for Mr. Ekker because years ago the posture in court proceedings was all based on him having NOTHING TO DO WITH said corporations—just as it was said that he had NOTHING TO DO WITH CONTACT newspaper other than as a contributor.

At a meeting held in Tehachapi, California EJ Ekker READ INTO THE RECORD his non-association with the Mission's key corporations. This is in HIS OWN VOICE on the tape identified as 940911-1a, 41 minutes and 25 seconds in and was printed on pages 47-48 of the September 20, 1994 issue.

[QUOTING, EJ EKKER SPEAKING:]

The Ekkers have incorporated no corporations anywhere. They voluntarily served as temporary, paper, interval officers while processing for at least two agents in Nevada, who serve and transfer the paperwork for corporations. They have incorporated nothing. The reference to "Ekkers' Phoenix Institute" is interesting. Mr. Green set up the Phoenix Institute and told everyone on his speaking tour the same. The operation plans were his and he was a most active participant. **The Institute is very definitely NOT EKKERS'**. As to publications, the Institute has no publications. Mrs. Ekker did, however, sign over all rights TO the Institute for her transcriptions. These were published and show copyrights by America West Publishers, George Green. Mr. Green borrowed, for publication of books, but not relating to anything of Ekkers', a value of now over \$200,000. Part of these loans were collateralized by books, Journals, which he has claimed copyright and has refused to release or to pay the loans. ...

[43'50":] I repeat, the **Ekkers have incorporated nothing since 1991, anywhere.**

[END QUOTING]

If the corporation structure was NOT Mr. Ekker's THEN—just how did it ever come to be that he could simply GRAB control of the whole enchilada? You've got to admit that the social-security checks can't quite cover the amount of assets that have been GRABBED and CLAIMED, which total tens of

WHY NOW EJ EKKER PERSONALLY AS OPPOSED TO CORPORATIONS?

It had never crossed my mind before a few weeks ago that just because EJ Ekker "was" associated with the intelligence community, Global Alliance COULD be some kind of "CIA proprietary" company. After all, NO STOCK HAD BEEN ISSUED and the Articles of Incorporation clearly put the corporation OUTSIDE of the system—even with regard to taxation. As far as I knew and believed (and STILL BELIEVE), Global Alliance Investment Association has always existed for the benefit of ALL PEOPLE, EVERYWHERE, WORLD.

When CONFRONTED on this issue, EJ Ekker took the extraordinary—and unlawful—step of removing me as Director. He argued that he had done so because I was accused of a crime—even though it was only his allegation and there has been no charge, much less a conviction. Then it was argued that he was entitled to VOTE TWICE—but that canard was exposed because the Articles of Incorporation specifically state that "all votes shall be cast as a unit". He finally seems to have settled on the pretext that he had done so "on behalf of the owners", only to discover that the rules are changing in Nevada as of October 1 making it possible for any criminal investigator to discover ownership.

As early as February 2007, however, EJ Ekker started saying that everything was HIS, PERSONALLY, that HE—as opposed to Global Alliance—had put up all of the money to fund the Foundation since its inception. WHY WOULD HE ADOPT THIS POSITION? Could it be that whichever intelligence agency with which he "was" affiliated was making some claims of its own? Otherwise, why would he choose to PIERCE THE VEIL of the corporate structure carefully established over a period of 20 years?

trillions of dollars.

Did he take his precipitous actions in a misguided effort to somehow PROTECT the corporate structure from grabbers in the intelligence community? Then WHY (allege to) KICK ME OUT when I AM ON RECORD AS OWNING NOTHING, EVER, AND "CIVILLY DEAD"??? I'm going to present again the Public Notices RECORDED WITH THE CLARK COUNTY RECORDER in Nevada in 2003 simply to show how commercially INERT I am—and that anything and everything apparently in my possession belongs to the Bailor (GOD, THE PEOPLE). See the sidebars on pages 7 and 8 for details.

Also on that 940911-1a tape at the 8-minute mark is this interesting notation: "You have been left a legal will, with \$40 million due and owing to the CONTACT, but you're going to have to go claim it. It's from Russell Herman against the gold certificates—and also, to each of the 50 sovereign states is a big whacking sum of money. That means that each one of those states is going to have to go and take those legal documents and bring those against the United States government for collection. They know about the certificates; they are working madly every night into the wee hours of the morning trying to work out SOMETHING. And yet, you do not want the nation moving onto the gold standard until you are able to shift off to the side, so that they cannot recoup, over here, on your gold. So you're going to have to be both patient and a little bit trustful that things ARE going on. That doesn't mean that you slacken up; that means, you work HARDER."

I suppose you are waiting for ME to make those claims? Well, I'm sort of busy with a few other things right now. C'mon, people, what are YOU doing that is so much more important with your time?

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
ADVISED TO INVESTIGATE
"UPRISING" ADVOCATED IN
"PHILIPPINES FUTURE"

The entire text of the notorious "Philippines Future" document authored by Mr. Ekker back in February of this year has been presented in a previous issue but it amounted to him offering all the money they could want to whoever might take over the reins of government and put in place the executive orders which he had written up for them. Don't you think that might concern the government?

On April 19, 2007 the National Security Council was advised to check into the matter (see letter from Malacanang to NSC nearby). Perhaps the NSC is just moving slowly and patiently in its investigation. Perhaps Mr. Ekker's connections with the U.S. Embassy and related personnel here have come in handy. Or perhaps the NSC waited until after the

Philippines equivalent of the *Patriot Act* came into effect on July 15 to begin their investigation. But as for Mr. Ekker's claims that everything is "just peachy" and he must not be in need of a course correction—well, we'll just see in the fullness of time how that position stands up.

Almost five months after the call for an investigation, I don't believe that presenting this letter to the NSC will compromise the investigators in the performance of their duty. It is also probable that Mr.

Ekker's response to the situation has already been made, although it is hard to see what kind of response could forestall action against him. In any case, it is time to share this information with readers of this publication so you can see that this is a very SERIOUS matter indeed.

As readers might recall from prior presentations, POLITICAL INTERFERENCE is strictly prohibited in the Global Alliance Investment Association Articles of Incorporation.

Office of the President
of the Philippines
Malacañang

GENERAL GOVERNMENT ADMINISTRATION OFFICE

19 April 2007

Hon. NORBERTO B. GONZALES
National Security Adviser
National Security Council
NICA Compound, V. Luna, Diliman, Quezon City

Sir:

May we respectfully refer, for your information and/ or appropriate action, the attached letter dated 02 April 2007, from Members of the Board of Trustees, Don Esteban Benitez Tallano and Don Gregorio Madrigal Acop Foundation, which was received by the Malacañang Records Office on 04 April 2007, forwarding a document authored by Mr. Eddyjo Ekker entitled "*Philippines' Future*", allegedly written and distributed to cause an uprising against the Philippine Government.

Thank you and best regards.

Very truly yours,

LYNN DANA O-MORENO
Assistant Executive Secretary

R00409787
GGAO
71675M
NSC-acop fdn
LPG/vra

Am. 26 ✓



CRIMINAL LIBEL INVESTIGATION
FINAL SUBMISSIONS SEPTEMBER 25

It remains to be seen whether or not the prosecutor will proceed with charges of criminal libel against EJ Ekker and Brenda Bandaay. A joint complaint from Cenon C. Marcos and Professor Jaime B. Ramirez was registered with the office of the prosecutor of Pasig City based on a certain public notice run in a local newspaper, which the complainants allege to be libelous based on malicious intent for declaring that they are not Trustees of the Tallano-Acop Foundation. There have been three hearings thus far and final submissions on both sides are to be made by September 25.

I have been privy to some of the back-and-forth paperwork and I have to say that I am stunned by the sheer volume of PROVABLE falsity in EJ Ekker's affidavits. As an example, let's just look at the FIRST PARAGRAPH of EJ Ekker's most recent "REJOINDER AFFIDAVIT" because it seems a waste of space and time to consider every allegation. The strongest points made should be the ones made "up front" and so analysis of the first paragraph should be sufficient to afford the reader a taste of the full document—and full treatment can await the decision subsequent to September 25.

[QUOTING:]

A. That contrary to the joint allegations of Cenon Marcos and Jaime Ramirez that they are the duly elected TRUSTEES of the DON ESTEBAN BENITEZ TALLANO AND DON GREGORIO MADRIGAL ACOP FOUNDATION INC., these two gentlemen for fear of NOT being re-elected as trustees in the next coming ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING as prescribed by the By-Laws of the Foundation CREATED THEIR OWN SPLINTER FOUNDATION in March 2007 aided by their Canadian financier, one RONALD KIRZINGER and in spite that they are ONLY THREE TRUSTEES, unilaterally expelled as TRUSTEES, herein EDDYJO EKKER and THOMAS TAYLOR in a rush and illegal alleged Trustees meeting, forgetting that trustees cannot expel or terminate their co-equal trustees but only through and by the duly convened ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING as prescribed by the By-laws;

[END QUOTING]

"If you can't dazzle them with brilliance, baffle them with bull chips"??? Before we proceed, take special notice of the five final words in that long-sentence paragraph and then **have a look at the actual Bylaws shown on pages 18 and 19**. We'll come back to the Bylaws in just a moment.

Taken in its entirety, this amounts to an effort to undermine the legitimacy of the *de jure* Trustees, Cenon C. Marcos and Professor Jaime B. Ramirez. Toward that end, several things are alleged in that opening sentence/paragraph of EJ Ekker's "rejoinder", so we'll have to dissect it to get at each separate allegation.

1. Mr. Ekker alleges the INTENT of the legitimate Trustees: "fear of NOT being re-elected". CAN YOU KNOW SOMEONE ELSE'S INTENT, especially if

they have not expressed it? What FEAR could they possibly have of not being re-elected, when they were part of a QUORUM which acted against Mr. Ekker?

2. He then alleges that the *bona fide* Trustees "CREATED THEIR OWN SPLINTER FOUNDATION". Again, how is this possible when they were part of the QUORUM which acted against Mr. Ekker?

3. He refers to me as "Canadian financier". Financier? Isn't that someone who puts up money looking for some kind of a PROFIT? NO arrangement has been made for me to profit in any way for any support provided to sustain the Tallano-Acop Foundation. And isn't a financier presumed to be someone WITH some money to speak of? At the very least, the use of the word "financier" constitutes a serious mischaracterization.

4. Quite absurdly, Mr. Ekker then assails the FACT of the existence of a QUORUM opposing him, saying, "in spite that they are ONLY THREE TRUSTEES". The Board of Trustees was comprised of FIVE individuals: Cenon Marcos, Erlinda Marcos, Professor Ramirez, EJ Ekker and Tom Taylor. A QUORUM IS ANY THREE OF THESE FIVE. On Mr. Ekker's side there were only TWO—himself and Mr. Taylor. So what does he mean when he says, "in spite that they are ONLY THREE"—when those three comprised a QUORUM, which could lawfully act on behalf of the Foundation in all matters.

5. "In spite that they are ONLY THREE TRUSTEES"—a fully empowered QUORUM—Mr. Ekker alleges that they "unilaterally expelled as TRUSTEES, herein EDDYJO EKKER." A QUORUM DOES NOT DO ANYTHING UNILATERALLY; the very meanings of "quorum" and "unilateral" are in opposition and comprise an oxymoron when used together in the same sentence like this.

6. Eddyjo Ekker was expelled and Tom Taylor was SUSPENDED at a meeting held on March 17, 2007. EJ Ekker now avers that this occurred at an "illegal" meeting. "ILLEGAL"???

A quick reference to the Bylaws, Article I, shows that "Special meetings of the members shall be called as the need thereof arises, by the Board of Trustees or the President or upon petition of 1/3 of the general membership." In this case, the "need thereof" was to discuss serious, written charges against the two Trustees (Ekker and Taylor), in accordance with ARTICLE VI, regarding SUSPENSION, EXPULSION AND TERMINATION OF MEMBERSHIP. As required:

- Written complaints against Messrs. Ekker and Taylor were filed with the Secretary;
- The Board of Trustees called a Special Meeting to discuss and resolve the charges;
- The Secretary issued notice of the

2003 Public Notice of Ronald Kirzinger: Rejection of Benefits

The following notice, recorded in 2003, eliminated any and all presumed benefits underlying invisible contracts between myself and "the system". For better understanding of the procedure, reference George Mercier, Invisible Contracts.

PUBLIC NOTICE

SEVERANCE AND WAIVER, FORFEITURE AND REJECTION OF BENEFITS OFFERED BY THE CROWN, THE UNITED STATES AND ASSOCIATED PERSONS

This notice shall be construed to comply with provisions necessary to establish presumed fact (Rule 301, *Federal Rules of Evidence*) should interested parties fail to rebut any given allegation or matter of law addressed herein. The position shall be construed as adequate to meet requirements of judicial notice, thus preserving fundamental law. Matters addressed herein, if not rebutted, will be construed to have general application. A true and correct copy of this Public Notice is on file with the CLARK COUNTY RECORDER in CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

I, the undersigned Ronald William: Kirzinger, a competent, full-liability individual, do hereby sever, waive, forfeit and reject any and all benefits offered to me or my child-son, Evan Christian: Kirzinger by the CROWN, the UNITED STATES or associated persons.

In accordance with the above severance, waiver, forfeiture and rejection of benefits, TAKE NOTICE that any contracts presumed to exist between the CROWN or the UNITED STATES and myself are void due to failure of consideration.

In addition, TAKE NOTICE that any contracts presumed to exist between the CROWN or the UNITED STATES and myself are void *ab initio* where the CROWN or the UNITED STATES or associated persons induced the contract through fraud (see definition, next paragraph). I do not accept the liability of the compelled benefit of any unrevealed contract or commercial agreement. I reserve my inherent right not to be compelled to perform under any contract or commercial agreement that I did not enter knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally.

Fraud: "An intentional perversion of the truth for the purpose of inducing another in reliance upon it to part with some valuable thing belonging to him or to surrender a legal right; a false representation of a matter of fact, whether by words or by conduct, by false or misleading allegations, or by concealment of that which should have been disclosed, which deceives and is intended to deceive another so that he shall act upon it to his legal injury." (*Black's Law Dictionary*)

Further, TAKE NOTICE that I hereby deny the existence of all corporations and all persons who cause or allow harm to my children or me. In so doing, I specifically reserve my and my children's God-given rights and responsibilities without limitation.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. In witness whereof I have affixed my signature this 10th day of October, 2003.

Ronald William: Kirzinger, Sui Juris, UCC 1-207

2003 Public Notice of Ronald Kirzinger: Notice of Civil Death

The following notice, recorded in 2003, established that I exist only as "bailee" and any thing of value in my possession belongs to the "BAILOR", making me "civilly dead" and commercially INERT, so that I may act as CUSTODIAN. For better understanding of the procedure, reference George Mercier, Invisible Contracts.

PUBLIC NOTICE NOTICE OF BAILMENT CONTRACT AND CIVIL DEATH

This notice shall be construed to comply with provisions necessary to establish presumed fact (Rule 301, *Federal Rules of Evidence*) should interested parties fail to rebut any given allegation or matter of law addressed herein. The position shall be construed as adequate to meet requirements of judicial notice, thus preserving fundamental law. Matters addressed herein, if not rebutted, will be construed to have general application. A true and correct copy of this Public Notice is on file with the CLARK COUNTY RECORDER in CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

I, the undersigned Ronald William: Kirzinger, a competent, full-liability individual, do hereby declare as follows:

1. I am not involved in any scheme of personal commercial enrichment of any kind whatsoever. I am "about my Father's business", avoiding my trespass against any man or woman to the best of my ability in the full expectation that such others will similarly avoid trespassing against me.

2. The only assets I claim are the very personal properties of my own natural body and those of my children as gifted to me.

3. All that I may ever appear to have in the way of possessions, properties or commercial benefits are subject to a contract of bailment dating from September 30, 1993, which binds me as the bailee for as long as I live. Whenever practicable, bailments shall be registered in the name of a suitable agency of the Bailor in the first instance but it shall be presumed that any properties not able to be so registered for any reason are nevertheless properties of the Bailor and not my personal property.

4. Accordingly, for all equitable purposes I am civilly dead. Therefore, in any equitable controversy involving money or things in my possession, it shall be presumed that the appropriate party in interest for purposes of equitable recourse is the Bailor through His most proximate agency (by the *Doctrine of Instrumentality*) and that I, the bailee, may not properly be held as the surety for any such equitable claim.

5. It follows that if any individual man or woman claims any harm whatsoever done by me, adjudication of the issue must be at law—not equity—in a jurisdiction where proper and lawful due process can be effected.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. In witness whereof I have affixed my signature this 20th day of November, 2003.

Ronald William: Kirzinger, *Sui Juris*, UCC 1-207

meeting;

- Waivers of notice were provided by a quorum of the Trustees;

- At the duly convened and LAWFUL meeting, **in accordance with the Bylaws**, the charges were discussed and a resolution was promulgated by which Mr. Ekker was expelled and Mr. Taylor was suspended.

7. Mr. Ekker says that expulsion can occur "only through and by the duly convened ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING as prescribed by the By-laws"—but that is clearly NOT SO, as anyone can tell from the Bylaws, Article VI, which specifically prescribe the calling of a SPECIAL meeting to handle these matters.

HOW MANY LIES CAN BE FIT INTO A SINGLE SENTENCE? Surely, this must be close to a record, Mr. Ekker. And if there is one lie known, is not all else suspect? Indeed.

QUESTIONS FROM A FRIEND

One of the primary means of control over those who might "march to a different drummer" has been for the controllers to ensure ISOLATION and ostracism. "It's just crazy for someone to think like that." Wouldn't it be a whole lot easier to just go along and get along instead of maintaining those "crazy" ideas of the TRUTH?

Predictably, especially when the truth is perceived as a threat because it takes ones out of their "comfort zone", relationships can suffer. It is NICE, however, when the truth comes out to a sufficient extent that the isolation is replaced by curiosity and ultimately, SUPPORT for the truth.

I have just a had a wonderful exchange with a dear friend who has begun to ask QUESTIONS and am pleased to share those questions and answers with the rest of the readers, who probably have very much similar experiences of their own by now.

Q. While browsing through your articles it seems to me that a large portion is devoted to defending your positions and condemning those of your adversaries while very little time is spent in reporting progress as pertains to the reason you are where you are namely, getting your hands on the gold and giving it to the people that deserve it. When is this supposed to happen?

A. It is not possible to make progress while the key entities are controlled by the adversary, which should explain why all the attention on the adversary's unlawful, illegal, immoral usurpation of the key entities. Those key entities are the ones WITH "hands on the gold"—at least, in the case of the Tallano-Acop Foundation, court orders to administer 400,000 metric tons; and in the case of Global Alliance, a Certificate of Debt of the U.S. Treasury, backed by the Federal Reserve—and which is payable only in gold.

"When is this supposed to happen?" Whenever mankind is ready for it, I suppose. Everything is in place but as I have tried to point out repeatedly, God's abundance is not going to be poured into dirty vessels. How long will it take to clean the vessels? Good question. I'm operating on a budget of negative about \$1,000 per month and out of resources for the moment, so we're working on hooking up with some others who might have some resources and ability to fund further progress. The low-budget way to get it done is simply to bring EJ Ekker to task for his criminal actions—but here in the Philippines, the \$500,000+ in assets he controls (illegally) goes a long way toward buying him out of "sticky" situations—and his contacts in the intelligence community also seem to be a major asset for him. In the United States, NOT ONE PERSON has so far shown the temerity to so much as talk to the authorities about EJ's wrongdoings. So, there are delays upon delays.

WHENEVER it can be made to happen, every nation can experience abundance and there will be no need for income taxes OR large governments. The Western standard of living can be stabilized and even elevated—while "Third World" standards of living can immediately be brought up to "First World" status. So, if that takes a few decades or an entire lifetime—it's worth it, in my opinion.

Q. How could you make such a mistake in judgement as regards Ekker?

A. NO ONE is perfect. I take everyone at face value until things are proven otherwise. There is no doubt in my mind as to the validity of the overall program. It looks like God needed someone like EJ Ekker to "go for it"—because someone like me NEVER WOULD HAVE. He served a VERY valuable purpose in that regard. But when it came right down to it, he has elected to serve himself at the expense of the overall—and such usurpers are guaranteed to fall when it comes to a program this massive in scope. NO ONE is going to end up "King of the World". So, was it a "mistake in judgment" for God to allow/cause EJ Ekker to achieve the GOAL? I submit that only through someone like him, with a very worldly focus, could the goal have been achieved at all. He COULD have made a choice to serve for the benefit of all—but really, how many men WOULD? Well, he didn't, so there is NOW a need to get him under control—or oust him.

Q. Throughout your articles you have made remarks about "misinterpretations, and about knowing what is "real and what is fabrication". Could it be possible that you could or have been caught in the same trap?

A. God knows our intentions. As long as my intentions are pure, there is NO DOUBT as to how things shall (MUST) work out—in the fullness of time. Maybe man isn't ready to experience an Age of Abundance. Maybe mankind really feels compelled to experience the whole Armageddon thing (again). If it turns into Armageddon, it's not going to bother me that I didn't go for that \$250K/yr job with the nice corner office. I know you view my posture as "simply not practical"—but at least you are open-minded enough at this point to allow for some dialogue, and for that I am truly THANKFUL.

In the conscious intention of PEACE, I remain:
Ronald Kirzinger ("of" Hatonn)

The CONFRONTATION



Five hundred year-old sketch by Leonardo da Vinci portrays the CONFRONTATION as full-out ego intent drives straight at the heart of the Phoenix and the Divine Plan.

THE CONFRONTATION

“Your PROBLEM [pause] it’s always, as usual, right within the circle. Everybody is so interested in their OWN little narrow focus [pause] that your very enemy will USE YOU, ABUSE YOU, CHEW YOU UP AND SPIT YOU OUT—and they won’t even KNOW they’ve done it—because theirs is more narrow.”—GCH at Gilarmi Hotel meeting, 2/2/99

Please take time to STUDY the sketch by Leonardo Da Vinci shown above, keeping in mind that every stroke of the pencil had MEANING to the artist.


What sort of BEAST is that steering the boat? The shape of the leg makes it look like a WOLF but then, the head has horns and looks like a BULL. Who might this Wolf in Taurus symbolize?

Upon what is the gaze of the beast firmly transfixed? How is he using this device to set his course? At what, exactly, is he aiming? And is that the Tree of Knowledge upon which his sail is set?

I would VERY much like to dwell more on this

MAYAN "SKY PLACE"

Celestial Guidance Wheel



This symbol is associated with FORESIGHT.

very interesting subject but, as usual, too much attention is drawn to the exigencies of the moment.

I will suggest that the large bird protecting the World like its own egg IS THE PHOENIX. The device used by the beast to steer at the heart of the Phoenix is known by many names. I don’t want to call it the *dharmacakra*, or *dharma* wheel (Buddhist “wheel of the teachings”) because the symbology transcends any one set of teachings.

Oh, it’s “just” an eight-pointed circle? Well, SO IS THE SMILING SUN FACE ON THE ORIGINAL FLAG OF THE PHILIPPINES, as for that matter is the logo of the Tallano-Acop Foundation.

For “some reason”, however, we never seem to be able to get to the really FUN STUFF.

Take another look at the sketch and tell me: JUST WHO DO YOU THINK WINS IN THIS CONFRONTATION?



Infamous Showdown At the Gilarmi Hotel

9/12/07 (21-27)

Wed., September 12, 2007, Year 21, Day 27

Manila, Philippines

Much has been made of the meeting held at the Gilarmi Hotel in February 1999. I tried for many weeks to obtain a full copy of the meeting tapes from that date and finally, after taking time to consider whether he could determine my intentions, Jerry came through with the MP3 files.

There is a LOT on the tapes—but almost nothing relating to the supposedly nefarious purposes to which VK Durham has long referred. Yes, this is the same VK Durham who insists that Global Alliance's friends include the likes of: "Robert Rubin, Secretary of the U.S. Dept. of the Treasury, Lawrence Summers, Secretary of the U.S. Dept. of the Treasury, both Presidents being Bush and Clinton, James Baker III, CitiGroup Banks, CitiBank, JP Morgan-Chase, Bank of America, Chicago and Los Angeles, GOMEX or COMEX Gold Traders (Los Angeles), The Ayahtollah Koehmeni through the Greek Banks of Papandreaous, Mexico's Banamex, Sophina Bank, Brownsville, Texas, Riggs Bank, Washington D.C., BCCI Bank's in Saudi, Brunei's Banks, Banks in Rahmadi, and HSBC or Hong Kong Shanghai Bank China, and CITIGROUP OF CHINA, CITIBANK OF CHINA and Peru, Korea and the usual etceteras."

VK DURHAM'S VERSION OF THINGS

Uh-huh, sure, right, VK. In her usual, sneaky, twisted way, VK Durham strung together bits and pieces from SEVERAL recordings and made her case. At TheAntechamber.net you can find two such cut-and-paste recordings on one of her site's pages. Let's first review these first.

[First Player:]

What is taking place is taking place under the shelter of a total fabricated program—to keep you in security and get this thing done. You might be surprised, this very day, I, Commander Hatonn, that YOU question, am not questioned in Brunei. And I have top-level meetings with them there. We have to go through with what we're doing here—under the shelter of the IMF and the World Bank, etc., working down through that central bank and through, uh, Manny's, we'll just call it "Manny's Plan" because he's been sucked into it.

[Second Player:]

We've played with heads-of-state. We have such a massive program working, as I speak, in the ASEAN Conference (ASEAN, A-S-E-A-N, not "Asian", although it would DO, except this is the

ASEAN Conference) and this will pull in all the Asian nations, with the exception of the Philippines, and these are mostly Moslem-Buddhist—they are a conglomeration of many of those religions over in Malaysia and Indonesia—but there is so much hard metal stashed over there; that was the place to go and bury the GOLD.

Well, we are working in Asia with some of the bankers and the ones that—well, you've seen the one on the *Rich and Famous* that is basically organizing the ASEAN circumstance because this one was willing to put up 80, no, 20% of their entire gold wealth. You see, everybody wants to talk about—Rick, especially, wants to talk about—the Global Alliance instruments. Those instruments are only for a security 4, 5, 6, 10 years out.

To hear VK Durham tell the story, the meeting at the Gilarmi Hotel from which she has sliced and strung together non-contiguous segments (some of which were taken from another meeting altogether, months later, in Tehachapi, California and some of which I still have not found) to serve her own purposes, entirely out of context, amounted to some kind of plot to take over the World. As usual, VK Durham can't keep her facts straight. On her website, this meeting is referenced as having occurred in February 1998. The tape is not dated—at least, the very first portion was apparently clipped and not recorded—but according to the introduction by EJ Ekker, the taped meeting at the Gilarmi Hotel occurred in February 1999, not 1998. From the tape, we can tell that the meeting occurred on a Tuesday and it is believed this was probably the first Tuesday of the month. Accordingly, I have chosen to date the Gilarmi meeting tape at February 2, 1999.

WHAT REALLY HAPPENED:

EJ, RICK AND CHARLES VS DORIS EKKER

The **SUBJECT MATTER of this meeting was a scribe "greatly, greatly under stress"**. Doris had been set upon by the three not-so-gentle men in her environment—Rick "Martin", Charles Neil and her own husband, EJ Ekker for a particular message from Commander Hatonn which they viewed as "confusing". These ones were privileged to have messages directly from Commander Hatonn through Doris' faculties to guide them through on a day-by-day basis. The "final straw" seems to have come with one particular message which was said to be "confusing", "tainted" and "unclear". You might have expected that Doris would have been backed up "to the hilt" by her own husband—who should have KNOWN that she was merely the SECRETARY and that the Secretary's Boss almost always has good reasons for EXACTLY the way things are worded—

but no, he apparently had quite a lot to say of a negative variety toward and/or about Commander Hatonn prior to this meeting, by his own admission, and there is no question that it was a case of THREE AGAINST ONE. As you will see, however, Commander Hatonn was easily able to hold His own in protection of his scribe and HIS writing—and Doris was, thankfully, "elsewhere" in consciousness to allow this confrontation to occur in her "absence", even though it was her voice-box that was used to stymie the attack.

I have **bold-underlined** the brief, out-of-context segments used by VK Durham to help her conjure up an effort to "take down the World's banking system". **Bolding** is used to draw the reader's attention to key segments within the overall encounter. The technique of ALL CAPS is used, as usual, to denote EMPHASIS on particular words. My comments and input are in braces, in **bold italics**.

990202-1A_GILARMI.MP3 TRANSCRIPTION

[EJ:] ... February 1999. We're at the Gilarmi in the Philippines for a meeting with the Commander. [pause] Greetings.

[GCH:] Good morning.

[Rick:] Good morning.

[GCH:] Problems in River City. I come in the Light of God. I come WITH [pause] IN the Light of God. **I have a receiver and she is greatly, greatly under stress. Now, you gentlemen are going to make up your mind—and I hope before this session is over—whether or not we have a mission that can be completed here**, whether we are going to eat each other alive until we are quite dead in the water. **We're going to bury a few EGOS—or I can't function with you.** There are MANY things that need to be tended. And the first thing that we must accomplish here is whether or not you even want to be in this mission; or do you want to pick and allow things to so clutter your minds, of such mundane triviality, that we have to spend time like this. I want paragraph by paragraph to go over the letter and let's see if we can clear it up. And if we have to do this every morning, then I will do it but I am not going to be happy to do it. So let's just begin with this morning and let's not drag up any other trash, and when we get through here, then I want your input. I don't want ANY of you to come forth with egg, mud or foolishness on your faces. You KNEW this would be a TREMENDOUSLY TRYING period of time. We are having to CREATE out of inability to get any kind—I suppose your term would be "authentic" but you've got to take these things—and now you can say, "Well, Dharma used the wrong word." You're not GOING to get any written authority unless you produce it. And if you think you have nothing to do here, then you have a TREMENDOUS lack of creative ideas. So, I make an effort. EJ says, "Well, why don't you just write a CLEAN MESSAGE from Hatonn and then we will know." What will you know? What will you perceive? **Because SOME things that I have stated have gone against each one of you individually, you are PISSED OFF. And it's a lot easier to blame DORIS than it is to confront me.** I'm not interested in your confrontation or what you think. I am trying to get this contract

squared away so we can make some inroads in this global MESS. PERIOD. I'm not interested in your little spiritualistic journeys now. Those things are for your SOUL. And you should have tended them up before you got here—because I have NEVER said that you're going to be allowed to go in your own spiritual, little hurt feelings. **You CLAIM this is what you want from me: "I mustn't go the bathroom, sir, unless you say it's ok;" "When I hear it from Hatonn, then I will know." HOW do you expect to hear it from Hatonn—if everything we are now doing is questioned as, "Oh, well, mama-san has got her nose out of joint"? So, my SCRIBE wants to quit. And then you can't get information at all, CAN you?** So, start with today and then we'll work backwards. And if we could move right along, please, THERE ARE THINGS TO DO. You might start, however, with saying why Percy isn't here, after saying that she would be here tonight.

[EJ:] She just called and said that she [pause] was waiting on a confirmation. I didn't understand exactly what it—what it meant but she said she couldn't get here until tomorrow morning, now. She's not expecting to get her confirmation until 7 PM this evening.

[GCH:] **And you talk about MY inexplicitness?**

[EJ:] [clears throat]

[GCH:] Read.

[Rick:] "Commander Hatonn. I am in negotiation with very high-level parties of those with ability to act on these negotiations and I request the following be done: I have agreed that we will, to keep national security regulations in bounds, explain that there can be no identification forthcoming as to certain agreements and arrangements regarding anything which can impact greatly the security or the economic stability of the United States of America **and her financial control.**"

[GCH:] Now, I'm going to take one paragraph at a time.

[Rick:] Ok.

[GCH:] And when you take one paragraph at a time, out of context, you can't possibly know what it means, can you—until you read on, so somebody tell me exactly ...

[Rick:] Who . . . Who . . . Who . . .

[GCH:] what you think.

[Rick:] Who is writing?

[GCH:] Commander HATONN!

[Rick:] Ok, it looks like it's addressed TO you, not from ...

[GCH:] Well, I'm SORRY. I don't know how else you put it.

[Rick:] Well I just needed that clarified because I'm reading—I don't know who's writing to whom. So, this is YOU speaking.

[GCH:] And that's MY problem, huh. Somebody tell me: Is that MY PROBLEM? It says "Hatonn" on the file; I have put "Hatonn" in identification ...

[Rick:] Well, I'm reading ...

[GCH:] and I'm writing to you gentlemen here, in this room. **This isn't to go anywhere else.**

[Rick:] Well, I was confused by it. I think you were too, weren't you?

[Charles:] Yeah ...

[EJ:] Looks like a salutation.

[Charles:] just a normal greeting, opening ...

[Rick:] You know, if you start and it's confusing from the first, you don't know where you are.

[Charles:] all of those things were left off

[Rick:] where you, where you are, so

[GCH:] **And that just left you totally helpless, all the way to the end?**

[EJ:] **Even on page one there's no identification.**

[Rick:] Right.

[EJ:] And you've explicitly required others to identify themselves.

[Rick:] And it's NOT a small matter; it's a very LARGE matter ...

[GCH:] NO it isn't.

[Rick:] Well, there we have a disagreement.

[EJ:] **I AGREE THAT THIS IS A LARGE MATTER. We're being asked to take direction and BY GOD WE WANNA KNOW WHO WE'RE TAKING DIRECTION FROM.**

[GCH:] You're taking direction, in this instance, from Commander Hatonn, ATON OF LIGHT.

[EJ:] We would be pleased to do that. That's what we're HERE for.

[GCH:] You can ASK me ...

[Rick:] THAT is not a problem.

[EJ:] **We have BEEN ASKING you, now, that's what we're HERE for—to make sure. We have to pass our tests. I don't know how many there are or what they look like ...**

[GCH:] A LOT—constantly, EVERY MINUTE.

[EJ:] Alright. Now, we're doing the best we can.

[GCH:] Now, you tell me what you need so that you can UNDERSTAND when I write versus when SHE writes, versus when you want a FILE. What are you DOING? Everything goes under an "LP" or an "LB". How can you TELL? Things are getting sent away that are going to come back and eat you alive, and already have, to back up either your quarrels or your personal EGO feelings—and it has you in great, great "hot water".

[EJ:] My perception of the situation is that [pause] the hot water got created when we had a fuss with Ed's selection of the Sananda writing having to do with a "house cleaning".

[GCH:] That's right.

[EJ:] **Whadya mean, WHY? I just told you that that's WHEN my perception is.** Now, if that's not the correct perception, you know; we'd just like to know what's going on and what WE'RE supposed to do about it.

[GCH:] The correct perception is that, in a printed page, there is no way to get an identification that will truly SATISFY everyone. I can come in here and I can say, "Hatonn—King of the Hill!—King of ALL Hills!—I am Jesus Christ/Sananda—I am God—I am Creator." Does that make me ANY of those? You have gotten to the point where there is absolutely **no identification of any RECEIVER** and that's what you're talking about, and that's what you're talking about right HERE, right now. I have put my label on this paper. Now you want to argue because, "Oh, I didn't understand that and I want you to come through here, sir, and say, 'I am Light.'" That's fine for me to sit here and tell you, "I am Commander Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn. I am Light. I am in command of this mission and this is my scribe—this is my speaker and my receiver, my translator. And I call her 'dharma'."

Now does everybody feel better?

[EJ:] Yes.

[Rick:] I feel better.

[GCH:] Now you want me to spend all that time in writing you a little note when ok, let's just leave it on the computer and each time I need to USE that I will pull it up—and you STILL won't know, will you. **YOU'VE GOT TO START DISCERNING, gentlemen.**

[Rick:] **The discernment has told ME there is something seriously WRONG and I will listen to that discernment until I am convinced otherwise.**

[GCH:] Why would you not listen to it BEFORE now?

[Rick:] Well, that's a good question. Call it "living in denial".

[GCH:] How are we going to get past THESE things to get our WORK done?

[Rick:] Another good question.

[GCH:] Well it better not be a question much longer—because I have to have some things DONE and I can't sit in here WITH YOU holding your hands while things must get DONE. I can do THIS at leisure—but I've got to go through THIS now before I can get the WORK off because **this MESSAGE has nothing to DO with "back home". This message has EVERYTHING to do with RIGHT HERE and that's WHAT YOU OBJECT TO EVERY TIME. I have somehow pinched your nose. Well, if you think it doesn't take bravery to come out here and write this, after the past few days, I think you'd better start thinking very, very clearly.** I've got to have another public notice in the PAPER; I have to have a PAPER for at least FOUR WEEKS, don't I. And we've already missed this one. We are running on a treadmill and we are running out of time. I have been negotiating with these people. I have TOLD them what we will do—and now we're going to sit here in a room in MAKATI and decide whether or not that suggestion might have come from DORIS or from Commander.

[Rick:] **It's a valid question.**

[GCH:] You better go on and read because Rick, you are aggravating me.

[Rick:] Well, heh.

[GCH:] **You are asking SILLY QUESTIONS. Let us get to the BIG questions.**

[Rick:] I don't have a problem with the public notice. We can go through it but I don't have a PROBLEM with it. Ummm, "In that recognition I am told that we have made it clear that all Deeds and contracts offered by any of our working personnel are identifiable as designed by those financial departments involved. We can proceed. I remind you of some very important requests that must be used as clarification for identification. All Deeds and contracts will bear signatures and affixed fingerprints to identify the signatories. **If those signatories are changed, it will be so noted by new signatories' fingerprints.** The other top-secret instructions for prevention of forgery or counterfeit according to demands and agreements will be left out of this contract."

[GCH:] Problem? What's a problem with it?

[Rick:] No, no problem. It's fine.

[GCH:] EJ, what is the problem with it?

[EJ:] I don't have any problem with that.

[Charles:] I don't have any with that, either.

[throat clearing by EJ and Charles]

[Rick:] “[inaudible over throat clearing sounds of others] copy of the original agreement as written according to communication demands bearing signatures and fingerprints as an agreement of our cooperation and run it as a public notice in the paper.”

[EJ:] I have a problem with that.

[Rick:] Ok.

[EJ:] What does “original” mean?

[GCH:] Read it again.

[Rick:] “Please get a copy of the original agreement as written according to communication demands ...”

[EJ:] This is not the original agreement; this is the one that I RE-WROTE recently. Is it considered, now, the “original” agreement?

[GCH:] Yes.

[Rick:] It’s the only standing agreement we have ...

[GCH:] Look at the date. For goodness’ sakes.

[Rick:] “Get a copy of the original agreement ...”

[GCH:] And obviously you can’t get the original over there ...

[Rick:] Right. “... as written according to communications demands bearing signatures as an agreement to our cooperation and run it as a public notice in the paper.”

[GCH:] Now, I want you to look at that original ...

[Rick:] Now, it says ...

[GCH:] and make SURE that it says in there about fingerprints. I have put this in here ...

[Rick:] Yup.

[GCH:] because some things were removed, rewritten and so forth.

[Rick:] Fingerprints are still in there.

[GCH:] Make SURE because that is one of the identification REQUIREMENTS that I have made with them.

[Rick:] Yes ...

[GCH:] Good. It doesn’t do any good ...

[Rick:] fingerprints is in here, “our fingerprints to legal documents”, etc. “also additional security measures”. Now, I brought up one point with Charles, just a point in passing and it’s not a BIG deal because there is an explanation for it, but that is that this document was dated not September 9, 1996—and it talks about the \$5 trillion a year limit. The, the assignment by Russell Herman was only made PUBLIC in 1998, wasn’t it—97 or 98, it was a year or two later ...

[EJ:] The assignment to Rick.

[Rick:] Yeah, right. So, in terms of anybody really knowing about the assignment to me, including VK, uh, an agreement with Treasury dating back as far as 96 might come as a surprise to one or two people but I think we can cover that.

[GCH:] I think that you’re perfectly capable of writing something that clarifies this.

[Rick:] “This is a requirement”, let’s see, here, public notice in the paper: “this is a requirement of our negotiators for the financial parties associated with Treasury, IMF, Federal Reserve and World Bank. By running the commitment as a public notice it will be shown to be a legally binding document on the parties in my working top-level management personnel.”

[Cell phone rings]

[EJ:] Hello. [pause] Yes. [longer pause] Oh. [pause] Alright. Thank you very much. We’ll hear from you tomorrow maybe. [pause] Alright. Thank you. Bye. Jamie’s brother-in-law has had an emergency and he can’t come today ...

[GCH:] OH, gentlemen, I can tell you so much. Why do you exclude me? Jamie’s not coming for the same reason that Perseva Randa [phonetic] is not coming. They’re having to get confirmations. They’re having to get top-secret, top-level, undercover shenanigans accomplished. I don’t like having to say these things or even write these things in this room. You make your own way so difficult for ME to keep you in security. You should have KNOWN the second call would come because IT is related to the FIRST one—and you THINK it’s related to David Satinover. Oh, gracious. Go on, please.

[Rick:] “By running the commitment as a public notice it will be shown to be a legally binding document on the parties in my working top-level management personnel. We are told that if there is no effort to somehow slip something through, there will be assistance in concluding arrangements suitable to both sides of these negotiations. After the first two public notices, final arrangements can be put into place so that the final running of the document we should ... After the first two public notices, final arrangements

Your PROBLEM [pause] it’s always, as usual, right within the circle. Everybody is so interested in their OWN little narrow focus [pause] that your very enemy will USE YOU, ABUSE YOU, CHEW YOU UP AND SPIT YOU OUT—and they won’t even KNOW they’ve done it—because theirs is more narrow.

can be put into place so that by the final running of the document we should be established and conduits set forth that will cover all parties, and privacy and protection will be afforded my team. Thank you. Run the agreement of understanding we wrote to qualify and identify our understanding with the Treasury and the Fed ...”

[GCH:] Now, do you understand the paragraph preceding?

[Rick:] YEAH, no sweat.

[GCH:] Ok. Now, what are you reading?

[Rick:] I’m about to go into your instructions but that’s it, that’s the, uh, that’s the letter. Fine. No problem.

[GCH:] Continue to read.

[Rick:] “I want no ...”

[GCH:] What did I just ... what did I just request in there?

[Rick:] That we attach this agreement here to it, preceding your letter, and then, this agreement as a public notice.

[GCH:] And do you understand that you don’t need to leave that in there?

[Rick:] Yeah, yeah.

[GCH:] Or any of the above ...

[Rick:] Yes, it’s in brackets.

[GCH:] Well, we’re going to go over it line by line because I don’t want a slip-up. This is TOO important.

[Rick:] You want, you want this letter IN, published ...

[GCH:] Which letter?

[Rick:] The letter I just read. You want that as part of the public notice.

[GCH:] No.

[Rick:] So really, the only thing is the agreement.

[GCH:] And what I’ve said about it.

[EJ:] **Oh, that’s very confusing. When you add, “what I said about it”. What you said about it starts off by saying, “Commander Hatonn, comma ...”**

[Rick:] “I’m in negotiation with high-level parties...”

[GCH:] How are you going to identify? So, we DO need some identification, don’t we. If that is not a suitable identification ...

[EJ:] Well, if we say “From Commander Hatonn”, then ...

[Rick:] Right: “From Commander Hatonn to ...” who are you writing TO?

[GCH:] I’m writing for a public notice ...

[Rick:] K.

[GCH:] as generalized as possible.

[Rick:] Ok.

[EJ:] Well, if we know what we’re doin’ I can re-write that, so that it’s ...

[Rick:] Ok, that’s [pause] no problem.

[EJ:] Now we’re down to page three.

[Rick:] Mhmm.

[GCH:] Now you’re running the public notice; that’s the end of what needs to go in the paper, unless I have appended some notation there. The other things, we go right back to the discussion because I’m asked by you constantly WHAT TO DO. Charles says, “I have nothing to DO. What shall I do?” Rick says, “Well I don’t know what to do. We could save money maybe by going back home.” Well maybe that

IS a solution; I’m TRYING to answer you. I’m trying to give you some ideas—because what you are having a lack of is an inability to get off your self kicks and whatever is going on and get out there and DO something. And this sounds HARSH for me. And I don’t like to DO this. I have a TEAM here—and what are we going to do—wait until I explain each WORD? And maybe I could jump off the building to PROVE that it’s ME. But you know what, it would KILL HER, wouldn’t it. So, what a CLEVER way to get rid of you—as far as any integrity whatsoever. **Your PROBLEM [pause] it’s always, as usual, right within the circle. Everybody is so interested in their OWN little narrow focus [pause] that your very enemy will USE YOU, ABUSE YOU, CHEW YOU UP AND SPIT YOU OUT—and they won’t even KNOW they’ve done it—because theirs is more narrow.** Those things will have to be handled when you get back HOME and I expect them to be handled. So let’s go on through this and we WILL stop every time. I am TRYING to answer your petitions TO ME DIRECTLY: “Well, what is it you want me to do?” I don’t even get THAT much respect. [pause] So let’s go on.

[Rick:] [few seconds pause] “Send these instructions to Al, please, and if he feels better to pass them through Ray, Mark, etc. please do so. That, however, is for comfort, nothing more, and if to get it through to press it must be through the News Desk,

then do it that way. In fact, it would probably be better served to do just that.”

[GCH:] Now, you don't have to send the entire letter but somebody has to send an explanation to Al. So, I try to write things so that, for goodness' sakes, from here to kindergarten, everybody including the adversary knows exactly what we're doing. [pause] Go on.

[Rick:] [few seconds pause] “Sorry to hurt your feelings but this is why we have a public paper. But you won't much longer have one because you actually have no paper worthy of a paper any longer. There is no management, you don't even have an editor on duty almost all of the time and then the focus is on foolish and remarkably narrow limitations of insights. You have in-fighting, incredible ego bullying and total destruction of the paper as a paper. You are being turned into a “cult rag” on mysticism, spiritualism—spiritism, not spiritualism—and secondhand reprint. Why bother with *CONTACT* at all if you can better follow advice and order up Art Bell and Spotlight issues though [unintelligible]. Where have all our contributors gone ...

[EJ:] Let's hold up right there.

[Rick:] long time ...

[EJ:] [clears throat] It would appear to me that by suggesting that this be published through the News Desk, that you, there is an assumption made that the News Desk has some autonomy and can publish things that Ed Young wouldn't like.

[GCH:] Mhmm.

[EJ:] [loud cough]

[GCH:] That's exactly [repeat due to the over-riding loud cough] that's exactly it. It would give—and if he negates it, that gives Al a chance to respond—without taking any dangerous little steps to ruffle anyone's little feathers. I don't know when anybody is going to walk out there and confront the real culprits.

[EJ:] [clears throat] Well, I feel really—reasonably certain that if you ask Al to do that, he won't do it. [clears throat] And if, if he DOES decide to do it and Ed objects to it, it won't get done.

[GCH:] Well then just figure a way to do it and get it done. I give you an ALTERNATIVE.

[EJ:] [clears throat]

[GCH:] HOW are you going to get it done, if Ed says, “I'm not going to run this”?

[EJ:] I don't think Ed will say that.

[Rick:] I don't think he will either. He may squawk but ...

[EJ:] If we wanna, you know [clears throat], if we wanna continue to [pause] carp at him and, and make these kinds of disparaging remarks about his management and his, his, uh, turning the ray, destroying the paper and turning it into a rag and, and a, a spiritualistic, ah, rag, “cult rag”, if we're going to continue with those kinds of criticisms, even though he doesn't see 'em, it is a reflection of our ATTITUDE toward him and I dunno, I would HOPE that he would, that he would have more guts than to stay. I dunno WHY he would not respond by saying, “Well, FORGET it.” Uh, uh, now, it doesn't bother me particularly whether Ed stays or goes, I think the paper can be handled but [clears throat] there's something a little bit dishonest about handling it this way, from my point of view.

[GCH:] I would be very happy for you to handle it ...

[EJ:] Well [clears throat], uh, how in the HELL am I going to handle ANYTHING in the face of YOUR, uh, desires, knowledge, ability to SEE farther than I can? How could I DO that? That's STUPIDITY on my part.

[GCH:] You have just TOLD me that I have made a STUPID assumption.

[EJ:] [clears throat] I ...

[GCH:] DON'T DO IT. I SAID what goes in the paper down there.

[EJ:] I can AGREE with you [pause] as far as that's concerned but I CANNOT agree with you that that's going to make Ed happy and wanting to do I—what we want him to do, so ...

[GCH:] Did I SAY, “Do it” or did I say, “as an alternative”?

[EJ:] Well, you said ...

[GCH:] And are you planning to send the entire paper to Dr. Young?

[EJ:] Of course not.

[GCH:] But that's what you're acting like.

[EJ:] I'm saying that attitudes are generated by these things and at, at some point in time, those attitudes will become known to Mr. Young, I'm sure. They're ALREADY known to him. Uh [pause] I just think that there must be some, uh, more logical way of handling the situation than there, than is being, uh, propounded here. We're basically driving Ed away. Now, is that our purpose? If it is, I don't MIND. You know, if [clears throat] if Ed is supposed to leave—fine. Uh, if Charles is supposed to leave, I guess that's the way it's supposed to be. If Rick's supposed to leave, then that's the way it's supposed to be. **I don't know, but it certainly is confusing and chaotic to have to go through these kinds of PURGES to get there.**

[GCH:] You thought to have a gift that can change an entire global community and FOUNDATION would not require a purge or two? Or at least some—diarrhea pangs? [male voice or voices chuckle] EJ, you are being RIDICULOUS here.

[EJ:] [clears throat] Well I may be being ridiculous, uh ...

[Rick:] But how DARE BE REASONABLE to ME! [chuckles]

[EJ:] I'm sure ...

[GCH:] IS THERE NOBODY IN THIS ROOM that can PUT A NOTE ON THESE PAPERS and say “ED, PLEASE RUN THESE”?

[Rick:] No PROBLEM!

[GCH:] Well then, WHAT IS THIS DISCUSSION ABOUT?

[EJ:] This discussion is about whether we've got a, a Commander Hatonn to lead this Mission and, and whether we're trying to GET THROUGH Ed Young or WITH Ed Young.

[GCH:] WHAT IS YOUR PROBLEM WITH ED YOUNG?

[EJ:] I dunno, what is YOUR problem with Ed Young?

[GCH:] My problem with Ed Young is that Ed Young is not an “Editor-in-Chief”, Ed Young plays all night long, he does NOT attend the business of the paper, he does not RESPOND to people who write in.

He doesn't even understand or take management, or ANY KIND of RESPONSIBILITY. Things have gone, QUOTE, “TO HELL”, END QUOTE—since you have been gone. And maybe we'd better just finish reading it and then we'll go back on these points.

[EJ:] Alright.

[GCH:] I cannot help what Ed Young DOES. He has FREE WILL to do whatever he is doing and HAS DONE. It is OUR responsibility whether we want a PAPER out there later, or not. And frankly, it's going to be YOUR responsibility. **What do you perceive YOUR responsibility is right now—other than to wonder whether or not you have a Commander?** Because I TRY to respond to your individual requests.

[EJ:] Alright. **In this one SMALL instance here [clears throat] we can understand that we're supposed to make THIS notification run in THAT newspaper.**

[GCH:] That's right.

[EJ:] Now [clears throat] we have two choices: we can try to run it through Al's News Desk, which will create all kinds of FRICTION on the other end ...

[Rick:] Yes.

[GCH:] I said that might be [EJ clears throat] a solution.

[EJ:] **WHY WOULD YOU SAY THAT? If you KNOW that it is not the way to do it, why would you say it?**

[GCH:] Because you may very well find that you get a BAD rebuttal of, “I don't want to run any more of these PUBLIC NOTICES.

[EJ:] And you think that AL will run over Ed and go ahead and run it ANYWAY?

[GCH:] Yes. You have very, very little faith in Al ...

[EJ:] I certainly have.

[GCH:] and it's shown for a long time from all of you.

[EJ:] I, um, I, I admit that, um and, and ...

[GCH:] I DON'T BELIEVE it will COME to that. But the next thing we would do is sit here, well, supposing Ed doesn't want to run it—and we lose ANOTHER 3 or 4 days, when there are alternatives. [pause] Go on. I want to get through this because there are going to be other things in there. Already, here, the question just trying to figure out how to do it.

[Rick:] “If you guys haven't kept your noses clean, wipe them and get on with your responsibilities. Rick, get into email or fax and find out what is up on Wean, Mullins...” I've been thinking about this anyway, so this is good.

[GCH:] I KNOW you have.

[Rick:] Um, “Wean, Mullins, Nicholls, Phillips, Tatum, etc. Send them your phone number here because it's so much cheaper to call back than make the calls from here. I can tell you now that if we don't shape up that paper staff called ‘management’, we don't have a paper, and **if I'm not acceptable to be considered valid input, there will not be a paper and the gang can just go start another paper without my input and without my logos, period, end of argument.**” Well, this might be a good time to talk about leaving, about leaving Manila. Charles and I have been talking about leaving Manila for DAYS and we have changed our flights to Friday, this

week, to go back. Part of my reason for going back is to work on the paper, to deal with some of the things we're talking about. I had not wanted to bring it up before now because I didn't want to shake things up more than they're already shaken up. But Manny is coming back tonight. I believe we will have his paperwork done probably by tomorrow night, Thursday at the latest. Charles and I have signed many, many blank pages and fingerprinted many pages for EJ and I've printed out all the documents he'll need, I've put together a, a briefcase with all the supporting information, every, literally everything he needs, uh, to do the Deeds. [EJ clears throat] I don't think it is fiscally responsible to stay here, uh, really even another day but because of Manny, to ensure that he is OK with us leaving, that there's no, uh, burps or blips in the system, uh, we elected to wait until after we could spend some time with Manny and make sure that he knows that we've been called back to tend business back there, Charles for health reasons, mainly health reasons, and me to help on the, uh, on the CONTACT, uh, on the paper. So now if there's a reason not to proceed with those plans, it seems perfectly responsible and reasonable to follow through with that plan as I've just stated it. If there's a reason NOT to, I'd like to hear it.

[GCH:] **There's no reason not to, if this is the way we're going to confront this. If both of you just go home and say, "Oh, well, it all got tainted up in personalities", that is not an acceptable reason.**

[Charles:] Our plan is to not go home and say any such thing. Our plan is to go home and say we're waiting for the funding. The paperwork is done, Manny is working on it, fully capable. He'll report in tomorrow. The funds will come when they come. And we'll say no bad knock on ANYBODY because there's nuttin' to say. Paperwork's in the process, funding's in process, when it comes, there's the money, Jake sends some home, cover the bills, come back when it's APPROPRIATE. We're not bad mouthin' NO ONE for no reason because there's no reason to.

[GCH:] Then let's pass on to—let's get through this.

[Rick:] Ok. "Nicholls, Tatum, Mullins, etc. I can tell you now that if we don't shape up that paper staff called 'management', you don't have a paper and if I'm not acceptable to be considered valid input, there will not be a paper and the gang can just go start another paper without my input and without my logos, period."

[GCH:] And I am talking SPECIFICALLY about the gang that is there NOW.

[Rick:] Right.

[GCH:] If we're not sufficient unto our OWN paper and our OWN property—I can guarantee you it will not go on like this. There will be responsibility ASSUMED and TAKEN for that. When there are funds, we will make funds available for that. But there's not going to be any more of this garbage thrown about.

[Rick:] **"Gentlemen, I do not care whether or not you want to do anything with my receiver. That is YOUR problem and after this writing it is no longer hers to feel anything except dedication to our business and that is why I have pulled her**

back again into the isolationism. She is not only supposed to write and defend as problems are confronted but I demand it and I demand it without you others who interfere by opinions or further painful accusations of her 'lop-sided blindness'. What is going on back home is incredibly destructive and just who do you expect to bring it under control? And it is, and it is YOU being sorted and measured as well as anyone or thing back home."

[GCH:] **Now can you get back home and straighten it up? Or will you simply just go back and say, "Oh, well, that's right. It's in a MESS." I need to know THAT because you're going to be barraged, absolutely confronted with a red-faced Ed Young, who is embarrassed. And you also spoke without full information or knowledge. And he is going to come to you and the two of you are very apt to form some kind of a COALITION against that nasty Commander and those awful Ekkers. And if you think that won't happen, then you're dreaming.**

[Charles:] Won't happen on MY part. I don't operate like that. I thought you KNEW that.

[GCH:] I'm talking to RICK.

[Charles:] Well, [inaudible] all that stuff.

[GCH:] **Rick has a tendency to want to run away.** And already, Ed has made it known to everyone that Rick has told him this stuff. He's either gotten it through Gail, from Rick, or he's gotten it directly from Rick and using Charles as backup.

[Charles:] Does that imply that I backed him up and sent—talked to him also?

[GCH:] Yes—NO!

[Charles:] Because I HAVEN'T.

[GCH:] You haven't talked to him, no.

[Charles:] Right and you certainly oughta know THAT! [EJ clears throat] Well these implications are just ...

[GCH:] Stop DEFENDING yourself, Charles.

[Charles:] Well I'm not very good at anything [inaudible]

[GCH:] LISTEN to you. How DARE you say that, to be over HERE in such a position and say, you've found you're no good at it. That is ABSOLUTELY an insult.

[Charles:] I didn't say that in a wro..., in that manner.

[GCH:] But you SAID it and I am being put through ...

[Charles:] You took it another way.

[GCH:] Ah, HO, now we're going to get there, aren't we.

[Charles:] I don't know.

[GCH:] I took it another way?

[Charles:] Well that's ...

[GCH:] I didn't take it another way.

[Charles:] I'm tryin' to be HONEST and BOY that's gittin' difficult.

[GCH:] Do you think I don't have difficulty trying to write to you?

[Charles:] I can well imagine.

[GCH:] Thank you, if we can get to some sort of, any kind of a TEAM again. **At this point the problem lies with RICK. THAT's where the hit is going to come. I need to know from Rick where he stands. We have not been served by Sananda since**

we've been here. WHY? And yet we've had a LOT of complaining, a lot of what I consider unacceptable attitudes. Now the assumption was that RICK was being paid. Right or wrong, Rick?

[Rick:] Mhmm.

[GCH:] ARE YOU BEING PAID?

[Rick:] Yes.

[GCH:] Well then, why do you say that you get NOTHING and that YOUR cards are maxed out? Do you think no one else's cards are MAXED OUT into thousands and thousands of dollars of deeper debt? These are things YOU gentlemen have to think about. I am trying to respond [tape ends]

[Begin transcription of 990202-1b_Gilarmi:]

[GCH:] What is that you want out of getting this task accomplished? You better think about these things very, very carefully. And I DON'T WANT an answer in this room but I want people to start thinking about their commission and then I want you to start thinking about, after the commission, what. We are trying to turn a world around. **What is taking place is taking place under the shelter of a total fabricated program—to keep you in security and get this thing done.** And you've got to decide what you're going to do about it. If we're going to complain about the little things, and what might have happened to "me" or what "I" might not have gotten, then it isn't going to work.

[Rick:] **Well, I have to say that in the writings over the last few weeks, uh, harping on our unacceptable attitudes, I have found that MOST of the attitude coming through the writings from my standpoint are completely unacceptable and unworthy of a person of your position and stature. And I resent, uh, that kind of NEGATIVE and ADVERSARIAL input into what should be a much higher level of information, uh, transmission.**

[GCH:] And your, uh, attitudes, opinions, actions and so forth have been pure?

[Rick:] Never said that.

[GCH:] Then how can you judge?

[Rick:] Just letting you know how I perceive and how I feel about what I am receiving on THIS end.

[GCH:] Well, then you better consider this very carefully, in this room, because **if that is your attitude then you are ABSOLUTELY of no use here, are you.**

[Rick:] Yeah, I've already decided to go back home, if that's any indication.

[GCH:] **Rick, I've noticed from onset, you are a "run away" person and this is something, you're going to have to grow up—and mature. You cannot run away every time you're confronted. And you could always do what is usually done, and that's blame somebody else, like the writings or, "Well, I believe it's tainted."** You better look at the JOB.

[Rick:] Well, I've had DAYS to look at the job and I've had days to analyze the situation and I see it, still, as financially irresponsible to stay.

[GCH:] That's up to you. [pause, male clears throat] And suddenly I get no input.

[Charles:] That's troubled me greatly also. Very costly and I'm not producing that much, that I'm aware of. And that troubles me. It's not good management, to me.

[GCH:] Go ahead and read.

[Rick:] [few seconds pause] “EJ, stay on the business papers for general information, we’re going to measure up to what is expected of us and we’ll see what happens after our ‘ten-day ultimatum’ and whether or not we’re going to have, have to mutiny just to get control of our paper.” What does that mean?

[GCH:] What does it say? I want your PERCEPTION.

[Rick:] [few seconds pause] **Well [chuckle], I have an IMAGE of Doris throwing a TANTRUM, if you must know. Reading that sentence that’s what, the image I get.** [few seconds pause] “As for Rick’s pay, stop ...”

[GCH:] NO. We’re NOT going to pass that. Read the paragraph again.

[Rick:] [few seconds pause] “EJ, stay on the business papers for general information ...”

[GCH:] What does THAT mean?

[Rick:] That means, “Keep writing.”

[GCH:] About what?

[Rick:] Economics—what we’re doing, our goal.

[GCH:] Well then, what’s so hard to understand about that?

[Rick:] Nothing.

[GCH:] Doesn’t that also infer I would like it in the paper ...

[Rick:] Sure!

[GCH:] to run with these other things, so that as we DO begin to receive, there is an understanding of what we’re doing?

[Rick:] [few seconds pause] No problem.

[GCH:] Alright. Now, read on.

[Rick:] “We’re going to measure up to what is expected of us and we’ll see what happens after our ‘ten-day ultimatum’ and whether or not ...”

[GCH:] Alright. Stop right there. What is the “ten-day ultimatum”?

[Rick:] That’s um, “Produce money in the next ten days.”

[GCH:] From when?

[Rick:] From, what, a week ago. I noted it in my calendar.

[GCH:] Aren’t you about up? [pages shuffle] Like this week?

[Rick:] Thursday. Two days.

[GCH:] Do you see money in their hands in two days?

[Rick:] Not likely.

[GCH:] **Now read the next sentence—and see what THAT has to do with Doris.**

[Rick:] Uh, “see what happens after ‘ten-day ultimatum’ and whether or not WE are going to have to mutiny just to get control of our paper.”

[GCH:] How do you get control back? What IS the “or” there? [Rick clears throat] What have you been THREATENED with? “You get money to me ON MY SCHEDULE, in 10 days, or ...” Or WHAT? [few seconds pause] And what have you got afterwards? WHO is going to pay for the PAPER? [few seconds pause] Or are you just going to throw it away? **Run away, run away. “Not MY problem. I don’t have any money. I won’t face it.” But Doris is just “having a tantrum”. Doris in her HUMAN form has had to face disaster after disaster after INSULT AFTER IMPACT. I don’t think this would even be worthy of a tantrum—and**

neither do I care for things to be said like, “Doris reacted VIOLENTLY.” And that came directly from Rick—to Ed. Doris does not “react violently”. If she did, she would have already broken every piece of furniture in this room! Doris tries to “get along”—and love you and appreciate you. And now, once again, let’s ALL THROW THE ROCKS ON TOP OF HER GRAVE. NO, it ISN’T OK. And when I say, “if WE have to mutiny”—that means that we don’t supply the funding and let Mr. YOUNG do what we ASKED him to do four months ago and that was, ASK FOR HELP.

[Rick:] THAT’s a clear statement.

[GCH:] Go on, please.

[Rick:] “As for Rick’s pay, stop this. Rick should be insisting that his pay is made and covered by CONTACT and that, and today that means Dr. Young is to see to it. He works with and for CONTACT, not Ekkers. We are working our obli-, we are meeting our obligations to the travel, so I suggest Rick on his own, for Sananda from Rick will not sell today, get something worth reading in that paper as a foreign journalist. We either take back our property or forget that old Second Coming to regain God’s property, or **you’re going to be considered idiots, not prophets, as you do this irrational performance of pouting and dodging responsibility.** I’m pretty hard on you because I know you can do this job and confound it, you seem to have forgotten your capabilities. What of Charles? Well, he’s been seen with Rick and if you have to get out there, get out there and explain that you can’t possibly travel alone and Charles is considered an “elder commentator”, who writes under a pen name. Or do whatever you want but if you want success to add to your life’s journeys, friends, you’re going to have to DO something, for, as is, it is slipping away as you wait. Get your visas extended or not as you see fit but Dharma is staying right here in Manila and possibly other places. I would assume that EJ would likewise share that duty. There is plenty to do, so stop the nonsense and get at it. The more you are seen around these business places, [place name or names, unintelligible, sounds like at-how-kalea], phone companies, even the mayors of townships, the more credible becomes your sheltering cover. Be creative—get creative.”

[GCH:] Now, what do we not understand, so that I can get on with MY business? [loud horn honking from a street nearby, EJ clears throat] **You might be surprised, this very day, I, Commander Hatonn that YOU question am not questioned in Brunei—and I have top-level meetings with them, there. And I am not going to sit here to explain to YOU, so that we can blow it for our adversary, in this room. And since we can’t understand the writings because they are “so difficult to understand”, then we do have an impasse.** But I am going right on with our business. And I want this in the paper. I want it SENT and I don’t care how you do it. **I want that paper run FOUR MORE TIMES WITH THAT PUBLIC NOTICE IN IT. Any other question about my position, you will have to work out YOURSELVES—and you might start trying your own connections upstairs because you are hung up LONG before you get up there. And I am not going to render my receiver totally useless. I can ISOLATE her so that there is absolutely no**

talk and you don’t HAVE to agree with her. But this is what happens. Familiarity BREEDS CONTEMPT. And you refuse to look at the POINT while you pay all this attention to distractions. You didn’t come over here for the paper, you didn’t come over here for the farm, you didn’t come over here to meet everybody ELSE’s demands on you. **You came over here to get those contracts validated and begin to reverse the TREND into TOTAL CAPTIVITY OF A GLOBE under this economic system.** [RK: *Is this what VK Durham refers to as “taking the whole world’s banking system hostage”? Tsk, tsk, VK, such foolishness. The plan is to REVERSE THE TREND.*] **And I’ve worked OUT the details so that I don’t even make enemies of your enemies—but you’re going to trash me and trash my receiver. So that, gentlemen, is up to you. You decide whatever the hell it is you want to do AND DO IT.** But don’t, after the fact, come and say, “Commander said to do this”. I am saying to do ONE thing today and that is to get that agreement off and started running in the paper and everything else in that paper you can quibble about until next Friday, a week from this one. Do whatever you want to do. But don’t give me a bunch of silly excuses of why you’re doing it. You better have a DAMN good reason—because the problem is to relate to why you came in the first place and now why you feel a need to go because NOTHING, ABSOLUTELY NOTHING HAS CHANGED except that you’re farther along. And you are just about to success. Be CAREFUL you don’t blow it—because some people have really blown it.

[Charles:] May I say sumpin’?

[GCH:] Yes.

[Charles:] I don’t feel that, uh, my stayin’ here is economically responsible and that’s the basic reason why I’d like to go. Also to visit Scott, I’ve not been holdin’ up my visiting with him. I understood he took a little turn for the worse a few days ago, uh, my presence may or may not help but I can’t do anything beyond that but I don’t like, you know, sittin’ around in a room, you know, doin’ nothin’ much and I’m not turned on by these propositions of talkin’ to PAL and PALEA and all of those people. They have a real mental mindset against it, “it’s too good to be true” type situation and, uh, I KNOW it’s gonna work out, I KNOW it’s gonna work out. I’m bettin’ on Manny. I always have—**since I found out that YOU liked him, well, he’s ok with me, I ...**

[GCH:] **But if I’m not real, how can you possibly believe anything?**

[Charles:] Well, that’s my, my only HOPE. So if both of you are real, you don’t have a problem. Your mission will be accomplished.

[GCH:] That’s right.

[Charles:] And I don’t have to sit in this room for a month to wait and find out if it is or not. I’ll go back and go on and do what I can to be helpful, I’ll not badmouth NOBODY. I don’t ever do that, except when I slip bad but I, I’m gonna watch THAT more closely now, but I just wanna go and relieve the financial LOAD here. The bills are goin’ up and we’re not puttin’ out more contracts, people are lookin’ at it and sayin’, “yah, yah, yah” and another week goes by and another week goes by. I’d just like to leave and go back there and do what I can, help whatever way possible, which is all I’ve done as far as

I know, uh, just be a HELPER in your program. But sittin' here doesn't seem to cut it. \$725 a week—plus—don't seem RIGHT to me. And I'd like to go visit Scott. It's six months since I been up there. Seems appropriate, that seems appropriate, for me to do that.

[GCH:] Well, I just want to say something here: There's been the same six months for everybody ...

[Charles:] Oh, sure, sure, not much question about that.

[GCH:] and we can ALL go. **ALL of you can go. I will still get it done.** [RK: *Does this make it sound like Commander Hatonn considers EJ Ekker to be indispensable? Then why do some of you seem to think that he is?*] I'll get it done. But you're the ones who agreed to do it.

[Charles:] Thought I agreed to come for three weeks!

[GCH:] Oh, well ...

[Charles:] At shared expense, a little bit.

[GCH:] Let's have another ultimatum.

[Charles:] NO, but let's be reasonable! Isn't that a reasonable statement? Not an ultimatum. [pause] I've saw it through as good as I can and I feel comfortable going, and if I didn't feel comfortable then I feel it's ok, my conscience is saying, well, ok, and I do feel comfortable with that decision—for my reasons.

[GCH:] Well, I'm telling you, all of you right now, that we WILL be writing more contracts and therefore I want EVERY PIECE of equipment that WE NEED at our disposal, INCLUDING the right for TWO people to authorize these contracts. And I know that you think that Manny is very apt to come back here and all the paperwork will be done and you can just issue a few contracts and go home and that will be fine. But I want everything left here, so that we can get our JOB done. And this splits the Board of Directors right down the middle. **So, any TWO can do this. And we better get a resolution to that effect.** [RK: *Thus, it STILL REQUIRES TWO Directors to sign and EJ Ekker is at the moment incapable of conducting the program on his own.*] Now, EJ, we've heard NOTHING from YOU.

[EJ:] **Oh, I've bitched, moaned and complained all morning, so [clears throat] I think that's not a correct statement.** [clears throat, followed by loud cough]

[GCH:] **Not in here, where others can participate.**

[EJ:] I have participated. [pause] Whadya mean "any two directors"? [clears throat] Are you suggesting that, that Rick and Charles be authorized to issue Deeds ...

[GCH:] No.

[EJ:] independently of Doris and EJ?

[GCH:] No but what are you going to do when you're sitting here and they're back in the States?

[EJ:] Well, are they going to be issuing Deeds, or are you suggesting that, that EJ and Doris be authorized. What you've said is, "**any two directors**". I want that clarified, please.

[GCH:] No. YOU clarify it. **Whoever is LEFT here to deal in Southeast Asia MUST be able to give valid Deeds.**

[Rick:] That's perfectly reasonable.

[EJ:] Alright. [coughs] We'll just need a resolution

and that's something that I can do.

[GCH:] You've still got a lot of things going in Malaysia. Just because things don't flow back to you—you immediately feel it's off. Well, you're going to have quite a lot of BUSINESS Deeds to be issued. And maybe we WON'T decide to go that way but my understanding was, that's why you were here, so that we could handle these transactions—and that's no longer the case. Therefore, you get it covered so I can make my own meetings, please, I'm running on YOUR time in this part of the World. And that means, EJ, get over to the visa office yourself so that we can get that cleared up and our extensions made ...

[EJ:] Alright.

[GCH:] before they go and there's a great deal of trouble just getting over there and finding the time to do it.

[Charles:] I already have the application forms ...

[GCH:] Thank you.

[Charles:] I got 'em yesterday.

[GCH:] Thank you. Will you gentlemen be able to take care of that CAR when you get back?

[Rick:] We'll talk about that.

[Charles:] I wanted to talk to EJ about that today and see what does he recommend? I would recommend we carry the ticket or whatever the heck it is for it, back, and then work out, so what do we DO about it—but you've got to turn in the ticket to clear it, uh ...

[GCH:] Well, you'll need the ticket and you'll need to have the authority and enough room on one of those American Expresses to pay the bill. EJ has ...

[Charles:] I don't know if it will handle that bill, I don't know ...

[EJ:] I don't know yet, be about 25 hundred.

[Charles:] I don't what it is, close. American Express will sure handle that, yeah, international ...

[GCH:] We've asked for those others to be paid. They should be paid by, by the time you'll need it. Uh, for EJ and Dharma to get back home, it may be that some arrangements should be made to get the car back from Tehachapi to Chuck and then he can meet the incoming airline when they go home.

[Charles:] That's a good, good suggestion ...

[GCH:] We could send him to do it, if all of you are going to be here even, any time past the middle of March. And no, I'm NOT going to promise that you can get out of here. You came, you came willing to serve. And if it means another month and another month, then another month, as long as you can get extensions to get it accomplished, we're going to do it. You will have ONE CHANCE—in this area, one chance. And if we don't get this validated over here, you may as well forget it because it will then be carried over to the Middle East—and that union won't dare use it. **We have to go THROUGH with what we're doing here—under the SHELTER of the IMF and the World Bank, etc., working down through that central bank and through, uh, Manny's, we'll just call it "Manny's Plan" because he's been sucked into it.** ALL of the other that you're hearing, even from Manny, is pure BS.

They're working out a way through Gadi to make it logical so that things CAN be accomplished without it showing how they're doing it. And we don't CARE what they do with their portion. And we may have to dump it into Gadi's foundation—or into Global

Community, at which point we have to be able to handle Deeds, to either enter them in some of these other corporations and issue them out of there. And yes, we can handle it. We certainly can handle it. But not if we don't have ALL of the templates, all of the seals, all of everything; we have to have everything. We have depended upon one another to do some of these things and I hope that you don't think so little of yourselves that you think your absence won't make a difference—because it will. It will make EVERYTHING more difficult. The only thing it WON'T do is save you money. And I don't notice a willingness to do much of anything other than go home to save money, so we have to get past that one, too. We do not deal in what you would like to hear from me. **You will deal with what IS and then you can figure out whatever you want to think about me. But I will remind you: If you had just DONE some of the things I asked you to do, you would not be having the problem you're having today.** And I do need to be gone. Is this not something now that you can handle without my presence?

[Rick:] Well, I feel better.

[Charles:] So do I, whole lot.

[GCH:] EJ, do you have anything before I take my leave?

[EJ:] No, thank you.

[GCH:] Go in PEACE, gentlemen. **You're all nursing wounds before you've been wounded.** Believe me, these are battle scars. They will fade. **You have created the miracle. I don't want you to know what miracle you have created because I don't want you telling it. But whether you go or whether you stay, it's been done, it's been accomplished.**

[Charles:] Well, the mission completed by what ... YOUR mission ...

[GCH:] I'm not going to answer that for you. I WILL NOT answer your personal questions. These are things that you must do. **Just be sure, whatever you do is for the right reasons and then it doesn't really matter whether you believe in Aton, Hatonn, God, Sananda or anyone.** That's up to you. It's your soul. So, if all you can come up with is having served in a mission, that's sufficient for me. I don't want you bending, scraping, bowing and groveling. I'm your TEAM MATE. Your soul is up to you and God. The World is going to change. And you better believe that. Or, if we fail, it won't. **Because we have it. We have that final key.** Salu.

[EJ:] Salu. [clears throat]

[end transcription]

DOES THAT PRETTY MUCH COVER THE WHOLE "TAKING THE ENTIRE WORLD'S BANKING SYSTEM HOSTAGE" NONSENSE PUT FORWARD BY VK DURHAM? Go ahead and compare the underlined sections of these transcripts with that which VK Durham presented and if you can find any resemblance of truth in her presentations, you can join her in the loony bin as this all settles out.

To get at the rest of VK Durham's references we must now turn to a meeting held in Tehachapi, California on April 24, 1999. The occasion for a two-day meeting was the very brief return of the Ekkers to the United States before going back to the Philippines.

990424 TEHACHAPI MEETING
TRANSCRIPTION (PARTIAL)

Tape 990424-1a
2'00"-3'27"

People always, ALWAYS, with God have a right to make their own choices, their own decisions, and you in your human form with your human built-in ego—and if you have managed to put the ego down, then it's even more difficult for you to understand because egos CLASH. And I'm going to talk about egos for a minute here because they are the undoing of all human individual. It's very difficult to come along and finally have a little success, you want to pound your chest or beat your head or something and say, "Well, somebody look! We're DOING it!" It's FINE as long as you say, "We're doing it." **When you say, "Look what I did. I am now KING OF THE HILL, everybody bow and scrape"—YOU'RE IN SERIOUS, SERIOUS TROUBLE, especially with such a massive program as we will have. [RK: Just WHO do you suspect might have been playing "KING OF THE HILL"?!]** Everybody has always been told that, every individual coming to this place has been TOLD that. Every individual coming to this place has also realized that "Funding is IMMINENT." That's my favorite word, "imminent"—because the ego snatches "imminent" and goes to work on you, according to what it wants to accomplish.

7'08"-11'33"

What I did promise you many years ago is, if you stay with us, you're not going to "save" anything, you're going to CHANGE THE WORLD—because **we're going to do it now through THE PEOPLE, "we, the people". We've played with heads-of-state. We have such a massive program working, as I speak, in the ASEAN Conference (ASEAN, A-S-E-A-N, not "Asian", although it would DO, except this is the ASEAN Conference) and this will pull in all the Asian nations, with the exception of the Philippines, and these are mostly Moslem-Buddhist—they are a conglomeration of many of those religions over in Malaysia and Indonesia—but there is so much hard metal stashed over there; that was the place to go and bury the GOLD. Now, why would that be GOOD? Well, because that was the place where, uh, the United States of America could haul off YOUR gold and stash it over there along with the Japanese gold and then it could be "cleaned" through Marcos [RK: using the old Central Bank smelter and hallmark] and sent to Singapore, Taiwan, Hong Kong, all around to make its circulation into, uh, the Khazarian banks, of course, in Switzerland, uh, Munich, Germany—all over Europe, where you find the Khazarians. Has anybody noticed how much stronger the Khazarian Empire has become in the United States?**

Well, we are working in Asia with some of the bankers and the ones that—well, you've seen, you've seen the one on the Rich and Famous that is basically organizing the ASEAN circumstance because this one was willing to put up 80, no, 20% of their entire gold wealth. You see, everybody wants to talk about—Rick, especially, wants to talk about—the Global Alliance instruments. Those instruments are only for a security 4, 5, 6, 10 years out. [RK: ARE WE THERE YET?] Now, when you talk about things, you don't even—that is not the BIG issue. In the Philippines you cannot have gold. You can have jewelry but you may not have gold in the banks. They won't—you can't just go to a bank and sell your gold. And let's put it right down where it is: You

might have somebody living on the Pasig River with all assets basically tied up, frozen, in some of the land grabs that took place for the government but they may LITERALLY have a BAR OF GOLD IN THEIR PILLOW. Or they may—over there you don't have, um, nice box springs and a nice mattress; you have a ply-board base and they put a mattress on it, and if you're lucky you have springs, and this is in the HOTELS. Now of course the magnificent, uh, Oriental and American hotels—that still charge a arm, leg and your head, and nobody from the Philippines can even afford to be in there unless they're one of these that have been able somehow to sell offshore their gold. Well, they don't want to get RID of this little tool that they have in Manila, where the capital of the Philippines is; they want to be able to control every bar of gold, every, every piece of gold coinage—in that central bank.

18'50"-19'25"

He [Marcos] set up many foundations and he called them "umbrella" foundations. And he set it up where a lot of his gold would go to, say, Long Beach, a lot of it would go to San Diego, a lot of it went to Libya, tons and tons of it went to Iraq—all over—Singapore, all over. And he was able to hook up with a head-of-state, or someone very powerful there, and he would send one of his henchmen over and they would do a corporate structuring.

37'56"-38'09"

We had a person come over from Dubai—in NATO—and said, "You are classified as ECONOMIC TERRORISTS."

38'09"-38'38"

"Oh, hee-hee-hee-hee." They had said to ME, HATONN: "Remember, I MET with THEM, NOT WITH THIS LADY." I met with them with her, I met with them without her. They don't have any trouble with me, just Rick and Charles, I suppose. **[RK: Or perhaps, Rick, Charles and EJ?]**

Tape 990424-1b

5'38"-5'57"

When you finally turn it over to God and say, "Sir, I don't understand this all. I'm putting it in your hands and I'm going to release it to you but I'm going to do everything that I can to help"—YOU WILL WATCH IT HAPPEN. [RK: Well, Sir, I DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS ALL. IT IS IN YOUR HANDS AND I RELEASE IT TO YOU BUT I WILL CONTINUE TO DO EVERYTHING I CAN TO HELP. And so, people, let's just enjoy watching this play out from here.]

12'09"-13'22"

Everything is going to have to be done offshore. This seems to be a problem for some. No, the U.S. wants it done offshore. THEY WILL EVEN WORK WITH US if you don't come in and collapse the economy of the United States. And they had already made their arrangements as to how much they would allow to be funded at any one given point, how long it would be before there would be a major confrontation over any of this—and I'm talking IMF, World Bank and the Fed. But they don't WANT this kind of massive stuff coming over here—or, being introduced into the U.S. That makes it better for us because as we gain a little bit of funding we can go ahead and get the proper procedure started and then we can start funneling money from offshore onto offshore NATIVE BANKS.

14'22"-15'55"

... [F]ear immobilizes. Fear makes you do foolish things. And I'm not going to have liars, cheats and thieves among the top teammates. And **when we get**

down the road a little bit farther you'll understand how much more important it was—or is—to have what happened, happen. What are you going to do when there are billions of dollars to steal? Are these the kind of people you want running a system? No. You've got to have mature thought, you've got to get rid of the lollygagging, "Oh, God!"—and yet, that connection between you and God is your.... your path. **But don't lie to God. And that's what's happening. You're not only lying ABOUT—you're lying TO. And then you're calling it a game and you're trying to cover it up. And when the facts are OUT THERE and the LIGHT IS ON IT—this is what you end up getting.**

17'06"-19'20"

[I]t's going to be YOU that must DO it, not fantasyland—not fantasyland. Every one of you receives. Some, you listen a little better. And then there are DIRECTED MISSION PROGRAMS FOR INFORMATION, FOR COMMUNICATION—and you don't know everything. You just don't know everything. But you're over the hurdle. It's over. It's done. We only have to bring it into the useful fruit to eat. And we've got to do it without the fungus, we've got to do it without the LEECHES—and you will ALWAYS have some parasites. So, you've got to take care. **But we went from a program that NOW, it has been so tampered with that it's unworkable—but we're going to tie it up in knots, so nobody else is going to do it, either.** [EJ Ekker can be heard saying, "OK."] **[RK: Is it possible that it had to be tied up in knots UNTIL the people would be ready and the vessels made clean?]**

We are going to protect Russell Herman. They have his wills—if you knew all of the things, you would shudder. The role maybe that VK Durham played—or thought she was playing—all of this is some exciting, James Bond-type stuff. But Russell Herman was REAL. And when he realized that his soul was going to bear some very heavy burdens, he started making arrangements—and they're going to pay off now, in humanity.

19'43"-28'36"

It seems like so much time and it seems like no time—and that's where, that's where you've arrived. Only in the "now"—and progressively, you have "now"—one of the hardest things that human creature has to face and upon which you have to contend all the time is MEASURING YOUR "NOW" by WHAT WAS. And you can't let it go. You can't just say, "Oh, well, I will wipe all of that from my past" because you have to let go of the past. And you must stop judging and basing relationships—especially relationships—on any other relationship you had PRIOR to now. And for ALL of you trying to struggle through a world in chaos and keep chaos out of your relationships, well, it just becomes impossible. So one of the impossibles turning into possibilities is learning to let go of everything in the past except the lessons you learned. Don't bring them up to beat each other over the head. Don't continually, "Well, you did this, you did that." No, that was your first wife or your first husband. Let it go. And even if it was THIS one, let it go.

Every day now you must build on a good and a sturdy and substantial foundation because everybody's going to be taking potshots at you. That isn't because you represent one thing and they represent another. It is because **you are in a world of confrontation**—and "If I'm miserable, I'm going to see to it that you're miserable." And you live a lie. It would BE like a relationship between [name redacted] and [name redacted]. What KIND of a relationship could they

Bylaws of the Tallano-Acop Foundation

Article I—Meetings

possibly have—based on lies and manipulation? And we always work from that which we deserve. Only, you don't even know what you ARE, so how do you know what you deserve?

So you always treat your life experience the way you think you deserve. And you will always reflect off the other one that exact thing over which you, in your own, individual, personal self perceives them to be doing. It may vary a little bit. Dharma can't SEE, EJ can't HEAR. So, my WORD, you have a whole CHASM in here to blame. She can say to him, "Well, you didn't tell me and I don't have anything wrong with my hearing." Let it go, let it go.

Let's grow up and move on. ALL of the wonderful experiences are in the "now" and what you PERCEIVE may be able to come in the future. But you have to PREPARE, just in case there IS a future—because, if you're prepared, you're going to make it. And once you can grow outside the me-ness, where everything in your existence—BECAUSE you are involved—you have to consciously let go of it.

It won't go away on its own. And that's why I want ALL of you to read on past and into the experience of *The Sacred Self*—because these are the things upon which you MUST build what we must have. And you expend SO MUCH ENERGY being MAD, being BLAMEFUL. THIS is what I really feel SAD about with whatever rifts you have.

It is this incredible game of BLAME. "It was YOUR fault I did it. It's YOUR fault I got so strung out. And HOW do I know whether she is receiving from a false God or not?" Do you realize it doesn't matter if she IS? It's your responsibility to take truth and build upon it.

Truth: there's only one, overall magnificent truth about being but everyone, EVERYONE, every individual has its own truth. EVERYTHING is truth. The STORY you tell is a lie and it may or may not be truth to the one telling it.

There is ONE concept of God but there are as many ways to make it there as there are individuals. Men and women function differently. And I know that that is the BANE of all existence, it is also the GLORY of all existence. Because each bounces off the other, each REQUIRES that the other listen, even if it's ungraciously.

(NON-STOCK)

S.E.C. COMPANY REG. NO. CN200322944

~~AMENDED ARTICLES OF BY-LAWS~~

of

DON ESTEBAN BENITEZ TALLANO & DON GREGORIO MADRIGAL ACOP
FOUNDATION, INC.
(Name of Corporation)

ARTICLE I

MEETINGS

Section 1. Annual meetings – The annual meetings of the members shall be held at the principal office of the association on April 7 of each year. The President shall render his annual report to the members regarding the activities of the association. The election of directors shall also be held during this regular meeting.

Section 2. Special Meetings – Special meetings of the members shall be called as the need thereof arises, by the Board of Trustees or the President or upon petition of 1/3 of the general membership.

Section 3. Notices – Notices of the time and place of annual, and special meetings of the members shall be given either personally or by special delivery mail, at least two (2) weeks before the date set for such meeting. The notice of every special meeting shall state briefly the purpose or purposes of the meeting.

Section 4. Quorum - A quorum for any meeting of the members shall consist of the majority of the members and majority of such quorum may decide any question at the meeting, except those matters where Corporation Code requires the affirmative vote of a greater proportion.

Section 5. Order of Business – The order of business at the annual meeting of the members shall be as follows:

- a. Proof of service of the required notice of the meeting.
- b. Proof of the presence of the quorum.
- c. Reading and approval of the minutes of the previous annual meeting.
- d. Unfinished business.
- e. Report of the President.
- f. Election of the Trustees for the ensuing year.
- g. Other matters.

Bylaws of the Tallano-Acop Foundation

Article VI—Suspension, Expulsion

ARTICLE VI

SUSPENSION, EXPULSION AND TERMINATION OF MEMBERSHIP

Suspension, expulsion and termination of membership, shall be in accordance with the rules and regulations of the association.

Any member of the association may file charges against a member by filing a written complaint with the Secretary of the association. The Board of Trustees shall call a special meeting to consider the charges. The affirmative vote of majority of all trustees shall be necessary to suspend a member; Provided that where the penalty is expulsion, the affirmative vote of majority of all members of the association shall be necessary.

That members of the Foundation and/or members of the Board of Trustees of the foundation may be suspended, separated or terminated as members of the Foundation and/or members of the Board of Trustees of the Foundation on any one of the following grounds:

1. non-payment of membership dues and other assessments;
2. habitual absences in meetings without reasonable basis
3. conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude
4. neglect of corporate duties and/or delegated duties;
5. any act or acts committed that are inimical to the interests of or contrary to the purposes of the Foundation;
6. any act or activity similar to or analogous to any of the above. (As amended on 04-09-05)

ARTICLE VII

FUND

Section 1. Funds – The funds of the association shall be derived from admission fees, annual dues and special assessments of members, gifts of donations.

Section 2. Disbursements – Withdrawal from the funds of the associations, whether by check or any other instrument shall be signed by the Treasurer and countersigned by the President. If necessary, the Board of Trustees may designate other signatories.

Section 3. Fiscal Year – The fiscal year of the association shall be from January 1st to December 31 of each year.

ARTICLE VIII

CORPORATE SEAL

Section 1. Form – The corporate seal of the association shall be in such form and design as may be determined by the Board.

And how many QUARRELS have ALL of you had and years later you remember the “magnificent quarrel” you had—if you could only remember what it was ABOUT. So, what did you LEARN? Well, you learned that the memory’s short and that venom can really pour when the disposition’s up. Part of the shocker is that when the blame starts flowing, you find out what they thought of you all along. And then they even, they will deny that, “Oh, well, I didn’t really MEAN it. I’d had too much to drink or I was...” whatever.

Let’s blame something else outside ourselves. Why not just take responsibility and then you don’t have to BLAME anybody? And then you know the ones who say, “Oh, well I did it. I do it every time. I’m the one to blame.” Well, that’s a bunch of BS, isn’t it. So you know you’ve got another game going—and it’s, it’s called the game of “look how hard I tried”. The games people play. Well, most people don’t have anything better to do with their time.

If abuse of substance or alcohol, or whatever, is going to flow into your “now” existence, get ready to get rid of it, because we’re going to. You’ve got to begin to function now on the responsibility of self. Too much rests on what kind of a foundation we lay. And you can KNOW that everything you do is either a distraction or a contribution toward something. So, all of these mischievous little, egotistical naggings at you, you really do want to cast aside because they’re an annoyance.

It isn’t that you don’t have time for them because you will always take time to do whatever it is you want to do. But consciously try to begin to give these things up for the bugaboos they are. Is it really worth this argument, or this nagging, or this fight, or this confrontation going on, when I know I’m not going to get along the rest of the day, I, I’m not going to get along for the rest of the week, and if I keep doing this, maybe I’ll have to go through a divorce, which is a BIGGER distraction.

[END TRANSCRIPTION]

So, what do YOU think of all this, readers? I can tell you that it was a major eye-opener for me to back through these two particular meetings, especially in light of what has been revealed by actions SINCE then.

Ronald Kirzinger (“of” Hatonn)

Your Support Is Both Needed & Appreciated

It is “crunch time” again and I must once more ask for financial contributions to sustain this work. With the current state of affairs we have NO subscribers and NO revenue base at all from which to work, only expenses which mount as we oppose, legally, to the extent we can, the great errors thrust upon us.

If “all” you can afford is an extra prayer for all your relations, that is “all” that is needed from you!

Seven years ago, GCH wrote: “...[G]oodness usually costs everything of considered value in the material world—to accomplish abundance and achievement of the very things you thought you lost. When you change your focus—you change the world.”

We are “there”. All that remains is for you to choose the role you will play in the outworking of the Divine Plan. For my part, I will continue to contribute 100% of my life energies to “The Mission” as put forth by Commander Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn through his scribe, “Dharma”.

Sincerely,

Ronald W. Kirzinger
President and Director
CONTACT, INC.
PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.
(702) 940-9858

Phoenix Source Online

Service@PhoenixSourceDistributors.com

www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com

-Published and unpublished Journals

- CONTACT back-issues



FAIR USE NOTICE:

This News Review contains excerpts of copyrighted material intended to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, scientific and social justice issues. Such use is considered ‘fair use’, exempt from copyright laws as provided for in Title 17, Ch. 1, Section 107 of the U.S. Code.

(702) 940-9858

Phoenix Source Distributors

Please note:

Temporarily, at least, all inquiries should be routed through THE GOODLY COMPANY in Las Vegas, Nevada at the telephone number provided above. We apologize for any inconvenience but fully expect to re-establish a correct working relationship through our order center in Tehachapi, California in short order.

For some 7 years over 100 Phoenix Journals were withheld from the public domain never having been published. We acknowledge Dr. Overholt for his efforts in collating the writings of Commander Hatonn into Journal format and are pleased to now be able to offer these Journals “as-is” until such time as others can apply the finishing touches (titles, indexing, etc.)

Free Download at:

www.PhoenixSourceDistributors.com

For the latest News on the

‘DivinePlan’

unfolding from the Philippines:

www.GlobalAllianceAssn.com

www.TallanoFdn.com

I can blow a bit of wind beneath your wings but to soar, my friends, you must do so with your own wings lest it only be “my” flight. When you want to fly badly enough—you shall.—GCH 8/10/00 (13-359)