



# PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS

A weekly bulletin commenting on appropriate current news events, clarification of portions of Journals and answers of a general nature to questions not found in the existing Journals.

PHOENIX JOURNAL EXPRESS is published weekly by America West Publishers, Inc. P.O. BOX 6451 Tehachapi, CA.93582. Subscription rate is \$20 per (13 issues) First Class mailing. COPYRIGHT 1990 by America West Publishers, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction of this copyrighted material for private non-profit use is expressly encouraged, for commercial purposes it is strictly forbidden.

MAY 1990 VOLUME II NUMBER 4

5/27/90 HATONN

## WILL YOU LOSE THE BATTLE OF THE CENTURY?

Hatonn present in the Light of Radiance. Good morning. We will discuss the Constitution again this day. You ones must come into realization of how close you are to losing your country altogether as a freedom center. What we will write is certainly not new but most citizens have paid no attention to it what-so-ever. The majority have never heard of such a thing; the media is so bloated with lies and cover-ups and blighted with trivia.

You might do well to meditate on Daniel Webster's wise words:

"Miracles do not cluster. Hold on to the Constitution of the United States of America and the Republic for which it stands -- what has happened once in six thousand years may never happen again. Hold on to your Constitution, for if the American Constitution shall fall there will be anarchy throughout the world."

I have been asked over and over again, "Why do you just write mostly on the United

States and America?" I do not play favoritism, beloved friends, it is just that the United States of America was founded on principles which would have enriched and freedomized the entire globe if man had adhered to the Laws of God, The Creation, the Commandments of Life and The Constitution of Freedom. Now, as falls the Constitution, so will fall the ordered individual freedom of man.

I had a beloved son of Norway asking me if I couldn't include Norway in my discussions. Well, I have, and yet one would not think that a northern country would have much going on to call attention to itself, would you? Not so, one of the most important "crashed space craft" sites was on the shores of Norway. Interestingly enough, German bases were established in Norway and Adolf Hitler did, in fact, leave with his entourage from Norway on his way to the Antarctic and South America under financing from the Rockefeller Cartel. Yes, I know, the world fancies Norway as the home of sardines but you will find that the entirety of your globe has been manipulated, murdered and set-up by cartels out to rule it all. Therefore, we must take one feeble step at a time here for the scribe can only type at human speed.

## CONTINENTAL CONVENTION

(or, CONSTITUTIONAL  
RIP-OFF CONVENTION)

Let us just refer to this Constitutional Rip-off Convention as the CRC. And who, you might ask, would be in favor of such a thing? Oh my, I haven't room to list them, but right up top is President George Bush and former President Ronald Reagan as well as "conservative" economist Milton Friedman, The National Taxpayers Union, U.S. Attorney General Dick Thornburgh, author Gore Vidal, economist Henry Hazlitt and frankly, most of the governors of your individual states--especially those who would control ten segments instead of little lumps of 50.

Why? They "claim" that in order to force Congress to balance the federal budget, a CRC must be held, and the Constitution revised to include a Balanced Budget Amendment (BBA). Within that "change" could be lumped every other confounded enslavement clause you can think of.

I suggest, after you read this Journal, that you locate as many organizations or persons as possible and pitch in your support--immediately, for you are running very, very short of

time.

There are no clear-cut rules or guidelines governing the operation of a second convention, and once convened, there is nothing to prevent the convention delegates from making extensive revisions to the entire Constitution at will, or scrapping the document altogether. Believe me, the ones who would sit in the convention are carefully chosen cartel members with explicit instructions to do exactly this.

Today, in truth, America is literally a breath away from holding its second Constitutional Convention. Dear ones, under the guise of all the "good" they would do, 30 of the 34 states needed in order to trigger such a convention have already passed legislation calling on Congress to act. There were originally 32 but two rescinded.

*ONLY FOUR MORE STATES NEED PASS SIMILAR LEGISLATION, AND CONGRESS WILL HAVE NO CHOICE BUT TO HOLD A CONVENTION FOR THE PURPOSE OF REVISING THE CONSTITUTION.*

Well, the battle is raging at fever-pitch. With the threat of a full-blown CRC only four states away, new CRC legislation is now being introduced in as many as 17 other states. It is more important and urgent now than ever for you concerned Americans to get up off your assets and do something lest you lose your freedom forever.

## BACKGROUND

Some two hundred years ago, in your method of counting, the United States was governed under what was labelled the Arti-

cles of Confederation, a weak Constitution which gave the federal government very little power to operate.

Arguments persist to this day as to the merits of the Articles of Confederation, but many Founding Fathers saw them as a failure as inflation raged; states quarreled and engaged in trade wars. Apparently, the fundamental problem was a weak central government -- or, one which failed to carry out its legitimate function -- the protection of individual rights. Now, if that is what the government would do you would have merits unbounded but that is not what governments do with power.

At any rate, to ensure these rights, and help alleviate America's early economic and political problems, a meeting was called "for the sole and express purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation." But the Articles of Confederation were never revised! Instead, they were scrapped, and replaced the United States Constitution. Do you begin to see how sweeping the housecleaning can be?

Fortunately for America, the 55 men who attended the Constitutional Convention of 1787 were trustworthy statesmen. The Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Bolsheviks and Zionists had not yet gained control of your nation and world. They were not interested in Global Control but rather freedom and the rights of individual citizens. The document they created stood the test of time, effectively limiting the power of government, and protecting the rights of individuals. In so doing, it became a model for, and the envy of, the rest of the globe -- whose citizens believe it to still be operative. How

many of you U.S. citizens believe it to be operative today? (sic sic) You will see clearly as we unfold the Medical Monopoly, Legal Unjustice, Political Desecration and other citizen imprisonment clauses (all unconstitutional, of course) how the disease has entered every joint and fiber of your nation and seeped into world control and downfall.

The Constitution, with its clearly-defined limits on government power, played the leading role in the rise of the United States from a small, weak and new nation, to the number one economic and military power in the world.

## PERFECTION?

Oh my gosh, chelas, of course not! And, therefore, to deal with its potential imperfections, the delegates to the original Convention created the amendment process. I bet you thought that was what the new Convention would be about-- nay, nay, nay!

Article V of the Constitution gives Congress two specific ways to introduce Constitutional amendments. It declares:

"The Congress, when ever two-thirds of both Houses shall deem it necessary, shall propose Amendments to this Constitution, or, on the application of the legislatures of two-thirds of the several States, shall call a Convention for proposing Amendments, which, in either case, shall be valid to all intents and purposes, as part of this Constitution, when ratified by the Legislatures of three-fourths of the several states, or by Conventions in three-

fourths thereof, as the one or the other mode of ratification may be proposed by Congress."

Therefore, as you can see, amendments can either be proposed by Congress, or, upon application of two-thirds of the states a convention must be held to propose amendments. In either case, before an amendment can become a part of the Constitution it must be approved by three-fourths of the states. (But remember, the Israeli Zionists now control--have bought--60% of your Congress so who would rewrite your Constitution, do you suppose?)

In a nutshell, then, a CRC is a meeting of the delegates of the 50 states for discussions and proposals relating to the amending, changing or re-writing of the U.S. Constitution. At a convention, ANY part of the Constitution is fair game for change or deletion. The scope and variety of changes that can be made are limited only by the imaginations -- and morals -- of the participating state delegates and how large a bribe must be to ensure immoral voting. Since you and I witness the unlimited fall to bribery of your highest congressional representatives, how safe do you feel about their "moral" attitudes? Check out the bedroom habits, not to even mention the bribe taking while ALL claim they have done nothing illegal! I can hardly get Dharma to continue typing, she feels it so hopeless. Just check the membership lists of the Trilateral Commission, the inter-family relationships of all your top officials, the Bilderbergers, the Council on Foreign Relations and frankly, your Senate and House of Representative lists. All of these can be obtained

through the Liberty Library and hopefully, soon, through America West or, these Journals will be available through Liberty Library--if you all demand it. But you DO have to DEMAND IT!

LIBERTY LIBRARY, 300 INDEPENDENCE AVE, S.E., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003.

*DEMAND THAT THEY READ THEM, REVIEW THEM INDIVIDUALLY, AND SPOTLIGHT THEM IN PUBLIC NOTICE AS WELL AS CARRY THEM IN THE LIBRARY. THIS IS THE WAY YOU BEGIN TO MAKE A MAJOR IMPACT AS THE LITTLE VOICES BECOME LOUD VOICES AND FINALLY, NOTHING SAVE FREEDOM WILL BE ACCEPTABLE. BUT YOU MUST BE INFORMED AND YOU MUST INSIST THAT THE NATION BECOME INFORMED--DEMAND, DEMAND, DEMAND! FORGET ALIENS--DEMAND THE TRUTH BE SHOWERED UPON THE POPULACE--BECOME THE VOICE THAT CANNOT BE SILENCED!*

*I CANNOT STRESS FIRMLY ENOUGH -- A SECOND CONVENTION WHICH WILL WIPE AWAY AT LEAST TEN OF YOUR RIGHTS IS IMMINENTLY LOOMING BEFORE YOUR NATION! IT WILL MAKE WATER-GATE, SPACE-GATE AND IRAN-GATE LOOK LIKE A FOOLISH GAME OF MARBLES OR DARTS AND, THIS TIME, YOU WILL BE THE LOSER IN THE MIDDLE!*

James Madison in 1788 said it very well: "Having witnessed the difficulties and dangers experienced by the first convention which assembled under every propitious circumstance,

I should tremble for the result of a second, meeting in the present temper of America. . .the prospect of a second convention would be viewed by all of Europe as a dark cloud hanging over the Constitution. . ."

### REAL DANGERS

You have some dangers today that were not present the first time. There are two immediate, overriding dangers posed by the calling of a second CRC. They are: the threat that public announcement of a new CRC could trigger a global financial panic leading to national bankruptcy for the economic collapse hangs like the blade of Damocles at best; and the threat that, once convened, the convention would degenerate into a political free-for-all -- a "runaway convention" with "chain reaction" which would probably uproot the entire U.S. Constitution with replacement by total control of individuals in a police state. You are very near to that at present; it is simply that it is still unconstitutional to do the things done unto you and then, all that limits control would be dissolved--you would be "had".

Both of these growing threats merit the serious consideration and scrutiny of all freedom-loving Americans. Why? Because ultimately, the hardest-hit victims of a CRC will prove to be the honest, hard-working citizens of your country. In short, the CRC will hurt Mr. and Mrs. America the very most and you are already the ones paying the price, so you had better know what to expect in advance if you want to avoid getting caught up in the panic and rendered helpless to the politicians.

Before these two rapidly growing threats become crip-

pling realities you need to know some things:

**THREAT #1: GLOBAL FINANCIAL PANIC LEADING TO ECONOMIC DEPRESSION AND NATIONAL BANKRUPTCY.**

In Madison's day there were also political circumstances which were actually quite similar to those of today only on a more limited scale. There was a loan to America from Holland that had literally spared you Americans from impending bankruptcy. Madison cautioned in the strongest of terms that your allies would be very suspicious of a second convention, because it could easily be used to negate re-payment of foreign dept. Now could this be a ploy to do exactly that, my friends?

Today, some 200 years later, America finds itself in virtually the same position only magnified a trillion-fold. How many hundreds of billions of dollars worth of loans has the U.S. been granted by foreign countries over the past 10 years alone, in order to keep your financial "house of cards" from crumbling? Japan, by itself, has now helped finance over a TRILLION worth of American debt!

Yes, I know they keep sending your money off to every where else in the world--BUT, IT IS NOT YOUR MONEY WHICH IS GOING! IT IS BORROWED! AMERICAN PERSONAL INCOME TAXES GO ONLY TO PAYMENT OF DEBT INTEREST! If Japan decided today to no longer support your debt, it would all be over! But they, too, are members of the Trilateral Commission so the globe teeters on a pinhead.

Opening a second Constitutional Convention would send a clear, unmistakable signal to your allies and enemies alike that America is bracing for major institutional change. One major change would be to allow the actual power change to one man rule--i.e., the President could single-handedly, move into all-out world war and you could do nothing whatsoever about it! It is a planned transposition.

If large, or even quite modest quantities of your certificates of debt were to be cashed in at par as a result of panic in overseas markets, the stock market crash of October 1987 would pale in comparison--and that is exactly what happened, only it was a few bonds. Calling for a convention would undoubtedly trigger just such an event in the world exchanges, as easily today as snapping your finger.

The results of such a panic by worried foreign investors? The stock market would simply disintegrate in the initial chaos. Corporate America would lay in shambles. And Americans by the tens of millions would lose absolutely everything they have ever worked for -- material possessions first, and then individual rights and liberties directly afterwards as "emergency measures" proposed at the convention are hurriedly approved and implemented by panicky delegates.

Get up on your feet and demand that your state and federal representatives oppose all calls for a Constitutional Convention.

**THREAT #2: A "RUNAWAY CONVENTION" THAT COULD BE USED TO UPROOT THE CONSTITUTION AND REPLACE YOUR PRESENT**

**FORM OF GOVERNMENT.**

The greatest threat posed by a second convention is that it could easily become a "runaway convention". That is, instead of being limited to a single issue such as the so-called Balanced Budget Amendment or Anti-flag Burning, the convention could just as easily be opened up to an unending parade of issues and proposals alien to the American way of life as it used to be.

A runaway CRC could result in literally dozens of dangerous changes to your control system and freedom of "rights". It would change the entire structure as now laid forth.

Ones tend to pooh-pooh these possibilities as impossible--but this is the same government who gave you Iran-gate, Watergate and has covered the space situation for half a century right under your trusting noses.

Oops, there is a precedent? Remember that the 1787 convention was convened for the sole purpose of revising the Articles of Confederation. Yet they were not revised. They were trashed and replaced completely--and these were good old boys actually looking out for your personal welfare. It can just as realistically happen today with trashing of your entire Constitution--for already the "laws in court" are functioning "as if" it were already a fact. You have no common law any more--you function under Admiralty law and the rule of basically one man--the Judge God! Have you tried to get a fair hearing with a jury in a dispute in an amount over the constitutional \$20? Have you tried to represent yourself in a court without a lawyer? Have you tried just to get enough

money to pay for a lawyer? I thought not!

How about a few words from a former Supreme Court Justice, Warren Burger, "Should we have another Constitutional Convention? There is no way, any more than the Continental Congress could control the convention in Philadelphia, to put a muzzle on a Constitutional Convention today. Once convened, it will do whatever the majority wants it to do. I would not favor it."

### SAFTEY CHECKS?

Nonetheless, CRC supporters argue and prattle that there is a protective barrier of so-called safety checks surrounding a second CRC. They claim these are designed specifically to prevent a second convention from becoming such a "runaway convention".

But would they do the job? Take a look at the eight major "safety checks" the CRC forces are touting, in order to see how much or how little safety is actually provided:

Safety Check #1: Once a convention has been called, Congress can avoid it by acting on the balanced budget amendment itself.

NOT TRUE. Once 34 states call for the convention, Congress has no choice but to convene it according to the clear wording of Article V of the U. S. Constitution.

Safety Check #2: Congress establishes the convention procedures, and can control the Convention in this manner.

NOT TRUE. Nowhere in the Constitution does it state how convention proceedings are to be determined, nor how the

delegates are to be selected. Since formal convention proceedings are non-existent to date, how can a convention be controlled through them?

Safety Check #3: The delegates would have a legal obligation to stay on the topic of a balanced budget.

NOT TRUE. Nowhere in the law, as it pertains to a CRC, can one find ANY legal obligation of delegates--NOWHERE!

Safety Check #4: The voting public would demand that a convention be limited.

NOT TRUE. Be realistic, for good or for bad, the voters have been demanding a balanced budget amendment for a long time. The same members of Congress who have ignored this plea would be in the position of selecting convention delegates, or having the delegates hand-picked from their districts. Voters would no more be able to influence these delegates than they influence their own Congressional reps right now that sell out to the foreign lobbys and take bribes on a regular and ongoing basis.

Safety Check #5: Even if delegates did favor opening the convention to another issue, it is unlikely they would all favor opening it to the same issue.

TRUE! In fact, they would open the convention up to multitudes of issues and proposals already on the waiting lists. And the same kinds of shady political deals would occur then as they do every day in Washington, D.C., i.e., "You give me this, and I'll give you that." Now you tell me if there is truly safety for the hens to lock the fox in the hen-house? Congressmen foxes are "always hungry".

Safety Check #6: Congress would have the power to refuse ratification of any additional amendment proposals.

Nowhere is this procedure clearly spelled out. Besides, if Congress were of a mind to limit a convention to the sole issue of a balanced budget amendment, why haven't they simply taken the issue up amongst themselves, and added the amendment to the Constitution the same way they added the other 16 amendments? BECAUSE IT IS NOT AT ISSUE--THEY WANT TO TAKE AWAY ALL OF YOUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WHICH NOW EXIST!!! HEAR ME!?!!

Safety Check #7: Proposals which stray beyond the convention call would be subject to a court challenge.

Would you actually put the entire U.S. Constitution at risk on the flimsy hope that today's wishy-washy courts MIGHT challenge proposals straying beyond the convention call? Even if a proposal or two were to be challenged in court, the court has no obligation whatsoever to strike the offending proposal down.

Safety Check #8: Thirty-eight states must ratify any changes in the Constitution that are passed at a convention.

There is no time limit, my sleepy little friends, for ratification of amendments proposed at Constitutional Conventions, as there are for ALL Congressionally-inspired amendments. Over time, 38 states would ratify ANYTHING. Furthermore, convention delegates can CHANGE THE RATIFICATION REQUIREMENTS VIRTUALLY AT WILL, in order to suit their own agenda,

just as your forefathers did at the convention of 1787!

5/29/90 HATONN

BALANCED BUDGET  
AMENDMENT: CRUEL  
FRAUD ON AMERICANS

So where are all the safety measures in the "Safety Checks"--the only checks your Congressional representatives seem to understand are those which are negotiable and payable to themselves personally, along with checks received as loans and sent forth out of your country while your populace can't afford to even have a checking account!

There are no safety checks -- there is simply a big fog of snow being put over on the gullible American public in order to hasten the advent of total control through a second convention.

Well, you do want a balanced budget! Well, unfortunately, the "safety checks" aren't the only fraudulent aspects of today's growing drive to have a new convention called. **IN FACT, THE BIGGEST FRAUD OF ALL IS THE BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT (BBA) ITSELF!**

Dharma, allow a rest please and this will be our next subject for discussion. I sincerely hope that all you readers will have severe indigestion over these projections. Do you actually have to hand the gun to the executioner for your own execution? You must learn to **HEAR** what they whisper in secret sessions. For just as you chuckle over the antics of your government politicians--so do they laugh at you while they spit upon your very existence. **GET MAD! CONFOUND IT AMERICA--GET MAD!**

Hatonn to stand-by. May God truly help you precious children of the lie, for you need it desperately. **AHO!**

The logical alternative to holding a second convention would be for Congress to act upon its own volition, and pass a Balanced Budget Amendment if that is what is actually intended. But Congress obviously won't tie its own spendthrift hands with such an amendment.

So far the proposed ones are simply fraud on the American public. In fact, it is now the consensus of opinion that the BBA's proposed to date don't do anything at all to end deficit spending by the government. How can this be? Simple. It's because of five "hidden flaws".

**FLAW #1: THE BBA'S FAIL TO DEFINE KEY WORDS.**

Key words are not defined. This is important, because words such as "receipt" and "outlay" can have their entire meanings changed within the clear context of the amendment itself. How? By an act of Congress removing an item from the "official" budget and declaring it an "off-budget" item.

**FLAW #2: THE BBA'S HAVE BUILT-IN "ESCAPE CLAUSES."**

The proposed BBA's usually contain special provisions, called "escape clauses", which give Congress the ability to vote to **IGNORE** the provisions of the amendment. You can imagine how easy it would be, even under a "tough" BBA, to get today's big-spending Congress to vote to circumvent key provisions of the amendment in order to push through

more spending bills. Instead of eliminating deficit spending, the BBA's merely formalize the process, and legitimize its use.

**FLAW #3: THE BBA'S CONTINUE TO ALLOW BORROWING**

Deficit spending is caused by borrowing. There will never be a balanced federal budget as long as borrowing continues to finance last year's programs and cost overruns. Since the BBA's except borrowed funds from being counted as a receipt, the maintenance of the status quo is inevitable. The borrowing, and spending, continue as usual.

**FLAW #4: THE BBA'S FAIL TO COVER "CONTRIBUTING FACTORS"**

All of the major factors that contribute to federal deficits -- borrowing, interest payments, monetization of foreign debt, loan agreements, etc., must be included in any budget-balancing proposal, if its stated goal of "balancing the budget" is truly to be achieved. Unfortunately, there is nothing in the proposed BBA's that would disallow foreign or domestic loan guarantee agreements, or the monetizing of foreign debts. Both are **MAJOR** contributors to inflation, which helps cause deficits, which necessitate borrowing, which results in interest payments, which drain revenue from circulation, which necessitates additional borrowing, and so on ad infinitum. This is already a worsening cycle.

**FLAW #5: THE BBA'S ARE BASED ON "GUESSTIMATES"**

The proposed BBA's are based chiefly on Congress' ability to

predict the future and they can't even work on intelligence from the "past". In other words, a budget that is "balanced" on paper is completely Constitutional as far as the BBA is concerned -- even though that budget is based largely on long-term projections, estimates, predictions, averages, and guesses -- statistics can be arranged to meet any need what-so-ever to suit the writer and who would ever even check it out? For instance, if revenue fails to be generated "as predicted" in the budget, the resulting deficit would not be considered in violation. Therefore, the federal government could and would continue to pile up huge deficits, all the while claiming to have "balanced the budget." How convenient!

You can see that passing a BBA would do nothing to solve the government's monetary problems. It also must become obvious that a CRC would not improve the situation -- so you must realize that this is only a cover touted as need for a CRC. Therefore, in conclusion, the BBA is one of the cruelest and most blatant frauds ever foisted upon the taxpayer. A Constitutional Convention certainly would not improve the situation.. especially when your current Constitution has a safe, sane, fool-proof method for balancing the budget built right into it and we shall look at it next.

**BALANCE THE BUDGET**  
**CONSTITUTIONALLY -- AS**  
**IS!**

You can accomplish a balanced budget the same way you did the first 150 years of existence.

Constitutional scholars have long recognized that the power of direct taxation included in

the Constitution is the only legitimate, historically-proven and fully-Constitutional means with which to effect a balanced annual federal budget. This power of direct taxation for balancing the federal budget can be found by an attentive reading of Article I of the Constitution, and within the official legislative history of both America and the Constitution.

\* "Representatives and Direct Taxes shall be apportioned among the several States which may be included in this Union, according to their respective Numbers. . ."

\* "No Capitation, or other Direct Tax shall be laid unless in proportion to the Census or Enumeration herein before directed to be taken."

(Source: Article I, Section 2, Clause 3; and Article I, Section 9, Clause 4, respectively.)

Now, do not misunderstand what I say to you. I am neither taking pro or con sides to the topic of taxation; we are speaking of "Balancing" a budget and pointing out that you already have that which you need--in the Constitution.

How about those first 150 years? Congress was to first use tariffs to fund the needs of the nation. Then, if more money was needed, they could use excise taxes. After that, if the federal government still needed more money to fund its various projects and obligations, then Congress would send each of the State Legislatures a bill for their share of the amount of funding needed.

The determination of how much money each state's "share" should be was based on the Census formula -- the same rule which determines the

number of members each state receives in the House of Representatives. Upon receiving their "bill" from Congress, the individual state legislatures would then determine the best ways to raise the needed money from among the residents of the state.

WHAT IS GOOD ABOUT THIS? IF THE "BILLS" SENT TO THE STATE LEGISLATURES BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT STARTED GETTING EXCESSIVE, THE GOVERNORS AND PEOPLE OF EACH STATE COULD SIMPLY AND HONESTLY POINT TO THEIR OWN STATE'S DELEGATION TO CONGRESS AND CALL THEM TO ACCOUNT FOR IRRESPONSIBLE SPENDING HABITS IN CONGRESS. Then, come election time, the people of each state would vote out any big-spending Congressional representatives, and replace them with individuals who were more apt to vote "No"! on anything that even appeared to increase federal spending. In this way, excessive federal government spending was minimized, the federal budget was balanced annually, and the tax burden of each citizen was kept to a bare minimum.

Today, however, Congress appears quite afraid to use those Constitutionally-mandated powers of direct taxation. The reasons for this are four-fold:

#1. THEY ARE VERY APT TO UNCOVER THE FACT THAT THE INCOME TAX LAW IS UNLAWFUL, NULL AND VOID (16TH AMENDMENT) AND THEN THE FAT WOULD HIT THE FIRE AND EXPLODE.

#2. Congress has been



telling you for years that there is no way out of your present deficit crisis without a Constitutional amendment mandating a balanced federal budget--in order to get a CRC and really blast you taxpayers. Therefore, for Congress to even admit the existence of this proven method for balancing the budget, they would also have to admit they've been looking you right in the eye and lying to you all along.

#3. Some members of Congress WANT a CRC to be convened so limitations on Congressional powers can be removed and the balance of power can shift in their favor. Having to admit the existence of a Constitutional power that eliminates deficits almost overnight would take the wind right out of the sails of those who claim to support a CRC for the purpose of eliminating those very same federal deficits.

#4. Today's big-spending Congressional representatives do not want to impose a direct tax on the states. Why? Because they know that to do so would force them to be held accountable to the governor, legislature and citizenry of their own state. Instead of being able to vote indiscriminately in favor of legislation that drives federal spending higher and higher, they would have to justify every new expenditure to you the people. **DON'T BE LONGER FOOLED, THE CRC IS TO IMPOSE LAWS AND CHANGE LAWS--NOT BALANCE A BUDGET OR STOP FLAG BURNING!**

Keep in mind, beloved friends, that the very ones who are pushing for a convention are the very ones directly set up by the "Cartel" who would have global control--it is not even

well-hidden. Please note that you are so brainwashed that you don't even notice who the enemy actually is. You fall into the old trap of believing these global puppet masters are the good and altruistic philanthropists. Nay, and you will pay dearly with your freedom and your material goods. Look very, very carefully at that which is being foisted upon you in your inattention.

Hatonn to clear please. Thank you.

\* \* \* \* \*

### The Phoenix Journals:

Sipapu Odyssey  
And They Called His Name  
Immanuel  
Space Gate  
Spiral To Economic Disaster  
From Here To Armageddon  
Survival Is Only Ten Feet From Hell  
The Rainbow Masters  
AIDS, The Last Great Plague  
Satan's Drummers  
Privacy In a Fishbowl  
Cry of The Phoenix  
Crucifixion of The Phoenix  
Skeletons In The Closet  
R.R.P.P.\*  
\*Rape, Ravage, Pillage and Plunder of the Phoenix

The price is \$10 per JOURNAL, 10% discount on orders of 4 or more.

California residents add 6.25% sales tax. Add shipping, UPS \$3.25 or U.S. Mail \$2.00 for first title and \$1.00 each additional.

Write for Quantity Discount.

Available from America West or your Local Distributor.

*Express* is \$20 per 13 issues (including back issues for the period).

R.R.P.P.\*

### \*RAPE, RAVAGE, PILLAGE AND PLUNDER OF THE PHOENIX

In truth there is Hope. "The truth will set you free." Do not turn away--join together and find truth and come into community and demand a return to Godness and your Constitution as given forth by your forefathers for protection of your wondrous freedoms.

-----As America falls so falls the world for the Plan was well laid and the Plan includes the whole of the globe.

The takeover is so insidious and deadly that man does not even realize he is being enslaved in mass. If this Satanic cartel is allowed to continue and fulfill their mission, it will be the start of the darkest ages your planet will have ever witnessed--there will be mass annihilation of mankind by the billions and YOU have no recourse.

God, my petition is that you of the masses be given into the hearing and seeing, for you can stop this thing if you want to do so. If you act not, then I bless you and pray for mercy for, as free people, you will be finished!

\* \* \* \* \*

Send orders and Payments to:  
America West Distributors,  
P.O. Box 6451 Tehachapi, CA.  
93582.